## Group 00 Transcript

Test group, dry run

Both participants are male

Respondent	Text
	First recording 50:44
0:00:10.2	So, yeah [pause] I would start with something about the context. That
PERSON 1	we have to determine who the users of the system are gonna be,
	stakeholders.
0:00:43.7	Mhm yeah, they are students
PERSON 2	
0:00:48.8	Yeah but it's, it's for students by students
PERSON 1	
0:00:55.2	[inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:00:56.4	There's still the teacher and the stakeholder as well
PERSON 1	
0:00:58.6	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:00:59.3	Because they're probably gonna get graded. Do we have to make
PERSON 1	assumptions about something for the teacher?
0:01:12.7	[inaudible] She want them to learn from practice that
PERSON 2	
0:01:31.9	So it actually is basically if it works you get a pass. I guess. Right?
PERSON 1	
0:01:38.6	No there are these requirements that need to be met
PERSON 2	
0:01:43.7	Yeah well, if you follow the assignment and it works then you get a
PERSON 1	pass basically. Because you've shown-
0:01:48.8	Well this lists elegance and clarity as a So what is meant by
PERSON 2	elegance and clarity is kind off an assumption of -
0:02:01.8	Yeah that is true yeah, uhm
PERSON 1	
0:02:08.9	Well
PERSON 2	
0:02:13.5	Both in overall solution and envisioned implementation structure, but
PERSON 1	the envisioned implementation structure isn't really into context or

	functional view [laugh] so I guess elegance and clarity of the solution
0:02:31.0	Yeah because I was thinking elegance and clarity that that's object-
PERSON 2	oriented design but that doesn't-
0:02:36.1	No
PERSON 1	
0:02:36.9	Apply to functional or context
PERSON 2	
0:02:41.2	But still we can make an elegant functional viewpoint, split up
PERSON 1	responsibility and stuff
0:02:49.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:02:50.1	We're going from context now I guess.
PERSON 1	
0:02:59.8	Right, ok
PERSON 2	
0:03:02.5	I'm not really sure when to play cards because it's a discussion
PERSON 1	
0:03:06.7	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:03:07.8	[laugh]
PERSON 1	
0:03:11.5	So, well let's look at constraints then. So what are the limitations
PERSON 2	maybe that gives us a good impression of what we can and cannot do.
	Oh, what do you mean with very simple
0:03:32.8	Well the first thing I noticed about one of the constraints. Like, you
PERSON 1	should be able to create your own map, but it can only be four-way
	intersections. So, it's gonna be Manhattan anyway.
0:03:49.1	Can it only be or—
PERSON 2	
0:03:50.3	Yeah, all intersections will be four-ways, there are not T-
PERSON 1	intersections nor one-way roads. It says here
0:03:56.7	Oh ja
PERSON 2	
0:03:57.4	So, which in the end means you're just kind of allowing them-
PERSON 1	
0:04:00.8	Let me, put down the constraint card. [looks through cards] Oh what
PERSON 2	is it- It's a constraint. Alright. So alright, all intersections are four-
	ways, so you don't have to come up with alternative intersections. An
	intersection is a simple thing.

0:04:29.2 PERSON 1	Yeah. And all intersections should have traffic lights, it says. So-
0:04:37.9	No stoplights or passes or anything else? So really simple
PERSON 2	intersection. So the only difference that there exists is really- Oh no, I
	read that wrong. Accommodate left hand turns protected by left hand
	green arrow lights. It's not driving on the left, it's turning left.
0:05:04.7	I guess, yeah. I think that they're saying like turning left is uhm.
PERSON 1	Well, we're actually making an assumption. We thought cars-
	Nowhere states that how cars should drive, like if a car enters the
	map. Where does it go, what is the type of target to go to. It nowhere
	states should you just randomly generate an exit point in the map
	where you, the card wants to go and then have pathfinding and it
	starts to get complicated for a simple traffic light simulator.
0:05:53.6	•
	Yeah, well you could always just do a random-
PERSON 2	D 1 1 0 11
0:05:56.6	Random left, right
PERSON 1	
0:05:57.6	Yeah, randomization at each intersection. True. And you can just
PERSON 2	switch on new cars into the system, but other ones- Or you can make
	a closed system where if they disappear off one side of the map they
	appear on the other side. You have a fixed number of cars in the
	system.
0:06:16.4	True. But that doesn't really simulate traffic flow if you make-
PERSON 1	
0:06:20.6	No
PERSON 2	
0:06:21.1	A crappy intersection with like, one second of bringing them and
PERSON 1	then [inaudible], and one second of bringing them and two hours-
0:06:26.5	Yeah, so let's not do that then.
PERSON 2	
0:06:28.5	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:06:29.3	So, is that a trade-off. I think so.
PERSON 2	
0:06:36.0	Yeah, performance versus, I don't know, functionality. Like, what
PERSON 1	you say, cars come out at the end of the map side is performance
	wise and, I don't know, easier to make but it is less functional.
	Because you can't see traffic flows that easy because, well there's
	fixed amount of cars so there's not really gonna be jams. Is there
	ince amount of ours so more s not rearry going be juins. Is more

	around Utrecht always the same amount of cars?
0:07:08.7	Yeah, you kinda want to make that adjustable.
PERSON 2	
0:07:11.7	Yeah.
PERSON 1	
0:07:12.1	Kind of want to increase the spawning rate-
PERSON 2	
0:07:15.6	Yeah yeah yeah
PERSON 1	
0:07:16.0	See if you get jams. You're gonna get jams eventually, but, and-
PERSON 2	
0:07:21.1	It's at what point you're gonna get
PERSON 1	
0:07:22.0	At what point that happens, so that might be something you'd want to
PERSON 2	be able to adjust.
0:07:28.6	So yeah, ok. We're not really talking about this anymore I guess. Are
PERSON 1	we about to, no regret-
0:07:36.7	No, that was functional. Uhm, ok.
PERSON 2	
0:07:55.1	Say I am in my opinion the context is usually just who is gonna use
PERSON 1	the system and what other systems is the system gonna communicate
	with. And how does it fit into the problem space.
0:08:12.8	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:08:13.7	And, well there isn't a big problem space yet. It's basically an
PERSON 1	assignment for students. There's only two kind of actors.
0:08:27.7	System and the student.
PERSON 2	
0:08:29.3	Yeah. Uh three, the system, the student and the teacher.
PERSON 1	
0:08:34.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:08:35.4	And the student fulfills two roles, true, but still. There is not much
PERSON 1	context to go around in my opinion. This isn't [inaudible]. You
	should design the means by which the user creates a map, sets traffic
	time schemes and views traffic simulations. Ok. Not really sure.
0:09:14.7	Yeah, I keep thinking about technical architecture and that stuff.
PERSON 2	
0:09:17.4	Yeah me too, especially when they talk about the means by which a

PERSON 1	user creates a map. Ok, so we need a user interface, we need-
0:09:25.8	Yeah
0.09.23.8 PERSON 2	i ean
0:09:26.8	To store the maps somehow
PERSON 1	To store the maps somenow
0:09:28.0	This description is really begging for design patterns and-
PERSON 2	This description is really begging for design patterns and-
0:09:31.0	Yeah
PERSON 1	i can
0:09:32.8	But-
PERSON 2	But-
0:09:35.1	But I- this what the context viewpoint says is not what I see as a
PERSON 1	context viewpoint. For me context is a lot more high-level and not
LIGOTVI	really. You don't put in activity diagrams, which this sort of asks for.
	The means by which the user creates a map. So, I've seen activity
	diagrams, user starts map editor, adds maps, blablabla, saves the
	map, there's a map. But that's not context viewpoint for me.
0:10:06.6	Yeah. I don't know
PERSON 2	1 can t mio v
0:10:12.0	So yeah. I think we can sort of draw a tree and model of the context
PERSON 1	view. How we see it.
0:10:19.5	Mhm. Start with interfaces. Ok, this one [inaudible]. Multiple
PERSON 2	alternative approaches should be encouraged. What does that mean.
0:10:54.8	Where?
PERSON 1	
0:10:56.6	The fourth requirement
PERSON 2	•
0:11:26.2	Ok. I've no idea. Did you make- I guess I do have an idea, what they
PERSON 1	mean. So we're already gone from here actually. I'm not sure what
	we're talking about. I think they mean that you make alternative
	designs and pick the best candidate.
0:12:01.5	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:12:02.3	Or actually we're at the problem now. Well this is actually the
PERSON 1	problem. So, yeah, which is always a good idea. Think of
	possibilities and pick the best one. Make multiple candidates for the
	design. Problem I have as a tactical- with a technical background is
	that the context and functional aspects are usually-
0:12:31.7	They don't have anything to do with-

PERSON 2	
0:12:33.2	Nah, they're straightforward. It's the practical implications that
PERSON 1	warrant multiple interpretations and multiple models.
0:12:41.5	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:12:45.2	So. Yeah. I mean the idea is we should make this design some way
PERSON 1	that we're used to. We should just draw some designs
0:12:54.2	Yeah. Alright
PERSON 2	
0:12:55.6	Ok.
PERSON 1	
0:12:56.5	Shall we proceed to the whiteboard then
PERSON 2	
0:12:58.3	Let's go. [inaudible]
PERSON 1	
0:13:02.4	I'll put my phone near the whiteboard
PERSON 2	
0:13:18.9	So which one, context or functional.
PERSON 1	
0:13:23.7	We can start with context
PERSON 2	
0:13:25.9	Yeah? [inaudible] Ok. So yeah, so basically that's a proper name
PERSON 1	[inaudible]
0:13:52.8	[laugh] Traffic tycoon.
PERSON 2	
0:14:03.9	So, basically a traffic tycoon. Now we have-
PERSON 1	
0:14:08.5	Yeah so, if we call it a viewpoint you mean the design needs to create
PERSON 2	a map, sense traffic timing schemes and view traffic simulations.
0:14:20.1	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:14:21.2	[inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:14:21.4	No, [laugh] well it smells really chemical so sort of worried
PERSON 1	
0:14:29.9	Ok. So the means by which you create a map.
PERSON 2	
0:14:39.0	Well, for example. I mean, for me that's part of the functional. The
PERSON 1	point-

