

1. Rewrite the covariance matrix using Law of iterated expectations and $\mathbb{E}(ee'|X) = \Sigma$

$$\Omega = \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}'_i \Sigma_i \bar{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}'_i \Sigma_i \bar{X}_i | X)) = \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}'_i \mathbb{E}[\Sigma_i | X] \bar{X}_i) = \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}'_i \Sigma \bar{X}_i)$$

2. (a) The two equations can be estimated by least squares. For $j = 1, 2$:

$$\hat{\beta}_j = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ji} X'_{ji} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ji} y_{ji}.$$

We can alternatively write this estimator using the systems notation. $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = (\bar{X}' \bar{X})^{-1} (\bar{X}' y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}'_i \bar{X}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}'_i y_i \right).$$

- (b) Center the estimator as

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta = (\bar{X}' \bar{X})^{-1} \bar{X}' e.$$

Since the vector $\bar{X}'_i e_i$ is i.i.d. across i and has mean zero under $E[X_j e_j] = 0$, the central limit theorem implies

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}'_i e_i \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Omega)$$

Applied to the centered and normalized estimator, we obtain the asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, V_\beta).$$

with

$$V_\beta = Q^{-1} \Omega Q^{-1}$$

where

$$Q = I_m \otimes \mathbb{E}[X X'], \quad \Omega = E[ee' \otimes X X']$$

since the regressors are common.

- (c) Construct the common regressors estimator for the variance of $\hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{V}_{\hat{\beta}} = (I_m \otimes (X'_i X_i)^{-1}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (e'_i e_i \otimes X_i X'_i) \right) (I_m \otimes (X'_i X_i)^{-1}),$$

Perform a Wald test by comparing χ^2_k critical with the test statistic

$$W = (R' \hat{\beta})' (R' \hat{V}_{\hat{\beta}} R)^{-1} (R' \hat{\beta})$$

where

$$R = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} r(\beta)' = \begin{bmatrix} I_k \\ -I_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

¹42624@student.hhs.se

3. Nonlinear regression model written as $y = m(X) + e$ is a parametric regression function which is nonlinear in the parameters. The given regression function is linear in (β_0, β_1) but nonlinear in λ . Yet for $\lambda \neq 0$

$$y = (\lambda(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + e) + 1)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

is not a nonlinear regression model because $y \neq m(X) + e$.

4. // Stata (a) (b) (d)

```
use Nerlove1963.dta, clear, gen lC = log(cost),
gen lQ = log(output), gen lPL = log(Plabor),
gen lPF = log(Pfuel), gen lPK = log(Pcapital)
// 10 observations of log Q both below and above
gsort +lQ, list lQ in 11          3.218876
gsort -lQ, list lQ in 11          8.880863
nl(lC={b1}+{b2}*lQ +{b3}*(lPL+lPK+lPF)
+{b4}*(lQ/(1+exp(-(lQ-{gamma}))))),
initial(b1 1 b2 1 b3 1 b4 1 gamma 7) r
```

/b1		-5.32109	.5025214
/b2		.4377937	.1013331
/b3		.3707369	.0449295
/b4		.2240117	.0555175
/gamma		6.875142	.3649995

// R c)

```
data <- read.table("Nerlove1963.txt", header=TRUE)
y <- matrix(log(data$Cost), ncol=1)
x <- matrix(log(data$output), ncol=1)
lPL <- matrix(log(data$Plabor), ncol=1)
lPK <- matrix(log(data$Pcapital), ncol=1)
lPF <- matrix(log(data$Pfuel), ncol=1)
z <- lPL+lPK+lPF
x <- as.matrix(cbind(matrix(1, nrow(lC), 1), x, z))
transf <- function(gamma) G <- (lQ/(1+exp(gamma - lQ)))
SSE <- function(gamma) {G <- transf(gamma)
X <- cbind(x, G)
b <- solve(crossprod(X, X), crossprod(X, y))
e <- y - X%*%b
sse <- mean(e^2)
return(sse)}
BC <- optimize(SSE, c(3, 9))
gamma <- BC$minimum
G <- transf(gamma)
X <- cbind(x, G)
beta <- solve(crossprod(X, X), crossprod(X, y))
print(rbind(beta, gamma))
```

