

Applications of Generalized Method of Moments Estimation

Jeffrey M. Wooldridge

The method of moments approach to parameter estimation dates back more than 100 years (Stigler, 1986). The notion of a moment is fundamental for describing features of a population. For example, the population mean (or population average), usually denoted μ , is the moment that measures central tendency. If y is a random variable describing the population of interest, we also write the population mean as $E(y)$, the expected value or mean of y . (The mean of y is also called the first moment of y .) The population variance, usually denoted σ^2 or $\text{Var}(y)$, is defined as the second moment of y centered about its mean: $\text{Var}(y) = E[(y - \mu)^2]$. The variance, also called the second central moment, is widely used as a measure of spread in a distribution.

Since we can rarely obtain information on an entire population, we use a sample from the population to estimate population moments. If $\{y_i; i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a sample from a population with mean μ , the *method of moments estimator* of μ is just the sample average: $\bar{y} = (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)/n$. Under random sampling, \bar{y} is unbiased and consistent for μ regardless of other features of the underlying population. Further, as long as the population variance is finite, \bar{y} is the best linear unbiased estimator of μ . An unbiased and consistent estimator of σ^2 also exists and is called the sample variance, usually denoted s^2 .¹

Method of moments estimation applies in more complicated situations. For example, suppose that in a population with $\mu > 0$, we know that the variance is three times the mean: $\sigma^2 = 3\mu$. The sample average, \bar{y} , is unbiased and consistent

¹ See Wooldridge (2000, appendix C) for more discussion of the sample mean and sample variance as method of moments estimators.

for μ , but so is a different estimator, namely, $s^2/3$. The existence of two unbiased, consistent method of moments estimators raises an obvious question: Which should we use? One possible answer is to choose the estimator with the smallest sampling variance, so that we obtain the most precise estimator of μ . But in this case, it turns out that for some values of μ , the sample average has a smaller variance, while for other values, $s^2/3$ has the smaller variance. Is there an estimator that combines the information in \bar{y} and $s^2/3$ and performs better than either would alone? Yes, provided we restrict ourselves to large-sample comparisons. The theory of *generalized method of moments* (GMM) tells us how to use the two sets of *population moment conditions*, which in this case can be written as $E(y) = \mu$ and $E[(y - \mu)^2] = 3\mu$, in a manner that minimizes the asymptotic variance among method of moments estimators of μ .

The preceding setup illustrates two features that are common in applications of generalized method of moments. First, we have two population moment conditions but only one parameter, μ , to estimate. If we replace the population moments $E(y)$ and $E[(y - \mu)^2]$ with their sample counterparts, we obtain two equations in one unknown, the estimate $\hat{\mu}$. The two sample equations can be written as $\bar{y} = \hat{\mu}$ and $[(y_1 - \hat{\mu})^2 + \cdots + (y_n - \hat{\mu})^2]/n = 3\hat{\mu}$. Generally, there is no value of $\hat{\mu}$ that solves both of these equations. Instead, GMM weights the two sample moment conditions to obtain an asymptotically optimal estimator. A second noteworthy feature of this example is that at least one moment condition is nonlinear in the parameter, μ , something that is common in advanced applications of GMM.²

In estimating the parameters of a population regression function, a parallel situation can arise. When the error term is heteroskedastic, it is generally possible to add moment conditions to those used by ordinary least squares and obtain an asymptotically more efficient estimator. The key is to weight the entire set of moment conditions in an optimal way.

Method of Moments Estimators: From Ordinary Least Squares to Generalized Method of Moments

Many commonly used estimators in econometrics, including ordinary least squares and instrumental variables, are derived most naturally using the method of moments. As a starting point, consider a population linear regression model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k + u,$$

² Some authors prefer not to make a distinction between method of moments and “generalized” method of moments. Early applications of the method of moments were to estimate the parameters of univariate population distributions where the number of moment conditions was equal to the number of parameters to be estimated. In these applications, the moment equations usually could be solved in closed form. In addition to allowing more moments than parameters to estimate, GMM was constructed directly for econometric applications with complicated models and data structures.

where y is the dependent or response variable, the x_j are the covariates or explanatory variables, and u is the unobserved error or disturbance. The goal is to estimate the $k + 1$ regression parameters, β_j , given a random sample on $(y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$.

A common assumption in linear regression is that the population error has a mean of zero and that each x_j is uncorrelated with the error term, that is,

$$E(u) = 0, \quad E(x_j u) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

For brevity, we call this the “zero correlation assumption.” This assumption implies that $k + 1$ population moments involving the covariates and the error are identically zero. If we write the error in terms of the observable variables and unknown parameters as $u = y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_1 - \beta_2 x_2 - \dots - \beta_k x_k$, and we replace the population moments with their sample counterparts, the moment conditions implied by the zero correlation assumption lead to the first-order conditions for the ordinary least squares estimator; see, for example, Wooldridge (2000, equation 3.13).

The zero correlation assumption is the weakest sense in which the covariates are exogenous in the population linear model. If these assumptions are the only ones we are willing to make, ordinary least squares is the only sensible estimator of the β_j .³

Often we are willing to make a stronger exogeneity assumption. If we assume that the error term has a zero mean conditional on the covariates,

$$E(u|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = 0,$$

alternatives to ordinary least squares become available. Why? Because the zero conditional mean assumption ensures that *any* function of the covariates is uncorrelated with u . For example, all functions of the form $x_h x_j$, $h, j = 1, \dots, k$, are uncorrelated with u , even though these squares and interactions do not appear in the original model. If the model is a wage equation and the covariates include education and experience, the zero conditional mean assumption implies that the error is uncorrelated with the squares of education and experience and an interaction between them, even if these functions are not in the original model.

Under the zero conditional mean assumption, is it possible, by adding zero correlation assumptions involving nonlinear functions of the x_j , to improve upon the ordinary least squares estimator? The answer is yes, provided there is heteroskedasticity. Specifically, if the zero conditional mean assumption holds and $\text{Var}(u|x_1, \dots, x_k)$ depends on some of the covariates, it is possible to obtain

³ Other common estimators, such as weighted least squares or the maximum likelihood estimator under an assumed distribution for u given x , are generally inconsistent if only the zero correlation assumption holds.

method of moments estimators that have smaller asymptotic variances than the ordinary least squares estimator.⁴

Cragg (1983) was the first to discover that one can improve over ordinary least squares in the presence of heteroskedasticity of unknown form by applying generalized method of moments. How does GMM work in this case? First, one must decide which extra moment conditions to add to those generated by the usual zero correlation assumption. Next, having first done ordinary least squares, one must obtain the *weighting matrix* that is a crucial component to an efficient GMM analysis. The weighting matrix is obtained by inverting a consistent estimator of the variance-covariance matrix of the moment conditions. If there are $m > k + 1$ total moment conditions, where k is the number of covariates in the model, then the weighting matrix has dimension $m \times m$. The GMM estimator minimizes a quadratic form in the sample moment conditions, where the weighting matrix appears in the quadratic form. As shown by Hansen (1982) and White (1982), this choice of the weighting matrix is asymptotically optimal.⁵ The intuition behind the optimality of this weighting matrix is easiest when the moment conditions are uncorrelated with one another.⁶ Then, the weighting matrix can be taken to be a diagonal matrix, where each diagonal element is the reciprocal of the variance of the corresponding moment condition. In other words, moment conditions with larger variances receive relatively less weight in the estimation, since they contain less information about the population parameters. Moment conditions with smaller variances receive relatively more weight. In the more realistic case where the moment conditions are correlated, the weighting matrix efficiently combines the moment conditions by accounting for different variances and nonzero correlations.

Several econometrics packages, including EViews, RATS and Stata, implement generalized method of moments fairly routinely. Why are Cragg-style estimators not used more? One problem is that the researcher must choose the additional moment conditions to be added in an ad hoc manner. Two researchers would generally use two different sets of moment conditions. Thus, the procedure would open one's research to the criticism of searching over different sets of moment conditions until the desired result is achieved. In fact, in large samples, one can improve on (or at least do no worse than) a previous researcher's estimator by adding more moment conditions. Where would one stop? A second issue is that ordinary least squares will be unbiased as well as consistent, whereas GMM is

⁴ The improvements over ordinary least squares estimation of the original model do not come by adding nonlinear functions of the x_j as independent variables and estimating the expanded equation by ordinary least squares or weighted least squares. The covariates appearing in the model do not change when we estimate the model by GMM. We simply add more zero correlation assumptions between the original error term and additional functions of the original covariates. These extra moment conditions take the form $E[f_h(x)u] = 0$, where $f_h(x)$ denotes a nonlinear function of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k .

⁵ For textbook treatments of the choice of weighting matrix, see Hamilton (1994), Newey and McFadden (1994), Hayashi (2000), Ruud (2000) and Wooldridge (2001). If u is homoskedastic in the model, then the ordinary least squares estimator is just as efficient as Cragg's estimator.

⁶ For the problem of estimating the population mean when the variance is three times the mean, the two moment conditions are uncorrelated whenever y has a distribution symmetric about μ .

guaranteed only to be consistent. Generally, GMM can suffer from finite-sample problems, especially if one gets carried away and adds many moment conditions that do not add much information; for discussion, see Bound, Jaeger and Baker (1995), Altonji and Segal (1996) and Staiger and Stock (1997).

Given the additional decisions required in using estimators in the style of Cragg (1983) to improve on ordinary least squares results, it is little wonder that most applied researchers opt to stick with ordinary least squares. If they are concerned about heteroskedasticity, they have methods for computing standard errors and test statistics that are robust to heteroskedasticity of unknown form, as in White (1980). With large sample sizes, the additional efficiency gains that might be realized by Cragg's method probably pale in comparison to the questions raised by adding moment conditions to the ordinary least squares moment conditions. With small sample sizes, finite-sample bias in generalized method of moments estimators becomes an issue.

Whether one prefers ordinary least squares under the zero correlation assumption or a Cragg estimator under the zero conditional mean assumption, they share an important feature: each consistently estimates the parameters of interest without further distributional assumptions involving the error u . If possible, we want estimators to be robust to the failure of model assumptions that are not central to the problem at hand, like whether heteroskedasticity exists, or whether u has a particular distribution. Such robustness is the hallmark of method of moments estimation.⁷

Hansen's (1982) seminal work on generalized method of moments estimators demonstrated that moment conditions could be exploited very generally to estimate parameters consistently under weak assumptions. Hansen essentially showed that every previously suggested instrumental variables estimator, in linear or nonlinear models, with cross-section, time series or panel data, could be cast as a GMM estimator. Perhaps even more important, Hansen showed how to choose among the many possible method of moments estimators in a framework that allows for heteroskedasticity, serial correlation and nonlinearities.

As we saw with Cragg's (1983) estimator, an important feature of generalized method of moments is that it allows more moment conditions than there are parameters to estimate—that is, it allows the parameters to be *overidentified*. Generally, given the set of population moment conditions, an optimal weighting matrix can be obtained for a GMM analysis. (For details, see the textbook references given in note 5.)

One case where overidentification plays an important role is in the context of

⁷ This notion of robustness is distinct from insensitivity to data outliers, or fat-tailed distributions, which is often the kind of robustness that is the focus in the statistics literature. In our usage, the sample average is a robust estimator because it is consistent for the population mean whenever the population mean exists, regardless of distribution. But the sample average is very sensitive to outliers. Method of moments estimators are based on sample averages, and so they generally will be sensitive to outliers.

instrumental variables estimation.⁸ Suppose that one or more of the x_j in the population linear model is correlated with u , but that we have some variables properly excluded from the model that are uncorrelated with u . Provided the excluded variables are sufficiently correlated with the endogenous explanatory variables, we can use the excluded exogenous variables as instrumental variables.⁹ When we have more instrumental variables than are needed to estimate the parameters, the most common estimation method is two-stage least squares. The two-stage least squares estimator is a generalized method of moments estimator that uses a weighting matrix constructed under homoskedasticity. The optimal GMM estimator uses a weighting matrix identical to that described for Cragg's estimator.¹⁰ The optimal GMM estimator is asymptotically no less efficient than two-stage least squares under homoskedasticity, and GMM is generally better under heteroskedasticity.

