

Volume 05

20.05 - 24.05

Adressed to: Jan Macdonald

Functional Analysis

By Viet Duc Nguyen (395220), Lukas Weißhaupt (391543),
Jacky Behrendt (391049)



Bring back Moses!

We need your help!

We the People want Moses back to rate us. We believe this is crossing a moral line and ask that the law concerning the banishment of Moses be overturned.

You can support us on by signing the petition under

<https://petitions.whitehouse.gov/petition/bring-moses-back>

This will help us to bring the issue to the desk of the currently in charged first President of the United States ***Donald Trump***.

Thanks!

Exercise 1

To prove

If E is separable, then $F := \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ is separable.

Proof. Let E be a normed space, which is separable. This means that there exists a *countable dense* subset $Q \subset E$.

Claim: $\tilde{Q} := \{\frac{x}{\|x\|} : x \in Q\}$ is a countable dense subset of F .

1. **Countable subset:** For all $x \in \tilde{Q}$ it holds $\|x\| = 1$. Thus, $x \in F$.

We know Q is countable. There exists a bijection $\tau : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ with

$$\tau(\tilde{q}) = \tilde{q}\|\tilde{q}\|.$$

So, \tilde{Q} is countable.

2. **Dense in F :** Let $x \in F$. We know that Q is dense in E . Hence, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Q$ with $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

Define a new sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with

$$y_n := \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} \in \tilde{Q} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We will see that $y_n \rightarrow x$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n}{\underbrace{\|\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\|}_{\|\cdot\| \text{ is continuous}}} = \frac{x}{\underbrace{\|x\|}_{=1}} = x.$$

So, \tilde{Q} is dense in F .

We have shown the claim. Therefore, F is separable per definition, as we have found a countable dense subset of F , namely \tilde{Q} . \square

To prove

If $F := \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ is separable, then there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that

$$\overline{\text{span}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})} = E.$$

Proof. Let $F = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ be separable. Hence, we find a countable subset $Q \subset F$, that is dense in F where Q is of the Form $Q = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ and $\|x_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let now $x \in E$ be arbitrary. We want to find a sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Q with $y_n \rightarrow x$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and every y_n a finite linear combination of our (x_n)

Note that in any case where $x \neq 0$ (for $x = 0$ everything is clear) we know $\frac{x}{\|x\|} = 1$. Thus, $\frac{x}{\|x\|} \in F$ so by assumption we can find a sequence $(\tilde{y}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Q$ with $\tilde{y}_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ and . This of course means

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}_n = \frac{x}{\|x\|} \iff \|x\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x\| \tilde{y}_n = x.$$

Since for all natural numbers n we can find an $i_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $y_n = x_{i_n}$ and hence we know that $\|x\|y_n \in \text{span}((x_n)_n)$ and that means

$$x \in \overline{\text{span}((x_n)_n)} \implies E = \overline{\text{span}((x_n)_n)}$$

To show: $\overline{\text{span}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})} \subset E$. Let $x \in \overline{\text{span}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})}$.

We know that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \{z = a + ib : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is countable and dense in \mathbb{C} .

Thus, $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \{x \in E \mid \exists m_x \in \mathbb{N} : x = \sum_{i=1}^{m_x} \lambda_i x_{\tau_x(i)} \in \text{span}((x_n)_n) \text{ and } \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$
- where τ_x is some permutation of \mathbb{N} - is also dense in $\text{span}((x_n)_n)$

Now we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} &= \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ x = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_{\tau_x(i)} \in E \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{(n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m} \bigcup_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in (\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}})^m} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_{n_i} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which is countable. Thus we have a countable set for which

$$\overline{\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}} = \overline{\text{span}((x_n)_n)} = E.$$

Hence E is separable.

Now for the second part of the task: We show that E^* being separable implies E being separable by proving that $\text{span}((x_n)_n)$ is dense in E for a sequence (x_n) as discussed in the task. We will, however, assume that $\|x_n\| = 1$ for all natural n . We can do that because $1 = \|\ell_n\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\ell(x)|$ implies that for all positive ϵ we can find an x with $\|x\| = 1$ and still $|\ell_n(x)| > 1 - \epsilon$.

Now towards a contradiction assume there exists a $x \in E$ with $x \notin \text{span}((x_n)_n)$.

This means we have

$$\inf_{y \in \text{span}((x_n)_n)} \|x - y\| > 0.$$

Then Corollary 4.8 in the script yields us an $\ell \in E^*$ with $\ell|_{\text{span}((x_n)_n)} = 0$ and $\|\ell\| = 1$, so $\ell \in S$.

This leads us for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to

$$\|\ell - \ell_n\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|\ell(x) - \ell_n(x)|}{\|x\|} \geq \frac{|\ell(x_n) - \ell_n(x_n)|}{\|x_n\|} = \frac{|\ell_n(x_n)|}{\|x_n\|} = \frac{1}{2\|x_n\|} > 0.$$

Thus, $\ell \notin \overline{(\ell_n)_n}$ and hence $(\ell_n)_n$ is not dense in S . That is a contradiction!

