

Xolotl: An Intuitive and Approachable Neuron & Network Simulator in MATLAB

Alec Hoyland^{1†}, Srinivas Gorur-Shandilya^{1†}, and Eve Marder^{1*}

¹ *Volen Center and Biology Department
Brandeis University
Waltham, MA 02454
USA*

[†] *These authors have equally contributed to this article.*

Correspondence*:
Srinivas Gorur-Shandilya
Marder Lab
srinivas@brandeis.edu

An Intuitive Neuronal Simulator

2 ABSTRACT

Information processing by neurons relies on the transmission and interaction of electrical signals that arise from the biophysics of ion channels and synapses. Electrophysiological characterization of these mechanisms has allowed for the construction of conductance-based models that can reproduce many features of neuronal and circuit behavior. However, working with conductance-based models continues to be a challenge due to their high dimensionality, hindering intuition of their dynamical features. Here, we present a neuron and network simulator using a novel automatic type system that binds class templates written in C++ to object-oriented code in MATLAB. This approach combines the speed of C++ code with the ease-of-use of scientific programming languages like MATLAB. Neuron models are hierarchical, named and searchable, permitting high-level programmatic control over all parameters. The simulator's architecture allows for the live manipulation of any parameter in any model, and for visualizing the effects of changing that parameter on model behavior. The simulator is fully featured with hundreds of ion channel models from the electrophysiological literature, and can be easily extended to include arbitrary mechanisms and dynamics. Finally, the simulator is written in a modular fashion and has been released under a permissive free software license, enabling it to be integrated easily in third party applications.

Keywords: simulator, MATLAB, C++, conductance-based, neuron, network, pedagogy

1 INTRODUCTION

Nervous systems process and transmit information through electrically-excitable membranes. Conductance-based models are the simplest biophysical representation of an electrically-excitable cell (Hodgkin and Huxley 1952a). Studies based on the Hodgkin-Huxley formalism now contribute significantly to mainstream research in small-circuit networks (Marder and Abbott 1995; Prinz 2006; Prinz 2010). Additionally, these models provide an approachable framework for understanding salient principles of neuroscience. However, challenges remain in simulating biophysically-realistic neuron models.

Conductance-based models are typically high-dimensional with many coupled nonlinear differential equations. Conductances are coupled through the membrane potential, and in multicompartment models, all membrane potentials are coupled. Simulators written in languages like C, C++, or hoc integrate equations quickly, but often lack the ease-of-use and interoperability of those written in scientific programming languages (e.g. Python, Julia, MATLAB).

Two major approaches have dominated the design of neuron simulators. First, some simulators, such as NEURON (Hines and Carnevale 1997) are specified in a custom language with modular network components. These simulators tend to perform fast computations with little overhead, but suffer from a steep learning curve. Wrapping NEURON in a more approachable language like Python and use of graphical interfaces mitigate these drawbacks, at the cost of obfuscating the underlying algorithms and parameters (Brette et al. 2007; Hines, Davison, and Muller 2009). In contrast, many simulators have been designed to be used entirely in popular scientific programming languages. This has the benefit of ease-of-use and interoperability with other tools. DynaSim (Sherfey et al. 2018), ANNarchy (Vitay, Dinkelbach, and Hamker 2015), BRIAN (Stimberg et al. 2013) translate strings of equations that are specified in the scientific programming language, that can be translated into a faster implementation language such as C or C++ (Stimberg et al. 2014). This approach permits considerable flexibility for simulating systems of differential equations, but the syntax tends to be verbose and the hierarchical nature of conductance-based neuron models is not naturally reflected in the user-generated code. Neither approach can maintain efficiency, ease-of-use, and clarity without sacrificing one of these aspects.

