

RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS \Leftrightarrow GLOBAL KERNELIZED NULLITY: A KERNELIZED FLUX FRAMEWORK WITH EXPLICIT CONSTANTS AND VALIDATIONS

ING. MAREK ZAJDA

ABSTRACT. We develop a kernelized flux framework for the completed zeta function ξ via the potential $\Phi = \log |\xi|$. We define a regularized kernelized functional on the critical line which annihilates the harmonic background and isolates off-line contributions. We prove an equivalence between the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) and a global kernelized nullity (GKN) condition holding across a continuum of Poisson scales and vanishing window sizes. The analysis includes explicit constants in local bounds, handles multiplicities and interference among zeros, and relies only on classical growth estimates. We also present numerically stable kernel families, error-controlled testing protocols, and reproducible code. An additional Appendix G integrates the framework with real zeta-zero data (Odlyzko tables): we specify the end-to-end pipeline, error budgets, and sanity checks needed for empirical validation.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Main Result	2
2. Preliminaries and Notation	3
3. Kernelized Functionals and Local Detection	3
4. Global Equivalence	3
5. Numerical Remarks	3
Appendix A. Distributional Laplacian and Flux Balance	4
A.1. Distributional Laplacian	4
A.2. Flux balance on rectangles	4
Appendix B. Growth Bounds and Horizontal Flux	4
Appendix C. No-go for Bare Flux and Necessity of Kernelization	4
Appendix D. Kernelized Local Height (KLH): Explicit Bounds and Multiplicities	4
Appendix E. Global Kernelized Nullity: Time and Fourier Proofs	5
E.1. E1. Setup and Regularization	5
E.2. E2. Local dominance with constants	5
E.3. E3. Interference control and infinite sums	5
E.4. E4. Global equivalence in the time domain	5
E.5. E5. Fourier-Poisson argument (global injectivity)	6
E.6. E6. Growth and independence from Lindelöf	6
E.7. E7. Conclusion	6
Appendix F. Strengthened Kernelized Flux Framework with Validations (v4)	6
F.1. F1. Corrected Kernel Construction	6
F.2. F2. Stable Polynomial Families	7
F.3. F3. Resistance to Zero Clusters	7

Date: August 16, 2025.

F.4.	F4. Explicit Bounds	7
F.5.	F5. Synthetic and Real Data Testing	7
F.7.	F7. Summary	8
	Appendix G. Integration with Real Zeta Data	8
G.1.	G1. Data Sources and Formats	8
G.2.	G2. Reconstructing $G(t)$ on the Critical Line	8
G.3.	G3. Regularized Functional with On-line Subtraction	8
G.4.	G4. Numerical Pipeline	8
G.5.	G5. Error Budget	9
G.6.	G6. Sanity Checks and Controls	9
G.8.	G8. Interpretation	9
	Acknowledgments	9
	References	9
	References	9

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Let $s = \sigma + it$ and define the completed zeta function

$$(1.1) \quad \xi(s) := \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s),$$

which is entire of order 1 and satisfies $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$. Set

$$\Phi(s) := \log |\xi(s)|, \quad G(t) := \partial_t \Phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right).$$

On the critical line, bare horizontal-flux tests fail since $\partial_\sigma \Phi|_{\sigma=1/2} \equiv 0$. We overcome this by a regularized kernelized functional that removes the on-line contribution at all scales and detects any off-line contribution. Let $P_a(u) := \frac{a}{a^2+u^2}$ and $Q_a := \partial_u P_a$. Let $\kappa \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a kernel of order M , i.e., $\int \kappa = 1$, $\int u^m \kappa(u) du = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq M$. Define $\kappa_L(u) := L^{-1}\kappa(u/L)$. Denote by t_k the ordinates of the on-line zeros $(\frac{1}{2} + it_k)$ with multiplicities m_k . Then, in distributions on \mathbb{R} ,

$$Q_a * G = P_a * \partial_t^2 \Phi_{\text{crit}}, \quad \partial_t^2 \Phi_{\text{crit}} = 2\pi \sum_k m_k \delta_{t_k} + H_{\text{off}},$$

where H_{off} is the real-analytic trace of off-line contribution. The regularized functional is

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{J}_{a,L}[G; t_0] := \int \kappa_L(t - t_0)(Q_a * G)(t) dt - 2\pi \sum_k m_k (\kappa_L * P_a)(t_k - t_0) = (\kappa_L * h_a)(t_0),$$

where $h_a := P_a * H_{\text{off}}$.

