- Large differences in the average return to stocks vs. bonds
 - ▶ Depends on how you compute it, but often people cite an equity premium of roughly 5%
- Did individuals anticipate these returns in making their consumption, savings and portfolio decisions?
- Will these differences in average returns persist?

- As economist, hard to believe that people systematically misperceive average return over long periods of time
- Given that differences in returns were anticipated, a natural answer to explain this is to appeal to differences in riskiness
 - Stocks probably have a higher return to compensate the holder for bearing additional risk

Consumption-based Capital Asset Pricing Motivation

- Goal is to understand basic consumption-based capital asset pricing model
 - Extent to which model can account for data
 - And what additional features might bring model more in line with data

- Population is constant, N(t) = N = 100 for all t
- Economy can be in two different states of the world
 - ► Denote first state *B* (a boom)
 - Denote second state R (a recession)
- lacktriangle Endowments of young agents given by $\omega_t = \omega_1$ in all periods
- Endowments of old agents uncertain, can take two values
 - If economy is in a boom $\omega_{t+1} = \omega_2^B$
 - ▶ If economy is in a recession $\omega_{t+1} = \omega_2^R < \omega_2^B$
- Assume that, independent of state today, equally likely that economy tomorrow will be in a boom or a recession

Consumption-based Capital Asset Pricing Environment

- ► Two assets in this economy
 - 1. Private borrowing and lending paying a certain rate of return r(t) no matter what state of the world economy is in
 - 2. A = 100 units of land, yielding an uncertain crop

$$d(t) = d + \varepsilon(t)$$

where

$$\varepsilon(t) \in \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma^B & \text{if economy is in a boom (state } B) \\ \sigma^R & \text{if economy is in a recession (state } R) \end{array} \right.$$

Consumption-based Capital Asset Pricing Environment

 \triangleright Assume the following preferences for all h, t:

$$u_t^h = \log c_t^h(t) + \beta \log c_t^h(t+1)$$

Individual's Problem

- ▶ When return on land stochastic, maximize *expected utility*
- ► That is, agents solve

$$\max_{\substack{\{a^h(t),l^h(t)\}\\ \boldsymbol{\zeta_t^h(t)}, L_t^h(t+l)}} E_t \left\{ \log c_t^h(t) + \beta \log c_t^h(t+1) \right\}$$

subject to the budget constraints:

$$c_t^h(t) = \omega_t - I^h(t) - p(t)a^h(t)$$

$$c_t^h(t+1) = \omega_{t+1} + r(t)I^h(t) + [p(t+1) + d + \varepsilon(t+1)]a^h(t)$$

Expectations operator E_t is expectation conditional on information at time t, i.e., expectation of $\varepsilon(t+1)$ and ω_{t+1}

Individual's Problem

For loans we have:

$$0 = E_t \left\{ -\frac{\partial u_t^h}{\partial c_t^h(t)} + \beta r(t) \frac{\partial u_t^h}{\partial c_t^h(t+1)} \right\}$$

or

$$0 = E_t \left\{ -\frac{1}{c_t^h(t)} + \beta r(t) \frac{1}{c_t^h(t+1)} \right\}$$

or since r(t) and $c_t^h(t)$ are known

$$\frac{1}{r(t)} = E_t \left\{ \beta \frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)} \right\} \tag{1}$$

Individual's Problem

For land we have:

$$0 = E_t \left\{ -p(t) \frac{\partial u_t^h}{\partial c_t^h(t)} + \beta \left[p(t+1) + d + \varepsilon(t+1) \right] \frac{\partial u_t^h}{\partial c_t^h(t+1)} \right\}$$

or

$$0 = E_t \left\{ -p(t) \frac{1}{c_t^h(t)} + \beta \left[p(t+1) + d + \varepsilon(t+1) \right] \frac{1}{c_t^h(t+1)} \right\}$$

or since p(t) and $c_t^h(t)$ are known

$$1 = E_t \left\{ \beta \underbrace{\frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)}}_{\mathbf{x}} \underbrace{\frac{[p(t+1) + d + \varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)}}_{\mathbf{y}} \right\}$$
(2)

Individual's Problem

► Equations (1) and (2) are called the fundamental asset pricing equations

Which assets get high returns?

