

# In youtube\_extraction\_english\_25.json :

Title: Sexism and the English language | The Economist

- Table 1: Summary of the results per comment;
- [Table 2](#): Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable;

Table 1: Summary of the results per comment

Comment	KeyWords	Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	Hate Speech Frequency	Hate Speech Fre- quency(%)
The <b>gender</b> pay gap was de bunked awhile ago.....You need to read from other sources that do not supportyour agenda. That is how we really learn. Words DO matter sometimes but you are really just preaching to the choir. That is easy and not controversial. There is one thing about this video that I like. It will have theeffect of infuriating even more women. Instead of looking at all sides of this issue, they will just explode likean A bomb. So you go girls.....But try not to hurt yourselves punching at the air.....	Gender	Gender - General	1/96	1.042
DaB34st //TV false you are dumb	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/6	16.667
5 Ways the English language is sexist1. When we don't know a person's <b>gender</b> , we automatically say he.2. Sexist phrases like "Man up" "You do it like a girl" "Take it like a man" "You're such a girl".3. We call a group of people "guys", even when it's a group full of girls we call them guys.4. There are male pronouns in female words. Like feMALE, <b>wo</b> MAN, sHE, HEr.5. There soooo many negative words towards women like b • • • h, sl • • • , wh • • • .Everything I listened here was <b>sexism</b> towards women.	Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	3/93	3.226

Male expectations: Have sexy abs, strong muscles, a straight nose, nice beard, full head of hair, be rich, be loving, have a penis above 5 inches, be tough but not feelingless, have some sensitivity but not be fragile, be rich, have a stable job, a big income, be good with kids, accept all the faults of the <b>woman</b> , and sacrifice themselves emotionally and physically.Female expectations: have an iq above 70 and not be 400 lbs	Woman	Gender - General	1/76	1.316
There's 'sexism' in every language. Not just English.Further, sociolinguistically speaking, women and men USE language DIFFERENTLY.Because of this, there will always be <b>sexual</b> harassment cases at work, school, gym. HomeBasically, men and women hate each other's guts because they weren't taught to appreciate their different modes of communication.Instead, both are trying to suppress the other.Sad. Is that sexist?Hope not.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/65	1.538
First off... " <b>sexism</b> " does not exist. Second, this video is <b>dumb</b> .	Dumb, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/11	18.182
Different words used because guess what women and men are different. The natural way is that in some features females have disadvantages over males like strength, stamina, size etc. but there is <b>nothing</b> wrong with that. In many other qualities they are better than us. Being different is not the same as being unequal.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/54	1.852

Ahahahahaha1. Men were the ones who made <b>woman</b> not leaders, creators, etc.2. The people who raised 'em strong are their mothers.3. You can't say your <b>gender</b> is better than another if you have never done no shit in your life.4. Everything goes to your head. Period. I'm not saying this to men, just the men that think they're awesome when they have never left their house.5. If a 9 year <b>old</b> female can be the 3rd <b>woman</b> to do a 540, I think you can stop sitting and actually do what you say you do.6. If you grew up in an enviroment that taught you this opinion, then I suggest seeing a therapist. 7. Go fly a rocket ship to Mars. Don't come back.8. We really need feminists.9. Have you never seen a <b>woman</b> outside of your house before?10. <b>Woman</b> have smaller tear sacks than men, that's why they can cry a lot more.11. How can you be smarter than a <b>woman</b> , if you think getting kicked in the balls is more painful than this: Once a month from years (Approximety) 11 to 50 you have cramps that can last up to 8 days until finally you bleed out of your vagina, all leading up to 9 months of carrying a human inside you with pain, throwing up, and never-ending hunger, THIS, leading up to Contractions, extreme pain, (Sometimes skin tearing) Bones breaking, and a human coming out of your vagina? And not to mention, (Often) really bad pain from losing your virginity in the first place because the dude simply doesn't give a fuck? 12. <b>Woman</b> are mentally stronger than men, and can deal more pain.13. How can you think you're better than <b>woman</b> if God literally made us need each other to live, and he made us literally all have the same feelings, needs, and species? We all need food. We all need water. We all breathe air. We all feel sad, happy lonely, etc.How can we be so different that you seem to think your so much better? Hm?14. I don't know how the fuck you think you can live without being born.15. Watch out, we <b>woman</b> are COMIN FOR YAMe taking my anger out on men because I had a bad day	Gender, Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	10/391	2.558
All the triggered commenter seem like they didn't even bother to watch the video, you know the title is meant to be attention grabbing, and is not the whole story. At no point does it point it out as the worst thing ever, it doesn't even state women as more prejudiced against. Also I think the proffessional economists now more about the <b>gender</b> pay gap than you in your room and a sargon tab open in the background. Most probably didn't listen to the end where he describes how the language has changed, which shows up all those pseudo-psychologist pretending it's completely and only natural. The reason language is useful to economists, well if you even have to be told it, what are you even doing here on an econonmics page, put some actual effort into knowing what micro economics is, it's only half of the entire subject.	Gender	Gender - General	1/148	0.676

So we're just publishing BS <b>gender</b> studies sofism now.Good job, you spit on the history of this publication.And to stay on subject, as infantile as it may be. We use different words because WE MEAN different things.A <b>woman</b> can be charismatic(jennifer lawrence) and a man can be bubbly(eddie murphy).You are not ignorant at this point, you are purposefully deceitful and praying on the ignorance of the public.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/71	2.817
okay? Fucking weirdo	Weirdo	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/3	33.333
The <b>gender</b> pay gap doesn't exist	Gender	Gender - General	1/6	16.667
I understand what your saying and I agree sort of, however it only depends on the person. There aren't rules about using a certain language. Only fucked up assholes describe <b>woman</b> , like me, and men differently....	Woman	Gender - General	1/36	2.778
This reminds me of that news report that says "Is the Peanut Butter and Jelly Sandwich <b>Racist</b> ?"	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/17	5.882
Are you fucking kidding me?! FUCKING STOP THIS SHIT YOU RETARDED FEMINISTS!! Get back to your damn hellholes and STOP EMBARRASSING THE FEMALE <b>GENDER</b> !	Gender	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
Dude, this is 2018, a lot has changed since 2000. But why do we need to get rid of all the words? I don't know how anyone could even write a book anymore? Is it still okay to use the word " <b>woman</b> " to describe a female human? It won't be 10 years from now. People don't care about my feelings when they describe me. I don't like it when people say that I'm tall, but <b>nobody</b> is doing a video on that. I'm not even that tall. Maybe if you don't like being called feisty, you could chill out a little. What am I supposed to do? Get on the floor and crawl?	Nobody, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/113	1.77
At a time when the "me too" movement is in full swing, as a society we must realize that literally every <b>woman</b> has been sexually harassed at one point in their lives even if they do not think so. I ask the question, "why do so many men think it is ok to sexually harass women?" I believe it is because society sees women as <b>sex</b> objects and do not have the same level of intelligence and logic as men. If there was a <b>nation</b> that was populated by women only it would be the super power of the world for a very long time.	Nation, Sex, Woman	Gender - General, Nationality - General	3/105	2.857