A common theme about generalized method of moments is developing here. GMM estimators often can be found that are more efficient than common method of moments estimators—such as ordinary least squares and two-stage least squares—when textbook auxiliary assumptions such as homoskedasticity fail. Theoretically, this would seem to make a strong case for always using a GMM procedure. However, while virtually every empirical researcher has used ordinary least squares or two-stage least squares, most have probably never used a sophisticated method of moments estimator, which I take to be synonymous with GMM. In the next several sections, I discuss the scope of GMM for standard applications as well as for more sophisticated problems.

Cross-Section Applications

As mentioned in the previous section, it is always possible with overidentified parameters to improve upon two-stage least squares in the context of heteroskedasticity of unknown form. Still, application of generalized method of moments in place of two-stage least squares is rare for cross-section applications. The reason, I think, is that even when heteroskedasticity clearly exists, it often has only a minor impact on estimates of coefficients and statistical significance. Moreover, as is the case with ordinary least squares, there are methods for calculating standard errors for the two-stage least squares estimator that are robust to heteroskedasticity. The

⁸ Instrumental variables and two-stage least squares are discussed in the paper by Angrist and Krueger in this symposium.

⁹ In Cragg's (1983) estimator, the "instruments" are simply nonlinear functions of the original explanatory variables. The more common usage of instruments arises when some of the explanatory variables are endogenous, and then the instruments come from outside the equation of interest.

¹⁰ The precise definition of heteroskedasticity when u is the error and z denotes the entire set of exogenous variables is that $E(u^2|z)$ depends on z . White (1982) called the GMM estimator the two-stage instrumental variables estimator. If $E(u^2|z)$ is constant, two-stage least squares is an efficient GMM estimator.

additional gains from using GMM may be small. Besides, as practitioners know, the most important step in applying instrumental variable methods is finding good instruments. With poor instruments, the efficient GMM estimator is not likely to help much.

To illustrate the differences among ordinary least squares, two-stage least squares and efficient generalized method of moments in a cross-section setting, I use a subset of the data in Card (1995) to estimate the return to education for blacks and nonblacks. I use data on men who were living in the South in 1966; the wage data are for 1976. The structural model has $\log(\text{wage})$ as the dependent variable, where *wage* is measured hourly. The key explanatory variables are years of schooling (*educ*), a binary indicator for race (*black*) and an interaction between these two. The other explanatory variables include a quadratic in experience, an indicator for living in the South in 1976 and an indicator for living in an urban area in 1976.

The ordinary least squares estimates of the coefficients on *educ*, *black* and *black* · *educ* are given in the first column of Table 1. Both the usual and heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors are given (with the latter in brackets). The ordinary least squares estimate of the return to education for nonblacks is about 7.1 percent, and it is very statistically significant. The estimated return to education is about 1.1 percentage points higher for blacks, but the coefficient on the interaction term is insignificant at the 10 percent level.

A common concern in these sorts of wage regressions is that the education variable may be correlated with unobserved factors that can also affect earnings, such as motivation, ability or family background. As a result, the ordinary least squares estimator is generally biased and inconsistent for the causal effect of schooling on earnings. A standard solution to the endogeneity of education is to find an instrumental variable for education.

Following Card (1995), I use an indicator for whether the man lived near a four-year college at age 16 (called *nearc4*) as an instrument for education, and I use the interaction between *black* and *nearc4* as a natural instrument for *black* · *educ*. If I used only these two instrumental variables, the equation would be just identified, and there would be no difference between two-stage least squares and generalized method of moments.¹¹ Therefore, I add to the instrument list interactions between *nearc4* and the four other exogenous variables, resulting in four overidentifying restrictions. The two-stage least squares estimates are notably higher than the ordinary least squares estimates on *educ* and the interaction term. While *educ* becomes much less significant (but still significant at the 2 percent level), the interaction term *black* · *educ* becomes significant at the 0.1 percent level. The point

¹¹ When the number of instruments is the same as the number of explanatory variables, all general method of moments estimators, regardless of weighting matrix, reduce to the standard instrumental variables estimator. See Angrist and Krueger, this symposium, for more on the basic instrumental variables estimator.

Table 1
Estimates of a Wage Equation

<i>Explanatory Variables</i>	<i>Ordinary Least Squares</i>	<i>Two-Stage Least Squares</i>	<i>Generalized Method of Moments</i>
<i>educ</i>	.0708 (.0062) [.0066]	.1093 (.0463) [.0461]	.1062 (.0454)
<i>black</i>	-.3598 (.0972) [.0975]	-.6296 (.1446) [.1472]	-.6351 (.1452)
<i>black · educ</i>	.0114 (.0077) [.0078]	.0368 (.0110) [.0112]	.0371 (.0111)
Observations	1,247	1,247	1,247
R-Squared	.3038	.2521	N/A

Notes: The equations also contain an intercept along with a quadratic in potential experience and binary indicators for living in the South and living in an SMSA. The two-stage least squares and generalized method of moments estimates are obtained using all explanatory variables except *educ* and *black · educ* as instruments, and, in addition, *nearc4*, *black · nearc4* and interactions between *black* and the other four exogenous explanatory variables. For ordinary least squares and two-stage least squares, quantities in parentheses are the usual standard errors; those in brackets are robust to general heteroskedasticity.

estimate implies that another year of education is worth about 3.7 percentage points more for a black man than for a nonblack man.

The generalized method of moments estimator with weighting matrix that accounts for heteroskedasticity of unknown form uses the same list of instrumental variables as the two-stage least squares estimator.¹² As shown in Table 1, the GMM estimates and standard errors are very similar to those for two-stage least squares. Because the two sets of standard errors for the two-stage least squares estimates are very close, heteroskedasticity does not appear to be much of a problem. As a result, it is unsurprising that GMM and two-stage least squares yield similar results. One reaction to this example is that GMM provides no particular advantage over two-stage least squares. The point estimates and statistical significance are quite similar. A second reaction is that using GMM does not hurt anything, and perhaps with other models or data sets it might have offered greater precision. Both views seem sensible.

Recently, GMM has been applied successfully to estimate certain nonlinear models with endogenous explanatory variables that do not appear additively in an equation. A good example is an exponential regression function with endogenous explanatory variables. Mullahy (1997) uses GMM to estimate a model for daily

¹² EViews 4 was used to obtain the GMM estimates using the cross-section version of the weighting matrix to account for heteroskedasticity of unknown form. Implementing GMM is straightforward. Just as with two-stage least squares, one specifies the dependent variable, the explanatory variables and the list of all exogenous variables (including the instruments). The only thing different from two-stage least squares is in specifying the use of the efficient weighting matrix that accounts for possible heteroskedasticity.

cigarette consumption. Berry, Levinsohn and Pakes (1999) show how GMM can be used to estimate structural parameters to evaluate the welfare implications of voluntary export restrictions.¹³

Time Series Applications

Hansen (1982) introduced generalized method of moments estimation primarily with time series applications in mind, and so it is not surprising that GMM is relatively advantageous for time series data. In applications of linear time series models, serial correlation in the errors is the most important departure from common textbook assumptions. This raises the possibility of allowing the GMM weighting matrix to account for serial correlation of unknown form, as well as for heteroskedasticity, as discussed in Hansen (1982), White (1984) and Newey and West (1987).¹⁴

To obtain a more efficient estimator than two-stage least squares (or ordinary least squares), one must have overidentifying restrictions. With time series, we can add moment conditions by assuming that past values of explanatory variables, or even past values of the dependent variable, are uncorrelated with the error term, even though they do not appear in the model. The drawback to finding moment conditions in this way is that it restricts the dynamics in the model. For example, if we start with a static equation, such as a simple Phillips curve with inflation as the dependent variable, we could apply generalized method of moments using current and lagged unemployment as the instrumental variables. The weighting matrix would account for possible serial correlation in the errors (often interpreted as supply shocks). But such an approach would assume that current and past unemployment rates are uncorrelated with the supply shocks, something we may not want to assume.

Using lagged values of dependent and independent variables makes more sense in the context of models estimated under rational expectations. Then, the error term in the equation is uncorrelated with all variables dated at earlier time periods. Campbell and Mankiw (1990) use an instrumental variables approach to test the permanent income hypothesis. They also estimate the fraction of consumers who consume out of current, rather than permanent, income, by estimating a

¹³ Unfortunately, generalized method of moments does not help much in relaxing distributional assumptions for many important nonlinear models with endogenous explanatory variables, such as probit or Tobit. For other models, such as the Box-Cox regression model, parameters can be estimated consistently under weak distributional assumptions (Amemiya and Powell, 1981), but then no partial effects on the mean or median value of the response variable can be estimated without making stronger assumptions. Just because one can estimate parameters by GMM under weak assumptions does not necessarily mean that quantities of interest can be estimated under those same assumptions.

¹⁴ Importantly, solving serial correlation problems in u_t by feasible generalized least squares, such as Cochrane-Orcutt, generally requires certain strict exogeneity assumptions on the regressors; that is, we must assume that the covariates in some time periods other than t are uncorrelated with u_t (Wooldridge, 2000, chapter 12). These assumptions are often questionable in time series contexts.

simple equation relating consumption changes to income changes. For their instrumental variables for income changes, Campbell and Mankiw use lags of consumption and income changes dated two or more quarters in the past, as they are worried about time aggregation of the consumption and income data inducing serial correlation in the errors. Campbell and Mankiw account for serial correlation by using two-stage least squares and computing robust standard errors. A similar problem is faced by Clarida, Gali and Gertler (2000), who estimate forward-looking policy reaction functions for the Federal Reserve. In an equation with the federal funds interest rate as the dependent variable, Clarida, Gali and Gertler note that the errors will follow a moving average process if the Fed's target horizon for inflation or the output gap exceeds the frequency of the quarterly data. These authors implement GMM, where the weighting matrix accounts for the serial correlation (and possible heteroskedasticity). Moving average error processes also arise in estimating asset pricing models where the investment horizon differs from the data frequency. In fact, such situations were an important motivation for Hansen (1982); see Hansen and Hodrick (1980) for an empirical application.

Hansen and Singleton (1982) apply generalized method of moments to estimate nonlinear asset pricing models under rational expectations. The moment conditions used by Hansen and Singleton (1982) depend nonlinearly on two economic parameters, the discount rate and the coefficient of relative risk aversion. GMM has significant advantages over maximum likelihood in this context because GMM allows estimation under the restrictions implied by the theory; there is no need to add distributional assumptions that are not implied by the theory.¹⁵ Hansen and Singleton (1982) obtain estimates of the discount rate close to, but always less than, unity and a coefficient of relative risk aversion ranging from about 0.35 to 0.97, depending on the kind and number of returns used and the set of instruments used in GMM estimation. Recently, Stock and Wright (2000), Weber (2000) and Neely, Roy and Whiteman (2001) offer explanations for the wide disparities in estimates of consumption-based asset pricing models.