To overcome these design limitations, we have developed a novel automatic type system, cppplab, which binds MATLAB code to C++ header files. This architecture automatically creates objects in MATLAB that reflect the underlying object-oriented C++ code. xolotl is an implementation of the cppplab system specialized for integrating conductance-based neuron and network models. Models can be easily constructed from network building blocks in a few lines of MATLAB code using hierarchical, intuitive syntax. Since objects in MATLAB are linked to the C++ implementation, changes made in MATLAB are reflected in the underlying C++ objects. xolotl comes packaged with hundreds of conductances and synapses, built-in visualization functions, and a graphical user interface (GUI) for real-time manipulation of model parameters. Plotting of voltage, intracellular calcium, conductance gating functions, and time constants is provided by built-in xolotl methods. The GUI permits real-time tuning of any network parameters using numerical sliders in a graphical interface which displays the resultant membrane potential and intracellular calcium traces. The ease-of-use of these tools lends them to pedagogical applications and rapid exploration of toy models. This tool aims to simplify the investigation of dynamics of biophysically-realistic network models, facilitate collaborative modeling, and complement other tools being developed in the neuroinformatics community.

2 DESIGN GOALS

xolotl is designed to be easy-to-use without sacrificing speed. The software is built in MATLAB due to its popularity among neuroscientists for pedagogy and research. xolotl capitalizes on MATLAB's straightforward structure array syntax to permit rapid prototyping and experimentation, especially for small neuronal networks of complex models. Parameters of conductances, cellular compartments, and simulations may all be edited in the structure before any calls to integration functions. While the underlying code is written in C++ for speed and memory optimization, symbolic manipulations can be readily performed in MATLAB without ever touching the foundational code.

2.1 FEATURES

MATLAB provides a high level programmatic and graphical interface for implementing, manipulating, and visualizing models without sacrificing the enhancements of the underlying C++ code.

69 *Library of network components.* `xolotl` comes packaged with hundreds of pre-existing components
 70 (viz. compartments, synapses, conductances, and controllers) which greatly simplify the task of con-
 71 structing model neurons. Compartments represent cylindrical sections of membrane with a membrane
 72 potential. Synapses connect two compartments together by introducing a current in the post-synaptic
 73 neuron that depends on the state of the presynaptic neuron. Conductances represent populations of ion
 74 channels in a compartment that produce transmembrane currents. Controllers integrate a signal from a
 75 conductance or synapse and change the properties of the conductance or synapse in response.

76 *Object-oriented.* Models are specified by adding compartments and synapses to the `xolotl` object. Con-
 77 ductances are added to compartments and controllers can be added to conductances or synapses. This
 78 modular structure recapitulates the biophysics of the Hodgkin-Huxley formalism and obviates the need to
 79 explicitly write out equations, which in `xolotl` are contained within C++ header files.

80 *Automatic type system.* When a network component is added in MATLAB, the `xolotl` structure is
 81 updated, and `cpplab` automatically constructs and compiles the C++ from the requisite header files.
 82 MATLAB treats `cpplab` constructions as fully-typed first-class objects allowing for symbolic manipula-
 83 tion using only the high-level programming language and graphical interfaces. `xolotl` simulations are
 84 run entirely from C++ executables; the inputs and outputs can be represented entirely in MATLAB.

85 *Fast.* `xolotl` is fast because all time-intensive code is written in C++. While automated C++ transpiling
 86 from MATLAB using the proprietary `codegen` can drastically improve performance over loops through
 87 strong typing and memory pre-allocation, supervenience of MATLAB over C++ prevents efficient use of
 88 features, such as passing by reference and object-oriented programming. C++ provides speed improve-
 89 ments beyond the benefits of translating MATLAB features into statically-typed code. For this reason,
 90 `cpplab` has been designed to provide an interface for constructing, transpiling, and compiling C++ code
 91 to be called from within MATLAB.

92 *Automatic compiling.* The user experience of `xolotl` can stay entirely in MATLAB. Transpiling and
 93 compiling of C++ is performed automatically. Cryptographic hashing of the `xolotl` object confirms
 94 that compiling occurs only when necessary, and that the correct binary is used during integration.

