

1 The choo and siow model, matching estimation and inverse optimal transport

The Choo-Siow model.

Heterosexual marriage market men's characteristics $x \in X$ women's $y \in Y$.

n_x men of type x and m_y women of type y

If x and y match, then they get respectively

$$\alpha_{xy} + \tau_{xy} + \varepsilon_y \text{ and } \gamma_{xy} - \tau_{xy} + \eta_x$$

where τ_{xy} is a transfer from y to x . If partners remain unmatched, they get respectively

$$\varepsilon_0 \text{ and } \eta_0.$$

Assume $(\varepsilon_y)_{y \in Y \cup \{0\}}$ is iid Gumbel and $(\eta_x)_{x \in X \cup \{0\}}$ is the same. In this logit framework, we have

$$\frac{\mu_{xy}}{n_x} = \frac{\exp(\alpha_{xy} + \tau_{xy})}{\sum_{y'} \dots} = \exp(\alpha_{xy} + \tau_{xy} - u_x)$$

where $u_x = \log \left(1 + \sum_{y \in Y} \exp(\alpha_{xy} + \tau_{xy}) \right)$.

$$\frac{\mu_{x0}}{n_x} = \frac{1}{\sum_{y'} \dots} = \exp(-u_x).$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{\mu_{xy}}{n_x} = \frac{\mu_{x0}}{n_x} \exp(\alpha_{xy} + \tau_{xy})$$

that

$$\mu_{xy} = \mu_{x0} \exp(\alpha_{xy} + \tau_{xy}).$$

Similarly on the other side of the market we have

$$\mu_{xy} = \mu_{0y} \exp(\gamma_{xy} - \tau_{xy}).$$

Multiplying term by term to eliminate τ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{xy}^2 &= \mu_{x0} \mu_{0y} \exp(\alpha_{xy} + \gamma_{xy}) \\ &= \mu_{x0} \mu_{0y} \exp(\Phi_{xy}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mu_{xy} = \sqrt{\mu_{x0} \mu_{0y}} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2}\right).$$

This is a matching function. μ_{x0} and μ_{0y} are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} n_x &= \sum_{y \in Y} \mu_{xy} + \mu_{x0} \\ m_y &= \sum_{x \in X} \mu_{xy} + \mu_{0y} \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} n_x &= \sum_{y \in Y} \sqrt{\mu_{x0} \mu_{0y}} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2}\right) + \mu_{x0} \\ m_y &= \sum_{x \in X} \sqrt{\mu_{x0} \mu_{0y}} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}}{2}\right) + \mu_{0y} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mu_{x0} = n_x \exp(-u_x)$ and $\mu_{0y} = m_y \exp(-v_y)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} n_x &= \sum_{y \in Y} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - u_x - v_y}{2}\right) + n_x \exp(-u_x) \\ m_y &= \sum_{x \in X} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - u_x - v_y}{2}\right) + m_y \exp(-v_y) \end{aligned}$$

My question to you: of what minimization problem are these the first order conditions?

$$\min_{u_x, v_y} \sum_x n_x u_x + \sum_y m_y v_y + 2 \sum_{xy} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - u_x - v_y}{2}\right) + \sum_x n_x \exp(-u_x) + \sum_y m_y \exp(-v_y)$$

Note that at equilibrium, the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{xy} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp\left(\frac{\Phi_{xy} - u_x - v_y}{2}\right) + \sum_x n_x \exp(-u_x) + \sum_y m_y \exp(-v_y) \\ &= 2 \sum_{xy} \mu_{xy} + \sum_x \mu_{x0} + \sum_y \mu_{0y} \end{aligned}$$

is nothing else than the sum of men and women, that is $\sum_x n_x + \sum_y m_y$.

2 Back to a model with heterogeneity in preferences in finite population

Assume that one individual man $i \in I$ has observable characteristics $x_i \in X$ and one individual woman $j \in J$ has observable characteristics $y_j \in Y$

If i and j match, then their joint utility is

$$\phi_{ij} = \Phi_{x_i y_j} + \varepsilon_{i y_j} + \eta_{x_i j}$$

and if they remain unmatched, they get respectively

$$\varepsilon_{i0} \text{ and } \eta_{0j}.$$

Dual of the optimal matching problem

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{u_i, v_j} \quad & \sum_i u_i + \sum_j v_j \\
s.t. \quad & u_i + v_j \geq \phi_{ij} \\
& u_i \geq \varepsilon_{i0} \\
& v_j \geq \eta_{0j}
\end{aligned}$$

