

In youtube_extraction_english_19.json :

Title: The Secret of Sexism

- Table 1: Summary of the results per comment;
- [Table 2](#): Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable;

Table 1: Summary of the results per comment

Comment	KeyWords	Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	Hate Speech Frequency	Hate Speech Fre-quency(%)
If you look at countries that have done more to reduce cultural norms surrounding gender such as the Nordic countries, you actually find that women are going into stem subjects at a lower rate than they are in extremely sexist countries like Bangladesh	Gender	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
If you can wear a shirt saying 'my pussy my choice.' Then I can wear a shirt with scantily clad women on it. If a man were to wear a shirt with Conan the barbarian on it would we be bothered?	pussy	Nationality - Chinese	1/41	2.439
in my particular view, youre fucking dumb . im off to buy a shirt with a big vagina spread open. just because i can. whine with feminists all you want. unsubbed. i didnt subscribe to being lectured about feminism thrash by you. the man likes womens body and hes allowed to. fuck you and all feminist thrash polluting the world.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/59	1.695

I am obviously a bit late to the party but I have to support the particular statement of sexism being pleasurable because of it being a type of dominance assertion. With that said, the thing that is just as pleasurable for social justice warriors is asserting dominance by seeing sexism , racism , hate speech and microaggressions everywhere and feeling superior by pointing it out, even in situations where it is so ridiculous one wonders how someone can take their arguments seriously. Also for the last question of the video, i feel both threatened and annoyed, threatened by the real possibility of having to alter my everyday actions that are not propagating sexism , racism etc. of any kind or not being able to express myself because of political correctness and annoyed by the hipocrisy of SJW's trying to oppress anyone's aspects of a certain lifestyle just for the sake of avoiding microaggressions that most of the time just are not there. This was not my original thought on the topic back in 2014 and political corectness probably got more radical than it was before, but seeing this video in 2019 just screams "lack of critical thinking" for me, since taking away something immoral but pleasurable just to cause more unpleasurable events is just not worth it. Summary: Screw human trash whose best part of the day is being sexist or racist unironically. Screw SJW's whose best part of the day is having an argument about non-existant microaggressions. And most importantly, let the man wear his goddamn t-shirt.	Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/255	2.353
Well then, lets all start memorizing that 20 page list of all the gender specific pronouns they've cooked up. Why not? You threatened or something?	Gender	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
This seems to be in a lot of other aspects of life too. Guns, racism , mockery of mental illnesses. Even years later, I can see how we haven't come that far from this video	Mental, Racism	Ethnicity - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/34	5.882
Man, feminists aren't prudes. Leftists in general are some of the kinkiest fuckers out there. And if you've seen a lot of the reactionaries on the right, you'll see how fucking conservative they are about sex despite pretending to be "counter-culture."	Sex	Gender - General	1/41	2.439

he left out all the context. the shirt was made by a FEMALE friend of his who is an illustrator, he wore it for her. he cried apologizing cuz he got a hit piece made on him for a shirt instead of for landing a probe on a comet. the shirt was awesome. the reporter couldnt remember anything else that happened because she only addressed the shirt cuz she didnt pay attention. the real secret about sexism is, it requires you to take out context, and dumb down situations that have explanations, reasons other than the idea to scare women away from stem, which if a shirt could do, makes those women undeserving. so instead of following a narrative where women are lemmings and only react to what men do, maybe we should advocate that each do WHAT THEY WANT without harming the other. this shirt may offend a snowflake but causes no harm at all, and this has to be nerdwriters worst and most disingenuous video. really. honestly. would you rather know more about a situation to judge it? or less? now tell me if this video is good.	Dumb, Sexism, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	15/190	7.895
There is no need for the scientist to make their work area woman friendly. And if the women is pushed out by a shirt then they should not be in the field. You are doing this just to get laid. Women don't like science as much a men. the cultural norms are us. You sucking up. women complain to much and you encourage. Don't loss you dominance. No the oppressed need to get over it or just not make it.	Woman	Gender - General	1/80	1.25
What's the point? so some people don't like he's t-shirt, who cares? everybody hates something/someone... it's not illegal to hate someone, his t-shirt is not illegal... stop being superficial...Beethoven wasn't the nicest person, so what? Jacques-Loius David sentenced to death thousands of people, but he is genius artist, his paintings are now in Louvre... and I can bet 100\$ that Leonardo Da Vinvi was racist ! Being a "bad" person is not illegal, leave those people alone... Stop looking at how person looks or what wearing - the deeds are what matters... did he rape somebody, did he slap a woman , did he stared at woman 's legs and whistled? It's a t-shirt without any depiction of violence, hatred, nazi/religios symbols	Racist, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/120	1.667
Sexism isn't wrong change my mind.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/6	16.667
you sure did piss some white men off.welcome to the black community :)	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/14	7.143

In all of history and today still men were always superior to women in every way other than nurturing children. We know now from decades of research that men and women are about equal in intelligence, and hence the majority of positions of influence, like politics, corporations, government or publications, just to name a few, can be performed equally well by either **gender**. Personality and temperament varies greatly from person to person regardless of **gender**. And yet men are still superior. In our civilization it is not acceptable to promote that view, but that view is part of the mentality, conscious or not, of millions of Americans and Europeans. In less advanced and democratic parts of the world a **woman** is explicitly inferior to a man, her worth ranging from **nothing** to the value of her virginity and family honor. And what's more that is completely natural to deny women equal standing and allow for them to be abused. But here in America try as we may to demonstrate our commitment to **gender** equality, the reality is much different from what we want to believe. Men still call the shots in business, government, technology, positions of power. The women who make it into the ranks of the magnates are indeed admired, for the ironic reason that they are women who have won the privilege to matter as much as the greatest men. By recognizing successful women we empower women and pay due respect to the established social order of male superiority. The women's success is not so much in whatever they have achieved but the fact that their achievements were great enough to prove their equal value to successful men. Why? Why are men assumed naturally superior, more capable, more intelligent, more fit to make decisions, to lead, than women. This is the unspoken truth shared by everybody, even those who fight against it. We all accept that as the norm, even if we want to change it, our mind somehow assumes it the natural assumption about **gender**, wrong though it may be...I have an idea. Men are clearly superior to women in a specific way. And I mean, not an opinion, but a concrete, undisputable superiority over women. Men are faster, stronger, more physically able than women. Sure there are women who can take down a man three times their size or who can outrun 95 of men. But the fastest **woman** will always be much slower than the fastest man, the strongest **woman** will never match up to the strongest men. The most skilled **woman** fighter will lose when up against an equally skilled man. Because no matter how hard or how much she works, the **woman** will never close the gap of physical ability between herself and the man who has done an equal amount of work. This is fact. and we all accept it as fact. And deep down inside, despite all our enlightenment, we value physical superiority like all animals. because in the beginning of our history, it was the only thing that mattered. The strongest person was the most valuable person, in all ways that mattered. And that is thousands of years worth of foundation of the value we ascribe to a human. By this value women are inherently worse than men. Though they may be strong, capable, intelligent, or whatever admirable quality they may have, it's really insignificant when compared to their male counterparts. The barrier was so impermeable that all character traits were subject to it including intellect. Men are superior, because they have always

Gender, Nothing, Queer, Race, Sexism, Transgender, Woman

Age -
Youngsters,
Ethnicity -
General,
Gender -
General,
Sexual
Identity -
General,
Sexual
Identity - Male
homosexuality,
Sexual
Identity -
Transexuality

24/935

2.567

What is this insinuation that women are so impressionable and malleable that they are going to be swept up by any amount of imagery surrounding them? The women that I know think and act for themselves. I resent the suggestion that women are vulnerable to having their decisions formed not in their own heads but by messages shooting past them. If I was a woman I would want to scream it from the rooftops: In this public realm that we share, say anything you fancy, wear any shirt you fancy! I am my own person! I can tolerate the presence of your message without being brainwashed by it!	Woman	Gender - General	1/108	0.926
and it's a dumb fucking ugly shirt.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/7	14.286
"If tHe shIrT ofFeNdeD yoU so Much thAt you dIdn'T Get iN thE fiELd. You arE lOst aNyWay" How original....privilliged men again simplypfying things to an extreme while completely ignoring human psychology or the notion that young people with not much experience in anything look up the media and are influenced. What if he was wearing a shirt with black people with chains? Its just a shirt guys relax	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/69	1.449
Your chick made you do this dude?	Chick	Gender - General	1/7	14.286

From what I know one of the biggest correlations known to sociological research (a heavily liberal/leftist-dominated field, by the way) is the one between how egalitarian a country/culture is and how much men and women tend to differ in interests and career choices (it's 0.7 I think).The more feminist the culture the more male-dominated the male-dominated fields and the more female-dominated the female-dominated fields. Like in Norway, Sweden and such.If more women are needed in STEM fields, for reasons of diversity, why isn't there the same emphasis on getting more men into psychology or veterinary medicine? Or to put it this way: If formerly male-dominated fields like these two became female-dominated after the second wave of feminism, why didn't STEM fields? Or...I don't know...plumbing...Also, the dominance hierarchy of "call-out culture" (gaining prestige b y exposing sexism , racism , etc...) on the internet is associated with growing up with social media.And what it is people are in danger of losing are their jobs. Or careers. Like Lindsay Sheperd for example. Because it's a prestige system that has external costs. Other people, who are not part of this call-out culture, and don't want to be (i.e. anyone born before 1995 :D), pay the price. Also more and more ex-SJWs are coming out with stories and accounts describing an environment of anxiety and depression. So, not even SJWs are actually enjoying being SJWs. They're just conditioned that way. Just like only 3 percent of all teenagers on Instagram & co. are actually liking it, while the vast majority feels anxious during, yet still participates.To me it's not a question about whether or not to let them be, but about how to help them.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/284	0.704
I know this video is rather old , but I wonder if this dated rationale has been adjusted by events and revelations over the past few years..	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/26	3.846
Why the most sexist men i know are also the one most popular between woman (sexually). I highly suspect womans that all what they say to mens not to do they say just to select those who are mentally strong and those who are submissive.	Woman	Gender - General	1/45	2.222

So I had to come back to this Video 4 years later because I remembered how back then I instinctively agreed on everything you said and now realized how it actually restricted my view on the topic.The Problem is that your stance on this isnt even really "feminist".I think the biggest flaw in your argumentation for me is that Women actually not liking Science as much as Men does not contradict it being a cultural norm, it is the result of it being a cultural norm. There are just as much Men as Women/Mothers out there discouraging their Daughters from pursuing a Career in Science just as my Father discourages me from wanting to be a Househusband. The problem barely lies on one Astrophysicist wearing a Shirt with naked Women on it, it lies within the System at large. You mainly seeing an indicator for something misogynistic in his shirt is part of the cultural norm which is less interested in the actual systematic sexism that is actually responsible for Women not entering stem jobs which is happening all the time, and more interested in demonizing specific people as pseudopersonification of sexism because it is more suitable to point at.	Sexism	Gender - General	2/202	0.99
Both genders are objectified, one to more extents in certain aspects and vice versa. I think it comes down to defining what's "sexy" and what's " sexism ." I personally don't think the shirt has any problem but, given "the sensitive topic it can be related to", its controversy is rationalizable. 3rd wave feminism needs to find itself a definition in which it can work towards, or then it's just a mix of complaints, rants, hatred against men, and degrading the value of the word "feminism."	Sexism	Gender - General	1/84	1.19
I value being a true gentleman. I think men who objectify women openly are lowlifes - what you do in your private space is not my concern if you don't hurt anyone. And I don't like SJWs!Not because I feel the threat of losing something I never wanted in the first place, but because they are crazy and they tell lies about what our present power dynamic between men and women - right now- is like. Women are empowered in a way that men are not. SOME examples: Women outperform men in university. Women get better pay (if they are not married and only focus on their job!). Women are less likely to be killed or to be in prison, etc..The image SJW paint of todays oppression by men is just not true anymore.Oh and I don't appreciate intelligent guys like yourself to perpetuate the idea that people who don't like crazy SJWs must have an urge to degrade women for their own pleasure! It's dumb and it is directly out of the far-left's playbook. Your videos are often very thoughtful, this was garbage.	Crazy, Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/187	1.604

It's not dennegrating women to wear the shirt. The shirt actually glorifies the female form, sexy women are appealing to heterosexual males and here he is wearing a shirt full of idealized waifus. It's not mysogynistic.The man clearly likes girls enough to wear them on a shirt made by a female friend of his.There's really no realistic way for me to run into the gay equivalent of what a female scientist would run into in that situation, because most often I'm the only homosexual in the room. However, if I saw someone wearing that equivalent, it wouldn't make me feel like I was present among misandrists so much as I would be enjoying the presence of the entertaining shirt worn by someone who normally wears quite plain work attire. It's not objectifying because sex is quite the oppositte, it's humanizing. A romantic motive in a story makes someone into a red blooded mammal with a real set of motivations. We've known this for long enough that a cheap way to make a character appealing in an otherwise uninspired movie is to add a romantic subplot so that the movie has more mass appeal. Titanic being a very real example of this. So when people get upset about sexualization and call it objectification, it's really not.	Gay, Homosexual, Red, Sex	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	6/216	2.778
Sexism is over used by women these days and turned it into a Joke . Nobody takes sexism seriously anymore , except maybe a few stand up comedians .	Nobody, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/29	10.345
Berates people for assuming women aren't in science because of preference. Automatically assumes that women aren't in science because of misogyny .	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/21	4.762
How come that no one would complain about a the shirt if it contained ripped half naked men? I mean isn't that a unrealistic expectation for young men and an exploitation of the man body.I somewhat agree with your other points and is sexism definitely a problem but using the shirt as a representation for that problem? really?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/59	1.695

<p>The thing to remember about social justice is that it's pleasurable to claim the moral high ground by attacking unconscious actions of others, and saying they are racist or misogynist for, as he said in the video, 'doing nothing at all.' While all you're really doing is pretending that telling other people they are human scum will somehow make you a better person. Is that really the stance you want to take? Why are you so eager to throw shade on any person you can claim to be the slightest bit more woke than? Is it because you feel good when you put other people down? Do you enjoy knowing you can chastise people who are less moral than you? If so, you have something in common with the leaders of religious inquisitions and witch hunts, and you will be satisfied as long as you've got somebody to look down on, no matter how low your own morals actually are.</p>	Common, Misogynist, Nothing, Racist, Witch	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	5/160	3.125
<p>I'm always conflicted with that assumption that it's JUST cultural norms that divide male/female social roles. Some of it is nature. Human male are more prone to evolutionary mutations than human female, so there is more extremes on male Gaussian distribution than on the female one. That reflects in human species behaviour as well: males tend to take more and bigger risks, while females tend to play it safer. STEM field is a high-risk one: one can work hard for decades, and not get any ground-breaking results. I understand that there is gender bias inside the hard sciences communities, and it's something that should be fought, but putting the blame for disproportional number of females in hard sciences SOLELY on mysoginism is criminally stupid.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/124	0.806
<p>One of my classmates, got picked on by a teacher, because of his t shirt, which sexualized a woman...</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/19	5.263

<p>Absolutely terrible take, and here's why1) Listing statistics is all well and good, but unless you can connect them to your point of contention, they're worthless. I completely fail to see the connection between 'Guy wears a shirt' and 'fewer women go into STEM careers'. You can't really lean on 'boys club' mentality either, as studies have shown that fewer women (as a percentage) go into STEM careers in very liberal countries than they do in countries with stronger gender roles2) As clearly demonstrated in the beginning of your video, plenty of women are not offended by the shirt, and plenty of men are. Therefore, acting like this is both completely and solely affecting women is both disingenuous and an insult to the women who are sensible enough to not let something this petty bother them3) Characterising sexism as a power trip is essentially a strawman that allows you to tar anyone that disagrees with you. You offer no evidence that anyone actually thinks this way, only rhetoric. This point is so lacking in substance that i'm amazed you even mentioned it, nevermind trying to spin it as a life lesson to close out the videoTo answer your question, i'm both annoyed that one of the greatest achievements in human history was blighted by a ridiculous overreaction to something barely out of the norm, and threatened that completely innocent behaviour with absolutely no ill intent behind it (no, not even subconscious ill intent) can be spun so dramatically as to stir up a mob of hate against someone who did nothing wrongPS: the shirt was designed and made by a woman, so all the criticism of the shirt is having an exponentially worse effect on women in creative industries than the shirt itself is having on women in STEM. If I made something for my friend that got such a bad reaction from the public that he had to make a tearstained apology over it, I'm not sure I'd ever want to make anything again.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/340	1.176
<p>I'm not mad at the guy wearing the shirt, I'm mad at pile of managers, HR, PR people, that saw that shirt and let him wear it on national television. This is why we have dress codes, so that a single person's need to "express themselves" doesn't overshadow the company's message. Now, nobody can remember what the Rosetta's accomplishment was but everyone remembers the dumb stuff on that guy's shirt. It isn't even a feminist issue - if there were a female engineer on tv wearing a "I drink boy tears" shirt, and she was talking about a breakthrough in technology... guess what we'd be talking about. Her stupid shirt. The problem with society is that as a female engineer, I'd be hesitant to ask someone to talk to him about his shirt. Because I don't want to look like I'm being "hypersensitive" or "too emotional for the workplace". And that's why women don't go into STEM, so they don't have to deal with that brand of BS.</p>	Dumb, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/168	1.19

