

# Mathematical Physics I (Week 12)

Lecture by Yuri B. Suris  
17th December - 21th December 2018  
Technical University Berlin

Notes written by Viet Duc Nguyen

## Lecture on 15th January 2019

**Theorem 1.** *If a flow of a vector field  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}(x, p)$  preserves the canonical two form  $\omega = \sum_{k=1}^n dx_k \wedge dp_k$ , then (locally) there exists  $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X = X_H = \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad}_p H \\ -\text{grad}_x H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{grad} H$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x, p) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(x, p) \\ g_1(x, p) \\ g_n(x, p) \end{pmatrix}$$

so that  $\begin{cases} \dot{x}_k = f_k(x, p) \\ \dot{p}_k = g_k(x, p) \end{cases}$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} L_x \omega = 0 &\iff \sum_{k=1}^n (df_k(x, p) \wedge dp_k + dx_k \wedge dg_k(x, p)) = 0 \\ &\iff \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} dx_j + \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial p_j} dp_j \right) \wedge dp_k + dx_k \wedge \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial x_j} dx_j + \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial p_j} dp_j \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients by  $dx_k \wedge dx_j$ ;  $\frac{\partial g_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_k} = 0$ . By  $dp_j \wedge dp_k$ :  $\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial p_k} = 0$ . By  $dx_j \wedge dp_k$ :  $\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial p_k} = 0$ . The first condition is that there exists a function  $H_1$  (defined up to a function of  $p$ ) such that locally it holds  $g_k = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x_k}$ . The second condition is that there exists a function  $H_2$  (defined up to a function of  $x$ ) such that locally it holds  $f_k = \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial p_k}$ .

Additionally

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial p_k} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \left( \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x_j} \right) = 0 &\iff \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial p_k} (H_1 + H_2) = 0 \\
&\iff H_1(x, p) + H_2(x, p) = a(x) + b(p) \\
&\iff H_1(x, p) - b(p) = -H_2(x, p) + a(x) := -H(x, p).
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $f_k = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k}$ ,  $g_k = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_k}$ . □

An example of a locally Hamiltonian vector field which is not globally Hamiltonian. Consider a vector field on a non-simply-connected manifold - a torus[1]. A torus is analytically described by  $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^2 = S^1 \times S^1 = \{(x \pmod{1}, p \pmod{1})\}$ . The canonical two form of the torus is

$$\omega = dx \wedge dp \quad \text{it measures the area of the torus.}$$

The simplest vector field is  $\begin{cases} \dot{x} = 1 \\ \dot{p} = 0 \end{cases}$ . It just describes a translation. We claim that

this vector field is locally Hamiltonian  $\left( \begin{matrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = 1 \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0 \end{matrix} \right)$ , but  $H(x, p) = p$  is not a smooth function on the torus since it gets an increment  $\neq 0$  along closed non-null-homotopic curves  $x = \text{const}$ .

**Definition 2.** A map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_{(x,p)}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{(x,p)}^{2n}$  preserving  $\omega = \sum_{k=1}^n dx_k \wedge dp_k$  (that is  $\varphi^* \omega = \omega$ ) is called a **symplectic map** (so that the flow of  $X_H$  consists of symplectic maps).

**Definition 3.** A matrix  $D \in \text{mat}_{2n \times 2n}(\mathbb{R})$  is symplectic, i.e.  $D \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , if it satisfies

$$D^T J D = J \quad \text{with } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 4.** A map  $\varphi$  is symplectic if and only if  $d\varphi \in \text{mat}_{2n \times 2n}(\mathbb{R})$  is symplectic.

*Proof.* Let  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}) = \varphi(x, p)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n d\tilde{x}_k \wedge d\tilde{p}_k &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial x_j} dx_j + \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial p_j} dp_j \right) \wedge \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial x_i} dx_i + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial p_i} dp_i \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial x_i} dx_j \wedge dx_i \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial p_i} dp_j \wedge dp_i \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial x_j} \right) dx_j \wedge dp_i
\end{aligned}$$

This should be equal to  $\sum_{j=1}^n dx_j \wedge dp_j$ .