0:14:44.3	Yeah it's on the context so-
PERSON 2	
0:14:47.3	Well, as long as it's in the design why don't we call it functional
PERSON 1	instead of context
0:14:52.6	So you what you kind of want is the use of a kind of simple map
PERSON 2	editor
0:14:52.6	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:14:57.0	The simple intersections, simple traffic lights. Those are things you
PERSON 2	want to be able to add through a map editor
0:15:11.2	And then, we have a set of actions. Save map, open map, add
PERSON 1	intersection, roads
0:15:34.7	Yeah, road. Intersection, add traffic lights
PERSON 2	
0:15:42.3	Well, all intersection should have traffic lights so it's
PERSON 1	
0:15:44.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:15:45.2	It's, you don't have to specifically add a traffic light because if you
PERSON 1	have
0:15:51.4	They need-
PERSON 2	
0:15:52.3	An intersection there is always gonna be a traffic light because it's a
PERSON 1	constraint of the system. Alright. And on the technical side it's gonna
	be a real pain to remove one intersection you're gonna have to
	remove a lot more because there are only four-ways allowed and if
	you remove one intersection then-
0:16:16.7	Then this road is going nowhere.
PERSON 2	
0:16:18.7	You can't actually remove intersections in the middle because then
PERSON 1	the heel, entire grid falls apart
0:16:26.4	Alright, so that's a reason why you can't have the open edge
PERSON 2	figuratively appear on the other side of the map. Because that would
	make it impossible to remove any intersections
0:16:38.8	What?
PERSON 1	
0:16:39.4	The thing is that like, if you have cars disappearing of one side of the
PERSON 2	map-
0:16:42.1	Mhm
·	

DEDCOM 1	
PERSON 1	And then emper in the other I way do that then way way I do? the all-
0:16:42.3	And then appear in the other. I you do that then you wouldn't be able
PERSON 2	to remove any intersections.
0:16:47.1	So. You wouldn't be able to remove any intersection. I mean-
PERSON 1	
0:16:50.9	Cause then there's no edge really so then you wouldn't, so that's-
PERSON 2	707
0:16:53.3	If I-
PERSON 1	
0:16:53.7	Definitely not do that
PERSON 2	
0:16:54.3	This grid, and I remove this one-
PERSON 1	
0:16:56.4	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:16:56.9	Which means these roads basically go nowhere Which isn't allowed
PERSON 1	and these are no longer intersections. So basically-
0:17:03.7	Everything is beh
PERSON 2	
0:17:05.3	Everything is beh.
PERSON 1	
0:17:06.7	Ok so. Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:17:11.5	So the only thing you can do is add more. This design decides how
PERSON 1	big you want to make, how many intersections and how much space
	between each intersection
0:17:25.0	Yeah, so essentially it's always a grid of a certain size. You control
PERSON 2	the size of it.
0:17:30.3	Yeah you can control this and how many there are.
PERSON 1	
0:17:33.4	So, it's a number of nodes and the lengths of the road. Is there
PERSON 2	anything else we could play around with?
0:17:44.0	So it is a section
PERSON 1	
0:17:44.7	Different arrangements of intersections
PERSON 2	
0:17:51.6	There is- no not really
PERSON 1	
0:17:53.2	Unless you can put them on an angle while still being four-ways

DEDGOMA	T
PERSON 2	
0:18:01.2	Yeah true, but when you get crap everywhere [laugh]. I mean it could
PERSON 1	still work
0:18:07.8	Yeah but-
PERSON 2	
0:18:10.0	But what does it add compared to this one
PERSON 1	
0:18:11.2	Yeah does the simulation change in any ways. I mean that's kind of
PERSON 2	an abstraction of this, so. We can definitely do this, you'll make
	things slightly more difficult because intersections where different
	roads, a la V-shape come together. That becomes hardly more
	problematic. So it definitely does change the flow of traffic.
0:18:32.4	Yeah, but it should be simple, not scientifically correct.
PERSON 1	
0:18:35.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:18:36.3	So it should be a simple where you can see what changes, if you
PERSON 1	change traffic light timings
0:18:42.1	So, we are talking about a trade-off. Are we talking about a problem
PERSON 2	or context you think
0:18:52.4	Uhm, both. Cause context is the fact that it shouldn't be scientific and
PERSON 1	problem because we're actually having a problem we're trying to
	solve, the problem of how, how we're gonna. What can you do in the
	editor. I'm not really sure what to call it.
0:19:14.3	I'm gonna call it problem.
PERSON 2	
0:19:15.5	Sure. Decide number of x y intersections. So, how many intersections
PERSON 1	and roads length. Yeah, between intersections
0:19:59.4	I mean you can [inaudible] like this heh. Move this [inaudible], you
PERSON 2	have intersections there, there and there
0:20:06.9	Yeah, so the map shouldn't be square
PERSON 1	
0:20:08.3	Doesn't have to be square no.
PERSON 2	
0:20:09.7	No. So yeah
PERSON 1	
0:20:13.7	Well
PERSON 2	
0:20:14.7	That's. Yeah that's true. We made an assumption that the map should

PERSON 1	be square. And that's not true
0:20:24.5	[inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:20:25.6	We just solved an assumption. I'm not really sure
PERSON 1	
0:20:31.7	Well I'm gonna write yours down then. That's square. Let me
PERSON 2	[inaudible]
0:21:01.1	Yeah true
PERSON 1	
0:21:06.5	Ok. Yeah obviously there's a few really functional thinks going on
PERSON 2	here. Open, saving and it's saying [inaudible] that sort of thing.
	Undoing, redoing that sort of thing but-
0:21:06.5	Not really necessary that much
PERSON 1	
0:21:22.4	Yeah, that's what I think. Uhm, yeah that's the map itself
PERSON 2	
0:21:32.7	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:21:33.3	When you're running a simulation you also want to control traffic
PERSON 2	
0:21:39.7	Yeah, but this was the use case on there, which had like couple
PERSON 1	things, design the map, right?
0:21:46.7	Oh yeah
PERSON 2	
0:21:48.6	Creates a map, set traffic time schemes, that we still have to do. And
PERSON 1	use traffic simulations, so I'm setting the timings, I guess should also,
	well are we gonna have a separate map editor even
0:22:08.3	[inaudible] not part of our system?
PERSON 2	
0:22:10.3	Well, that you have a map editor and a simulator separate as
PERSON 1	applications, close to the technical side
0:22:17.1	No, I don't see why it's not complicated enough to divide it into the
PERSON 2	applications
0:22:23.1	Yeah, I'm not either. So yeah ok, so we have to be able to change the
PERSON 1	timings or it could also be on sensors or red somewhere. So you
	have to be able to put a sensor, like, here's the sensor for this traffic
	light
0:22:40.5	Ok yeah, so add sensor would be it then, a piece of functionality
PERSON 2	

0:22:52.8 PERSON 1	For traffic lights. And run simulation basically. We also have to be able to change the inflow of cars. How many card come out in here on the side
0:23:19.1	Yeah.
PERSON 2	
0:23:20.4	So, sets, yeah, car influx
PERSON 1	
0:23:41.2	We're talking about a context trade-off. If you can only control the
PERSON 2	set amount of influx from any side of this sort of random distribution,
	I think that is going to be less interesting than when you can say
	something like, this road is frequently traveled.
0:24:03.2	Mhm
PERSON 1	
0:24:04.0	So yeah, we kind of want to keep this simple but I think if you make
PERSON 2	it completely random then it's too simple, not useful
0:24:11.8	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:24:12.3	So setting it per road, I think is something we want
PERSON 2	
0:24:15.0	Yeah, that was also one of the constraints I believe
PERSON 1	
0:24:17.8	Was it?
PERSON 2	
0:24:18.7	Yeah, I think, somewhere. I believe I read it. Yeah, I can't seem to
PERSON 1	find it. Well. It's a good point anyway, I can't find it
0:25:34.7	So who needs to set, be able to set influx per, per edge I would say.
PERSON 2	Well it's the edge, but not edge as in nodes and edges, but edge of the
0.05.45.0	map.
0:25:47.2	[inaudible] so yeah
PERSON 1	
0:25:57.9	And then we have here able to adjust the timing schemes.
PERSON 2	37 1 1 1
0:26:02.2	Yeah yeah
PERSON 1	W
0:26:04.3	We got the sensors but-
PERSON 2	
0:26:09.7	Yeah well, always with. I was thinking, you can eh, so I was thinking
PERSON 1	making the assumption that if there is a sensor there is no timing
	scheme.