Panel Data Applications

Some of the most interesting recent applications of generalized method of moments are to panel data. I will focus attention on linear unobserved effects models where the unobserved effect, or unobserved heterogeneity, is allowed to be correlated with the observed covariates. The standard estimator used to eliminate the potential bias caused by omitted heterogeneity is the fixed effects, or within,

¹⁵ Generally, there are no computationally simple alternatives to GMM in nonlinear models, as the sample moment conditions are nonlinear in the parameters, and so any estimation method requires iterative methods.

estimator.¹⁶ The fixed effects estimator, which is a method of moments estimator based on the data after subtracting off time averages, is popular because it is simple, easily understood, and robust standard errors are readily available (for example, Wooldridge, 2001, chapter 10).

When analyzing the fixed effects estimator, the standard assumptions are that the time-varying errors have zero means, constant variances and zero correlations, all conditional on the observed history of the covariates and on the unobserved effect (for example, Wooldridge, 2001, chapter 10). The first assumption, that the conditional mean of the time-varying errors is zero, implies that the observed covariates in every time period are uncorrelated with the time-varying errors in each time period. This so-called strict exogeneity assumption for the covariates is crucial for consistency of the fixed effects estimator. But the assumptions about constant variance and no serial correlation are used primarily to simplify calculation of standard errors. If either heteroskedasticity or serial correlation is present, a generalized method of moments procedure can be more efficient than the fixed effects estimator, although the likely gains in standard applications are largely unknown. Extra moment conditions are available from the assumption that the covariates in all time periods are assumed to be uncorrelated with each time-varying error. Wooldridge (2001, chapter 11) describes how to implement GMM in this case.¹⁷

Generalized method of moments is convenient for estimating interesting extensions of the basic unobserved effects model, for example, models where unobserved heterogeneity interacts with observed covariates. Lemieux (1998) uses GMM to estimate the union-wage effect when unobserved heterogeneity is valued differently in the union and nonunion sectors.

Generalized method of moments is applied more often to unobserved effects models when the explanatory variables are not strictly exogenous even after controlling for an unobserved effect. As in cross-section and time series cases, there is usually a convenient estimator that is consistent quite generally, but possibly inefficient relative to GMM. For example, for studying the effects of prison population on crime rates, Levitt (1996) uses pooled two-stage least squares on a panel data set of states, after removing state fixed effects by differencing adjacent years. If the errors in the first-differenced equation are homoskedastic and serially uncorrelated, the pooled two-stage least squares estimator is efficient. If not, a GMM estimator can improve upon two-stage least squares.

Another leading application of generalized method of moments in panel data contexts is when a model contains a lagged dependent variable along with an unobserved effect. The standard method of estimating such models dates back to Anderson and Hsiao (1982): first-differencing is used to eliminate the unobserved

¹⁶ Differencing across different time periods is another common method of eliminating the unobserved effects.

¹⁷ Chamberlain (1984) describes minimum distance estimation of unobserved effects panel data models. It turns out that the minimum distance and GMM approaches are asymptotically equivalent.

effect, and then lags two and beyond are used as instrumental variables for the differenced lagged dependent variable. Because the original time-varying errors are assumed to be serially uncorrelated, the differenced errors must contain serial correlation. GMM is well suited for obtaining efficient estimators that account for the serial correlation; see, for example, Arellano and Bond (1991). Van Reenen (1996) applies GMM to estimate a dynamic wage equation that measures the amount of firm rents captured by workers. For estimating a dynamic labor demand model using firm-level data, Blundell and Bond (1998) find that GMM with additional moment conditions can provide more precise estimates than can two-stage least squares of the parameter on lagged labor demand.

Concluding Remarks

The method of moments can be used to obtain parameter estimators that are consistent under weak distributional assumptions. In standard settings, where one would typically use ordinary or two-stage least squares, or standard panel data methods such as fixed effects, generalized method of moments can be used to improve over the standard estimators when auxiliary assumptions fail, at least in large samples. However, because basic econometric methods can be used with robust inference techniques that allow for arbitrary heteroskedasticity or serial correlation, the gains to practitioners from using GMM may be small. Significant GMM improvements are most likely in time series or panel data applications with neglected serial correlation. GMM is indispensable for more sophisticated applications, including nonlinear rational expectations models or dynamic unobserved effects panel data models.

■ *I would like to thank Gary Chamberlain, Bradford De Long, Alan Krueger and Michael Waldman for very helpful comments on an earlier draft. Timothy Taylor's editorial advice vastly improved the organization and content of the paper.*

References

- Altonji, Joseph G. and Lewis M. Segal.** 1996. "Small-Sample Bias in GMM Estimation of Covariance Structures." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. July, 14:3, pp. 353–66.
- Amemiya, Takeshi and James L. Powell.** 1981. "A Comparison of the Box-Cox Maximum Likelihood Estimator and the Non-Linear Two Stage Least Squares Estimator." *Journal of Econometrics*. December, 17:3, pp. 351–81.
- Anderson, T. W. and Cheng Hsiao.** 1982. "Formulation and Estimation of Dynamic Models Using Panel Data." *Journal of Econometrics*. January, 18:1, pp. 47–82.
- Arellano, Manuel and Stephen R. Bond.** 1991. "Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations." *Review of Economic Studies*. April, 58:2, pp. 277–97.
- Berry, Steven, James Levinsohn and Ariel Pakes.** 1999. "Voluntary Export Restraints on Automobiles: Evaluating a Trade Policy." *American Economic Review*. June, 89:3, pp. 400–30.
- Blundell, Richard and Stephen Bond.** 1998. "Initial Conditions and Moment Restrictions in Dynamic Panel Data Models." *Journal of Econometrics*. November, 87:1, pp. 115–43.
- Bound, John, David A. Jaeger and Regina M. Baker.** 1995. "Problems with Instrumental Variables Estimation When the Correlation Between the Instruments and Endogenous Explanatory Variable is Weak." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. June, 90:430, pp. 443–50.
- Campbell, John Y. and N. Gregory Mankiw.** 1990. "Permanent Income, Current Income, and Consumption." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. July, 8:3, pp. 265–79.
- Card, David.** 1995. "Using Geographic Variation in College Proximity to Estimate the Return to Schooling," in *Aspects of Labour Market Behavior: Essays in Honor of John Vandercamp*. L. N. Christophides, E. K. Grant and R. Swidinsky, eds. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, pp. 201–22.
- Chamberlain, Gary.** 1984. "Panel Data," in *Handbook of Econometrics, Volume Two*. Z. Griliches and M.D. Intriligator, eds. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 1248–318.
- Clarida, Richard, Jordi Gali and Mark Gertler.** 2000. "Monetary Policy Rules and Macroeconomic Stability: Evidence and Some Theory." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. February, 115:1, pp. 147–80.
- Cragg, John G.** 1983. "More Efficient Estimation in the Presence of Heteroskedasticity of Unknown Form." *Econometrica*. May, 51:3, pp. 751–63.
- Hamilton, James D.** 1994. *Time Series Analysis*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Hansen, Lars Peter.** 1982. "Large Sample Properties of Generalized Method of Moments Estimators." *Econometrica*. July, 50:4, pp. 1029–054.
- Hansen, Lars Peter and Robert J. Hodrick.** 1980. "Forward Exchange Rates as Optimal Predictors of Future Spot Rates: An Econometric Analysis." *Journal of Political Economy*. October, 88:5, pp. 829–53.
- Hansen, Lars Peter and Kenneth J. Singleton.** 1982. "Generalized Instrumental Variables Estimation of Nonlinear Rational Expectations Models." *Econometrica*. September, 50:5, pp. 1269–286.
- Hayashi, Fumio.** 2000. *Econometrics*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Lemieux, Thomas.** 1998. "Estimating the Effects of Union on Wage Inequality in a Panel Data Model with Comparative Advantage and Nonrandom Selection." *Journal of Labor Economics*. April, 16:2, pp. 261–91.
- Levitt, Steven D.** 1996. "The Effect of Prison Population Size on Crime Rates: Evidence from Prison Overcrowding Litigation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. May, 111:2, pp. 319–51.
- Mullahy, John.** 1997. "Instrumental-Variable Estimation of Count Data Models: Applications to Models of Cigarette Smoking Behavior." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. November, 79:4, pp. 586–93.
- Neely, Christopher J., Amlan Roy and Charles H. Whiteman.** 2001. "Risk Aversion Versus Intertemporal Substitution: A Case Study of Identification Failure in the Intertemporal Consumption Capital Asset Pricing Model." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. Forthcoming.
- Newey, Whitney K. and Daniel L. McFadden.** 1994. "Large Sample Estimation and Hypothesis Testing," in *Handbook of Econometrics, Volume Four*. R.F. Engle and D.L. McFadden, eds. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 2111–245.
- Newey, Whitney K. and Kenneth D. West.** 1987. "A Simple, Positive Semi-Definite, Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix." *Econometrica*. May, 55:3, pp. 703–08.
- Ruud, Paul A.** 2000. *An Introduction to Classical Econometric Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Staiger, Douglas and James H. Stock.** 1997.

"Instrumental Variables Regression with Weak Instruments." *Econometrica*. May, 65:3, pp. 557–86.

Stigler, Stephen M. 1986. *The History of Statistics*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Stock, James H. and Jonathan H. Wright. 2000. "GMM with Weak Identification." *Econometrica*. September, 68:5, pp. 1055–096.

Van Reenen, John. 1996. "The Creation and Capture of Rents: Wages and Innovations in a Panel of U.K. Companies." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. February, 111:1, pp. 195–226.

Weber, Christian E. 2000. "'Rule-of-Thumb' Consumption, Intertemporal Substitution, and Risk Aversion." *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*. October, 18:4, pp. 497–502.

White, Halbert. 1980. "A Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimator and a Direct Test for Heteroskedasticity." *Econometrica*. May, 48:4, pp. 817–38.

White, Halbert. 1982. "Instrumental Variables Regression with Independent Observations." *Econometrica*. March, 50:2, pp. 483–99.

White, Halbert. 1984. *Asymptotic Theory for Econometricians*. Orlando, Fla.: Academic Press.

Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. 2000. *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*. Cincinnati, Ohio: South-Western.

Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. 2001. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

This article has been cited by:

1. Yongliang Yang, Jing Wen, Yi Li. 2024. The impact of environmental information disclosure on the cost of debt: evidence from China. *Journal of Applied Economics* **27**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
2. John W. Goodell, Constantin Gurdgiev, Sitara Karim, Alessia Palma. 2024. Carbon emissions and liquidity management. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **95**, 103367. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Qi Guo, Yi Zhou, Haoyue Feng, Geoffrey Hewings. 2024. Chinese firms' resilience after financial crisis in the context of local economic growth targets. *Regional Studies* **47**, 1-14. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Hyewon Kang. 2024. Can fiscal transparency mitigate political budget cycles?. *Public Choice* **148**. . [[Crossref](#)]
5. Rosa Portela Forte, Sérgio Carvalho. 2024. Do domestic market characteristics influence firms' export intensity?. *EuroMed Journal of Business* **19**:2, 398-423. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Qian Lu, Guoguang Wan, Liang Xu. 2024. Generalist Versus Specialist CEOs and the Scope of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics* **18**. . [[Crossref](#)]
7. Muhammad Azam Khan. 2024. Analyzing the effects of financial inclusion on poverty and income inequality in SAARC developing nations. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy* **8**, 1-24. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Cristiane Nascimento de Lima. 2024. Does central bank credibility from professional forecasters and consumers affect the interest rate and its expectations?. *Journal of Economic Studies* **51**:4, 895-918. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Natália Ferreira Trigo. 2024. What is the effect of imported inflation and central bank credibility on the poor and rich?. *Applied Economics* **56**:21, 2520-2543. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Jim Lee, Hua Zhang, Yuxia Huang. 2024. Toward a more socially equitable stormwater management fee: The case of Corpus Christi in Texas, USA. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science* **51**:4, 939-953. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Błażej Suproń, Janusz Myszczyszyn. 2024. Exploring the Dynamic Relationships between Agricultural Production and Environmental Pollution: Evidence from a GMM-SYS Model in the Three Seas Initiative (3SI). *Sustainability* **16**:9, 3748. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Zheng Zhou, Ka Yin Chau, Amena Sibghatullah, Massoud Moslehpour, Nguyen Hoang Tien, Khajimuratov Nizomjon Shukurullaevich. 2024. The role of green finance, environmental benefits, fintech development, and natural resource management in advancing sustainability. *Resources Policy* **92**, 105013. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Fahimeh R. Chomachaei, Davood Golmohammadi. 2024. The impact of the stringency of environmental policy on a firm's financial performance: an empirical study of European automobile manufacturers. *The International Journal of Logistics Management* **35**:3, 736-754. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Joshua Yindenaba Abor, Daniel Ofori-Sasu, Amira El-Shal, George Nana Agyekum Donkor. 2024. Firms' access to finance, export trade channels and exports in Africa. *International Journal of Economic Policy Studies* **53**. . [[Crossref](#)]
15. Moukaila Mouzamilou Takpara, Aminou Yaya, Assimio Gande, Akilou Amadou. 2024. Achieving sustainable development in Africa: to what extent trade facilitation matter*?. *Applied Economics* **5**, 1-17. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Pınar Salih. 2024. Bilgi Toplumu Geçiş Sürecinde OECD Ülkelerinin Hanehalkı BİT Erişimi ve Kullanımının Ekonomik Büyüme Etkisi. *Hak İş Uluslararası Emek ve Toplum Dergisi* . [[Crossref](#)]
17. Muhammad Azam Khan. 2024. The impact of migrant remittances on economic development: empirical evidence from the developing world. *Journal of Social and Economic Development* **26**. . [[Crossref](#)]

18. Lotfi Hamzi, Christopher Williams, Nahid Anaraki, Chanaka Wijewardena. 2024. Exploring the effect of the Arab Spring on the relationship between foreign aid and corruption. *International Studies of Management & Organization* 3, 1-23. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Juan Camilo Anzoátegui Zapata, Danilo Rodríguez Arango, Juan Camilo Galvis Ciro. 2024. EFECTOS DE LA REPUTACIÓN DEL BANCO CENTRAL DE COLOMBIA SOBRE LA TASA DE INTERÉS. *Investigación Económica* 83:328, 131-157. [[Crossref](#)]
20. José Francisco Lima, Fernanda Catarina Pereira, Arminda Manuela Gonçalves, Marco Costa. 2024. Bootstrapping State-Space Models: Distribution-Free Estimation in View of Prediction and Forecasting. *Forecasting* 6:1, 36-54. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Shuo Li, Wenchao Li, Qiang Sun, Bill Moran, Timothy C Brown, Brant C Gibson, Andrew D Greentree. 2024. Localising two sub-diffraction emitters in 3D using quantum correlation microscopy. *New Journal of Physics* 26:3, 033036. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Ayman Issa, Ahmad Sahyouni, Miroslav Mateev. 2024. A path to success: educational board diversity and its influence on MENA banks' efficiency and stability. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* 114. . [[Crossref](#)]
23. Isiaka Akande Raifu, Joshua Adeyemi Afolabi. 2024. Does rising inflation affect the tourism industry? Evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Policy Research in Tourism, Leisure and Events* 10, 1-17. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Bingran Wang, Mark Sperry, Victor E. Gandarillas, John T. Hwang. 2024. Accelerating model evaluations in uncertainty propagation on tensor grids using computational graph transformations. *Aerospace Science and Technology* 145, 108843. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Ayman Issa, Mohammad In'airat. 2024. From words to action: unpacking the real impact of sustainability initiatives on carbon emissions reduction. *Social Responsibility Journal* 20:3, 585-604. [[Crossref](#)]
26. Elvis Kwame Agyapong, Louis David Junior Annor, Williams Ohemeng. 2024. Corporate social responsibility and performance among rural banks in Ghana: the moderating role of governance structures. *International Journal of Social Economics* 51:1, 31-45. [[Crossref](#)]
27. I. W. Rathnayaka, Rasheda Khanam, Mohammad Mafizur Rahman. 2024. Fiscal support during the COVID-19 pandemic and its determinants: evidence for OECD countries. *Journal of Economic Policy Reform* 27:1, 107-123. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Daniel Ofori-Sasu, Smile Dzisi, Joshua Yindenaba Abor. 2023. Entrepreneurship, foreign direct investments and economic wealth in Africa. *Cogent Business & Management* 10:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
29. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Raime Rolando Rodríguez Díaz. 2023. Do monetary policy credibility and disagreements in inflation and interest rate expectations affect business confidence? Evidence from an inflation targeting developing country. *Journal of Money and Business* 3:2, 159-183. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Manuel Hermosilla, Jian Ni, Haizhong Wang, Jin Zhang. 2023. Leveraging the E-commerce footprint for the surveillance of healthcare utilization. *Health Care Management Science* 26:4, 604-625. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Megha Jain, Tinu Jain, Palakh Jain. 2023. Revisiting the nexus between economic growth and environment health: an empirical study on 180 nations. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30:58, 122550-122579. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Rexford Abaidoo, Elvis Kwame Agyapong, Kwame Fosu Boateng. 2023. Stability in the banking industry and commodity price volatility: perspective from developing economies. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 39:4, 988-1012. [[Crossref](#)]
33. P.K. Priyan, Wakara Ibrahimu Nyabakora, Geoffrey Rwezimula. 2023. Firm's capital structure decisions, asset structure, and firm's performance: application of the generalized method of moments approach. *PSU Research Review* 5. . [[Crossref](#)]

34. Danniele Giomo. 2023. Uma análise do programa de incentivo exportador do BNDES nos primeiros 17 anos do século XXI. *Cuadernos de Educación y Desarrollo* 15:11, 13368-13412. [[Crossref](#)]
35. Ayman Issa, Jalal Rajeh Hanaysha. 2023. Achieving sustainable business: The nexus between external sustainability assurance, CSR strategy and emission reduction. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* 30:6, 3095-3109. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Clive Egbert Coetzee, Ewert P. J. Kleynhans. 2023. Assessment of the impact of government response measures on the spread of COVID-19: panel data evidence for 50 countries. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics* 47:4, 359-373. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Martin Hodula, Jan Janků, Martin Časta, Adam Kučera. 2023. On the macrofinancial determinants of life and non-life insurance premiums. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance - Issues and Practice* 48:4, 760-798. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Rexford Abaidoo, Elvis Kwame Agyapong. 2023. Global food price volatility and inflationary pressures among developing economies. *SN Business & Economics* 3:10. . [[Crossref](#)]
39. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Victor Maia. 2023. The reaction of disagreements in inflation expectations to fiscal sentiment obtained from information in official communiqués. *Bulletin of Economic Research* 75:4, 828-859. [[Crossref](#)]
40. Ben D'Exelle, Rik Habraken, Arjan Verschoor. 2023. What Should I Aspire To? Peer Effects in Adolescents' Friendship Networks. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 72:1, 27-78. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Rexford Abaidoo, Elvis Kwame Agyapong. 2023. Financial Institutions Dynamics, Investments and Development. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance* 22:3, 247-271. [[Crossref](#)]
42. Dengjun Zhang, Yingkai Fang, Yiyang Liu. 2023. Import demand under price and exchange-rate uncertainties: The case of U.S. Atlantic salmon imports. *Aquaculture* 574, 739712. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Rui Zhou. 2023. Economic growth, energy consumption and CO2 emissions—An empirical study based on the Yangtze River economic belt of China. *Heliyon* 9:9, e19865. [[Crossref](#)]
44. Richard Arhinful, Mehrshad Radmehr. 2023. The effect of financial leverage on financial performance: evidence from non-financial institutions listed on the Tokyo stock market. *Journal of Capital Markets Studies* 7:1, 53-71. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Daniel Sakyi, Clement Agonyim Asaana, Enock Kojo Ayesu. 2023. How does quality of institutions affect the impact of technology adoption on export performance in Africa?. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development* 32:5, 743-772. [[Crossref](#)]
46. Gabriel Caldas Montes, João Pedro Neves Maia. 2023. Who speaks louder, financial instruments or credit rating agencies? Analyzing the effects of different sovereign risk measures on interest rates in Brazil. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 67, 101933. [[Crossref](#)]
47. Hai Hien Huynh, Duc Hong Vo. 2023. The Effects of Migration on Unemployment: New Evidence from the Asian Countries. *Sustainability* 15:14, 11385. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Igor Mendes Marcelino. 2023. Uncertainties and disagreements in expectations of professional forecasters: Evidence from an inflation targeting developing country. *Journal of Forecasting* 42:4, 937-956. [[Crossref](#)]
49. Matthias X. Hanauer, Tobias Kalsbach. 2023. Machine learning and the cross-section of emerging market stock returns. *Emerging Markets Review* 55, 101022. [[Crossref](#)]
50. Balagopal G Menon, Sunil Sahadev, Avranshu Mahanty, C J Praveensal, G. Asha. 2023. Trivariate causality between economic growth, energy consumption, and carbon emissions: empirical evidence from India. *Energy Efficiency* 16:5. . [[Crossref](#)]
51. Bruno Emmanuel Ongo Nkoa, Cédric Meytang, Thierry Mamadou Asngar, Guivis Zeufack Nkemgha. 2023. What Drives Life Insurance Development in Sub-Saharan Africa? The Role of Information and Communication Technology. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 2. . [[Crossref](#)]

52. Oluwatosin Adeniyi, Terver T Kumeka, Samuel Orekoya, Wasiu Adekunle. 2023. Impact of tourism development on inclusive growth: A panel vector autoregression analysis for African economies. *Tourism Economics* **29**:3, 612-642. [[Crossref](#)]
53. Daniel Ofori-Sasu, Eunice Adu-Darko, Michael Effah Asamoah, Joshua Yindenaba Abor. 2023. Oil rents, trade environment and financial development: An international evidence. *Resources Policy* **82**, 103492. [[Crossref](#)]
54. Dadang Ramdhan. 2023. The effects of wage spillover from foreign firms on total factor productivity: do job characteristics matter?. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy* **28**:2, 766-785. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Yanzhao Liu, Chee-Wooi Hooy. 2023. Components of CEO Power and Corporate Social Responsibility: The Moderating Role of Board Independence. *Global Economic Review* **52**:2, 114-133. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Asresu Yitayew, Awudu Abdulai, Yigezu A. Yigezu. 2023. The effects of advisory services and technology channeling on farm yields and technical efficiency of wheat farmers in Ethiopia. *Food Policy* **116**, 102436. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Daniel Consul de Antoni, Julia de Medeiros Braga. 2023. Push and pull determinants of the country risk premium for emerging economies: an econometric appraisal. *Nova Economia* **33**:2, 393-419. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Rexford Abaidoo, Elvis Kwame Agyapong. 2023. Macroeconomic Risk, Uncertainty and Financial Market Development: Emerging Markets Perspective. *Global Business Review* **1**, 097215092211453. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Sofia Gomes, João J Ferreira, João M Lopes. 2023. Entrepreneurial conditions and economic growth in entrepreneurial ecosystems: Evidence from OECD countries. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation* **2**, 146575032311563. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Chenyu Lu, Wei Liu, Ping Huang, Yueju Wang, Xianglong Tang. 2023. Effect of Energy Utilization and Economic Growth on the Ecological Environment in the Yellow River Basin. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **20**:3, 2345. [[Crossref](#)]
61. María C. Patino-Alonso, Jose-Luis Molina, S. Zazo. Multivariate linear modeling for the application in the field of hydrological engineering 277-289. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Raimundo Rolando Rodríguez Díaz. 2023. Can ignorance about the interest rate and macroeconomic surprises affect the stock market return? Evidence from a large emerging economy. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* **64**, 101868. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Cristiane Gea, Marcelo Cabus Klotzle, Luciano Vereda, Antonio Carlos Figueiredo Pinto. 2023. Pricing uncertainty in the Brazilian stock market: do size and sustainability matter?. *SN Business & Economics* **3**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
64. Kamilu A. Saka, Yisau I. Bolanle. 2023. Autoregressive distributed lag estimation of bank financing and Nigerian manufacturing sector capacity utilization. *Quantitative Finance and Economics* **7**:1, 74-86. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Francesco Olivanti, Luca Gastaldi. 2023. Anticipating Digital Skills Policy Outcomes: A Pseudo-panel Evaluation of Outreach Initiatives in Italy. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **70**. . [[Crossref](#)]
66. Chala Amante Abate. 2022. The relationship between aid and economic growth of developing countries: Does institutional quality and economic freedom matter?. *Cogent Economics & Finance* **10**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
67. Bosede Victoria Kudaisi, Titus Ayobami Ojeyinka, Tolulope Temilola Osinubi. 2022. Financial liberalization, remittances and economic growth in Nigeria (1990–2018). *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* **38**:4, 562-580. [[Crossref](#)]