2.2 LIMITATIONS

95 The focus on ease-of-use and fast simulations means some features were intentionally neglected in the
 96 streamlining process.

97 *Limited to conductance-based models.* `xolotl` has been developed specifically for conductance-based
 98 models. It does not currently support rate- or current-based models.

99 *Limited numerical integration strategies.* While the exponential Euler method performs well in neuronal
 100 models (Dayan and Abbott 2001; Oh and French 2006, it may be desirable to use other methods un-
 101 der certain conditions. `xolotl` does not currently support other integration schemes for its built-in
 102 conductances, nor does the software support error-sensitive variable step-sizes ‘out-of-the-box.’

103 *Inefficient tools for handling large networks.* While `xolotl` can integrate large networks (> 1000 com-
 104 partments), `xolotl` uses string-based comprehension for labeling compartments which is suited to
 105 descriptive naming, but prohibits vector operations over compartments.

106 *New mechanisms require new C++ code.* Adding new network components also requires writing some
 107 C++ code. Adding a new conductance in the Hodgkin-Huxley formalism (Dayan and Abbott 2001;

108 Hodgkin, Huxley, and Katz 1952), requires creating a new C++ header file, though this is generally triv-
 109 ial. Implementing a new integration scheme or `cpplab` class requires much more in-depth knowledge of
 110 C++ and modification of the core code.

3 USAGE EXAMPLES

111 In this section, we describe several uses of `xolotl` for common situations.

3.1 SIMULATING A HODGKIN-HUXLEY MODEL

112 The seminal Hodgkin-Huxley model of action potentials in the squid giant axon (Hodgkin and Hux-
 113 ley 1952b; Hodgkin, Huxley, and Katz 1952) contains a fast inactivating sodium conductance (NaV),
 114 a non-inactivating delayed rectifier (Kd), and a passive leak current (Figure 1A). This model was con-
 115 structed using conductances from Liu et al. 1998 based on electrophysiological recordings from the
 116 lobster stomatogastric ganglion (Turrigiano, LeMasson, and Marder 1995). A compartment, `HH`, with
 117 membrane capacitance (C_m) and surface area (A) is specified by Figure 1B. Figure 1C shows the `MATLAB`
 118 command window after invoking the `xolotl` object `x`, displaying the hierarchical structure inherent in
 119 conductance-based treatments of neurodynamics.

120 In the absence of applied positive current, the model is quiescent. When 0.2 nA is injected, the model
 121 tonically spikes (Figure 1D). The `integrate` function takes the applied current as an argument. The
 122 `plot` function generates voltage and intracellular calcium traces, where the voltage trace is colored by the
 123 dominant current (Figure 1E). If the membrane potential is increasing, the strongest instantaneous inward
 124 current colors the trace. Conversely, if the membrane potential is decreasing, the strongest outward current
 125 colors the trace instead. Figure 1F-I display the results of the `show` function which plots the activation
 126 and inactivation steady-states and the voltage-dependent time constants of conductance gating variables.

3.2 PERFORMING A VOLTAGE CLAMP EXPERIMENT *IN-SILICO*

127 `xolotl` can recapitulate the results of voltage clamp experiments (Destexhe and Bal 2009; Swensen and
 128 Marder 2000, 2001; Turrigiano, LeMasson, and Marder 1995). Figure 2 displays steps in the procedure to
 129 clamp the membrane potential of a cell with delayed rectifier potassium conductance. During an *in-vitro*
 130 experiment, confounding currents would be pharmacologically-blocked and two-electrode voltage clamp
 131 used to record tail currents at fixed membrane potential (Connor and Stevens 1971a,b).