0:26:22.6	But this [inaudible] control has timing scheme
PERSON 2	
0:26:26.1	Yeah, but I mean they can work either on sensors-
PERSON 1	
0:26:31.7	Or just add a timer
PERSON 2	
0:26:32.5	Or just add a timer and-
PERSON 1	
0:26:34.3	Yeah then you get into the situation that people are waiting for no
PERSON 2	one
0:26:37.2	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:26:37.9	But, but still-
PERSON 2	
0:26:40.4	Well they should be able to simulate traffic and it still happens,
PERSON 1	believe me, so yeah. Add sensor, remove sensor-
0:26:53.2	So I would say you add a timing scheme per intersection. And add
PERSON 2	schemes for an entire intersection. So, you kind of want a scheme
	editor. You can call an intersection and then you can control, pop-up
	comes, pop-up yes
0:27:23.0	So timing scheme and set, whatever. Add traffic light timings if no
PERSON 1	sensor
0:27:45.6	Well I think the existence of a sensor kind of-
PERSON 2	
0:27:50.5	Negates this but we still have to write down its, if there's no sensor. I
PERSON 1	mean, we can make it a standard case but I mean, its different timings
	and how long does it stay green. And this is, let's say, well it's, yeah
	ok it's a reboot
0:28:09.1	It's to do with the timing so, the timers gets going unless the sensor is
PERSON 2	reboot
0:28:16.0	Yeah true
PERSON 1	
0:28:17.5	He comes from this side and if isn't ever triggered, it stays on red.
PERSON 2	
0:28:21.7	Yeah, true. Can be input, the sensors
PERSON 1	
0:28:25.0	Or randomly or whatever. So-
PERSON 2	
0:28:28.2	What else would be fun, random traffic lights

PERSON 1	
0:28:31.4	Like it skips a few possibilities and then it goes on green
PERSON 2	
0:28:34.2	Mhm
PERSON 1	
0:28:35.0	Communication sensor is broken or something.
PERSON 2	
0:28:40.4	So basically these are all functionalities the program should have in
PERSON 1	the end
0:28:44.9	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:28:46.7	Should go in functional
PERSON 1	
0:28:48.4	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:28:51.0	Ok, so yeah, do we have to make a picture of this. Nah, this was
PERSON 1	really important for a 3d model
0:28:59.0	This is not a model
PERSON 2	
0:29:00.6	So, I'm gonna, so we have room to actually draw a model in. So I
PERSON 1	would say, let's try to put this into a functional view then
0:29:18.5	Ok
PERSON 2	
0:29:19.1	Because, unless you have more to add to this
PERSON 1	
0:29:25.5	Not really
PERSON 2	
0:29:28.1	I just wanted to say, it's been half an hour
Instructor	
0:29:30.8	Ok yeah. So were gonna try to make a solution I guess. Well, for the
PERSON 1	functional aspect
0:29:45.2	Yeah, how do you wanna go about doing it. Draw a FAM or-
PERSON 2	
0:29:53.9	Yeah well, that's the simplest way of- I mean what is a FAM, a FAM
PERSON 1	is really just boxes and arrows so, let's just draw boxes and arrows
	and its always a FAM so basically-
0:30:06.6	So, one main thing I would say is the map editor. So editing map as
PERSON 2	well as a functional block I would say
0:30:19.7	Yeah well, I was thinking that as well, but I'm also with [NAME]

PERSON 1	looking at that architecture tool now and basically, it's so closely related because 90% of the actions that you do. Because were in simulation [inaudible], is the only one here not doing it in an editor. And you're always fine tuning all the settings to see what happens and run another simulation. So to really split that up-
0:30:51.2	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:30:51.7	I mean on the functional level, true, there is a difference between
PERSON 1	editing and running but-
0:30:57.4	Well we can make that into two giant blocks
PERSON 2	
0:31:00.2	Yeah well, I'm thinking too technical. You're [inaudible] because
PERSON 1	splitting it up at the technical level is-
0:31:08.1	Right so, if we can just do something like, really big, which is-
PERSON 2	
0:31:14.1	Editor
PERSON 1	
0:31:18.8	Editor, and the something which isn't in the actual runner
PERSON 2	
0:31:31.5	You've already taken back your card I guess
PERSON 1	
0:31:34.5	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:31:48.9	Well what kind of. So we have actual intersection designs, actual
PERSON 1	editor as map design as function, I guess
0:31:58.5	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:32:06.4	Timing schemes, editor. Timing schemes is-
PERSON 1	
0:32:12.5	Timing schema
PERSON 2	
0:32:14.7	Schema
PERSON 1	F: 1:1.1.3
0:32:17.0	[inaudible]
PERSON 2	West as an advelled and the grant West the street of the street of
0:32:18.0	Yeah, so we actually have this one. Well the editor itself catches
PERSON 1	those two
0:32:29.1	Mhm
PERSON 2	

0:32:29.8	I guess. This is map design, this is map design. The centers, do we
PERSON 1	call it map design?
0:32:36.4	I would say so yes
PERSON 2	
0:32:37.7	Ok. So these two ook, the influx per X roads
PERSON 1	
0:32:42.2	Maybe that's a part of the simulation already
PERSON 2	
0:32:43.6	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:32:44.0	Because you want to adjust that while doing a simulation. Not
PERSON 2	beforehand
0:32:48.9	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:32:49.9	So [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:32:59.3	Yeah, I wasn't sure how to call it
PERSON 1	
0:33:01.6	I know they need to be verbs or [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:33:04.3	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:33:06.1	[inaudible] Right so the editor, I mean this is kind of where you
PERSON 2	[inaudible] what the user needs to do but, there is a functional part of
	it which is the opening and the saving and that sort of thing. So really
	this, what do you call that, storage
0:33:24.6	Yeah, that's called storage. Here we are still missing actual running
PERSON 1	of the simulation, so
0:33:37.4	[inaudible] so right
PERSON 2	
0:33:45.9	Yeah, so if we actually want to make a flow of this we actually have
PERSON 1	to draw information flows from functional aspects to other
0:33:53.9	Yeah. So I think one of them is quite obvious right, that's these two.
PERSON 2	So, this, from this influx design do you
0:34:07.4	Yeah, it flows both ways, so yeah, it sort of would tell them what
PERSON 1	type the current schema is, and the change schema from the pop-up
	comes back
0:34:18.6	With the timing it only knows about the single intersections I would
PERSON 2	say. So, the only thing it would need to know is whether it's a sensor
	baj. 50, the only thing it would need to know is whether it 5 a sensor

	or not
0:34:33.1	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:34:35.2	Cause that changes the schema, the he just-
PERSON 2	
0:34:40.3	Gets back the added values of new timings or whatever
PERSON 1	
0:34:45.3	I just call it scheme
PERSON 2	
0:34:46.9	Scheme
PERSON 1	
0:34:48.0	And, and he has to be able to sense-
PERSON 2	
0:34:53.1	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:34:53.5	A map
PERSON 2	
0:34:54.9	Save, load basically
PERSON 1	
0:34:57.1	Yeah that's what I mean
PERSON 2	
0:34:58.7	Yeah but this is better. So then we also have the runner, so basically
PERSON 1	can we, can we-
0:35:09.8	From storage
PERSON 2	
0:35:11.0	With storage I guess, well that, that assumes that it's a different
PERSON 1	window because this, this if we say it gets the data from storage then
	we're basically saying it's not in the map designer. That we run the
	actual- So then, we have two interfaces, that's-
0:35:31.3	I think that's reasonable, also I think you can also store everything
PERSON 2	before running anyway
0:35:37.3	Yeah yeah true
PERSON 1	
0:35:38.0	You kind of have to instantiate a few things. So-
PERSON 2	
0:35:41.0	True, it was an assumption about-
PERSON 1	
0:35:45.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	

0:35:45.5	If we brought it arrow down
PERSON 1	if we blought it allow down
0:35:48.1	So, from storage to running or can we just say that, or just tell the
PERSON 2	editor to run it
0:36:00.3	I would say from storage to running, right. And between these two
PERSON 1	because while running or I mean then you can change the input.
	Which was sort of what we were saying
0:36:18.0	Yeah. So. So you spell use
PERSON 2	
0:36:45.9	Yeah, not really sure how to call it
PERSON 1	
0:36:52.0	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:36:53.8	Not really any information
PERSON 1	
0:36:57.7	Yeah, not really no. Well you need to know-
PERSON 2	
0:37:02.1	What the current value is or something like that
PERSON 1	
0:37:04.0	Yeah, I mean, at least you have something like this
PERSON 2	
0:37:07.8	Yeah, well, is it changing one road? This thing. So, does it get one,
PERSON 1	like I want to change it into this road and then you can set values. But
	that's really a stupid model, you just type in one number
0:37:22.5	Yeah well maybe in the influx you kind of want to be able to adjust
PERSON 2	all of them. So just changing a few numbers, maybe you want to
	change a general number as well. It's just a random distribution.
0:37:36.3	So it's more like an editor then. For the timer
PERSON 1	
0:37:41.1	Yeah that's very simple but it's, how do you call it, influx editor
PERSON 2	X7 1 1 1 1 1
0:37:45.0	Yeah alright
PERSON 1	
0:37:46.3	But it's just adjusting numbers. There is definitely a random number
PERSON 2	generator involved here. But that's an external library
0:37:55.1	Yeah that's also-
PERSON 1	It's not mostly functional
0:37:56.1	It's not really functional
PERSON 2	