Wow, such breathtakingly stupid drivel. Why do many men think it's okay to harass women? Emm, no a tiny fraction of men believe this, NOT "many men."Women are viewed as <b>sex</b> objects? Perhaps you should have a word with your fellow sisters who have no issue posing in places like Instagram in suggestive poses with their breasts hanging out? Women are the ones who are "objectifying" themselves, they do it of their own free will, because they gain from it."If there was a <b>nation</b> that was populated by women only it would be the super power of the world for a very long time."I'm sure it would. I guess that's why women have been men's equals when it comes to advancements in business, technology, science, sport, literature and every single other metric you could mention. No reason why they couldn't create a "superpower" either!Oh wait, except they've contributed precisely fuck all compared to men. And if you are thinking of given the "women were historically held back excuse", women are held back from doing precisely <b>nothing</b> throughout the Western World, and still their contributions relative to men are laughable. Just another brainless feminist idiot.	Nation, Nothing, Sex	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Nationality - General	3/198	1.515
Paulo Chan umm used to women could not vote and were forced to be housewives and not go to college so my grandma did not go to college because it was not <b>common</b> in the 60's so there being lacked of some impt education to get good jobs.	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/48	2.083
As a teenager I don't really find or use <b>gender</b> specific words in everyday language. Besides perhaps, "he is an asshole/douche" and "she is a bitch/cunt" - curse words that have become <b>gender</b> specific - really I dont see much at all. Language can change to reflect societal changes, <b>nobody</b> calls smart girls prim anymore they call them smart. I do not use waitress/actress/policeman/fireman, perhaps this reflects linguistic change due to social changes.	Gender, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/73	4.11
Sugar and spice and all things nice, that's what little girls are made of. Slugs and snails and puppy dogs tails, that's what little boys are made of.Discuss.I accept the ditty is true, but I've never called mine a 'puppy dog's tail' before, Baby's arm holding an <b>apple</b> , yes!!! The Economist, as a serious, informative and impartial publication is now laughable, not laudable.	Apple	Ethnicity - Native- American	1/65	1.538
Because they don't have <b>nothing</b> better to do	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/8	12.5
Wow <b>sexism</b> .... in English, please stop Economist.Edit: Some of what's said may be correct, like some words becoming more associated with one of the two genders, but they imply that it's a problem/sexist, which it isn't.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/37	2.703

For all those upset by this video, try this: read some of the comments by women here. I was trying to point out just three things. 1) We often use language in automatic, stereotyped patterns. This is worth questioning in itself. Do you want to write and speak on autopilot? 2) We often use negative language to describe a quality in women where we use a positive word to describe the same quality in men: women who assert themselves in work meetings are far more often called "domineering" or "aggressive" than men are. There is a massive pile of research on this; it isn't controversial. 3) Many "compliments" are not taken as such by the hearer; "feisty" and "articulate" are often taken as condescending. Here is the argument in a column. [LINK] I'm not saying <b>gender</b> differences don't exist. I'm just saying that it's worth thinking about your language and not using it in lazy stereotyped patterns. A bonus to this is that it will make you a more original and arresting speaker or writer.	Gender	Gender - General	1/175	0.571
He is right that good manners ought to be sought and appreciated, however,if you are taking a position you must define your terms: what does <b>sexism</b> mean?or is it a case of I'll know it when I see it?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/39	2.564
I see literally <b>zero</b> problems in this video	Zero	Age - Youngsters	1/8	12.5
Perhaps we use different language due to the fact that men and women behave differently - or have we slipped so far beyond reality that the species can't acknowledge <b>sex</b> and <b>sexual</b> dimorphism? It feels like the Economist has jumped into these debates half-cocked, not enough research, and not enough sharpening of arguments.	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	2/53	3.774
Yeah <b>nothing</b> to do with having feminist propaganda shoved our throats at every turn. Might explain why we're just a bit sick of it.Oh, and "All the triggered men in the comments" isn't an argument.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/36	2.778

Oh dear. Insightful these days counts as a video of feminist propaganda being shoved down our throats, where we're told how tough women have it when they're referred to as "bubbly", a term which women themselves willingly use to describe themselves all the time. Not that that matters much to the makers of this video.Meanwhile actual, real oppression of women is happening in places like the Middle East, where they're being subject to FGM, child marriage, acid attacks, and not being able to drive amongst a litany of other horrors, but that's <b>nothing</b> to what First World women have to deal with, who have to contend with being referred to as "feisty". I'm sure Samira living in downtown Riyadh (who is not able to leave her house without a male guardian), is thinking to herself, "wow, I thought I had it tough, but in New York, women are referred to as bossy! How easy I have it!"And if you're talking about triggered people, the most triggered of all are the makers of this video who took the time to make this bullshit about the epitome of First World problems, and being honest, it's not even a problem.I have no idea how being fed up with constantly hearing how tough the most spoiled, pampered set of people in history counts as being "triggered?" I guess it made sense in your head though.Perhaps you could explain that one to me?Butthurt SJW liberals? Difference is - when these "butthurt SJW liberals" complain about something, they never have any point.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/261	0.383
Ah great comeback.Has <b>nothing</b> to say, and no counter-argument to speak of, except to say "triggered". Pathetic, beaten all ends up. Got any other material?Oh, by the way, if you're not prepared to back up your "arguments", log off, and head back to your safe space with your crayons and coloring books.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/54	1.852

Ummm, right so I should sit back and take yet more feminist horsehit being shoved down our throats, like a good little boy without complaint. But it's not just one video, is it? Can't wait for the video that outlines some the language men are subject to that women aren't, but I doubt we'll be seeing that anytime soon. And that's my point.Oh, and I love how any reasoned, logical critique of your or this video's nonsense is twisted to be a "seething rant." Notice how you have literally <b>nothing</b> in the way of reply, because your intellect and IQ barely scrapes above room temperature, and you have no answer to any of my points. Because you know I'm right, and you hate it; the best you can do is dismiss it as a "seething rant". If you had anything to say you'd say it - but you don't, now do you?A safe space? A safe space is where someone runs and hides from the real world because they can't handle differing opinions, which is the very opposite of what I'm doing. YOU are the one running off to your safe space because you don't have the balls to back up what you're saying and defend your position, NOT me.Oh, and there's <b>nothing</b> more miserable and pathetic than a male feminist like yourself pal.Truly the bottom of the barrel.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	2/233	0.858
im a <b>woman</b> and this video is fucking stupid so dont assume its all men LMAO	Woman	Gender - General	1/16	6.25
Dudemar 'Feminist Agenda shoved down our throats!' Guess what? Women have had (and still have) <b>misogyny</b> forced down their throats for centuries, so now it's your turn to experience a small taste of what you've given us. A video that attempts to challenge the <b>sexism</b> and oppression that women face was sure to attract the seething rage of hoards of dudebros like you. That other commenter called you triggered because that's what you call Feminists alk the time AND you use it as an argument (you told him it's not an argument). Everyone is 'triggered' about various things. So find the right things to be triggered about and chill out over the wrong things.	Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	2/114	1.754
+JabberwockyGirl I see - thank you for revealing to us what we already know, what feminism is REALLY about. Revenge for the perceived wrongs committed against women during past times ("so now it's your turn to experience a small taste of what you've given us.") I thank you for your honesty.But how fucking pathetic. It's <b>nothing</b> more than a hate movement, you're no different to your average <b>Nazi</b> or white supremacist. And the notion that feminism is supposedly about "equality?" Haha..hilarious.I mean - its not even trying to hide it any more...(and neither are you, are you?) [LINK] Oh, and "the oppression women face". What fucking oppression do you face you utter moron? What, being called "feisty?"	Nazi, Nothing	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters	2/119	1.681