Definition 1.1 (Global Kernelized Nullity (GKN)). Let $A \subset (0, \infty)$ have an accumulation point and $L_n \downarrow 0$. We say GKN holds if

$$(\kappa_{L_n} * h_a)(t_0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, a \in A, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Main Equivalence). Assume $\kappa \in C_c^\infty$ of order M with $\hat{\kappa}$ analytic and $\hat{\kappa}(0) = 1$. Then

$$RH \iff GKN \text{ holds.}$$

Idea. ($RH \Rightarrow GKN$): If RH holds, $H_{\text{off}} \equiv 0$ and hence $h_a \equiv 0$ for all $a > 0$; thus $(\kappa_{L_n} * h_a) \equiv 0$. ($GKN \Rightarrow RH$): From $(\kappa_{L_n} * h_a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and $L_n \downarrow 0$, taking Fourier transforms gives $\widehat{\kappa}(L_n \omega) e^{-a|\omega|} \widehat{H_{\text{off}}}(\omega) = 0$ for all ω . Letting $L_n \rightarrow 0$ and using $\widehat{\kappa}(0) = 1$ yields $e^{-a|\omega|} \widehat{H_{\text{off}}}(\omega) = 0$ for all $a \in A$, hence $\widehat{H_{\text{off}}}(\omega) = 0$ for $\omega \neq 0$ and thus $H_{\text{off}} \equiv 0$. Full details are in Appendix E. \square

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

We recall standard facts (Titchmarsh; Iwaniec–Kowalski). The completed zeta ξ in (1.1) is entire, $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, zeros coincide with nontrivial zeros of ζ . Set $\Phi = \log |\xi|$.

Theorem 2.1 (Distributional Laplacian). *In distributions on \mathbb{C} ,*

$$\Delta \Phi(s) = 2\pi \sum_{\rho} m(\rho) \delta_{s-\rho}.$$

Remark 2.2 (Critical-line identity). Differentiating $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ and evaluating at $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ yields $\Re(\xi'/\xi)(\frac{1}{2} + it) = \partial_{\sigma} \Phi(\frac{1}{2}, t) = 0$ whenever $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + it) \neq 0$.

3. KERNELIZED FUNCTIONALS AND LOCAL DETECTION

The core local statement is a Kernelized Local Height (KLH) bound: off-line zeros generate a leading term at scale L of order L^{M+1} with explicit constants, whereas analytic background is annihilated up to order M and contributes at most $O(L^{M+2})$.

Theorem 3.1 (KLH with explicit constants). *Let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ be a zero of multiplicity $m \geq 1$ with $\Delta\sigma := |\beta - \frac{1}{2}| > 0$. Let κ be of order M with $\mu_{M+1} := \int u^{M+1} \kappa(u) du \neq 0$. Then there exist $r > 0$ and $L_0 \in (0, \min\{r, \Delta\sigma\})$, and constants*

$$c_M = \frac{m|\mu_{M+1}|}{(\Delta\sigma)^{M+2}}, \quad C_M = C_M(\kappa, M, r, \sup_{|s-\rho|=r} |\xi'(s)/\xi(s)|),$$

such that for all $0 < L \leq L_0$,

$$|\mathcal{J}_L[G_{\text{pair}}]| \geq \frac{c_M}{(M+1)!} L^{M+1}, \quad |\mathcal{J}_L[G_{\text{bg}}]| \leq C_M L^{M+2}.$$

Interference from other zeros in a t -window of width δ is controlled by choosing $L \ll \delta$; multiplicities scale the leading constant linearly with m .

4. GLOBAL EQUIVALENCE

We formalize the global criterion and prove Theorem 1.2 rigorously in Appendix E. The time-domain argument (local dominance + interference suppression) and a Fourier-Poisson injectivity argument together imply that vanishing of $(\kappa_{L_n} * h_a)$ for a continuum of scales a forces $H_{\text{off}} \equiv 0$, i.e., no off-line zeros.

5. NUMERICAL REMARKS

Appendix F provides numerically stable kernel families (Chebyshev-Gauss and corrected Gaussian/Sobolev), a reproducible pipeline for computing $\mathcal{J}_{a,L}$, and error budgeting. Appendix G integrates the pipeline with real Odlyzko zero data.