0:37:56.8	Implementation
PERSON 1	
0:37:57.6	Yeah. So do we need to look at the UI, as a functional aspect
PERSON 2	
0:38:07.6	Let's see what they say about functional. Functional elements and
PERSON 1	their responsibilities, so we actually drew those I guess, which, this
	on the side, we should maybe map it or something. These are-
0:38:29.0	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:38:29.9	User stories we can actually map to these things
PERSON 1	
0:38:32.7	Mhm, but we have nothing that's really visual there like that
PERSON 2	
0:38:37.4	No. true, this is all functional, right, this kind of-
PERSON 1	
0:38:43.2	Yeah but, is visual functional. This is a bit of a- you know, you want
PERSON 2	to inform the user what's going on-
0:38:53.0	Yeah but-
PERSON 1	
0:38:53.5	Through visual, so that's kind of a functional element as well
PERSON 2	
0:38:58.4	Interfaces and primary interactions. Not all interfaces can be
PERSON 1	interpreted as a UI I guess
0:39:05.5	Yeah [inaudible] probably gonna be very complicated.
PERSON 2	
0:39:39.5	Well I was basically thinking of a one screen with a map, basically
PERSON 1	for the editor, and also for the runners. So the same basis. And the
	difference is that here you can double click on schemes and drag and
	drop intersections to make more space between them and well, stuff
	like that. Double-click and typing value, stuff like that
0:40:03.4	So do you just want to say this?
PERSON 2	
0:40:08.1	They both use the same run yeah
PERSON 1	
0:40:11.7	Yep, different windows with the same runners
PERSON 2	
0:40:15.5	Yeah yeah. But you wanted to actually draw the UI or just put it on
PERSON 1	the module
0:40:24.7	No just, remember that it's part of the functional

PERSON 2	
0:40:28.7	Oh yeah
PERSON 1	
0:40:29.5	Ok then.
PERSON 2	
0:40:35.6	[inaudible]
PERSON 1	
0:40:39.1	User uses the UI, not necessarily this
PERSON 2	
0:40:52.0	Awesome work
PERSON 1	
0:40:55.5	Alright
PERSON 2	
0:40:58.6	So yeah
PERSON 1	
0:41:01.3	Let's see if there's any requirements that need to-
PERSON 2	
0:41:09.9	I'm gonna make a picture, I think
PERSON 1	
0:41:13.3	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:41:39.1	Um, yeah I'll take a picture, cause I think this is a nice model,
PERSON 1	functional model
0:41:46.3	Mhm. Just checking if we haven't forgotten anything
PERSON 2	
0:41:57.9	So yeah, I was thinking what kind of decisions did we make
PERSON 1	
0:42:03.5	Well we haven't made any decisions about how to depict traffic flow
PERSON 2	
0:42:07.7	No true, so. Yeah but I was thinking did we make any decisions here,
PERSON 1	because it's about decision making. This experiment. And, well
	basically we split the UI from other parts because that's always the case nowadays because it's [inaudible] to split responsibilities
0:42:30.9	O-O [object-oriented] design really
PERSON 2	
0:42:31.9	Yeah exactly, so, that's our OO influence. And then we said, well
PERSON 1	and editor does something significantly different then the simulator,
	the runner
0:42:44.8	This is kind of a model-view-controller pattern

PERSON 2	
0:42:46.1	Yeah, it is. Because, well, I guess, because we use it constantly all
PERSON 1	the time we automatically go back to it. But it's also a solution that's
	so general that it can be applied to basically anything that the user
	uses
0:43:04.8	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:43:05.5	You make a view. The role of the controller, you always work with
PERSON 1	data and you always do something with the data. So the model and
	the controller is always there. The view is, depends where it's at.
	Usually a program oriented service
0:43:18.7	Yes, I mean, we picture it technical as a technical architecture but
PERSON 2	
0:43:22.3	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:43:22.9	But, yeah
PERSON 2	
0:43:24.4	It can still be applied to functional as well. Functional architecture
PERSON 1	usually is, in the end, implemented like, this is gonna be a module,
	that's gonna be a module, that's gonna be a module, this is gonna be
	a namespace, so-
0:43:37.9	Yeah. Alright, so, um, yeah in the running here that's where any sort
PERSON 2	of traffic flow things need to be depicted
0:43:57.4	Yeah. Well the depicting is happening here-
PERSON 1	
0:44:00.6	Well, they have an actual visual
PERSON 2	
0:44:01.6	So, so here it's just we have give back some abstraction of where-
PERSON 1	Named and Control
0:44:07.2	Number of cars
PERSON 2	Number of age but there what do we went Do we went to have
0:44:08.1 PERSON 1	Number of cars but, there, what do we want. Do we want to have
FERSON I	instances of specific cars, like, single cars? Or do we want to have stupid numbers, so. I have a road, let's say here are ten, and then we
	have the [inaudible] cars moving at 50 kilometers an hour. We put
	here timing and it say well, I'm going, I'm green for 5 seconds, and
	the five seconds, I don't know, three cars can transfer. So this goes to
	seven and then we write down here three. So this is the most basic
	presentation, the other one is actually that we're gonna draw cars and
	presentation, the other one is actuary that we re going araw cars and

	then move the cars
0:44:56.3	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:44:57.4	So
PERSON 1	
0:44:59.4	Well, if you have [inaudible] in between you can have [inaudible]
PERSON 2	cars that does, that get going and slowly stop or you can just shift
	dots around. That, that's sort of the in-between thing
0:45:11.9	Yeah, and also the problem with this is, I mean, just having a number
PERSON 1	on the line does not allow for different road sides basically. You have
	to know whether it's always-
0:45:24.0	You have to take one, so something with road sides, because we want
PERSON 2	to know if there's too many cars on a specific road. It starts
	gridlocking-
0:45:31.1	If it's full then people can't pass overhead
PERSON 1	
0:45:35.1	Yeah and you get a gridlock here, and then in this traffic can't move
PERSON 2	anyone, so you kind of want to simulate this kind of thing otherwise-
0:45:42.4	Yes. So this is too simple
PERSON 1	
0:45:44.5	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:45:45.4	So we're already talking about a solution to the problem, I guess
PERSON 1	
0:45:52.2	Right, write that down. Problem.
PERSON 2	
0:46:05.1	And we're three quarters an hour going, so if we want we can get
PERSON 1	some coffee or something. [inaudible] cold coffee
0:46:14.9	Are we talking about a constraint, risk, trade-off?
PERSON 2	
0:46:22.9	I don't know. It's not really a constraint so, the risk thing I guess, I
PERSON 1	mean, is it a constraint yes, [inaudible] simple numbers is a constraint
	so we want to have actual cars so, but
0:46:38.3	Okay
PERSON 2	
0:46:38.8	Yeah, it's constraining in its functionality. It's not really constraint
PERSON 1	on its entire system
0:46:44.4	Well it does mean that you want to do something here, with a road
PERSON 2	length, number of cars

0.46.50.0	77 1 2 4 11 2 4 1 2 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
0:46:53.2 PERSON 1	Yeah so, you're actually going, technical implementation to a game
0:46:58.6	engine with a game loop Yes
PERSON 2	1 CS
0:46:59.7	Which is gonna do a tick every, I don't know, six, six ticks a second,
PERSON 1	something like that, pick a number and every tick it moves cars.
I EKSON I	Speeds them up or not whatever
0:47:11.4	Yeah
PERSON 2	1 Can
0:47:13.1	So basically, there's gonna be a game engine here
PERSON 1	So basically, there is goilla be a game engine here
0:47:24.8	Yeah
PERSON 2	1 Can
0:47:25.9	Specifically the game loop
PERSON 1	Specifically the game loop
0:47:28.3	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:47:29.2	That's about [inaudible]
PERSON 1	That 5 docut [madazote]
0:47:35.4	Yeah aright
PERSON 2	
0:47:37.4	But that's technical
PERSON 1	
0:47:40.5	How it actually works is technical
PERSON 2	
0:47:42.2	Yeah yeah. Well, the fact that we need a game engine isn't really- so
PERSON 1	it is this sort of, adds also to the context. Then we interface with an
	existing interface I guess
0:47:59.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:47:59.9	There's enough free ones so
PERSON 1	
0:48:02.8	I mean, this is so simple that it doesn't have a module somewhere
PERSON 2	
0:48:07.2	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:48:08.0	But, yeah, anything else functional that we forgot. Sensor, UI map is
PERSON 2	in here, road length, UI map design
0:48:35.0	So, we sort of decided within the discussion back, a few little time

PERSON 1	ago, that we want to simulate individual cars
0:48:47.1	Yes
PERSON 2	
0:48:53.0	Not really a decision, no it's sort of a decision so. We reached a
PERSON 1	conclusion
0:48:58.9	We didn't want to simulate, not necessarily visualize but at least have
PERSON 2	them in the simulation. However they look on the screen doesn't
	really matter
0:49:08.0	No no no, simulate is, simulate is not visual-
PERSON 1	
0:49:10.3	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:49:10.3	Visualize
PERSON 1	
0:49:12.3	Right, because otherwise road length is very very limited in its use.
PERSON 2	Because, road length has to do also with gridlocking and if it's just
	about the time it takes to get from one intersection to the other then
	that's so basic that it's almost [inaudible]. Because in any city
	gridlocking is a real problem
0:49:38.3	Mhm. But yeah, you're right, we have to know when a road is full.
PERSON 1	So, then stuff starts locking up.
0:49:49.2	Yeah. That's why you want to simulate the individual cars.
PERSON 2	[inaudible] yeah, I think we found all the functional
0:50:08.5	Yeah?
PERSON 1	
0:50:39.1	Alright, shall we have a coffee break
PERSON 2	
0:50:41.6	Yeah
PERSON 1	
	Second recording 0:15 seconds
0:00:01.7	So yeah
PERSON 1	
0:00:02.4	Recording
PERSON 2	
0:00:04.1	Do we have to do anything with this
PERSON 1	
0:00:06.6	Have you taken a picture of it yet?
PERSON 2	
0:00:08.7	No, with these-