<p>I am in a stem field of study. I love mathematics and i love doing makeup. For some reason this irks alot of my professors. And this moment i will share is only 1 of a handful i have been through, all along the lines of comments on my look. Currently i am the only women of 3 in a class of 50. This is actually a common theme since i have left basic algebra. Not many woman in higher fields of math. The sexists jokes get thrown around which i could be apathetic about. Sadly im ised to it. Recently i have asked my professor a question regarding differential equations and he stopped me mid sentence and said 'please, just stop, i cannot focus on your question when your wearing SOOOO much makeup.' I was rather speachless. So i just kept my head down the whole class. When i spoke to him about it after class as to why he felt the need to say something like that in an entire room of men he simply said ' you do not potray the image that the STEM division of this college. Tone down the look and maybe well talk. Then he jokingly said 'or grow a penis' i just laughed because i didnt know what else to do. This is a man who ran the STEM department at my college and could have a very big impact on my future. All i do now is keep my head down and kill it on exam days. Im working harder than i ever have to prove him wrong. Sadly i shouldnt have to do that, but i will never let a man in the stem field bring me down.</p>	Common, Woman	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/288	0.694
<p>Pictures of sexy women are not sexist and do not have misogynistic undertones, guy. Do you have a problem with pictures of good-looking men and t shirts with guys with abs on them? And, you know, lesbians and bisexuals, who you claim to love, like pictures of women too.You are speaking total nonsense, man. It's hard to address every completely wrong thing you said in this video. Women are not as interested in science as men. This is a fact and there's nothing wrong with it. And there's nothing wrong with men and women following the examples set by previous men and women, respectively, IF THEY WANT TO.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	2/109	1.835

<p>While I think there are plenty of examples of damaging sexist viewpoints, I don't believe this shirt is one of them. If anything, I think getting upset over this shirt detracts from commenting on the actual issues that contribute to the more damaging kind of sexism.</p> <p>Everything we see is continually becoming molded by algorithms or people who know how to control the algorithms. This seems like a red herring to stop us from examining the issues of far greater importance. The shirt is the equivalent of showing up for work on casual Friday with a swear word stamped across your chest. I wouldn't want to be that guy, but it wouldn't be personally upsetting or stay with me longer than any other water cooler story. I've had a man stick my hand down his pants in a grocery store, been groped on the bus, been denied a promotion due to not wearing makeup. I could care less about the shirt.</p>	Red, Sexism	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General	3/161	1.863
<p>Sexy is not inherently sexist. The sexism piece comes in when one gender is far more often portrayed as being sexy, and that their worth is, in part, derived, from their physicality and sexuality. So, women are far more likely to not only be portrayed as sexual, almost ubiquitously, but also more likely have their sexuality emphasized as important over other important features (one example is how rare leading roles in media are for non-physically attractive women, when the reverse is not true of men). Another example, it is rare to see a camera linger and move over a man's body as if that body was being viewed through the eyes of someone sexually attracted to the man's body.</p> <p>There may be reasons this happens, but those reasons do not change that this contributes to a cultural understanding of women's bodies and who is "sexual." This actually is unfair to both men and women . Men often complain about having a LACK of sexual presence, never being complimented, never being pursued, as opposed to always being the pursuer. All of this, in part, relates to how men and women are portrayed sexually, and disadvantages that come from being either reduced to one's sexuality, or conversely, having one's sexuality ignored entirely. The sexism at work piece comes in when employers, co-workers, interviewees, also start looking at women through this lens, as sexual beings first instead of fully human, and we know sexism does show up in this way, from sexual harassment onward.</p>	Gender, Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	9/251	3.586

<p>Sonnera Well I've already replied to this so I'll just take on the new stuff."The sexism at work piece comes in when employers, co-workers, interviewees, also start looking at women through this lens, as sexual beings first instead of fully human, and we know sexism does show up in this way, from sexual harassment onward."Ohh I see now. So here is the logical thinking I'm seeing...Sexualization » Viewing women's bodies as sexual » seeing women as sexual being first instead of fully human » sexism » sexual harassment or even worse.Do I have the logical flow of events correct or are there some corrections needed?</p>	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	8/109	7.339
<p>i am a girl and am annoyed by feminists and sjws , am i sexist then, am i threatened by my own gender? let's be real feminists are annoying bitches, do we really need equality in everything? why do we need the statistics to be always 50/50? yes, sexism once existed and oppressed women but it doesn't exist now, at least not in western countries.i think that the idea of that a shirt could make a girl thinks she is worthless and that she can't study stem subjects is just stupid,girls have the right to do whatever they want, so why are encouraging them to do stem more than other subjects, what good will it do. we should be happy as long as they have the choice .</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/130	1.538
<p>You talk about taking pleasure from your hierarchy yet fail to include those who engage in moral righteousness for the exact same reasons (one of those facts you love so much). You are as disingenuous as those who claim offence. Societies are not about hierarchies of power and systems of oppression no matter how much Foucault you read. You are simply engaging with the narratives that you have been taught – nothing else. And if what we see on a shirt can effect young girls (their minds apparently being so soft) then what kind of effect do you imagine the faux outrage of people so mean-spirited in their nature that they have more in common with the religious nuts of the '80s than anyone who grasps even the most basic language of liberalism might produce on a population that is rapidly growing bored of your tiresome victim/oppressor narratives? Frankly, it made me wanna go out and buy an offensive shirt. But is the shirt merely a social construct? That's the real question.</p>	Common, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Social Class - Working class	2/172	1.163
<p>Oh fuck that!Women don't wanna into engineering and it's men's fault... yup.. go fuck yourselves.Maybe they should stop majoring in gender studies and arts and focus on something that leads to a career they're interested in...That shirt is just a bullshit excuse women come up with to justify why even though they can do anything a man can... they're not doing it.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/65	1.538

facts and statistics women earn 60 of degrees but hold less than 25 of stem jobs...u missed the part underneath were they earn considerably higher then stem premiums for males..."they are less likely to be written about and cited" (fact... because theres less of them in that field, common sense) claiming most women dont like science when they would get paid more is a fair assumption another fair assumption is lack of qualifications or someone was more qualified... one mans stupid fashion choice is another mans stupid opinion... bigotry, discrimination and intolerance... sounds alot like a guy wearing a 90s style art shirt with sexy badasses on it getting hated on by the same people who walk threw streets with their tits out saying our body our choice, hmm i wonder what all the people upset over a coloured piece of fabric were afraid of losing (fun fact it wasnt jobs they werent in) and final thoughts this comments 4 years late and the real secret of sexism EVERYONES sexist.. including this guy	Common, Sexism, coloured	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/173	1.734
I like this channels videos on cinema, but this SJW stance is just odd. He provides an explanation for what he calls sexism and claims it's also the problem?? And somehow a shirt is representing sexism ?? Sjlw galore, men express their dominance EVERYWHERE including with other MEN. It's not repressive it Darwinian Naturalism!	Sexism	Gender - General	2/53	3.774

<p>I'm seeing a lot of horrendously illogical takes that try to reverse things and call this video sexist for saying that women are oh so fragile and need to be protected from a shirt. "It's just a shirt" shows a lack of understanding of the power of symbolic meaning. That shirt presents women as sexual objects, and sexual objectification of women is immensely more prevalent than that of men. There's nothing wrong with sexiness by itself, but over time our culture has started to focus so heavily on the sexiness of women and so little on anything else women have to offer (case in point the existence of the Bechdel Test) that women start to feel like the message they're getting is that only their sexiness matters. This is the root of self-esteem issues, because culture is telling them that if their appearance isn't up to par, nothing else is going to redeem them or make them worthy of love. This shirt is another of those thousands of images that reinforce this same theme. This is something that only women have to put up with.</p> <p>It's not a situation where women are being coddled for something that any person should have the grit to put up with; it's a situation where men don't have any issue like this at all, and it's a disadvantage that only women face. That's where the sexism is, in the fact that men aren't made to obsess over their appearances to nearly the same degree. It's not that we're trying to protect women from things that men deal with on a daily basis without breaking a sweat; it's that there's a real aspect of this that alienates women and just women. Not all women, sure. But I do think the women who speak out like "this is fine, I don't know what everyone's getting so worked up about" aren't sensitive to the fact that there really are women that are upset and turned off certain sub-cultures and communities by things like this. Having the grit to work through a less-than-ideal workplace environment because it's the career you feel passionate about is admirable, but no woman should have to work through issues like that in the first place. Trying to remove barriers like that is still fighting sexism.</p>	Nothing, Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	7/382	1.832
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>goateeguy11221122 Ok. So I watched the video again and nope. Opinion is the same. Exactly how I argued it months ago with I guess a few tweaks. And now to your response... 'It's just a shirt' shows a lack of understanding of the power of symbolic meaning.'Looks like I'll be introducing the concept of symbolic interpretation.'That shirt presents women as sexual objects, and sexual objectification of women is immensely more prevalent than that of men.'Here's where that symbolic interpretation(might be a thing not sure) comes in to play. See the problem with this argument is you are asserting your interpretation of the meaning of the shirt. If you're going to use the argument of symbolic meaning you have to give way to interpretation and that what you think the shirt means might not be what it means, because other people are going to see it differently. Also, I have to say demand and supply theory applies here. It's not a suprise to anyone that objective sexuality is more focused on women than in men'There's nothing wrong with sexiness by itself, but over time our culture has started to focus so heavily on the sexiness of women...'I'm going to need to stop your quote right here just to interject something. What do you think the reason is culture decided to focus more on the sexiness of women. What exactly do you think caused this shift? '...and so little on anything else women have to offer (case in point the existence of the Bechdel Test)...'What exactly do you mean by so little? Are we talking percentages? Any numbers? In comparison so say, before all the sexiness came in to the scene or in comparison to something else and if so, data? Also, the Brchdel Test is a dumb test. It has no bearing on the quality of a movie or its characters(yes including the women characters)...that women start to feel like the message they're getting is that only their sexiness matters.'Maybe you, but this just sounds like more conjecture conclusions. Yes women are feeling more and more that only their sexiness matters that's why they make up 60percent of college graduates.'This is the root of self-esteem issues, because culture is telling them that if their appearance isn't up to par, nothing else is going to redeem them or make them worthy of love.'Is this the argument for man's shirt harms women? listen. What exactly do you mean by culture is telling you x. Through what medium is culture saying x? If it's entertainment, don't watch it. If it's ads, ignore them. If it's people mocking you then it depends(bullying would be more get authority figures involved, but something like person x doesnt like you because xxx features... Is that a problem needing fixing? Do we need to re-educate people to like what they don't like?) I don't see a solution underneath the complaining. The only solution I'm hearing implied here is that we need to take a conservative approach to sexuality to stop this(and that sounds insane). In fact, your cranking up what some people would call a tendency to the extreme to make a point. But, besides that, in a lot of ways culture isn't saying this stuff. It sounds more like you're living in the 1980's. 'This is something that only women have to put up with.'Ok. This is just a false statement. A falsism if I've ever seen one.'It's not a situation where women are being coddled for something that any person should have the grit to put up with; it's a situation where men don't</p>	<p>Dumb, Nothing, Sexism, Sexual, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>10/1090</p>	<p>0.917</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	--------------

before i even watched the video i saw sexism in the title and knew snowflake rightwingers would get triggered and spam that dislike button	Sexism, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General	2/24	8.333
I just think anyone would find a piece of clothe sexist or use it to define you is pretty dumb	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/20	5.0
For me this had nothing to do with how women perceive themselves. It had more to do with how men perceive women as little more than objects of sexual desire. The over sexualization of the human body is definitely an issue in our society. So honestly if this was a shirt of fully naked women posed in artful ways it wouldn't be a problem to me. The problem is that men have the luxury of not being considered as sexual beings and are therefore taken more seriously. So for a man to wear a shirt that blatantly sexualizes women is a slap in the face to our desire to be taken seriously as human beings.	Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/115	2.609
Ayenate' Lawson Yeah okay. But I'm of the mindset that sexualization isn't a bad thing. So almost nothing you say in your comment makes sense in my head. Going to be blunt here, out of all the feminist arguments, this objectification argument really either A. Needs to be defined properly or B. Die in a ditch.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/56	1.786
You're in ability to understand basic concepts doesn't make you right. Americans especially oversexualize the human body. In other countries a naked person isn't necessarily seen as something sexual , ex. A nudity at baths or beaches in Europe. The reason this is bad is because when people see others in some state of undress there mind immediately goes to something sexual . That is why in America breastfeeding is sexualized. And male and female nipples have different rules for public viewing. And even a 4 year old can't go without a shirt without there being a fuss. It paints a disgusting target on women because it treats them as little more than objects for sexual pleasure. And to disrobe even partially is to make yourself a likely victim. Sex and sexuality are good things, yes. But they are not all that women are capable of and not what they should be reduced to. When women are oversexualized and seen as objects they can be treated as victims.	Old, Sex, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	5/166	3.012

<p>Ayenate' LawsonHello, I'm back. And I've been doing some reading. Mainly here... [LINK] So, now to your thing..."You're in ability to understand basic concepts doesn't make you right."Here's where you are wrong. Because you see sexualization isn't a 'basic concept'. Sexualization is a term interpreted in many ways(though it's usually negative). The standards on how it's defined change a lot and have a lot of criteria if you're trying to be a bit nuanced about the subject. Am I right? Eh, I don't know. But with this link I've at least found a more nuanced definition.As for your other stuff it's kind of complicated. Because from what I read the study I linked the 'problem' the authors of that study seem to have is with media. "In other countries a naked person isn't necessarily seen as something sexual, ex. A nudity at baths or beaches in Europe. The reason this is bad is because when people see others in some state of undress there mind immediately goes to something sexual. That is why in America breastfeeding is sexualized. And male and female nipples have different rules for public viewing. And even a 4 year old can't go without a shirt without there being a fuss. It paints a disgusting target on women because it treats them as little more than objects for sexual pleasure. And to disrobe even partially is to make yourself a likely victim."The way you paint it, it kind of sounds like a less tame version or sharia like culture. But the Apa paper I read comes to a more different situation. The problem for them seems to be that our culture treats sexuality too loosely and attempt to instill adult like themes of sexualization into children. To many people treating sexuality as important as you say but not by the same reasoning. For example, I'm not sure how the authors of that study would treat the idea of women going out without shirts considering for them that could be sexualization and promoting child pornography."But they are not all that women are capable of and not what they should be reduced to"Well the study also offered some solutions to our problem including but not limited to...Education programs in schools.Religion and other ethical institutions teaching kids.Teaching parents about the implications of media messaging.Increased athletic programs.Now I don't Imagine any of these 'solutions' are going to make a 4 year old girl without a shirt look like 'not a problem' but I'm sure this will do some kind of good maybe. Though honestly I'm not really much of a fan of the work that's being said about APA and also I do agree with you that a lot of the things that we treat in our culture as big deals when it comes to female sexuality is a bit ridiculous, but a lot of that has more to do with prudishness than sexualization.</p>	Old, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	5/496	1.008
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	----------------------------------	-------	-------