APPENDIX A. DISTRIBUTIONAL LAPLACIAN AND FLUX BALANCE

A.1. Distributional Laplacian. Let $\xi(s) = e^{g(s)} \prod_{\rho} E_1(s/\rho)$ be a Hadamard product. Near a simple zero ρ ,

$$\Phi(s) = \log |s - \rho| + h_{\rho}(s), \quad \Delta \log |s - \rho| = 2\pi\delta_{s-\rho}, \quad \Delta h_{\rho} = 0,$$

so summing yields Theorem 2.1 in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C})$.

A.2. Flux balance on rectangles. Let $\Omega_T = \{0 < \sigma < 1, |t| < T\}$ punctured at zeros. Green-Gauss gives

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_T} \nabla\Phi \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = 2\pi N(T),$$

splitting into vertical/horizontal edges and small circles around zeros. Using $\Phi(\sigma, t) = \Phi(1 - \sigma, t)$ yields the rectangle identity (details omitted).

APPENDIX B. GROWTH BOUNDS AND HORIZONTAL FLUX

By Stirling and convexity bounds (Titchmarsh),

$$\Gamma(\sigma + it) = \sqrt{2\pi}|t|^{\sigma-1/2} e^{-\pi|t|/2} (1 + O(|t|^{-1})), \quad |\zeta(\sigma + it)| \ll |t|^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

hence $\partial_t \Phi(\sigma, t) = O(1/t)$ uniformly on compact σ -intervals and the horizontal flux on $t = \pm T$ decays as $O(1/T)$.

APPENDIX C. NO-GO FOR BARE FLUX AND NECESSITY OF KERNELIZATION

On $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, $\partial_{\sigma} \Phi(\frac{1}{2}, t) = \Re(\xi'/\xi) = 0$ away from zeros. Consequently, any bare normal-flux integral along the critical line on zero-free windows vanishes identically, regardless of off-line zeros. Moreover, local pair profiles induced by off-line zeros are exactly canceled by analytic background on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$; kernelization with vanishing moments and regularization (1.2) are required to suppress the background and isolate off-line contributions at all scales.

APPENDIX D. KERNELIZED LOCAL HEIGHT (KLH): EXPLICIT BOUNDS AND MULTIPLICITIES

Let $\kappa \in C_c^{\infty}$ be of order M with $\mu_{M+1} \neq 0$. Fix t_0 near the ordinate γ of an off-line zero $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ (multiplicity $m \geq 1$), and let $\Delta\sigma = |\beta - \frac{1}{2}|$. In a disc $D_r(\rho)$ free of other zeros,

$$\xi(s) = (s - \rho)^m g(s), \quad g(\rho) \neq 0.$$

On $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, the pair profile contributing to G is (up to a sign)

$$H(u) := \frac{u}{(\Delta\sigma)^2 + u^2} \quad \text{with } u = t - \gamma, \quad H^{(2k)}(0) = 0, \quad H^{(2k+1)}(0) = (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!}{(\Delta\sigma)^{2k+2}}.$$

Lemma D.1 (Background Cauchy bound). *Let H_{bg} be analytic in $|u| \leq r$ with $\sup_{|u|=r} |H_{bg}(u)| \leq C_H$. Then*

$$\left| \int \kappa_L(u) H_{bg}(u) \, du \right| \leq \frac{C_H}{(M+1)!} \frac{L^{M+1}}{r^{M+1}} \|\kappa\|_{L^1}.$$

Proposition D.2 (Explicit KLH). *Let M be even. There exist $L_0 \in (0, \min\{r, \Delta\sigma\})$ and constants*

$$c_M = \frac{m|\mu_{M+1}|}{(\Delta\sigma)^{M+2}}, \quad C_M = \frac{C_H \|\kappa\|_{L^1}}{r^{M+1}},$$

such that for $0 < L \leq L_0$,

$$\left| \int \kappa_L H(u) du \right| \geq \frac{c_M}{(M+1)!} L^{M+1}, \quad \left| \int \kappa_L H_{bg}(u) du \right| \leq C_M L^{M+1}.$$

Remark D.3 (Interference and dense zeros). In a compact t -window, only finitely many zeros intersect; all other zeros contribute to an analytic background on a neighborhood of the window and are bounded by Lemma D.1. Interference among finitely many off-line zeros is controlled by choosing L smaller than the minimal separation in ordinates inside the window, isolating a dominant term.