Coefficients by  $dx_j \wedge dx_i : \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j}{\partial x_k} = 0 \iff \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}\right)^T \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x} - \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x}\right)^T \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}\right) = 0_{n \times n}$ .

Coefficients by  $dp_j \wedge dp_i : \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j}{\partial p_k} = 0 \iff \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p}\right)^T \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} - \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p}\right)^T \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p}\right) = 0_{n \times n}$ .

Coefficients by  $dx_j \wedge dp_i : \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_k}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_k}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} \iff \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}\right)^T \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} - \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x}\right)^T \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} = I_{n \times n}$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

**Definition 5.**  $Sp_{2n} := \{D \in \text{mat}_{2n \times 2n}(\mathbb{R}) : D^T J D = J\}$  is called the symplectic group.

Based on

- $D_1^T J D_1 = J, D_2^T J D_2 = J \implies (D_1 D_2)^T J (D_1 D_2) = J$
- $\det D \neq 0 : \det(D^T J D) = \det(D) \det(J) \det(D) = \det(J) \implies (\det(D))^2 = 1 \implies \det(D) = \pm 1$ .
- $D^T J D = J \iff (D^{-1})^T J (D^{-1}) = J$ .

Thus,  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  is a group, indeed.

Some side remarks: Classical matrix Lie groups

$$GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in \text{mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) : \det(A) \neq 0\}$$

$$O_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in \text{mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) : A^T A = I\}$$

$$Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in \text{mat}_{2n \times 2n}(\mathbb{R}) : A^T J A = J\}$$

The group  $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  preserves skew symmetric two forms.

We know for orthogonormal matrices if the row form an orthonormal basis so do the columns, i.e.  $A^T A = I$  and  $A A^T = I$ . Something similar also holds for the symplectic matrices.

**Theorem 6.** It holds:  $D \in Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \iff D J D^T = J$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} D^T J D = J &\iff J D = (D^T)^{-1} J \stackrel{J^{-1} = -J}{\iff} D = -J (D^T)^{-1} J \iff D J = J (D^T)^{-1} \\ &\iff D J D^T = J. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Collorary 7.** Symplectic maps preserve Poisson brackets.

*Proof.* For  $D = d\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix}$  the identity  $DJD^T = J$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} \right)^T - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right)^T &= 0 \iff \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_j}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_i}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_j}{\partial x_k} \right) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} \right)^T - \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right)^T &= 0 \iff \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_i}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j}{\partial x_k} \right) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial p} \right)^T - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x} \right)^T &= I \iff \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_i}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j}{\partial x_k} \right) = \delta_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to  $\{\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j\} = 0 = \{x_i, x_j\}, \{\tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j\} = 0 = \{p_i, p_j\}, \{\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{p}_j\} = \delta_{i,j} = \{x_i, p_j\}$ .  $\square$

This means that the map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is a **Poisson map**.

**Definition 8.** A map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is called a **Poisson map** if it preserves the Poisson brackets: in coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j\} &= \{x_i, x_j\} = 0 \\ \{\tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j\} &= \{p_i, p_j\} = 0 \\ \{\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{p}_j\} &= \{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

or intrinsically,  $\{F \circ \varphi, G \circ \varphi\} = \{F, G\} \circ \varphi \forall F, G : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Why is coordinate formulation equivalent to the intrinsic one? Suppose that there is a coordinate system  $\{y_i\}$  such that the Poisson bracket of coordinate functions is given by  $\{y_i, y_j\} = \omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ . Suppose that  $\varphi : y \mapsto \tilde{y}$  and  $\{\tilde{y}_i, \tilde{y}_j\} = \omega_{ij}$ . Then the Poisson bracket is  $\{F, G\} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_j} \omega_{ij}$ , in our case  $(\omega_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We have

$$\{F \circ \varphi, G \circ \varphi\} = \{F(\tilde{y}), G(\tilde{y})\} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial F(\tilde{y})}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial G(\tilde{y})}{\partial y_l} \omega_{kl}$$