► To find out which assets have a high or low expected return, make use of covariance:

$$cov(x, y) = E(xy) - E(x)E(y)$$

or

$$E(xy) = cov(x, y) + E(x)E(y)$$

▶ Let $x = \beta \frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)}$ and $y = \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)}$

Which assets get high returns?

▶ Rewrite second fundamental asset pricing equation (2) as

$$1 = E_{t} \left\{ \beta \frac{c_{t}^{h}(t)}{c_{t}^{h}(t+1)} \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)} \right\}$$

$$= cov \left\{ \beta \frac{c_{t}^{h}(t)}{c_{t}^{h}(t+1)}, \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)} \right\}$$

$$+ E_{t} \left\{ \beta \frac{c_{t}^{h}(t)}{c_{t}^{h}(t+1)} \right\} E_{t} \left\{ \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)} \right\}$$

Which assets get high returns?

- Now solve for expected return to buying land (uncertain asset): $E\left\{\frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)}\right\}$
- Substitute in $\frac{1}{r(t)} = E_t \left\{ \beta \frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)} \right\}$ from first fundamental asset pricing equation (1), so that

$$1 = cov \left\{ \beta \frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)}, \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r(t)} E_t \left\{ \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)} \right\}$$

Which assets get high returns?

Rearrange so that

$$E_{t}\left\{\frac{\left[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)\right]}{p(t)}\right\} = r(t)$$

$$-r(t)cov\left\{\beta\frac{c_{t}^{h}(t)}{c_{t}^{h}(t+1)}, \frac{\left[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)\right]}{p(t)}\right\}$$

Which assets get high returns?

Expected equity premium given by

$$\hat{r} = E_t \left\{ \frac{p(t+1) + d + \varepsilon(t+1)}{p(t)} \right\} - r(t)$$

$$= -r(t)cov \left\{ \beta \frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)}, \frac{[p(t+1) + d + \varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)} \right\}$$
(4)

Since r(t) known, only thing that matters for equity premium is covariance: $cov\left\{\beta\frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)}, \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)}\right\}$

Interpretation

- From now on, focus on stationary equilibrium
 - ▶ Thus, let p(t) = p and r(t) = r for all $t \ge 1$
- Suppose there exists three different kinds of land (uncertain equity)
 - Asset pays out a lot in a boom (when endowment high) and very little in a recession (when endowment low); e.g., procyclical equity
 - 2. Asset pays out very little in a boom and a lot in a recession; e.g., life-insurance pays out a lot when really needed but its expected return is typically negative
 - 3. Asset not correlated with consumption; doesn't provide positive or negative insurance (same return as certain asset)

How big is the equity premium of an asset?

- ➤ To find the expected equity premium of an asset, first solve for the competitive equilibrium
 - ► Need to find prices, *p* and *r*

Solving for Competitive Equilibrium

- Since all individuals $h \in \{1, 2, ..., N(t)\}$ in any generation t are identical (same endowments and same preferences), their optimal demand for lending, $I^h(t)$, and land, $a^h(t)$, must be the same for all h
- ► Hence, in any competitive equilibrium it must be that asset demands for all *h* are given by

$$I^h(t) = 0 (5)$$

$$a^{h}(t) = \frac{A}{N(t)} = \frac{A}{N} = \frac{100}{100} = 1$$
 (6)

Solving for Competitive Equilibrium

This implies that consumption when young is given by

$$c_t^h(t) = \omega_1 - p \tag{7}$$

which means that consumption when old is given by

$$c_t^h(t+1) = \omega_{t+1} + [p+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]$$

$$= \begin{cases} \omega_2^B + [p+d+\sigma^B] & \text{if in a boom (state } B) \\ \omega_2^R + [p+d+\sigma^R] & \text{if in a recession (state } R) \end{cases}$$