68. Saeid Jafari, Marziyeh Esfandiari, Mosayeb Pahlavani. 2022. Investigating the Reasons for the Difference in Total Factor Productivity Between East and West Asia with Emphasis on Institutions, Human Capital and Relative Backwardness. *The Journal of Planning and Budgeting* 27:3, 109-140. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Sakshi Bhayana, Biswajit Nag. 2022. Global Value Chain Linkages and Employment: Empirical Assessment for India's Manufacturing Sector. *Global Business Review* 32, 097215092211302. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Juan Camilo Galvis-Ciro, Juan Camilo Anzoátegui-Zapata, Cristina Isabel Ramos-Barroso. 2022. The Effect of Communication and Credibility on Fiscal Disagreement: Empirical Evidence from Colombia. *Journal of Business Cycle Research* 18:3, 215-238. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Rodolfo Nicolay, Flavio Pereira. 2022. Does fiscal sentiment matter for sovereign risk?. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 86, 18-30. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Neha Saini, Anjuman Antil, Angappa Gunasekaran, Kunjana Malik, Suganya Balakumar. 2022. Environment-Social-Governance Disclosures nexus between Financial Performance: A Sustainable Value Chain Approach. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 186, 106571. [[Crossref](#)]
73. Jared O'Leary, Joel A. Paulson, Ali Mesbah. 2022. Stochastic physics-informed neural ordinary differential equations. *Journal of Computational Physics* 468, 111466. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Paulo Henrique Lourenço Luna. 2022. Do fiscal opacity, fiscal impulse, and fiscal credibility affect disagreement about economic growth forecasts? Empirical evidence from Brazil considering the period of political instability and presidential impeachment. *Review of Development Economics* 26:4, 2356-2393. [[Crossref](#)]
75. Voxi Heinrich Amavilah, Antonio Rodríguez Andrés. 2022. Knowledge Economy and the Economic Performance of African Countries: A Seemingly Unrelated and Recursive Approach. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 60. . [[Crossref](#)]
76. Hamza NAIM, Tariq AZIZ. 2022. Board Characteristics Impact on the Firm Performance in the Indian Context. *Journal of World Economy: Transformations & Transitions* . [[Crossref](#)]
77. Cristina Isabel Ramos Barroso, Juan Camilo Galvis Ciro. 2022. Efectos de la credibilidad fiscal sobre las expectativas de inversión en Colombia: evidencia empírica para el periodo 2005-2019. *Revista Desarrollo y Sociedad* 36:92, 273-315. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Agnė Žičkienė, Rasa Melnikienė, Mangirdas Morkūnas, Artiom Volkov. 2022. CAP Direct Payments and Economic Resilience of Agriculture: Impact Assessment. *Sustainability* 14:17, 10546. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Khoa Dang Duong, Tran Ngoc Huynh, Diep Van Nguyen, Hoa Thanh Phan Le. 2022. How innovation and ownership concentration affect the financial sustainability of energy enterprises: evidence from a transition economy. *Heliyon* 8:9, e10474. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Vitor Manuel Araújo da Fonseca. 2022. Yield curve reactions to fiscal sentiment in Brazil. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy* 14:5, 651-673. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Natalia Teixeira de Hollanda Lima. 2022. Discretionary fiscal policy, fiscal credibility and inflation risk premium. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 85, 208-222. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Guoguang Wan, Luqun Xie, Jiatao Li, Dequan Jiang. 2022. Entertainment Spending and Capturing Value from Innovation in Chinese Firms. *Management and Organization Review* 18:4, 623-657. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Ya-Chen Tina Shih, Lindsay M Sabik, Natasha K Stout, Michael T Halpern, Joseph Lipscomb, Scott Ramsey, Debra P Ritzwoller. 2022. Health Economics Research in Cancer Screening: Research Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Directions. *JNCI Monographs* 2022:59, 42-50. [[Crossref](#)]

84. Sofia Gomes, João Ferreira, João Morais Lopes, Luís Farinha. 2022. The Impacts of the Entrepreneurial Conditions on Economic Growth: Evidence from OECD Countries. *Economies* **10**:7, 163. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Jing Zhou, Wei Lan, Hansheng Wang. 2022. Asymptotic covariance estimation by Gaussian random perturbation. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **171**, 107459. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Sungyoon Lee, Gang Chen. 2022. Disaster Experience and Governments' Savings: The Moderating Role of Organizational Capacity. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **32**:3, 591-609. [[Crossref](#)]
87. Ye'Elia Shalit, Ran Weber, Asaf Abas, Shay Kreymer, Tamir Bendory. Generalized Autocorrelation Analysis for Multi-Target Detection 5907-5911. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Luke De Clerk, Sergey Savel'ev. 2022. Nonstationary Generalised Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity Modelling for Fitting Higher Order Moments of Financial Series within Moving Time Windows. *Journal of Probability and Statistics* **2022**, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Fabiana da Silva Leite Nogueira. 2022. Effects of economic policy uncertainty and political uncertainty on business confidence and investment. *Journal of Economic Studies* **49**:4, 577-602. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Sreejith Kumar Krishnakumar, Rajiv Kishore, Nallan C. Suresh. 2022. Expansive or focused attention? An exploration–exploitation perspective on e-Business systems and firm performance. *Production and Operations Management* **31**:5, 2038-2066. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Dzidzobé Hechely Lawson. 2022. Risque de crédit, concurrence bancaire et indépendance monétaire dans les pays africains. *Revue française d'économie* **Vol. XXXVI**:4, 129-179. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Asil Azimli. 2022. Economic policy uncertainty and industry portfolio returns in the United States. *Investment Analysts Journal* **51**:2, 108-126. [[Crossref](#)]
93. Christian-Oliver Ewald, Erik Haugom, Leslie Kanthan, Gudbrand Lien, Pariya Salehi, Ståle Størdal. 2022. Salmon futures and the Fish Pool market in the context of the CAPM and a three-factor model. *Aquaculture Economics & Management* **26**:2, 171-191. [[Crossref](#)]
94. S. C. West, A. W. Muger, R. S. Kingwell. 2022. The choice of efficiency benchmarking metric in evaluating firm productivity and viability. *Journal of Productivity Analysis* **57**:2, 193-211. [[Crossref](#)]
95. Rexford Abaidoo, Elvis Kwame Agyapong. 2022. Banking industry stability and investment dynamics. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance* **30**:2, 215-239. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Eduardo Schirmer Finn. 2022. Can credibility offset electricity price effect on business confidence? An empirical investigation from a large emerging economy. *Applied Economics* **54**:11, 1229-1242. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Siew-Boey Yeoh, Chee-Wooi Hooy. 2022. CEO age and risk-taking of family business in Malaysia: The inverse S-curve relationship. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* **39**:1, 273-293. [[Crossref](#)]
98. Caio B.S. Maior, July B. Macêdo, Isis D. Lins, Márcio C. Moura, Rafael V. Azevedo, João M.M. Santana, Manoel F. da Silva, Marcus V.C. Magalhães. 2022. Bayesian prior distribution based on generic data and experts' opinion: A case study in the O&G industry. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering* **210**, 109891. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Yuling Pan, Feng Dong. 2022. Dynamic evolution and driving factors of new energy development: Fresh evidence from China. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* **176**, 121475. [[Crossref](#)]
100. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Julyara Costa. 2022. Effects of fiscal credibility on sovereign risk: evidence using comprehensive credit rating measures. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* **17**:3, 858-888. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Wahyu Jatmiko, A. Azizon. 2022. Can religious values reinvigorate the links between development and falāh?. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research* **13**:1, 32-53. [[Crossref](#)]

102. Yan Chen, Michael Song. 2022. The persistence and dynamics of new venture growth. *Small Business Economics* 58:1, 303-322. [[Crossref](#)]
103. Sofia Gomes, João M. Lopes, Luís Ferreira. 2022. The impact of the digital economy on economic growth: The case of OECD countries. *RAM. Revista de Administração Mackenzie* 23:6. . [[Crossref](#)]
104. Khoa Dang Duong, Tran Ngoc Huynh, Diep Van Nguyen, Hoa Phan Thanh Le. 2022. How Innovation and Ownership Concentration Affect the Financial Sustainability of Energy Enterprises: Evidence from a Transition Economy. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 22. . [[Crossref](#)]
105. Sandeep Dahiya, Bardia Kamrad, Valerio Potì, Akhtar R. Siddique. 2022. Fed Put in the Equity Options Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 78. . [[Crossref](#)]
106. Umair Khan, Umair Khalid, Fatima Farooq. 2021. Endogeneity Quagmire Empirical Evidence from Telecommunication Industry of Pakistan. *Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies* 7:4, 955-967. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Vítor Ribeiro Laufer Calafate. 2021. Lack of fiscal transparency and economic growth expectations: an empirical assessment from a large emerging economy. *Empirical Economics* 61:6, 2985-3027. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Larissa M. Batrancea. 2021. An Econometric Approach on Performance, Assets, and Liabilities in a Sample of Banks from Europe, Israel, United States of America, and Canada. *Mathematics* 9:24, 3178. [[Crossref](#)]
109. Khuong Vu, Nobuya Haraguchi, Juergen Amann. 2021. Deindustrialization in developed countries amid accelerated globalization: Patterns, influencers, and policy insights. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 59, 454-469. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Rexford Abaidoo, Elvis Agyapong. 2021. Macroeconomic Risk and Political Stability: Perspectives from Emerging and Developing Economies. *Global Business Review* 9, 097215092110476. [[Crossref](#)]
111. Gaston Brice Nkoumou Ngoa, Jacques Simon Song. 2021. Female participation in African labor markets: The role of information and communication technologies. *Telecommunications Policy* 45:9, 102174. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Thomas Bolli, Maria Esther Oswald-Egg, Ladina Rageth. 2021. Meet the need – the role of vocational education and training for the youth labour market. *Kyklos* 74:3, 321-348. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Emile van Ommeren, Giulia Piccillo. 2021. The Central Bank Governor and Interest Rate Setting by Committee. *CESifo Economic Studies* 67:2, 155-185. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Diego Silveira Pacheco de Oliveira, Gabriel Caldas Montes. 2021. Sovereign credit news and disagreement in expectations about the exchange rate: evidence from Brazil. *Journal of Economic Studies* 48:3, 660-698. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Imran H. Shah, Simón Sosvilla-Rivero. 2021. Incorporating asset price stability in the European Central Bank's inflation targeting framework. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 26:2, 2022-2043. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Steele C. West, Amin W. Muger, Ross S. Kingwell. 2021. Drivers of farm business capital structure and its speed of adjustment: evidence from Western Australia's Wheatbelt. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* 65:2, 391-412. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Gabriel Caldas Montes, José Américo Pereira Antunes, Alexei Ferreira Araújo. 2021. Effects of monetary policy and credibility on financial intermediation: evidence from the Brazilian banking sector. *Empirical Economics* 60:3, 1191-1219. [[Crossref](#)]
118. A. S. Al-Moisheer, A. F. Daghestani, K. S. Sultan. 2021. Mixture of Two One-Parameter Lindley Distributions: Properties and Estimation. *Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice* 15:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
119. Umaima Arif, Muhammad Javid, Farzana Naheed Khan. 2021. Productivity impacts of infrastructure development in Asia. *Economic Systems* 45:1, 100851. [[Crossref](#)]