JabberwockyGirl So I as a 17 year <b>old</b> male who has never laid a finger on a <b>woman</b> , who has never risen my voice at a <b>woman</b> unless attacked first, who has always treated women with the utmost respect even when they have disrespected myself and said rude statements to me, who has never cat called a <b>woman</b> ( though ive been cat called a decent amount of times), who tries my utmost best to take up as little space as possible while still being comfortable ( because Man spreading is an issue now), who has believed in TRUE feminism my whole life, has to suffer and be oppressed just because the majority of males from the past were sexist.And you guys say that you preach for equality and for peace between all, etc	Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	4/135	2.963
JabberwockyGirl Because I certainly havent given you any <b>misogyny</b> and sexism	Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	2/11	18.182
So we still believe in the <b>gender</b> pay gap? Thanks for the update. Takes away some of your credibility.Also, why is no one bothered that men are described as killers in literature? Oh, right! Men can't be targets of <b>sexism</b> !! Or this is crap. Idk	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/46	4.348
Interesting, but this is a far cry from <b>sexism</b> .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
StrawMan there was literally a column in the Economist last month arguing that Marx was wrong. This is just a short video on the use of language, <b>nothing</b> controversial is said really...	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/32	3.125
Is this just postmodernist <b>mental</b> masturbation or something serious? Probably something in the middle, I just fail to understand why different must mean better and worse. We can be different and equally worthy.	Mental	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/33	3.03
He is not wrong because he is not proposing any line action, simply stating a fact. For example, the wrong reading from this video would be to push for regulation that penalizes the use of words that reinforce stereotypes. Female and male are different from birth to death, denying that is stupid and will not bring us happiness, because sexuality exists for our enjoyment. This has <b>nothing</b> to do with ensuring equal rights and opportunities for both sexes, which is the right thing to do.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/85	1.176
It's as <b>dumb</b> as saying muscular is more used to describe males. That's because it's TRUE	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/16	6.25

We need to get that <b>Gender</b> Description Prediction Gap to 0 guys!!	Gender	Gender - General	1/12	8.333
Never in my life have I sat through 3 minutes of such utterly ridiculous and frankly pathetic garbage. <b>Sexism</b> is a very real thing and is happening across the globe as we speak. In some countries women face the kind of prejudice that we in the western world simply fully comprehend. To say that because the word "house" is being associated with women is in any way offensive or discriminatory is the outrageous. And are you suggesting that if we use the word "feisty" as often with men as we do with women that it no longer becomes a problem? I am not sure if you've noticed but there are plenty of words (such as 'macho' or 'heroic') which are more commonly associated with MEN than with women, but yet no one cares, and rightly so. In fact, the 'study' also showed that the word 'killing' is associated with men (and it seems that I should point out to some people out there that being associated with death is more offensive than being associated with housing). However, I understand that that is down to the way in which society has been seen over the course of human history and even has some roots in nature itself. I am not going to kick up a fuss.However, the biggest concern that I have here is the way in which this 'issue' (and I hesitate to call it such) appears to be so prominent in society today. Those students who analysed those books could have spent that time doing something useful or in some way educational. The last time I checked, universities were places of learning and developing a further understanding of the world, not places where people conduct hours of research that proves an ultimately invalid and completely uninteresting point. The west has problems of its own: <b>racism</b> , gun violence, <b>homophobia</b> to name just a few. For people to spend time conducting such mind-blowingly stupid research while these crimes are happening right outside their doors is an insult to those fighting battles for equality all over the world. How about putting those intelligent young brains to work on improving healthcare, advancing modern science or simply creating a better community for their family and friends. I apologise for my small rant, but I really do think that this kind of rot undermines those who are experiencing ACTUAL <b>sexism</b> . I mean you can imagine the conversation:Person 1: I experience <b>sexism</b> regularly.Person 2: Same, what happens to you?Person 1: I wasn't allowed to go to school and I was forced into marriage at a young <b>age</b> . What about you?Person 2: Someone called me feisty.Person 1:.....	Age, Homophobia, Racism, Sexism	Age - General, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	6/446	1.345

<p>This is more of a psychologically rooted <b>sexism</b>, and the video makes a very valid point. Words make up our everyday life and is ultimately what creates our perception of how a day has fared. To further my point is looking at suicide rates, self confidence is a deciding factor whether one takes their life or not. Self confidence is often granted by words that encourage us and in interaction. Say you get these regularly used condescending compliments, which ultimately hurt your confidence and your willingness to interact with other people. This could then possibly lead to lower self confidence levels in our women and less willingness to interact with men (and women). Therefore making the video valid in today's society, were words have been granted big impact through our social medias, such as twitter, Facebook, etc...In the rant of your message you're also promoting communism, were certain fields should be limited to allow research for. Society builds on our free will to do what we like.So instead of shamefully finding ways to make this seem as some <b>crazy</b> feministic social justice warrior has written the article, I instead encourage you to actually asses the information that is given and not call it pathetic garbage...</p>	Crazy, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/207	0.966
---	---------------	---	-------	-------

<p>Bat Man thank you for your response. I understand that not everyone shares my view point and that will always be the case. I actually looked into why suicide rates were so high, particularly in young people. It seems to me that there are two main causes of this. Firstly, our culture and idolisation of celebrities sets unrealistic goals for young people to aspire to. Particularly girls feel as though they are somehow unworthy if they don't look like a Vogue cover star. THIS seems to be what lowers young women's self esteem, not the fact that we use different words to describe them, often in situations where we would be speaking ill of them in the first place. Secondly, suicide rates in young people are actually higher in boys than in girls. It seems that men have never experienced the same discrimination that women have over the course of history, so this is a surprising fact. Perhaps this is down to the stereotypes of young men/teenagers being reckless and violent. It is worth noting that in secondary school exams, girls always outperform boys and girls' achievements are often celebrated more, possibly to ensure that schools cannot be accused of discriminating against girls. However, this has the opposite effect; making boys feel intellectually inadequate to girls, who are always considered to be the smart ones. Personally, I believe that these two factors play a far bigger role in suicide rates than using different language to describe a person. As for communism, it's simple: it doesn't work 99% of the time. But that's another matter. My point is that to invest this amount of effort (like the University of Illinois did) and focus so much on one of the smallest and most insignificant 'issues' in the world is a waste. There are plenty of problems in the world, I'm sure that everyone understands that. These larger scale and more damaging forms of discrimination should be the focus of our efforts for a better world. Exactly why we seem to make such a deal out of these kinds of petty problems in the west is confusing, but I think it does have an explanation. As people grow older, (particularly through their teenage years) they feel the need to rebel against something. This is a natural response aimed at making sure that the young leave their parents and create a life of their own; it can be seen in many species. However, in our modern world, we don't have much that our teenagers can rebel against. All of the ills in our lives in the west are on a large scale and are the sort of things that are embedded in our culture and history. Rebelling against these issues could result in upsetting a large number of people. So as a solution, people feel the need to create problems to get angry at. People invent issues like this one and bring them to the forefront of public attention to satisfy a desire to change something in the world. If everyone gets bogged down in things like this, <b>nothing</b> of any real consequence will ever change in the world. Change requires unity. So rather than making a problem to solve, let's all focus on the problems which already exist in the world and see if we can solve some of those. There are too many issues in the world to be creating new ones.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/571	0.175
--	---------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Although I disagree with you, I actually think you make some good points, being the fact that men commit suicide more often than women, and that men are more often associated with the word "kill", which seems quite unfair and sexist.</p> <p>First off, the suicide rate. You're right that men commit suicide more often, but an important caveat is that the rate of completed suicide is higher among men. Women do not differ from men when it comes to attempted suicide, but they are less likely to succeed in doing so. It is not exactly clear why that is the case, but this study is interesting nonetheless: <a href="#">[LINK]</a></p> <p>As for the reasons for suicide, I agree unreasonable standards are often a main reason for it, resulting in status loss and/or <b>mental</b> illness. I disagree that this is only the case for women. We have made great strides regarding <b>gender</b>, no doubt, but our discourse is still rife with the idea that men should ultimately be ambitious and powerful and so forth. When that is the standard you have to aspire to as a man in order to be 'masculine', it is not strange to see the link with the suicide rate (whether it is in the category 'attempted' or 'completed').I think the point this video is trying to make is that our language, which often has far more implicit meaning than we give it credit for, has been shaped in a time when life still fit those <b>gender</b> roles quite well, i.e. men should be hard-working and successful and women should be caring and pretty. The conundrum we now find ourselves in regarding <b>gender</b> seems to be that women are slowly moving into the more traditionally masculine part of <b>gender</b> roles, and are less harmed by masculine expectations but are still harmed by the feminine expectations; men, on the other hand, are not moving into the traditionally feminine very much, which means the unreasonable expectations of traditional masculinity are harming men.We should not discount language as a force for permeating 'traditional' views on <b>gender</b>. I will grant you that worldwide, <b>gender</b> inequality is still very much a different and more concrete problem, but as you point out yourself regarding the suicide rate, it can also reach into the less tangible, more abstract realm of things. The more we progress as a society, the more we will be dealing with those, it seems.</p>	Gender, Mental	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	7/404	1.733
---	----------------	---	-------	-------

