

# Lecture 3: Generalized Method of Moments

---

Chris Conlon

February 7, 2023

NYU Stern

In the most basic setup we begin with some data  $w_i$  where  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Our economic model provides the following restriction on our data:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(w_i, \theta_0)] = 0$$

- ▶ At the true parameter value  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  our moment conditions  $g(w_i, \theta)$  are on average equal to zero.
- ▶ What does “on average” mean? In theory,  $g(w_i, \theta_0)$  is a random variable and we are making a statement about its first moment. This is what we mean when we write  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ .

## GMM: IID Normal

Let's estimate the parameters of an IID normal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Recall the moments of the normal:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu \quad \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \mu^2 + \sigma^2$$

We could form two moments by solving the expressions above for zero:

$$g_n^1(x_1, \dots, x_n, \mu, \sigma) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) - \mu$$
$$g_n^2(x_1, \dots, x_n, \mu, \sigma) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) - \mu^2 - \sigma^2$$

This gives us two equations and two unknowns which we can solve for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Of course you probably knew how to estimate the parameters of a normal...

## GMM: IID Normal

Let's estimate the parameters of an IID normal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Recall the moments of the normal:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu \quad \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \mu^2 + \sigma^2$$

We could form two moments by solving the expressions above for zero:

$$g_n^1(x_1, \dots, x_n, \mu, \sigma) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) - \mu$$
$$g_n^{2'}(x_1, \dots, x_n, \mu, \sigma) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2 - \sigma^2$$

This gives us two equations and two unknowns which we can solve for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Of course you probably knew how to estimate the parameters of a normal...

## GMM: Sample Moments

---

In practice, it is helpful to consider the sample analogue, which we abbreviate with the shorthand  $g_N(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , where  $g_N(\theta)$  is a  $q$ -dimensional vector of moment conditions.

$$\mathbb{E}[g(w_i, \theta)] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g(w_i, \theta) \equiv g_N(\theta)$$

## Other Definitions

---

- ▶ We define the Jacobian:  $D(\theta) \equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial g(w_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right]$ , which is a  $q \times k$  matrix.
- ▶ Evaluated at the optimum,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N g(w_i, \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, S)$  where  $S = E[g(w_i, \theta_0)g(w_i, \theta_0)']$  is a  $q \times q$  matrix.<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ In other words, the moment conditions which are 0 in expectation at  $\theta_0$  are normally distributed with some covariance  $S$
- ▶ Later, we will refer to a weighting matrix  $W_N$  which is a  $q \times q$  positive semi-definite matrix. It tells us how much to penalize the violations of one moment condition relative to another (in quadratic distance).

# Examples

---

It is easy to see some very simple examples:

- OLS** Here  $y_i = x_i\beta + \epsilon_i$ . Exogeneity implies that  $\mathbb{E}[x_i'\epsilon_i] = 0$ . We can write this in terms of just observables and parameters as  $\mathbb{E}[x_i'(y_i - x_i\beta)] = 0$  so that  $g(y_i, x_i, \beta) = x_i'(y_i - x_i\beta)$ .
- IV** Again  $y_i = x_i\beta + \epsilon_i$ . Now, endogeneity implies that  $\mathbb{E}[x_i'\epsilon_i] \neq 0$ . However there are some instruments  $z_i$  which may be partly contained in  $x_i$  and partly excluded from  $y_i$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}[z_i'\epsilon_i] = 0$ .  $\mathbb{E}[z_i'(y_i - x_i\beta)] = 0$  so that  $g(y_i, x_i, z_i, \beta) = z_i'(y_i - x_i\beta)$ .

### Maximum Likelihood

$g(w_i, \theta) = \frac{\partial \log f(w_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$  where  $f(w_i, \theta)$  is the density function so that  $\log f(w_i, \theta)$  is the contribution of observation  $i$  to the log-likelihood. Here we set the expected (average) derivative of the log-likelihood (score) function to zero.



## Examples (continued)

### Euler Equations

Assume we have a CRRA utility function  $u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}-1}{1-\gamma}$  and an agent who maximizes the expected discounted value of their stream of consumption. This leads to an Euler Equation:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} - 1 | \Omega_t \right] = 0$$

where  $\Omega_t$  is the “Information Set” (sigma algebra) of everything known to the agent up until time  $t$  (include full histories). We can write a moment restriction of the form for any measurable  $z_t \in \Omega_t$ .

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ z_t \left( \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\gamma} R_{t+1} - 1 \right) \right] = 0$$

In the original work by Hansen (1982) on GMM, this  $g(c_t, c_{t+1}, R_{t+1}, \beta, \gamma)$  was used to estimate  $(\beta, \gamma)$ .

Here is the GMM estimator:

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{\theta} Q_N(\theta) \quad Q_N(\theta) = g_N(\theta)' W_N g_N(\theta)$$

## Technical Conditions

*These are a set of sufficient conditions to establish consistency and asymptotic normality of the GMM estimator. These conditions are stronger than necessary, but they establish the requisite LLN and CLT.*

1.  $\theta \in \Theta$  is compact.
2.  $W_N \xrightarrow{P} W$ .
3.  $g_N(\theta) \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[g(z_i, \theta)]$  (uniformly)
4.  $\mathbb{E}[g(z_i, \theta)]$  is continuous.
5. We need that  $\mathbb{E}[g(z_i, \theta_0)] = 0$  and  $W_N \mathbb{E}[g(z_i, \theta)] \neq 0$  for  $\theta \neq \theta_0$  (global identification condition).
6.  $g_N(\theta)$  is twice continuously differentiable about  $\theta_0$ .
7.  $\theta_0$  is not on the boundary of  $\Theta$ .
8.  $D(\theta_0)WD(\theta_0)'$  is invertible (non-singular).
9.  $g(z_i, \theta)$  has at least two moments finite and finite derivatives at all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

The first five conditions give us consistency  $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . All nine conditions give us asymptotic normality.

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{N}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_{\theta}) \\ V_{\theta} &= \underbrace{(DWD')^{-1}}_{\text{bread}} \underbrace{(DWSW'D')}_{\text{filling}} \underbrace{(DWD')^{-1}}_{\text{bread}}\end{aligned}$$

It is common to refer parts of the variance as the *bread* and the *filling* or *meat*, together this is referred to as the *sandwich* estimator of the variance.

# GMM: Identification

- ▶ The global identification condition is difficult to understand, for the linear model we can replace it with a (local) condition on Jacobian of the moment conditions.
- ▶ Recall the Jacobian:  $D \equiv \frac{\partial g(w_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$ , which is a  $q \times k$  matrix.
- ▶ We call the problem **under-identified** if  $\text{rank}(D) < k$ , **just-identified** if  $\text{rank}(D) = k$  and **over-identified** if  $\text{rank}(D) > k$ .
  - In the under-identified case, there may be many such  $\hat{\theta}$  where  $g(w_i, \hat{\theta}) = 0$ .
  - In the just-identified case, it should be possible to find a  $\hat{\theta}$  where  $g_N(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ .
  - We are primarily interested in the over-identified case where we will generally not find  $\hat{\theta}$  which satisfies the moment conditions  $g_N(\hat{\theta}) \neq 0$ .
- ▶ Instead, we search for  $\hat{\theta}$  which minimizes the violations of the moment conditions. We write this as a quadratic form for some positive definite matrix  $W_N$  which is  $q \times q$ .

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{\theta} Q_N(\theta) \quad Q_N(\theta) = g_N(\theta)' W_N g_N(\theta)$$

**Thanks!**

---