Solving for Competitive Equilibrium

- ➤ Substitute eq. (7) and (8) into eq. (1) and (2) and take expectations
- ► We get

$$\frac{1}{r} = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{c_t^h(t)}{c_t^h(t+1)} \right\}$$

$$= \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{\omega_1 - p}{\omega_{t+1} + [p+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]} \right\}$$

$$= \beta \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_1 - p}{\omega_2^B + [p+d+\sigma^B]} + \beta \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_1 - p}{\omega_2^R + [p+d+\sigma^R]}$$
(9)

Solving for Competitive Equilibrium

and

$$1 = E_{t} \left\{ \beta \frac{c_{t}^{h}(t)}{c_{t}^{h}(t+1)} \frac{[p(t+1)+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p(t)} \right\}$$

$$= \beta E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\omega_{1}-p}{\omega_{t+1}+[p+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]} \frac{[p+d+\varepsilon(t+1)]}{p} \right\}$$

$$= \beta \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega_{1}-p}{\omega_{2}^{B}+[p+d+\sigma^{B}]} \frac{[p+d+\sigma^{B}]}{p} \right\}$$

$$+ \beta \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\omega_{1}-p}{\omega_{2}^{R}+[p+d+\sigma^{R}]} \frac{[p+d+\sigma^{R}]}{p} \right\}$$
(10)

Solving for Competitive Equilibrium

- Now can use equation (10) to find *p* and then equation (9) to find *r*
- But this requires a lot of algebra, so let's look at some numerical examples

- For simplicity assume that d = 0, so there is no certain component to dividends
- Assume that if in a boom $\omega_{t+1} = \omega_2^B = 1$ and if in a recession $\omega_{t+1} = \omega_2^R = 0$
- ▶ Set $\beta = 2/3$

Example: Procyclical Assets

- ightharpoonup Assume that $\omega_1 = 2.94$
- Consider an asset that pays

$$\varepsilon_t \in \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1/5 & \text{if economy is in a boom (state } B) \\ -1/5 & \text{if economy is in a recession (state } R) \end{array} \right.$$

Example: Procyclical Assets

- Guess that price p = 1 (turns out to be correct in this case)
- ightharpoonup Can then solve for r using equation (9)

$$\frac{1}{r} = \beta \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_1 - p}{\omega_2^B + [p + d + \sigma^B]} + \beta \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_1 - p}{\omega_2^R + [p + d + \sigma^R]}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2.94 - 1}{1 + 1 + 1/5} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2.94 - 1}{1 - 1/5} = 1.103$$

so that

$$r = 0.907$$

Example: Procyclical Assets

► The equity premium is then

$$\hat{r} = E\left\{\frac{p+d+\varepsilon(t+1)}{p} - r\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[p+d+\sigma^{B}\right]}{p} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[p+d+\sigma^{R}\right]}{p} - r$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[1+1/5\right]}{1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[1-1/5\right]}{1} - 0.907$$

$$= 0.093$$

So, the equity premium is 9 percent

Example: Procyclical Assets

This is an asset that pays out a lot in a boom when consumption is high

$$c_t^h(t+1) = \omega_2^B + \left[p + d + \sigma^B \right] = 1 + 1 + 1/5 = 2.2$$

and marginal utility of consumption low $(1/c_t^h(t+1) = 0.45)$

Similarly, it pays out little in a recession when consumption is low

$$c_t^h(t+1) = \omega_2^R + [p+d+\sigma^R] = 1 - 1/5 = 0.8$$

and marginal utility of consumption high $(1/c_{+}^{h}(t+1) = 1.25)$

Thus, the covariance is negative

high provides of subustions to asset insurantion was in the consumption was in the consumption was in the consumption when the consumptions is the consumptions to the consumptions and the consumptions are consumptions to the consumptions and the consumptions are consumptions and consumptions are consumptions as a consumption of the consumptions are consumptions as a consumption of the consumptions are consumptions as a consumption of the consumptions are consumptions are consumptions as a consumption of the consumptions are con

Example: "Unemployment" Insurance

- Assume that $\omega_1 = 3.077$
- Consider an asset that pays

$$\varepsilon_t \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -1/5 \quad \text{if economy is in a boom (state } B) \\ 1/5 \quad \text{if economy is in a recession (state } R) \end{array} \right.$$