<p>Rokus Loef thank for your reply, as I mentioned it is always interesting to hear what other people have to say when they voice their opinions in a mature way. Perhaps the reason I find this video so utterly mind boggling is because personally, I don't really use different words for different genders (or at least the ones stated in this video). I've never really thought of 'feisty' or 'airhead' as being associated with women. Maybe that's just me. Maybe it's a difficult situation for me to understand because I've never taken a <b>blind</b> bit of notice to how we use these words with different genders and to me personally, it doesn't matter. I find it hard to understand why some people find 'feisty' more offensive 'killing'. While the point you make about language having a more implicit meaning than we give it credit for is definitely true, it is important in a world so filled with hate speech that we learn to grow a slightly thicker skin when it comes to what other people say about us. Not so much so that we end up tolerating <b>racist</b> or <b>homophobic</b> language (which is never acceptable), but so that we don't let the hidden/implicit meanings of words get to us. The less we begin to feel offended at such small and harmless words, the happier and more self confident we become. There are enough words in the English language to find offensive without thinking up even more.</p>	Blind, Homophobic, Racist	Ethnicity - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General	3/246	1.22
---	---------------------------	--	-------	------

<p>Andreas Vesalius, I think feisty and killing might actually be equally offensive, or rather, equally harmful. It is not that one is worse, it is that they both entrench and permeate certain views that might not always be good for our society. My personal views, as a male feminist, diverge slightly from the mainstream in that I think the way we use our language and the way we see <b>gender</b> is not exclusively harmful to women, although it is generally more harmful to them as they have historically been marginalised to a far greater extent. I, too, am often unaware of my own biases and stereotypes, but it is nonetheless interesting to try to fight them, in a way. It is strange to see, once you notice it, that boys are more often told not to cry, and girls are more often told not to be 'bitchy', and how these subtle differences in language and norms stratify society along the lines of <b>gender</b>. I also realise I may have treated women less seriously on an intellectual level in the past, and treated men who did not pay for their female date as somehow 'lesser' because they did not fit the role of provider. This is not universal, of course, and some may subconsciously hold more biases than others, but the point is that they are often subconscious, and therefore harder to spot. Just as overt <b>racism</b>, embedded in legislation, is often easier to see, but the more subconscious and instinctive <b>racism</b> is far harder to spot. To be critical of our language does not necessarily mean taking offense. I would be the first to argue that our biases do not necessarily mean we do not intend well. But as our conversation proves, you can both be happy, self-confident and polite within the status quo, yet still be critical of it and aim higher.</p>	Gender, Racism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/313	1.278
---	----------------	---------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Rokus Loef it is certainly true that we do use stereotypes without realising, but my personal belief is that in the context of this video (to do with the language we use) they are of no real effect. I am sure that as a male many people use biased language to me everyday, but I've never really taken any notice and it does not effect me in the slightest.</p> <p>At the end of the day, if someone calls you 'simple', then they are insulting your intelligence. If someone calls you an airhead, they too are insulting your intelligence. One is not more offensive than the other, they both mean the same thing. However this does not apply with killing and feisty. Feisty means to have a notably aggressive or active nature, and I'm pretty sure we all know what killing means and what it's associated with. I am not of the opinion that these are equally as harmful, but the again everyone finds different things to be so. Killing has no positive connotations whatsoever, whereas feisty is often associated with those women who defy stereotypes of being weak and are on a more equal level with their male counterparts. The only context in which feisty is offensive to a <b>woman</b> is if they wish to be seen as weaker or perhaps 'ladylike'. So to sum up, associating someone with killing is to put them on a level of criminals and violence, whereas to associate a <b>woman</b> with being feisty is to acknowledge her strength and her ability to defy the stereotypes which we have held about women for too long.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	2/270	0.741
<p>It is true I took a more childish standpoint to get my view across, but it was not intended that way. I simply reacted to his comment. And from where I stand this issue occurs at times. What struck my mind whilst writing the comment was that i had heard someone say the word " Hag" in Swedish "Kärring" to their wife. The word portrays an older women that is very bitter. The <b>woman</b> that was on the receiving end of the comment was about 30 years <b>old</b>. And from reading the context of the situation the wife felt put down by his words. And in that scenario i found it very unnecessary to use that word. Matter of fact is that we have ways of talking to men and women in everyday interaction, and words that emphasise <b>gender</b> are many times not needed. So therefore i felt I had to make some comment to his saying that the video was utter garbage. Because it put a valid thought in my head of an issue I could pinpoint on a weekly basis in everyday life. Not saying that bossy or feisty is going to make you commit suicide, I meant the problem as it was presented in the video, (the bigger picture), with words that are actually hurtful, like "get back to the kitchen" can make women feel lesser when it fills no purpose. It emphasises their <b>gender</b> and makes it lesser. And therefore makes the video quite valid in today's everyday world.</p>	Gender, Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	4/253	1.581



