Group 06 Transcript

Control group, student experiment

All participants are male

Third recording has not been transcribed as this concerned the documentation of the model, not the design session

Respondent	Text	Annotation
	Recording 01 8:27	
0:00:01.5	Alright. So the first one's gonna be the functional view,	
PERSON 1	second one is gonna be the information view, third one	
	functional again, and the fourth one information view and	
	the context view we do more high level. General [inaudible]	
	dutch expression	
0:00:24.2	Yeah I guess four can be functional	
PERSON 2		
0:00:27.3	That's the automated [inaudible] from one to another	
PERSON 3	[inaudible] time	
0:00:33.1	Yeah state diagram	
PERSON 1		
0:00:34.2	Yeah essentially, yeah but it's, three for example, this is fairly	
PERSON 3	simple. Compared to [inaudible]	
0:00:42.2	Yeah but it adapts, state diagram. Ok.	
PERSON 2		
0:00:45.1	That's the easiest notation that you're going to use	
PERSON 1		
0:00:48.7	Yeah	
PERSON 2		

0:00:50.1	So this would be the functional state, I guess. At number	
PERSON 3	four this-	
0:01:07.3	Would it be possible to create a busy, seldom-used, any	
PERSON 1	variation of road? How exactly this is declared by the user	
	and depicted by the system is up to you. Broadly, the tool	
	should be easy to use, and [inaudible]	
0:01:27.1	I have an idea, because with all the requirements that we	
PERSON 3	have that they don't expect complete- I mean you cannot be	
	accurate. But in my experience, in my bachelor's I did it in	
	requirements engineering and there's four types of	
	requirements you have which [inaudible]. It's called	
	MoSCoW prioritization	
0:01:46.0	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:01:46.7	Yeah, you know it?	
PERSON 3		
0:01:47.9	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:01:47.6	So, must, should, could and wish. I think if we outline the	
PERSON 3	must and should, those are the first two that we model.	
0:01:58.5	Mhm	
PERSON 1		
0:01:58.1	And the rest is optional. Because, no seriously, because-	
PERSON 3		
0:02:01.8	Yeah I know. An optional is-	
PERSON 1		
0:02:04.2	Is never	_

PERSON 2	
0:02:05.1	It is. It is essentially, that's how you-
PERSON 3	
0:02:07.9	I know. If it's optional
PERSON 2	
0:02:10.9	Yeah, but it's specified like this
PERSON 3	
0:02:12.1	Ok
PERSON 2	
0:02:12.8	I mean
PERSON 3	
0:02:13.5	Yeah
PERSON 1	
0:02:13.8	Must is very different to should
PERSON 3	
0:02:16.7	Yeah. Well, three of them are must and one of them is
PERSON 1	should. Three of the four are must. So, I don't think, in the
	design of this document that they paid attention to the
	words must and should
0:02:32.5	I know but it's-
PERSON 3	
0:02:34.9	Probably what they want us all to do this-
PERSON 1	
0:02:36.4	I know but in the end it's-
PERSON 3	
0:02:37.4	If you're making [inaudible] if you look at the software
PERSON 2	engineer, someone, or programming.

0:02:43.4	Yeah, these are the instructions you're given, if you look at	
PERSON 1	the words	
0:02:47.3	If we make a wrong software architecture, the software is	
PERSON 2	going to get build wrong	
0:02:54.2	No, because these are-	
PERSON 1		
0:02:55.1	We have to reduce this. Follow the exact word they give	
PERSON 2		
0:03:14.1	You should design the basic appearance of the program. As	
PERSON 1	well as the means by which the user creates a map, sets	
	traffic timing schemes, and views traffic simulations.	
0:03:41.5	You also should not be allowed but- all intersections will be	
PERSON 2	four way. There are no T intersections nor one-ways ok.	
	Must be able to design each intersection- who wrote this.	
0:05:00.4	Maybe once we have all the requirements outlined we can	
PERSON 3	kind of move them into logical components and for example,	
	visual display, editor of the map, I don't know, logical	
	sensors and then we can maybe build that into a contextual	
	model. A very high level one. Or maybe not, it might actually	
	be functional. And then-	
0:06:15.4	If you want to design a traffic road simulation program, why	
PERSON 2	are there restrictions to the user interaction, intersections in	
	the software. So these restrictions also have to be made on	
	the real world.	
0:06:34.2	Which intersections?	
PERSON 1		
0:06:37.1	[inaudible] software	

PERSON 2		
0:06:40.4	That's [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:06:41.0	Yeah you did [inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:06:56.8	Probably yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:06:58.5	[inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:07:04.1	You know they have to transcribe this	
PERSON 1		
0:07:08.7	What did I say	
PERSON 2		
0:07:09.5	I don't know	
PERSON 1		
0:07:11.1	[inaudible]	
PERSON 3		
0:07:12.0	What? [inaudible] some weird verb [inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:07:21.2	Now they have two minutes of transcribing. Something in	
PERSON 1	here they have to do with a moderator but not, don't want	
	everyone to know that [inaudible]	
0:07:41.7	This program is not meant to be an exact scientific	
PERSON 3	simulation but aims to simply illustrate the basic effect that	
	traffic signal timing has on traffic. If you wish, you may	
	assume that you will be able to reuse an existing package	
	that provides relevant mathematical functionalities,	

	statistical distributions, random number generators, and	
	queuing theory.	
0:08:26.2	You want to press on pause?	
PERSON 2		
	Second Recording 65:12	
0:00:01.3	Again	
PERSON 1		
0:00:02.1	Maybe [Person 2]	
PERSON 3		
0:00:04.0	Since you're good at this, maybe these parts, like, these are	
PERSON 2	our outcomes but these are like four. One, two, three, four,	
	so, these are like four different processes that can be	
	modelled, I think quite quickly and-	
0:00:27.3	What does creating a map- what does a map need.	
PERSON 1		
0:00:30.2	That's an activity	
PERSON 2		
0:00:33.0	Essentially it's a process	
PERSON 3		
0:00:34.3	This is one process. I know, this should be a process, but we	
PERSON 1	don't have the requirements-	
0:00:40.2	We do	
PERSON 3		
0:00:40.2	Of what is-	
PERSON 1		
0:00:41.2	We do, we do, we do. It's on top here, look, for example,	
PERSON 3	should be able to create a traffic density, that enters the	

	map blablabla. Where is it. The simulator should be able to simulate traffic flows on a map, and essentially this is- if you compare the number desired, outcome number one, with the four requirements that are there, a lot of the stuff relates to each other. Like the restrictions. So that could be a thing that exists with the way that the-	
0:01:11.8	Yeah yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:01:13.7	With the-	
PERSON 3		
0:01:17.3	We start with the context alright?	
PERSON 2		
0:01:18.6	Do we need to record this as well?	
PERSON 3		
0:01:19.9	I'm recording	
PERSON 2		
0:01:21.0	You're recording?	
PERSON 3		
0:01:21.9	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:01:22.0	We need to record?	
PERSON 3		
0:01:23.1	Ah yeah let's try to record as much as possible. But I have	
PERSON 1	not seen anything about its relations to software systems so	
	the context view should be quite basic, general.	
0:01:41.1	Yes	
PERSON 2		

0:01:44.3	Where is my paper, did you take it? Or do you need it?	
PERSON 3		
0:01:46.4	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:01:47.0	Alright, I'll take yours then	
PERSON 3		
0:01:48.0	Just use the context view we already-	
PERSON 1		
0:01:51.5	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:01:51.9	Yeah. That's the same. I don't care but, same context. Yeah	
PERSON 1	isn't it? Yeah	
0:02:01.4	[inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:02:01.8	Of course. The simulation program has to run on something	
PERSON 1	[inaudible]	
0:02:30.6	All ready? [inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:02:40.7	Alright [inaudible] something in this. We've not covered use	
PERSON 3	cases	
0:03:45.0	That's UML	
PERSON 2		
0:03:47.0	But that's the first start I would go from, normally. But-	
PERSON 3	something like this because- what are your opinions? You	
	have information of, like, view model that will be-	
0:04:00.0	[inaudible] model yeah	
PERSON 2		

0:04:00.7	You have to right? Because this is [inaudible]
PERSON 3	
0:05:49.1	What. It's lovely weather outside right
PERSON 1	
0:06:29.0	Mhm very nice weather
PERSON 2	
0:07:08.1	More anybody?
PERSON 1	
0:07:09.0	Yes
PERSON 3	
0:07:10.1	Ok
PERSON 2	
0:09:30.2	Thanks.
PERSON 1	
0:09:34.0	Alright, you started with the context one. Work on that
PERSON 3	together or you gonna work on that on your own?
0:09:40.5	We can start with the context view, I was trying to find out
PERSON 1	the functional view in number one but we can start the
	context view.
0:09:47.8	Just do that one.
PERSON 2	
0:09:48.9	You have a paper on which we can draw?
PERSON 1	
0:09:51.7	I have this one
PERSON 3	
0:09:52.9	Right
PERSON 2	

0:09:54.7	Well, we opened the one-	
PERSON 1		
0:09:56.8	Right, so we start with-	
PERSON 2		
0:10:00.9	Context	
PERSON 1		
0:10:02.4	So-	
PERSON 2		
0:10:04.1	So you would have the traffic simulator as one thing. I guess	[1. actor (AS?)] Traffic simulator
PERSON 1	in the middle.	
0:10:09.0	I'm just gonna start. So that would be the traffic simulator.	
PERSON 3		
0:10:14.3	Yeah. Let me see	
PERSON 2		
0:10:25.3	Also these on this- which was relevant here. Oh yeah, if you	[2. resource (AS1)] Traffic Simulator
PERSON 3	wish you may assume that you would be able to use an	has resource "Queuing theory
	existing software package that provides relevant	library"
	mathematical functionalities such as statistical distributions,	
	random number generators, and queuing theory. So these	
	could be part of the context diagram as in providing	
	additional functionality yeah. So-	
0:10:54.5	Sure	
PERSON 2		
0:10:54.9	I would go with queuing. I don't even know what it is but I'm	
PERSON 3	guessing queuing theory is something relevant. No? I mean,	
	for cars and shit. Yeah, for cars and things.	
0:11:14.9	Yeah I agree	

