Motivation

- ► So far, assumed people are selfish
 - Care only about own utility
 - Don't care about utility of anyone else in own generation or any other generation

Motivation

- Now, allow for (particular form of) caring
- Allow utility of person of generation t to depend on utility of particular member of generation t+1
 - Parents and children
- Can give rise to bequests
 - ▶ Gift from parent to child, without expectation of repayment
- These economies get very complicated
 - Here, restrict attention to very special case for analytical tractability

Special Case: Generation 0 Cares about Generation 1

- Assume everyone except members of generation 0 (time 1 old) selfish
- Population N(0) = N(1)
- Each member h of generation 0 cares about member h (junior) of generation 1
- No heterogeneity within generations in terms of endowments or preferences

Special Case: Generation 0 Cares about Generation 1

- Focus on time 1
- ► From time 2 on looks like selfish economy from before

Preferences

▶ Members of generation 1 have usual utility function of form

$$u_1^h(c_1^h(1), c_1^h(2))$$

where only own consumption determines own utility

Members of generation 0 have utility function of form

$$u_0^h(c_0^h(1), u_1^h(c_1^h(1), c_1^h(2)))$$

where utility of member h of generation 0 at time 1 depends on own consumption when old and utility member h of generation 1 achieves

Preferences

- ▶ Note that person *h* of generation 0 isn't imposing his/her own values on the consumption of member *h* of generation 1
- Parent is happy, if kid is happy

Budget Constraints

The budget constraint of generation 0 when old (at time 1) is

$$c_0^h(1) = \omega_0^h(1) - b^h(0) - t_0^h(1) \tag{1}$$

where $b^h(0) \ge 0$ is the bequest of member h of generation 0 to member h of generation 1

The budget constraint of generation 1 when young is

$$c_1^h(1) = \omega_1^h(1) + b^h(0) - t_1^h(1) \tag{2}$$

and when old is

$$c_1^h(2) = \omega_1^h(2) \tag{3}$$

Budget Constraints

- ▶ By assumption, generation 1 does not leave bequests, nor pay taxes/receive transfers when old
- No borrowing/lending among generation 1, since everyone identical

Government Budget

► Government must balance its budget

$$\sum_{h=1}^{N(0)} t_0^h(1) + \sum_{h=1}^{N(1)} t_1^h(1) = 0$$

 Because all members of each generation pay same tax or receive same transfer

$$t_0^h(1) = -t_1^h(1)$$

Finding Solution

- ➤ Solve maximization problem of member *h* of generation 0 at time 1
 - ► Plug budget constraints in to utility function and differentiate w.r.t. bequests

Example

Example

Assume young at time 1 have utility function $u_1^h = c_1^h(1)c_1^h(2)$ and endowment [2, 1].

Assume old at time 1 have utility function $u_0^h = \log(c_0^h(1)) + \delta u_1^h$ and endowment of 1.

$$C_{0}^{h}(1) = W_{0}^{h}(1) + b^{h}(0) - t_{0}^{h}(1) - t_{0}^{h}(1) = t_{0}^{h}(1)$$

$$C_{1}^{h}(1) = W_{1}^{h}(1) + b^{h}(0) - t_{0}^{h}(1) + b^{h}(0) - t_{0}^{h}(1) + b^{h}(0) - t_{0}^{h}(1) = t_{0}^{h}(1)$$

$$\log \left[W_{0}^{h}(1) - b^{h}(0) - t_{0}^{h}(1) \right] + \delta \left[W_{0}^{h}(1) + b^{h}(0) - t_{0}^{h}(1) \right] W_{0}^{h}(2)$$

$$+ t_{0}^{h}(1)$$

$$Follow{b}^{h}(0): \frac{1}{C_{o}^{h}(1)} + \delta \omega_{i}^{h}(2) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{c_{o}^{h}(1)} + \delta \omega_{i}^{h}(2) = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \omega_{o}^{h}(1) - \delta^{h}(0) + t_{i}^{h}(1) = \frac{1}{\delta \omega_{i}^{h}(2)}$$

$$\rightarrow \delta^{h}(0) = \omega_{o}^{h}(1) + t_{i}^{h}(1) - \frac{1}{\delta \omega_{i}^{h}(2)}$$

 $C_{0}^{h}(1) = W_{0}^{h}(1) - b^{h}(0) - b^{h}(1)$

$$(0): \frac{1}{C_{n}^{h}(1)} + \delta \omega_{n}^{h}(2) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{C_0^h(I)} + \delta \omega_I^h(2) = 0$$

 $-f_{\mu}^{\rho}(\iota)=f_{\mu}^{\prime}(\iota)$

- If $\begin{bmatrix} \xi_i^h(1) \xi_i^h(1) \end{bmatrix}$ = $\begin{bmatrix} 1/2, -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$

- $\rightarrow b'(0) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{8}$

Why Do People Save?¹

Standard Model

- ► People save to self-insure against
 - Earnings risk
 - Longevity risk
 - Retirement

Data vs. Standard Model

People decumulate their net worth more slowly than implied by basic life cycle/OLG model

Why does it matter?