lol at everyone getting angry at this. yes, many of these word usages come out of actual observed differences, but i'd like to see some of you people who disliked this video respond to a <b>woman</b> after calling her 'feisty' and she tells you that she finds that term demeaning. what's the response? don't use it and respect her opinion. that's how we interact with people in society. simple as that. you 'police' your behavior and speech all the time when talking to different people; this isn't any different. there isn't some grand conspiracy to indoctrinate everyone in leftist extremist ideas. no one is forcing anyone to do anything. and if u think this video is extreme then i think you need to get out more and seek out differing opinions a bit more.	Woman	Gender - General	1/134	0.746
shimmy.ojeleye I agree the video wasn't extreme and prior should maybe calm down. However if I called a <b>woman</b> feisty and she she felt it demeaned her, I think I would just be puzzled of how. So the issue for me is listeners being offended when the speakers intent was never to offend.	Woman	Gender - General	1/53	1.887
shimmy.ojeleye While I agree that you should change your behaviour in order to suit the individual you are talking to, it seems irrational to omit non-offensive words from your vocabulary because someone is inexplicably offended by it. Besides that, the topic covered in this video is so meaningless that there is no reason to make such a thing without pushing a political objective. A good example to this is the fact that they brought up the wage gap, which has been disproven by the fact that women tend to work less hours a week than men as well as the fact that women choose less profitable specialities (For example, the majority of paediatricians are <b>woman</b> , as compared to the fact that most anesthesiologists, the higher paying speciality, are men).	Woman	Gender - General	1/129	0.775
shimmy.ojeleye Shut the fuck up you beast! STOP EMBARRASSING THIS <b>GENDER</b> WITH YOUR MADE UP BULLSHIT TO FEEL LIKE YOU HAVE A PURPOSE TO LIVE! And bitch, I get called feisty all the time! I FIND IT A DAMN COMPLIMENT!!!	Gender	Gender - General	1/40	2.5

<p>People are actually being forced to use non-offensive language. (In Canada at least.)</p> <p>This is no joke. Evidence: In Canada, there is a legislation (Bill C-16) that makes people use the word "they" instead of he or she to people who are <b>transgender</b> or do not identify as male or female. Also, a Canadian Cabinet Minister recommended that workers from Service Canada should refrain using <b>gender</b> specific words like mother and father and start using the word "parent." Conservative opposition politicians mocked the suggestion by jokingly considering changing mother's and father's day to "parent day." Okay, the second evidence wasn't technically forcing but suggesting, but Service Canada workers might be in trouble if he or she - I mean "they" use <b>gender</b> specific language. Dear readers, I'm not trying to scare you. I'm just saying political correctness has gone too far. In case you were wondering, Canada's leading political party is the Liberal Party and our Prime Minister is Justin Trudeau. Yes, I'm Canadian and yes, we do have free healthcare, but this is the kind of stuff we have to deal with.</p>	Gender, Transgender	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Transexuality	3/183	1.639
<p>ConsideringPhlebas I sensed a difference in tone between the two videos as well: the one about free speech seemed to me like a fairly clear expression of policy/opinion on the part of the Economist; whereas this video was overwhelmingly, save the last line, a simple recitation of academic research into linguistics. My point is that they can both occupy space in the same universe (and in your mind, if you let them) and are in no way incompatible with one another. But what about social pressure to curb speech. Why is that a bad thing in the first place? Should we not care about whether the words we use offend people? Do you not modify your speech depending on your audience, or is it just "all Phlebas all the time," regardless of who you're talking to? You can be polite while still being a steadfast proponent of free speech. Also, someone like yourself, who is clearly adept with language, can surely find any number of different words to make the same exact point. Or you can strategically choose to be offensive – it's your call. The freedom is still yours. I think one of the things about this video I found so interesting is that some of the words we've used throughout history turn out to have an unconscious association with <b>gender</b>, and might be offensive to people without the author even realizing it. What you (or any other author) chooses to do with that information is up to you.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/250	0.4
<p>i once met a feminist who hated blacks and asians. you have to be careful around feminists, they are really just <b>gender</b> fascists.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	4/23	17.391
<p>You have <b>zero</b> clue what the concept of "free speech" is and it's cringeworthy as fuck.</p>	Zero	Age - Youngsters	1/16	6.25

<p>+ConsideringPhlebas I was wrong about that. Sorry. But In the US we still do have prohibitions on free speech, like "fighting words", obscenity and incitements to violence. These all must pass a fair level of scrutiny. And as you know better than me, speech laws are relatively more strict in European countries. If it were up to me, I'd choose not to prosecute the Youtuber you spoke of. It's obviously offensive, but I think there are better things for authorities to worry about. That being said, he's an entertainer who relies on saying offensive things to get views and instill delight into viewers yearning to hear obnoxious and offensive language they wouldn't dare say in public, or to another person. You know why? Because that's how you lose friends and make people not want to interact with you. I'm sure you can find friends that would be more than happy to partake in <b>Nazi</b> jokes with you, and more power to you guys, but you will most likely also have friends/acquaintances/family who would rather not. And that's fine as well; you would just make sure not to speak like that with them. It's that easy. You respect their opinions/sensibility and hopefully they respect yours. We have always operated by spoken/unspoken rules of conduct and civility. I understand that this is very different from codified laws that hold power to punish you. I also disagree with more vague hate speech laws that can punish speech meant to be comedic. I just think that there are more important issues that one could focus their attention on. The average person isn't going to be sent to prison for tweeting something offensive. Go on Twitter - there are millions of offensive tweets that Twitter just isn't going to bother with. You will most likely be fine. I suspect that Dankula was targeted because many users reported him, due to his large following. Through out history, champions of free speech seemed to be those defending the right to criticize governments. The worst human rights violators around the world continue to police speech as a means to squash any possibility of dissent. It seems that now, in the largely freer and more democratic nations, defenders of free speech only want to protect the right to offend. I agree that offending someone shouldn't warrant government intervention, but the average person isn't going to get locked up for a <b>racist</b> Facebook post. I know I'm not going to change your mind on anything, but it seems that our interests are just widely different. I could think of a dozen other issues that affect millions of more lives and are barriers to progress around the world, but yet people in this comment section are getting worked up because certain words have <b>gender</b> specific connotations and usages, that result in people understandably feeling marginalized or stereotyped. You aren't going to get convicted for calling a <b>woman</b> "feisty". This simply isn't an issue. You and I can both agree that Dankula shouldn't be fined, now can you agree that if someone wishes you not call them a certain word, it would be in your best interest to respect their opinion? These are two vastly different issues. And as someone else pointed out, everything except the last line in the video was just a presentation of linguistic facts and observations. Hope you have a good day.</p>	Gender, Nazi, Racist, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/564	0.709
--	-----------------------------	---	-------	-------

ttemicraft FTW The title? I re-read it as you requested, as well as the description, which by the way also uses the word " <b>sexism</b> " (as well as "rife") to trigger you into a fury of faux-male-inadequacy. What about it? Did it work?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/42	2.381
He is wrong by assuming that there is something wrong with using different words for the two genders. And then he goes <b>bonkers</b> by implying that somehow, the words used for women are worse than those used for men. Suddenly, being "simple" seems better than being "airhead". And suddenly, "feisty" is no longer a compliment because some women feel small by it (which is entirely their problem and should not be projected on the language itself). The term "asshole" , despite being entirely <b>gender</b> neutral (since, both genders have it), is used overwhelmingly to describe men. I don't see him or anyone else pointing out that <b>sexism</b> ? Because men, mostly, don't give a shit about trivial cases of <b>sexism</b> , even when they are at the receiving end. It is when they are termed as "sexists" without <b>sexism</b> , is when shit goes to hell.	Bonkers, Gender, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	5/143	3.497