PERSON 2		
0:11:19.8	Yeah sure, I-	
PERSON 1		
0:11:25.0	I don't know what statistical distributions, why would we	
PERSON 3	need to- traffic simulations	
0:11:32.9	Why would we need statistical distributions or queuing-	
PERSON 2		
0:11:38.8	Mathematical functionality you would, speed and all	
PERSON 1		
0:11:43.3	That's more physics but	
PERSON 3		
0:11:44.3	Yeah but- traffic lights not- we don't have to do anything	
PERSON 2	with the speed	
0:11:50.0	No but you still have to create the road, the density of the	[3. resource (AS1)] Traffic simulator
PERSON 3	cars, the number of cars, their speed, the left turns. So I	has resource "Mathematical
	think mathematical functions here are needed. Just in terms	functionality"
	of the user perspective, for example, there enter three cars,	
	one would be 90 kilometers per hour and the first one would	
	be 5 kilometers per hour.	
0:12:11.1	Let's then create one entity and we call it mathematical	
PERSON 1	functionality	
0:12:15.3	Yeah mathematical	
PERSON 3		
0:12:17.0	Let's not go too much into detail.	
PERSON 1		
0:12:18.2	Yeah yeah, that's all I wanted. Functionality. So we have	
PERSON 3	traffic simulator, queuing theory, mathematical	

	functionality. Alright, did you want to combine queuing	
	theory with mathematical as well.	
0:12:30.8	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:12:32.9	Combine. Basically it's all, ok. Separate functionality. And	[4 actor (AS?)] Student
PERSON 3	there was another, as in high level, I think it fits into the	[5 softgoal (AS4)] Student has
	environment that this is part of their curricula, I think.	softgoal "fulfill curricula"
	They're part of the- some course or something. Their teacher	[6 goal (AS3)] Student has goal "use
	wants to provide	simulator"
0:12:52.0	Civil engineering course	[7 contribution (AS7)] Goal "use
PERSON 2		simulator" contributes to softgoal
0:12:52.8	Yes. So the environment would be-	"fulfill curricula"
PERSON 3		
0:12:56.1	The software itself doesn't necessarily have a- what kind of	
PERSON 1	relation does it have?	
0:13:01.2	No, it's just- when you model the environment we then have	
PERSON 3	to explain each of the components that we drew, so	
	environment would be the engineering course, that's part of	
	their studies	
0:13:11.2	Yeah I know, would you going to-this software program, it's	[8 critical question CQ2 on 6] The
PERSON 2	going to be created not for the civil engineer student	student cannot do "use simulator"
		because the software is not created
		for the students
0:13:20.8	Yeah it is	[9 attack on 8] The software is
PERSON 3		created for the students, as
		explained in the problem
		specification

0:13:21.8	Not for a student right?	
PERSON 2		
0:13:23.3	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:13:24.0	By a student	
PERSON 2		
0:13:26.1	No for the students	
PERSON 3		
0:13:27.0	Ah ok	
PERSON 2		
0:13:27.6	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:13:30.7	Because this is a particular challenging subject for the	
PERSON 3	student	
0:13:32.9	For practice	[10 softgoal (AS4)] Student has
PERSON 1		softgoal "Learn queuing theory from practice"
		[11 contribution (AS6)] Task "use
		simulator" contributes to softgoal
		"learn queing theory from practice"
0:13:33.9	Yeah. It's just for their purpose, so I think environment is	ica quemo areary main praetice
PERSON 3	just, UCI course, whatever.	
0:13:41.6	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:13:42.0	So this would be- the environment would be Uni. Ok. So if	
PERSON 3	we do the- I guess the traffic simulator	
0:13:54.8	That would be in the middle yeah.	

PERSON 1		
0:13:55.7	Traffic simulator and the context, is it FAM? Right?	
PERSON 3		
0:14:05.5	It is functional architecture model	
PERSON 2		
0:14:06.9	Yeah right, isn't it?	
PERSON 1		
0:14:07.8	Sure	
PERSON 2		
0:14:09.1	[inaudible] And it takes the mathematical functionality	
PERSON 3		
0:14:14.4	From outside, yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:14:17.8	Math function? This would be the environment, this would	
PERSON 3	be the UCI civil-	
0:14:28.6	HCU	
PERSON 1		
0:14:30.3	What? UCI.	
PERSON 3		
0:14:33.9	UCI yeah. UCI is fine I think. And a user I guess. Do you	
PERSON 2	wanna draw a separate user. For them to-	
0:14:46.2	Student	
PERSON 1		
0:14:48.7	Cause there- so this would take- maybe for the sake I would,	
PERSON 3	maybe, queuing theory, I would put that separate. But it's	
	still math I guess, so we can just lead to -	
0:15:02.2	The usual-	

PERSON 1		
0:15:03.1	I thought the relations between the-	
PERSON 2		
0:15:05.2	Yeah, that was my question. Do you show interaction	
PERSON 3	between a user and the system in the context, do you,	
	already? Or do you just show the high level overview	
0:15:15.7	Yeah, you do show the relationship between the system and	
PERSON 1	the user. Would you?	
0:15:20.5	But how do you show it, just with arrow?	
PERSON 3		
0:15:22.1	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:15:25.1	Ok. Just draw, like, an arrow that says- what do they have to-	[12 task (AS2)] Student has task
PERSON 2	they're gonna create a visual map of an area. So one arrow	"Create map"
	could be like creating or editing or	
0:15:39.6	Then you're going more to use cases. And a model because	
PERSON 3	traffic system isn't one functionality. I mean there's one	
	package-	
0:15:47.7	Well, then you can call it like, editing. Which is more general,	
PERSON 1	what they do	
0:15:51.3	Or interaction	
PERSON 2		
0:15:52.4	Or interact. Well, the arrow already is	
PERSON 1		
0:15:55.4	Yeah I don't know, but maybe something more as in, well,	
PERSON 3	using the system more. Modelling? Within the system?	
0:16:04.0	Yeah, that's what they-	

PERSON 2		
	Cinculation within the cinculation contour of line while 1	
0:16:05.7	Simulating within the simulation system of [inaudible]	
PERSON 3		
0:16:09.6	Simulating, I like simulating. You can just call it simulating.	
PERSON 1		
0:16:11.2	The users do not simulate, the software is simulating	[13 task (AS2)] Simulator has task
PERSON 2		"Simulate map"
0:16:15.2	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:16:16.3	It's a map. Ok. We remodel this. I guess this is our context, I	
PERSON 3	don't know.	
0:16:24.2	Does it have any other, well, it doesn't say	
PERSON 1		
0:16:26.3	No	
PERSON 2		
0:16:26.6	Does it say any other external-	
PERSON 1		
0:16:28.8	This would be the context one	
PERSON 3		
0:16:30.9	Relations	
PERSON 2		
0:16:31.5	Well you gave up on the-	
PERSON 3		
0:16:33.6	Huh?	
PERSON 1		
0:16:34.5	Yeah [inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:16:26.6 PERSON 1 0:16:28.8 PERSON 3 0:16:30.9 PERSON 2 0:16:31.5 PERSON 3 0:16:33.6 PERSON 1 0:16:34.5	This would be the context one Relations Well you gave up on the-	

F	,	
0:16:35.5	What happened to you	
PERSON 3		
0:16:36.8	So much for [inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:16:39.3	Do we have any other?	
PERSON 1		
0:16:41.3	Of context, I don't think so. It's basically just explaining, this	
PERSON 3	shouldn't be too difficult. Yeah, some mathematical	
	functions, this can be explained in an environment like this	
0:16:53.1	Does the user do anything else besides simulating?	
PERSON 1		
0:16:56.0	No nothing	
PERSON 3		
0:16:58.2	No?	
PERSON 1		
0:16:58.7	No. not really no.	
PERSON 3		
0:17:02.5	It's the students	
PERSON 2		
0:17:03.1	Yeah, one of the-	
PERSON 1		
0:17:04.0	Do anything	
PERSON 2		
0:17:05.7	Ok, so that's the context one. So then the next one was	
PERSON 3	information. No seriously, what were you doing when you	
	stopped.	
0:17:14.4	I was doing this. [inaudible]	

PERSON 2		
0:17:24.1	[inaudible] and I was searching through here, for which	
PERSON 1	activities I can use.	
0:17:29.0	Ok. This was for what	
PERSON 3		
0:17:32.0	The process of creating a map	
PERSON 1		
0:17:37.1	Ok. Or something.	
PERSON 2		
0:17:39.5	And in that process there are activities like create a visual	[14 task (AS2)] Student has task
PERSON 1	map, create a road	"Create road"
		[15 decompositon (AS??)] Task
		"Create map" decomposes into
		"Create a road"
0:17:45.0	Ok.	
PERSON 2		
0:17:45.4	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:17:45.7	Create a car	[16 task (AS2)] Student has task
PERSON 2		"Create a car"
0:17:47.8	Ok	
PERSON 1		
0:17:48.3	Really?	
PERSON 2		
0:17:49.9	Yeah sure. I think it should be because you need to place	
PERSON 3	cars and then you also, I'm guessing	
0:17:58.0	Do you actually- I was under the assumption that they were	[17 critical question CQ2 on 16] Is
	·	·

