Assignment 1 – Criteria

	Weight	35%	50%	15%
Score		**System Description**	**Domain Analysis**	**Language and Style**
		The extent to which the current status of the system under analysis is accurately described from an abstract point of view.	*The extent to which the domain has been analyzed beyond the current system status.*	*The extent to which the report is written in a clear and easy to read way.*
BEST	4	The system is described clearly an effectively. The focus is on its features from an abstract perspective, although implementation details are provided to clarify some aspects. Both conceptual and technical aspects of the system are very clear.	Possible extensions of the system as a SPL are analyzed in details, and variabiltiy aspects are clearly identified at an abstract level. Each variability aspect is properly explained and connected to the system description. Examples of why such variability could be useful are given, with small concrete examples.	The document is well written, with consistent language, punctuation and formatting.
GOOD	3	The system is described clearly, although there are some minor unclear aspects. The focus is on its features from an abstract perspective, but it might not be clear how these are implemented. Conceptual aspects of the system are clear, there might lack some technical details.	Possible extensions of the system as a SPL are analyzed in details, and variabiltiy aspects are clearly identified at an abstract level. Some of these aspects are however unclear or seem artificial.	The document is well written, with occasional inconsistencies in language, punctuation and formatting.
NORMAL	2	The high-level view of the system is clear, but there are some major unclear points. The description focuses too much on technical details, although there is an effort on thinking about higher-level features.	Variability of the system is analyzed, but the focus is often in implementation details rather than more abstract features or variability aspects. Many of the identified variability aspects are related to configuration issues and implementation details, although there are few more abstract ideas.	The document is relatively easy to read, although the language is informal and imprecise. There are frequent inconsistencies in language, puntuation or formatting.
POOR	1	The description of the system is unclear or too generic so that it does not address the objective of the assignment. The description looks like a generic description of a system taken from another course or from the web. There is a minimal effort in thinking about features from a more abstract perspective.	The analysis focuses mostly on implementation details. The identified variability aspects are almost all related to configuration issues such as values of variables or function parameters, and do not reflect the need for different products.	The document is difficult to read and understand. The language is informal and imprecise. There are numerous inconsistencies in language, puntuation or formatting.
WORST	0	The description of the system is limited and with few aspects that are specfic to what is asked in the assignment. There is no effort to think about features from an abstract perspective.	The domain analysis is very limited or absent. There is no discussion of extension of the system to a SPL and there is no mention of variability aspects to be added to the system.	The document is almost empty or contains text that is not structured or appears to be just filling space. Language, puntuation and formatting are not appropriate.