**Dataset Description**

**Dataset Description (Updated)**

**A. DensityReports.xlsx**

**Purpose:**This dataset serves as the central repository for evaluating and optimizing the packaging processes at FashionWorld Retail. It contains 500,000 records, where each entry represents a recommendation on how a product should be packaged and a final operational assessment of its packaging quality.

**Key Variables:**

* **ReportID:**Unique identifier for each packaging report.
* **SupplierName:**Name of the supplier involved (including possible minor taxonomical **variations).**
* **DateOfReport:**Date when the report was generated, ranging from January 1, 2023, to June 30, 2024.
* **GarmentType:**Type of garment (e.g., Shirt, Pants, Jacket, Dress, Skirt, Suit, Coat, Sweater).
* **Material:**Material of the product (Cotton, Polyester, Wool).
* **ProductReference:**Unique product code used to **link with the ProductAttributes dataset**.
* **ProposedUnitsPerCarton:**Recommended number of units per carton to optimize efficiency.
* **ProposedFoldingMethod:**Recommended folding method (“Method1”, “Method2”, or “Method3”).
* **ProposedLayout:**Recommended box layout (LayoutA, LayoutB, LayoutC, LayoutD, LayoutE).
* **PackagingQuality:**Operational label indicating the packaging quality ("Good" or "Bad"), based on predefined criteria.

**Important Note: PackagingQuality represents an operational assessment and does not necessarily determine the definitive ground truth. A "Good" label does not guarantee that no issues will arise, and a "Bad" label does not always imply critical failure. Packaging incidents and anomalies may still occur independently, as captured in the HistoricalIncidents dataset.**

**B. ProductAttributes.xlsx**

**Purpose:**This dataset provides detailed characteristics for approximately 10,000 unique products, allowing analysis of how intrinsic product attributes impact packaging outcomes.

**Key Variables:**

* **ProductReference:**Product code (linked to DensityReports).
* **GarmentType:**Type of garment.
* **Material:**Material of the product.
* **ProductName:**Product’s descriptive name.
* **Size:**Size variant of the product.
* **Collection:**Product collection (e.g., Summer, Winter, Spring, Autumn).
* **Weight:**Weight of the product.

**C. SupplierScorecard.xlsx**

**Purpose:**This dataset captures monthly supplier performance metrics over 18 months, providing an aggregated view of their operational consistency and quality.

**Key Variables:**

* **SupplierName**: Supplier's name (maintaining any taxonomy errors from operational records).
* **Month:**Year and month of the evaluation (from 2023-01 to 2024-06).
* **PackagesHandled: Total packages managed that month.**
* **BadPackagingRate (%):**Percentage of packages classified as "Bad."
* **TotalIncidents:**Total number of incidents associated with the supplier that month.
* **AverageCostPerIncident (€):**Average cost impact per incident.
* **OnTimeDeliveryRate (%):**Rate of on-time deliveries.
* **AnomaliesDetected:**Number of anomalies detected by quality inspections.

**D. HistoricalIncidents.xlsx**

**Purpose:**This dataset documents past packaging-related incidents, providing critical insights into the real-world consequences of operational and packaging decisions.

**Key Variables:**

* **ProductReference:** **Product involved in the incident**.
* **SupplierName:** **Supplier responsible (aligned with supplier names across datasets).**
* **DateOfIncident:** Date when the incident occurred.
* **IssueDescription:** Nature of the issue (e.g., Packaging Damage, Labeling Error).
* **ResolutionStatus:** Status of the issue resolution (Resolved, In Progress, Not Resolved).
* **CostImpact (€):** Financial impact associated with the incident.

**Global Relational Schema**

**Key Relationships:**

* **ProductReference:**  
  This key is used to link DensityReports and ProductAttributes, establishing a many-to-one relationship (i.e., many packaging reports correspond to one unique product). This linkage is crucial for integrating product-specific attributes into the analysis of packaging quality.
* **SupplierName:**  
  This field connects DensityReports, SupplierScorecard, and HistoricalIncidents, enabling the analysis of how supplier performance and historical incidents affect packaging outcomes.