PERSON 1	only busy with roads and traffic lights, and not necessarily cars as entities.	the task "Create a car" possible? [18 answer to 17] No, students are only busy with roads and traffic lights, and not cars as entities. [18a remove] Task "Create a car" is removed.
0:18:07.7	Yes, because you need a model of how the cars will flow	[19 counter argument to 18] You
PERSON 3	evenly through the intersections. So you need to time	need a model of how cars will flow
	everything, but you still need the entity that will travel on	through the simulation.
	the simulation to show that you've actually done something-	
0:18:21.4	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:18:21.4	In an about way	
PERSON 3		
0:18:22.3	It doesn't specify if one of the systems does that for you	
PERSON 1	automatically, or if you-	
0:18:26.3	I think it does	
PERSON 3		
0:18:27.4	Does it? I was under the assumption that there was only	
PERSON 1	changing like, traffic	
0:18:37.1	For example you may choose to depict individual cars, or to	
PERSON 3	use a more abstract representation	
0:18:42.0	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:18:43.4	I think the easiest way to go would be creating the car. It	
PERSON 3	should be possible to create a busy road or seldom used	
	one. Or any variation in between. That means that you need	

	to specify, for example, I want 50 cars on these two roads.	
	So I think, yeah	
0:19:01.8	I don't- you can go either way	
PERSON 1		
0:19:04.6	Yeah I guess	
PERSON 3		
0:19:05.8	It's ambiguous so you can assume-	
PERSON 1		
0:19:07.0	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:19:07.6	Either one	
PERSON 1		
0:19:08.9	My pic would be, go with the car instead of guessing that the	
PERSON 3	program would do that, or else	
0:19:14.5	Yes	
PERSON 1		
0:19:16.1	There is also, create light timing. [inaudible] so create visual	[20 task (CQ2)] Student has task
PERSON 3	map, create road, create car, create light timing. And you	"Create light timing"
	also have- maybe change create to set light timing.	[21 decomposition (CQ??)] Task
0:19:47.5	Define lights, on track of light timing or something	"Create map" is decomposed into
PERSON 1		"create light timing"
0:19:50.7	Yeah	[22 critical question (CQ??) for 20] Is
PERSON 2		Task "Create light timing clear?
0:19:51.9	I like the timer set, yeah	[23 answer to 22] No
PERSON 1		[23a rename] "Create light timing"
		becoemes "Set light timing"
0:19:54.7	And he goes with setup	

PERSON 3		
0:19:56.6	Whatever	
PERSON 2		
0:19:59.5	So create a car, maybe we can set a create car. Specify	[24 critical question CQ?? for 16] Is
PERSON 3	number of cars, that would be, I guess, better. Because you	the task "Create a car" specific
	don't necessarily create a car but you would more specify.	enough?
0:20:15.8	Specify	[25 answer to 20] No. You may
PERSON 2		choose to depict inidividual cars or
0:20:16.6	Entity number	use more abstract.
PERSON 3		[25a rename] replace "Create a car"
		with "Specify the number of cars on
		roads"
0:20:18.6	[inaudible] track this	
PERSON 2		
0:20:22.6	That sounds more [inaudible]. Also you need to see the	
PERSON 3	visualization. So from the entire one you need-	
0:20:31.8	But is this done to create a map?	
PERSON 1		
0:20:34.5	Oh you mean-	
PERSON 3		
0:20:35.2	This is only one process.	
PERSON 1		
0:20:37.7	Oh, so now you're doing, creating the map only. Ok. So-	
PERSON 3		
0:20:40.5	That's what you-	
PERSON 2		
0:20:41.9	Ok. That's fine.	

PERSON 3		
0:20:43.4	I wasn't really sure	
PERSON 2		
0:20:48.2	You must design the interaction-	
PERSON 3		
0:20:50.7	Oh the students	
PERSON 1		
0:20:51.4	But we can't really [inaudible] because every [inaudible] we	
PERSON 2	have to go into more detail.	
0:20:59.4	Better to have more, but I don't know if-	
PERSON 3		
0:21:02.1	What would be the second process? In this case. We have	
PERSON 1	create map, traffic timing scheme. Program: appearance,	
	and traffic simulation. Yeah, maybe I can delete this one and	
	this one-	
0:21:16.5	What do we wanna do	
PERSON 3		
0:21:17.3	And keep program and appearance	
PERSON 1		
0:21:19.3	I would, yeah ok, program and appearance, but maybe I	
PERSON 3	would just go with one-	
0:21:23.0	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:21:23.1	One high level, and then for the specific parts, for example,	
PERSON 3	creating a visual map and setting the lighting timing-	
0:21:31.6	We can go into a process	
PERSON 2		

0:21:33.2	Yeah, but that would be more a petri net. It's as a logical	
PERSON 3	representation of what can actually be done.	
0:21:39.4	Ok	
PERSON 1		
0:21:40.1	I don't know, what do you guys think.	
PERSON 3		
0:21:45.6	I think, yeah, it's gonna be really difficult to specify an entire	
PERSON 1	process of the other ones that you have, because there's not	
	enough information. A lot of this is going to be under the	
	assumption of-	
0:21:55.9	Yeah true, but then again. I don't know. For example, if we	
PERSON 3	think of for example, first you need to specify the road, or	
	the map	
0:22:08.1	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:22:08.1	So you specify the map and then the second part would be,	[26 task (AS2)] Student has task
PERSON 3	you specify the pipe of the road, basically	"specify pipe of the road"
		[27 decomposition (AS??)] Task
		"create map" decomposes into
		"specify pipe of the road"
0:22:15.6	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:22:16.0	Like the length and, yeah, and also if it's like the really	[28 critical question CQ?? for 26] Is
PERSON 3	populated- or if it's really abandoned one or something.	task "specify pipe of the road" clear?
		[29 answer to 28] No, use "specify
		length of the road"
0:22:28.1	[inaudible] this, we need to [inaudible] interactions	

PERSON 2		
0:22:32.3	[ook]	
PERSON 1		
0:22:33.9	Apologies to the transcribers, pausing too much	
PERSON 3		
0:22:41.0	So we're done with the context view yeah?	
PERSON 1		
0:22:42.2	I think so yes. What I would suggest is that we help [Person	
PERSON 3	2] out and outline all the interactions that we can find from	
	the text	
0:22:52.1	One of these [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:22:53.3	Or I'll give this to [Person 2]. So we basically go, for example,	
PERSON 3	the first model is creating the interaction. And we outline all	
	the steps that are there, and then the second one and then	
	he can model it quicklier and we can also just transfer it into	
	documentation	
0:23:10.0	Alright	
PERSON 1		
0:23:12.9	Ok so-	
PERSON 3		
0:23:14.0	So this one is finished. I don't- for now	
PERSON 1		
0:23:16.5	Yeah, it's -	
PERSON 2		
0:23:16.8	We can [inaudible] can you get me another paper so I don't	
PERSON 3	ruin this for any-	

0:23:22.9	Functional view	
PERSON 1		
0:23:23.8	Ok so, are we going to functional or are we doing the-	
PERSON 3		
0:23:27.9	Which one do you wanna do?	
PERSON 1		
0:23:29.9	Maybe it would be good if we covered this one first?	
PERSON 3	Because this essentially is the functional view, but if, for	
	example, right now [Person 2] has five different entities, and	
	if we go into each of those and outline all this, the entire	
	subprocess-	
0:23:44.4	What is the fifth entity?	
PERSON 2		
0:23:46.8	You only have four? I don't know if there's a fifth one. So	
PERSON 3	yeah, basically, going into creating a map, the process of	
	picking- you can pick one or the second. You shouldn't, yeah	
0:23:56.9	For sure	
PERSON 1		
0:23:57.3	Alright so-	
PERSON 3		
0:23:58.4	Only the visualization of the map isn't in there, in this	[30 task (AS2)] Simulator has task
PERSON 2	process. Should it be added, or in a different process?	"Visualize map"
0:24:08.8	I would go- I would put it in that one and-	
PERSON 3		
0:24:11.1	Creating an actual map-	
PERSON 1		
0:24:12.2	Yeah	

PERSON 3		
0:24:12.7	Should be there right?	
PERSON 1		
0:24:13.7	I would put it as the last one, as create a visualization of	
PERSON 3	whatever you've modelled. But ok, so, students must be able	
	to create a visual map, so ok.	
0:24:25.9	That's a first activity	
PERSON 2		
0:24:27.9	Yeah so create-	
PERSON 3		
0:24:28.8	Create visual map, create road, specify a few numbers, set	
PERSON 1	up light timing and?	
0:24:36.0	And, well interaction. Visualization sorry. Or interaction, I	[31 critical question CQ?? for 14] Is
PERSON 3	don't know. So create a visual map would have laying out	Task "Create road" clear?
	roads and a pattern of their choosing. So this would be first,	[32 answer to 31] no, according to
	would be choose a pattern.	the specification the student should
		choose a pattern.
		[32a rename] "Create road"
		becomes "Choose a pattern"
0:24:55.4	How do you mean, choose a pattern	
PERSON 1		
0:24:57.5	Students must be able to create a visual map of an area,	
PERSON 3	laying out roads in a pattern of their choosing	
0:25:03.4	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:25:05.2	So, select an area pattern	
PERSON 3		

0:25:07.5	Yeah I'm not sure if they mean that. I don't know what they	[33 critical question CQ?? for 32a] Is
PERSON 1	mean by pattern in this case. I thought you could just pick	"Choose a pattern" specific enough?
	roads, varying sizes and like, broads of roads.	[34 answer to 33] No, I am not sure what they mean by a pattern.
0:25:21.4	Yeah probably	
PERSON 3		
0:25:22.0	Automatically creating a pattern. You don't just pick a	
PERSON 1	pattern	
0:25:26.0	No yeah exactly, but you would have them provide, it's a	
PERSON 3	pattern, it's a different type of road but essentially you	
	would select- how would you call them, selecting a-	
0:25:36.3	Yeah, selecting a- I don't know	
PERSON 1		
0:25:38.0	Pattern preference maybe? As in, maybe we can explain this	[34a rename] "Choose a pattern"
PERSON 3	in the documentation	becomes "Choose a pattern preference".
0:25:43.9	What kind of patterns though. Would you be able to select	[35 critical question CQ?? for 34a] Is
PERSON 1		"Choose a pattern preference" clear?
		[36 answer to 35] no, what kind of pattern?
0:25:47.4	Maybe, I don't know it's-	
PERSON 3		
0:25:48.5	[inaudible] a road pattern	[36a rename] "Choose a pattern
PERSON 1		preference becomes "Choose a road pattern"
0:25:50.5	Maybe it's one-sided road for example-	
PERSON 3		