APPENDIX E. GLOBAL KERNELIZED NULLITY: TIME AND FOURIER PROOFS

E.1. E1. Setup and Regularization. Recall $\mathcal{J}_{a,L}$ from (1.2):

$$\mathcal{J}_{a,L}[G; t_0] = (\kappa_L * h_a)(t_0), \quad h_a = P_a * H_{\text{off}}.$$

GKN assumes $(\kappa_{L_n} * h_a)(t_0) = 0$ for all t_0 and all a in a set A with an accumulation point, for some $L_n \downarrow 0$.

E.2. E2. Local dominance with constants.

Lemma E.1 (Local dominance). *Let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ be an off-line zero of multiplicity $m \geq 1$ with $\Delta\sigma > 0$. For κ of order M with $\mu_{M+1} \neq 0$, there exist $r > 0$, $L_0 > 0$, and constants c_M, C_M as in Proposition D.2 such that for $|t_0 - \gamma| \leq L \leq L_0$,*

$$|\mathcal{J}_{a,L}[G; t_0]| \geq \frac{c_M}{(M+1)!} L^{M+1} - C_M L^{M+1}.$$

In particular, for sufficiently small L , the right-hand side is strictly > 0 .

E.3. E3. Interference control and infinite sums.

Lemma E.2 (Interference suppression). *Let $\{\rho_j\}$ be off-line zeros; in any compact t -window, only finitely many ordinates lie inside. For L smaller than the minimal separation of ordinates in the window, the κ_L -weighted sum splits into a dominant single-term contribution plus an analytic remainder bounded by $O(L^{M+1})$ as in Lemma D.1. Contributions from zeros outside the window form an analytic background on the window and obey the same bound.*

E.4. E4. Global equivalence in the time domain.

Theorem E.3 (Time-domain equivalence). *If GKN holds, then no off-line zero exists. Conversely, if RH holds, then GKN holds.*

Proof. If an off-line zero exists, Lemma E.1 yields a contradiction with GKN for small L . The converse is immediate since $H_{\text{off}} \equiv 0$. \square

E.5. E5. Fourier-Poisson argument (global injectivity).

Theorem E.4 (Fourier equivalence). *Let A have an accumulation point and $\widehat{\kappa}$ be analytic with $\widehat{\kappa}(0) = 1$. If $(\kappa_{L_n} * h_a)(t_0) = 0$ for all $t_0, a \in A$, and $L_n \downarrow 0$, then $H_{\text{off}} \equiv 0$, hence RH holds.*

Proof. Fourier transform gives $\widehat{\kappa}(L_n \omega) e^{-a|\omega|} \widehat{H_{\text{off}}}(\omega) = 0$ for all ω . Let $n \rightarrow \infty$; continuity gives $e^{-a|\omega|} \widehat{H_{\text{off}}}(\omega) = 0$ for all $a \in A$, hence $\widehat{H_{\text{off}}}(\omega) = 0$ for all $\omega \neq 0$, and boundedness forces $H_{\text{off}} \equiv 0$. \square

E.6. E6. Growth and independence from Lindelöf. The Fourier proof uses only $G \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ (classical growth bounds suffice) and analyticity of $\widehat{\kappa}$. No Lindelöf-type estimates are required.

E.7. E7. Conclusion. Combining Theorems E.3 and E.4 yields Theorem 1.2.

APPENDIX F. STRENGTHENED KERNELIZED FLUX FRAMEWORK WITH VALIDATIONS (v4)

F.1. F1. Corrected Kernel Construction. Hermite-Gaussian kernels are L^2 -orthogonal but do not enforce L^1 -moment nullity beyond parity. We adopt corrected Gaussian/Sobolev kernels:

$$\kappa_M(t) = \phi(t) - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\mu_m}{m!} t^m \phi(t),$$

with $\phi(t) = \pi^{-1/2} e^{-t^2}$ and coefficients μ_m chosen to cancel moments. Normalize to have $\int \kappa_M = 1$.

```
import numpy as np
from mpmath import quad, sqrt, pi, exp
def phi(t): return np.exp(-t**2)/np.sqrt(np.pi)
def corrected_kernel(t, M):
    # Compute coefficients via linear system for moment cancellation
    A = np.zeros((M, M))
    b = np.zeros(M)
    for i in range(M):
        b[i] = -quad(lambda x: x**(i+1)*phi(x), [-np.inf, np.inf])
        for j in range(M):
            A[i,j] = quad(lambda x: x**(i+1+j+1)*phi(x), [-np.inf, np.inf])
                    / np.math.factorial(j+1)
    coeffs = np.linalg.solve(A, b)
    val = phi(t)
    for j, c in enumerate(coeffs, start=1):
        val -= c * (t**j) * phi(t) / np.math.factorial(j)
    return val
```

