

First, if  $\rho$  is an exact solution, then it's mass is preserved, meaning  $m(t) := \int \rho(t, x) dx = \int \rho_0(x) dx = \text{cst.}$  Then conservation follows from the boundary conditions:

$$m'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t \rho(t, x) dx, = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{xx} \rho(t, x) dx, = \partial_x \rho(t, \infty) - \partial_x \rho(t, -\infty), 0$$

Now we center on the simpler problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho - \varepsilon^2 \Delta \rho &= 0 \text{ on } (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \\ \rho(0, x) &= \rho_0(x), \forall x \in [0, 1] \\ \partial_x \rho(0, t) &= \partial_x \rho(1, t) = 0, \forall t \in [0, 1] \text{ Neumann boundary conditions} \end{aligned}$$

To solve it we implement the Crank-Nicolson method, although we note that an explicit Euler, or implicit Euler in space would work as well. Also, order one boundary conditions work just fine.

$$\frac{\rho_i^{n+1} - \rho_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2(\Delta x)^2} [(\rho_{i-1}^{n+1} - 2\rho_i^{n+1} + \rho_{i+1}^{n+1}) + (\rho_{i-1}^n - 2\rho_i^n + \rho_{i+1}^n)] \quad (1)$$

Here  $\rho(t_n, x_i) = \rho_i^n$ . Which in matrix form is:

$$L\rho^{n+1} = R\rho^n \quad (2)$$

Where:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -\alpha & 1+2\alpha & -\alpha & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha & 1+2\alpha & -\alpha & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\alpha & 1+2\alpha & -\alpha \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha & 1-2\alpha & \alpha & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 1-2\alpha & \alpha & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha & 1-2\alpha & \alpha \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To check that the mass is conserved by the method, we observe that the mass:  $m(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \rho_i$  is preserved by the application of one iteration of the method. Furthermore, by linearity, it enough to check on a basis (of the space of vectors which satisfies the boundary condition, the method does not preserve the mass for any vector, this is clear from the observation  $Le_1 = 0$ ): Then we observe that  $m(Ax) = m(x) \iff m(x) = m(A^{-1})$  in the case where  $A$  is invertible. So we have to check:

$$\begin{aligned} m(L(e_2)) &= \cdots = m(L(e_{n-1})) = 1 \\ m(R(e_2)) &= \cdots = m(R(e_{n-1})) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Some other conditions** Which are trivial to check.