Sexualized women is not indictive of misogyny . Kim Kardashian doesn't damage women's image. This axiom that you play off of that feminine sexuality is bad just because men like it shows how very damaged our culture is.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/37	2.703
>Statisticswomen have to decide to enter stem. they don't. so there's less women in stem.women comprise 60 of post secondary grads. but nobody heralds that as a success	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/30	3.333
If a shirt is all you need to give up science, I think you should find another field to go into. besides there are plenty of other fields dominated by women and no one worries about sexism . How about you just do the job you want?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/46	2.174
I am a woman of science and I am having a blast. Women don't know this. I was never encouraged to pursue engineering growing up, but I did. Best decision i've ever made. Any women out here who are even remotely considering a career in Physics or Maths, I strongly reccommend. People talk, Let's show them what we are made of, shall we?	Woman	Gender - General	1/63	1.587
Women arent in stem because they dont want to join stem, just because people are sluts, doesnt mean that men are forcing them to do that. The idea that there is a patriarchy , is just trying to find a way to blame men for you lazyness.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/46	2.174
I agree 100 that racism , sexism , classism , political affiliation...ism?, discrimination of any kind is pleasurable. I feel it in myself. I have gone through many growth spurts and pains while evaluating it and trying to move through it. I also acknowledge another pleasure: the pleasure of feeling discriminated against. This is something that I feel is growing in popularity and has grown in myself as I have tried to move through my own prejudices. It's inevitable and justifiable in many circumstances. However, I feel we need to look at both sides of this topic in society and inside ourselves to truly understand each other and come together a little more. We, as humans, will never get along completely. But, I feel a human and another human can learn to.	Classism, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Social Class - General	3/129	2.326
I bet those peoples who criticized the shirt never see any shirt with over 50 anime sexual ahegao faces in it	Sexual	Gender - General	1/21	4.762

People (including some further down this feed) try to end discussions by declaring that women today are experiencing greater equality than in the past or by demanding to be shown an example of a right that men have that women don't. It's not productive to stop the discussion once we reach the end of easily quantifiable things like "can women vote." The discussion is more nuanced now than it was 100 years ago, because the problems that face today's women can't necessarily be fixed by introducing legislation. That doesn't mean they don't exist. It's also more difficult to quantify today's inequalities because not all women respond to the same issues in the same way, and not all men perpetuate these problems (and men are, obviously, not the sole perpetrators of harm or stereotype against women). Asks like "Let us vote" has been replaced with asks like "Don't objectify us," which are harder to explain and don't change as visibly, since they're not binary. Something as innocuous as this shirt presents a problems for not all, but a good number of women who are treated poorly in the workplace by not all, but SOME men, and wearing such a thing in an academic or professional setting makes those women remember the current state of things: that they are generally less well-regarded in STEM (I was a software engineer at Google when the Damore manifesto leaked; that didn't feel awesome), and that their gender faces a greater likelihood of experiencing sexual violence at the hand of SOME, NOT ALL men who are influenced IN PART by media that portrays women as status symbols valued for their aesthetics to be "worn" by men.	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	4/280	1.429
Shirt is a symptom and sexism is the disease.1. Was it ok for feminists to make a fuss around it? Probably not2. Does the shirt show an underlying issue of our society? Definitely yes.3. Will creating this much of a discourse solve the problem? Certainly not, it will make men take feminists less seriously because "chill, it's just a shirt."4. How do we solve the problem then? Teach men, not yell at them with an "all men are dirt!" attitude.5. Will my solution successfully deal with the problem? Not until companies and industries stop making money off of it.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/104	0.962
Smileyface Lessthanthree You know when I first read this, my initial thought was 'I'm about to tear this response apart'. But this would be more fun and maybe lead to some I'd say more tangible and less nebulous 'solutions' to our cultural sexism (oh and by the way I'm on the side of I think NerdWriter is mostly full of garbage on this one so fair warning if you want to drop out of discussion)So, I have a problem with this statement: 'Depends on the man, most of them need to know that women are human beings as well.'- Because it brings up an interesting question. Do you think most men think of women as human beings?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/118	0.847

jojo boko Honestly, my first response to your reply was "This fella is definitely a troll" and now we're here. Sorry if this reply sounds stupid, I'm kinda tired rn.Do you think most men think of women as human beings?I will be answering for most men, so "not all men" doesn't apply here. Men are aware of women as humans, better than ever before (just look at all the progress made from 19th century). They're just not considered as human as other men. The shirt discourse points to one of these problems: 99 of things women do is sexualized. Like, to the point of not being able to bend over without feeling awkward (this is a lame example but I'm sure you've seen more). Men also have a hard time accepting women who don't fit a certain stereotype. If a woman doesn't fit the long hair stereotype, then she must be pushed into the lesbian stereotype (ever heard the good old "she's doing it for attention!"). Women are never encouraged to do anything that isn't considered feminine (I think there's a YouTube video about boy vs girl toys), so each girl that doesn't fit this stereotype has to face the consequences. It's not just "you're weird haha" it's more like "how dare you? You aren't supposed to be like that." (I hope you got it)Simply put, men do think women are human, but there are still some double standards present in society. I know that some things will always be like that (women being considered weaker, because biology and not being able to take off their shirt in public), but I also know that some things just aren't ok (women getting assaulted by co-workers, sexualized by the media, catcalled in public etc.)I hope you got my point, this took me a while to write.	Lame, Lesbian, Old, Weird, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	5/309	1.618
Smileyface LessThanThree Well I guess I'll take it easy since you were tired writing this."I hope you got my point, this took me a while to write."Pretty sure I get your point. In regards to the things that just aren't ok I agree with the first thing and for the most part the third thing you said(first one being a crime, and the third one usually being kinda weird) but the second one is just weird . I don't know if you were tired and mis-worded or if that's what you're actually saying but that point sounds weird .There is the other stuff you said, but I'm not sure if a bunch of it is just hyperbole, you were tired, or if what you wrote is what you mean. So yeah, that point about 'sexualization by the media is not ok' I disagree with.	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/145	2.069
Now you can't wear a weird shirt without offending anyone? Americans are ruining the world!	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/15	6.667

People crying over a shirt annoys me because it takes away my misogynist power and that feels bad? How exactly does that make sense? Also, what's wrong with the female form? If you're angry that someone is wearing a shirt glorifying the female body, how are you less sexist than me? For the record it's an ugly shirt, but my point still stands.	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/63	1.587
Who would win?A scientific landmark or landing a man made probe onto a moving comet, giving us the ability to better understand our universeShirt has woman with less clothes so I cry	Woman	Gender - General	1/34	2.941
I agree with you 100 there's a lot of men that get pleasure from degrading woman so they don't want us to take that away. But some feminists jump on everyone it's too much. Both are wrong but I understand the female perspective more in an unbiased way. If you're not a woman you wouldn't know how much we get discriminated against but we can't play the victim. In order for us to improve we need to work hard and support other women.	Woman	Gender - General	2/83	2.41
My takeaway is that misogynists are frog lickens.	Frog	Nationality - French	1/8	12.5
Oh my gawd this thumbnail is hella sexist, don't you know it like discourges women and stuff, like not me but like I'm sure it's offensive and stuff I'm like taking down your video!(No I'm not) I was just making a point, basically if we try to make everything 100 clean, gender-neutral... well for one Freud says we can't do that, that there will always be some kind of sexual tension, lest we become sexless slaves to aliens or something. And what about all the culture we already have? Are we going to burn the books? And also... is this what we should strive for? To create a world where no-one can make jokes about no-one else, where we will only ever depict rocks and dirt in art, or produce overly cheesy "you go girl" type stuff (though at this rate, even that is now sexist... somehow). I'd much rather live with the colour and the life of today, where people can accept the idea that there is no such thing as absolute perfection, and one man's (sorry, one non-binary non-descript biological organism's that does not bear any identificative traits <— see how annoying this can be?) heaven is another [REDACTED]'s hell, and, ideally, everyone (or everyone that matters, at least) will be smart enough to accept and embrace diversity and freedom over their comfort zone.	Cheesy, Sexual	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/227	0.881
Why did u only talk about stem specifically? Found that kinda weird but ur point was great	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/17	5.882

This is fucking stupid it's just a shirt who gives a shit, also it's not sexism that stops women from entering the STEM it just seems like it's not as interesting to women for them to go in the field.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/40	2.5
I do feel threatened, yes. I think SJWs are false prophets, preaching a new world order in which emotional sensibilities are more important than reason and logic. You're spot on when you talk about pleasure. But are you free from that fallacy yourself? It must feel so great for you and the Tumblr crowd to assume, that everyone who do not agree with you are either not capable of thinking for themselves or misogynist . There is a self-righteous masturbation of the ego sort of quality to this kind of thinking. What is really happening, is that you and your social justice warrior friends are corrupting online discourses with your offense mob mentality. You're not out to debate anything, you want to preach. I am going to wager that you don't have any experience with sexism in STEM, nor do you know a lot about Matt Taylor and his team. You didn't try to see it from his perspective or learn the fact that this awesome shirt was given to him by his best (female) friend. You were too busy dragging him through the street screaming 'Shame'. And for what? Because a colorful sci-fi shirt could maybe-sorta-kinda be an example of sexism in STEM? Do you honestly propose that any little girl saw that shirt and thought 'I was going to be a scientist, but...'So yes, I do fear tinfoil arguments like these and the corruption of academia that gender studies and their merry army of offense tourists are proposing, and I find it troubling that you cannot see that the road you're pointing towards is not leading to a better place, all your good intentions aside. I see more and more that people don't want to use the Internet as a debate platform, because they fear these witch hunts from those who have a strong ideology, are violently suppressing opposite views, and have an enemy that they consider lesser and want to cleanse from the Internet.	Gender, Misogynist, Sexism, Witch	Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General	5/327	1.529
ThatOneAsianBroChick Well I was trying to point out that I really don't think anybody was saying that women are gonna get deterred from pursuing a career in STEM because of a shirt; on the contrary, people were saying how seemingly insignificant things like this shirt represent and perpetuate the existing sexism in STEM, sexism that's so interwoven in our culture that people treat it as the norm and see nothing wrong with it. But maybe I misread your comment somehow, so I'm sorry if I did that.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/87	3.448
When grown, educated men and women read and rate papers written with scrambled and/or reversed names , which indicate the gender of the writers,both groups rank the erroneously male-authored pieces : Higher.Great Video.	Gender	Gender - General	1/35	2.857

Nobody's excluding women from anything. STEM is open to girls and women, there are many groups of girls who study robotics and even do bot battles. The reason women only comprise 25 of STEM studies is all about choice. Many choose gender studies, others will go to do other gynocenteic fields like nursing, which is totally fine by me. I personally don't care what percent of nurses are women, just as long as they get the job done right. My point being not every woman will want to go because of that stereotype perpetuated by feminists that STEM is a quote-un-quote "boys club." Or the stereotype of the misogynist nerds who act like typical online players who always make kitchen jokes. That's what unfortunately what gender studies and third-wave feminism teaches or young girls, they're held back by a glass ceiling that, only in theory, exists. Preconceived notions about STEM that aren't exactly true. We have to stop treating girls and women with kid gloves, don't force quotas, lower standards to allow more women in for the sake of being progressive. It's not progressive, it's REGRESSIVE. It should be the best and brightest minds and those who want to change the world. Because, damn straight, they will	Gender, Misogynist, Woman	Gender - General	4/207	1.932
as someone who is in stem i am always annoyed when people attribute the lack of women in stem to misogyny or some bullshit like that...in my expiriece woman are more encouraged to go into the stem fieldsthey are also twice as likely to get hired than menbut women and men have differend interest (on average) and we should exept that	Woman	Gender - General	1/64	1.562
Slut walk means nothing at this point.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/7	14.286
Glad I could read the comments and be reminded of just how important and necessary feminism is in the face of millions of angry, uneducated men who have no idea what they are talking about. <3 Truly, it fuels my hate-fire. Keep doing you, random misogynist trolls and 4chan incels. :D	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/51	1.961
Mixed ReadsThere are many feminists who are just as dumb as their male counterparts! The difference is just dick and pussy . The thoughts are essentialy the same...	Dumb, pussy	Nationality - Chinese, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/28	7.143

It's a little odd to me that the video has 30k likes (as of the time I'm writing this) and only 9k dislikes, but nearly every comment is the same rehashed anti-sj/antifeminist talking point ("what if the roles were reversed and it was a woman with naked men on her shirt?" or "if one shirt dissuades someone from choosing a particular career then obviously they didn't really care about that subject in the first place!" which all amount to "I don't have any concept of how social power operates outside of a vulgar methodologically-individualist lens").	Woman	Gender - General	1/95	1.053
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	------------------	------	-------

<p>That’s just the problem though: he was crucified. Like everyone who does anything in the view of the internet is. Small minded people with nothing better to do than shit on other people make mountains out of molehills and ruin other people’s lives. You didn’t used to meet these people: they are the brother of your friend that no one has seen in five years, and the sister of the guy who fixes your drainpipe that he thinks might be selling crack. /ignore, and move on with your life. Getting worked up over their opinion is not worth your time and effort. The problem is that girls are told from Kindergarten, if not earlier by their parents, that math and science are not for them. They are steered away from these subjects early on. Math and science teachers, often the first male teachers they encounter, do little to make the subjects interesting to them. Vapid books written to appeal to girls whose idea of a good read is Seventeen Magazine do even more to push potential female scientists away. Those aren’t the girls who are going to become scientists anyway, it’s the ones who find the magazines ridiculous. When a girl shows a talent for languages she isn’t directed towards programming, but instead towards French lessons. Not that I am saying my French classes were a waste of time, but it would have been nice to know that programming languages were an option when I was nine instead of finding out about them in my 20s. To make matters worse, College usually sours women (and this is definitely true for me as well) on the idea of a career in Academia.</p> <p>The petty bickering, the harassment, the long hours and low salaries, the near-impossibility of landing a tenured position: most women just don’t want to deal with it. There are plenty of jobs for a woman with a scientific degree outside of academia, and the barriers for entry there are lower. As biology, chemistry, physics and computer science become ever larger parts of the regular economy: Academia will need to change or struggle to attract anyone. It’s primarily this which causes the statistics that you cited. Women may not be working in the field that they studied in college: that doesn’t mean they got a shit job. Since they work outside of universities, they also don’t publish as frequently or at all. Finally: the usual definition of STEM fields is inherently biased. While they include the traditionally male-dominated field of video game development-despite the fact obvious to any gamer that at least half of video game devs are artists, not engineers-they do not include the traditionally female dominated fields of nursing and social work. These fields are equally quantitative, requiring a strong background in science. If you want to say that you are advocating for female scientists, you cannot just conveniently forget to count scientists when they happen to be mostly female.</p>	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/492	0.407
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

Academia is very competitive. If a woman gives up on her dream of becoming a scientist because she saw an offensive shirt on the internet, she never had the determination required to succeed in academia in the first place. So nothing's lost here really.	Woman	Gender - General	1/44	2.273
In response to the suggestion that the underrepresentation of women in STEM reflects the fact that women are less interest in science, you say "maybe [these] assumptions are following from cultural norms so invisible they seem like insights about gender ." This isn't an argument. The suggestion that what seems self-evident might actually be a reflection of pervasive bias applies with equal force to your view that the underrepresentation of women in STEM reflects institutionalized sex discrimination. In other words—you didn't SHOW that women like science less; you side-stepped the argument entirely.Since you like science, you know that valid hypotheses must be falsifiable. But if you're going to deny the existence of meaningful sex difference and assume, instead, that any gender disparities are the result of sexism in the ether—is your hypothesis falsifiable? What would it take to prove to you that this ISN'T sexism ?	Gender, Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	6/145	4.138
'It's pleasurable to assert your dominance within a hierarchy you create.' Two thoughts :1) The hierarchy that he was dominating was Astrophysics and he and his team put a lot of work into their accomplishment only for a Rage Mob spoil the genuine pleasure of his accomplishment based on nothing more than the shirt he was wearing at an impromptu live broadcast. 2) I also saw much pleasure being derived from those who attacked Matt taylor. They locked him into the victim hierarchy they've created with him as a villain and some vague group of women somewhere as his victims and themselves as the heroes bringing the "Bad Man" to justice as they call it.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/116	0.862