<p>My Poem, The Tyrannies of Society 1</p> <p>See at hospitals, nursing homes and clinics Crowds of people, patients see docs, test things With squirm as the white cloths Prick and draw bloods from their veins, Suddenly mind interacts with Monsanto, Various other poisonous chemical practices Like Hecate the creator of all harms, And the heavy industries as Empusae The monsters of all forms terrify all travellers: Man along with all creatures, The transient entities on earth But we humans expect hope for better. Science must walk arm to arm With true faith of religion, and Think thrice before it is questioned about. 2</p> <p>The naked eyes unaware of deadly Viruses and fungi causing fatal diseases Like the opening of Pandora's Box That have continually been agonizing the beauty, Punctuating man's graces of daily performances Leading lives in tragedy A mother cries for the loss of her beloved child. The diseases are there, in harmony with nature, But man is the selfish brute brunt, And the deadliest disease itself, of all. 3</p> <p>Money and material goods: the offspring of labours, The appendage, like slough that greed harassed, Fatigued the charm of the human body It has lacerated, envied, got into loneliness For years, to those two sweet streams of spring That obliged them to turn apart, Strain and stress heightened, Dreams shattered one after another, Lives turned dismally bad. It must be earned wisely And used properly and timely. 4</p> <p>The catastrophe by armaments, launchers, Guns, its competition: the destruction and violence. It is like the malign Mephistophelean monstrosity That is devastating structures, perpetrating genocide, The unwanted sufferings to all beings With inhuman human casualties The loss of innocent lives, The ancient heritages shattered with no reasons. Arming arms against the armed Cannot be fought for harmony And cannot be achieved peace. 5</p> <p>Politics turned to be like the milk-pot For the shameless few some: The unethical apolitical dotty demagogues Wasp-like, they linger around in the hive As if it is their dowry by the state, Where toadyism and nepotism, Favouritism and monopoly have thrived Side by side, and the handful of crooks Ambushed the dynamics of political power, Abused it for their sake, Posed autocracy, anarchy Corruption, fake news and fake statesmen, All these heinous hazards playing Its parody in the political arena And, those so-called top brass, con artist often vile Like plague has massacred all public interests. Unless politics does not dare to serve For its countrymen's sake, It remains like briefs of the few <b>pigs</b>, And the public do not bear The Machiavellian swine fevers in a country anymore.</p> <p>6</p> <p>The hegemonic 'I' that coins The two extremes of division: The so-called powerful, and the weak, The rich and the poor, The back and the white The Eastern and the Western The High and the low the rascal, rogue ideology And the niggling hard-core religious fanaticism Destroying the gene of mankind And the normative norms of humanity Like the destructive force of weathering or Nahar or Hakaishin, Where love gets hurt, A heart gets pain, Sentiment gets wounded, A body gets infested with animosity. The human hearts cannot get the charm of the smile, Unless that does not feel 'We' and Speak it in public against the 'I'.</p> <p>Copyright Kr. Chamling 05. 03. 2018 Mail: governmentvdc1gmail.com</p>	PIGS	Nationality - Southern European	1/550	0.182
---	------	---------------------------------------	-------	-------

Just thought I'd let you know that "Shaunna Marie" (a channel you are subscribed to) is a schizophrenic <b>woman</b> who goes by the fake name 'Cara Mia.' We had a long distance romance over the past 8 months, but when I bought her plane ticket so she could be with me, she didn't show up and blocked my phone number. It took me a while to discover who she really was and that's also when I found her You Tube Channel. She's been to court on charges of electronic harassment (apparently found guilty). I saw your remark on her channel and thought I would get a hold of you in some way. Even though your reply was over a year ago, this broad works in the long term of things (I've known her only as Cara Mia for nearly 10 years due to a website called "Help.com which is NOW called Help-QA.com ). I just don't you to be a victim of this <b>woman</b> 's usury. Thanks for your time.	Woman	Gender - General	1/169	0.592
Thank you +Rhubarb Kendleberk , but I know.But it's more interesting to delve into the REAL proclivities that make up WHY the specific differences. The explanation you gave which is the pedestrian one, which is at least slightly better than "Wombs are expensive, Sperm is Cheap".So yes, the easier answer is more that conceptualization of words with men and women comes from our innate biases of which one is expressed in what is called the (Women are wonderful) effect that is a term to express behavior traits based on the innate imperative of <b>sexual</b> dimorphism that are specific to our specie. And the specific <b>sex</b> differences in needs to procreate leads to results so that women are heavily inclined to lean towards tendencies of Hypo-agency and therefore we observe this and will describe with more passive wording and less emphasis on agency, since that associate to sacrifice and empathy-damping.Whereas men will have a heavy proclivity to lean towards the tendencies of Hyper-Agency and described in active wordings and less emphasis on being a observer or patient since that associates to inactivity when risks are high.And all of gets more pronounced in each individ the more individual freedom each can on as equal playing field there can be since then individuals to fight in the <b>sexual</b> market place, and have the best "ads" so to speak.The basic concepts are easy but it's the devil in the detail that's interesting.	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	3/243	1.235
Sir HardOn interesting. Although there were some behaviours that were described differently because of <b>gender</b> (bossy vs assertive). But i agree its also important to look into the psychological roots and try to figure out how much of it is biological and how much of it is socially constructed.	Gender	Gender - General	1/49	2.041

I agree. I'm not sure why our society is ignoring biology these days. There's <b>nothing</b> wrong with the reality of two different sexes existing who exhibit different physical and <b>mental</b> make up. The two sexes can be both different AND equal, we don't have to be considered the same and any differences are 'societal' in nature...It's the same with this 'strong female character' thing going on in movies and tv. The strength comes from the <b>woman</b> displaying masculine behavior... why can't a <b>woman</b> be strong in a female way? Is it not kind of sexist to say that the female form of strength (compassion, empathy, understanding) isn't considered strong, so a female is only 'strong' if she displays a masculine form of brute force (fighting, aggressiveness)?Men and women are capable of both types of strength but its obvious that each <b>sex</b> swings further in one direction than the other, to varying degrees. I think both forms of strength have importance and the human <b>race</b> requires each of them.	Mental, Nothing, Race, Sex, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	6/170	3.529
Let me just comment that there is a reason we call women gossipy. Studies in behaviour psychology have shown that <b>woman</b> on average use innuendo and gossip as a display of aggressiveness; as oppose to men who are more likely to display aggressiveness as physical violence. So there is a reason these words are chosen, and many are due to disparities in displayed behavior.	Woman	Gender - General	1/64	1.562
I'm a feminist.... however that doesn't mean I go against men, in fact I stand up for men too when it's necessary to. I don't think u should judge by the title feminist instead you should judge that some people just go too far. And honestly <b>woman</b> don't gossip as much as you think, I agree a lot do but I am a girl and I should be included in these percentages as me being added to the ones who DONT gossip.	Woman	Gender - General	1/82	1.22
Erm but you can't just stereotype a whole gender	Gender	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
That's because men are allowed from childhood to be aggressive, speak out loud and express themselves without restrains, and women are taught since they are born to be "feminine", "behave themselves", "act like a lady". It's important how people address men and women because while a man is called aggressive for being violent, a <b>woman</b> would be called <b>crazy</b> or wild. And if you don't see the problem here, you better learn the basics.	Crazy, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/74	2.703