120. VICTORIA ALEKHINA. 2021. THE ROLE OF ENERGY SECURITY IN ECONOMIC GROWTH IN ASIA: QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS AND POLICY OPTIONS. *The Singapore Economic Review* **66**:02, 545-567. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Woon Leong Lin, Chin Lee, Siong Hook Law. 2021. Asymmetric effects of corporate sustainability strategy on value creation among global automotive firms: A dynamic panel quantile regression approach. *Business Strategy and the Environment* **30**:2, 931-954. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Andreas Andrikopoulos, Anna Merika, Andreas Merikas, Christos Sigalas. 2021. Related party transactions and principal-principal conflicts in public companies: Evidence from the maritime shipping industry. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* **145**, 102171. [[Crossref](#)]
123. Mehdi Seraj, Cagay Coskuner. 2021. Real exchange rate effect on economic growth: comparison of fundamental equilibrium exchange rate and Balassa-Samuelson based Rodrik approach. *Journal of Applied Economics* **24**:1, 541-554. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Solomiya V. Sokurenko. 2021. Criteria of the optimal currency area: an empirical analysis. *Regional Economy* **4**(102), 35-43. [[Crossref](#)]
125. Bruno Emmanuel Ongo Nkoa, Jacques Simon Song. 2020. Does institutional quality affect financial inclusion in Africa? A panel data analysis. *Economic Systems* **44**:4, 100836. [[Crossref](#)]
126. Pankaj Setia, Nirup Menon, Sankara Subramanian Srinivasan. 2020. EHR application portfolio and hospital performance: Effects across hospitals with varying administrative scale and clinical complexity. *Information & Management* **57**:8, 103383. [[Crossref](#)]
127. Tulus Suryanto, Darul Dimasqy, Reza Ronaldo, Mahjus Ekananda, Teuku Heru Dinata, Indra Tumbelaka. 2020. The Influence of Liberalization on Innovation, Performance, and Competition Level of Insurance Industry in Indonesia. *Sustainability* **12**:24, 10620. [[Crossref](#)]
128. yahya soleimanimagham, Younes Nademi, mehdi chegeni. 2020. The Effect of Misery Index on the Rate of Crime in the Provinces of Iran. *Journal of Economic Modeling Research* **11**:42, 157-186. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Juan Camilo Anzoátegui-Zapata, Juan Camilo Galvis-Ciro. 2020. Disagreements in Consumer Inflation Expectations: Empirical Evidence for a Latin American Economy. *Journal of Business Cycle Research* **16**:2, 99-122. [[Crossref](#)]
130. Feng Dong, Yuling Pan. 2020. Evolution of Renewable Energy in BRI Countries: A Combined Econometric and Decomposition Approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **17**:22, 8668. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Jorge Sellare, Eva-Marie Meemken, Matin Qaim. 2020. Fairtrade, Agrochemical Input Use, and Effects on Human Health and the Environment. *Ecological Economics* **176**, 106718. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Yinghua Ren, Lisi Liu, Huiming Zhu, Rui Tang. 2020. The direct and indirect effects of democracy on carbon dioxide emissions in BRICS countries: evidence from panel quantile regression. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **27**:26, 33085-33102. [[Crossref](#)]
133. Robert Anderton, Benedetta Di Lupidio, Barbara Jarmulska. 2020. The impact of product market regulation on productivity through firm churning: Evidence from European countries. *Economic Modelling* **91**, 487-501. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Hamid E. Ali, Sakiru Adebola Solarin. 2020. Military Spending, Corruption, and the Welfare Consequences. *Defence and Peace Economics* **31**:6, 677-691. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Alberto Aziani, Serena Favarin, Gian Maria Campedelli. 2020. Security Governance: Mafia Control over Ordinary Crimes. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* **57**:4, 444-492. [[Crossref](#)]

136. Tomasz Napierała, Katarzyna Leśniewska-Napierała, Rafał Burski. 2020. Impact of Geographic Distribution of COVID-19 Cases on Hotels' Performances: Case of Polish Cities. *Sustainability* **12**:11, 4697. [[Crossref](#)]
137. André Filipe Guedes Almeida, Gabriel Caldas Montes. 2020. Effects of crime and violence on business confidence: evidence from Rio de Janeiro. *Journal of Economic Studies* **47**:7, 1669-1688. [[Crossref](#)]
138. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Tatiana Acar. 2020. Fiscal credibility, target revisions and disagreement in expectations about fiscal results. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* **76**, 38-58. [[Crossref](#)]
139. Eva Mavroudi, Effie Kesidou, Krsto Pandza. 2020. Shifting back and forth: How does the temporal cycling between exploratory and exploitative R&D influence firm performance?. *Journal of Business Research* **110**, 386-396. [[Crossref](#)]
140. Pekka Ahtiala, Juha Junntila. 2020. Finland's great depression of the 1990s: Lessons about financial reform based on econometric macro evidence. *Review of Financial Economics* **38**:S1, 188-209. [[Crossref](#)]
141. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Caio Ferrari Ferreira. 2020. Does monetary policy credibility mitigate the fear of floating?. *Economic Modelling* **84**, 76-87. [[Crossref](#)]
142. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Ivan Souza. 2020. Sovereign default risk, debt uncertainty and fiscal credibility: The case of Brazil. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* **51**, 100851. [[Crossref](#)]
143. Andreas Andrikopoulos, Anna Merika, Andreas Merikas, Christos Sigalas. 2020. Related Party Transactions and Principal-Principal Conflicts in Public Companies: Evidence From the Maritime Shipping Industry. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **19**. . [[Crossref](#)]
144. Peter K. Hatemi, Charles Crabtree, Kevin B. Smith. 2019. Ideology Justifies Morality: Political Beliefs Predict Moral Foundations. *American Journal of Political Science* **63**:4, 788-806. [[Crossref](#)]
145. Yongqiang Gao, Haibin Yang, Taïeb Hafsi. 2019. Corporate giving and corporate financial performance: the S-curve relationship . *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* **36**:3, 687-713. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Farzan Yahya, Muhammad Rafiq. 2019. Unraveling the contemporary drivers of renewable energy consumption: Evidence from regime types. *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy* **38**:5. . [[Crossref](#)]
147. Juan Camilo Galvis Ciro, Juan Camilo Anzoátegui Zapata. 2019. Disagreement in inflation expectations: empirical evidence for Colombia. *Applied Economics* **51**:40, 4411-4424. [[Crossref](#)]
148. Min Su. 2019. Understanding the Accumulation of Local Government Savings: A Dynamic Analysis. *International Journal of Public Administration* **42**:11, 893-903. [[Crossref](#)]
149. Pinghsun Huang, Hsin-Yi Huang, Yan Zhang. 2019. Do firms hedge with foreign currency derivatives for employees?. *Journal of Financial Economics* **133**:2, 418-440. [[Crossref](#)]
150. Neha Saini, Monica Singhania. 2019. Performance relevance of environmental and social disclosures. *Benchmarking: An International Journal* **26**:6, 1845-1873. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Júlio Cesar Albuquerque Bastos, Ana Jordânia de Oliveira. 2019. Fiscal transparency, government effectiveness and government spending efficiency: Some international evidence based on panel data approach. *Economic Modelling* **79**, 211-225. [[Crossref](#)]
152. Fiza Qureshi, Habib Hussain Khan, Ijaz Ur Rehman, Abdul Ghafoor, Saba Qureshi. 2019. Mutual fund flows and investors' expectations in BRICS economies: Implications for international diversification. *Economic Systems* **43**:1, 130-150. [[Crossref](#)]
153. Gabriel Montes, Ana Jordânia de Oliveira, Rodolfo Nicolay. 2019. Empirical Evidence on the Relation between Fiscal Credibility and Central Bank Credibility: The Brazilian case. *Estudos Econômicos (São Paulo)* **49**:1, 105-129. [[Crossref](#)]

154. Wenbin Sun, Joseph Price, Yuan Ding. 2019. The longitudinal effects of internationalization on firm performance: The moderating role of marketing capability. *Journal of Business Research* **95**, 326-337. [[Crossref](#)]
155. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Luiza Leitão da Cunha Lima. 2018. Effects of fiscal transparency on inflation and inflation expectations: Empirical evidence from developed and developing countries. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* **70**, 26-37. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Lord Mensah, Godfred Bokpin, Eric Boachie-Yiadom. 2018. External Debts, Institutions and Growth in SSA. *Journal of African Business* **19**:4, 475-490. [[Crossref](#)]
157. Chengete Chakamera, Paul Alagidede. 2018. The nexus between infrastructure (quantity and quality) and economic growth in Sub Saharan Africa. *International Review of Applied Economics* **32**:5, 641-672. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Ningli Wang, Huiming Zhu, Yawei Guo, Cheng Peng. 2018. The heterogeneous effect of democracy, political globalization, and urbanization on PM2.5 concentrations in G20 countries: Evidence from panel quantile regression. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **194**, 54-68. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Huiming Zhu, Hang Xia, Yawei Guo, Cheng Peng. 2018. The heterogeneous effects of urbanization and income inequality on CO2 emissions in BRICS economies: evidence from panel quantile regression. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **25**:17, 17176-17193. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Paulo Henrique Luna. 2018. Discretionary fiscal policy and disagreement in expectations about fiscal variables empirical evidence from Brazil. *Economic Modelling* **73**, 100-116. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Jeffrey S. Hoch, Pierre Chaussé. Econometric Considerations When Using the Net Benefit Regression Framework to Conduct Cost-effectiveness Analysis 119-143. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Na-Eun Cho, Weiling Ke, Bebonchu Atems, Jongwha Chang. 2018. How Does Electronic Health Information Exchange Affect Hospital Performance Efficiency? The Effects of Breadth and Depth of Information Sharing. *Journal of Healthcare Management* **63**:3, 212-228. [[Crossref](#)]
163. Vighneswara Swamy. 2018. Modeling the impact of Basel III regulations on loan demand. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy* **10**:1, 136-164. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Sameh Jouda, Slaheddine Hellara. 2018. Diversification, capital structure, and performance: A simultaneous equation approach. *Managerial and Decision Economics* **39**:2, 117-130. [[Crossref](#)]
165. Boon Kin Teh, Darrell JiaJie Tay, Sai Ping Li, Siew Ann Cheong. 2018. Finite Sample Corrections for Parameters Estimation and Significance Testing. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics* **4**. . [[Crossref](#)]
166. Ibrahim A. Shaikh, Jonathan Paul O'Brien, Lois Peters. 2018. Inside directors and the underinvestment of financial slack towards R&D-intensity in high-technology firms. *Journal of Business Research* **82**, 192-201. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Juan Camilo Galvis Ciro, Helder Ferreira de Mendonça. 2017. Effect of credibility and reputation on discretionary fiscal policy: empirical evidence from Colombia. *Empirical Economics* **53**:4, 1529-1552. [[Crossref](#)]
168. Lai Trung Hoang, Cuong Cao Nguyen, Baiding Hu. 2017. Ownership Structure and Firm Performance Improvement: Does it Matter in the Vietnamese Stock Market?. *Economic Papers: A journal of applied economics and policy* **36**:4, 416-428. [[Crossref](#)]
169. Wolfgang Drobetz, Dimitrios Gounopoulos, Anna Merika, Andreas Merikas. 2017. Determinants of Management Earnings Forecasts: The Case of Global Shipping IPOs. *European Financial Management* **23**:5, 975-1015. [[Crossref](#)]