PERSON 1	
0:00:10.0	I'll just take another one
PERSON 2	
0:00:11.9	[inaudible] not really [inaudible]
PERSON 1	[
	Third recording 43:54
0:00:00.6	So we can rub this out?
PERSON 1	
0:00:01.2	I have to start recording
PERSON 2	
0:00:04.4	Ok so, is this [inaudible] can we put this one away.
PERSON 1	
0:00:07.7	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:00:07.9	I think this one is complete so. So yeah, context? I guess?
PERSON 1	
0:00:22.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:00:24.2	So, my interpretation of context during the software architecture
PERSON 1	course is, like I said, how does it relate to other things, same domain,
	so whether it be users or other systems. But since it's such a simple
	system you're not really gonna have a lot of relations to other
	entities. So we have the-
0:00:51.9	You just, mean that you can make some design decisions here that
PERSON 2	aren't actually mentioned but are, operating systems, [inaudible], that
	sort of thing but, Windows, Java.
0:01:11.4	It said something like, you can assume that externals have a
PERSON 1	[inaudible] package for all the chances and random generators
0:01:19.9	Well there's not really a lot of statistics involved, there's just a
PERSON 2	random number generator.
0:01:25.1	But also, like, the effort speed with the current scheme or how well
PERSON 1	the throughput is, I don't know, there's some mod involved at least.
	You could assume, external
0:01:42.2	Well actually, you mentioned there something. The speed at which
PERSON 2	cars move.
0:01:48.0	Yeah?
PERSON 1	
0:01:49.0	It's not really mutual.
PERSON 2	

0:01:53.4 Well, if there's a- PERSON 1  0:01:55.4 Well, that's something you might want to play around with. PERSON 2  0:01:57.3 Yeah, but I mean the- I was meaning the average speed, a car either stands still or drives a maximum speed. But you can still calculate the effort by taking, let's say, the distance traveled versus the time.  0:02:13.4 Yeah	
0:01:55.4 PERSON 2  0:01:57.3 Yeah, but I mean the- I was meaning the average speed, a car either stands still or drives a maximum speed. But you can still calculate the effort by taking, let's say, the distance traveled versus the time.	
PERSON 2  0:01:57.3 Yeah, but I mean the- I was meaning the average speed, a car either stands still or drives a maximum speed. But you can still calculate the effort by taking, let's say, the distance traveled versus the time.	
O:01:57.3 Yeah, but I mean the- I was meaning the average speed, a car either stands still or drives a maximum speed. But you can still calculate the effort by taking, let's say, the distance traveled versus the time.	
PERSON 1 stands still or drives a maximum speed. But you can still calculate the effort by taking, let's say, the distance traveled versus the time.	
effort by taking, let's say, the distance traveled versus the time.	
	he
0.02.13.4   1 call	
PERSON 2	
0:02:13.8 So I was thinking like that, but true you could change the speed. Bu	t
PERSON 1 the point is that they want to simulate traffic flows based on basical only traffic timing.	
0:02:25.7 Yeah, so they're all travelling as fast as they can.	
PERSON 2	
0:02:28.7 So, to make the experiment easier you take out all the other variable	es,
PERSON 1 you put them on a constant and you only change one variable.	
0:02:37.0 Yeah. Yeah ok. So, simplicity, for the sake of simplicity you say- it	
PERSON 2   doesn't really design [inaudible]	
0:03:19.8 Well, it designs architecture. The idea is that, of the assignment as I	
PERSON 1   read it, is that they design the architecture and they then should be	
able to build it but for us the end-result is not building but just, this	
step. But they should be able to	
0:03:38.0 Yeah, nah, that's fine	
PERSON 2	
0:03:46.1 So this is basically all external-	
PERSON 1	
0:03:50.2 Yeah, unless the person who actually uses it to study traffic-	
PERSON 2	
0:03:57.0   Well, that's also-	
PERSON 1	
0:03:58.0 Also students, yeah	
PERSON 2	
0:04:05.3 So, build, design, use	
PERSON 1	
0:04:08.6 Design, do	
PERSON 2	
0:04:09.6 Uh yeah. So yeah, is this, in my opinion what a context view usually	y
PERSON 1 looks like.	
T Establish Tooling Times	t

PERSON 2	in the- with [inaudible] windows and arrows. I mean-
0:04:30.8	Maybe if you're done with this [name] was also thinking about
Instructor	adding an information viewpoint. Maybe that's good for the technical
	side of the design to go to.
0:04:43.5	It is more technical yeah
PERSON 1	
0:04:47.8	Should be maybe-
Instructor	
0:04:48.2	Just this figure
PERSON 1	
0:04:48.5	Add an information viewpoint because you still have half an hour left
Instructor	
0:04:53.0	Well, shall I take another picture of this one then
PERSON 2	
0:04:54.9	[inaudible]
PERSON 1	
0:04:56.5	Maybe yours doesn't, stop recording
PERSON 2	
0:04:58.6	Yeah, doesn't. At least, it didn't look like it.[inaudible]
PERSON 1	
0:05:18.5	So in terms of information
PERSON 2	
0:05:24.7	Here, shall I show you the information viewpoint
Instructor	
0:05:28.4	Well, I know what it is but-
PERSON 2	
0:05:30.2	Describes the way the system stores, manipulates, manages and
Instructor	distributes information. Information structure and content. [inaudible]
	a bit more of a technical I think
0:05:41.8	Yeah we can, you wanna try, try doing, or you could try an ER
PERSON 2	diagram first, it's still kind of technical, and then a business process-
0:05:56.1	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:05:58.5	Model, that's, kind of what I would do with a-
PERSON 2	
0:06:01.9	Yeah, I will also do stuff, like, the map is basically a graph
PERSON 1	
0:06:06.7	Yeah
PERSON 2	

0:06:07.3 PERSON 1	Stuff like that, so, draw, like a, that's what we're doing with the capita selecta as well. We're trying to define what are nodes in the
	graph, what sort of properties do they have, what should at least be
	stored, how do they relate to each other. So, how do they
	communicate. [inaudible] which are basically roads but they also
	have a length which you should take into account.
0:06:32.1	Shall we start with the ER diagram as well
PERSON 2	
0:06:34.3	Yeah sure. Can we do this?
PERSON 1	
0:06:36.9	I don't think so right
PERSON 2	
0:06:37.6	Yeah [inaudible]
PERSON 1	
0:06:43.0	So we'll just start off with a map
PERSON 2	
0:06:48.4	Yeah. Which contains
PERSON 1	
0:06:53.8	A map has-
PERSON 2	
0:06:53.8	Intersections
PERSON 1	
0:06:57.0	I don't remember the different kind of arrows
PERSON 2	
0:06:59.7	Did you look at the picture that you made?
Instructor	
0:07:02.4	Nah, it's more like the, whether we should use this arrow or we
PERSON 1	should use this arrow
0:07:08.9	Oh ok
Instructor	
0:07:12.5	But so, a map
PERSON 2	
0:07:16.6	That's more important, contains
PERSON 1	
0:07:18.0	Contains. Eh, should be at least six right?
PERSON 2	
0:07:24.5	Yeah, so six, six to N?
PERSON 1	
0:07:30.1	Six to N

PERSON 2	
0:07:30.4	One map
PERSON 1	1
0:07:31.0	And only one
PERSON 2	
0:07:37.5	So
PERSON 1	
0:07:37.9	The intersection had traffic lights
PERSON 2	
0:07:41.5	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:07:43.3	So, shall I say contains again or have
PERSON 2	
0:07:49.6	Four, always four
PERSON 1	
0:07:50.9	Or, no not four. They each have the same traffic lights right?
PERSON 2	
0:07:53.8	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:07:54.8	Well, not four
PERSON 2	
0:07:54.9	Oh no but, you can, oh yeah so there are twelve, cause you can go-
PERSON 1	
0:07:58.8	Fifty-three, yeah
PERSON 2	
0:07:59.9	Left, straight and right
PERSON 1	
0:08:01.3	So, each intersection contains twelve and [inaudible] intersection.
PERSON 2	Traffic light. Then sensors, are not necessarily there
0:08:27.3	Nope
PERSON 1	
0:08:28.8	So, always right contains a few but, sensor
PERSON 2	
0:08:37.3	Well, the point is the sensor belongs to a point on the roads, and it
PERSON 1	has a relation to an intersection because it's related to a traffic light.
	But is it really related to the- or not, I mean, do-
0:08:53.7	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:08:54.1	We're making the assumption now

PERSON 1	
0:08:55.3	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:08:55.7	That a sensor works for all three lights if you drive in that direction
PERSON 1	but a sensor can also just work for-
0:09:03.3	I agree
PERSON 2	
0:09:03.6	One intersection
PERSON 1	
0:09:03.6	One sensor applies to a traffic light alright?
PERSON 2	
0:09:07.6	Yeah. To at least one. One, two, three
PERSON 1	
0:09:11.6	Well perhaps all three, yeah
PERSON 2	
0:09:13.2	Well yeah, that's an assumption we now make. Yeah.
PERSON 1	
0:09:19.6	So a sensor belongs to. [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:09:28.2	Just to mix it up
PERSON 1	
0:09:29.0	Yeah. The sensor belongs to-
PERSON 2	
0:09:31.6	Three traffic lights is what you were saying
PERSON 1	
0:09:34.0	Wait a second, one, two, three
PERSON 2	
0:09:34.0	Yeah, that's what I was suggesting.
PERSON 1	
0:09:38.1	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:09:38.7	Because, I mean-
PERSON 1	
0:09:40.3	I'd have one traffic light going to the right, or it's all three
PERSON 2	
0:09:45.2	I mean if there are three lanes then we can have a sensor for each
PERSON 1	traffic light, because this one is going to the left, this one goes
	straight and this one goes to the right. But, this is visualization and
	assumes multiple lanes