□

Exercise 2

Let E be a \mathbb{C} vector space with a seminorm $\rho : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on E , and let F be a linear subspace $F \subset E$. Let $x : F \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ with

$$|x(y)| \leq \rho(y), \quad \forall y \in F.$$

To prove

There exists an extension $\ell : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\ell|_F = x \text{ and } |\ell(y)| \leq \rho(y) \quad \forall y \in F.$$

Lemma 0.1

Let $l \in E'$. Define $l_{re} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, z \mapsto \operatorname{Re}(l(z))$. The map l_{re} is \mathbb{R} -**linear** and it holds

$$\|l_{re}\| = \|l\|.$$

Proof. Let $l \in E'$. We show the \mathbb{R} -linearity of l_{re} .

- Let $x, y \in E$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} l_{re}(x + y) &= \operatorname{Re}(l(x + y)) = \operatorname{Re}(l(x) + l(y)) = \operatorname{Re}(l(x)) + \operatorname{Re}(l(y)) \\ &= l_{re}(x) + l_{re}(y). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in E$. It holds

$$l_{re}(\lambda x) = \operatorname{Re}(l(\lambda x)) = \lambda \operatorname{Re}(l(x)) = \lambda l_{re}(x).$$

We now show $\|l_{re}\| = \|l\|$. For every $x \in E$ it holds

$$|l_{re}(x)| = |\operatorname{Re}(l(x))| \leq \|l(x)\|,$$

which implies $\|l_{re}\| \leq \|l\|$.

Let $x \in E$ with $\|x\| = 1$. By using the \mathbb{C} -linearity of l_{re} we obtain

$$0 \leq |l(x)| = \underbrace{\frac{|l(x)|}{l(x)}}_{\in \mathbb{C}} l(x) = l\left(\underbrace{\frac{|l(x)|}{l(x)}}_{\in \mathbb{R}} x\right) = l_{re}\left(\frac{|l(x)|}{l(x)} x\right).$$

Since $\|\frac{|l(x)|}{l(x)} x\| = 1$ for all $\|x\| = 1$ it follows $|l(x)| \leq \|l_{re}\|$, implying $\|l\| \leq \|l_{re}\|$. Thus, $\|l\| = \|l_{re}\|$. \square

Lemma 0.2

Let $l_{re} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be \mathbb{R} -linear. Set $l(x) := l_{re}(x) - il_{re}(ix)$ for all $x \in E$. Then $l \in E'$, $\|l\| = \|l_{re}\|$ and $\operatorname{Re}(l) = l_{re}$.

Proof. Let $x \in E$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re}(l(x)) = \operatorname{Re}(l_{re}(x)) - \underbrace{\operatorname{Re}(i l_{re}(ix))}_{\in \mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{Re}(l_{re}(x)) = \operatorname{Re}(l_{re}(x)) = l_{re}(x).$$

We use the \mathbb{R} linearity of l_{re} to show that l is linear with complex scalars. Let $x \in E$.

$$\begin{aligned} l((a+bi)x) &= l_{re}(ax+ibx) - il_{re}(iax-bx) \\ &= al_{re}(x) + bl_{re}(ix) - ial_{re}(ix) + ibl_{re}(x) \\ &= (a+bi)l_{re}(x) - (ai-b)l_{re}(ix) \\ &= (a+bi)l(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, y \in E$. It holds

$$l(x+y) = l_{re}(x) + l_{re}(y) - il_{re}(x) - il_{re}(y) = l(x) + l(y).$$

The proof for $\|l\| = \|l_{re}\|$ is the same as in the first lemma. We use that $\operatorname{Re} \circ l = l_{re}$ which implies $\|l_{re}\| \leq \|l\|$, and then we show the converse way $\|l_{re}\| \geq \|l\|$ in the exact same way as in lemma 0.1 by using the \mathbb{C} -linearity of l . \square

Now to the actual proof.

Proof. Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} \subset X$ be the vector space by restricting X to real scalars. Let $x \in X'$. From the first lemma we know that the functional $y = \operatorname{Re} \circ x$ lies in $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\|y\| = \|\operatorname{Re} \circ x\|$. We know from theorem 4.5 (for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) that there exists an extension $\tilde{\ell} \in E'_{\mathbb{R}}$ for y such that $\|\tilde{\ell}\| = \|y\| = \|x\|$. From the second lemma we obtain a linear functional $\ell \in E'$ with $\|\ell\| = \|\tilde{\ell}\| = \|x\|$ that extends x . To see this we use the \mathbb{C} -linearity of x :

$$\forall a \in E : \ell(a) = \tilde{\ell}(a) - i\ell(ai) = \operatorname{Re}(x(a)) - i \underbrace{\operatorname{Re}(i x(a))}_{\in \mathbb{R}} = x(a).$$

\square

Exercise 3

- (i) Let X be a normed space and $C \subset X$ be open and convex with $0 \in C$. We show that p_C is a sublinear functional.

