Lecture 10 Instrumental variables

Lectures in SDPE: Econometrics I on February 26, 2024

Markus Jäntti Swedish Institute For Social Research Stockholm University

Introduction

We have endogeneity if

$$Y = X'\beta + e, \text{ and } E[Xe] \neq 0.$$
 (1)

- This is meaningful only relative to more structure on the problem than that provided by just the linear projection interpretation.
- That is, β needs to have a *structural* interpretation.
- Substantively, we should distinguish between different types of endogeneity, such as:
 - measurement errors in regressors
 - · two-way causation
 - simultaneity
 - omitted variables
- Much of this chapter will devoted to the "classical" IV setup in economics involving simultaneous equations.
- Much of the *estimation* and *asymptotic distribution* for IV will be covered under generalized method of moments.

Measurement error in regressors

- Let (Y, X^*) be the random variables of interest and the object of study the conditional expectation $E[Y|X^*] = X^{*'}\beta$.
- We observe an error-ridden version $X = X^* + \mathbf{u}$ with the $k \times 1$ vector of measurement errors \mathbf{u} , independent of Y and X^* .
- Our regression is

$$Y = X^{*'}\beta + e = (X - \mathbf{u})'\beta + e = X'\beta + (e - \mathbf{u}'\beta) = X'\beta + v$$
 (2)

Our moment condition is

$$E[Xv] = E[(X^* + \mathbf{u})(e - \mathbf{u}'\beta)] = -E[\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}']\beta \neq \mathbf{0}$$
(3)

as long as $\beta \neq 0$ and $E[\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}'] \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Measurement error in regressors

• We then have

$$\beta^* = (E[XX'])^{-1}E[XY] = \beta - (E[XX'])^{-1}E[uu']\beta \neq \beta.$$
 (4)

Our LS estimator

$$\widehat{\beta} = \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i X_i' \right)^{-1} \left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i \right)$$
 (5)

converges to β^* , not β . This is known as *measurement error bias*.

Supply and demand

Suppose we are studying supply and demand using

$$Q = -\beta_1 P + e_1, \quad \text{(demand)}$$

$$Q = \beta_2 P + e_2, \quad \text{(supply)}$$
(6)

- Let $(e_1, e_2) = e$ be iid and $E[e] = \mathbf{0}$, $E[ee'] = \mathbf{I}_2$ for simplicity and $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 1$. What happens if we regress Q on P?
- First, express the equation as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta_1 \\ 1 & -\beta_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

Supply and demand

• Solve for (*Q*, *P*)

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta_1 \\ 1 & -\beta_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_2 & \beta_1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 e_1 + \beta_1 e_2 \\ e_1 - e_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

Projecting Q onto P yields

$$Q = \beta^* P + u, \, \mathbf{E}[Pu] = 0 \tag{9}$$

with

$$\beta^* = \frac{E[PQ]}{E[P^2]} = \frac{\beta_2 - \beta_1}{2} \tag{10}$$

A LS estimate of this projection thus converges to $\frac{\beta_2 - \beta_1}{2}$, not β_2 or β_1 . This is known as *simultaneous equations bias*.

Instrumental Variables

• Consider the linear regression, now a *structural equation*

$$Y = X'\beta + e = X'_1\beta_1 + X'_2\beta_2 + e, E[Xe] \neq 0$$
 (11)

where we have endogeneity.

• Now, X_1 , β_1 are $k_1 \times 1$ and X_2 , β_2 are $k_2 \times 1$, and are partitioned such that

$$E[X_1e] = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{but} \quad E[X_2e] \neq \mathbf{0} \tag{12}$$

I.e., X_2 has non-zero covariance with e and is *endogenous* while X_1 is *exogenous*.

• To get an unbiased/consistent estimate, we need to solve β from the sample analog of

$$E[\mathbf{Z}e] = E \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{Z}_2 \end{pmatrix} e \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$
 (13)

where $X_1 = \mathbf{Z}_1$ are the $k_1 \times 1$ included exogenous regressors and \mathbf{Z}_2 are the $l_2 \times 1$ excluded exogenous regressors.

Instrumental Variables

- If $l = k_1 + l_2 = k$ the model is *just-identified* (or exactly identified), if l > k it is over-identified
- That you need $l_2 \ge k_2$ instruments to consistently estimate β does not mean they exist!

Solving measurement error bias

- Recall Slide 3, equation 3.
- Suppose there exist a set of l instruments $(l \ge k)$, \mathbf{Z} , such that
 - it is uncorrelated with the regression error $E[\mathbf{Z}e] = 0$
 - it is uncorrelated with the measurement error $E[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}] = 0$
 - it is correlated with the true regressors $E[ZX^*] = Q$ (where Q > 0)
- An unbiased estimator of β can be constructed using the sample analog of the condition that

$$E[\mathbf{Z}(Y - \mathbf{X}'\boldsymbol{\beta})] = 0. \tag{14}$$

• The key issue is whether or not such a **Z** exists.