0:09:58.3 PERSON 2	Also, if, this might be split into another two lanes and there would maybe be two traffic lights but that does, we don't really care about
	that
0:10:05.1	Nah but-
PERSON 1	
0:10:06.3	I'd see that as one factor
PERSON 2	
0:10:08.0	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:10:08.9	So-
PERSON 2	
0:10:11.8	Well and another thing is, I mean, it's an assumption we're making
PERSON 1	right now, because if we have a road, it going in that direction,
	[inaudible], if you go here there can still be three traffic lights. One
	for-
0:10:29.4	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:10:30.1	And this also influences how we are going to simulate stuff.
PERSON 1	X7 1 X7 1 1 1 1 0° 1' 1 1
0:10:34.3	Yeah. Yeah, so, lanes and traffic lights are not mapped one to one.
PERSON 2	X 1 11 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0:10:41.2	Yeah well, we have to make a decision about how we are going to do
PERSON 1	this. Are students gonna be able, are we as users gonna be able to
	define whether lanes and which traffic lights maps to which lanes and stuff, or are we just gonna assume that it's- I mean this means that if
	the car wants to go straight, but this light goes green, [inaudible], this
	car wants to
0:11:11.1	Right. Write down
PERSON 2	
0:11:12.4	[inaudible] simulate stuff
PERSON 1	
0:11:13.9	That we're doing a, assumption then
PERSON 2	
0:11:18.4	Yeah. Assumption, which is basically a problem, I guess. The
PERSON 1	assumption we're making is a problem
0:11:29.6	Mhm. So, what is your answer to the assumption.
PERSON 2	
0:11:40.9	Solution? To the problem-
PERSON 1	

0:11:42.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	1 Cuii
0:11:42.4	Of this assumption. Well, it's a simulation so it, and it doesn't have
PERSON 1	to be perfect, so we could say that if this light turns, this car goes.
LICOTVI	Either, if there is car in there. But as soon as a car is inline, we can
	say if the light goes it can go. But, that's not really true, because this
	sort of, I don't know, creates traffic problems. If there's too little
	throughput for the cars then there's actually, that is gonna hinder the
	traffic flow-
0:12:20.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:12:20.2	And that is what we want to simulate. So, the stupid solution is not a
PERSON 1	good one, I would say. I would say that, when you're designing
	roads, so this is actually gonna be the first road
0:12:31.8	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:12:32.2	You actually have to define how much lanes does, on a certain road
PERSON 1	
0:12:36.0	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:12:38.0	And, actually. So then the next question is, well we could always say,
PERSON 1	there's always three lanes, basically. That's a solution, always one
	traffic light for each lane and that's easier implementation then
	[inaudible]
0:12:38.0	[inaudible] yeah [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:12:57.6	And it also means that if the straight ahead is full, that the left and
PERSON 1	right still can drive and this one just checks that I go to the other side.
0:13:07.8	Yeah. And that does make it a lot simpler, so-
PERSON 2	
0:13:10.5	This makes it a lot simpler than letting students define their own
PERSON 1	lanes. So I would say we got to this one-
0:13:16.3	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:13:16.5	And then there's always a one to one between sensor and the traffic
PERSON 1	light, so. And this is [inaudible] okay
0:13:28.7	Okay
PERSON 2	
0:13:29.3	So we're done taking about this assumption I guess

PERSON 1	
0:13:32.6	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:13:39.7	And I can wipe out this
PERSON 1	
0:13:45.3	Anything else that should be in the map [inaudible] map or
PERSON 2	something else
0:13:50.6	Roads.
PERSON 1	
0:13:53.2	Roads
PERSON 2	
0:13:54.4	But roads relate to traffic lights and sensors, and intersections
PERSON 1	basically. It's the interconnectivity
0:14:03.5	So each road has a traffic light, do you mean like that?
PERSON 2	
0:14:09.0	Well, each road has three lanes and that lane has a traffic light, how
PERSON 1	are we gonna define lanes as a separate element in, it's
0:14:19.0	[inaudible] want to edit lane [inaudible] entity
PERSON 2	
0:14:26.9	Well car has to pick a direction and you have to, you can make a road
PERSON 1	or a lane. So, there's actually a lane in-between
0:14:35.5	Is this a lane?
PERSON 2	
0:14:37.0	Yeah. Well, at least we know what it is, that's what matters
PERSON 1	
0:14:41.6	So, a road has always three lanes?
PERSON 2	
0:14:44.9	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:14:47.0	That's a road going in one direction right?
PERSON 2	
0:14:48.6	Yeah, so six lanes towards
PERSON 1	
0:14:50.7	Yeah. And each lane is part of one. Each lane has one traffic light
PERSON 2	and each traffic light has -
0:15:04.6	And yeah. So, how do we want to relate these two here. I mean,
PERSON 1	we're basically defining relations now
0:15:04.6	Eight roads per intersection? How's that
PERSON 2	

r	
0:15:23.2	Eight roads, ok, sure
PERSON 1	Leat heale and feathers also
0:15:25.6 PERSON 2	Just, back and forth yeah?
	Vool
0:15:28.8	Yeah
PERSON 1	Compintary stime has sight a good
0:15:31.3	So an intersection has eight, a road-
PERSON 2 0:15:36.3	Her one her two intersections because we define a med of three
	Has one, no has two intersections because we define a road as three
PERSON 1	lanes. So it has a, it starts and it ends
0:15:45.4	Yeah exactly
PERSON 2	This are fineralible.
0:15:46.2	This one [inaudible]
PERSON 1	Well unless it's an edge
0:15:47.4	Well, unless it's an edge.
PERSON 2	True that is described that it has at least one So year Oly So a
0:15:51.7 PERSON 1	True that is doesn't have, that it has at least one. So yeah. Ok. So a
PERSON I	map has, there were six intersections, at least, but yeah you don't
	know how to define what's the least, whatever. You can calculate what the least amount is but, I mean, six intersections is kinda
0:16:28.1	Yeah
PERSON 2	1 can
0:16:28.9	Can be, like, really stupid. If you want to make six intersections out
PERSON 1	of straight lines and then you have a different amount of them, if you
LIGOTVI	make a [inaudible] grid.
0:16:39.1	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:16:40.3	So, I'm not really into-
PERSON 1	
0:16:41.4	I don't really care about how many that is
PERSON 2	
0:16:43.9	[laugh] so that's why it's question mark [inaudible]
PERSON 1	
0:16:51.4	[inaudible] we've got to move unless we've got cars. So a map has a
PERSON 2	certain amount of cars, a car is on a particular lane
0:17:11.8	It's always on a lane
PERSON 1	
0:17:14.2	Yeah so
PERSON 2	

0:17:15.6	Well, it can also be an intersection but-
PERSON 1	The same was a second and a second a se
0:17:19.0	Yeah but we only need to simulate, that's real driving [inaudible] so
PERSON 2	a map has N cars-
0:17:29.4	A map, that's not-
PERSON 1	
0:17:31.3	Oh wait. One. And a car has one lane, is on one lane. And the lane
PERSON 2	[inaudible]
0:17:31.3	Yeah. Well, now we have another thing. Scheme, [inaudible] scheme
PERSON 1	or traffic light scheme it's, we tied it to an intersection in the
	functional structure
0:17:59.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:18:00.3	So um-
PERSON 1	
0:18:01.5	Each intersection has
PERSON 2	
0:18:06.2	One on one
PERSON 1	
0:18:07.0	One scheme
PERSON 2	
0:18:08.6	And the scheme needs to know about sensors. I can assume, well this
PERSON 1	relation sort of implies enough. So yeah, I mean, like this relation
	there's like. It's starting to become a [inaudible], we're trying to
	define every relation.
0:18:28.8	Yeah. Sensor has [inaudible] a scheme [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:18:43.5	That's because this is a one on one, this is one on twelve, that's also
PERSON 1	one as well.
0:18:50.3	And [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:19:07.9	What else do we have. Eight roads, should we define like a source
PERSON 1	and a sink? Like source and a sink can be a type of intersection, like
0.10.25.=	a, or the edge. You know what I mean with source and sink?
0:19:25.7	Oh yeah. You just do an edge node, that's something you have, and
PERSON 2	that can be. What is it
0:19:34.7	Yeah, well, it's automatically valid depending on which way the cars
PERSON 1	run but-
0:19:43.3	It is always both, yeah so-

PERSON 2	
0:19:45.3	So, we, it's always a two-way road and-
PERSON 1	so, we, it s aiways a two way road and
0:19:48.6	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:19:50.2	[inaudible] it's just basically, an edge zone is
PERSON 1	[madaiore] it s just ouslearly, air eage zone is
0:19:53.7	Is a source slash sink
PERSON 2	is a source stasm state
0:19:56.2	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:19:56.8	So, well a map has at least-
PERSON 2	
0:20:03.6	Yeah and it's the same as this
PERSON 1	
0:20:04.4	I don't know, is something a magical number
PERSON 2	
0:20:06.9	Yeah [laugh]
PERSON 1	
0:20:10.2	Yeah?
PERSON 2	
0:20:13.8	So yeah, this is basically the complete information scheme. A quick
PERSON 1	picture. So now I think we should be starting to wipe out certain lines
	because
0:20:26.6	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:20:28.0	Some stuff is difficult
PERSON 1	
0:20:31.7	Yeah [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:20:33.9	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:20:40.4	What do you want to move to next
PERSON 2	
0:20:42.5	Well, the fact, down there, with schemes and traffic lights and
PERSON 1	sensors and intersections and stuff would, I mean, what do we,
	what's the model now. Do we want to model how you would
	implement it? Or, I mean, this is