Exactly, and even if those words used to have sexist undertones a few decades ago, we shouldn't be superficial enough to stop using words for that reason. The meaning of words changes constantly. A few decades ago " <b>gay</b> " meant happy, now it has a different meaning. If the word "feisty" had a condescending undertone a few decades ago, that doesn't mean we should avoid it today. Words only have the meaning that society attributes to it and with time, this meaning may change. I don't think anyone who says a <b>woman</b> is feisty today has the intention to come across as condescending. The meaning has changed, all that matters is the underlying intention. Words convey our feelings and thoughts and are themselves empty. The feeling or thought we wish to convey with them is the only substance they have. So it's completely redundant to look to historical application of words if the modern meaning has changed; the modern, non-sexist thoughts and feelings that it conveys is the only relevant factor today.	Gay, Woman	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/171	1.754
What does this have to do with economics, politics or geopolitical affairs?? Glad to see that the word <b>sexism</b> will get the same treatment <b>racism</b> had, used to such an extent its meaning is so watered down it could describe just about anything ... Next time on the economist: Nature is sexist because biology!	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/54	3.704
You mean <b>sex</b> differences are reflected in language?? Well no shit, Sherlock! Anyway, this is one sided. Linguistic collocation based on <b>gender</b> cuts both ways. You'll likely also find that insults like 'asshole,' 'prick', 'wanker', 'bastard' are used more for males than females. What anti-male <b>sexism</b> !	Gender, Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	3/46	6.522
You're overanalysing things. I'm fairly sure women just want to be treated equally, not for others to carefully weigh their words and analyse the frequency of particular words being used for a certain <b>gender</b> so as to not offend women. This is getting a bit strange. And overzealous	Gender	Gender - General	1/48	2.083
Joan Marco as a conservative there are some good points here that I agree with, you will find that most of the "other" people are very rarely as extreme as you make them out to be. The overwhelming majority of people agree on most of the same things. For me the only reason I've found to be opposed to "left" ideas like feminism is because of the unfortunate fact that the most vocal feminists are also the most extreme, who don't want to see equality for men and women but a <b>gender</b> role reversal entirely.	Gender	Gender - General	1/95	1.053
Nobody is saying that you shouldn't say x thing, they're only stating what is true, and that's it. The Economist can share that information if they want. There're also studies that have proven that men and women speak differently due to <b>sexism</b> , even if we don't realize. There's no harm in saying that, and it won't just disappear because you don't like that information being thrown at you.	Nobody, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/68	2.941



it's interesting because what i quoted (which i didn't, i just mentioned them) are linguistic studies, not "leftist <b>gender</b> studies", which are not "leftist" or conservative, they just show a linguistic reality and they do not want to support one ideology or the other, but just show what is happening in language.	Gender	Gender - General	1/52	1.923
Mourning Star oh please, don't. Don't talk about Sweden when the image you have about it has been created by American conservatives and the European far-right, it has <b>nothing</b> to do with reality	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/33	3.03
ConsideringPhlebas Here in America, President Trump recently pressured the NFL to force their atheletes to stand for the national anthem, is that policing someone's expression?This video is simply about how language can subtly infantilize, or dismiss the concerns of, an entire <b>gender</b> .Conservatism and liberalism is different in any context, but conservatism is generally concerned about maintaining the status quo or maintaining power structures. Its easy to look through history and see that conservatives would generally support forcing respect for authority and punishing heretics and blasphemers. Liberals on the other hand, utlize language to avoid harming those out of power, as you pointed out, for example by trying to prevent the dehumanization of America's most vulnerable population, the undocumented.Compare this to Donald Trump, who speaks of evil gang members, undocumented <b>migrant</b> workers, and assylum seekers, all in the same breathe repeating that they are 'animals' Animals? A powerful man literally dehumanizing the disadvantaged.So, tell me again, why is protecting the weak is bad, and why dehumanization and/or infantilizing the disadvantaged good?	Gender, Migrant	Gender - General, Nationality - General	2/174	1.149

+Eli Parker Self-improvement is not altering yourself and changing who you are to please others. Besides, I would never want anyone else to alter who they are just for my own satisfaction. Everybody should be who they want to be and the only ground norm should be to respect everyone and treat them equally. I also don't think we should be quick to connect the historical meaning of words to the intention of those that utter them today. For example, " <b>gay</b> " used to mean happy, now it means something else entirely. Words like "feisty" may have had a condescending undertone some decades ago, but perhaps those that use the word today have no intention whatsoever to be condescending? To me, it's not about the words that are used, but the underlying intention of the person that uses it. As long as the intention is sound, no harm is done. You should be superficial in that regard. As my last point, language and its variety of words shouldn't be attacked. We should not strive for a language that has only a relatively small set of words that are labeled neutral and okay to use. Language has to develop naturally in order for it to remain a healthy, living language.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/208	0.962
ConsideringPhelbas, is it? In what way is your worldview tainted by a change in language? What language has even changed? This video was simply calling to attention was noticeable and verifiable difference in the ways we talk about men and women, to become more conscience of our culture not necessarily to change it but to operate better within it. The change from illegal to undocumented had more to do with creating a more accurate term. Not all undocumented immigrants arrived here illegally, which is what the term "illegal <b>immigrant</b> " implies. It's simply crafting a more holistic picture of the problem so that we can best address it. Should we use less descriptive words that narrow our point of view in the name of, what, tradition?	Immigrant	Nationality - General	1/125	0.8
they encourage prostitution, <b>transgender</b> children, and degrade all religions from islam to christianity. there is literally <b>nothing</b> sacred to feminists because they seek to turn western culture into a cesspit.	Nothing, Transgender	Age - Youngsters, Sexual Identity - Transexuality	2/30	6.667

<p>tom foolery Discounting the most radical fringe...Its not a matter of encouraging prostitution, in fact many feminists see prostitution as exploitationBut the important thing is that legalizing prostitution (while also banning pimping) protects women. It protects women. Prostitution happens anyway, but the current legal system wants to punish the women more then the men, and that is proven by police sting tactics and arrest records that tend to target the women.Worse, in some cases, men will pimp out underage girls, but the system will punish the girls (who are by definition innocent) but not the johns!That is what feminists want to change. They want safety for women and girls.Transgender: I think you're misinterpreting or misrepresenting this; if someone is trans they are trans.What feminists support is for people to be free to express their <b>gender</b> and <b>sexual</b> identity.This is something men can benefit from too, as the left also seeks to prevent men from being pigeon holed into roles they may not want either.</p> <p>Degrading religion: most Americans, including feminists, are at least minimally religious.The issue feminists have are with strict, ultra conservative religions, that seek to dominate and subjugate women.Ask yourself, do you support a religion that subjugates women?Also, are you really a leftist?What makes you a leftist?<b>Nothing</b> you said sounds leftist.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/225	1.333
<p>Rhubarb Western countries are statistically worse for men. Before you open your <b>cuckservative</b> mouth, you should check the data on: suicide, prison sentences, victims of violent crime, homelessness, and laborious and dangerous jobs</p>	Cuckservative	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/33	3.03
<p>Mourning Star So...women are the enemy? Women are the oppressors?Or... Can we build a society with access to <b>mental</b> health care and less reliance on incarceration ectWhile still moving beyond the <b>patriarchy</b> Because women have it bad in some areas too, and historically were subjugated, and still are in some placesThe pay gap harms women (includes fresh out of college for same work)Women are much more likely to experience <b>sexual</b> violenceRevenge and honor killings (this includes everything from acid attacks in India to the new threat of incels and MGTOW vehicle attacks in America)Look, one group gaining rights doesnt mean the other groups are losing rights</p>	Mental, Patriarchy, Sexual	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/112	2.679

