## SU Econometrics I Spring 2024

## Problem Set 3: Marek Chadim<sup>1</sup>

1. (a)

$$\begin{split} E\left[E(y|x_{1})|x_{1},x_{2}\right] &= E\left[E(y|x_{1})|x_{1}\right] \quad \text{(because } E(y|x_{1}) \perp \!\!\! \perp x_{2}|x_{1}) \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_{1}}} E(y|x_{1})f(x_{1}) \, dx_{1}\right)|x_{1} \\ &= E(y|x_{1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_{1}}} f(x_{1}) \, dx_{1} \quad \text{(because } E(y|x_{1}) \text{ is a function of } X_{1}) \\ &= E(y|x_{1}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} E\left[E(y|x_{1},x_{2})|x_{1}\right] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_{2}}} E(y|x_{1},x_{2})f(x_{2}|x_{1})\,dx_{2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_{2}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} yf(y|x_{1},x_{2})\,dy\right)f(x_{2}|x_{1})\,dx_{2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_{2}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} yf(y|x_{1},x_{2})f(x_{2}|x_{1})\,dy\right)\,dx_{2} \\ &\left[f(y|x_{1},x_{2})f(x_{2}|x_{1}) = \frac{f(y,x_{1},x_{2})}{f(x_{1},x_{2})} \frac{f(x_{1},x_{2})}{f(x_{1})} = f(y,x_{2}|x_{1})\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} yf(y,x_{2}|x_{1})\,dy\,dx_{2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_{2}}} f(y,x_{2}|x_{1})\,dx_{2}\right)\,dy \quad \text{(because } E|Y| < \infty) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} yf(y|x_{1})\,dy = E(y|x_{1}) \end{split}$$

- - ii. model = LinearRegression()
     model.fit(x1.reshape(-1, 1), y)
     y\_hat\_1 = model.predict(x1.reshape(-1, 1))
     mse = mean\_squared\_error(y, y\_hat\_1)
     mse
     35.35939031894467

 $<sup>^142624</sup>$ @student.hhs.se

```
iii. X = np.column stack((x1, x2))
   model full = LinearRegression()
   model full. fit (X, y)
   x2 \text{ mean} = \text{np.mean}(x2)
   beta 0 = model full.intercept
   beta 1, beta 2 = model full.coef
   y_hat_2 = beta_0 + beta_1*x_1 + beta_2*x_2_mean
   mse y hat 2 = \text{mean squared error}(y, y \text{ hat } 2)
   mse y hat 2
   46.087549635205555
iv. model x2 = LinearRegression()
   model x2. fit (x1.reshape(-1, 1), x2)
   x2_hat = model_x2.predict(x1.reshape(-1, 1))
   y hat 3 = beta 0 + beta 1*x1 + beta 2*x2 hat
   mse y hat 3 = \text{mean squared error}(y, y \text{ hat } 3)
   mse y hat 3
   35.35939031894467
v. corr y yhat 1 = \text{np.corrcoef}(y, y \text{ hat } 1)[0, 1]
   corr_y_hat2 = np.corrcoef(y, y_hat_2)[0, 1]
   corr_y_hat3 = np.corrcoef(y, y_hat_3)[0, 1]
   corr y yhat1, corr y yhat2, corr y yhat3
   (0.6138527807909712, 0.613852780790971, 0.6138527807909712)
   In line with the result from the Law of Iterated Expectation, given available
   information on x1, the correlation of the fitted and true values stays the same.
   x1 quad = x1**2
   X \text{ quad} = \text{np.column stack}((x1, x1 \text{ quad}))
   model quad = LinearRegression()
   model quad. fit (X quad, y)
   y pred quad = model quad.predict(X quad)
   mse_quad = mean_squared_error(y, y_pred_quad)
   mse_quad
   35.31789866407726
   spline transformer =
   SplineTransformer(degree=3, n knots=4, include bias=False)
   spline pipeline =
   make pipeline (spline transformer, LinearRegression ())
   spline pipeline. fit (X1, y)
   y pred spline = spline pipeline.predict(X1)
   mse spline = mean squared error(y, y pred spline)
   mse spline
   35.226184698933984
```

Higher order polynomials of  $x_1$  provide in sample improvement by reducing bias of the estimates. However, their performance is likely to be worse when tested on new data due to higher variance of the estimates associated with overfitting.

```
2. (a) np.random.seed (42)
earnings = np.random.normal(19, 1, 500)
capital_gains = np.random.normal(1, 1, 500)
u = np.random.normal(0, 1, 500)
e = np.random.normal(0, 1, 500)
occupational_status = earnings + u
child_outcomes = earnings - capital_gains + e
income = earnings + capital_gains
fraction_earnings = np.mean(earnings / income)
fraction_earnings
0.950501580683676
```

