

1st Lab class – Brute Force and Greedy Algorithms

Instructions

- In this first practical class, students are required to implement the following exercises in C++ using any IDE of their choice; CLion is recommended as most of the exercises in this course will resort to the Google Test's unit testing library for the C++ programming language. A possible CLion project is provided in the compressed support files.

Exercises

1. The 3-sum problem

Implement the function *sum3* below.

```
bool sum3(unsigned int T, unsigned int selected[3])
```

The function finds three positive integers whose sum is equal to T . The function returns *true* and initializes the *selected* array with the three integers summing up to T . Otherwise, the function returns *false* (and the *selected* array is not initialized).

For example: $T = 10$

Solutions: $selected = \{1, 1, 8\}$, ..., $selected = \{2, 3, 5\}$, ...

- Implement *sum3* using an exhaustive search strategy (i.e. brute force) with $O(T^3)$ temporal complexity.
- Improve the temporal efficiency of *sum3* by implementing another brute-force solution with a lower temporal complexity.

2. The maximum subarray problem

Given any one-dimensional array $A[1..n]$ of integers, the **maximum sum subarray problem** tries to find a contiguous subarray of A , starting with element i and ending with element j , with the largest sum:

$\max \sum_{x=i}^j A[x]$, with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Implement the function *maxSubsequence* below.

```
int maxSubsequence(int A[], int n, unsigned int &i, unsigned int &j)
```

The function returns the sum of the maximum subarray, for which i and j are the indices of the first and last elements of this subsequence (respectively), starting at 0. The function uses an exhaustive search strategy (i.e. brute force) so as to find a subarray of A with the largest sum, and updates the arguments i and j , accordingly.

For example: $A = [-2, 1, -3, 4, -1, 2, 1, -5, 4]$

Solution: $[0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0]$, as subsequence $[4, -1, 2, 1]$ ($i = 3, j = 6$) produces the largest sum, 6.

3. Changing making problem (brute force)

The change-making problem is the problem of representing a target amount of money, T , with the fewest number of coins possible from a given set of coins, \mathbf{C} , with n possible denominations (monetary value). Implement the function *changeMakingBF* below using a brute force strategy, considering a limited stock of coins of each denomination c_i , in *stock_i*, respectively.

```
bool changeMakingBF(unsigned int C[], unsigned int Stock[],
    unsigned int n, unsigned int T, unsigned int usedCoins[])
```

\mathbf{C} and **Stock** are unidimensional arrays of size n , and T is the target amount for the change. The function returns a boolean indicating whether or not the problem has a solution. If so, then *usedCoins* is an array of the total number of coins used for each denomination c_i .

For example: $\mathbf{C} = [1, 2, 5, 10]$, **Stock** = [3, 5, 2, 1], $n=4$, $T = 8$

Result: [1, 1, 1, 0]

For example: $\mathbf{C} = [1, 2, 5, 10]$, **Stock** = [1, 2, 4, 2], $n=4$, $T = 38$

Result: [1, 1, 3, 2]

4. Changing making problem (greedy)

Considering the same description for the change-making problem as in the previous exercise, implement the function *changeMakingGreedy* below using a greedy strategy instead.

```
bool changeMakingGreedy(unsigned int C[], unsigned int Stock[],
    unsigned int n, unsigned int T, unsigned int usedCoins[])
```

For example: $\mathbf{C} = [1, 2, 5, 10]$, **Stock** = [3, 5, 2, 1], $n=4$, $T = 8$

Result: [1, 1, 1, 0]

For example: $\mathbf{C} = [1, 2, 5, 10]$, **Stock** = [1, 2, 4, 2], $n=4$, $T = 38$

Result: [1, 1, 3, 2]

5. Canonical coin systems

Given a coin system \mathbf{C} , with denominations (monetary labels) $\mathbf{C} = \{1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$, \mathbf{C} is considered to be canonical if there is always a minimum combination of coins summing up x , with $c_3 + 1 < x < c_{n-1} + c_n$, resulting from a *greedy* strategy. If a greedy solution is not able to find the minimum amount of coins summing up x , the \mathbf{C} is said non-canonical. Implement function *isCanonical* below.

```
bool isCanonical(int C[], int n)
```

The function uses an exhaustive search (i.e. brute force) to find any counter-example for the change x that might contradict the solution resulting from a greedy algorithm. Note: you can combine the functions implemented in exercises 3 and 4 above.

For example: If $\mathbf{C} = \{1, 4, 5\}$, then any counter-example that might contradict the canonical nature of \mathbf{C} would be between $6 < x < 9$.

Result: if $x = 7$, a greedy algorithm yields the optimum solution $\{5, 1, 1\}$; if $x = 8$, a greedy algorithm yields $\{5, 1, 1, 1\}$, whereas the optimum solution would be $\{4, 4\}$, in which case **C** is non-canonical.

6. The activity selection problem

The activity selection problem is concerned with the selection of non-conflicting activities to perform within a given time frame, given a set **A** of activities (a_i), each marked by a start time (s_i) and finish time (f_i). The problem is to select the maximum number of activities that can be performed by a single person or machine, assuming a given priority and that a person can only work on a single activity at a time. Implement the function *earliestFinishScheduling* below, using a greedy strategy, in which priority is given to activities with the earliest finish time (see slides of the theory class).

```
vector<Activity> earliestFinishScheduling(vector<Activity> A)
```

Consider a class *Activity*, as follows.

```
class Activity {
public:
    unsigned int start = 0;
    unsigned int finish = 0;
    Activity(unsigned int s, unsigned int f): start(s), finish(f){};
    //other details omitted...
};
```

For example: $A = \{ a_1(10, 20), a_2(30, 35), a_3(5, 15), a_4(10, 40), a_5(40, 50) \}$

Result: $\{a_3, a_2, a_5\}$

7. Minimum Average Completion Time

Consider a machine on a factory line that needs to have its tasks scheduled in order to minimize their average completion time. The machine can only process one task at a time and each task has a predefined quantity of time needed for completion. For example, imagine the machine has two tasks to carry out, **a** and **b**, and we know that each task takes exactly 2 and 4 units of time respectively. The best scheduling option that minimizes the average completion time would be **{a, b}** (task **a** followed by task **b**) since the average completion time is 4, $(2 + 2 + 4) / 2$. On the contrary, **{b, a}** would give an average completion time of 5, $(4 + 4 + 2) / 2$.

- Formulate the postulated problem mathematically.
- Convince yourself that a greedy algorithm would give an optimal solution to this problem.
- Implement a greedy algorithm to find the optimal solution:

```
double minimumAverageCompletionTime(vector<unsigned int> tasks,
                                     vector<unsigned int> &orderedTasks)
```

The function returns the minimum average task completion time and returns the optimal task ordering on the second argument (*orderedTasks*).