Solve this knowing $\phi_{ij} = \Phi_{x_i y_j} + \varepsilon_{i y_j} + \eta_{x_i j}$. We have

$$u_i + v_j \geq \Phi_{x_i y_j} + \varepsilon_{i y_j} + \eta_{x_i j}$$

For all i such that $x_i = x$ and j such that $y_j = y$, we have

$$u_i + v_j \geq \Phi_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy} + \eta_{xj}$$

that is

$$u_i - \varepsilon_{iy} + v_j - \eta_{xj} \geq \Phi_{xy}$$

that

$$\min_{i: x_i=x} \{u_i - \varepsilon_{iy}\} + \min_{j: y_j=y} \{v_j - \eta_{xj}\} \geq \Phi_{xy}$$

thus if we set

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{xy} &= \min_{i: x_i=x} \{u_i - \varepsilon_{iy}\} \\
V_{xy} &= \min_{j: y_j=y} \{v_j - \eta_{xj}\}
\end{aligned}$$

then the constraint rewrite

$$U_{xy} + V_{xy} \geq \Phi_{xy}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
u_i &\geq U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy} \\
v_j &\geq V_{xy} + \eta_{xj}
\end{aligned}$$

The dual problem therefore equivalently rewrites as

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{u_i, v_j, U_{xy}, V_{xy}} \quad & \sum_i u_i + \sum_j v_j \\
s.t. \quad & U_{xy} + V_{xy} \geq \Phi_{xy} \\
& u_i \geq U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy} \\
& v_j \geq V_{xy} + \eta_{xj} \\
& u_i \geq \varepsilon_{i0} \\
& v_j \geq \eta_{0j}
\end{aligned}$$

But $u_i \geq U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy}$ and $u_i \geq \varepsilon_{i0}$ can be reexpressed as

$$u_i \geq \max_y \{U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy}, \varepsilon_{i0}\}$$

but at an optimum, this should hold as an equality. Thus the problem rewrites as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{U_{xy}, V_{xy}} \quad & \sum_{i \in I} \max_y \{U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy}, \varepsilon_{i0}\} + \sum_{j \in J} \max_x \{V_{xy} + \eta_{xj}, \eta_{0j}\} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U_{xy} + V_{xy} = \Phi_{xy} \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{U_{xy}, V_{xy}} \quad & \sum_{x \in X} n_x \frac{1}{n_x} \sum_{i: x_i=x} \max_y \{U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy}, \varepsilon_{i0}\} + \sum_{y \in Y} m_y \frac{1}{m_y} \sum_{j: y_j=y} \max_x \{V_{xy} + \eta_{xj}, \eta_{0j}\} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U_{xy} + V_{xy} = \Phi_{xy} \end{aligned}$$

Let's now assume a large market.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n_x} \sum_{i: x_i=x} \max_y \{U_{xy} + \varepsilon_{iy}, \varepsilon_{i0}\} & \rightarrow E \left[\max_y \{U_{xy} + \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_0\} \right] \\ \frac{1}{m_y} \sum_{j: y_j=y} \max_x \{V_{xy} + \eta_{xj}, \eta_{0j}\} & \rightarrow E \left[\max_x \{V_{xy} + \eta_x, \eta_0\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let's now make the logit assumption

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\max_y \{U_{xy} + \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_0\} \right] &= \log \left(1 + \sum_y \exp U_{xy} \right) \\ E \left[\max_x \{V_{xy} + \eta_x, \eta_0\} \right] &= \log \left(1 + \sum_x \exp V_{xy} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{U_{xy}, V_{xy}} \quad & \sum_{x \in X} n_x \log \left(1 + \sum_y \exp U_{xy} \right) + \sum_{y \in Y} m_y \log \left(1 + \sum_x \exp V_{xy} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & U_{xy} + V_{xy} = \Phi_{xy} \end{aligned}$$

which rewrites into

$$\min_{U_{xy}} \sum_{x \in X} n_x \log \left(1 + \sum_y \exp U_{xy} \right) + \sum_{y \in Y} m_y \log \left(1 + \sum_x \exp (\Phi_{xy} - U_{xy}) \right)$$

By first order conditions, we have

$$\mu_{xy} = n_x \frac{\exp U_{xy}}{1 + \sum_y \exp U_{xy}} = m_y \frac{\exp \Phi_{xy} - V_{xy}}{1 + \sum_x \exp (\Phi_{xy} - U_{xy})}$$

and

$$\mu_{x0} = \frac{n_x}{1 + \sum_y \exp U_{xy}} \text{ and } \mu_{0y} = \frac{m_y}{1 + \sum_x \exp (\Phi_{xy} - U_{xy})}$$

therefore

$$\mu_{xy}^2 = \mu_{x0} \mu_{0y} \exp (\Phi_{xy})$$

and we recover the Choo-Siow model.