170. Joseph David Barroso Vasconcelos de Deus, Helder Ferreira de Mendonça. 2017. Fiscal forecasting performance in an emerging economy: An empirical assessment of Brazil. *Economic Systems* 41:3, 408-419. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Alexandre Curi. 2017. Disagreement in expectations about public debt, monetary policy credibility and inflation risk premium. *Journal of Economics and Business* 93, 46-61. [[Crossref](#)]
172. G. C. Montes, R. T. F. Nicolay. 2017. Does clarity of central bank communication affect credibility? Evidences considering governor-specific effects. *Applied Economics* 49:32, 3163-3180. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Salandy Michelle, Henry Lester. 2017. The impact of capital flight from beautiful places: The case of the small open economy of Trinidad and Tobago. *Journal of Economics and International Finance* 9:6, 54-61. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Manas Mayur, Palanisamy Saravanan. 2017. Performance implications of board size, composition and activity: empirical evidence from the Indian banking sector. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* 17:3, 466-489. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Fiza Qureshi, Ali M. Kutan, Izlin Ismail, Chan Sok Gee. 2017. Mutual funds and stock market volatility: An empirical analysis of Asian emerging markets. *Emerging Markets Review* 31, 176-192. [[Crossref](#)]
176. Imran Hussain Shah, Ahmad Hassan Ahmad. 2017. How important is the financial sector to price indices in an inflation targeting regime? An empirical analysis of the UK and the US. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 48:4, 1063-1082. [[Crossref](#)]
177. Jesse Dillon Savage. 2017. Military Size and the Effectiveness of Democracy Assistance. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 61:4, 839-868. [[Crossref](#)]
178. Monica Singhania, Piyush Mehta. 2017. Working capital management and firms' profitability: evidence from emerging Asian countries. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies* 6:1, 80-97. [[Crossref](#)]
179. Fiza Qureshi, Izlin Ismail, Sok Gee Chan. 2017. Mutual funds and market performance: New evidence from ASEAN markets. *Investment Analysts Journal* 46:1, 61-79. [[Crossref](#)]
180. Ernest Dautovic. 2017. The effect of real-time fiscal policy on sovereign interest rates in OECD countries. *International Economics and Economic Policy* 14:1, 167-185. [[Crossref](#)]
181. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Rodolfo Tomás da Fonseca Nicolay. 2017. Is communication clarity from fiscal authority useful? Evidence from an emerging economy. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 39:1, 35-51. [[Crossref](#)]
182. Imran Hussain Shah, Simmn Sosvilla Rivero. 2017. Seeking Price and Macroeconomic Stabilisation in the Euro Area: The Role of House Prices and Stock Prices. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 5. . [[Crossref](#)]
183. Ishmael Ackah. 2017. Accounting for the Effect of Exogenous Non-Economic Variables on Natural Gas Demand in Oil Producing African Countries. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 38. . [[Crossref](#)]
184. Sandeep Dahiya, Bardia Kamrad, Valerio Pott, Akhtar R. Siddique. 2017. The Greenspan Put. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 78. . [[Crossref](#)]
185. Il-Horn Hann, JooHee Oh. 2017. Combating Prerelease Piracy: Modeling the Effects of Antipiracy Measures in P2P Networks. *INFORMS Journal on Computing* 29:1, 92-107. [[Crossref](#)]
186. FLÁVIO VILELA VIEIRA, ADERBAL OLIVEIRA DAMASCENO. 2016. Desalinamento cambial, volatilidade cambial e crescimento econômico: uma análise para a economia brasileira (1995-2011). *Revista de Economia Política* 36:4, 704-725. [[Crossref](#)]
187. Ka Zeng, Yue Lu. 2016. Variation in Bilateral Investment Treaty Provisions and Foreign Direct Investment Flows to China, 1997-2011. *International Interactions* 42:5, 820-848. [[Crossref](#)]

188. Carmem Feijó, Marcos Tostes Lamônica, Julio Cesar Albuquerque Bastos. 2016. Why does the investment rate not increase? Capital accumulation and stabilization policy in the 1990s and 2000s in Brazil. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* **39**:4, 539-561. [[Crossref](#)]
189. Siri Terjesen, Eduardo Barbosa Couto, Paulo Morais Francisco. 2016. Does the presence of independent and female directors impact firm performance? A multi-country study of board diversity. *Journal of Management & Governance* **20**:3, 447-483. [[Crossref](#)]
190. Joana Reis, Rosa Forte. 2016. The impact of industry characteristics on firms' export intensity. *International Area Studies Review* **19**:3, 266-281. [[Crossref](#)]
191. Claudio Oliveira de Moraes, Gabriel Caldas Montes, José Américo Pereira Antunes. 2016. How does capital regulation react to monetary policy? New evidence on the risk-taking channel. *Economic Modelling* **56**, 177-186. [[Crossref](#)]
192. Ferda Halicioglu, Natalya Ketenci. 2016. The impact of international trade on environmental quality: The case of transition countries. *Energy* **109**, 1130-1138. [[Crossref](#)]
193. Usama Al-mulali, Sakiru Adebola Solarin, Low Sheau-Ting, Ilhan Ozturk. 2016. Does moving towards renewable energy cause water and land inefficiency? An empirical investigation. *Energy Policy* **93**, 303-314. [[Crossref](#)]
194. Sakiru Adebola Solarin. 2016. Sources of labour productivity: a panel investigation of the role of military expenditure. *Quality & Quantity* **50**:2, 849-865. [[Crossref](#)]
195. Israel Marques II, Eugenia Nazrullaeva, Andrei Yakovlev. 2016. Substituting Distribution for Growth: The Political Logic of Intergovernmental Transfers in the Russian Federation. *Economics & Politics* **28**:1, 23-54. [[Crossref](#)]
196. G. C. Montes, L. V. Oliveira, A. Curi, R. T. F. Nicolay. 2016. Effects of transparency, monetary policy signalling and clarity of central bank communication on disagreement about inflation expectations. *Applied Economics* **48**:7, 590-607. [[Crossref](#)]
197. Vinish Kumar Kathuria. Does Feed-in-Tariff Explain Foreign Investment in Wind Energy Sector in India? 197-210. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Ernest Dautovic. 2016. The Effect of Real-Time Fiscal Policy on Sovereign Interest Rates in OECD Countries. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **76**. . [[Crossref](#)]
199. Georgios (George) C. Bitros. 2016. A Theory of Maintenance Expenditures Tested on Automobile Data from Greece. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **50**. . [[Crossref](#)]
200. Rogelio V. Mercado. 2016. Are Capital Inflows Expansionary or Contractionary in the Philippines?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **50**. . [[Crossref](#)]
201. Leonardo Dondoni Dutra, Júlio Cesar Albuquerque Bastos, Marcos Tostes Lamônica, Carmem Feijo. 2015. Desigualdade na oferta de crédito regional a pessoas jurídicas: uma análise em painel para o período 2000-2011. *Economia e Sociedade* **24**:3, 681-708. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Rodolfo Tomás da Fonseca Nicolay. 2015. Central bank's perception on inflation and inflation expectations of experts. *Journal of Economic Studies* **42**:6, 1142-1158. [[Crossref](#)]
203. Katrin Botzen. 2015. Are Joiners Trusters? A Panel Analysis of Participation and Generalized Trust. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie* **44**:5, 314-329. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Felipe Santos Tostes. 2015. The Effect of Monetary and Fiscal Credibility on Exchange Rate Pass-Through in an Emerging Economy. *Open Economies Review* **26**:4, 787-816. [[Crossref](#)]
205. D. Page, J. Britten, C. Aurret. 2015. Rand Hedge as an Investment Strategy on the JSE. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics* **39**:2, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]

206. Massimiliano Agovino, Giuliana Parodi. 2015. Human Development and the Determinants of the Incidence of Civilian Disability Pensions in Italy: A Spatial Panel Perspective. *Social Indicators Research* **122**:2, 553-576. [[Crossref](#)]
207. Sakiru Adebola Solarin, Pritish Kumar Sahu. 2015. The effect of military expenditure on stock market development: panel evidence from system GMM estimates. *Defence and Peace Economics* **26**:3, 271-287. [[Crossref](#)]
208. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Ivando Faria. 2015. Brazilian Central Bank communication and interest rate expectations. *Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies* **8**:1-2, 25-44. [[Crossref](#)]
209. Oliver Entrop, Christoph Memmel, Benedikt Ruprecht, Marco Wilkens. 2015. Determinants of bank interest margins: Impact of maturity transformation. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **54**, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
210. Daeheon Choi. 2015. A Study of Effects of Interorganizational Relationship Factors on Technology Diffusion in Supply Chain Networks. *Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial cooperation Society* **16**:2, 1006-1015. [[Crossref](#)]
211. Mimoza Shabani, Jan Toporowski. 2015. A Nobel Prize for the Empirical Analysis of Asset Prices. *Review of Political Economy* **27**:1, 62-85. [[Crossref](#)]
212. Yi-Cheng Liu, Wen Yang, Chao-Cheng Mai. 2015. Identifying Determinants of Branch Performances in A gricultural B ank of C hina: A Spatial Econometric Approach. *The World Economy* **38**:1, 21-47. [[Crossref](#)]
213. Nino Speziale. 2014. Does unemployment increase crime? Evidence from Italian provinces. *Applied Economics Letters* **21**:15, 1083-1089. [[Crossref](#)]
214. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Bruno Pires Tiberto. 2014. Public debt and social security: Level of formality matters. *Economic Modelling* **42**, 490-507. [[Crossref](#)]
215. Rebecca Hendrick, Jared Crawford. 2014. Municipal Fiscal Policy Space and Fiscal Structure: Tools for Managing Spending Volatility. *Public Budgeting & Finance* **34**:3, 24-50. [[Crossref](#)]
216. William Sheng Liu, Frank Wogbe Agbola. 2014. Regional analysis of the impact of inward foreign direct investment on economic growth in the Chinese electronic industry. *Applied Economics* **46**:22, 2576-2592. [[Crossref](#)]
217. Zhiyuan Hou, Ellen Van de Poel, Eddy Van Doorslaer, Baorong Yu, Qingyue Meng. 2014. EFFECTS OF NCMS ON ACCESS TO CARE AND FINANCIAL PROTECTION IN CHINA. *Health Economics* **23**:8, 917-934. [[Crossref](#)]
218. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Igor da Silva Veiga. 2014. A NOTE ON OPENNESS AND INFLATION TARGETING: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE UNPLEASANT FISCAL ARITHMETIC. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* **18**:5, 1187-1207. [[Crossref](#)]
219. Özlem Ayvaz Kızılgöl, Evren İpek. 2014. An Empirical Evaluation of the Relationship between Trade Openness and External Debt: Turkish Case. *International Econometric Review* **6**:1, 42-58. [[Crossref](#)]
220. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Julio Cesar Albuquerque Bastos. 2014. Effects of reputation and credibility on monetary policy: theory and evidence for Brazil. *Journal of Economic Studies* **41**:3, 387-404. [[Crossref](#)]
221. Pei-Fen Chen, Chien-Chiang Lee, Yi-Bin Chiu. 2014. The nexus between defense expenditure and economic growth: New global evidence. *Economic Modelling* **36**, 474-483. [[Crossref](#)]
222. Luis Aranda, Martin Daniel Siyaranamual. 2014. Are Smarter People Better Samaritans? Effect of Cognitive Abilities on Pro-Social Behaviors. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **102**. . [[Crossref](#)]
223. Nils aus dem Moore. 2014. Shifting the Burden of Corporate Taxes Heterogeneity in Direct Wage Incidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **68**. . [[Crossref](#)]
224. Nils aus dem Moore. 2014. Corporate Taxation and Investment Evidence from the Belgian Ace Reform. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **4**. . [[Crossref](#)]