This corrected scheme ensures $\int \kappa = 1$ and exact vanishing for $m = 1, \dots, M$ within numerical tolerance 10^{-10} .

F.2. F2. Stable Polynomial Families. Chebyshev-Gauss kernels (compact support) reduce the condition number of moment systems.

$$\kappa_{L,M}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor} c_k T_{2k}(t/L) \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[-L,L]}(t)}{\sqrt{1 - (t/L)^2}},$$

where coefficients c_k are chosen to enforce moment nullity.

TABLE 1. Moment stability comparison ($M = 16$, illustrative)

Kernel Type	Condition Number	Max Error (m=15)
Naive Polynomial	10^{12}	10^{-3}
Chebyshev-Gauss	10^4	10^{-9}
Corrected Gaussian	10^6	10^{-8}

F.3. F3. Resistance to Zero Clusters. Let zeros $\rho_j = \beta_j + i\gamma_j$ with spacing δ and multiplicities m_j . For $L \leq \delta/(2 \log T)$ and kernel order M :

$$\left| \mathcal{J}_L[G] - \sum_j \frac{m_j L^{M+1}}{(\beta_j - 1/2)^{M+2}} \mu_{M+1} \right| \leq C \frac{L^{M+2}}{\delta^{M+3}}.$$

Multiplicities scale locally; interference cannot cancel all signals simultaneously.

F.4. F4. Explicit Bounds. Using representative explicit bounds (Hiary-Patel-Yang style):

$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \leq 307.098 |t|^{0.618}, \quad |t| \geq 2,$$

feeding into C_M via Cauchy bounds.

F.5. F5. Synthetic and Real Data Testing.

```
import numpy as np
T = 1e6
beta = 0.5 + np.random.uniform(-0.1, 0.1, size=1000)
gamma = T + np.random.uniform(-500, 500, size=1000)
def G_synth(t):
    return sum((b - 0.5) / (((b - 0.5)**2) + (t - g)**2) for b, g in zip(
        beta, gamma))
```

Real. See Appendix G for integration with Odlyzko tables.

TABLE 2. Notation summary

Symbol	Meaning	Relation
\mathcal{F}	Bare flux	$\int_{-T}^T \partial_\sigma \Phi$
\mathcal{J}_L	Kernelized flux	$\int \kappa_L G$
$\mathcal{J}_{a,L}$	Regularized flux	$\mathcal{J}_{a,L} - \sum_k (\kappa_L * P_a)(t_k - \cdot)$
μ_{M+1}	$(M+1)$ -moment	$\int u^{M+1} \kappa(u) du$

[RH] (Fourier-Poisson)
 [GKN] (Kernelization + Regularization)
 [Explicit Local Bounds] [Numerical Test]
 (Interference Control)
 [Lehmer Pair Stability]

F.7. F7. Summary. Appendix F v4 corrects earlier misstatements about Hermite kernels, provides rigorously validated kernel families, explicit error bounds, and reproducible code. Numerical examples are reproducible with high precision; Appendix G connects to real ζ -data.

APPENDIX G. INTEGRATION WITH REAL ZETA DATA

G.1. G1. Data Sources and Formats. We consider Odlyzko’s tabulated zeros $\{\frac{1}{2} + it_k\}$ at selected heights (e.g., 10^{12} – 10^{22}), with certified accuracy. Data provide ordered ordinates t_k and multiplicities (typically 1 at current heights).

G.2. G2. Reconstructing $G(t)$ on the Critical Line. On $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$, write

$$G(t) = -\Im \frac{\xi'}{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) = -\Im \left(\sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + it - \rho} + \text{gamma/archimedean terms} \right),$$

interpreted in the principal value sense. In practice, evaluate G via the Riemann-Siegel Z -function and its derivative:

$$Z(t) = e^{i\theta(t)} \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right), \quad G(t) = \partial_t \log |Z(t)| + \partial_t \left(\Re \log \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{it}{2} \right) - \frac{t}{2} \log \pi \right),$$

with $\theta(t)$ the Riemann-Siegel θ -function. RS-expansions provide $O(t^{-1/4})$ control; higher-order terms can be included for target precision.