- Important to understand why people save
- ► Motivating example
 - Large medical expenses provide additional precautionary savings motive
 - ▶ If ignore, choose higher discount factor to match data on net worth
 - Patience in turn will affect how people value government insurance
 - Misguided policy evaluation

Bringing Model in Line with Data?

- Additional ingredients
 - ▶ Bequests and human capital transmission across generations
 - Heterogeneous preferences
 - Heterogeneous rates of return
 - Rich(er) earnings dynamics
 - Medical and nursing home expenses

Bequests and Human Capital Transmission Across Generations

Human Capital Transmission Across Generations
$$\max_{c_t} E \sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta^t \left(s_t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + (1-s_t) s_{t-1} \phi(a_t) \right)$$

$$a_{t+1} = y_t + (1+r) a_t - c_t + b_t$$

$$\max_{c_t} E \sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta^t \left(s_t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + (1-s_t) s_{t-1} \phi(a_t) \right)$$

Bequests and Human Capital Transmission Across Generations

- ► Earnings and lifetime uncertainty ⇒ Accidental bequests
- ▶ Parents value leaving bequests ⇒ Voluntary bequests
- Children partially inherit parents' earnings ability

Bequests and Human Capital Transmission Across Generations

► Warm glow altruism

$$\phi(a_t) = \frac{(a_t + \eta)^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

- ightharpoonup The larger η the more bequests are luxury goods
- Large variation in bequests in data

Bequests and Human Capital Transmission Across Generations

Results

- Accidental bequests don't help explain concentration in upper tail of wealth distribution
- Voluntary bequests help explain wealth concentration because of non-homoteticity
- Transmission of earnings ability across generations increases wealth concentration in upper tail
- But wealthy in model still not wealthy enough and poor too poor

Heterogeneous Preferences

$$\max_{c_t} E \sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta_i^t s_t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma_i}}{1-\sigma_i}$$

$$a_{t+1} = y_t + (1+r)a_t - c_t$$

Heterogeneous Preferences

- Results
 - Heterogeneous preferences might drive important difference in savings
 - But even large heterogeneity in both parameters doesn't generate very wealthy people

Heterogeneous Returns

$$\max_{c_t} E \sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta^t s_t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

$$a_{t+1} = y_t + (1 + r_t^i)a_t - c_t$$

Heterogeneous Returns

Results

- Lots of empirical evidence of heterogeneous returns across both households and asset classes
- Returns correlated with household wealth and across generations

Why Do People Save? Heterogeneous Returns

Entrepreneurship can generale realistic wealth distribution · potentially high return for investing in an firm

· borrowing constrained · keep saving to graw firm even

Rates of return depend on investment choices

What might be affecting them?

Entrepreneurial choices

Portfolio choice

Heterogeneous investor sophistication

- rationalizes high savings and

high wealth

Richer Earnings Dynamics

$$\max_{c_t} E \sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta^t s_t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

$$a_{t+1} = y_t + (1+r)a_t - c_t$$

Richer Earnings Dynamics

- Earnings dynamics typically much richer than in our models
 - ► Earnings processes typically estimated on datasets that miss highest earners
- High earners face more downward earnings risk

Heterogeneous Returns

- Results
 - ► If don't match wealth inequality by construction, richer earnings process doesn't generate more wealth concentration at top
 - ► Fits wealth holdings of poorest 60% better
 - Note: missing entrepreneurial income and risk

Medical (and Long-term Care) Expenses

$$\max_{c_t} E \sum_{t=0}^{T} \beta^t s_t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

$$a_{t+1} = y_t + (1+r)a_t - c_t - m_t$$

Results

- Medical expenses increasing with age and permanent income in US
 - Important reason why high permanent income elderly don't run down their assets
 - Government insurance covers low permanent income individuals who never save

What have we learned so far?

- Life cycle/OLG model right approach (not everyone middle-aged)
- Precautionary savings against earnings risk not only reason people save (retirement, medical expenses, long-term care)
- Modelling intergenerational links important
- Modelling family potentially important
- Entrepreneurship can explain why many households are wealthy

Future Directions

- ► Role of the family
 - How should we model the family?
 - How does the family affect risks and insurance?
- Do children help parents? Do they do it for the money?
- How should we best model health investment?
- Cross-country comparisons