Mourning Star Sad attempt to confuse the issueBy using the word 'cuckservative' you said a lot about yourself and your beliefs (sociolinguistics, look it up)By hopping into a discussion under a video about subtle <b>sexism</b> in language, with a bunch of misogynists, claiming western society is worse for men than it is for women, you said a lot about yourself and your beliefs.Sure, a few statistics are worse for men, but to pretend men have it worse overall is simply deliberately ignoring prevailing power structures and a history of subjugation to fit what you have chosen to believe to suit your needsIf Im so wrong, then please, enlighten me as to why you felt the need to say that in this contextAnd Ive done plenty of research on the wage gap, which is why I specifically mentioned that it exists even fresh out of college for the same work. Why do you think I mentioned that?Its time to leave the tree fort.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/168	0.595
Cuckservative is funny sounding. We all know that censorious Leftists like yourself forgot what humor is a long time ago, but damn dude, do you have to be such a pathetic puritan? That's why everybody hates you, you know	Cuckservative	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/39	2.564
Mourning Star And you thought I inferred too much...wowPpffftI have no interest in censoring anyoneAnalyzing, understanding, discussing, debatingThats not censorship, <b>snowflake</b> Not only have you lost here todayBut you've embarrassed yourself	Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/36	2.778
Well, one would wonder why you're still posting when you had already declared yourself the "winner". I'm gonna peg your <b>age</b> at somewhere around... 17?	Age	Age - General	1/25	4.0
Mourning Star On a serious note, you imply 'cultural marxism' complains about power dynamics instead of looking at why a thing is the way it is.Marx invented the study of sociology and coined the phrase capitalism. He invented the field of study that explores why society, including power dynamics, are the way they are.His buddy, Friedrich Engles, wrote the book 'Family Private Property and the State,' that explores the history of <b>gender</b> power dynamics and how it relates to capitalism.So the fact is, Marxists very much are interested in why things are the way they are, in fact, Marx invented the study of it.	Gender	Gender - General	1/107	0.935

Mourning Star Well, after some googling Im a big enough man to admit that whoever told me those two things was wrong, so capitalism was coined prior to Marx, as was the word 'Sociology'But the larger more important point still standsYou claimed cultural Marxists dont think about what causes things to be the way they areWhen in fact, Marx was indeed an important sociologist and was an early scholar of the capitalist mode of productionFor me, what you have gotten correct is really a trifleFor you, your world view is still desperately falseThose on the left, including this <b>boogie</b> man, straw man 'cultural Marxist' you imagine, actually does study what makes things the way they areBut lets move onSince this started with the topic of <b>sexism</b> Please, tell me, why are things the way they are?	Boogie, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/144	1.389
I am also in my mid thirties and I never said you were young, you must have inferred that based on my assholish nature. I would, however, state that I believe your philosophy to be an immature one that focuses on the negative and displays an overall Zinnian historical outlook (victim/oppressor dynamics). "Capitalism" has pulled more people out of poverty than Socialism ever has or will. Check out Pinker's new book for more details on what is actually working. Within a non-system (true free market), there will always be inequality (like the walled city). To try and enforce 'equality' seems to result in a totalitarian shitscape.As for the No True Scotsman, all ideologues are guilty of it and, within Marxist circles, it is the thing of legend. Non-Scotsman include: Mao, Stalin, Pol Pot, Lenin, Kims I, II, and III, Chavez/Maduro, <b>Ho</b> Chi Minh, and, in a lot of ways, Marx himself!	Ho	Gender - Female sexuality	1/152	0.658

Mourning Star In a post from 4 days ago you guessed that I was 17 years <b>old</b> , and later called me 'little man'So yeahWhats funny is I started my adult life as a far right Republican, I voted for WBut I gained life experience working shitty jobs and that made me a leftySo its funny to hear you claim my view point is immatureBut anyway, thats not importantI accept that there will be inequalityWhat I want is equality of oppourtinity not equality of outcomesCapitalism is not a panacea.A famous economist once said, 'there are no solutions , only trade offs,' that applies to capitalism as wellLife under laissez faire economics was miserable for most peopleReal world experience led people to demand things like sanitation, safe working conditions, safe consumer products, environmental protections ectThings the free market will not provide on its ownAnd you seemed to say earlier that the market could simply sort out the 'tragedy of the commons,' the whole point is that the free market cannot do that.Which makes me think you do not actually understand the tragedy of the commons.Just like with fishing rights, a group of individual actors can make rational decisions and destroy themselvesHow exactly does the free market stop fishermen from catching all the fish, without a powerful arbitrator enforcing limits?	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/233	0.429
First of all, you're talking to a former longtime hobo. I know full well the REAL tragedy of commons (oddly enough, I was a far Leftist for most of my-up until recently-wasted life). Secondly, concerning my speculation over your <b>age</b> , I have a hard time remembering my own vitriol sometimes. Lastly, as far as the free market goes, I can only disagree by saying that Keynesianism and all of its mutations is worse that doing <b>nothing</b> at all. That, in fact, the free market would (and in some cases, already does) provide for the things you mentioned, given proper time. We will find out the error of meddling in a few decades as debt, QE, and entitlement programs drag us all back to the starting point. The reset looms...	Age, Nothing	Age - General, Age - Youngsters	2/129	1.55
Mourning Star The free market didnt, when it was given a chance on its own.The gilded <b>age</b> was not pleasant for most people.	Age	Age - General	1/24	4.167

Table 2: Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable

Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	KeyWords	Number of occurrences	Frequency	Frequency(%)
Gender - General	Gender, Sexism, Woman, Sexual, Sex, Misogyny, Patriarchy	109	109/18083	0.6

Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	Dumb, Weirdo, Mental, Crazy, Blind, Bonkers	12	12/18083	0.06999999999999999
Age - Youngsters	Nothing, Nobody, Zero	22	22/18083	0.12
Age - Over 65s	Old	4	4/18083	0.02
Ethnicity - General	Racist, Racism, Race	8	8/18083	0.04
Nationality - General	Nation, Migrant, Immigrant	4	4/18083	0.02
Social Class - Working class	Common	1	1/18083	0.01
Ethnicity - Native-American	Apple	1	1/18083	0.01
Ideological and Political Identity - General	Nazi, Cuckservative, Snowflake	5	5/18083	0.03
Age - General	Age	4	4/18083	0.02
Sexual Identity - General	Homophobia, Homophobic, Gay	4	4/18083	0.02
Sexual Identity - Transexuality	Transgender	2	2/18083	0.01
Nationality - Southern European	PIGS	1	1/18083	0.01
Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	Gay	2	2/18083	0.01
Ethnicity - Black	Boogie	1	1/18083	0.01
Gender - Female sexuality	Ho	1	1/18083	0.01

### Result analysis:

- Taking into account the words that were detected, we can reach the conclusion these comments are associated with : : Gender - General;Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments;Age - Youngsters;Age - Over 65s;Ethnicity - General;Nationality - General;Social Class - Working class;Ethnicity - Native-American; Ideological and Political Identity - General;Age - General;Sexual Identity - General;Sexual Identity - Transexuality;Nationality - Southern European;Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality;Ethnicity - Black;Gender - Female sexuality;
- The percentage of hate speech related words is 1.0009.
- Considering that the variable **Gender - General** has the most occurences in the post, we can interpret that this is the predominant hate speech.
- Overall there were 181/310 occurences of hate speech related comments.