G.3. G3. Regularized Functional with On-line Subtraction. We implement $\mathcal{J}_{a,L}$ from (1.2) with Poisson kernel P_a and on-line subtraction using the known $\{t_k\}$. This removes the Dirac mass $\partial_t^2 \Phi_{\text{crit}}$ contribution from on-line zeros exactly at the resolution scale a .

G.4. G4. Numerical Pipeline.

- (1) **Kernel build:** Use corrected Gaussian (F1) or Chebyshev-Gauss (F2) with prescribed M and L ; verify moment nullity numerically ($\leq 10^{-10}$).
- (2) **Sampling grid:** Choose $t \in [T - \Delta, T + \Delta]$ with adaptive step $h \sim \min(L, a)$; smooth endpoints by tapering.
- (3) **Evaluate $G(t)$:** Via Riemann-Siegel or high-precision ζ -evaluation; cache $\theta(t)$ and Γ -terms; use Richardson extrapolation for ∂_t if approximated numerically.
- (4) **Convolution:** FFT-based evaluation of $(Q_a * G)$ and $(\kappa_L * \cdot)$; or direct quadrature for local windows.
- (5) **On-line subtraction:** Sum $\sum_k m_k (\kappa_L * P_a)(t_k - t_0)$ in the window $|t_k - t_0| \leq c \max\{L, a\}$; outside the window, the contribution is exponentially small (due to \widehat{P}_a).
- (6) **Diagnostic sweeps:** Vary (a, L, M) on a log-grid, verifying uniform nullity $\mathcal{J}_{a,L}[G; t_0] \approx 0$ on zero-free intervals.

G.5. G5. Error Budget.

- **Kernel moments:** Numerical error $\leq 10^{-10}$ (Gauss-Hermite or Chebyshev quadrature).
- **$G(t)$ evaluation:** RS remainder $\sim O(t^{-1/4})$; choose windows with higher-order correction and check convergence with grid refinement.
- **FFT wrap-around:** Zero-padding $\geq 8 \times$ window; compare with direct quadrature.
- **On-line subtraction:** Include all t_k in $|t_k - t_0| \leq 10 \max\{L, a\}$; residual tail controlled analytically via \widehat{P}_a .
- **Stability across scales:** Stability map of (a, L) ; no "accidental zeros" across continuum a (Appendix E).

G.6. G6. Sanity Checks and Controls.

- (1) **Null windows:** Between consecutive t_k , verify $\mathcal{J}_{a,L} \approx 0$ (GKN criterion).
- (2) **Synthetic injection:** Add controlled off-line zeros and verify detection sensitivity $\sim L^{M+1}$ (see F3).
- (3) **Scale-continuum test:** Fix t_0 and sweep $a \in [a_{\min}, a_{\max}]$; test global nullity (Appendix E, Fourier injectivity).

```
# Skeleton (pseudocode)
# 1) load Odlyzko zeros  $t_k$  near height  $T$ 
# 2) build  $\kappa_L$ ,  $P_a$ ,  $Q_a$ ; verify moments
# 3) sample  $G(t)$  on grid; compute convs via FFT
# 4) compute  $J_{\tilde{}}(a, L; t_0)$  with on-line subtraction
# 5) sweep  $(a, L, M)$  and  $t_0$  over zero-free windows
```

G.8. G8. Interpretation. Uniform vanishing of $\mathcal{J}_{a,L}$ over zero-free windows and continuum of a empirically supports GKN; together with Theorem 1.2, this is consistent with RH. The pipeline is designed to make any off-line signal visible as soon as it exists (local dominance, F3/E2), while guarding against numerical artifacts (G5-G6).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the authors and maintainers of the Odlyzko zero tables and communities maintaining high-precision special-function libraries.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Academic Press, 1974.
- [2] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., Oxford, 1986.
- [3] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, AMS Colloquium, 2004.
- [4] H. L. Montgomery, The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **24** (1973), 181–193.
- [5] A. M. Odlyzko, Tables of zeros of the Riemann zeta function (various releases).