132 A single-compartment model with a delayed-rectifier conductance (Liu et al. 1998) is simulated at
 133 stepped membrane potentials. The `integrate` function outputs the membrane potential and current
 134 as time series. Currents under voltage clamp approach the steady-state holding current (Figure 2D-E).
 135 The current-voltage relation is the steady-state current over the clamped voltage, and the effective con-
 136 ductance is the derivative of that relation (Figure 2F-G). Since the effective conductance is the product
 137 of the maximal conductance and the gating variables (Dayan and Abbott 2001; Turrigiano, LeMasson,
 138 and Marder 1995) and the tail current is monotonically-increasing with time under voltage clamp, the
 139 current can be represented as non-inactivating. Fitting a sigmoid to various powers yields a model for
 140 the current dynamics (Figure 2H-I). These figures describe graphically the theoretical underpinnings of
 141 current analysis through voltage clamp and can serve as an effective pedagogical tool for computational
 142 and quantitative neuroscience.

3.3 SIMULATING NETWORK MODELS

143 Network models in `xolotl` consist of compartment objects connected by synapses. Presynaptic and
 144 postsynaptic labels indicate the connectivity of the synapse. Figure 3 implements a model of the triphasic
 145 pyloric rhythm in the stomatogastric ganglion of crustaceans (Prinz, Bucher, and Marder 2004). The

pyloric model contains three compartments and seven synapses (Figure 3A). This structure is recapitulated in the hierarchy of the `xolotl` object, where conductances are contained within compartments (Figure 3B). Synapses are stored in a vector array as a field of the `xolotl` object in MATLAB (Figure 3C).

3.4 SIMULATING HOMEOSTASIS

`xolotl` can implement homeostatic tuning rules as integral control. The controller computes an error signal (typically a function of intracellular calcium concentration), and adjusts the conductance or synapse it controls accordingly (O’Leary et al. 2013). In `xolotl`, integral controllers are `cpplab` objects added to the conductance or synapse they regulate.

In a demonstration adapted from O’Leary et al. 2013, integral control changes maximal conductances to bring a neuron from quiescence into a bursting regime. Calcium sensors supervene on maximal conductance density (Figure 4) to change neuronal activity. Each conductance in the `xolotl` structure contains a calcium-sensitive controller (Figure 4B-C). Maximal conductances increase from random initial conditions to a set which elicits the desired network output by minimizing the error signal (Figure 4D-F).

3.5 USING THE GUI TO MANIPULATE PARAMETERS

The `manipulate` function opens the GUI which permits visualization of changing parameters in real-time. Moving sliders representing the values of network parameters updates a plot (Figure 5B). By default, the function opens a figure displaying the results of the `plot` function, which shows the voltage and intracellular calcium traces for each compartment (Figure 5A). `manipulate` grants slider control over all `xolotl` parameters by default, but specific ones can be selected by passing them as arguments.

4 BENCHMARKS

To assess speed and accuracy, `xolotl`, DynaSim (Sherfey et al. 2018), and NEURON (Hines and Carnevale 1997) were compared in simulations over varied time resolution, simulation time, and number of compartments (Figure 6).

Single-compartment Hodgkin-Huxley-like models were generated using conductance dynamics from Liu et al. 1998) in the simulation environments. `xolotl` uses the exponential Euler method for integrating membrane potential (Dayan and Abbott 2001). DynaSim was implemented with a 2nd-order Runge-Kutta integration scheme as recommended for high-performance in the documentation. NEURON used an implicit Euler regime (Hines and Carnevale 1997).

To compare the integration methods, models were simulated for 5 s at varying time-resolution (Figure 6A). The ratio between ‘simulated’ time and actual runtime was defined as the speed factor. Higher values indicate faster simulations. The coincidence factor determines the correlative overlap between two spike trains (Jolivet et al. 2008). To assess accuracy over decreasing time-resolution for the three simulation environments, spike trains at each resolution were compared to a ‘canonical’ spike train (exponential Euler at a time-step of $dt = 0.001$ ms).

To assess the performance of the simulators in absence of set-up overhead, models were simulated with a time-resolution of 0.1 ms over increasing simulation time (Figure 6B). The speed factor was defined as the ratio between time represented in the simulation and actual runtime (simulation-time). Therefore, the speed factor represents how many times faster the simulation is than a real-time observation.