Example: "Unemployment" Insurance

- Guess that price p = 1 (turns out to be correct in this case)
- ightharpoonup Can then solve for r using equation (9)

$$\frac{1}{r} = \beta \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_1 - p}{\omega_2^B + [p + d + \sigma^B]} + \beta \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_1 - p}{\omega_2^R + [p + d + \sigma^R]}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3.077 - 1}{1 + 1 - 1/5} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3.077 - 1}{1 + 1/5} = 0.962$$

so that

$$r = 1.04$$

Example: "Unemployment" Insurance

▶ The equity premium is then

$$\hat{r} = E\left\{\frac{p+d+\varepsilon(t+1)}{p} - r\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[p+d+\sigma^{B}\right]}{p} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[p+d+\sigma^{R}\right]}{p} - r$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[1-1/5\right]}{1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[1+1/5\right]}{1} - 1.04$$

$$= -0.04$$

So, the equity premium is -4 percent

Example: "Unemployment" Insurance

▶ This is an asset that pays out little in a boom when consumption is high

$$c_t^h(t+1) = \omega_2^B + \left[p + d + \sigma^B \right] = 1 + 1 - 1/5 = 1.8$$

and marginal utility of consumption low $(1/c_t^h(t+1) = 0.55)$

Similarly, it pays out more in a recession when consumption is low

$$c_t^h(t+1) = \omega_2^R + \left[p+d+\sigma^R\right] = 1+1/5 = 1.2$$
hold return the property of the property of

Thus, the covariance is positive

and marginal utility of consumption high $(1/c_t^h(t+1)=0.8)$. Thus, the covariance is positive as set insurance in positive

How big is the equity premium in general?

To connect with data, assume

$$u_t^h = \frac{\left[c_t^h(t)\right]^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu} + \beta \frac{\left[c_t^h(t+1)\right]^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu}$$

- $\nu \mu \geq 0$ is coefficient of relative risk aversion
- If $\mu = 0$ then utility is linear and agents are risk neutral
- ▶ If μ > 0 then agents are risk averse

How big is the equity premium in general?

With this utility specification, equity premium on an asset depends positively on coefficient of relative risk aversion and and how strongly return on assets covaries with consumption growth

Fitting Data?

Can model fit the data?

Consumption-based Capital Asset Pricing Fitting Data?

- ➤ To match equity premium, coefficient of relative risk aversion would need to be huge
 - Not empirically plausible
- This is known as equity premium puzzle
 - First pointed out by Mehra and Prescott (1985)

Bringing Model in Line with Data?

Additional features intended to bring model more in line with data

- ► Incomplete markets
- Transaction costs
- Life cycle
- Habit formation

Incomplete Markets

Incomplete markets

- Risk that can't be fully insured against
- Induces precautionary savings motive
- Agents willing to hold risk free bonds at lower rate than in complete markets economy

Transaction Costs

Transaction costs

- Costly to trade stocks but not risk free asset
- No arbitrage implies that in expected terms return to bonds and return to stocks minus transaction costs must be the same
- ► For individuals to hold both equity and bonds, return to stocks must increase relative to world without transaction costs

Consumption-based Capital Asset Pricing Life Cycle

- Attractiveness of equity depends on correlation between consumption and equity income
- Correlation not constant over life cycle
- Young individuals would like to hold more equity, but credit constrained
- Marginal investor is middle-aged

Consumption-based Capital Asset Pricing Life Cycle

- Young individuals
 - ► Face lots of uncertainty regarding labor earnings, but less regarding equity income (since they have little equity)
 - As long as correlation between earnings and equity income low (true in data), makes sense to use equity income to insure wage fluctuations
 - Equity is then desired and individuals will buy it even at a fairly low return
- Middle-aged individuals
 - Wage uncertainty has been resolved
 - Consumption uncertainty then goes hand in hand with uncertainty regarding equity income
 - For these individuals to buy equity, must have high return

Habit Formation

Habit formation

- Past consumption represents consumer's stock of habit
- Dislike variations in habit-adjusted consumption (rather than variations in consumption itself)
- A given percentage change in consumption produces much larger percentage change in habit-adjusted consumption than in consumption itself
- ► This type of utility function essentially makes people more risk averse