0.20.50.0	I. C
0:20:58.0	Information flows I would say [inaudible] or something. I mean it's a
PERSON 2	pretty clear from the functional architecture [inaudible]
0:21:11.5	Yeah
PERSON 1	And the man flows from [inexadible] to the other without a technical
0:21:11.6	And the map flows from [inaudible] to the other without a technical
PERSON 2	architecture it's kind of meaningless
0:21:11.6	Yeah. I mean all these contains, I mean, basically this is domain data
PERSON 1	type which contains a lot of smart entities. This is a simulation only
	thing, and this is all editor work. Even, this one is also simulation. So
0.21.40.6	these two, basically simulation
0:21:40.6	Well we can do some allocation to functional [inaudible] is all we
PERSON 2	can say and this has to do with the influx-
0:21:52.6	Mhm
PERSON 1	
0:21:52.9	Editor. [inaudible] this has to do with the runner
PERSON 2	
0:22:04.0	Yeah and then the big square behind
PERSON 1	
0:22:07.4	And the rest is map, no-
PERSON 2	
0:22:15.1	We actually had a separate scheme edit something
PERSON 1	
0:22:17.2	Oh yeah
PERSON 2	
0:22:19.0	Right and separate storage thing, but that's not in here. Because
PERSON 1	basically the entire thing goes into the storage.
0:22:31.6	Right so, this is one map editor
PERSON 2	
0:22:36.4	Yeah [inaudible]
PERSON 1	
0:22:40.0	Hm?
PERSON 2	
0:22:40.5	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:22:42.2	This must be [inaudible]. What do we call it? Scheme editor?
PERSON 2	
0:22:46.7	Scheme, scheme editor or something like that. That's intentional?
PERSON 1	
0:22:57.5	Yeah, couldn't remember if it was with an E or an A. yeah alright

PERSON 2	
0:23:07.1	So this is a nice allocation [inaudible] yeah. Yeah, so, yeah this is a
PERSON 1	nice allocation to the functional architecture I guess. By which
	information contains, contain or [inaudible]
0:23:51.8	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:23:52.7	Well, the entire thing is used in the real [inaudible] of course as well.
PERSON 1	But is this unique data to the runner, does this-
0:23:59.5	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:23:59.9	An option you need for the influx editor [inaudible] I mean
PERSON 1	
0:24:06.1	Yeah. Yeah two things use each other and then maybe allocation
PERSON 2	goes to both.
0:24:13.6	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:24:13.8	And that's always a bit of a concern but doesn't really matter.
PERSON 2	Anything else, what do, want to go through process next, maybe?
	There's very little process to talk about.
0:24:27.8	Yeah well, the thing I was concerning about, the functional we drew
PERSON 1	before is we could also have done a FAM scenario. Like the-
0:24:36.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:24:38.0	[inaudible] like if a user now wants to add a traffic thing then he can,
PERSON 1	like that but still there's not too many arrows because it's a such a
	simple system that you're gonna have like, three of four arrows.
	Yeah. So do we want to do that or do, what kind of process did you
	have in mind?
0:24:58.0	Yeah well, without a technical architecture you can't really talk about
PERSON 2	[inaudible] or anything like that so, what kind of data types, I mean,
	[inaudible]
0:25:09.2	Mhm
PERSON 1	
0:25:10.2	So, yeah if we want to do one process maybe. Just the stuff we need
PERSON 2	so it won't [inaudible] immediately clear usually in the functional
	architecture. From map editor, the map flows to-
0:25:25.7	There the names are so self-explanatory that you don't really have to
PERSON 1	draw a scenario in there. So, well, the information we defined now,
	which is nice.

0:25:37.7 PERSON 2	So you want to go deeper into the runner then, what happens in there.
0:25:40.9	Yeah
PERSON 1	1 Call
0:25:41.7	[inaudible] into it, but take them apart from graphical representation
PERSON 2	[maddiole] into it, but take them apart from grapmear representation
0:25:48.8	Yeah, yeah true, the runner. So what kind of processes go on in the
PERSON 1	runner, what kind of modules are there.
0:25:57.0	That's everything in the runner
PERSON 2	
0:26:05.5	So basically what has to happen is, it has to load a map
PERSON 1	
0:26:19.8	So-
PERSON 2	
0:26:22.4	I'm just gonna apply [inaudible] to it then [inaudible] road map,
PERSON 1	which contains all the schema's and the real thing. It has to be able
	to, the influx is that stored in the map? It's a question about
	information we store. I mean when is it stored, every time we run a
	simulation? Do we have to, it resets to a standardized random
	distribution blablabla. Because currently, in the current information
	thing we had, we didn't store the influx, we had this node, do we
	store the influx in there? Like this one produces this map blablabla? I
	mean-
0:27:16.6	Kinda, I think, yeah. Want it to be random but [inaudible] that's
PERSON 2	stored in the influx.
0:27:27.7	Yeah yeah but we can define the influx as an expert, wait a second-
PERSON 1	
0:27:37.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:27:37.8	It's not like I-
PERSON 1	r. 1:1.1.7
0:27:38.5	[inaudible]
PERSON 2	A 1.0 (11.1
0:27:39.1	And then, you still have the runner in this and you can still sort of tell
PERSON 1	that [inaudible] and if you want a certain amount [inaudible]
0:27:53.7 PERSON 2	Yeah
	Wall the point is currently we have the with the influe and the
0:27:55.3 DEDSON 1	Well the point is currently we have the- with the influx and the
PERSON 1	runner split, but this part is actually editor because, I mean, it's really

	something we store in a map persee, so-
0:28:22.3	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:28:22.3	if we put it in here then we also have to do this, see.
PERSON 1	
0:28:28.9	Yeah but, I mean, you didn't store it in the map itself, but it's
PERSON 2	something you might want to play around with while, asking you to find a map, you might want to play around with different approaches
0:28:38.8	True
PERSON 1	True
0:28:39.5	So you see when your map breaks down, how much traffic it would
PERSON 2	take. So, that's kind of why we had it in separate parts in the functional architecture.
0:28:48.1	Ok sure.
PERSON 1	
0:28:49.5	So I want to say it's part of the map.
PERSON 2	
0:28:53.0	Well-
PERSON 1	
0:28:53.8	[inaudible] some way but it-
PERSON 2	
0:28:56.1	Well, it's stored in the map right? If we want to store it. Because it's
PERSON 1	dependent on the edge node, and the edge node-
0:29:02.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:29:03.2	Are stored in the map so, so if you want to, you actually have to do
PERSON 1	this. So then, also we have set influx, is something that [inaudible] in here.
0:29:18.3	So, this is functional right?
PERSON 2	
0:29:22.9	Whatever we want
PERSON 1	
0:29:25.2	So, we got an influx [inaudible]
PERSON 2	
0:29:30.3	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:29:32.2	We've got some map loading and saving thing, map storage. Right
PERSON 2	
0:29:48.9	Yeah

DEDCOM 1	
PERSON 1	
0:29:52.8	[inaudible] so, we we're think of- might using a game engine to do
PERSON 2	the running
0:30:05.1	Yeah, so there's like some loop that it gets repeat
PERSON 1	
0:30:10.2	So we could call that, like, a big chunk of this. It's really game
PERSON 2	engine, and yeah you would take this of some open source thing but-
0:30:22.0	And then in there is the game loop I guess, and then we can write
PERSON 1	down some steps of that happening again, in a sort of combined-
0:30:29.3	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:30:29.4	Functional architecture process
PERSON 1	
0:30:31.3	Because the car has to go from intersection to intersection, sort of
PERSON 2	update the traffic
0:30:36.8	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:30:37.5	Each lane.
PERSON 2	
0:30:40.3	Problem. Which intersection happens first. I mean, the stuff has to
PERSON 1	move to open up a road.
0:30:47.9	Very good point
PERSON 2	
0:30:52.5	Once you [inaudible] it's actually kind of a big problem. So order of
PERSON 1	execution-
0:31:03.3	It's a trade-off I feel.
PERSON 2	
0:31:06.3	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:31:07.6	So which, which intersection goes first
PERSON 2	
0:31:14.9	We can do it stupid like, they have numbers from left to right and
PERSON 1	then it's a grid and you can always like give the numbers like that
	and then start with number one, or start with number-
0:31:25.0	Well it's one timer right? So at some point, one of them-
PERSON 2	5 <b>F</b> ,
0:31:31.0	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:31:31.7	But it is set off [inaudible] what is the gain time when you start the
0.51.51.7	Dat it is set off [maddiste] what is the gain time when you start the

PERSON 2	simulation. They all have different timers but what are they set to when you start. I don't want to wait a minute, or two minutes, yeah, but what time is it now.
0:31:47.9	Yeah yeah but my problem is if those- that's no problem, that's just
PERSON 1	ticks because you can translate the timing, someone says one minute
	and I say ok, so there's, I don't know, ten ticks a second, so then they
	have-
0:32:01.5	Yeah yeah. No no, I don't mean keep track of it, just, to start the
PERSON 2	simulation every other times everywhere, but all the time is- have
	been counting down for a set amount of time already
0:32:17.2	Mhm
PERSON 1	
0:32:18.3	So there's a sort of relative timer from one intersection to the other.
PERSON 2	
0:32:23.2	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:32:25.3	Yeah. Otherwise you'll never get a green wave you know.
PERSON 2	
0:32:28.7	Yeah yeah but that's up to the students to develop with their traffic
PERSON 1	system.
0:32:35.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:32:36.7	I mean they can put in sensors with exact tier, that if you draw a, this-
PERSON 1	
0:32:40.9	Yeah yeah, you can make an assumption that all timers are- they are
PERSON 2	waiting for different amounts of time but they're all set to zero and
	the simulation starts-
0:32:53.5	Yeah well-
PERSON 1	
0:32:54.0	Cause there's really only about the relative differences, not about the
PERSON 2	absolute time
0:32:59.2	Well the, if people want I can see that in the timing scheme they can
PERSON 1	just add a starting tier [inaudible] thingy, that's just one [inaudible]
	because it's only starting value saved. The traffic lights usually waits
	two minutes and you want it to already start at one. Let's say one
	minute down, then only at the start it waits one minute and then it
	goes green and waits two minutes-
0:33:21.6	Yeah [inaudible]
PERSON 2	