<p>this whole controversy is stupid, feminism should be against Sharia law oppressing women in islamic countries, not harras a guy for wearing a stupid shirt because it somehow "offends women". it really annoys me to see these worthless mouth-breathers, playing "who's the most morally richeous" at the expense of others, while they won't do jackshit to actually HELP someone.i don't have anything against you, but this video was pretty dumb, the people who got angry at that shirt didn't care about women being opressed, SJWs and such only care about feeling "superior", they won't do jackshit to make the world a better place, because that actually requires dedication and *EFFORT*, but screaming "MISOGENY!" on the internet doesn't require anything and it makes them feel good for a while, it's like giving money to a homeless guy that will waste your money to aquire alchool, drugs or whatever he's addicted to, but it was quick, effortless and it made you feel better about yourself, cause at the end that's what only matters, SJWs don't want to actually change anything, (except some lunatics) they WANT to be the underdog, because it makes them look like underwhelmed heroes, if they get apologies for whatever bullshit they are freaking out about they won't accept it, an if you give them what they want they'll just find another stupid thing to complain about, so don't waste your time making them happy, because they DON'T WANT TO.</p>	Dumb, Underdog	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Destitute	2/242	0.826
<p>Hey goofballs, if you really want to fight against feminism, you're better off keeping your mouths shut because most of the comments here do nothing but literally prove the point of the video.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/33	3.03
<p>From what I can tell those who are perpetually offended are asserting their dominance in the victim hierarchy (that they created) that our society runs on these days. By calling out someone for being morally insufficient in their eyes they get to feel morally superior. All the while doing nothing that's actual note worthy. The creation of this victim hierarchy allows those who lose in every other dominance hierarchy to actually win at something, which is doing nothing and complaining about others. So by attacking those who called out the shirt as sexist you are impinging on their pleasure in actuality. Just my new observation of the whole event after you re framed it for me NerdWriter1.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	2/117	1.709
<p>People need to be honest: girls are dissuaded from math and science from elementary school. If you happen to be exceptional, that's okay. But there is not as much of a support starting back in school. And if you are black or another POC, it's even less. You have to fight against that, but the problem is the young and impressionable are feeling and being dejected.</p>	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/66	1.515

HB "People need to be honest"Looks like it's time for me to get honest."girls are dissuaded from math and science from elementary school."Interesting hypothesis. I'll look into it. "If you happen to be exceptional, that's okay. But there is not as much of a support starting back in school. And if you are black or another POC, it's even less. You have to fight against that, but the problem is the young and impressionable are feeling and being dejected."It seems like the rest of this argument relies on that first claim. And you didn't link to anything so it seems like I'm going to have to find some.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/112	0.893
Wtf racism and sexism feels good why would you say that	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/11	18.182

<p>1. If a woman can dress like the women on his t-shirt and not be slut-shamed, then a man can wear a shirt with women on it and not be called a misogynist. The human body should not be threatening. Remember?2. The women were not depicted negatively. They actually looked powerful and strong. I mean, they were wielding weapons, which are associated with men and male-dominated fields. They also were not being abused or harmed by a man (or anyone else). So what was the sexist message in the shirt? Their bodies? I thought being offended by a woman's body was...sexist. So the women are scantily clothed. So what? I guess the characters are edgy or something.</p> <p>Women can dress however they want. That's been established. But when a man admires the female body enough to buy a shirt with one on it, it's immoral? Okay.3. The shirt has nothing to do with the stem field. ANY man or woman could have worn that shirt. It just happened to be worn by a science guy.4. Many women avoid stem because it's not convenient enough to juggle with our other roles in life. It's not that deep. Everybody knows that child-rearing ends up falling on the women more than the men, while providing usually falls on the men more than the women. Stem degrees are time-consuming and expensive. They pay off over time, but they remain demanding. Not all women will be able to manage a family and demanding careers. It's just not realistic. But that's okay. To be honest, these constant scandals are actually putting more pressure on women to be in stem when we don't like it, not the opposite.5. I think the offense surrounding women's bodies comes from depictions of violence against them, sexual and nonsexual. This sometimes triggers women when we see an exposed female body in media. It's not just the body that bothers us. It's the primal fear of what we have been socialized to expect when our bodies are exposed to men. Understandably, this makes us uncomfortable. But that speaks to a bigger issue. This was a conversation we should have had with ourselves first, not men.6. Kim Kardashian has done nothing wrong. Leave her out of this.</p>	Misogynist, Nothing, Sexual, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/379	1.583
<p>I disagree about the kim pictures. I see it as a woman taking control of her body and sexuality by doing what she wants when she wants.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/27	3.704

<p>I would first like to say that I like your videos, I think that you are a very intelligent and articulate guy, otherwise I would not feel inclined to make this drawn out message you'll probably never see. "What is it that I am in danger of losing?"Well apparently my ability to wear a goofy shirt in public with out being crucified by the media as a sexist.I have a question, if this man's shirt is bad because it will scare girls away from stem because it reinforces the idea that stem is a boys club then is it not arguably true that the people truly perpetuating the idea that stem is a boys club are people like you, nerd writer, who continue to make that point? I'm not even saying that you are incorrect in pointing out that there is a boys club in stem. However imagine you were a smart, if impressionable, young girl interested in science. However, every time you bring it up every one makes sure to tell you that stem is a toxic, hyper masculine boys club and you'll have you work cut out for you if you make that your career, fighting an uphill battle against a prejudiced and patriarchal system. Would you feel discouraged?</p> <p>Perhaps discouraged enough that you might much rather get a degree in literature or philosophy, which you also very much enjoy and which are apparently less of a pain in the ass for women to make a mark in? I would not blame you for that decision. Now what if you are a girl who is not discouraged by the notion of an uphill battle against tall odds, the kind of battle that multiple cultural figures like the nerd writer here have convinced you that you will most certainly encounter, will you likely be discouraged by a scientists goofy shirt because it has sexy women on it? I don't think you will.If you were, I might feel inclined to call into question your commitment to the pursuit of science as a career in the first place. The point of what I am staying is that, even assuming that science is a patriarchal boys club, if you are concerned about who might be discouraging girls from pursuing science you should look into the mirror. Frankly, I think that if a girl is young enough to be discouraged by this man's shirt she will only be so after someone like you has explained to her that it is something that she should find discouraging. Otherwise she might not have even noticed the shirt, being too distracted by the amazing scientific achievement taking place before her eyes.I have a few more questions for any one willing to answer. Should women, by majority opinion (or most vocal opinion as the case may be) be able to dictate the way a man is allowed to dress in public for the sake of their own personal comfort?If so, should men be allowed the same control over the way women dress, like they had back in the day? As I recall it was one of the things women found very oppressive about olden times and it was considered progress when women were allowed to express themselves in whatever way they saw fit. So, if a female scientist were presenting her project on TV wearing a fairly tight, brightly colored, low cut shirt and bold red lip stick and was lambasted by men across the media because those sexual displays were unseemly and shameless and she was probably trying to assert her sexual power over the men working with her and she was giving women a bad name in the process, would you feel sympathy for those men or for the woman they were attacking for wearing a shirt they did not approve of?</p>	Colored, Red, Sexual, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General	6/642	0.935
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>I would like to start off by thanking you for your well thought and inquisitive questions.1) "I have a question, if this man's shirt is bad because it will scare girls away from stem because it reinforces the idea that stem is a boys club then is it not arguably true that the people truly perpetuating the idea that stem is a boys club are people like you, nerd writer, who continue to make that point?" No. Because only by acknowledging a problem can it be solved. For a 1 to 1 comparison or example (as close as I can get)</p> <p>Imagine the shirt was full of a different demographic in the same "compromising position": Imagine if instead of being as derogatory towards women as it was... it was that derogatory towards men or whites or blacks. The amount of white men who will actually be offended if the see a white man in a similar position of dis-empowerment is enough to have people holler 'the sjws are coming, the sjws are coming to chop your balls off.' So severe the panic will be. A similar one to one comparison of intimidation would be if it had black people being segregated on his shirt. Not exactly "just a silly shirt" is it?2) "However, every time you bring it up every one makes sure to tell you that stem is a toxic, hyper masculine boys club and you'll have you work cut out for you if you make that your career, fighting an uphill battle against a prejudiced and patriarchal system. Would you feel discouraged? Perhaps discouraged enough that you might much rather get a degree in literature or philosophy, which you also very much enjoy and which are apparently less of a pain in the ass for women to make a mark in? I would not blame you for that decision." Thank you for not blaming her. No one should. Only by persevering do we become stronger. Nerdwriter should not be held accountable as he is trying to end it not encourage it. And only by raising awareness can the problem be solved. If nerdwriter had the power he would end it in a heart beat. Other people would blame the girl "well what did you expect" is usually the mentality.3) "Now what if you are a girl who is not discouraged by the notion of an uphill battle against tall odds, the kind of battle that multiple cultural figures like the nerd writer here have convinced you that you will most certainly encounter, will you likely be discouraged by a scientists goofy shirt because it has sexy women on it? I don't think you will." Good no one should be. With a wave of his wand nerdwriter would end the problem. You need only look to the people who would enforce segregation (sorry for no segway) or those that fought against women's equality in general to see that there are indeed people in the world who will enjoy disenfranchising people and then blaming them.</p>	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/508	0.197
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------------------	-------	-------

<p>Alex Smith Hello. I'd also like to thank you for making a well though out response and I'll be making a response in OP's stead. 1) 'No. Because only by acknowledging a problem can it be solved.'The problem has been known and acknowledged for a very long time and it's not something that we can easily pointed to the root cause nor easily 'fix'. You might say institutionalized sexism, but conflicting information exists. For example... [LINK] 'For a 1 to 1 comparison or example (as close as I can get) Imagine the shirt was full of a different demographic in the same "compromising position":</p> <p>Imagine if instead of being as derogatory towards women as it was... it was that derogatory towards men or whites or blacks. The amount of white men who will actually be offended if the see a white man in a similar position of dis-empowerment is enough to have people holler 'the sjws are coming, the sjws are coming to chop your balls off.'" So severe the panic will be. A similar one to one comparison of intimidation would be if it had black people being segregated on his shirt. Not exactly "just a silly shirt" is it?'In my mind it's still just a silly shirt. And I'd feel the same way to those people who complain about that kind of shirt as I'd feel for those who complain about this shirt.But black people being segregated on the shirt is what you compare this to? Shoot maybe I didn't look at the shirt close enough. Can you describe what the shirt looked like?2)*'Thank you for not blaming her. No one should. Only by persevering do we become stronger. Nerdwriter should not be held accountable as he is trying to end it not encourage it. And only by raising awareness can the problem be solved. If nerdwriter had the power he would end it in a heart beat. Other people would blame the girl "well what did you expect" is usually the mentality.* to this I say... What problem are we solving and how do we know when it will be solved?3) 'You need only look to the people who would enforce segregation (sorry for no segway) or those that fought against women's equality in general to see that there are indeed people in the world who will enjoy disenfranchising people and then blaming them.'I can imagine that. But that's just as good as saying there are bad people who do bad things in the world.</p>	Black, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	3/425	0.706
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------------	-------	-------

I know this is old , and no one will likely see this, however, I have an answer for what it is that we are in danger of losing... Although, maybe losing isn't the correct word. Because it's not something we've yet achieved. That thing, is sexual liberty. How is it that we can condemn in one breath a man for wearing that shirt while in that same breath implying that female sexuality is something that should be hidden? The most toxic part of this view of feminism is that it continues to feed into the patriarchy and its dominance of sex and sexual expression. Its no wonder women feel as though sex is something men impose on them if society doesn't allow women to be sexual . The more we slut shame and condemn skin the more we push sex into the closet and keep the power dynamic in the hands of men. His shirt showed a mixture of artist renderings of atompunk pinups. They weren't even real women, yet we, men and women alike, condemned the sexual nature of them, and thereby him for promoting it. This is sexism . Until we as a society stop condemning sex and sexuality, especially from women, we will continue to have a separation of power along the lines of gender .	Gender, Old, Patriarchy, Sex, Sexism, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	12/216	5.556
For one it just a tee shirt if a woman is put women off from studying stem at a college because a of SHIRT I have doubts about that person future	Woman	Gender - General	1/31	3.226

<p>This is a perfect example of the ecological fallacy and the single-cause fallacy. Engineering is dominated by men, but that doesn't mean that there is an inherent misogyny or gender bias in that field. The medical field is dominated by women, but I don't hear anyone talking about getting more men in medicine. There are psychological differences between men and women on average (key words: "on average"). Left to make their own choices (i.e. given equality of opportunity), men and women, on average, choose different professions and careers. And there's nothing wrong with that. What I have a problem with is "equality of outcome:" creating these quotas to hire people based on gender or other arbitrary physical characteristics. You can't just look at the data and assume intent; you have to look at what is CAUSING that outcome. If it's prejudice, then take steps to change that. But most of the time, these statistics are used to conflate unrelated phenomena in order to promote an agenda. I notice that people also use the fallacy of composition with this topic as well: "sexism still exists, therefore the gender disparity in industry X is due to sexism."I don't deny that some misogyny and gender bias still exists. But to argue that that sexism is systemic is a different claim altogether. When these arguments that victimize one gender are made and propagated (especially without sufficient evidence), they perpetuate an "us vs. them" mentality throughout our society. This has the opposite effect that's desired. The same stereotypes that hurt women, also hurt men. The same stereotypes that hurt men, also hurt women. Gender issues on both fronts are very different, but arguably equal. You cannot solve one without solving the other. I'd be willing to go into this further, but this comment is long enough.This same issue can also be applied to race and racism, but that's a discussion for another day.</p>	Gender, Misogyny, Nothing, Race, Racism, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	14/320	4.375
<p>"Take 60 seconds to think about whether in fact you feel annoyed or threatened and if it's the latter, ask yourself, 'What is it that I'm in danger of losing?'"The ability to express oneself... our freedom to express opinions and ideas. How could you not see that? Every new idea or opinion is not meant to make you feel comfortable and if we are meant to stay quiet at just the thought of making someone uncomfortable, that would be removing our right to express our thoughts or who we are. New ideas would never be offered because everyone would be scared to offend others. Clothing would all have to be the same as to not offend a few. Ultimately, being offended is subjective. I'm a woman and his shirt did not bother me one bit. If you find yourself offended for women because of this shirt, then good luck in the real world. Your ideas and opinions will be challenged. It's what makes us grow.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/166	0.602

me: did you that video about the rosetta missiongirl: did you see what the man wore.how dare he? he is discouraging girls from persuing STEM .what a dick!me: but honestly what do think of rosetta.girl: oh i don't care about that.BUT SERIOUSLLY WHAT KIND OF SEXISM IS THAT	Sexism	Gender - General	1/51	1.961
In latest research about how meny women and men are employed as scientists and teachers in my country, 49.2 are women, but women constantly talk about how we live in patriachy and sexism . So 1+/- is nothing for women to be angry about and complain so i don't agree with you.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/51	3.922
The thing is, women not being offended isn't an argument that defends the shirt not being sexist. If a black person allows you to say the n word it doesn't mean it's not racist to say it. A shirt using half naked women as a decoration is sexist, just like an ad would be, it's objectifying. Or have any of you seen many women with half naked men on their shirts?	Black, Racist	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General	2/71	2.817
Oh my god, this comment section either didn't understand the premise of this video or missed out on the point completely. He's literally talking about how these images can perpetuate self commodification, and target vulnerable groups of teenagers that most likely will internalize it. How does this correlate to the STEM field? How many women can you name right now that are rich, successful, well cited, credited and widely published? Because as far as I can recall, all that has surfaced in the recent past are men in the field. Herein lies the problem. The comment section has established that women and men have the same ability and aptitude to perform, yet the outcome brings out a clear gender gap. Everything, all the progress we see with feminism and the representation of women are still happening. Women are still having their firsts. And what's ridiculously obvious, yet extremely forgotten, is the fact that women are still having their firsts with representation despite this extremely internalized and externally perpetuating misogyny . The US has a problem with sexism , and it's all pervasive. You really trying to deny that in a country where one of the reasons people gave in the last election as to why they preferred a candidate over the other was literally because "he is a man, and men know how to get things done"?	Gender, Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	3/225	1.333

<p>Netra Mittal I have question Mittal..."He's literally talking about how these images can perpetuate self commodification, and target vulnerable groups of teenagers that most likely will internalize it."When you say that a group of teenagers will most likely internalize self commodification, how do you validate this claim?"How many women can you name right now that are rich, successful, well cited, credited and widely published?"Umm not many."The comment section has established that women and men have the same ability and aptitude to perform, yet the outcome brings out a clear gender gap."Hmm... correct."Everything, all the progress we see with feminism and the representation of women are still happening."Well, we are also seeing some new developments coming from feminism. Mostly the mainstreaming of previously fringe feminist ideas."Women are still having their firsts. And what's ridiculously obvious, yet extremely forgotten, is the fact that women are still having their firsts with representation despite this extremely internalized and externally perpetuating misogyny."Still having their firsts, yes. Extremely internalized and externally perpetuating misogyny?, I think you're stretching things on purpose. What makes it extreme?"The US has a problem with sexism, and it's all pervasive."Sure the us has a problem with sexism, all pervasive? I don't believe so. The first part of your statement is just a truism, the second part needs more studying."You really trying to deny that in a country where one of the reasons people gave in the last election as to why they preferred a candidate over the other was literally because 'he is a man, and men know how to get things done'?"Nebulous counter. By the same standards, people would vote for Clinton because she is a woman.</p>	Gender, Misogyny, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	6/290	2.069
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------	-------	-------