Reduced Form

- In contrast to the structural form, we approach estimation of the parameters in the *reduced form* equations using linear projection.
- Consider the two sets of linear projection coefficients:

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}']^{-1}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}']$$

$$\lambda = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}']^{-1}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}]$$
(15)

(Γ is $l \times k$, λ is $l \times 1$.)

• From the first, we have the $k \times 1$ projection error:

$$\mathbf{u} = X - \Gamma' \mathbf{Z} \Rightarrow X = \Gamma' \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}'] = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (16)

• Substitute 16 into 11 to get the *reduced form* for *Y*:

$$Y = \beta'(\Gamma'Z + \mathbf{u}) + e \Rightarrow Y = \lambda'Z + v. \tag{17}$$

The reduced form and structural parameters are related:

$$\lambda = \Gamma \beta, \tag{18}$$

as are the errors:

$$v = \beta' \mathbf{u} + e. \tag{19}$$

Reduced Form

• By construction, we have

$$E[\mathbf{Z}v] = E[\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}']\beta + E[\mathbf{Z}e] = 0.$$
 (20)

 Using sample data, both sets of linear projection coefficients can be estimated by LS:

$$\widehat{\Gamma} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'X$$

$$\widehat{\lambda} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'Y$$
(21)

Identification

- *Identification* is about this: can the structural parameter β be recovered from the reduced form coefficients?
- Solve β from eq. 18:

$$\beta = \Gamma^{-1}\lambda, \ l = k; \quad \beta = (\Gamma'W\Gamma)^{-1}\Gamma'W\lambda, \ l > k, W > 0.$$
 (22)

For this to be possible, the *rank condition* must hold:

$$rank(\mathbf{\Gamma}) = k \tag{23}$$

• Note that $Z' = (X'_1, Z'_2)$ and $X' = (X'_1, X'_2)$ so

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & \Gamma_{12} \\ \mathbf{0} & \Gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (24)

and the regression of X on Z can be re-written as

$$X_1 = \mathbf{Z}_1 \quad \text{(Note: } \mathbf{Z}_1 \equiv X_1\text{)}$$

$$X_2 = \Gamma'_{12}\mathbf{Z}_1 + \Gamma'_{22}\mathbf{Z}_2 + \mathbf{u}$$
(25)

• The key to identification is the rank of Γ_{22} which must be k_2 to ensure rank $(\Gamma) = k$.

Estimation

 We treat IV estimation and the distribution of the estimators in greater detail under GMM (chapter 12; lecture 13) but now cover the main estimators.

IV estimator

• With a just-identified model l = k, we have the moment condition

$$E[\mathbf{Z}e] = \mathbf{0}.\tag{26}$$

• With $e = Y - X'\beta$, we have

$$E[Z(Y - X'\beta)] = \mathbf{0} \Leftarrow$$

$$E[ZY] - E[ZX']\beta = \mathbf{0},$$
(27)

so

$$\beta = (\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Z}X'])^{-1}\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Z}Y]. \tag{28}$$

• The Instrumental Variables (IV) estimator $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV}$ is the "plug-in" estimator

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{X}_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{Y}_i\right)$$
$$= (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Y}). \tag{29}$$

• To generalize a bit, for any instruments W, an IV estimator is

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = (\boldsymbol{W}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{W}'\boldsymbol{Y}). \tag{30}$$

Two-stage least squares

- The IV estimator is simple to solve from the moment conditions as l = k (just identified).
- With $l \ge k$, this will not work.
- The reduced form can be written as

$$Y = \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{v}, \quad \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Z} \boldsymbol{v}] = \mathbf{0}. \tag{31}$$

• Now let $w = \Gamma' Z$ so

$$Y = \mathbf{w}'\beta + v, \quad \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{w}v] = \mathbf{0} \tag{32}$$

Two-stage least squares

• If Γ was known, the "natural" estimator would be

$$\widehat{\beta} = (W'W)^{-1}(W'Y)$$

$$= (\Gamma'Z'Z\Gamma)^{-1}(\Gamma'Z'Y).$$
(33)

• Since this is not feasible, we must use $\widehat{\Gamma}$ in its place:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2SLS} = (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}' \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}})^{-1} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}' \mathbf{Z}' Y)$$

$$= (X' \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' X)^{-1} X' \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' Y \qquad (34)$$

$$= (X' \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' X)^{-1} X' \mathbf{Z} (\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}' Y.$$

- The parameters are estimated by least squares in two stages, hence the name.
- The properties of the 2SLS estimator will be examined in the next lecture.

Endogeneity tests

- The 2SLS estimator is needed as the regressors X_2 in the structural equation are thought to be endogenous $(E[X_2e] \neq 0)$.
- This is a testable restriction, with hypotheses

$$\mathbb{H}_0 : \mathbb{E}[X_2 e] = \mathbf{0}$$
 against $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mathbb{E}[X_2 e] \neq \mathbf{0}$ (35)

• This can be approached using *control functions*.