	-5.3210907
	0.4377923
	0.3707373
	0.2240123
gamma	6.8751278

5. Take expectations of the structural equation given $D = 1$ and $D = 0$, respectively

$$E[Y|D = 1] = E[Z|D = 1]\beta \quad (1)$$

$$E[Y|D = 0] = E[Z|D = 0]\beta. \quad (2)$$

Subtract and divide to obtain an expression for the slope coefficient

$$\beta = \frac{E[Y|D = 1] - E[Y|D = 0]}{E[Z|D = 1] - E[Z|D = 0]}.$$

Define the group means

$$\bar{Y}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n D_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n D_i}, \bar{Y}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - D_i) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - D_i)},$$

$$\bar{Z}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n D_i Z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n D_i}, \bar{Z}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - D_i) Z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - D_i)},$$

and the moment estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_0}{\bar{Z}_1 - \bar{Z}_0}.$$

note $\hat{\beta}$ equals the standard IV estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n D_i (Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n D_i (Z_i - \bar{Z})} = \frac{\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}}{\bar{Z}_1 - \bar{Z}}$$

since

$$\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y} = \bar{Y}_1 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \bar{Y}_1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - D_i) \bar{Y}_0 \right) = (1 - \bar{D})(\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_0)$$

similarly

$$\bar{Z}_1 - \bar{Z} = (1 - \bar{D})(\bar{Z}_1 - \bar{Z}_0)$$

thus

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \frac{(1 - \bar{D})(\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_0)}{(1 - \bar{D})(\bar{Z}_1 - \bar{Z}_0)} = \hat{\beta}.$$

A model without an intercept is overidentified. From (1), (2) additional estimators are

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV1} = \frac{\bar{Y}_1}{\bar{Z}_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta}_{IV2} = \frac{\bar{Y}_0}{\bar{Z}_0}.$$

6. Define

$$M = I_n - P = I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

where, I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Note that

$$MX = (I_n - P)X = X - PX = X - X = 0.$$

Substituting $Y = X\beta + e$ into $\hat{e} = MY$ and using $MX = 0$

$$\hat{e} = MY = M(X\beta + e) = Me.$$

Since $MM = M$

$$\hat{e}'\hat{e} = e'MMe = e'Me = e'e - e'X(X'X)^{-1}X'e$$

Write the IV residual as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e} &= y - X\tilde{\beta} \\
&= y - X(Z'X)^{-1}Z'y \\
&= X\beta + e - X(Z'X)^{-1}Z'(X'\beta + e) \\
&= e - X(Z'X)^{-1}Z'e \\
&= (I_n - X(Z'X)^{-1}Z')e.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}'\tilde{e} &= e'(I_n - X(Z'X)^{-1}Z')(I_n - X(Z'X)^{-1}Z')e \\
&= e'e - e'Z(X'Z)^{-1}X'e - e'X(Z'X)^{-1}Z'e + e'Z(X'Z)^{-1}X'X(Z'X)^{-1}Z'e.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E[e_i X_i'] \neq 0$ $\text{plim}(\hat{e}'\hat{e}) < e'e$ while $E[e_i Z_i'] = 0$ implies $\text{plim}(\tilde{e}'\tilde{e}) = e'e$ therefore OLS fits better than IV in the sense that $\sum_i \tilde{e}_i^2 \geq \sum_i \hat{e}_i^2$ even in large samples.