- (b) average\_effect= 2\*(fraction\_earnings (1-fraction\_earnings)) average\_effect 1.8020063227347038
- (c) Y = child\_outcomes
   X = add\_constant(np.column\_stack((earnings, capital\_gains)))
   model = OLS(Y, X).fit(), coef= model.params[:]
   X\_os = add\_constant(np.column\_stack((earnings, capital\_gains, occupational\_status)))
   model\_os = OLS(Y, X\_os).fit(), coef\_os = model\_os.params[:]
   coef, coef\_os
   (array([ 0.96576913, 0.9499424 , -1.01915512]),
   array([ 1.01153196, 0.99865047, -1.01970993, -0.05101403]))
   X\_income = add\_constant(income.reshape(-1, 1))
   model\_income = OLS(Y, X\_income).fit()
   coefficient\_income = model\_income.params[1]
   coefficient\_income
   0.030418938549663543

Earnings and capital gains effect cancel each other out in the regression on pooled income. Income is uncorrelated with structural error thus not endogenous.

- (e)  $cor_{os_{income}} = np.corrcoef(occupational_status, income)[0, 1] cor_{os_{income}} = 0.498705133916934$
- (f) Variance-weighted average/signal-to-noise ratios OV"B" =  $\hat{\beta}_{os,income} \times \hat{\beta}_{os,co} = \frac{1}{1+1} \times 1 \times \frac{1}{1+1} \times 1 = .25$
- (g) X\_income\_os =
   add\_constant(np.column\_stack((income, occupational\_status)))
   model\_income\_os = OLS(child\_outcomes, X\_income\_os).fit()
   coef\_income\_os = model\_income\_os.params[1]
   coef\_income\_os
   -0.3081210399949755

From zero to a substantial negative effect of income controlling for occupational status indicates the presence of omitted variable "bias" in terms of correlation with both the independent variable and the dependent variable. Yet, occupational status is a bad control as it screens off the earnings effect of income on child outcomes.

3. (a)

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, y)}{\operatorname{var}(\tilde{x})} = \frac{E[(x + \nu)(\beta x + \epsilon)]}{\operatorname{var}(x + \nu)} = \frac{E[x^2 \beta + x\epsilon + \nu \beta x + \nu \epsilon]}{\operatorname{var}(x) + \operatorname{var}(\nu) + 2\operatorname{cov}(x, \nu)}$$
$$plim \, \hat{\beta} = \frac{\beta \sigma_x^2 + 0 + \beta 0 + 0}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_\nu^2 + 2 \times 0} = \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_\nu^2} \beta$$

Since  $\frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_\nu^2} < 0$  the coefficient  $\hat{\beta}$  will be biased towards zero.

(b)

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\text{cov}(x, \tilde{y})}{\text{var}(x)} = \frac{E[x(\beta x + \epsilon + \nu)]}{\text{var}(x)} = \frac{E[x^2 \beta + x\epsilon + x\nu]}{\text{var}(x)}$$
$$plim \, \hat{\beta} = \frac{\beta \sigma_x^2 + 0 + 0}{\sigma_x^2} = \beta$$

Since  $\nu$  is uncorrelated with x we can estimate  $\beta$  consistently by OLS in this case.

(c)

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, y)}{\operatorname{var}(\tilde{x})} = \frac{E[(x + \nu)(\beta x + \epsilon)]}{\operatorname{var}(x + \nu)} = \frac{E[x^2 \beta + x\epsilon + \nu \beta x + \nu \epsilon]}{\operatorname{var}(x) + \operatorname{var}(\nu) + 2\operatorname{cov}(x, \nu)}$$
$$p \lim \hat{\beta} = \frac{\beta \sigma_x^2 + 0 + \beta 0 + \sigma_{\nu \epsilon}}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_\nu^2 + 2 \times 0} = \frac{1}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_\nu^2} (\sigma_x^2 \beta + \sqrt{\sigma_\nu^2} \sqrt{\sigma_\epsilon^2} \rho)$$

The classical error is a special case where  $\rho=0$ . Increasing  $\sigma_{\nu}^2$  impacts  $\hat{\beta}$  by the attenuation factor  $\frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_{\nu}^2}$  and gives more weight to the endogeneity bias from  $\rho \neq 0$ .

(d)

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \frac{\text{cov}(y,z)}{\text{cov}(\tilde{x},z)} = \frac{\text{cov}(\beta x + \epsilon, z)}{\text{cov}(x + \nu, z)} = \frac{E[z(\beta x + \epsilon)]}{E[z(x + \nu)]} = \frac{E[xz\beta + z\epsilon]}{E[xz + z\nu]}$$

$$plim \, \hat{\beta}_{IV} = \frac{\beta \cdot \sigma_{xz} + 0}{\sigma_{xz} + 0} = \beta$$

We get consistent estimate as long as z is correlated with x but not with  $\epsilon$  and  $\nu$ .