

1. Given moment equations $g_i(\beta) = Z_i(Y_i - X_i'\beta)$, the criterion can be written as

$$J(\beta) = (Z'Y - Z'X\beta)'W(Z'Y - Z'X\beta).$$

The constrained problem is equivalent to finding the saddle point of the Lagrangian $L = \frac{1}{2}J(\beta) + \lambda'(R'\beta - c)$. The first order conditions are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} L(\tilde{\beta}_{\text{cmm}}, \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{cmm}}) = -X'ZW(Z'Y - Z'X\tilde{\beta}_{\text{cmm}}) + R\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{cmm}} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} L(\tilde{\beta}_{\text{cmm}}, \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{cmm}}) = R'\tilde{\beta}_{\text{cmm}} - c = 0 \quad (2)$$

Premultiplying (1) by $R'(X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}$

$$R'(X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}X'ZWZ'Y - R'(X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}X'ZWZ'X\tilde{\beta}_{\text{cmm}} = R'(X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}R\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{cmm}}$$

Recognizing the unrestricted GMM estimator $\hat{\beta}_{\text{gmm}}$, imposing (2) and assuming full rank condition for invertibility to solve for $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{cmm}}$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{cmm}} = [R'(X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}R]^{-1}(R'\hat{\beta}_{\text{gmm}} - c).$$

Substituting this expression into (1) and solving for $\tilde{\beta}_{\text{cmm}}$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{\text{cmm}} = \hat{\beta}_{\text{gmm}} - (X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}R[R'(X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}R]^{-1}(R'\hat{\beta}_{\text{gmm}} - c).$$

2. (a) The criterion function is

$$J(\beta) = \frac{1}{n}e'X\hat{\Omega}^{-1}X'e$$

rewrite using $\hat{V}_\beta = (\frac{1}{n}X'X)^{-1}\hat{\Omega}(\frac{1}{n}X'X)^{-1}$

$$J(\beta) = n((X'X)^{-1}X'e)' \hat{V}_\beta^{-1}((X'X)^{-1}X'e) = n(\beta - \hat{\beta})'\hat{V}_\beta^{-1}(\hat{\beta} - \beta),$$

since $(X'X)^{-1}X'e = (X'X)^{-1}X'(y - X'\beta) = \hat{\beta} - \beta$.

- (b) Setting the efficient weight matrix from unconstrained estimation the constrained GMM estimator can be written as efficient minimum distance

$$\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} - \hat{V}_\beta R(R'\hat{V}_\beta R)^{-1}(R'\hat{\beta} - 0)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D &= n(\hat{V}_\beta(R'\hat{V}_\beta)^{-1}R'\beta)' \hat{V}_\beta^{-1}(\hat{V}_\beta(R'\hat{V}_\beta)^{-1}R'\beta) = n(\hat{V}_\beta(R'\hat{V}_\beta)^{-1}R'\beta)' R(R'\hat{V}_\beta)^{-1}R'\beta \\ &= n\beta'R(R'\hat{V}_\beta)^{-1}R'\hat{V}_\beta(R'\hat{V}_\beta)^{-1}R'\beta = n(R'\beta)(R'\hat{V}_\beta)^{-1}(R'\beta) = W_n \end{aligned}$$

¹42624@student.hhs.se

3. (a) use AJR2001.dta
 gen logmort2 = logmort0*logmort0
 ivregress gmm loggdp (risk=logmort0 logmort2)

loggdp	Coefficient	std. err.
risk	.7278459	.0900634
_cons	3.336234	.6138203

- (b) estat overid
 Hansen's J chi2(1) = 3.74788 (p = 0.0529)

- (c) ivregress 2sls loggdp (risk=logmort0 logmort2)

loggdp	Coefficient	Std. err.
risk	.7722554	.1130303
_cons	3.018849	.7434204

The GMM and 2SLS estimates are similar.

4. $Y_0 = 0 \implies \text{Var}[Y_0] = 0$

$$\text{Var}[Y_1] = \text{Var}[Y_0 + e_1] = \text{Var}[e_1] = 1,$$

$$\text{Var}[Y_2] = \text{Var}[Y_1 + e_2] = \text{Var}[Y_0 + e_1 + e_2] = \text{Var}[e_1] + \text{Var}[e_2] = 2,$$

\vdots

$$\text{Var}[Y_t] = \sum_{j=1}^t \text{Var}[e_j] = t.$$

The variance is not constant over time. Consequently the series is non-stationary.

5. (a) Set $e_t = 0$ for $t \neq 0$ and set $e_0 = 1$ to recursively calculate $b_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial e_t} Y_{t+j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial e_0} Y_j$.

$$b_0 = 1$$

$$b_1 = \alpha_1 b_0 = \alpha_1$$

$$b_2 = \alpha_1 b_1 = \alpha_1^2$$

\vdots

$$b_j = \alpha_1 b_{j-1} = \alpha_1^j.$$

- (b) $\hat{b}_j(\hat{\alpha}_1) = \hat{\alpha}_1^j$ using the least squares estimator

$$\hat{\alpha} = \left(\sum_{t=2}^n X_t X_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=2}^n X_t Y_t \right),$$

where $X_t = (1, Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_{t-2})'$.

- (c) By the delta method as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{b}_j - b_j) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, j^2(\hat{\alpha}_1)^{2(j-1)} s^2(\hat{\alpha}_1))$$

since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_1} b_j = j \alpha_1^{j-1}$. The 95% asymptotic confidence interval is

$$\hat{b}_j \pm 1.96 \times j(\hat{\alpha}_1)^{(j-1)} s(\hat{\alpha}_1)$$

6. (a) use FRED-QD.dta

```
gen y = 100*(pnfix/L.pnfix-1)
```

- (b) Under the assumption of correct specification covariance matrix estimation is identical to the cross-section case using conventional regression methods.

```
reg y L(1/4).y, r
```

```
Linear regression
```

```
Number of obs = 231
```

```
F(4, 226) = 25.32
```

```
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

y	Coefficient	std. err.	t	P> t
L1.	.501609	.0760312	6.60	0.000
L2.	.168321	.0702794	2.40	0.017
L3.	-.0261832	.06278	-0.42	0.677
L4.	-.068269	.0525659	-1.30	0.195
_cons	.4911459	.1477817	3.32	0.001

- (c) Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent/Robust (HAC/HAR) covariance matrix estimators are appropriate under general dependence.

```
newey y L(1/4).y, lag(5)
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors
```

```
Maximum lag = 5
```

```
Number of obs = 231
```

```
F(4,226) = 32.25
```

```
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

y	Coefficient	std. err.	t	P> t
L1.	.501609	.0843377	5.95	0.000
L2.	.168321	.0692569	2.43	0.016
L3.	-.0261832	.0654151	-0.40	0.689
L4.	-.068269	.051575	-1.32	0.187
_cons	.4911459	.1425101	3.45	0.001

- (d) Since the standard errors are similar the model is likely to be correctly specified in the sense that it has unforecastable errors and serially uncorrelated regression scores.

- (e) matrix alpha = e(b)

```
gen IRF = 0
```

```
replace IRF = 1 in 4
```

```
forval j = 5/14 {
```

```
    replace IRF = alpha[1, 1] * 1.IRF + alpha[1, 2] * 12.IRF  
    + alpha[1, 3] * 13.IRF + alpha[1, 4] * 14.IRF in 'j'
```

```
}
```

```
list IRF in 5/14
```

5.	.501609	10.	.0164396
6.	.4199326	11.	-.0028752
7.	.2688901	12.	-.0087824
8.	.1241585	13.	-.0095729
9.	.0622994	14.	-.0073271