0:25:52.6	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:25:52.9	Well not one-sided, but it could be double like, on the	
PERSON 3	highway, coming into the intersection. And two coming out	
	and maybe you could have one-	
0:26:00.4	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:26:00.6	So that type of thing, but I think that's too	
PERSON 3		
0:26:02.8	Right that makes sense, I just [inaudible] in a road. Context,	
PERSON 1	but sure.	
0:26:08.7	Ok, so select a road pattern, then we agree on that one.	
PERSON 3	Yeah? So that would be the first one. So after you select the	
	pattern-	
0:26:18.2	You select the length	[37 task (AS2)] Student has task
PERSON 2		"select road length"
0:26:21.8	The resulting map need not to be complex but should allow	
PERSON 3	for roads to vary in length, to be placed in different	
	arrangements of intersections to be created. So maybe	
	select a road pattern has two, or three sub options. One	
	would be, selecting the type of intersection you want to	
	have although they give kind of a restriction that they cannot	
	be T	
0:26:41.2	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:26:41.8	But it still says that you can select, and also I think it's placing	
	the intersection where you want it. As in, on the map, so-	

0:26:48.8	Yes	
PERSON 2		
0:26:50.5	I would go with selecting the intersection	[38 task (AS2)] Student has task
PERSON 3		"select type of intersection"
0:26:55.5	Select type of intersection yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:26:57.5	Yeah ok.	
PERSON 3		
0:27:00.2	That's more activity and [inaudible]couple of other types of	
PERSON 2	intersection.	
0:27:08.5	Yeah yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:27:09.8	What are the types of intersection	
PERSON 1		
0:27:11.8	We don't know, but it doesn't matter because we're just	
PERSON 3	modelling the process. So that could be in petri nets, just	
	one or the other. Going backwards and forwards.	
	Intersection selection, ok. Road length, specify road length	
0:27:30.7	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:27:33.7	Road	
PERSON 3		
0:27:35.2	Only length?	
PERSON 2		
0:27:36.8	I'm just- length to be placed in different arrangements of	
PERSON 3	intersections to be created. So intersection selection	
	arrangements.	

0:27:46.2	Yeah if you call it, specify road measurement.	
PERSON 1		
0:27:50.4	Specify road characteristics maybe? Or as measurements?	[39 critical question CQ?? for 37] Is
PERSON 3		"Road length" clear?
		[40 answer to 39] No.
		[40a rename] "Set road length"
		becomes "Set road characteristics"
0:27:54.1	Yeah that's better, then you're also done with [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:27:58.0	True. Characteristics	
PERSON 3		
0:27:59.4	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:28:01.7	Ok, so that would be that. Your approach should readily	
PERSON 3	accommodate at least six intersections, if not more	
0:28:09.7	I don't know how we're supposed to model that	
PERSON 1		
0:28:12.0	So you specify the road characteristics, but this would be a	
PERSON 3	restriction. How do we model restrictions in FAM? How was	
	it modelled again. Was it with QA notation, that was	
	explained last week wasn't it. You remember. It was just a	
	notation, it was like a note where he had some sort of	
	constraints. QA constraints? You remember, you were there	
0:28:37.8	Yeah [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:28:41.3	Can you check, which lecture	
PERSON 3		

0:28:44.0	I'm trying.	
PERSON 1		
0:28:45.6	Ok. Students must be able to describe the behavior of the	
PERSON 3	traffic light at each of the intersection	
0:28:54.4	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:28:55.0	Ok so, that means that for each of the intersections you can	[41 task] user Student has task "Set
PERSON 3	have a minimum of six, and up to infinite, for each of them	traffic light behavior"
	you need to specify the traffic light. So that would be a sub	
	process of intersection arrangement. That is not a separate	
	step but it's- once you select	
0:29:18.4	Is it? Can't it be a different step altogether	
PERSON 1		
0:29:22.1	Ok maybe, yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:29:23.3	Compares the-	
PERSON 2		
0:29:27.1	Ok, so this would be the traffic light behavior	
PERSON 3		
0:29:36.0	Yeah, because you're setting up these traffic light after you	
PERSON 1	select the intersection	
0:29:43.4	True but in essence you could have them select six	
PERSON 3	intersections, as it would give you the option to model them.	
	But it might be better to do it afterwards	
0:29:52.6	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:29:53.5	Ok so you would go-	

PERSON 3		
0:29:54.6	The traffic light would automatically be there as an	
PERSON 1	intersection, but the behavior-	
0:29:58.5	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:29:58.5	You specify later	
PERSON 1		
0:29:59.5	Ok so traffic light behavior you would specify it- it is up to	[42 task (AS2)] Student has task "set
PERSON 3	you to determine what the exact interaction will be, but a	sequence scheme"
	variety of sequences and timing schemes should be allowed.	[43 task (AS2)] Student has task "set
	So, you would have- we would have traffic light behavior	timing scheme"
	gives you, I guess two options then.	[44 decomposition (AS??)] Task "set
0:30:23.6	Sequences and timing schemes	traffic light behavior" XOR-
PERSON 1		decomposes into "set sequence
0:30:25.0	Sequences and timing schemes. So you can either go for,	scheme" and "set timing scheme"
PERSON 3	yeah, sequences-	
0:30:30.9	Or timing schemes	
PERSON 1		
0:30:32.1	Or a predefined timing scheme. Ok? Your approach should	
PERSON 3	also be able to accommodate left hand turns, protected by	
	left hand-	
0:30:48.4	Green arrow lights	
PERSON 1		
0:30:52.7	I don't understand this one. Should be able to accommodate	
PERSON 3	left hand turns, protected by left hand green arrow lights.	
0:31:04.2	Isn't something-	
PERSON 2		

0:31:04.5	Nah, the lights turn?	
PERSON 3		
0:31:06.1	Right, they mean for a set of traffic lights to have, like the,	
PERSON 1	the first two to be straights. And then the other one you can	
	take a right as well. That makes sense	
0:31:23.7	Oh -	
PERSON 3		
0:31:24.4	So separate traffic lights	
PERSON 1		
0:31:26.3	But that's in sequences already defined right?	
PERSON 3		
0:31:29.6	I would say so, but they specify here as [inaudible]	
PERSON 1	requirements so	
0:31:33.3	But it also says that we don't have to take everything into	
PERSON 3	consideration. Ok, I think we	
0:31:41.4	We assume this one is defined in the traffic lights-	
PERSON 1		
0:31:43.9	Yeah, I think so	
PERSON 3		
0:31:44.8	Sequence	
PERSON 1		
0:31:45.3	Yeah we assume this. Combinations of individual signals that	
PERSON 3	would result in crashes should not be allowed. I think we	
	should assume that this is done in sequences and timing	
	schemes. Because-	
0:31:59.6	Yes. Then we have to model that somehow	
PERSON 1		

0:32:01.6	No we don't. we don't model the schemes do we. How,	
PERSON 3	sorry, how are you gonna model	
0:32:06.7	I don't know	
PERSON 1		
0:32:07.2	The entire intersection, we cannot do that. I think it's out of	
PERSON 3	our scope	
0:32:12.5	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:32:13.2	So I think number 8	
PERSON 3		
0:32:16.1	Why would they specify it so	
PERSON 1		
0:32:19.1	A lot of things is specified, but it's kind of-	
PERSON 3		
0:32:21.7	Yeah, you want to just put this under traffic lights sequences	
PERSON 1	and timing	
0:32:25.4	Yeah. Maybe- can you take a note of these things that we	
PERSON 3	kind of put down. For example, so that we can write the	
	documentation. So that we can go back to the requirements	
	and just say, under sequences we also have this constraint	
	that it should not allow for crashes etc.	
0:32:44.9	I'm gonna type it	
PERSON 1		
0:32:45.6	Or you can type it, yeah, as well [inaudible] either way	
PERSON 3		
0:32:51.8	[inaudible]	
PERSON 1		

0:32:53.6	And also these like, every intersection of the map must have	
PERSON 3	traffic lights, there are not any stop signs, overpasses, or	
	other variations. All intersection will four way, there are no T	
	intersections and nor one way road. This is also when you	
	select intersection arrangement. This is also constraint on	
	that part	
0:33:15.9	If that's the requirement. If you select an intersection	
PERSON 1		
0:33:19.0	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:33:20.0	You can choose if you have traffic lights or not	
PERSON 1		
0:33:23.3	No	
PERSON 3		
0:33:23.8	So	
PERSON 1		
0:33:24.9	That's just a restriction on the system, but it's not- from the	
PERSON 3	users perspective it doesn't matter. For the process because-	
0:33:30.3	So they need same activity timing. When you select an	
PERSON 2	intersection the selection of traffic lights has also been	
	premade. But it's not a different activity	
0:33:45.5	It's not. No, you don't, no. There's two things, selecting the	
PERSON 3	traffic lights for the intersection, you don't select them	
	because they are already there-	
0:33:55.9	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:33:56.5	So if we imagine the intersection being a four way, and also	

PERSON 3	with the lights. You just place it on the map, but you still	
	have to click on those lights to configure them. So that is still	
	a process. Because you can choose from different sequences	
0:34:11.2	Yeah true	
PERSON 1		
0:34:13.8	Ok, which one are you doing right now?	
PERSON 3		
0:34:16.3	I'm typing the combination of individual signals and	
PERSON 1	accommodating left hand turns by left hand green arrow	
	lights. They are not modelled separately, they fall under the	
	specification of timing schemes and sequences.	
0:34:25.5	Ok	
PERSON 3		
0:34:26.7	Through the traffic lights behaviors	
PERSON 1		
0:34:32.5	Ok	
PERSON 3		
0:34:34.1	Alright, next one	
PERSON 1		
0:34:34.6	Can I just ask you. Can you just, on top, just do create a	
PERSON 3	visual map. On top, just as a title, create a visual map. And	
	do two, this would be two, no no, this would be the two.	
	And the first one, ok, and there is another one we need to	
	do. That is for- number one, 1A. 1A is intersection selection	
	and arrangement. And it says here basically, restriction, just	
	write, restriction 2B.	
0:35:20.9	Yeah	

PERSON 1		
0:35:23.8	Students must be able to design each intersection with or	[45 task (AS2)] Student has task "add
PERSON 3	without the option to have sensors that detect whether any	sensor to intersection"
	cars are present in a given lane. Ok, so this would be when	[46 decomposition (AS??)] task
	you-	"Create visual map" decomposes
0:35:36.8	That's mandatory or must-	into "Add sensor to intersection"
PERSON 1		
0:35:39.3	They must be able, so meaning when they select intersection	
PERSON 3	they should be able to say, intersection one has the sensors,	
	intersection two hasn't, third one doesn't have them	
0:35:49.4	But are those sensors mandatory	
PERSON 1		
0:35:50.7	Yes. No they're manda-	
PERSON 3		
0:35:51.9	Does the- is the option to use mandatory	
PERSON 1		
0:35:54.4	The option is mandatory. Also, that basically gives you	
PERSON 3	another restriction, choosing- on the same one basically-	
	that would be -	
0:36:09.5	We mentioned that one was A. 1A	
PERSON 1		
0:36:11.8	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:36:13.1	What is B	
PERSON 1		
0:36:16.9	Oh no, so it's again would be A, because it's the same bullet	
PERSON 3	point essentially.	