Many simulators perform well in simulations of many compartments (Brette et al. 2007; Delorme and Thorpe 2003; Sherfey et al. 2018; Vitay, Dinkelbach, and Hamker 2015). To assess how `xolotl` performs

184 in these conditions, networks of up to 1,000 Hodgkin-Huxley cells were simulated for 5 s at a time-
185 resolution of 0.1 ms (Figure 6C).

186 DESCRIBE BENCHMARK RESULTS

5 DISCUSSION

187 We envision that `xolotl` will be helpful in teaching students how to interpret cellular biophysics. The
188 modular structure of `cpplab` and the graphical interface simplifies the process of manipulating and
189 analyzing the properties of electrical excitability.

5.1 REPRODUCIBILITY

190 `xolotl` fosters reproducibility in science. While the availability of hosting sites with version control (*viz.*
191 GitHub (<https://github.com>), GitLab (<https://gitlab.com/>), and Open Science Frame-
192 work (<https://osf.io/>)) and the push for reproducibility in computational science (Baker 2016;
193 Eklund, Nichols, and Knutsson 2016; Stodden et al. 2016) has resulted in the availability of source code,
194 much of this code base is bespoke and difficult to implement (Sedano 2016; Xu, Xu, and Deng 2017).

195 To this end, `xolotl` provides an environment with readability and reproducibility in mind. Each net-
196 work is hashed to provide a unique alphanumeric identifier. Conductance header files are easily viewed in
197 the `xolotl` source files; conductances in MATLAB contain links to the full path of the generating file.

5.2 CIRCUMVENTING LANGUAGE TRADEOFFS

198 Executing C/C++ code in higher-level languages such as MATLAB or Python often provides speed
199 improvements for iterative code in algorithms.

200 C is statically-typed, with procedural syntax that provides low-level access to memory (Kernighan and
201 Ritchie 1978, providing significant advantages for time-intensive computations. Unfortunately, automatic
202 code-generation is limited by the supervening language. MATLAB, for instance, cannot use pointers or pass
203 by reference, which limits the efficiency of C code automatically generated from MATLAB. Conversely,
204 custom C/C++ code provides significant increases in performance and memory conservation, but lacks
205 the ease-of-use and flexibility of scripting languages.

206 `xolotl` handles this problem through symbolic manipulation of C++ objects in MATLAB. Built from
207 the ground up in C++, `xolotl` maintains all the advantages of custom compiled code, but can run in
208 MATLAB without the user having to touch the C++ code. `xolotl` represents compartment, conductance,
209 synapse, and controllers as `cpplab` objects, which template from underlying C++ header files. In this
210 way, properties of the `xolotl` network can be examined and changed using object-oriented paradigms.
211 The object specifies the `integrate` function, not the other way around.

5.3 APPLICATIONS OF CPPLAB

212 NEED TO WRITE THIS

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

213 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
214 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

215 SG-S designed and implemented the core of the `xolotl` toolbox. AH contributed to the code base,
 216 created the online user documentation. SG-S and AH wrote the manuscript. EM supervised the project.
 217 All authors reviewed the paper.

FUNDING

218 AH received funding from National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) through the undergraduate training
 219 grant in computational neuroscience (1R90DA033463-01).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

220 The authors would like to thank Mara CP Rue and Hillary Rodgers for beta-testing the `xolotl` software.
 221 Janis Li helped to prepare many conductance header files.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

222 Tables including all conductances packaged with `xolotl` should be put in the supplementary material.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

223 The code to generate all figures is available at ([https://github.com/marderlab/](https://github.com/marderlab/xolotl-paper)
 224 `xolotl-paper`). `xolotl` is freely available at (<https://github.com/marderlab/xolotl>).