**Data Integration Overview:**

* **DensityReports** serves as the central dataset containing the operational packaging evaluations and quality labels.
* **ProductAttributes** enriches these reports with the inherent characteristics of each product.
* **SupplierScorecard** provides performance metrics that can be correlated with packaging quality.
* **HistoricalIncidents** offers background on past packaging issues, adding context to the quality assessments

**Step-by-Step Roadmap for Solving the Exercise**

**Step 1: Understand the Case and Familiarize Yourself with the Data**

* **Objective:**  
  Develop a predictive model that classifies packaging quality (“Good” or “Bad”) based on multiple data sources.
* **Actions:**
  + Read through the dataset documentation to understand the purpose and meaning of each variable.
  + Identify the key fields (ProductReference and SupplierName) that link the different datasets.

**Step 2: Explore the Data**

* **Actions:**
  + Perform a descriptive analysis of each dataset, noting the structure, distribution, and any potential issues (e.g., missing or inconsistent values).
  + **Analyze the distribution of the packaging quality labels** and examine variations across product attributes and dates.

**Step 3: Preprocess and Clean the Data**

* **Actions:**
  + Identify and correct inconsistencies such as **typographical errors in supplier names**.
  + Handle missing or inconsistent entries in critical fields, ensuring that ProductReference values are consistent across datasets.
  + Standardize date formats and properly encode categorical variables to prepare the data for further analysis.

**Step 4: Integrate the Datasets**

* **Actions:**
  + Merge DensityReports with ProductAttributes using the ProductReference key to enrich each report with detailed product attributes.
  + Consider incorporating aggregated information from SupplierScorecard (e.g., average adherence score) and HistoricalIncidents (e.g., total number of incidents or cost impact) based on SupplierName or ProductReference.
* **Validation:**  
  Confirm that the merging process preserves the integrity of the data and that the key relationships (ProductReference and SupplierName) are maintained.

**Step 5: Conduct Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)**

* **Actions:**
  + Generate visualizations and summary statistics to understand the distribution of PackagingQuality and other key variables.
  + Explore relationships and correlations between product attributes (such as GarmentType and Material) and packaging quality.
  + Investigate temporal patterns and assess whether supplier performance or historical incidents have a discernible impact on quality outcomes.

**Step 6: Engineer Features**

* **Actions:**
  + Create derived variables that capture additional information, such as **extracting month, quarter, or year from DateOfReport.**
  + Develop aggregated features from SupplierScorecard and HistoricalIncidents, such as average performance metrics or incident counts and cost impact per product or supplier.
  + Encode and, if necessary, normalize categorical and numerical variables to ensure compatibility with the chosen modeling techniques.

**Step 7: Develop the Predictive Model**

* **Actions:**
  + Select one or more supervised classification algorithms (for example, Random Forest, XGBoost, or Logistic Regression) to predict PackagingQuality.
  + Split the integrated dataset into training and testing subsets (or use cross-validation) to ensure a robust evaluation of model performance.
  + Train the model with the selected features and evaluate its performance using appropriate classification metrics (accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, AUC-ROC, etc.).
  + Analyze feature importance to identify which variables have the greatest impact on the quality predictions.

**Step 8: Interpret the Results and Document Findings**

* **Actions:**
  + Interpret model outcomes and discuss how product attributes, supplier performance, and historical incidents are associated with packaging quality.
  + Prepare a comprehensive report that outlines the full workflow—from data exploration and cleaning through integration, feature engineering, model development, and evaluation.
  + Include visualizations and clear explanations of how the integrated data supports decision-making in the packaging process.

**Step 9: Present and Discuss Your Findings**

* **Actions:**
  + Develop a presentation that summarizes your methodology, key findings, and the potential operational benefits (such as reduced costs and improved efficiency) identified through the analysis.
  + Engage in a critical discussion highlighting the challenges encountered during data integration and model development and propose strategies for further refinement.

**Approach**

* Make a classification model for **PackagingQuality Good or Bad**
* **Understand how many suppliers we have**