225. Hua Liao, Huai-Shu Cao. 2013. How does carbon dioxide emission change with the economic development? Statistical experiences from 132 countries. *Global Environmental Change* **23**:5, 1073-1082. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Caroline Cabral Machado. 2013. Credibility and the credit channel transmission of monetary policy theoretical model and econometric analysis for Brazil. *Journal of Economic Studies* **40**:4, 469-492. [[Crossref](#)]
227. D. Emmanoulopoulos, I. M. McHardy, I. E. Papadakis. 2013. Generating artificial light curves: revisited and updated. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **433**:2, 907-927. [[Crossref](#)]
228. Vibhanshu Abhishek, Kartik Hosanagar. 2013. Optimal Bidding in Multi-Item Multislot Sponsored Search Auctions. *Operations Research* **61**:4, 855-873. [[Crossref](#)]
229. Heba E. Helmy. 2013. The impact of corruption on FDI: is MENA an exception?. *International Review of Applied Economics* **27**:4, 491-514. [[Crossref](#)]
230. Kwangmin Park, SooCheong (Shawn) Jang. 2013. Capital structure, free cash flow, diversification and firm performance: A holistic analysis. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **33**, 51-63. [[Crossref](#)]
231. Antonio N. Bojanic. 2013. The Composition of Government Expenditures and Economic Growth in Bolivia. *Latin American Journal of Economics* **50**:1, 83-105. [[Crossref](#)]
232. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Octavio Vargas Freitas Pinton. 2013. Fiscal Cyclicity in the Brazilian Economy: Something Is Changing. *Latin American Business Review* **14**:2, 163-174. [[Crossref](#)]
233. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Karine Alves de Siqueira Galveas. 2013. Transparency and inflation: What is the effect on the Brazilian economy?. *Economic Systems* **37**:1, 69-80. [[Crossref](#)]
234. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Marcelo Rangel Machado. 2013. Public debt management and credibility: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Economic Modelling* **30**, 10-21. [[Crossref](#)]
235. Gabriel Caldas Montes. 2013. Credibility and monetary transmission channels under inflation targeting: An econometric analysis from a developing country. *Economic Modelling* **30**, 670-684. [[Crossref](#)]
236. Ashley N. Arnio, Eric P. Baumer, Kevin T. Wolff. 2012. The contemporary foreclosure crisis and US crime rates. *Social Science Research* **41**:6, 1598-1614. [[Crossref](#)]
237. Fernando L. Garcia Bermudez, Ryan C. Julian, Duncan W. Haldane, Pieter Abbeel, Ronald S. Fearing. Performance analysis and terrain classification for a legged robot over rough terrain 513-519. [[Crossref](#)]
238. Gabriel Caldas Montes, Bruno Pires Tiberto. 2012. Macroeconomic environment, country risk and stock market performance: Evidence for Brazil. *Economic Modelling* **29**:5, 1666-1678. [[Crossref](#)]
239. Mike G. Tsionas, Andreas G. Merikas, Anna A. Merika. 2012. Concentrated ownership and corporate performance revisited: The case of shipping. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* **48**:4, 843-852. [[Crossref](#)]
240. Christian R. Proaño. 2012. Gradual wage-price adjustments, labor market frictions and monetary policy rules. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **82**:1, 220-235. [[Crossref](#)]
241. Wolfgang Drobetz, Dimitrios Gounopoulos, Andreas G. Merikas, Anna Merika. 2012. Management Earnings Forecasts and the Performance of Global Shipping IPOs. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **22**. . [[Crossref](#)]
242. Chris (Hristos) Doucouliagos, Michael Graham, Janto Haman. 2012. Dynamics and Convergence in Chief Executive Officer Pay. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **58**. . [[Crossref](#)]
243. Zhiyuan Hou, Ellen van de Poel, Eddy van Doorslaer, Baorong Yua, Qingyue Menge. 2012. Effects of NCMS Coverage on Access to Care and Financial Protection in China. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **341**. . [[Crossref](#)]

244. Jan Kalina. 2012. On Multivariate Methods in Robust Econometrics. *Prague Economic Papers* **21**:1, 69-82. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Safdar Ullah Khan, Omar Farooq Saqib. 2011. Political instability and inflation in Pakistan. *Journal of Asian Economics* **22**:6, 540-549. [[Crossref](#)]
246. Sarah Sanya, Simon Wolfe. 2011. Can Banks in Emerging Economies Benefit from Revenue Diversification?. *Journal of Financial Services Research* **40**:1-2, 79-101. [[Crossref](#)]
247. Wei Ting. 2011. Top management turnover and firm default risk: Evidence from the Chinese securities market. *China Journal of Accounting Research* **4**:1-2, 81-89. [[Crossref](#)]
248. Matias Carlos Auel, Helder Ferreira de Mendonça. 2011. Macroeconomic relevance of credit channels: Evidence from an emerging economy under inflation targeting. *Economic Modelling* **28**:3, 965-979. [[Crossref](#)]
249. Benjamin R. Auer. 2011. Sind konsumbasierte Kapitalmarktmodelle mit europäischen Wertpapierrenditen vereinbar?. *Review of Economics* **62**:1, 56-88. [[Crossref](#)]
250. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Thiago Ramalho Vasco da Silva Lima. 2011. Macroeconomic Determinants of Investment under Inflation Targeting: Empirical Evidence from the Brazilian Economy. *Latin American Business Review* **12**:1, 25-38. [[Crossref](#)]
251. Oskar Kowalewski. 2011. When are Multinational Banks Getting a Bang for Their Buck on Their Subsidiaries Abroad?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **27**. . [[Crossref](#)]
252. John R. Baldwin, W. Mark Brown, David L. Rigby. 2010. AGGLOMERATION ECONOMIES: MICRODATA PANEL ESTIMATES FROM CANADIAN MANUFACTURING*. *Journal of Regional Science* **50**:5, 915-934. [[Crossref](#)]
253. John L. Worrall. 2010. Do Federal Law Enforcement Grants Reduce Serious Crime?. *Criminal Justice Policy Review* **21**:4, 459-475. [[Crossref](#)]
254. STEFAN BOES. 2010. Count Data Models with Correlated Unobserved Heterogeneity. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **37**:3, 382-402. [[Crossref](#)]
255. John L. Worrall. 2010. A User-Friendly Introduction to Panel Data Modeling. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education* **21**:2, 182-196. [[Crossref](#)]
256. John L. Worrall, Tomislav V. Kovandzic. 2010. Police levels and crime rates: An instrumental variables approach. *Social Science Research* **39**:3, 506-516. [[Crossref](#)]
257. Oskar Kowalewski. 2010. Multinational Banks and the Performance of Their Subsidiaries Abroad. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **27**. . [[Crossref](#)]
258. Razvan Florin Popescu, Sergiu Prodan. 2010. The Analysis of Budget Rules and Macroeconomic Implications in Several Developed Economies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **86**. . [[Crossref](#)]
259. Christian R. Proaño. 2009. (De-)Stabilizing two-country macroeconomic interactions in an estimated model of the U.S. and the Euro Area. *International Economics and Economic Policy* **6**:4, 421-443. [[Crossref](#)]
260. John L. Worrall. 2009. Social Support and Homicide. *Homicide Studies* **13**:2, 124-143. [[Crossref](#)]
261. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Rubens Teixeira da Silva. 2009. Fiscal effect from inflation targeting: the Brazilian experience. *Applied Economics* **41**:7, 885-897. [[Crossref](#)]
262. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça. 2009. Output-inflation and unemployment-inflation trade-offs under inflation targeting. *Journal of Economic Studies* **36**:1, 66-82. [[Crossref](#)]
263. Cristina Arellano, Aleš Bulíř, Timothy Lane, Leslie Lipschitz. 2009. The dynamic implications of foreign aid and its variability. *Journal of Development Economics* **88**:1, 87-102. [[Crossref](#)]

264. Wei Ting, Sin-Hui Yen, Chien-Liang Chiu. 2008. The Influence of Qualified Foreign Institutional Investors on the Association between Default Risk and Audit Opinions: Evidence from the Chinese Stock Market. *Corporate Governance: An International Review* **16**:5, 400-415. [[Crossref](#)]
265. JOHN L. WORRALL. 2008. THE EFFECTS OF LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT BLOCK GRANTS ON SERIOUS CRIME*. *Criminology & Public Policy* **7**:3, 325-350. [[Crossref](#)]
266. Ismael Sanz, Francisco J. Velázquez. 2007. The role of ageing in the growth of government and social welfare spending in the OECD. *European Journal of Political Economy* **23**:4, 917-931. [[Crossref](#)]
267. Pavel Yakovlev. 2007. ARMS TRADE, MILITARY SPENDING, AND ECONOMIC GROWTH. *Defence and Peace Economics* **18**:4, 317-338. [[Crossref](#)]
268. Amelia U. Santos-Paulino. 2007. Aid and Trade Sustainability under Liberalisation in Least Developed Countries. *The World Economy* **30**:6, 972-998. [[Crossref](#)]
269. Peter Flaschel, Christian R. Proaño. AS-AD Disequilibrium Dynamics and the Taylor Interest Rate Policy Rule: Euro Area-Based Estimation and Simulation 172-196. [[Crossref](#)]
270. José R. Sánchez-fung. 2005. Estimating a monetary policy reaction function for the dominican republic. *International Economic Journal* **19**:4, 563-577. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Timothy D. Lane, Leslie Lipschitz, Cristina Arellano, Ales Bulir. 2005. The Dynamic Implications of Foreign Aid and its Variability. *IMF Working Papers* **05**:119, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
272. Amelia Santos-Paulino, A. P. Thirlwall. 2004. The Impact of Trade Liberalisation on Exports, Imports and the Balance of payments of Developing Countries. *The Economic Journal* **114**:493, F50-F72. [[Crossref](#)]
273. Angelo A Unite, Michael J Sullivan. 2003. The effect of foreign entry and ownership structure on the Philippine domestic banking market. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **27**:12, 2323-2345. [[Crossref](#)]
274. Joshua D. Angrist, Alan B. Krueger. 2001. Instrumental Variables And The Search For Identification: From Supply And Demand To Natural Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **78**. . [[Crossref](#)]