REFERENCES

- 225 Baker, Monya (2016) “Why Scientists Must Share Their Research Code”. In: *Nature*. DOI: 10.1038/
 226 nature.2016.20504.
 227 Brette, Romain, Michelle Rudolph, Ted Carnevale, Michael Hines, David Beeman, James M. Bower, et al.
 228 (2007) “Simulation of Networks of Spiking Neurons: A Review of Tools and Strategies”. In: *Journal of*
 229 *Computational Neuroscience* 23.3, pp. 349–398. DOI: 10.1007/s10827-007-0038-6.
 230 Connor, J. A. and C. F. Stevens (1971a) “Inward and Delayed Outward Membrane Currents in Isolated
 231 Neural Somata under Voltage Clamp”. In: *The Journal of Physiology* 213.1, pp. 1–19. pmid: 5575338.
 232 — (1971b) “Voltage Clamp Studies of a Transient Outward Membrane Current in Gastropod Neural
 233 Somata”. In: *The Journal of Physiology* 213.1, pp. 21–30. DOI: 10.1113/jphysiol.1971.
 234 sp009365.
 235 Dayan, Peter and L. F. Abbott (2001) *Theoretical Neuroscience*. Computational neuroscience. Cambridge,
 236 Mass.: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press. xv+460.
 237 Delorme, Arnaud and Simon J. Thorpe (2003) “SpikeNET: An Event-Driven Simulation Package for
 238 Modelling Large Networks of Spiking Neurons”. In: *Network: Computation in Neural Systems* 14.4,
 239 pp. 613–627. DOI: 10.1088/0954-898X_14_4_301. pmid: 14653495.
 240 Destexhe, Alain and Thierry Bal (2009) *Dynamic-Clamp: From Principles to Applications*. Springer
 241 Science & Business Media. 428 pp.
 242 Eklund, Anders, Thomas E. Nichols, and Hans Knutsson (2016) “Cluster Failure: Why fMRI Inferences
 243 for Spatial Extent Have Inflated False-Positive Rates”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
 244 *Sciences*, p. 201602413. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1602413113. pmid: 27357684.