I believe that we all do have a bit of sexist/racist sides deep down somewhere no matter who we are or where we are... because, in the end of the day, we're only humans and no matter how clever we are, we're still animals too. We have desires to be loved by many, to compete against others and win (in order to feel better about ourselves now rather than anything but in the past it probably this trait was a crucial for hunting)etc and these desires are part of our human instincts to survive. That explains lots of things in my opinion; wanting to have more - of what? Well, basically everything. More wealth, more beauty etc. At the same time, we want to believe that being different from what we are immediately 'wrong' and perhaps that comes from the fear, fear of not knowing what the newness is, not being able to understand fully what it is. The modern world we live in is such a easily developing and changing one though. If you think about it, it has changed so much even within the past few decades - e.g the state of racial segregation in 50s America was pretty shockingly atrocious. Given the facts of history, I think we're heading to the right direction and hopefully that sort of fear or ignorance will eventually dissolve to nothing in the future.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/232	0.431
Freedom, in all its forms, whether material or immaterial, is the ability do, say and think whatever we want so long as it physically causes no harm to another. That is the very definition of "Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness." The whole point of Freedom of Speech is to protect the expressions that others find offensive. Nobody needs freedom from Mom, the Flag and Apple Pie because we generally agree that they're good and acceptable. We need freedom for when we don't agree. The whole point is to rock the damn boat. Mass public shamings are a means by which to suppress expression, and these people are social authoritarians. The very antithesis of that. Let them have their say, but ignore them and staunchly say I support the radical who dares to speak, or wear, his mind.	Apple, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Native- American	2/139	1.439
STEM people (even and especially men) have to face stereotypes about being boring limp-dick nerds all the time and it doesn't put them off science one bit. If some woman didn't go into STEM because of that shirt - sorry, she wouldn't make it anyway	Woman	Gender - General	1/45	2.222
I like your vids man but you failed to establish a link between the gap in women's enrolment in stem and wearing a raunchy shirt. Anyone who denies that sexism exists and that there are less women in stem is not thinking clearly, but I think there is more to that topic than bad fashion choices.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/56	1.786
1:00 to 1:05 you completely mischaracterize Plante's criticisms and then draw false allegories from those criticisms. Explain to me who is serving to 'devolve' progress for the human race here. .	Race	Ethnicity - General	1/31	3.226

Are you sure less women aren't in stem just because women have different career interests, due to being neurologically different? But no, everything is just because of sexism , isn't it?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
I really love your channel Evan but I disagree with this video. I come from a christian society and a christian faith. I absolutely think that women are equally to men. To say I think other wise to me is absolute horse shit but I come from a Conservative place. Now do I think that the shirt that guy wore was okay, no. I also think that he should be able to wear whatever he wants free from internet abuse. I believe today's ideal of sexism is dumb . I believe if you a woman have a certain role just like men. I think that woman have a just as important game on a different field. If you ask me what I feel threatened by you're just gonna sum it up to a flip in power. For generations men have done the strenuous labor why women have done the heavy emotional lifting. If ever single woman can go outside right this second and do everything i've grown up learning then ill drop my views. If they can build a house, fix a plumbing leak, dig a water well. Then every fear you assume I have will disappear no questions asked. But i've seen women do shit I couldn't even fathom emotionally. But does any ask how we feel. does anyone ask that we've been trained to shove our emotions down for some good reasons and now its a habit. what about all the loses we take or the suffers we endue but does anybody say anything. very few. this idea that woman are sexulized is true but you know what. men may start out fantasizing about women but it ends up with us wanting a family someone to come home to to comfort a long days work. All I see from women now a days is this need. give me a shinny ring, give me shoes, give me this and that and shit on men for liking the way they look.(pause)RANT OFFICIALLY OVER.	Dumb, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	6/334	1.796
Anyone who wants to go into the fields of science can. If there's no women in science it's because no women want to be in science, not because there's an invisible anti woman force field at the door of MIT or NASA	Woman	Gender - General	1/42	2.381
If a woman wore a shirt with sexualized men on it, no one would give a shit.	Woman	Gender - General	1/17	5.882
nothing to lose and nothing to gain. With or without you, with or without you.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	2/15	13.333
I don't find Matt Taylor's shirt offensive but it should be pointed out he wear it in tribute to his friend who designed the shirt, and if I remember rightly the designer was a woman	Woman	Gender - General	1/35	2.857

I wasn't talking to you pal, you are just misinterpreting data to fill your worldview. (also if you are saying that I doubt you've even seen the entire video)I want a source on the claim about the conection between gender and victimism.	Gender	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
Special EDy We live in a total and complete patriarchy , dude.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/11	9.091
The scarry thing about sexism , racism , feminism, and politics in general is that when you take any of them too far, you screw everyone over. A lot of men these days feel genuinely threatened by feminism because they feel as though the tables will flip and they'll be discriminated against. Heck, I've been called racist , bigoted, homophobic , and sexist all because I'm a religious straight male conservative.I think that the autonomy of women encourages different career choices or just staying at home and teaching the next generation how to not screw humanity over. Whereas the autonomy of a male encourages hard labour to provide for a wife and the next generation. Do I think that women should be discouraged from STEAM jobs? No! But tumbler feminism (extreme feminism) has been more or less forcing women into those jobs and degrading women that stay at home or work traditional female jobs.When one party pushes their ideals too far, it flips the other on their back. Then you have the same situation you were trying to get rid of, only reversed.In short, all things in moderation. (I know someone is gonna be like "so you mean we should have fascists and evil people?" The answer is no. I think of it more like "all good things in moderation, or at least nothing bad.)	Homophobic, Nothing, Racism, Racist, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	5/224	2.232

<p>To all the guys and girls and in betweens that say "why does a shirt even matter?" "You see, I get that you don't see the connection. However, assuming it's women's "fault" they are barely in this field is not the right concluding. First of all, there are studies with children: when told to solve a problem, the female participants were profoundly better when it was said to be a sewing task, while the boys were better when told it was a scientific one. Why? It was the same task! But they are lead to believe that there is a field they are naturally good or bad at. And of course it would be great if that wasn't the case. And of course starting a huge campaign in empowering women or at least paying them the same would be kinda cool. And of course it's "just a shirt". 'But you know who started a 13-month-lasting Bus Boycott for civil rights which lead to many more Civil Rights Movements? Rosa Parks, a woman who just did not stand up in a bus. You shouldn't tell yourself "it's just this or that" because it isn't. The world is weird. The smallest actions have the largest impacts and vice versa. In the same way he would've been praised if he had worn a shirt full of female scientists like Marie Cury, he now as a grown independent man has to live with the consequences his other choice had. What if he had worn a shirt full of female scientists? He might have inspired just one girl to join who might have found out something incredible. Or not. Who knows? But no one can say there is no chance. This is what you have to keep in mind. Everything, every slightest positive change we can achieve, is something we hold on to. And if "just a shirt" matters so much to us and so little to you, would it kill you to simply not wear it in such occasions? In the end, it's "just a shirt", right?</p>	Weird, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/343	0.583
<p>If that shirt was to stop a woman from entering stem then they weren't very determined to begin with. If YOU think that shirt will convince some women to 'stay away' than it is YOU that is sexist. It's not normal to think that little of women.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/47	2.128
<p>Your argument is a classic strawman, and intellectually dishonest. This video cites the shirt, not as a primary cause for STEM's inaccessibility to women, but as a symptom of a larger problem of passive misogyny. No one is suggesting that he actively pawed through his wardrobe, looking for the best way to demean women via shirt pattern. Rather, the argument is that he is part of a culture that cares so little about the input of women that he did not need to actively consider the implication of a shirt covered in semi-nude women. Taken as a single instance, sure- it doesn't matter. But have you ever heard of the phrase 'the straw that broke the camel's back'? It's not just a shirt that causes women to reconsider science. It's the constant wear, even from small things like an inappropriate shirt, that grinds women in science down and causes others to seek professions which aren't as destructive to one's self worth. Source: am woman in science</p>	Misogyny, Woman	Gender - General	2/166	1.205

<p>Allison GreenbergI'd like to make some counters"This video cites the shirt, not as a primary cause for STEM's inaccessibility to women, but as a symptom of a larger problem of passive misogyny"I disagree that the shirt is a symptom of a larger cause and I think the video is reading too much into it."Rather, the argument is that he is part of a culture that cares so little about the input of women that he did not need to actively consider the implication of a shirt covered in semi-nude women."He's part of a culture that cares so little about the input of women he decided to wear a shirt made by his woman friend on this occasion? My question is how do you make this make sense?The implication of the shirt that you propose are there, I think is an incorrect reading of the shirt."Taken as a single instance, sure- it doesn't matter. But have you ever heard of the phrase 'the straw that broke the camel's back'? It's not just a shirt that causes women to reconsider science. It's the constant wear, even from small things like an inappropriate shirt, that grinds women in science down and causes others to seek professions which aren't as destructive to one's self worth."This is a non argument. Because this can apply to anything that can be perceived by anyone as problematic through any kind of rationalization. If you're aware of the phrase "the straw that broke the camel's back" you should probably also be aware that that phrase a lot of times is applied to people losing their minds at any unpredictable time over otherwise very banal things. If this is the comparison you're making, how am I not supposed to have the idea that people who get upset over this are over the edge mentally?"Source: am woman in science"This doesn't mean anything. You didn't give an anecdote in relation to you being a woman in science which would really be the thing that would make this statement mean something.</p>	Misogyny, Woman	Gender - General	4/348	1.149
<p>It is. Bullies take pleasure in what they do. It's fun for them to assert their dominance, be it based on race, sex, or religion.</p>	Race, Sex	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/25	8.0
<p>Wouldn't SJW's fall under this category? (and I am talking about militant ones here, not simply people who care greatly for others and detest injustice) They feel empowered to place blame and guilt upon anyone, even those who have nothing to do with the very things they despise. They feel pleasure by placing themselves above others- by believing they are better than someone else merely because of an ideology they have adopted. In my opinion, it is no different than religious zealots who, instead of utilizing the teachings of their faith to love others, they place themselves as judges of the entire human race- except for those (though not in all cases) who profess to follow the same ideology.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/119	0.84

I found this video extremely valuable and intellectually rewarding precisely for the reason that I do feel a certain degree of annoyance at controversies such as this. I appreciate the fact that the narrator avoids definitive approval or disapproval of the shirt– it is, after all, just a shirt, and entirely within a mans rights to wear or not wear– but also would like to say this annoyance is not necessarily the pervasive pull of the insidious sexism (although it might also be): sociology is simply too complex a field to so easily categorize a particular reaction or emotion. I do not believe, moreover, that traditions and existing culture should be abandoned out of hand; they provide a truly vital degree of identity to men and women alike and thus have to weighed carefully against potential cultural upheaval which can bring both increased equality and increased intolerance	Sexism	Gender - General	1/146	0.685
I think the secret of sexism is to disguise itself as something beneficial. The thought that women will stop pursuing their dreams because of a shirt is ridiculous and deeply sexist, but since that suggestion is made with a tone of compassion it's passable. Ultimately, when something like this happens, results in a very confused apology by the "offender" who learns that women are fragile so he must be careful, deepening the already existing stereotype. The same happens to women who witness the outrage and the apology, who then interiorize the same fragility.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/93	1.075
I like your other stuff a lot! Very insightful. But this.....Just kinda dumb . :/	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/15	6.667
When you say that the point of the controversy wasn't to crucify Matt Taylor, I think that may be missing the point. It is undeniable that Dr. Taylor was personally attacked as a result of his fashion choice. And many articulated very clearly that his scientific achievement was pointless and invalidated by his wardrobe alone. The frustration comes from how petty the incitement of the controversy was. There's certainly a place for the dismantling of misogyny , but it doesn't serve the movement to harass someone over what is an undeniably minor offense.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/92	1.087

<p>Saying that this shirt is sexist is like saying that porn is sexist. Just because it has sexy women doesn't mean that the people who wear it or support it think that women are just sexual objects for a man's pleasure. Feminists say that women can have sex with whomever they want, but then complain when people find them sexy or attractive. You can't be free to have sex and say that the sexualization of the female body is evil. That's like being an Atheist and Christian at the same time. Lastly, people aren't annoyed cause their power is being taken away, they're annoyed because this is such a dumb pointless issue. Instead of talking about women who face abuse everyday in third world countries we're here complaining about a shirt, like entitled ass hats. You're not oppressed because of a shirt, women who aren't given an actual education are oppressed.</p>	Dumb, Sex, Sexual	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/151	2.649
<p>Just to clarify about my second point, that feminists hold conflicting beliefs, a better argument would be that feminists say that a man asking a women to wear less sexual clothing is sexist, but also say that a man wearing a shirt that has girls in sexual clothing is sexist. That is clearly contradictory. You cannot be sex positive and sex negative at the same time. "Telling women to stop dressing sexy is sexist. Wearing a shirt with sexy women is sexist."-feminist</p>	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	4/82	4.878
<p>NO ONE is made to feel welcome in highly competitive areas like STEM fields. It's not a club for boys. It's a club for highly intelligent and hard working people regardless of gender. Look in Scandinavia where gender egalitarianism has been highly prioritized, and gaps between gender representation in fields remain, or grow even larger.I didn't start out with this 'assumption' based on feelings/stereotypes. I came to this position after being persuaded over a period of time and discussions through facts and arguments.Again, no one is made to feel welcome into STEM male or female. You have to prove yourself. And as someone who was born male in the late 80s, I was never given tons of encouragement by national campaigns aimed to improve my self esteem nor offered male-only scholarships nor do I have a TWO-TO-ONE hiring preference in my favor for STEM jobs... I've grown up over a few decades of nothing but girl power this boys drool that, raised on TV that portrayed every father as a bumbling idiot, and you have the temerity to ask me what I feel threatened by in danger of losing. /smhNew subscriber btw, most of your vids besides this one are incredible.</p>	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/205	1.951

2:58 Maybe you're right about invisible assumptions, but there are good evidence for them, like the fact that the more freedom men and women have in choosing a degree, the more the choices differ, take india and scandinavia for example. You even mentioned it yourself in how women earn more degrees, so maybe the fact that they choose less stem is something to be celebrated because they have the freedom to choose what they want, and not what society is forcing upon them. But of course, everything could be about sexism and patriachy, "and if you are operating on that assumption, there is little i can tell you, save to suggest that maybe, your assumptions are following from cultural norms so invisible, they seem like insights about gender ". -Nerdwriter1	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/129	1.55
This is a biggest amount of dislikes I've seen on your video, and I thought better of your audience. You said nothing wrong and yo, you're just cool, thank you	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/30	3.333
If sjw's really care about women's rights, why don't they critisize countries and governements that actually prohibit women from entering into certain fields of education, including STEM? Ah, right, because it's " racist ".	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/32	3.125
Your a sexist moron who obvious watches too much porn and is totally inept about women. Fuck off. Do yourself a favor and stop trying to legitamize sexism because you're just rendering people ignorant and divided. Seriously, just fuck off. You're not smart.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
You say that it is pleasurable to hold racist , sexist, or any bigoted view. It is pleasurable to "be right" in a set of norms or values and to assert that "rightness" on outsiders or those who aren't as "right" are you. Thus, it seems like the driving force of sexism is paralleled by the driving source of social justice outrage. It appears to me that social justice warriors hop from crusade to crusade, making a temporary riot at each stop, and then dropping the subject altogether when a new outrage emerges. This unfocused method doesn't reflect an underlying urge to do good. Rather, it is pleasurable to be right by a new set of norms. In particular, this new set of norms places the social justice warriors at precisely the apex of correctness, allowing them to assert their dominance and normative values on all outsiders while deriving maximal pleasure in the process.	Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/153	1.307
That shirt was designed and made by a woman .	Woman	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
Do you also assume that the reason so few men pick fields like teaching or healthcare is because of sexism against men? Id think a far more likely reason is that less men are intrested in those fields. So why whould the shortage of women in STEM be for any diffrent reason?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/52	1.923