0:36:23.9	I mean-	
PERSON 1		
0:36:24.5	Intersections like an arrangement, this one also needs to	
PERSON 3	have- maybe you can just do restriction 2B, and just	
	restriction-	
0:36:32.4	And 2C	
PERSON 1		
0:36:33.3	2C. 2B and 2C	
PERSON 3		
0:36:35.1	How about this one. Your approach should readily	
PERSON 1	accommodate at least six intersections if not more	
0:36:42.6	Where is that	
PERSON 3		
0:36:42.9	That's one, and then on the end	
PERSON 1		
0:36:47.0	Should readily accommodate at least six intersection-	
PERSON 3		
0:36:49.5	You have to-	
PERSON 1		
0:36:50.2	If not more	
PERSON 3		
0:36:50.9	You have to address the requirements	
PERSON 1		
0:36:52.7	Yeah. I'll go with restriction again, when you select them it	
PERSON 3	should be at least, for example, restriction 2 plus 2C, you can	
	just do restriction minimum of six of, yeah, intersections, or	
	more	

0:37:16.5	Alright yes	
PERSON 1		
0:37:20.6	Have you managed to find those QA's? How we can write	
PERSON 3	them. Because I know he did, it was quite simple. I think it	
	was just a- once you have the model you can just type, write	
	on top of the model. Ok. Based on the map created and the	
	intersection timing schemes a student must be able to	
	simulate traffic flow on the map. Ok so that was creating a	
	map	
0:37:54.8	QA quality attributes right?	
PERSON 1		
0:37:56.5	This would be another, this would be a separate one I guess.	
PERSON 3	Traffic light behavior would be the second activity, the	
	second process. Effectively	
0:38:09.3	What are you talking about?	
PERSON 1		
0:38:10.7	Because of the model that [Person 2] drew, as for example,	
PERSON 3	first you create a visual map, then you find the traffic	
	behavior, but this traffic behavior would be a separate	
	process, wouldn't it? Essentially. Or is this part of creating a	
	visual map	
0:38:25.0	You mean QP isn't it? Not QA	
PERSON 1		
0:38:26.8	I don't know, QP	
PERSON 3		
0:38:28.4	QP are different things.	
PERSON 1		

0:38:31.9	No, whatever quality annotations or something	
PERSON 3	, , ,	
0:38:35.9	No. oh this thing	
PERSON 1		
0:38:41.4	Oh that's quality properties	
PERSON 3		
0:38:43.4	Those are-	
PERSON 1		
0:38:43.9	Oh fuck. I don't know. Sorry transcribers, for all these	
PERSON 3		
0:38:52.3	Aren't we supposed to transcribe our own stuff?	
PERSON 1		
0:38:54.5	No, [professor] and the rest of the-	
PERSON 3		
0:39:01.0	Right so, based on the map created and the intersections	
PERSON 1	timing schemes, students must be able to simulate traffic	
	flows on the map. Yeah	
0:39:08.8	The-	
PERSON 3		
0:39:09.7	It's not a different requirement	
PERSON 1		
0:39:11.6	This would be simulate the traffic flow. Yeah? That's like the	
PERSON 3	last that we have? Simulating traffic flow? The traffic levels	
	should be conveyed visually to the user in a real-time	
	manner as they emerge in the simulation. So, traffic flow	
	would be, simulate traffic flow action would consist of, start	
	the simulation yeah	

0:39:47.8	Sure. Sure yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:39:51.0	And, it would display it. Start the simulation and then it	[47 task (AS2)] Simulator has task
PERSON 3	would display it in real-time	"Display simulation in GUI"
		[48 goal (AS2)] Simulator has goal
		"Provide real-time feedback"
0:39:57.8	Yes	
PERSON 1		
0:39:59.7	Display it in a window? In a-	
PERSON 3		
0:40:05.4	Some sort of UI yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:40:07.4	GUI. User interface. Window or frame. Frame for the user.	
PERSON 3	And once it displays, this is maybe additional requirement,	
	pause, stop and play options. As in a player functionality	
0:40:29.1	Sure	
PERSON 2		
0:40:31.7	Let's say media player functionality. And maybe this is really	[48 task (AS2)] Simulator has task
PERSON 3	extra, exporting option probably would be usable for	"Provide media player functionality"
	software, but we can-this is quite simple to model that's	
	why I'm just kind of thinking what else we could. Because	
	they do ask-	
0:40:52.3	But why do you want to put in a media player function if you	
PERSON 2	already have a possible media player on your-	
0:41:01.8	That's for the simulation	
PERSON 3		

0:41:02.4	Yeah I know but, why do you	
PERSON 2		
0:41:04.9	Oh, you would use-	
PERSON 3		
0:41:05.1	Have exporting a -	
PERSON 2		
0:41:08.3	For their assignment	
PERSON 3		
0:41:11.2	Yeah I know but if you export an image or a movie of the	
PERSON 2	simulation	
0:41:18.4	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:41:18.8	That's done.	
PERSON 2		
0:41:22.0	Yes but from the point of view of-	
PERSON 3		
0:41:24.1	You say, media player available on your computer to do this.	
PERSON 2		
0:41:32.9	I get what you mean, but, I agree with you, but, from my	[49 negative contribution (AS??)]
PERSON 3	point of view that's not really viable in terms of the software	task "media player functionality"
	that they're trying to build because if you want somebody to	contributes negatively to "real time"
	learn-see all the interactions, they will want to play it	
	instantly. They will try to model things and then play, I want	
	to see it. That's one thing and as the second thing is, that's a	
	requirement of the system	
0:41:53.7	Oh	
PERSON 2		

0:41:53.7	It has to be presented in real-time to the user. To simulate	
PERSON 3	traffic flow on the map, so we need some sort of player. The	
	export option I think would come in handy in real world	
	because-	
0:42:07.0	Right	
PERSON 2		
0:42:08.3	Yeah it could be an assignment or exercise you wanna do, so	
PERSON 3	yeah. So I would go with this one because we can specify it	
	literally-	
0:42:17.0	Right	
PERSON 2		
0:42:17.8	Gives two more options, which is media player functionality	
PERSON 3	and exporting function.	
0:42:23.8	Sure.	
PERSON 2		
0:42:28.7	Ok. So once you simulate this. The current state of the	
PERSON 3	intersection traffic lights should also be depicted visually and	
	updated when they change, it's up to you how to represent	
	this information to the student using your program. For	
	example, you may choose to depict individual cars or to use	
	a more abstract representation.	
0:42:53.5	I like individual cars	
PERSON 1		
0:42:55.0	But what-	
PERSON 3		
0:42:55.4	Just visualize all the cars	
PERSON 1		

0:42:58.1	Yes, I agree but-	
PERSON 3		
0:43:00.0	It's easiest	
PERSON 1		
0:43:01.4	The current state of the intersection traffic lights should also	[50 task (AS2)] Simulator has task
PERSON 3	be depicted visually and updated when they change	"Process user input"
0:43:06.4	Yeah	[51 task (AS2)] Simulator has task
PERSON 2		"Update simulation"
0:43:07.3	That's- ok but that's part of the simulation itself, that's- I	[52 task (AS2)] Simulator has tasks
PERSON 3	mean. So traffic light behaviour, sequences, timing schemes,	"Update light colors", "Move
	maybe here we would have update the colours on the-	individual cars"
0:43:19.5	Just use colours in, yeah	[53 decomposition (AS??)] Task
PERSON 2		"Update simulation" decomposes
0:43:21.5	Yeah just update colours on lights.	into "Update light colors" and "Move
PERSON 3		individual cars"
0:43:30.6	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:43:31.6	So that would be after choosing the sequence or timing	
PERSON 3	scheme. Yeah. Display on a GUI for the user, exporting	
	function, and we- update colours on light, exporting function	
0:43:48.4	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:43:49.1	And we pick the individual cars representation.	
PERSON 3		
0:43:52.7	I like it	
PERSON 2		
0:43:56.9	Represent [inaudible] cars	