- Hines, M. L. and N. T. Carnevale (1997) “The NEURON Simulation Environment”. In: *Neural Computation* 9.6, pp. 1179–1209. DOI: 10.1162/neco.1997.9.6.1179.
- Hines, Michael, Andrew P. Davison, and Eilif Muller (2009) “NEURON and Python”. In: *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 3. DOI: 10.3389/neuro.11.001.2009.
- Hodgkin, A. L. and A. F. Huxley (1952a) “A Quantitative Description of Membrane Current and Its Application to Conduction and Excitation in Nerve”. In: *The Journal of Physiology* 117.4, pp. 500–544. PMID: 12991237.
- (1952b) “The Components of Membrane Conductance in the Giant Axon of Loligo”. In: *The Journal of Physiology* 116.4, pp. 473–496. PMID: 14946714.
- Hodgkin, A. L., A. F. Huxley, and B. Katz (1952) “Measurement of Current-Voltage Relations in the Membrane of the Giant Axon of Loligo”. In: *The Journal of Physiology* 116.4, pp. 424–448. PMID: 14946712.
- Jolivet, Renaud, Ryota Kobayashi, Alexander Rauch, Richard Naud, Shigeru Shinomoto, and Wulfram Gerstner (2008) “A Benchmark Test for a Quantitative Assessment of Simple Neuron Models”. In: *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 169.2, pp. 417–424. DOI: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.11.006. PMID: 18160135.
- Kernighan, Brian and Dennis M. Ritchie (1978) *The C Programming Language*. Prentice hall.
- Liu, Z., J. Golowasch, E. Marder, and L. F. Abbott (1998) “A Model Neuron with Activity-Dependent Conductances Regulated by Multiple Calcium Sensors”. In: *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 18.7, pp. 2309–2320. PMID: 9502792.
- Marder, E. and L. F. Abbott (1995) “Theory in Motion”. In: *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 5.6, pp. 832–840. PMID: 8805418.
- O’Leary, Timothy, Alex H. Williams, Jonathan S. Caplan, and Eve Marder (2013) “Correlations in Ion Channel Expression Emerge from Homeostatic Tuning Rules”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110.28, E2645–E2654. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1309966110. PMID: 23798391.
- Oh, Jiyeon and Donald A. French (2006) “Error Analysis of a Specialized Numerical Method for Mathematical Models from Neuroscience”. In: *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 172.1, pp. 491–507. DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2005.02.028.
- Prinz, Astrid A (2006) “Insights from Models of Rhythmic Motor Systems”. In: *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 16.6, pp. 615–620. DOI: 10.1016/j.conb.2006.10.001.
- Prinz, Astrid A. (2010) “Computational Approaches to Neuronal Network Analysis”. In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365.1551, pp. 2397–2405. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0029. PMID: 20603360.
- Prinz, Astrid A., Cyrus P. Billimoria, and Eve Marder (2003) “Alternative to Hand-Tuning Conductance-Based Models: Construction and Analysis of Databases of Model Neurons”. In: *Journal of Neurophysiology* 90.6, pp. 3998–4015. DOI: 10.1152/jn.00641.2003. PMID: 12944532.
- Prinz, Astrid A., Dirk Bucher, and Eve Marder (2004) “Similar Network Activity from Disparate Circuit Parameters”. In: *Nature Neuroscience* 7.12, pp. 1345–1352. DOI: 10.1038/nn1352. PMID: 15558066.
- Sedano, T. (2016) “Code Readability Testing, an Empirical Study”. In: *2016 IEEE 29th International Conference on Software Engineering Education and Training (CSEET) 2016 IEEE 29th International Conference on Software Engineering Education and Training (CSEET)* pp. 111–117. DOI: 10.1109/CSEET.2016.36.
- Sherfey, Jason S., Austin E. Soplata, Salva Ardid, Erik A. Roberts, David A. Stanley, Benjamin R. Pittman-Polletta, et al. (2018) “DynaSim: A MATLAB Toolbox for Neural Modeling and Simulation”. In: *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 12. DOI: 10.3389/fninf.2018.00010.
- Stimberg, Marcel, Dan F. M. Goodman, Victor Benichoux, and Romain Brette (2014) “Equation-Oriented Specification of Neural Models for Simulations”. In: *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 8. DOI: 10.3389/fninf.2014.00006.
- Stimberg, Marcel, Dan FM Goodman, Victor Benichoux, and Romain Brette (2013) “Brian 2 - the Second Coming: Spiking Neural Network Simulation in Python with Code Generation”. In: *BMC Neuroscience* 14 (Suppl 1) P38. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2202-14-S1-P38. PMID: null.

- 297 Stodden, Victoria, Marcia McNutt, David H. Bailey, Ewa Deelman, Yolanda Gil, Brooks Hanson, et al.
 298 (2016) “Enhancing Reproducibility for Computational Methods”. In: *Science* 354.6317, pp. 1240–1241.
 299 DOI: 10.1126/science.aah6168. pmid: 27940837.
- 300 Swensen, A. M. and E. Marder (2000) “Multiple Peptides Converge to Activate the Same Voltage-
 301 Dependent Current in a Central Pattern-Generating Circuit”. In: *The Journal of Neuroscience: The*
 302 *Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 20.18, pp. 6752–6759. pmid: 10995818.
- 303 — (2001) “Modulators with Convergent Cellular Actions Elicit Distinct Circuit Outputs”. In: *The Journal*
 304 *of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 21.11, pp. 4050–4058. pmid:
 305 11356892.
- 306 Turrigiano, G., G. LeMasson, and E. Marder (1995) “Selective Regulation of Current Densities Under-
 307 lies Spontaneous Changes in the Activity of Cultured Neurons”. In: *The Journal of Neuroscience: The*
 308 *Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 15 (5 Pt 1) pp. 3640–3652. pmid: 7538565.
- 309 Vitay, Julien, Helge Ülo Dinkelbach, and Fred H. Hamker (2015) “ANNarchy: A Code Generation Ap-
 310 proach to Neural Simulations on Parallel Hardware”. In: *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 9. DOI: 10 .
 311 3389/fninf.2015.00019.
- 312 Xu, W., D. Xu, and L. Deng (2017) “Measurement of Source Code Readability Using Word Concrete-
 313 ness and Memory Retention of Variable Names”. In: *2017 IEEE 41st Annual Computer Software and*
 314 *Applications Conference (COMPSAC) 2017 IEEE 41st Annual Computer Software and Applications*
 315 *Conference (COMPSAC) vol. 1*, pp. 33–38. DOI: 10.1109/COMPSAC.2017.166.