Generalized to more general heterogeneity (outside of the logit case) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.02371>

3 Estimation of matching models

Idea = parameterize Φ_{xy} by a vector of parameters $\lambda \in R^K$. We have

$$\Phi_{xy}^\lambda = \sum_k \phi_{xy}^k \lambda_k$$

Example: $\phi_{xy}^1 = -|I_x - I_y|$ where I_x and I_y are the partners' incomes

$\phi_{xy}^2 = -|E_x - E_y|$ where E_x and E_y are the partners' educations

Recall that the social welfare is given by

$$W(\lambda) = \min_{u_x, v_y} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \sum_x n_x u_x + \sum_y m_y v_y \\ & + 2 \sum_{xy} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp \left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}^\lambda - u_x - v_y}{2} \right) + \sum_x n_x \exp(-u_x) + \sum_y m_y \exp(-v_y) \\ & - \sum_x n_x - \sum_y m_y \end{aligned} \right\}$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_k} = \sum_{xy} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp \left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}^\lambda - u_x - v_y}{2} \right) \phi_{xy}^k = \sum_{xy} \mu_{xy}^{u, v, \lambda} \phi_{xy}^k$$

Estimation strategy. Assume we observe $\hat{\mu}_{xy}$ and would like to estimate λ . We are going to look for λ such that

$$\sum_{xy} \mu_{xy}^{u, v, \lambda} \phi_{xy}^k = \sum_{xy} \hat{\mu}_{xy} \phi_{xy}^k.$$

that is

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_k} = \sum_{xy} \hat{\mu}_{xy} \phi_{xy}^k$$

which means that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k} \left\{ W(\lambda) - \sum_{xyk} \hat{\mu}_{xy} \phi_{xy}^k \lambda_k \right\} = 0$$

thus λ is found as

$$\min_{\lambda} \left\{ W(\lambda) - \sum_{xyk} \hat{\mu}_{xy} \phi_{xy}^k \lambda_k \right\}$$

that is

$$\min_{u_x, v_y, \lambda_k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \sum_x n_x u_x + \sum_y m_y v_y \\ & + 2 \sum_{xy} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp \left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}^\lambda - u_x - v_y}{2} \right) + \sum_x n_x \exp(-u_x) + \sum_y m_y \exp(-v_y) \\ & - \sum_{xyk} \hat{\mu}_{xy} \phi_{xy}^k \lambda_k \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Reformulate as a generalized linear model with log link function.

$$\max_{\theta} \{ \pi^\top X \theta - 1^\top \exp(X \theta) \}$$

Rewrite as

$$\max_{u_x, v_y, \lambda_k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & - \sum_x n_x u_x - \sum_y m_y v_y + \sum_{xyk} \hat{\mu}_{xy} \phi_{xy}^k \lambda_k \\ & - 2 \sum_{xy} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp \left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}^\lambda - u_x - v_y}{2} \right) - \sum_x n_x \exp(-u_x) - \sum_y m_y \exp(-v_y) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

that is

$$\max_{u_x, v_y, \lambda_k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & - \sum_x \sum_y \hat{\mu}_{xy} u_x - \sum_x \hat{\mu}_{x0} u_x - \sum_y \sum_x \hat{\mu}_{xy} v_y - \sum_y \hat{\mu}_{0y} v_y + \sum_{xyk} \hat{\mu}_{xy} \phi_{xy}^k \lambda_k \\ & - 2 \sum_{xy} \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp \left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}^\lambda - u_x - v_y}{2} \right) - \sum_x n_x \exp(-u_x) - \sum_y m_y \exp(-v_y) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

that is

$$\max_{u_x, v_y, \lambda_k} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & + \sum_{xy} 2 \sqrt{n_x m_y} \frac{\hat{\mu}_{xy}}{\sqrt{n_x m_y}} \left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}^\lambda - u_x - v_y}{2} \right) + \sum_x n_x \frac{\hat{\mu}_{x0}}{n_x} (-u_x) + \sum_y m_y \frac{\hat{\mu}_{0y}}{m_y} (-v_y) \\ & - \sum_{xy} 2 \sqrt{n_x m_y} \exp \left(\frac{\Phi_{xy}^\lambda - u_x - v_y}{2} \right) - \sum_x n_x \exp(-u_x) - \sum_y m_y \exp(-v_y) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Can compute this by a GLM / Poisson of depednent variable

$$\frac{\hat{\mu}_{xy}}{\sqrt{n_x m_y}}, \frac{\hat{\mu}_{x0}}{n_x}, \frac{\hat{\mu}_{0y}}{m_y}$$

on regressors $\frac{1}{2} \phi_{xy}^k, -\frac{1}{2} \delta^x, -\frac{1}{2} \delta^y$
with weights $2 \sqrt{n_x m_y}, n_x, m_y$.