To prove

For all $x, y \in X$ it holds

$$p_C(x + y) \leq p_C(x) + p_C(y).$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. From 3(ii) we know that C is absorbing. Thus, $p_C(x) \neq \infty, p_C(y) \neq \infty$ and $p_C(x + y) \neq \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. For x there exists $\mu_x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $z_x \in C$ such that $x = \mu_x z_x$ and $\mu_x < p_C(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Likewise for y : there is $\mu_y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $y = \mu_y z_y$ with $\mu_y < p_C(y) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Adding μ_x and μ_y together yields

$$\mu_x + \mu_y < p_C(x) + p_C(y) + \epsilon.$$

Goal

Next, we try to find a vector $z_{x+y} \in C$ and scalar $\mu_{x+y} > 0$ such that

$$\mu_{x+y} z_{x+y} = x + y.$$

Consider the vector

$$z_{x+y} := \frac{\mu_x}{\mu_x + \mu_y} z_x + \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x + \mu_y} z_y,$$

which luckily lies in C . To see this, remember that C is a convex set, and note that z_{x+y} is a convex combination of $z_x \in C$ and $z_y \in C$ since

$$\frac{\mu_x}{\mu_x + \mu_y} + \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x + \mu_y} = 1.$$

Define the scalar μ_{x+y} as $\mu_{x+y} := \mu_x + \mu_y > 0$. We see that

$$\mu_{x+y} z_{x+y} = \mu_x z_x + \mu_y z_y = x + y.$$

Putting everything together

$$p_C(x + y) \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \mu_{x+y} = \mu_x + \mu_y < p_C(x) + p_C(y) + \epsilon.$$

Explanation

(*) holds by definition of $p_C(x + y)$.

As ϵ was chosen arbitrarily, it holds

$$p_C(x + y) \leq p_C(x) + p_C(y).$$

□

To prove

For any $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ it holds

$$p_C(\lambda x) = \lambda p_C(x).$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} p_C(\lambda x) &= \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \lambda x \in \alpha C\} = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} C\} \\ &= \inf\{\lambda \alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha C\} \\ &= \lambda \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha C\} \\ &= \lambda p_C(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Conclusion: $p_C : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a sublinear functional.

- (ii) C is absorbing: More precisely, there is some $M \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ there holds $p_C(x) \leq M$.

By assumption we know C is open and that $0 \in C$. Therefore there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $U_\epsilon(0) \subset C$. Since $\|\frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{x}{\|x\|}\| = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $\frac{x}{\|x\|} \in U_\epsilon(0)$ and therefore $\frac{x}{\|x\|} \in C$. Setting $M := \frac{2}{\epsilon}$ we obtain $x = M\|x\| \frac{x}{\|x\|}$, thus

$$p_C(x) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha C\} \leq M\|x\|$$

- (iii) Let $C \subset X$ be open and convex. We want to show:

To prove

$$C = \{x \in X : p_C(x) < 1\}$$

Proof. First, we show $C \subset \{x \in X : p_C(x) < 1\}$. Let $x \in C$.

Goal

We want to find $z \in C$ such that $x = \alpha z$ with $\alpha < 1$.

The subset C is **open**. Thus, there exists a ball with radius $\epsilon > 0$ around x such that $U_\epsilon(x) \subset C$. Therefore, $z := (||x|| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \frac{x}{||x||} \in U_\epsilon(x)$ because

$$||x - z|| = ||x - (||x|| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \frac{x}{||x||}|| = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{||x||}{||x||} < \epsilon.$$

Hence, $z \in U_\epsilon(x) \implies z \in C$.

We use the **convexity** of C to show that there exists $\alpha < 1$ such that $x = \alpha z$. Define the scalar value $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\alpha := \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2||x||}}.$$

It holds $\alpha < 1$ since $\frac{\epsilon}{2||x||} > 0$. We compute αz , and we obtain

$$\alpha z = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2||x||}} (||x|| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \frac{x}{||x||} = \frac{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2||x||}}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2||x||}} x = x.$$

□

Proof. Next, we show $\{x \in X : p_C(x) < 1\} \subset C$. Let $y \in \{x \in X : p_C(x) < 1\}$.

Goal

We want to show that $y \in C$.

There exists $z \in C$ with $\alpha < 1$ such that

$$y = \alpha z.$$

Note that $y \in \text{conv}(0, z)$ and in particular $0 \in C$ by assumption. Since C is convex, it holds $\text{conv}(0, z) \subset C$, which implies $y \in C$. □

Conclusion: This proves the claim that $C = \{x \in X : p_C(x) < 1\}$.

Exercise 4

" \implies ": Let F be dense in X and let $f \in X^*$ with $f|_F = 0$. For all $x \in X$ we can then find a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in F with $x_n \rightarrow x$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ because F is dense in X . This in turn implies that

$$f(x) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$$

as f is continuous.

" \impliedby ": Let $f \in X^*$ with $f|_F = 0$. Then, f is continuous. If for some $x \in X$ we had $fx \neq 0$ we would also find an $\epsilon > 0$ with $fx \neq 0$ for all $x \in U_\epsilon(x)$. But then, since $f|_F = 0$ we would have $x \notin \overline{F}$ which would imply $\overline{F} \neq X$. Thus F in that case could not be dense in X .

□