PERSON 3		
0:44:02.8	But why?	
PERSON 1	But willy:	
0:44:04.3	I have no idea.	
PERSON 2		
0:44:05.2	Cause it gives you more accurate information?	
PERSON 1		
0:44:08.0	I think so, yeah. Well I don't know, what would be the higher	
PERSON 3	abstraction of the-	
0:44:13.3	I don't know	
PERSON 1		
0:44:13.8	[inaudible] as an option?	
PERSON 3		
0:44:16.9	I can't think of anything that's better than individual cars in	
PERSON 1	software packages like this.	
0:44:22.4	Ok number four then. Students should be able to change the	
PERSON 3	traffic density that enter the map on a given road. That	
	would be before the simulation in my opinion. Because you	
	would model the road, you would specify about three	
	hundred cars, and then before-	
0:44:42.8	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:44:44.2	You would press start you could specify, yeah ok, the	[54 task (AS2)] User Student has task
PERSON 3	average speed of the cars is thirty point whatever, the	"set car density".
	density of cars coming into each of the intersections is 1.5	[55 decomposition (AS??)] Task
	per second. And, I don't know, some other parameters that	"Control simulation" decomposes
	might be- maybe even the sequence of the lights, that	into "set car density"

	might-	
0:45:02.9 PERSON 1	Doesn't this just fall under this step, selecting a road pattern? Just an extra step where you can also choose the density of the traffic on a given road. Because they mention here, it should be possible to create a busy road or a seldom used one and any variation. Just create an extra step	[56 critical question (CQ??)] Does task "set car density" contribute to goal "control simulation"? [57 answer to 56] No, it is just an extra step of creating the visual map. [57a remove] remove decomposition from "control simulation" to "set car density. [57b decomposition (AS??)] Task "Create map" decomposes into "set car density".
0:45:17.6	That would be specify density	[58 critical question CQ?? for 57b] Is
PERSON 3	Traffic density week	"set car density" clear?
0:45:19.8	Traffic density yeah	[59 answer to 58] no.
PERSON 1		[59a rename] "set car density"
0:45:21.3	Specify traffic density	becomes "specify traffic density"
PERSON 3		
0:45:27.6	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:45:27.6	And maybe two options, as in enter map and enter	
PERSON 3	intersection. If that makes sense, but we don't have to take that into account, it doesn't matter	
0:45:40.8	Sure	
PERSON 2		
0:45:44.2	Ok. For example, it should be possible to create a busy road,	
PERSON 3	seldom used one, or any variation in between. Ok so that's	

	covered. How exactly-	
0:45:52.5	Any variation in between, so we have to give more options	
PERSON 1	then	
0:45:55.8	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:45:56.5	But it also says, how exactly this is declared by the user and	
PERSON 3	depicted by the system is up to you, so we've kind of covered this	
0:46:04.2	Have we?	
PERSON 1		
0:46:04.5	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:46:04.5	Because they want any variation in between and now you've	
PERSON 1	just mentioned two options, haven't you?	
0:46:08.8	No no no. they should be able to change the traffic density	
PERSON 3	that enters the map on a given road	
0:46:16.1	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:46:16.6	For example, it should be possible, between a busy road or a	
PERSON 3	seldom used one, or any variation in between. That means	
	that it should be either going from empty to completely	
	busy, so that's just the density and the number of cars.	
0:46:28.0	Sure, but I- what kind of option do we give. The users.	[60 critical question for 59a] is "set
PERSON 1		traffic density" specific enough?
0:46:33.0	Specify the road characteristics?	[61 answer to 60] no
PERSON 3		[61a rename] "set traffic density"
0:46:35.4	Just like a number?	becomes "set traffic density (number

PERSON 1		of cars per road)"
0:46:36.8	Mhm	
PERSON 3		
0:46:37.2	Like an integer?	
PERSON 1		
0:46:39.5	I guess that's the easiest. How else would you specify, I don't	
PERSON 3	know, how would you specify if this was a simulation. I think	
	you would start, how many cars will enter this road. And you	
	would say 30 and you would see how much that is and you	
	would go back and you would specify, I don't know, 50,	
	because it wasn't enough.	
0:46:58.6	So we just give them- just gonna enter an integer, the	
PERSON 1	amount of-	
0:47:03.9	Yeah I would go for a number of cars, the density of the cars	
PERSON 3	and average speed maybe. Something- but that can also be	
	done with the help of those mathematical functions as in, I	
	don't know, average speed on the highway, average speed in	
	a- what do you call it- suburban area or whatever. But I think	
	that's just input that we could give when they create a map	
	or before the start of the simulation.	
0:47:31.1	Probably simulation	
PERSON 2		
0:47:31.9	I would go with simulation in my case, because it's easier.	
PERSON 3	Cause otherwise you have to go back and define the map	
	and-	
0:47:38.7	Yeah, so I -	[62 critical question CQ?? for 61a]
PERSON 1		Can "set traffic density (number of

0:47:39.0	Ok. So you start a simulation, you would- ok, before you	cars per road)" be decomposed into
PERSON 3	display it you would have these two. So specify the road	subtasks?
	characteristics, no, maybe just this one. So you specify the	[63 answer to 62] Yes, it is more
	traffic characteristics basically, that would- so traffic	generally "road characteristics",
	characteristics. And that would be the density, speed, and	which is decomposed into three
	what else did we say. Number of cars	actions.
		[63a rename] "set traffic density
		(number of cars per road)" becomes
		"set road characteristics"
		[63b task (AS2)] Student has tasks
		"density", "speed", and "number of
		cars"
		[63c decomposition (AS??)] Task
		"set road characteristics"
		decomposes into " density", "speed",
		and "number of cars".
0:48:20.4	Density, speed and number of cars. But isn't density-	[64 critical question CQ?? for 63c] Is
PERSON 1		task "density" redundant?
0:48:23.3	Yeah exactly	[65 answer to 64] yes, it is a
PERSON 3		synonym for "number of cars"
0:48:23.8	Number of cars, it's the same	[65a remove] task "density" is
PERSON 1		removed
0:48:27.5	What if we specify the number of cars per intersection	[66 critical question CQ?? for 63c] Is
PERSON 3	maybe. I mean as in percentages. For example if you have	"number of cars" specific enough?
	three hundred cars, and you have ten intersections, if three	[67 answer to 66] No.
	hundred cars will go from one end, imagine this is a straight	[67a rename] "number of cars"

	road	becomes "number of cars per intersection (%)"
0:48:43.9	Yeah	(/5/
PERSON 1		
0:48:44.2	And they will go through three hundred and if you have the	
PERSON 3	option to specify, I don't know, distribute them equally onto	
	six intersections. You would have a better visualization	
	maybe? I don't know, I'm just talking shit.	
0:48:56.6	I don't know either. I don't know, we've got to visualize	
PERSON 1		
0:49:02.3	Alright, just, can be-	
PERSON 3		
0:49:03.5	It's really specific, details	
PERSON 1		
0:49:05.3	So, density, speed and, is there anything else.	[68 critical question for 63c] Does
PERSON 3		"set road characteristics" decompose
0:49:09.7	No, speed, density	into any other tasks?
PERSON 1		[69 anwer to 68] Yes, type of cars.
0:49:20.1	Maybe type of cars	[69a task (AS2)] Student has task
PERSON 3		"set type of cars"
0:49:21.5	Yeah	[69b decomposition (AS??)] Task
PERSON 1		"set road characteristics"
0:49:22.0	Type of cars, because you could have trucks, you could have	decomposes into "set type of cars"
PERSON 3	personal cars. That would be good because-	
0:49:27.5	Type of traffic	
PERSON 1		
0:49:28.7	Yeah	

PERSON 3		
0:49:29.1	Yeah [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:49:30.0	So you basically include, like a random number of – if you	
PERSON 3	have equal- then we could them- the reasoning of the	
	mathematical inclusion. For example-	
0:49:41.5	Does it calculate the size of cars or maybe	
PERSON 1		
0:49:45.1	No more, for example, you say, oh I want three hundred cars	
PERSON 3	and then it gives you a list. I want trucks, I want personal	
	cars and I want bikes	
0:49:52.1	Right	
PERSON 1		
0:49:52.1	Distribute them equally, so I would have hundred cars,	
PERSON 3	hundred trucks and hundred more bike- motorcycles. I think-	
0:50:01.4	Do you want to specify weight in a different truck	
PERSON 2		
0:50:10.0	Maybe you would	
PERSON 3		
0:50:11.3	If you have that truck over there, or that truck over there	
PERSON 2		
0:50:15.0	Yeah but-	
PERSON 3		
0:50:16.0	But still different	
PERSON 2		
0:50:16.7	Yeah it is, but maybe that could be also taken care of by a list	
PERSON 3	of providing all the different types of- like trucks	

0:50:24.0	Yeah, I think it's best to specify on, not specify on a	
PERSON 1	motorcycle or car or truck, but on rate.	
0:50:33.7	On what?	
PERSON 3		
0:50:33.7	On size	
PERSON 1		
0:50:35.2	But then you have- you need to know the length and-	
PERSON 3		
0:50:37.1	I know	
PERSON 1		
0:50:37.7	[inaudible] and you need the seize for traffic digestion yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:50:39.9	It is, it definitely is but do you expect the students to know	
PERSON 3	what's the length of a truck or what is the weight of the	
	truck	
0:50:48.5	Well we can enter that into the system. The system knows,	
PERSON 1	like an average length of a car or truck	
0:50:54.1	Exactly, but that would mean that in the end when you	[70 resource (AS1)] Traffic simulator
PERSON 3	present it to the user he would still have just the list. He	has resource "car database"
	would not have what is in the back, saying that if he selects a	
	truck the system will know that the truck carries ten tons.	
0:51:05.4	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:51:05.6	So the user doesn't need to know about that	
PERSON 3		
0:51:06.6	If- maybe it's easy to	
PERSON 1		

0:51:09.3	[inaudible]	
PERSON 2		
0:51:12.7	Specify what kind of traffic type, [inaudible] or a car and a	
PERSON 1	different process of specify a traffic entity. How big is your	
	car, or how heavy is your car, that's-	
0:51:31.0	Well yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:51:31.9	Let's make- that process is easier to include then another	
PERSON 1	process of defining what is a car and how big is the car and	
	how big is the truck.	
0:51:40.4	Yeah but that	
PERSON 3		
0:51:40.4	That's not really a big [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:51:42.1	No it's not, but it's not on the side of the system. That won't	
PERSON 3	be the logic behind it because, logically speaking, if you have	
	to select something you're not gonna care about how much	
	that selection actually weighs or something, you just need	
	the selection. So if the students are presented with ten	
	options of all the possible cars	
0:52:03.9	No, because if you have a traffic light and the timing on the	
PERSON 1	traffic lights from green to red	
0:52:11.6	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:52:12.3	It's really short, and that intersection if 50 kilometers per	
PERSON 1	hour	
0:52:20.0	Ok	