<p>What is the name for people who get pleasure from other people’s pain? That is the root of sexism and racism? It is unbelievable, the utter stupidity leading these social causes by people who are ”educated” and one time seen to be smart. And you end the video by saying that is what the issue actually is. The issue actually is people are getting pleasure from dominating others and they don’t want that taken away. Is that you? Because that can be a revealing of your programming and definitely not the issue.</p> <p>I am in awe at this point in listening to these arguments and what you all have constructed. It is profoundly hypocritical, gross, naive, immature and misrepresentative.</p> <p>Now go wait in line for the new phone you anti-capitalists. Socialism rules! ”What gentrified neighborhood will we move into next”? should be the SJW sign off. That’s how you should end every protest.</p>	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/153	1.307
<p>You framed the problem as dominance and pleasure of dominance that is creating ”inequality” between women and men in STEM. Is that your stance? And that to eliminate sexism in STEM is to take pleasure away from men? Are there other factors? What are they? Did you consider them all? Because you do not get to frame the argument, cause or effect. The truth of what is creating those outcomes is not yours, not women’s, not men’s, not mine. There are many factors contributing to these societal outcomes. They cannot be narrowed down to sexism, racism, dominance and pleasure. You can keep trying to do that and when you miss the truth, you perpetuate injustices, do not solve societal issues, because what you really did was find answers to support your argument. When considering the many factors you will become humbled because you will discover you created causes without knowing fully what you were talking about.</p> <p>You were only driven by your agenda.</p>	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/163	1.84
<p>I am 19 year old male and i am still in high school and all i can see that some of my female friends aren’t interested in science or movies so for me is very easy to take this assumption that men are more interesting in this topic than women. Still i see women in a very interest in this topics grown up women so in conclusion a woman is a human being and human beings are relative, subjective to different ideologies, pasión, and tastes this goes for men and for women.</p>	Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/91	2.198

Yeah or maybe we should encourage people to stop crying about nothing . The real truth comes out when the roles are reversed. In the modern era it has become an ever increasing trend for people to blame others for their own short comings. No matter your race , gender , religion, or Creed you really can achieve anything you truly desire. Why would you even try though when you can hug your potential and blame others for your lack of action? The only effect of issues like this is to encourage people to do nothing , to fear failure, and to stop trying if they happen to stumble upon failure. My parents have told me many times that complaining will get you nothing , asking can get you enough, but acting is what will put the world in your hands. Maybe a simpler one that should be taken to heart is "be the change you want to see in the world", if you think there aren't enough women in stem fields then go into the stem fields or help people get into stem fields. By now it should be clear complaining and whining does nothing , it's just a lingering trait your parents ingrained in you during childhood by giving into you.	Gender, Nothing, Race	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/207	2.899
The pleasurable argument applies to the other side as well, at least as much so. It's pleasurable for a lot of people to shit on others on social media for the shirt they wear. Look for yourself how many people are making obviously sexist racist comments and how many retweets and likes they get versus how many how often people are 'calling out' racism and sexism and how many they get. You may be looking at a society of [partially imagined] sexism but some of us are looking at a very real man getting a lot more abuse than he ever deserved. And he's not the only one. Forgive us for being empathetic.Minor public infractions now breed hate mobs.	Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/120	3.333
The guy likes the shirt. Wore it to celebrate. No sexism was meant.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/13	7.692
Honestly, I'm a woman planning on getting a STEM degree and I would probably wear that shirt. It looks pretty badass, not gonna lie.	Woman	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
I was interested but then I heard woman and stem. Is it a feminism bias video?	Woman	Gender - General	1/16	6.25
sexism can be fixed in the same way racism can be: stop talking about it. No, there aren't a lot of women working in STEM but there are still people doing those jobs and that's whats important	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/37	5.405

<p>Can't help but feel a bit disheartened at how a well evaluated argument lose out to typically apologist equivocation, specially in backdrop of the supposed "anthropological" perspective his claim teases the beginning of the video with.It wasn't exactly a very wise idea to have borrowed jargon about power structures, hierarchy and pleasure from ideologies that your eventual view disregarded in favor of equating deprivation of oppressors' pleasure with deprivation of victims' dignity.Sexism isn't merely men offending women by flashing symbols of their supposed dominant gender role, it is as much women internalizing the subjugation and humiliation, with many having to rely on perpetuating misogyny as means to moving up in the hierarchy.The sort of 'let's see both sides and be kind to both opposing dispensations " is rooted in an regressive yet insidiously appealing proclivity of religious pacifism which has long deluded masses into seeing their oppressors as victims of evil powers that corrupts people into being abusive, and in context of the video , a more recent manifestation of oppression getting normalized through pop psychology of "self and individual" which reinforces stereotypes and regressive patterns whilst serving as key tool of consumerism.</p>	Gender, Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	3/196	1.531
<p>Imo, the point he made in this video is very misplaced, unless he meant that feminists/SJW's are the ones who feel unrightly threatened. Because people who are annoyed by the outcry of feminists/SJW's over stuff like this do not feel threatened - they simply feel annoyed, annoyed because they are witness to how many people are making unjustified and misguided remarks because of their own problems and insecurities that they have. The point in the video could maybe apply if somehow a lot of men got upset over some provocative shirt worn by a woman. However in the end both men, women and groups of people by any other definition have the capacity to feel threatened in their mind by something which would simply not be relevant if they chose to ignore it. When certain groups of people constantly display this behavior it becomes annoying to others who are exposed to it, and who can see the futility of it all.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/161	0.621
<p>So in summary you have no argument to counter the position that woman generally prefer to empathise rather than analyse? Yet your whole video relies on preferring one version over another?</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/31	3.226

Wearing a shirt like that? Sleezy and not appealing... would never be friends with someone like that. However, to say that it's discouraging women from STEM? I am an engineer and the women in STEM are there because they love it... just like the men. But statistically more women are not interested in stem... and maybe this traces back to biological differences... but they gravitate towards majors like nursing and teaching and humanities; ones that involve some degree of helping and caring for people, what women are and have always been better at than men on a general scale. So if it's sexism ... it's women being "sexist" by desiring to go into lesser paying fields and choosing themselves to not be interested in things like STEM for the most part, of course, there are always exceptions.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/136	0.735
Someone being threatened by the possibly of their language constantly being policed by SJWs doesn't mean they are misogynistic, racist or homophobic though. When to gets to the point where your scientific achievements are overlooked because of your fashion sense, then what progress can really be had?	Homophobic, Racist	Ethnicity - General, Sexual Identity - General	2/47	4.255
So because the shirt triggers insecurities in women about the predicament surrounding their gender identity - the expression of that gentleman's sexuality is something he should feel ashamed for?	Gender	Gender - General	1/29	3.448
I disagree largely with the conclusions but that was a well thought out video.Personally, the reaction is certainly more of being threatened, rather than annoyed. I don't think the mass of moral outrage that was directed at this man is remotely justifiable. We have to be adults and not impose a narrow orthodoxy of thought. One man wears a shirt with scantily clad women. Life goes on. Conversations continue progress. There are more important battles to be fought than policing peoples clothing- and I'm plainly not alone in wanting to live in a society that does such things.The reason people fear giving an inch is because they are rightly afraid of the extremes. Feminism in the extreme blends into an anti-intellectual cult. Disavow the crazy (gravity is misogynist and other insane tropes) and feminism becomes much easier to accept. The more people focus on asinine shit like this shirt, the more the ' common man' is alienated and driven towards whatever alternative exists. This is plain to see with the rise of the social media and resurgence of right populism (which I also lament).Progress will only come from a common sense middle ground- so if you want positive change, live in that realm.	Common, Crazy, Misogynist	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Working class	3/205	1.463

If nothing else, the shirt was unprofessional, for reasons I think almost anyone will agree on. Does it empower women to join STEM fields? No, of course not. Does it contribute to the impression that tech is a boys' club? Yeah, probably. It is important, however, to focus more attention toward fixing society's problems rather than debating whether a single event is part of the problem.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/66	1.515
Last month i went to an anime convention in my city and i saw a guy using a tshirt and leggings full of very graphic hard yaoi manga scenes on them (explicit drawings of malexmale sex images). Since i really like reading yaoi manga i found the outfit to be very cool, on the other hand however i thought to myself that it wasn't very appropriate to be wearing gay porn in a con where kids were around.As a gay man i did not feel particularly offended nor objectified by the tshirt, but that might be because we havent been as fetishised as women have throughout history (yet) , and in our case genres like yaoi despite how unrealistic and objectifying for gay men can be, it has helped to increase acceptance of gay relationships in media and fandoms. But i couldnt help feeling a bit cynical about it, because even i would have felt offended if the tshirt had hentai girls instead. That's not right. Why am i ok with one and not the other? may it be because of people's tireness of female sexual objectification made by the straight male compared to the still new and niche objectification of gay male for female consumption? Help me out with this (i'm not a native english speaker)	Gay, Sex, Sexual	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	12/218	5.505
i want to ask about grays anatomy my gf been watching it recently and i must say the men in the show are very much portrayed as sex objects tho less overtly than the shirt and i must wonder why this is controversial but not that show it does ask the question do we all not objectify but can tell the difference between fantasy characters and the reality we live in	Sex	Gender - General	1/71	1.408

<p>I'm very confused now. I'm a woman, and I actually LOVE that shirt. The artwork is high quality, the women on it are beautifully depicted - even 100 straight women are capable of looking at the female form and appreciating its beauty. WE ALL DO IT, guys - even the really prude-y girls! If I'd been standing next to that guy I would have said to him "I LOVE your shirt!" Does this make me a female misogynist? And before we go any further, I've worked in male-dominated workplaces for most of my life - and had to put up with WAY more sexist behaviour than guys wearing 'sexually exploitative' shirts. But a shirt's easy to pick on, isn't it? You can see it and point to it and go "There! That's a symbol of The Entire Problem - that's what sexism looks like!" By turning such things into shorthand for sexism - a logo for misogyny - we're dumbing down the problem. We need to be smarter than that. I'm personally quite insulted that anyone would assume us women would feel so intimidated by 'the implications' of a man wearing a shirt with sexy women on that we'd be put off entering the sciences as a career. REALLY? I'd be far more put off by being groped in a dingy office by a male superior, or told by an arthritic man in his late fifties that I couldn't be considered for the role he was leaving because "a woman couldn't do my job," or that maybe I should "smile less" as I walked through the factory shopfloor so that the male workers "wouldn't feel like they had to flirt" with me. (All of which have genuinely happened to me in the past.) THESE are the kinds of reasons that REALLY explain why the numbers of women are so low in the sciences and other professions. But they require more guts and more brains to point fingers at. Way more than one man's shirt.</p>	Misogynist, Misogyny, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	6/334	1.796
<p>The facts don't support your censorious conclusion. The data suggests that as societies become more free and egalitarian, fewer and fewer women go into STEM fields. When taken together with studies on sex based preferences of newborns, it becomes almost undeniable that the disparity is one of choice, not stereotype threat. If your objections were supported by the evidence, you might have a point. Is it stands you're merely virtue signalling your way into being an obstacle to actual progress. There are real and pressing issues around gender equality. Attempts like this to rush in and save women from imaginary dangers distract from their solution.</p>	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	2/105	1.905
<p>Thanks for making this video. Not surprised to see so many dislikes on such a heated topic like sexism. Lots of men seem to want to completely ignore that sexism does still exist. In a big way. I'm not asking all men to become feminists, far from it, but I wish more guys could understand that women do inherently have to deal with things like harassment and assault more often than they realize.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/73	2.74

3:50 so then, for feminism to be successful, we don't need to make sexism look undesirable, we have to make equality look MORE desirable.nice.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
I would like to ask one question though: If a for every man wearing a shirt like this, a woman wore the same kind of shirt in reverse, would that make it ok?Provided you say yes to that question I'd ask: Is the problem A that to many men where such t-shirts, or B that to little women were shirts that are the exact opposit?And now I think we are at a perfect point to let me ask this: If one gender chooses to objectify the other, however the other chooses not to do the same in reverse, how does that make the first group sexist?Assuming the answer to question A was "Yes" that means that the inaction of women objectiving men made men sexist.By extention that would mean that woman in this respect are making men sexist. (hyperbole) :DNow I know I took this way to far, but my point is simple: If everyone can do as they want, because we are free to do as we want, as long as we don't insite violence or infringe other peoples rights, then why can't men (a lot of individual people) choose to objectivy women in some areas? That is legaly speeking ok.Analogy: 10 unrelated people tell a person walking down the streat at diffrent times that they look like s***. => That is not mobbing, because it would only be mobbing if they had all planed to do that together. If it were mobbing, it could be brought to court, but as it issent => STFU about it. It is ok for everyone to do that, even if it is an asshowl move.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	3/279	1.075
If you're going to do a video on sexism , include examples for both genders. Apart from that, good video.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/19	5.263
" Sexism " means "Don't have sex "	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	2/5	40.0
The dudes shirt was fine. Some scifi shirt that had some sexy women on it, seems fine to me. I think that a lot of people either don't understand or just didnt bother to think about how people live their lives completely differently and in seperate bubbles. You think some genius who is trying to put robots on comets in space is going to think about how the public is going to react to a shirt he wears? The same shirt that he probably has worn to work several times and probably never recieved a complaint from any coworker, be it male or female. No, this guy spends his life trying to advance science for humanity. He also happens to be talking to a woman in this interview and acting very normal.	Woman	Gender - General	1/132	0.758

The same guys who painted over or destroyed naked representations in the Medieval Ages, now in the Postmodernity condemn you for painting (or using) a camp t-shirt with big breasted females. They're the so called "retards". How the fuck is sexualized imagery the root behind oppression? Oppression is mostly a historical and economic problem, women were for centuries forced into passive and motherly roles thanks to the "inevitability" of pregnancy. Then this t-shirt shows exactly the opposite, action sci-fi women, but is seen negatively anyways, just because has sexual undertones? It was created by a female artist, goddamn it! If you really think sex is diabolical then please, go ahead, do society a favor and stop reproducing. No one would miss your chromosomes.	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	2/123	1.626
If bigotry is about the pleasure of exerting one's dominance, would it serve to reason that a more effective method of ending bigotry may be to celebrate deliberate non-bigoted actions in context, rather than condemn the bigoted ones? Are we entrenching people with bigoted beliefs when we condemn them, when instead we should be offering accolades or even tangible rewards for being purposefully inclusive, or, let's say, helping end a particularly contentious conflict? Are we doing ourselves a disservice by calling bigotry "hate" in the first place? Perhaps a better phrase would be "alpha speech" (rather than "hate speech"), or "superiority crime" (rather than "hate crime")? I wonder if there is a suitable reward that can match or exceed the pleasure of exerting one's dominance, and if that may be a more effective tool for combating bigotry than merely hating it back? What can someone do in the context of a situation like shirt-gate to be praiseworthy, and what would the appropriate accolade or response be?Not for nothing but maybe Mel Brooks was right - that the best tool for handling hatred is to turn that hatred into the butt of a joke. I suppose that's always an option too. Thanks for the perspective, TheNerdWriter	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/206	0.485
women can be actively involved within scientific fields & be slutty and hot as hell to. despite what hollywood taught yall, looks & brains aint mutually exclusive. also wtf w h y is there even discourse over some dude's tacky fashion choices hahahaha	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/43	2.326
The shirt was stupid but the scientist got about 1000x more hate than it warranted. At most he should've been quietly told to change his shirt, instead of being lambasted as the embodiment of sexism in STEM and forced to make a tearful apology.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/44	2.273