Control functions

• We can write the structural and first-stage regressions as

$$Y = X'_{1}\beta_{1} + X'_{2}\beta_{2} + e$$

$$X_{2} = \Gamma'_{12}\mathbf{Z}_{1} + \Gamma'_{22}\mathbf{Z}_{2} + \mathbf{u}_{2}.$$
(36)

- The endogeneity of X_2 means that \mathbf{u}_2 and e are correlated
- Consider then the linear projection of e onto \mathbf{u}_2 :

$$e = \mathbf{u}_2'\alpha + \epsilon; \quad \alpha = (\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{u}_2\mathbf{u}_2'])^{-1}\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{u}_2e]; \quad \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{u}_2\epsilon] = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (37)

Substituting this back into the structural equation we have

$$Y = X'_1 \beta_1 + X'_2 \beta_2 + \mathbf{u}'_2 \alpha + \epsilon$$

$$E[X_1 \epsilon] = 0; \quad E[X_2 \epsilon] = 0; \quad E[\mathbf{u}_2 \epsilon] = 0$$
(38)

• Note that X_2 is uncorrelated with ϵ . It's correlation with e is through \mathbf{u}_2 and ϵ is the error after e has been projected orthogonally onto \mathbf{u}_2 .

Control functions

 While we do not observe u₂, it can be estimated by the first-stage residual

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_2 = X_2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}'_{12} \mathbf{Z}_1 + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}'_{22} \mathbf{Z}_2 \tag{39}$$

• The coefficients $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha)$ can be estimated by LS on

$$Y = X'\beta + \hat{\mathbf{u}}_2\alpha + \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}.\tag{40}$$

Endogeneity tests

• Since $E[X_2e] = 0$ if and only if $E[\mathbf{u}_2e] = 0$, the above \mathbb{H}_0 can be restated as

$$\mathbb{H}_0: \alpha = 0$$
 against $\mathbb{H}_1: \alpha \neq 0$.

- This can be tested using a Wald statistic; the problem is slightly more involved as the testing relies on the "generated regressor" $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_2$ rather than the true values (see Hansen 2021, chs 12.26–12.27)
- An alternative approach is to use a so-called Hausman test (see Hansen 2021, ch 9.15)

• Recall from eq. 25

$$X_2 = \Gamma'_{12} \mathbf{Z}_1 + \Gamma'_{22} \mathbf{Z}_2 + u \tag{41}$$

that if Γ_{22} is not of rank k_2 , identification fails. (The closely related problem of weak instruments – $\Gamma_{22} \neq 0$ but is very small – will be studied in Econometrics II.)

• Suppose we study three scalar variables (Y, X, Z):

$$Y = X\beta + e$$

$$X = Z\gamma + u$$
(42)

- Suppose $\gamma = 0$, so E[ZX] = 0. What happens to the IV and LS estimators?
- Assume homoscedastic, unit variance and correlated errors (so *X* is endogenous),

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\begin{pmatrix} e \\ u \end{pmatrix} \middle| Z\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \operatorname{E}[Z^2] = 1. \tag{43}$$

• Study (Ze, Zu)', for which we know by the CLT that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} Z_i e_i \\ Z_i u_i \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{d}{\to} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{44}$$

- Define $\xi_0 = \xi_1 \rho \xi_2$, which is normal and $\perp \xi_2$.
- For the (O)LS estimator of β

$$\widehat{\beta} - \beta = \frac{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i e_i}{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i^2} \xrightarrow{p} \rho \neq 0.$$
 (45)

(I.e., the endogeneity of *X* renders LS inconsistent.)

• With identification failure, $\gamma = 0$, the asymptotic distribution of the IV estimator is

$$\widehat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i e_i}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i X_i} \xrightarrow{\xi} \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} = \rho + \frac{\xi_0}{\xi_2}.$$
 (46)

- $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV}$ is inconsistent: it converges to a random variable, not the correct constant (or indeed any constant)
- The ratio ξ_0/ξ_2 is symmetrically distributed around zero so $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV}$ has median at $\beta+\rho$
- ξ_0/ξ_2 is a ratio of two independent normal variables so follows a Cauchy distribution; it therefore does not have a finite expectation

• For the t-statistic, we need

$$\widehat{\sigma}^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_{i} - X_{i} \widehat{\beta}_{IV} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2} - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} X_{i} \left(\widehat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta \right) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} \left(\widehat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta \right)^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{d}{\to} 1 - 2\rho \frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} + \left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{2}} \right)^{2}.$$
(47)

• Then we have the asymptotic distribution of the t-statistic,

$$T = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{IV} - \beta}{\sqrt{\widehat{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i Z_i|}} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\xi_1}{\sqrt{1 - 2\rho \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} + \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^2}}$$
(48)

• This is non-normal. E.g., if $\rho \to 1$, $\xi_1/\xi_2 \stackrel{P}{\to} 1$ and $\widehat{\sigma}^2 \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$. As a concsequence, the standard error of $\widehat{\beta}_{IV}$ converges to zero and the t-statistic converges to ∞ ! so $T \to \infty$ as $\xi_1/\xi_2 \to 1$.



