

# 14.750x: Political Economy and Economic Development

## Module 4 Problem Set

### Part 1: Reading Section

1. Please answer the following questions regarding Dell (2010), "The Persistent Effects of Peru's Mining Mita".
  - (a) What work were the conscripted laborers in the Mita areas forced to do?
    - i. Logging
    - ii. Mining
    - iii. Shipping
    - iv. Sugar production
  - (b) In which year was the Mita system first put into place? (Enter a whole number.)
  - (c) What econometric technique constitutes Dell's main tool of analysis in comparing Mita and non-Mita areas?
    - i. Differences-in-differences
    - ii. Instrumental variables
    - iii. Ordinary least squares
    - iv. Regression discontinuity design
  - (d) According to Dell's analysis, which of the following are potential causes of lower household consumption in the Mita areas? (Select all that apply.)
    - i. Land tenure / haciendas / communal land
    - ii. Public goods provision
    - iii. Agricultural market participation / prevalence of subsistence farming

### Part 2: R Section

2. Use `mitaData.RData` to answer the questions below.
  - (a) Given longitude and latitude variables  $x$ ,  $y$ , construct  $x^2$ ,  $y^2$ ,  $xy$ ,  $x^3$ ,  $y^3$ ,  $x^2y$ ,  $xy^2$ .
    - i. Enter the mean of  $x^2$ , with three places after the decimal.
    - ii. Enter the mean of  $y^3$ , with three places after the decimal.
    - iii. Enter the mean of  $xy^2$ , with three places after the decimal.
  - (b) Regress the 2001 log equivalent household consumption [`lhhequiv`] on *mita* [`pothuan-mita`], all polynomial terms in question 2a (including  $x$  and  $y$  themselves), elevation [`elv-sh`], mean slope [`slope`], [`infants`], [`children`], [`adults`], and boundary segment fixed effects [`bfe4-1`, `bfe4-2`, `bfe4-3`]. Cluster the standard errors by [`district`]. Run the regression for three different subsets of the data: first, for observations where the distance to *Mita* boundary [`d-bnd`] is less than 100km, next when it is less than 75km, and lastly

when it is less than 50km.

**R Tip:** To cluster data, use `cluster.vcov` after using `lm()`. Look at the example of the `cluster.vcov` command in the package `multiwayvcov`.

What is the coefficient on *Mita*? Are the results significant at the 5% level?

Please enter numerical responses below rounded to three places after the decimal (for example: 5.555)

- i. 100km: the coefficient is \_\_\_\_\_, and the results are (significant/not significant) at the 5% level
  - ii. 75km: the coefficient is \_\_\_\_\_, and the results are (significant/not significant) at the 5% level
  - iii. 50km: the coefficient is \_\_\_\_\_, and the results are (significant/not significant) at the 5% level
- (c) Run the same regressions as in question 2b, but instead of polynomial terms in longitude and latitude, use a cubic polynomial in distance to Potosi [dpot]. That is, include the first, second, and third powers of this variable in the regressions. Again, cluster the standard errors by district and run the regression in 3 ways (for observations where the distance to *mita* boundary [d-bnd] is less than 100km, less than 75km, and less than 50km).

What is the coefficient on *Mita*? Are the results significant at the 5% level?

Please enter numerical responses below rounded to three places after the decimal (for example: 5.555)

- i. 100km: the coefficient is \_\_\_\_\_, and the results are (significant/not significant) at the 5% level
  - ii. 75km: the coefficient is \_\_\_\_\_, and the results are (significant/not significant) at the 5% level
  - iii. 50km: the coefficient is \_\_\_\_\_, and the results are (significant/not significant) at the 5% level
- (d) Do the coefficients on *Mita* in questions 2b and 2c differ in either size or their significance?
- i. No, there is no difference between the coefficients on *Mita* in (b) and (c). They are significant in both (b) and (c).
  - ii. No, there is no difference between the coefficients on *Mita* in (b) and (c). They are not significant in either (b) or (c).
  - iii. Yes, the point estimates are relatively similar in size, but they are significant only in (b).
  - iv. Yes, the point estimates are relatively similar in size, but they are significant only in (c).
  - v. Yes, the point estimates are vastly different in size, although they are significant in both (b) and (c).