7. (a) Since the instrumental variable is exogenous

$$\begin{aligned}
E[X\nu] &= E[(\Gamma Z + u)\nu] = \Gamma E[Z\nu] + E[u\nu] = \Gamma E[Z\nu] \\
&= \Gamma E[Z(e - u'\gamma)] = \Gamma(E[Ze] - E[Zu']\gamma) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) By the CLT the asymptotic distribution of the control function estimator is

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta} - \beta \\ \hat{\gamma} - \gamma \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, V),$$

where

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} V_{\beta\beta} & V_{\beta\gamma} \\ V_{\gamma\beta} & V_{\gamma\gamma} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\beta\beta} &= (\Gamma' E[ZZ']\Gamma)^{-1}(\Gamma' E[ZZ'e^2]\Gamma)(\Gamma' E[ZZ']\Gamma)^{-1} \\
V_{\beta\gamma} &= E[uu']^{-1}(E[uZ'e\nu]\Gamma)(\Gamma' E[ZZ']\Gamma)^{-1} \\
V_{\gamma\gamma} &= E[uu']^{-1}E[uu'\nu^2]E[uu']^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

8. (a) By the LIE $E[Xe] = E[XE[e|X]]$, thus

$$E[X^2e] = E[X^2E[e|X]] \neq 0$$

and X^2 should be treated as endogenous.

- (b) Denote $(1, X, X^2)'$ the $k \times 1$ regressor vector and $(1, Z, Z^2)'$ the $l \times 1$ instrumental variable vector. The model is just-identified as $l = k = 3$.

- (c)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X_1 \\ X^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_0 & \gamma_1 & 0 \\ \delta_0 & \delta_1 & \delta_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ Z_1 \\ Z^2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The reduced form equation for X^2 is

$$X^2 = (1, Z, Z^2)'(\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2) + u_2$$

δ_2, γ_1 must be distinct from zero for the parameters in (*) to be identified.

9. (a) use AJR2001.dta

	Coeff	Std. err.
reg loggdp risk	.516187	.0625186
reg risk logmort0	-.6132892	.1269412
ivregress 2sls loggdp (risk=logmort0)	.9294897	.1536318

The 2SLS point estimate is different by 0.01 from the reported value random 0.94.

(b) Author's homoskedastic standard errors above, heteroskedastic-robust below

reg loggdp risk, r	.051101
reg risk logmort0, r	.1517849
ivregress 2sls loggdp (risk=logmort0), r	.1700872

(c) reg loggdp logmort0 Coeff = -.570046

$$\frac{-.570046}{-.6132892} = 0.9294897089$$

(d) reg risk logmort0, predict riskhat, xb riskhat

reg loggdp riskhat	Coeff = .9294897
--------------------	------------------

(e) reg risk logmort0. predict u, residual risk

reg loggdp risk u	Coeff = .9294897
-------------------	------------------

(f) reg loggdp risk latitude africa

loggdp		Coefficient	Std. err.	P> t	[95% conf. interval]
latitude		1.382463	.6440401	0.036	.0941905 2.670735
africa		-.7232696	.1712967	0.000	-1.065914 -.38062

Both latitude and africa are predictive of log GDP at conventional significance levels.

(g) ivregress 2sls loggdp latitude africa (risk=logmort0)

loggdp		Coefficient	Std. err.	P> t	[95% conf. interval]
latitude		-.0553109	1.161701	0.962	-2.332203 2.221581
africa		-.3479258	.3062581	0.256	-.9481806 .252329

The IV regression coefficients on latitude and africa are statistically insignificant.

(h) gen mort0 = exp(logmort0), reg risk mort0

loggdp		Coeff	Std. err.
risk		-.0007862	.0003819

The authors preferred the equation with *logmort0* since it has a stronger first stage.

(i) gen logmort2 = logmort0*logmort0

ivregress 2sls loggdp (risk=logmort0 logmort2)			
loggdp		Coeff	Std. err.
risk		.7722554	.1130303

estat firststage

R-sq. 0.3766 F(2,61) 18.4227

Including both *logmort0* and the square of *logmort0* increases the fit of the first stage and precision of the second stage. The effect of risk on loggdp reduces to 0.77.

(j) estat overid, forcenonrobust

Sargan chi2(1) = 5.13535 (p = 0.0234)

Rejecting the null at $p = 0.02$ gives mild evidence against the model.