<p>This is just a friendly suggestion, but maybe, just maybe, you should stick to movies and stay away from politics, cause you clearly lack the common sense to tackle such a subject. Women are not children, who need to be babied and protected from the evil patriarchy, they are just as strong as you and I, so you might want to stop looking down on them and stop trying to force your delusional ideals on them. All you're doing is implying that women are weak, fragile and unable to stand up for themselves. Please stop being sexist. :)Oh, and if anyone gives up on their carrier as a STEM researcher because one man wore a shirt with scantily clad DRAWN FANTASY women on it, then maybe they weren't that invested in the field to begin with.</p>	Common, Patriarchy	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/137	1.46
<p>I was right with you, right up until you described sexism as if it's just a bunch of stuffy old men flagrantly keeping all the women out. I think the actual reality is, IF sexism is as widespread and impactful as is claimed (and there's plenty of room for debate on that), it's more subtle than that, and probably more along the lines of subconscious biases adding up to put one demographic at a subtle but ever-present aggregate relative disadvantage. But taking the attitude you did, puts the debate into a good vs. evil context, which I think is seriously out of touch with the nature of the subject, and is counter-productive for everybody.</p>	Old, Sexism	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	3/114	2.632
<p>The thing everyone is missing is that it's not about the shirt. The shirt is a symptom of a deeper sexism that runs through the STEM field, not the cause of it. So maybe to some it is "just a shirt" but that doesn't excuse or explain the fact that women have a distinct disadvantage in the Sciences in terms of employability, likelihood of workplace sexual harassment and opportunities to advance in their field.</p>	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	2/74	2.703
<p>the argument is that a boy wearing a shirt classified as a boy shirt will make women think STEM job's aren't for women. This is ironic to me, because Men have preconceptions and stereotypes about there behavior, but nobody cares about that.The shirt is just a cartoon depiction of what he finds attractive. Women need to be equal that is true, but oppressing someones ability to wear what they desire is exactly what the world was like for women for centuries. People of any gender need to say exactly anything they want, and wear anything they want, and have any job they want. I don't think anyone would care if a female had a shirt with sexy spy men on it.</p>	Gender, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/121	1.653
<p>Great video nerdwriter (I don't remember your name)! I think we all need a little more empathy, especially with the sexism debate. Love your thought process. Love the channel. :)</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/30	3.333

Dunno, Ayla, you're feeling degraded by a tshirt which has nothing to do with you except the gender depicted. That's like me getting angry if you start drawing muscle-bound rugby players or sensual poets. I'm a weakling and my poetry is crap, but why would I feel threatened by the expression of your ideals and desires? It's your own lust, do with it what you must. And it's the same with this guy's shirt, it's stupid and vapid, but why would anyone take it personally? Unrealistic body expectations isn't even a stereotype keeping females out of scientific academy. I mean, Physics is usually stereotyped as a sexually starved career choice for everyone (and the fact that this guy used that obviously inappropriate tshirt to impress a female friend who gifted it to him clearly exemplifies why).	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/136	1.471
if you truly think nasa fucking nerds, guys that used to get shoved into their lockers and swirlies... etc you are a fucking loser. these dudes are too scared to even talk to girls, let alone be the tip of the spear of patriarchy .	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/44	2.273
Wow, the shirt is a poison frog ? You're talking about YOUR opinion concerning a form of expression. YOU don't like it. Some people dont mind it all that much, and some people will enjoy the shirt. I'm sure there are women that have thicker skin than you'd give them credit for, who don't give a shit about the shirt. Get off your high intellectual horse and realize that your so full of ideology that you take something as unimportant as a SHIRT as so serious when it is so trivial. That's what radicals and corrupt clergymen do. Its just a shirt man...	Frog	Nationality - French	1/102	0.98
At least that guy did something else, next to wearing awesome shirts!It's not really sexism , but to us, us men, you girls all are awesome, so why not put a shrine on our bodies?I'm kinda kidding, but i'm kinda not, sorry not sorry?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/45	2.222
Such a brilliant point towards the end, that being powerful feels good. I don't feel like we talk enough about that. Also, I was a woman in science in college, so I can speak on the STEM issue. If seeing a mildly offensive shirt deters an otherwise illustrious career in the hard sciences, it's definitely not for you. Even seeing a lot of those images shouldn't deter you, bc you'll have to deal with much more difficult feelings and problems in a lifetime of science.	Woman	Gender - General	1/85	1.176
So that's why any questioning of feminist's authority results on autistic screeching an accusations of bigotry! we are taking from them the pleasure of asserting dominance by acting as moral arbiters of society thus as everyone else's moral superior, they don't like to be told "this position you hold as dogma is as valid as anyone else's, and your blog, or your first year of gender studies, or your pamphlet-assembled talking points, don't give you the right to pass judgement onto anyone or to police anyone's thoughts"	Gender	Gender - General	1/87	1.149

why is it sexism to depict beautifull (halfnaked) women?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
well if it is about the pleasure we get from being dominant wouldn't it be the best course to make sure no one feels oppressed by images and words? I live with 4 strong woman who are quick to express their view and assert themselves and are never discouraged by others who feel they don't belong, So take away the pleasure by encouraging them to not be offended by nonsense that way all the power is lost. I someone could wear a shirt with my name on it saying terrible things about me and i would not give a fuck and that is in the face a direct attack, I am the one who give them power or takes it away! AS far as the fear of losing something if someone feels threatened, well i think it would be the freedom of expression. Keep in mind the right to wear revealing clothing, Cross dress, who they can marry, drawing a picture of a person, Drink from the same water fountain as you or even saying something like the word Pregnant on TV are some of the things that upset people and there were laws restricting these things and we fought against that. So I can see why people on both sides get upset, but these are just my views and hope they offend everyone :D	Woman	Gender - General	1/225	0.444
Most of these comment should rewatch the last minute of this video.But I guess you're just in fact incapable of even slightly admitting that you, in fact, do feel threatened.Of course not. You're a brave warrior protecting traditional values and the ultimate logic! You fear nothing !Truth it, you can't open your mind. Realizing how much you fear would crush you.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/63	1.587
You basically dressed up white guilt here. Without facts or any data on preference on degree choice you cannot state either way if it is sexism or women who don't like stem as much as men. It just seems odd to me that men and women who dedicate their lives to knowledge would even care about the other persons genitals. Plus I feel like a women who is put off stem by shirts and sexist men, isn't good enough to for stem. You seem to forget that in school no one is cool for being nerdy. Let alone guys. It's just that in my experience men seem to care less what people think of them.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/115	0.87
I speak as a woman here and I don't think you have any understanding of the people who don't care about this shirt and believe that you made this video, consciously or unconsciously to create a firmer ground for yourself amongst those whose views do align with yours. Please apply some of your advice to yourself.	Woman	Gender - General	1/56	1.786

I'm sorry - I don't know much about Kim Kardashian, but saying that one woman's choice to do naked pictures harms women is totally freaking absurd. We want women (and men) to be able to choose to wear whatever they want to wear, whether that is a burka or a nothing . So long as I don't have to look at your genitals in public it's a-okay. You might rather talk about the stigma of female nudity that causes the people baring themselves to often be the people who are the least okay with that to do it and the ones who would have no problem with it to shy away from it. Or the immediate connection from nudity to sexuality. Still, the guy with the shirt is either absolutely socially tone deaf or a raging bigot of a man-child, because the impact his shirt would make really should been clear to him before he even put it on.	Deaf, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/158	1.266
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Sexual attraction is a natural human condition. So I'm going to play Devil's Advocate here and ask, does appreciating or admiring an image of sexual expression automatically constitute oppression and/or perpetuate exploitation by default?Case study: I see a seductive photo shoot or advertisement with a model, done completely of her free will, who I think is stunningly gorgeous in a sexual way. Now, I'm not about to go out and rape the next girl I see. I under no circumstances believe she should be paid less than a male counterpart doing the same job. I don't believe her opportunities should be restricted due to her gender or that she should be pigeon-holed solely to similar lines of work. I don't believe that it would be fair if she was presented with no opportunity to make a living, other than by appearing in sexy photo shoots. I absolutely don't view her as an object, I see her as an attractive woman expressing her sexuality. I understand that there are many other facets that complete that woman as a person, be it intellectually, emotionally, spiritually, altruistically, etc, but at this point I can only appreciate her physical beauty/sexuality that I naturally find attractive. What does that make me? Another question I have is, what counts more? A person's freedom to be able to express themselves sexually or how offended some people might get by that sexual expression?Someone offended by sexual images can easily turn away, but would it be morally right to stop individuals creating such consented content? We know sexuality comes in many different forms and not everyone shares the same tastes, but as long as it's not harming anyone then it should be respected.Are we on course to creating a society so sterile, sensitively fragile, and devoid of emotion that we'll no longer be able to revel in openly expressed sexuality? That would be a travesty as the sexual diversity and expression found within people (everyone) is one of the most beautiful things about humanity. What if the sexuality itself is not the issue, but how it's being interpreted and used by certain sections of society? Is eradication and hyper-sensitivity really the solution then or would that create a paradox of reverse oppression? Call me crazy, but I'm of the belief that educating people on how to deal with all forms of sexuality would go further than a campaign of censorship driven by the minority who interpret sexual expression as an avenue for social dominance.</p>	Crazy, Gender, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	11/418	2.632
<p>If your only counter-argument against the notion that women have preference that is not rooted in culture is that the norms impacting them are invisible, you're no different than a Christian that can't refute Russel's Teapot.You have no actual evidence for mechanism of action. You are making a positive claim, and yet you have nothing to back it up. If it was on another topic, would you take such statements at face value the way you expect people to take these statements at face value?</p>	Nothing, Teapot	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black	2/86	2.326

Tbh sexism is a natural thing... its instinct. IM NOT SEXIST I SWEAR PLS NO HATE :(Sexism	Gender - General	1/17	5.882
what are we at risk of loosing? oh nothing important, just freedom of speech, its disposable you know?	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/18	5.556
"Annoyance."Yep, pretty much.I typically really enjoy Nerdwriter, Idea Channel and lots of channels people love to write off as "SJW" or preachy, and am generally unimpressed with the New Atheists/Alt-Right/Manosphere/etc.I feel the same way about arguing bathrooms or the recent presidential tweet banning people from serving their country. Just people seeking dumb reasons to get mad about something to distract from other issues.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/66	1.515
You feel somehow, but that does not justify your opinion. Wearing or not wearing something is optional, blowing your emotional reaction to it out of proportion to underline your importance is just attention seeking, since you may feel you weigh less on the scale of achievements to a world class scientist. Try thinking from someone else's point of view. You may be considered less a person or professional by your peers due to some construct of merit. Would you like it if others would step in and fight in your place, or are willing to prove your worth? Isn't this just patronizing a poor defenseless nobody , who can1 fight its own battles in life and in the workplace? Such conduct actually does more damage then good.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/126	0.794
How about instead of condemning the women behind the photos, you condemn photoshop and plastic surgery. Condemn TV shows and movies that give women token rolls just so they can say "WE HAVE A WOMAN " but its badly written with half as much thought put into the female character than the male. I mean, I wish I could go back to being a kid because I honestly didnt get the memo that I couldnt relate to males. I can relate to music written by men just as much as women. I relate to male characters just as much as female. Because, like someone of a different race , inside our emotional cores, can be the same. You can find someone who is exactly the same as you in every way but the opposite gender . We dont all have differing opinions and views. It isnt exclusively one gender thinks this! And as soon as everyone can get over that and just start looking at people as just people, we will all be better off. Like thank fuck my parents didnt ever try to influence who I was or what I wore. I had both barbies and hotwheels. I was all about playing in the dirt but wearing bright colours. It didnt matter then and it doesnt matter now.	Gender, Race, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/216	1.852

I'm a great fan and I admire your knowledge on art. I really like your videos. But I can't say that I admire this one as you simply are ignorant on this matter. Statistics must be interpreted within context. Women are less likely to choose these professions because indeed they don't want to, but studies show that when they do, they are more likely to be accepted. Also what about the statistics which show women dominating pedagogical fields and medicine? Is that sexism against men? Also are you implying that women will hesitate entering Stem research because of a shirt? I do not think that women are weak and to imply that is deeply troubling. Family and education influence people not shirts.Yes, we should encourage children to enter their preferred professions regardless of gender but if they are intimidated by a shirt depicting fictional sexy women in comics? Well, maybe they are not the best people for this job.Also why aren't you and feminists describing the lack of representation of women in dangerous workplaces as sexism ? Logging workers, fishers, roofers, refuse and recyclable material collectors. These professions have the highest workplace accidents and deaths and are all dominated by men? Also do not ignore the fact that women are the most educated demographic group. Oh the hypocrisy. Male privilege at its best right? Also the irony? The infamous shirt was a gift from his wife. Please do not speak about subjects without doing the proper research first. I am deeply disappointed and hope you will be more careful in the future even though this is an old video.	Gender, Old, Sexism	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	4/269	1.487
This was a dumb video. You should feel bad because you're making the human race dumber.	Dumb, Race	Ethnicity - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/16	12.5
I'm a lady and think it's kind of a fun tacky shirt! I'd wear a 'sexy men' pin-up t-shirt for fun.I'm kind of surprised the sexism issue isn't his lack of professionalism in dress code and if a woman in STEM wanted to be taken seriously, she probably couldn't wear a sexy-men or sexy-lady tee after an important event?	Sexism, Tacky, Woman	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/60	5.0
So you accept his insight on film and pop culture, but his thoughts on gender issues have "ruined" the channel?	Gender	Gender - General	1/20	5.0

therd is a duality to it. cultural a situation is created where a shirt might support sexism AND where women are less interested in STEM sciences. without the culture both might not exist. The problem now is that idealists want to make use of one without accepting the other. If you want to argue that stem numbers are a cultural created situation that could be different, you also have to accept that the shirt effect is cultural created and needs to be unlearned. so yeah, if you want to be liberal, dont be a dick about a shirt, but be invested in teaching CHILDREN about equality	Sexism	Gender - General	1/106	0.943
I wanna get behind these kinds of videos but the medium dictates... well world demogrpahics dictate they cater to the less intelligent. This is not a simple 'I feel to smart for this' (not the t-shirt thing thts clearly dumb) but an inability to feel satisfied by an arguement that is pulling punches to appeal to the 'PC' parties involved	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/60	1.667
why does nobody ever care about men's issues. men have more issues than women, in a lot of that stems from sexism towards men. we always talk about misogyny , but what about femsogyny! it's more prevalent today than ever before but no one seems to care.	Misogyny, Nobody, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/46	6.522
Ethan Rummel I can see this argument, but I think the key difference is the distribution of power. If Kim K feels empowered by expressing her sexuality there is absolutely nothing wrong with that, however the issue occurs when when the female body is commodified for the consumption of men. The issue with the over sexualization of women is that it's dehumanizing. It reduces a woman to an object of sexual desire. It's the difference between being a human being in touch with their sexuality and being a sex object. I don't know that displays of sexuality lower discourse though. I don't think banning expressions of sexuality does anyone any good, but it's important to think about how those expressions are used and who has the power.	Nothing, Sex, Sexual, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	8/127	6.299
what is it im in danger of losing? my job, my livelihood, my career... when these feminist say thing like "white men shouldn't be aloud to be in STEM", then are celebrated by people like you... yes that is scary, and I think that's justified. when these people attack an entire gender and are normalized, yes there is reason to be afraid, and i think that there is no reason for you to underplay this.	Gender	Gender - General	1/75	1.333

Should I hate a man cause he is white? Hmm this world is bent humans are full of hate and misunderstanding, people that just want to be praised or put on a pedestal, feel special in a safe space, to exist within a wall of singular concept and everything else is offensive. Hate for a man cause of the color of his skin, hating gender (men) (women), people who dont understand trans. Its all filled with hate and we are never going to get it right, Hate and lack of understanding only produces more ignorance.	Gender	Gender - General	1/95	1.053
He brings up three statistics that are simple correlations and do nothing to demonstrate any causation. Then he poisons the well of the opposing view by saying that if you disagree, you're probably desperately clutching your sexist privilege. I really like this channel - the film breakdowns and video essays are fantastic - but this is lazy thinking and feels prefabricated by existing SJW tropes. He can definitely do better.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/70	1.429
Alright, I understand his point. But I've been a co-author of a scientific paper, and I must question his numbers. 25 less woman in STEM? When was this publish? 'Cause last time I checked a diploma was required to be in those fields, and there are so many more women that makes it to a University then men... By example, I was an architecture student, a field that used to be male-exclusive, and what about now ? We were mostly women! And in my lab? Most younger researcher were also women. Sure I'm in Canada and stats might be different, but still. I questionned them. And you know what? The real question would be why would that even matter. You'll have to show me correlations between the shirt in question and some other stats that shows that it does discourage women to be in those fields. Without that, it it doesnt have any correlation whatsoever. Personnally, I find badass anime girl empowering. Way more than Cendrillon.	Woman	Gender - General	1/166	0.602
Not your best video bro. You usually have better arguments than this. Adding that something like that guy wearing a shirt might be a reason fewer women go into STEM or as the answer to the other stats is just desperate. The event was not sexist, it was dumb . Not that much for the man wearing the shirt, we all have the right to atrocious fashion choices, but the media frenzy and the general response from the "progressive" side of society, which nowadays, thanks to this outrage culture (that you are not helping to tone down with a video like this), people scream racism , sexism , fascism and homophobia on everything and everyone lately. This is the largest like-dislike ratio I've seen on one of your videos. I suggest you go back to discussing movies and cinematography.	Dumb, Homophobia, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General	4/136	2.941