	1	
PERSON 3		
0:52:21.1	And you have a large truck and a heavy truck	
PERSON 1		
0:52:23.6	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:52:24.6	And you break-	
PERSON 1		
0:52:25.5	Obviously we have a system we need to model [inaudible]	
PERSON 3		
0:52:27.3	[inaudible] intersection is why	
PERSON 1		
0:52:29.0	Yeah yeah, no no. I definitely agree with you on this- from	[71 resource (AS1)] Traffic simulator
PERSON 3	usage perspective, doing the activity, they don't care about	has resource "physics library"
	the weight, they just care about selection. But when it	[72 task (AS2)] Traffic simulator has
	comes to the system, that would need to be modelled, that-	task "compute car movement"
	of course. But those are basically physics, those are, I mean-	[73 contribution (AS8)] "car
0:52:48.0	Right	database" contributes to "render
PERSON 1		cars"
0:52:48.0	Those are gravitational laws and stuff that have to be	[74 contribution (AS8)] "physics
PERSON 3	applied to different types of [inaudible]	library" contributes to "render cars"
0:52:53.8	Then you should specify truck, big truck.	
PERSON 1		
0:52:57.1	Yeah like-	
PERSON 3		
0:52:57.8	[inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:52:58.6	Vehicles specification, I think that tells enough, and maybe	

PERSON 3	we can explain it as in, it's either a list or an option needed, it's a big truck, small truck, it's personal vehicle, I think that satisfies it. Maybe also restriction, we can just write- can you maybe just take a note of this. That's the vehicle spec, vehicle spec should adhere to like, gravitational laws, the laws of mass and shit like that- oh sorry. The things like that, that makes them- I just hope they don't get angry with my language. Ok so-	
0:53:39.0	They need to anonymize you, they don't know that you did	
PERSON 1	this	
0:53:42.0	Well, yeah they kind of know our group, yeah, they kind of	
PERSON 3	do	
0:53:45.2	You can say fuck	
PERSON 2		
0:53:47.5	[inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:53:51.0	Ok. Broadly the tool should be easy to use and should	[75 softgoal (AS4)] Traffic simulator
PERSON 3	encourage students to explore multiple alternative approaches. So this also supports our theory of different vehicles, different-	has softgoal "easy to use" [76 softgoal (AS4)] Traffic simulator has softgoal "allow student to explore multiple alternatives" [77 contribution (AS6)] Task "Set road characteristics" contributes to softgoal "Allow student to explore multiple alternatives
0:54:05.0 PERSON 1	Types of roads, the speed of the-	

0:54:08.7	Exactly	
PERSON 3		
0:54:09.5	Stuff like that ok	
PERSON 1		
0:54:10.4	What is easy	
PERSON 2		
0:54:12.4	Yeah well, to be honest, options. Options are easy	
PERSON 3		
0:54:15.1	Just a-	
PERSON 1		
0:54:15.8	And a few [inaudible] lists	
PERSON 3		
0:54:17.0	Yeah that's easy	
PERSON 1		
0:54:18.1	If you have [inaudible] options, that's not easy	
PERSON 2		
0:54:20.0	Yeah but, if they have a vehicle	
PERSON 3		
0:54:23.4	If you just want to see a road visualization simulation	
PERSON 1		
0:54:29.4	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:54:29.7	And you have to choose three [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
0:54:36.5	No it's not the fact that you need to choose between	
PERSON 3	hundred types of trucks, you've got ten different vehicles,	
	some speed, and you can still, for example, press ok without	

	choosing any and it just goes to default. That might also be a restriction that we, kind of come up with is the fact that the user should not be limited to specifying all the characteristics of the simulation. The simulation should have a default option. Which is, for example, if they don't want to select their- there's a-	
0:55:02.4	Yeah but just-	
PERSON 1		
0:55:04.0	Just want to see the traffic flow, and in the beginning	
PERSON 3		
0:55:05.7	Yeah, [inaudible] important intersection and one speed yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:55:08.9	Yeah exactly	
PERSON 3		
0:55:10.0	That's right	
PERSON 1		
0:55:10.1	But I think that you should write that down as well. So the	
PERSON 3	simulation-	
0:55:14.2	Systematic default option	
PERSON 1		
0:55:16.3	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:55:17.0	Simulation options, user can specify	
PERSON 1		
0:55:21.6	Yeah so it is possible, but it's not a must because that	
PERSON 3	restricts the user. And if, for example, they forget to click	
	something and they get an error, you fucked up this, they	

	will be inclined not to-	
0:55:33.3	Yeah	
PERSON 2		
0:55:33.3	Well- he's so enthusiastic about it	
PERSON 3		
0:55:35.5	That's right, so it's the ease of use of the system	
PERSON 2		
0:55:37.3	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:55:39.0	I agree	
PERSON 2		
0:55:39.7	Broadly the tool, ok blablabla, students should be able to	
PERSON 3	observe any problems with their map, timing schemes, alter	
	it and see the results of their changes on the traffic patterns	
0:55:49.9	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:55:50.3	This is also important- the simulation	
PERSON 3		
0:55:54.1	If you add some activities on- and you loop it, then that's the	
PERSON 1	requirement here done.	
0:56:03.6	Yeah but my concern is that, when you have, for example,	
PERSON 3	you specify a [inaudible] change timing, and you do the	
	visualization. Visualization runs, it doesn't matter what you	
	specify. And everything can crack, for example, although	
	we've specified it's not allowed, but in some cases it might	
	because of some strange, I don't know, combination of	
	vehicles or whatever. And they should be able to see	

_		
	potential problems on this visualization, so there could be	
	like a window, for potential problems, and it could just be	
	intersection, six, I don't know, the timing is incorrect. Or	
	something. It's like a warning	
0:56:40.7	When you [inaudible] modeller	
PERSON 1		
0:56:44.8	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:56:45.1	If you make a process and you want to execute it, you get a	
PERSON 1	dropdown menu when- well this is wrong and what you have	
	to change. That's [inaudible]	
0:56:55.5	Yeah yeah yeah. Exactly something like that. And maybe	
PERSON 3	also, although we set the traffic light behaviour in the	
	previous step, which is creating the map itself, the	
	visualization should, I guess, support the option of going	
	backwards and altering it. Because, maybe not within the	
	visualization because that would just be the player, but	
	maybe before specifying like, when do you specify the	
	characteristics of the car? Like the weight and stuff? There	
	could be an option to reset the behaviour of lights, or just	
	maybe a link to the map and they can alter it. If they didn't	
	select the good one or something. You don't follow me do	
	you	
0:57:45.6	No no, the last part I didn't	
PERSON 1		
0:57:48.2	When, for example, you go step 1, you create a map, you	
PERSON 3	select all the behaviours, you basically update the colours of	

	the lights, then you go to visualization. And you realize that	
	your combination for some reason doesn't-	
0:58:01.0	All the traffic stops at the intersection	
PERSON 1		
0:58:02.0	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:58:02.6	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:58:02.6	And your intersection lights are not working, so from here	
PERSON 3	you need to have a clear option, the user-	
0:58:09.1	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
0:58:09.6	To change this without going back to this step. I think.	
PERSON 3		
0:58:14.0	Well you would need the system to have, like, to have a	
PERSON 1	basic understand of which of the options causes this-	
0:58:19.0	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:58:20.1	This traffic stop, at this intersection-	
PERSON 1		
0:58:22.0	True	
PERSON 3		
0:58:23.5	But that's for functionality, you have to have a more	
PERSON 2	algorithm that's- can be created	
0:58:27.8	Yes, but it should still somehow need to how-	
PERSON 3		
0:58:31.3	Display visually	

PERSON 1		
0:58:32.0	Yeah yeah, so they can change it basically because that's	
PERSON 3	gonna be the- would be the main usages. Create something,	
	simulate it and then go back and change it. Change it and	
	visualize it	
0:58:43.3	Then you have all the options of editing, creating or setting	
PERSON 1	up a light or car or intersection. That should all be graphical,	
	in a graphical interface.	
0:58:59.0	Yes	
PERSON 3		
0:58:59.2	So you can edit it directly when it's wrong.	
PERSON 1		
0:59:03.2	Yeah basically yeah, so this would all, all of this, I guess,	
PERSON 3	would be in a graphical user interface as well	
0:59:09.2	In every activity, that's on the paper, there should always be	[78 task (AS2)] Simulator has task
PERSON 2	a link or trajection to a process that's always checking if it's	"Validate input"
	correctly or not	[79 dependency (AS??)] "Validate
0:59:24.3	No, maybe, yeah ok. We can have a validator now	input" of simulator depends on "Set
PERSON 3		road characteristics of Student.
0:59:31.1	That might- validator- do you want a validator that's	
PERSON 1	validating at the end of view- I'm not with- creating an	
	intersection, can you validate?	
0:59:40.8	Yeah	
PERSON 3		
0:59:40.8	Or do you want to validate that- validate after each step	
PERSON 1	you're doing. So creating an intersection, changing the speed	
	and the timing on the traffic lights	

0.50.54.0		
0:59:54.9	I would say validate needs to be present at all times	
PERSON 3	because, for example, if we have a lot of inputs for like, the	
	mass and speed	
1:00:02.2	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
1:00:02.8	People will apeshit and they will for example say, I'll have a	
PERSON 3	motorcycle which ways one gram and goes-	
1:00:11.7	Ten thousand kilometres	
PERSON 1		
1:00:11.4	Yeah yeah exactly. And then you have a problem solve	
PERSON 3	validator would be good. Also this would help with all the	
	constraints that we have, with like, the different	
	intersections- you could immediately check if the	
	intersection was a proper cross, or was it a T, was it this, was	
	it different pattern, was it something else. So, a validator, I	
	guess, on every single step. Yeah I think that's- and we can	
	model this. We don't have to model what it actually	
	validates but it's- we can explain it	
1:00:44.4	Is there, for example, do you have a database on a game like	
PERSON 2	need for speed. For example-	
1:00:54.8	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
1:00:55.1	There are in the database- in the car database there are the	
PERSON 2	setup of all cars and how much they weigh, how big they	
	are-	
1:01:06.2	Yeah	
PERSON 3		