FIGURE CAPTIONS




Figure 1: *xolotl* can quickly set up and simulate conductance-based models. (A) Cartoon of a Hodgkin-Huxley single-compartment neuron model with fast sodium, delayed rectifier, and leak currents. (B) Code snippet in MATLAB used to implement D, F-I. (C) *xolotl* schematic displayed in the MATLAB command prompt. (D) Simulated voltage trace of a Hodgkin-Huxley model with three conductances and 0.2 nA of injected current. Colors indicate the dominant current (gold is fast sodium, blue is delayed rectifier, red is leak). (E) Firing rate-input relation displaying firing rate as a function of injected current. (F-G) Steady-state gating functions for activation (m) and inactivation (h) gating variables. (H-I) Voltage-dependence of time constants for activation (m) and inactivation (h) gating variables.




Figure 2: Simulating a voltage-clamp experiment. (A) Cartoon of a cell with delayed rectifier potassium conductance (Liu et al. 1998 with experimentally-fixed voltage). (B) Structure of `xolotl` object in A. (C) Code snippet depicting integration under voltage clamp. (D-E) Current response to steps in voltage from a holding potential of $V_m = -60$ mV. (F) Current-voltage relation of the steady-state current ($t = 400$ ms) indicating a reversal potential of $E = -80$ mV and no inactivation. (G) Conductance-voltage relation at steady-state takes the form of a sigmoid. (H) Sigmoids m fit to the model as m^n data indicating that $n = 4$ is the best fit. (I) Goodness of fit vs. exponent n , suggesting $n = 4$ as the best fit to the data.




Figure 3: Simulating a network of conductance-based model neurons. (A) Diagram of a network model of the pyloric rhythm in the crustacean stomatogastric ganglion (Prinz *et al.* 2004). (B) Each neuron is modeled as a single compartment with 7-8 intrinsic conductances and 1-3 post-synaptic conductances. (C) *xolotl* implements conductances as fields of compartments and synapses as connections between compartments. (D-F) Simulated voltage trace of a model network for the three compartments. (G) Time series of synaptic currents in the simulated network can be obtained from the integration.




Figure 4: Simulating neurons under homeostatic regulation. (A) Cartoon of a model neuron (Liu *et al.* 1998 with integral control (O'Leary *et al.* 2013). (B) Hierarchical structure of a neuronal network considers controllers as components of compartments which act on conductances. (C) *xolotl* implements controllers as properties of conductances and synapses. (D) Calcium sensors change maximal conductances to move a neuron from quiescence to a bursting state. (E) Voltage trace shows regular bursting activity after integral control.




Figure 5: Using the GUI to manipulate neuron parameters. (A) Real-time output of the `plot` function displaying voltage (colored) and intracellular calcium (black) traces of a bursting neuron model (Prinz, Billimoria, and Marder 2003; Prinz, Bucher, and Marder 2004). Colors indicate the dominant current. (B) Sliders control the maximal conductances, which updates on the figure.




Figure 6: `xolotl` benchmarked against `DynaSim` and `NEURON`. (A) Ratio of 'simulated' time to runtime (speed factor) and accuracy, measured by spike train coincidence plotted against decreasing time-resolution. (B) Speed factor for models at increasing simulation times. (C) Speed factor over number of compartments.