0:33:23.0 PERSON 1	That's not the problem, the problem I saw is, you have themintersection, and let's say we can define traffic lights for all directions. But this one, you define them all but in the same [inaudible] this one and this one and this one all can drive, which car drives first. Because the one that wants to turn left here for instance, needs that this lane is empty. But, I mean, they can
	drive here but what happens first. This one wants to take a turn and it can't because it's not ready here, but it's actually the same moment.
0:34:03.5	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:34:03.7 PERSON 1	So, what happens first. And we can do it stupid, let's say, drivers aren't perfect so this one is slow to react, reaction, that's why he can't take a turn and so it just, like I said this one is one, this one is number two as well, this one is number three, this one is number four, this is number five, we just go down, like, we handle each intersection in order, and if that happens. So you make this the worst and that's too bad, it's a simulation it's not real life. So how do I handle this. This one, this lane has to drive before this one can make a turn, so basically what now happens is, this one drives and the next tick this one can actually move.
0:34:48.4	Yeah. Yeah.
PERSON 2	Tean. Tean.
0:34:53.0	I think that's the best solution, you just go for the stupid approach
PERSON 1	because it remains a simulation.
0:34:58.6	Well, the alternative, with counts you just mark them away.
PERSON 2	
0:35:02.5	Fancy algorithms that, let's say-
PERSON 1	
0:35:08.0	Well if you haven't, yeah, yeah, only if you've got a kind of
PERSON 2	intelligent traffic system that knows through all the sensors how
	much traffic there is anywhere.
0:35:16.0	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:35:16.7	But that's too fancy?
PERSON 2	Valuation described to the state of the stat
0:35:17.6	Yeah well it needs at least three iterations because you basically have
PERSON 1	to move
0:35:21.9	Yeah
PERSON 2	

0:35:22.8	And then have to check whether some space has come free and you
PERSON 1	can move again
0:35:28.3	That's a-
PERSON 2	
0:35:28.9	It's a few iterations until you converge on the solution for that tick.
PERSON 1	Which is so much calculations that it's not worth a trade-off
0:35:38.9	There is something you could do, but I think it's too fancy for a
PERSON 2	simulator. I think the sensors are purely to set off timers, and not to
	do intelligent traffic
0:35:51.3	Yeah so the trade-off is non-realistic versus performance and
PERSON 1	complexity
0:35:57.2	Yeah, this is the same case as before?
PERSON 2	
0:35:59.9	Yeah trade-off usually are, between complexity and-
PERSON 1	
0:36:04.8	So-
PERSON 2	
0:36:05.0	[inaudible] ok, so yeah.
PERSON 1	
0:36:12.6	Alright. So we got a loop that just goes through the intersection one
PERSON 2	by one, stupid thing.
0:36:24.5	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:36:27.8	But if we [inaudible] intersection up, these timers are going off, well
PERSON 2	I suppose they're going right now. It just goes in a sort of fixed order
0:36:44.8	Update [inaudible] with cars
PERSON 1	
0:37:06.5	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:37:07.3	So this is basically something that we're gonna repeat for every
PERSON 1	intersection. Then we also have the sensors. They have to-
0:37:16.7	Keep track of the timer.
PERSON 2	
0:37:18.0	Well no, the timings is step one and then we go through all the cars
PERSON 1	and then we look- we don't look at an intersection where [inaudible]
	we get the car cause it's standing still in front of a green light so it
	can move. Alright. Cause this basically makes the light green.
0:37:38.2	Yeah
PERSON 2	

0:37:38.9	Because we also want to move cars in the lanes so you want to look
PERSON 1	through the cars anyway.
0:37:43.7	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:37:52.3	I think it's about time
Instructor	
0:37:55.3	Ok
PERSON 2	
0:37:55.7	Five more minutes and then we round up for documentation.
Instructor	
0:38:01.8	Go to course in order again? In order of the generation they all have a
PERSON 1	number, I guess, an ID and-
0:38:11.8	Well you could go through the same order as you do the timers
PERSON 2	themselves and then go in order of them standing in front of that
	traffic light. That makes more sense, otherwise the car in front of him
	might prevent him from going.
0:38:23.9	Yeah that's true. Ok so, but that means we have to handle driving
PERSON 1	cars on a lane. Different than standing still cars.
0:38:36.8	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:38:37.8	Yeah well, that's no problem but [inaudible] cars and [inaudible]
PERSON 1	arrow [inaudible] cars.
0:38:48.7	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:38:49.9	Basically. And this one is then, afterwards, we go through the
PERSON 1	[inaudible] order and move them, unless they just move. Or even if
	they just move. Because otherwise they might block. The lanes
	they're driving on.
0:39:09.2	Yeah. Yeah [inaudible] I mean, unless of course that- not allow a grid
PERSON 2	lock. But I think that kind of is what we're meant to do so- you then
	have to go and check if there's a gridlock or something with cars are,
	if there's too many cars somewhere and they are blocking an
	intersection.
0:39:39.5	Well basically you would want to check it, is there a car where I want
PERSON 1	to move, yes, well then I can't go there. And-
0:39:46.1	Yeah ok. Now we want to see that nobody [inaudible] a grid lock,
PERSON 2	that's an assumption.
0:39:50.5	Yeah yeah, but-
PERSON 1	

0:39:53.0	I mean, it depends
PERSON 2	
0:39:55.6	You really mean that if we have an intersection that, I mean, if this
PERSON 1	one is full, this one is full enough, three trucks, and that car wants to
	drive straight that it actually goes and stands here.
0:40:09.1	Yeah that's what a gridlock is, because then these guys can't go
PERSON 2	
0:40:11.8	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:40:12.5	So-
PERSON 2	
0:40:12.7	But, but-
PERSON 1	
0:40:14.3	It depends on the system whether you get that or not. Like here you
PERSON 2	don't see that so much, but in Manhattan that's the problem. Well-
0:40:20.9	So- for busses it is, they always stop on the bus lanes. When I was
PERSON 1	still living-
0:40:26.2	Oh yeah
PERSON 2	
0:40:29.5	Or on tram lanes
PERSON 1	
0:40:32.0	Still we-
PERSON 2	
0:40:32.1	Yeah. I think this, this assumes that there's actually moving onto the
PERSON 1	intersection, we defined in the information view that a car is on a
	lane. And not on an intersection. And this means-
0:40:43.8	Oh yeah
PERSON 2	
0:40:44.0	That it's actually on an intersection.
PERSON 1	
0:40:47.6	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:40:47.6	And it's blocking the entire intersection, I guess. Because- and it's
PERSON 1	really hard because to be honest we, I mean this brings a whole new
	set of problems with it if you want to do that
0:41:04.2	Oh yeah that's a good point
PERSON 2	
0:41:05.2	So, my assumption was that if this is full that he can't drive away
PERSON 1	from here because it's not allowed to stand on an intersection, which

	is actually the law. And-
0:41:17.5	Fair enough
PERSON 2	Tun chough
0:41:18.7	That was my assumption
PERSON 1	
0:41:21.5	We assume you know, good citizens.
PERSON 2	
0:41:25.7	Yeah. So yeah
PERSON 1	
0:41:29.3	I think it's a trade-off between complexity-
PERSON 2	
0:41:34.0	Complexity and realism and actual-
PERSON 1	
0:41:39.1	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:41:39.6	So yeah, go to the intersection, the timings with waiting cars go to
PERSON 1	the cars in order, car if on sensor spot timer, if it hasn't started yet. So
	yeah, I guess that was the game loop-
0:42:20.3	Mhm
PERSON 2	
0:42:21.3	Which keeps repeating. Oh and somewhere we have to add new cars,
PERSON 1	calculate the influx
0:42:29.1	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:42:30.2	Do we do that at the end? Or at the start, so do we want those cars to
PERSON 1	even move further. I would say at the end, it doesn't really give a
	difference.
0:42:42.6	As I had it at the beginning and end of the road. So it doesn't really
PERSON 2	matter.
0:42:49.7	Generate new cars
PERSON 1	
0:42:52.5	And remove all old ones
PERSON 2	
0:42:54.1	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:43:03.6	Yeah
PERSON 2	
0:43:04.3	So this is basically the process the game engine keeps going through.
PERSON 1	Yeah, until someone presses stop I guess.

0:43:17.5	Yeah. Are we gonna go into the interface of running the simulation.
PERSON 2	
0:43:23.1	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:43:24.5	Yeah, but you guys are already doing [inaudible]
Instructor	
0:43:29.0	Ok, I guess this is-
PERSON 1	
0:43:29.7	You still have the documentation to do
Instructor	
0:43:32.8	Yeah yeah, we'll take the- or do we also need to record the
PERSON 1	documentation
0:43:50.9	No, you don't have to do that.
Instructor	