1:01:06.7	Isn't there any [inaudible] database of [inaudible] where you	
PERSON 2	can link it to this software. And that's the validator of cars	
1:01:17.1	That is- yes but still, I would go with validator as being an	
PERSON 3	external entity-	
1:01:22.4	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
1:01:22.8	A very good because-	
PERSON 3		
1:01:23.1	[inaudible']	
PERSON 1		
1:01:24.0	Yeah, but also for example, here we have the system, and	[80 contribution (AS8)] Resource
PERSON 3	this- and we would connect this to the database as you said,	"Mathematics library" contributes to
	that's a very good idea actually. Because there's a lot of data	"Validate input"
	on the vehicles	[81 contribution (AS8)] Resource
1:01:37.4	Vehicle data	"Physics library" contributes to
PERSON 1		"Validate input"
1:01:38.5	So this would be vehicle-	
PERSON 3		
1:01:41.0	Also -	
PERSON 1		
1:01:42.1	Data?	
PERSON 3		
1:01:42.6	Basic physics laws, could also be external	
PERSON 1		
1:01:45.7	Math yeah, maybe a-	
PERSON 3		
1:01:46.4	Math and physics laws	

PERSON 1		
1:01:48.9	Traffic law	
PERSON 2		
1:01:50.9	Physics? And also we would validate it, that would be the	
PERSON 3	validator, which would be externally. Validator. And traffic	
	laws?	
1:02:03.8	You mean that cars stop at a stop sign? [inaudible]	
PERSON 1		
1:02:05.7	There's no stop signs, so we don't need-	
PERSON 3		
1:02:08.8	I would have just traffic lights, you have to stop at a red sign	
PERSON 2	or the maximum-	
1:02:13.6	Basic traffic laws	
PERSON 1		
1:02:14.7	The validator could have that data as well, or no?	
PERSON 3		
1:02:18.0	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
1:02:18.4	That no-but	
PERSON 3		
1:02:19.7	Validator, you can have a validator rule engine that checks	
PERSON 2	the laws on maximum speed	
1:02:28.5	But you still need to get the laws into the system before you	
PERSON 3	can validate them. So validator would be external, that	
	would mean, yeah external, but it wouldn't have all the old	
	data. It would just get the data from different external	
	entities-	

1:02:40.8 PERSON 1 1:02:40.8 PERSON 3 1:02:42.2 Validator functionality is internal PERSON 1 1:02:44.3 PERSON 2 1:02:44.7 Yeah we don't need- no we don't need to really- yes PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 Yeah ok, so that wouldn't come into context view then, PERSON 3 1:02:59.5 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 3 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 PERSON 3 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 1:03:00.2 Yeah, but that's fine			
1:02:40.8 PERSON 3 1:02:42.2 PERSON 1 1:02:44.3 PERSON 2 1:02:44.7 PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 PERSON 3 1:02:55.3 PERSON 1 1:02:55.3 PERSON 2 1:02:55.3 PERSON 0 1 1:03:00.2 PERSON 0 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 3 1:03:08.0 PERSON 3 1-03:08.0 PERSON 3 PERSON 4 PERSON 4 PERSON 4 PERSON 5 PERSON 5 PERSON 5 PERSON 6 PERSON 6 PERSON 7 PERSON 6 PERSON 7 PERSON 7 PERSON 7 PERSON 7 PERSON 7 PERSON 8 PERSON 9 P	1:02:40.8	Yeah yeah	
PERSON 3 1:02:42.2 PERSON 1 1:02:44.3 PERSON 2 1:02:44.7 PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 PERSON 3 1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 3 1:03:08.0 PERSON 3	PERSON 1		
1:02:42.2 PERSON 1 1:02:44.3 PERSON 2 1:02:44.7 PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 PERSON 3 1:02:55.3 PERSON 1 1:02:55.3 PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 PERSON 2 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 3 1:03:08.0 PERSON 3	1:02:40.8	And process that data	
PERSON 1 1:02:44.3 PERSON 2 1:02:44.7 PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 PERSON 3 1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 2 1:02:59.5 PERSON 1 1:03:00.2 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 PERSON 3 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3	PERSON 3		
1:02:44.3 PERSON 2 1:02:44.7 PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 PERSON 3 because it's internal. It's part of the system 1:02:55.3 PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:00.5 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:00.0 PERSON 1 1:03:00.0 PERSON 3 1:00.0 PERSON 3 1:00.0 PERSON 3	1:02:42.2	Validator functionality is internal	
PERSON 2 1:02:44.7 Yeah we don't need- no we don't need to really- yes PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 That just [inaudible] validator PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 Yeah ok, so that wouldn't come into context view then, because it's internal. It's part of the system 1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3	PERSON 1		
1:02:44.7 PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 PERSON 3 1:02:55.3 PERSON 1 1:02:55.3 PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 1 1:03:03.0 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 PERSON 1 1:03:03.0 PERSON 3	1:02:44.3	Yeah	
PERSON 3 1:02:47.2 That just [inaudible] validator PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 Yeah ok, so that wouldn't come into context view then, PERSON 3 because it's internal. It's part of the system 1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 2		
1:02:47.2 PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 Yeah ok, so that wouldn't come into context view then, because it's internal. It's part of the system 1:02:55.3 PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 PERSON 3 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3	1:02:44.7	Yeah we don't need- no we don't need to really- yes	
PERSON 1 1:02:49.4 Yeah ok, so that wouldn't come into context view then, because it's internal. It's part of the system 1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 3		
1:02:49.4 Yeah ok, so that wouldn't come into context view then, DERSON 3 because it's internal. It's part of the system 1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	1:02:47.2	That just [inaudible] validator	
PERSON 3 because it's internal. It's part of the system 1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 1		
1:02:55.3 Well the information would be gathered externally PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	1:02:49.4	Yeah ok, so that wouldn't come into context view then,	
PERSON 1 1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 3	because it's internal. It's part of the system	
1:02:59.5 Yeah PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	1:02:55.3	Well the information would be gathered externally	
PERSON 2 1:03:00.2 PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 PERSON 3	PERSON 1		
1:03:00.2 Wouldn't it? PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	1:02:59.5	Yeah	
PERSON 1 1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 2		
1:03:00.5 Yeah but that would be done by the- PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	1:03:00.2	Wouldn't it?	
PERSON 3 1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 1		
1:03:03.0 That's still a part of the context view PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	1:03:00.5	Yeah but that would be done by the-	
PERSON 1 1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 3		
1:03:08.0 How do you model it because then you can only model it as a-	1:03:03.0	That's still a part of the context view	
PERSON 3 a-	PERSON 1		
	1:03:08.0	How do you model it because then you can only model it as	
1:03:10.2 Yeah, but that's fine	PERSON 3	a-	
	1:03:10.2	Yeah, but that's fine	

PERSON 1		
1:03:11.3	Internal?	
PERSON 3		
1:03:11.8	Yeah	
PERSON 1		
1:03:12.2	So you would	
PERSON 3		
1:03:12.8	Just to the TS	
PERSON 1		
1:03:14.8	Inside the TS?	
PERSON 3		
1:03:16.2	To the TS	
PERSON 1		
1:03:17.0	To, outside the UCI	
PERSON 2		
1:03:19.6	Right	
PERSON 1		
1:03:19.9	Outside. Validator is external here	
PERSON 2		
1:03:23.1	No no, it's internal. Validator would be internal as part of the	
PERSON 3	simulation	
1:03:26.6	Yeah ok, yeah yeah, you can model the validator	
PERSON 2		
1:03:30.7	So you do here for example	
PERSON 3		
1:03:32.4	Yeah	
PERSON 2		

1:03:32.8	Really?	
PERSON 3		
1:03:33.4	Yeah ok. That's to the TS yeah. But the data of the validator	
PERSON 2	comes outside the UCI	
1:03:40.0	But the validator itself is inside the TS, isn't it?	
PERSON 2		
1:03:41.8	Yeah exactly, that's my point. Validator is part of the TS, the	
PERSON 3	TS gets all the data-	
1:03:47.3	Ok	
PERSON 2		
1:03:47.3	And then this-	
PERSON 3		
1:03:48.4	Ok fine	
PERSON 2		
1:03:48.4	Function here would be the validator, which process all the	
PERSON 3	data and-	
1:03:52.4	I would agree	
PERSON 1		
1:03:52.9	Makes a decision	
PERSON 3		
1:03:53.7	Right, but you would still need to model, like, the external	
PERSON 1	information that's going to the TS	
1:03:58.8	That's vehicle data? The math and physics	
PERSON 3		
1:04:00.9	Traffic law	
PERSON 1		
1:04:02.3	Ok maybe traffic law	

PERSON 3	
1:04:02.4	Basic
PERSON 1	
1:04:02.8	Ok traffic law
PERSON 3	
1:04:04.2	Basic traffic law information, that car stops at a red car sign
PERSON 1	
1:04:08.0	Traffic-
PERSON 3	
1:04:08.9	You know, stuff like that
PERSON 1	
1:04:09.3	Laws and-
PERSON 3	
1:04:12.1	That the maximum speed of that road
PERSON 1	
1:04:13.2	We call it a CPP
PERSON 3	
1:04:15.3	Do you have traffic laws in Slovenia
PERSON 2	
1:04:17.2	No we drive on communist laws
PERSON 3	
1:04:21.0	Basically, as long as you're driving you're good
PERSON 1	
1:04:23.5	If you have a five year plan, it's good
PERSON 2	
1:04:27.7	Right
PERSON 1	

If you have a life insurance, then you're all good	
Let's work through this last part and then we can start	
modelling	
Then we can have a break	
And then we can have a break and-	
We can have a break. Ok	
Five minutes break	
We take fifteen, no I'm kidding, we take two minutes only.	
They're kidding	
Haha	
Alter and see the results of the change in traffic, that would	
be done by the validator. The problem is not meant to be an	
exact scientific simulation, but aims to simply illustrate the	
basic effects of traffic signal timing has on traffic.	
Yes [inaudible]	
If you wish you may assume that you will be able to reuse	
the existing software package. Ok so that's- we don't need	
Yeah good	
You may add additional features and support. Ok.	
	modelling Then we can have a break And then we can have a break and- We can have a break. Ok Five minutes break We take fifteen, no I'm kidding, we take two minutes only. They're kidding Haha Alter and see the results of the change in traffic, that would be done by the validator. The problem is not meant to be an exact scientific simulation, but aims to simply illustrate the basic effects of traffic signal timing has on traffic. Yes [inaudible] If you wish you may assume that you will be able to reuse the existing software package. Ok so that's- we don't need Yeah good

DEDCOMO	
PERSON 3	
I LINSON S	