For evil to succeed, all it needs is for good men to do nothing .—Martin Luther King Jr A lack of self esteem and identity search for scapegoats rather than challenge the people or politicians that make them feel the way they do	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/43	2.326
I think there's often even more to this angle. I've long thought that not only does bigotry in general make people feel like winners but it's often the only way they can get that feeling. If you invest so much into the idea that you're somehow a free speech warrior taking on the oppression of political correctness or something you probably don't have much else going for you. MRAs use their "I'm fighting against the REAL sexism " to justify their inability to interact with women. It's just something when they have nothing else a lot of the time.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/98	2.041

<p>let us address this point by point, first assertion that a mere shirt is the equivalent of a frog signaling its poison, this devalues women in general by implying that a shirt with sexy women created by a woman may make them leave a passion, also it downplays the existence of female scientists like marie curie, they likes of whom i can assure you needed to deal with a lot more than a shirt in order to pursue their goals in an academic field, also using a random troll just to appear reasonable its disingenuous at best.the facts are there but you use fallacies to tie them back to your argument, poisoning the well by making the statement that culture is in some degree misogynistic and that everyone shares the blame, this frames the whole conversation in a negative condemnation tone, using feminists terms, which are horrible to describe reality because they assume prejudice. its also an unnecessary virtue signal that makes impossible the have an honest conversation, at the same time it displays a false dichotomy "if your not a feminist your a sexist or misogynist", parallel to religion, imposes a sin that you have to recognize and work to destroy, feminism=/=women. in this situations i like to apply a modify occam's razor "never attribute to sexism that what can be easily explain by normal human behavior", women are not a group they are individuals who make their own decisions and have their own goals in life, they can use the freedom to be sexy, go to stem or not, and you act like puritan by condemning this actions, correlation does not imply causation. form a collectivist point that your making it does appear that women aren't as interesting in science as men, but that does not mean that an individual woman cant go and join a stem or that a man can go to social science, there averages that show patrons in a demographic, stop using collectivism to imply sexism, its a generalisation you use because you cant use individual cases which are more valid, just like the pay gap you use averages. "bigotry is pleasure" this is the more infuriating thing you said, not because its false ACTUAL bigots do indeed like their prejudices, but your using a label on everyone that practically makes them look like sociopaths and horrible people, for the mere act or being in disagreement with you, do you see why it is wrong to do that?, after labeling everyone a bigot nobody its going to like you.finally i am both annoyed and threatened, annoy because you crucify a guy for a fashion choice he makes on the best day of his career, ruining it because of your intolerance and prejudice, then you morally justify yourself in being such horrible people by saying "misogyny or sexism" and then pat yourself on the back for good job with self righteous Bullshit, moral good its not base on positions, being a feminist does not make you good person your actions or words determine that, and by applauding the effort that feminist made to reduce this man to tears you are bad, is disgusting. i'm threatened of the same happening to anyone else and that mine and everyone's freedom to express yourself in cloth and words its being corroded because of falsehoods and ideological collectivist thought, i am afraid of the lost of liberty</p>	<p>Frog, Misogynist, Misogyny, Nobody, Sexism, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Nationality - French</p>	<p>9/570</p>	<p>1.579</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

I always appreciate his videos for taking a very measured approach to these types of subjects, showing both sides of the argument and ending on an opinion that he identifies with. I know I might be shouting into a void now but I think the whole lack of women in STEM will be fixed gradually. While we see a divide now, within a few generations these gender norms that are lasting from bygone times while fade further and further into antiquity and I feel that wearing a shirt wont stop that. What I am worried about is the pleasure that you mentioned not just in the traditional way but also in a reverse sense. There must be a sense of pleasure felt by the people demanding that these types of images be taken down when they eventually are. I worry that free expression might be damaged by these people and the pleasure they seek.	Gender	Gender - General	1/154	0.649
i also found it interesting that one would think a shirt can influence a group to that level. Perhaps I only see it as an artistic expression of lines that someone worked hard to perfect into the general form of what is seen as a female.I would think otherwise if it were actual women depicted on the shirt, it reminds me of tattoos bikers get on their arms of (generally accepted) attractive women.I had a friend who wore a " gender needs to go" shirt, while this could be seen as polarizing I don't believe they should be made to take it off. simply due to how it's an expression of self; just like the shirt in the vid.	Gender	Gender - General	1/120	0.833
It's amazing what political narratives can do. I personally agree that Matt Taylor's shirt was unprofessional and tactless, and is frankly ugly. I also agree that such things are probable in negatively affecting the self-esteem of young women and how, on the merit of valuing women's worth in society alone, wearing a shirt like that is best avoided. However, I cannot agree with your conclusions or by what means you got yourself there. Evan, is it not obvious now the questions you were begging these three years later? How, in fact, feminism widely came out in favor of Kim Kardashian and what you did here is considered by your this very narrative as slut-shaming? The depictions on Matt's shirt were not unlike Miley Cyrus's at the time. Did she dissuade women from entering entertainment or singing? And now we have proof that Miley wore such images not unlike a shirt herself, that she can easily slip in and out of. Were her images empowering or disempowering? Or was she glorifying a type of sexuality far more damaging than this shirt, an identity that other woman cannot so easily change in their lives because they don't have the resources to do so. However, the narrative you used here would never be applied to her. Why is that?	Woman	Gender - General	1/216	0.463

It's funny how you suggest those of us who are pissed off at feminists/SJWs for being moralizing busy-bodies are "threatened," but then say Kim Kardashian showing off her body is damaging to little girls.Why?What's threatening to little girls about sexually attractive women? Sex has been around since the dawn of life. It's older than advertising and TV by a pretty large margin. Women who have more sex appeal are gonna get more attention. That's just how humans are wired. No amount of lecturing will change that.It's also funny how you admit women are the majority of college graduates, but that's not good enough because they're only 25 of STEM workers. They're only 1 of garbage collectors and sewage workers, but those jobs are icky and gross and no one will think they're smart for doing them, so no one cares.If you were consistent about expecting everything to have 50-50 representation, you'd be downright neurotic. Let people live with the life choices they've made. Whether or not they're happy with them is no one's business but their own.Besides, the people telling me women get bullied out of STEM fields are the same ones telling me gender is a social construct. So fuck 'em.	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	3/207	1.449
Have nothing to lose really. I do feel annoyed at the most vocal members of the communities you mentioned at the end of the video. They do this for personal gain more than they do it for a certain cause... So yeah.. social justice does not annoy me, I support it to a moderate degree. Excessive narcissism on the other hand, does annoy me and those groups are filled with such immature and egotistical people that it's hard to support any idea they stand for.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/85	1.176
I don't think that sexism is the problem. People were threatened for their beliefs since the dawn of civilization, and those that failed were only those that used prejustice as an excuse for not trying. If a girl is discouraged by a shirt for not becoming a scientist, f*ck that girl because she's not really interested in the field. Marie Curie was in a field full of men, yet lost her life in the name of scientific research. She could be like "I don't have to deal with those d*cks judging me, I'll be a housewife doing laundry". Instead, she focused on what mattered. It's a cold world (it's always been) and we can't really except to erase sexism in a decade just by saying how bad it is and showing our tits (which is totally OK). Oprah is a woman of color and got f*cked in the ass by life from birth. I don't see her using the "bad world" as an excuse. Want to erase sexism ? Get to work and expect nothing . Because in order for people to understand that women can thrive, the want to see women actually thriving in something that matters. Being able to demonstrate your body, might seem important, but it has no impact on humanity.	Nothing, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	5/212	2.358

Some of the biggest homosexual bashers are actually homosexuals themselves come to find out	Homosexual	Sexual Identity - General	1/14	7.143
And the answer is no because the people obsessed with racism are usually racist the people obsessed with sexism are usually sexist	Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/22	13.636
Did you ask the question if men were on the shirt and a woman was wearing it would it have sparked the same controversy????????	Woman	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
I think as racists/sexists/xenophobes take pleasure in feelings of superiority, to some degree so do a lot or maybe even most feminists/social justice warriors. Once someone does something racist or sexist, unless they embrace it/double down, society tends to write them off as people of use. They are placed in a box to stay forever, no room for personal growth, no redemption. People who are anti racist , anti animal mistreatment, and other things aren't always open minded people. I've seen many animal lovers state they'd rather humans die than any animal, or anti racists against certain groups proclaim white people are all that's wrong with the world. People love to exclude others from their group.	Racist	Ethnicity - General	2/115	1.739
dude you should check out the documentary "the red pill"	Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American	2/10	20.0
the shirt was designed by a woman btw	Woman	Gender - General	1/8	12.5

<p>I always thought it is weird when people call themselves anti-feminist or anti-'sjw'. I mean I know what they mean. But like, nobody calls themselves an 'sjw' (except ironically) so like there is no one coherent 'sjw' ideology. Similar with feminism. A lot of people can call themselves feminists but have vast differences in opinions. What is important for one woman might not be important for another one. Feminists women can be racist, homophobic, etc. etc. Personally I would call myself a feminist I guess.</p> <p>But it doesn't mean I automatically have a lower opinion on people who aren't feminists, and a higher one of those who are. Somebody telling me 'I'm a feminist' tells me basically nothing at all. I would rather know their opinions on individual tropes than such a vague statement.</p>	Homophobic, Nobody, Nothing, Racist, Weird, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General	6/135	4.444
<p>Basically my opinion boils down to 'I care more about peoples actions and opinions rather than their statements or what they call themselves'(well unless it's something really extreme like someone saying they a nazi or something, then I would hate them right away)</p>	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/44	2.273
<p>I don't think the scientist guy was trying to convey some deep message about the oppression of woman, he's just a weird guy who is a nerdy perv who has shit fashion taste. it's almost funny how innocent it is</p>	Weird, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/40	5.0
<p>I literally cannot fathom why anyone should give a FUCK about what anyone wears and I don't think people should look at something like a goddamn SHIRT when trying to find a cause for gender/race/wealth/privilege inequality.SHIRTS DON'T CAUSE SEXISM. SHIRTS DON'T MAKE A PERSON SEXIST.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/46	2.174
<p>Geez. The U.S. educational system is something that needs to be studied and researched. Y'all crazy.</p>	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/16	6.25

Actually, the choice of shirt says a lot about the man who chose it. It says that he wants to reduce women to cartoons, that he finds women inherently aggressive and punishing and that they make him feel small and a victim. It is what is behind most misogynist behaviors, a fear that women are going to beat them, that they are inherently superior. We ain't. I have been living with this for sixty plus years and am so disappointed to see it still coming up, still being relevant. Pubescent girls are told to wear golf shirts and baggy khakis to class because anything else distracts the boys (hate to tell ya, but the abaya results in as much sexual harassment of women in public as do short-shorts. An Abaya is a black burqa without the eye screen.) Girls and science - we LOVE science. We tend to be very good at science. Heck, chemistry is cooking you can't eat. Quantum mechanics is right up our alleys - we are not naturally linear thinkers and qm is a balancing act of plates on poles. In four or five dimensions. Heck, bring it on! But the erosion starts with breast buds and our first periods, with teachers and parents directing us to make it easier on the boys. With teachers who are still more likely to wait for a boy to raise his hand before allowing a girl to answer. With publications that won't take our work without a man's name over ours. (This even happens in fiction publishing - Nora Roberts publishes her science fiction mysteries under a pseudonym that is gender ambiguous. Rowling was told to not use her first name because no one would buy that kind of fiction from a woman .) It wasn't until 1957 that women could sign for a loan, be the name on a mortgage or car title. I see signs everywhere of politicians and other groups trying to push women back that way. It is what is behind the anti-immigration sentiment - a fear of having to compete.	Black, Gender, Misogynist, Sexual, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	5/345	1.449
Sorry but I disagree this time.Wearing that shirt is not some subconscious "gratification of social dominance". I severely doubt he or anyone else'd wear that shirt with bigotry in mind. The politically correct and socially fair-minded and kindnessminded will see that in it maybe, but the guy in question is one of those "attitude" people. That attitude should not promote bigotry or sexism , but it does because the PC-minded have decided that it does and the protests you will see against it are not misogynist in nature, they're responses to the PC-minded and over-safety, dishonest mentality that has gone off the rails in the last couple of years. It's only discrimination when people decide that it is, and that just makes me question whether people aren't being a bit too sensitive at this point. I could understand if he wore a shirt that said "WHORE" or one with PoC that said "N****" then that'd be a clear sign, but a shirt with some good-looking, objectified women? Come on. There's room for that... unless we decided there suddenly isn't.	Misogynist, Sexism	Gender - General	2/179	1.117

Okay so it's wrong to assume that women just don't like stem fields or that it doesnt matter that some women are offended by a shirt and some aren't but for you to assume that humans take pleasure in "a system they help create" (which also assumes that men willingly or unwillingly have negative biases towards women) is okay???? Fuck you, this is something I am offnded by and that doesnt happen often."By doing nothing they are perpetuating bigotry and intolarance" YOU ARE FUCKING RETARDED Implying people are threatened and then offending them and insulting them to proove your point is UNDERHANDED AS FUCK	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/105	0.952
yeah those statistics might seem troubling. But what makes one say that it's images like these that drive women away from STEM? does any woman ever say "oh i wanted to get into that field but I didn't because anime girls are have such unrealistic bodies." Couldn't there be other factors at play? shouldn't those be adressed? I should make it clear that I'm not annoyed or threatened. I'm not "afraid of losing" anything either. I don't know what he meant by that :/also, and this goes for almost all discussions about diversity—when is it right?when do we know some field isn't biased? does there HAVE to be a 1:1:1:1... ratio of all sorts of groups in all things? are humans really all the same in terms of skills and interests? (I'm not saying inequality don't exist at all. I'm asking when we'll know that it doesn't anymore)	Woman	Gender - General	1/149	0.671

<p>You are not using an objective definition of sexism and that is shown through your use of the term. Just because super heroes tend to be men that are tall and well built and attractive to women didn't at all hurt men's feelings or repel them from watching those TV shows and movies. There are many of them that wear crazy clothing like is put onto many women's clothing in comic illustrations of women. Also women tend to wear these types of clothing in public quite often. Have you ever went to a dance club, bar, college/university, or anywhere where women that are under 30 are?There is a wide variety of things men groom themselves to look like and we don't complain that other men look better or are making our gender look bad. Well, other than skinny jeans, because come on, that's just not healthy for a man. Sexism is just the gendered version of bigotry.Pictures of women on a shirt is not bigotry. It may not be classy or formal or even something you wear to the grocery store but that doesn't make it bigotry. If women dressing like that and taking pictures like that of themselves was bigotry, the clothing industry and Instagram and Facebook would all implode overnight.People really need to get better at the use of valid logic and facts and philosophy (which is where science came from and where heavy duty stuff like ontology and propositional calculus is) before they break society without any real need to.Also, I no longer trust you. You've disappointing me greatly.</p>	Crazy, Gender, Sexism, Skinny	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features	5/266	1.88
<p>Hey, I like a lot of your videos but I find this video particularly...annoying. If you're going to talk about everyday sexism how about you also talk about men? It's frustrating to hear someone bash men up, when it's women who also objectify men into a sex object and cash cows. Hell, whole industries are built around taking men's money. It's a shirt, people should get a grip and if you want to go on a tirade about how evil men are don't forget to include the other sex as well.</p>	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	3/91	3.297
<p>I really this channel, but I just dont care about sexism. Maybe I'll change or maybe I won't but there is too much stuff to care about, because society is asking me to care about all of it and I don't. Is that wrong? Probably, but I still don't care. Keep making videos!</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/53	1.887
<p>What am i afraid of loosing? We are talking about a tshirt. Nothing.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/13	7.692
<p>If seeing an "offensive" T-shirt is enough to dissuade a woman from going into STEM, then should they really have been considering it in the first place?</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/27	3.704
<p>What is really the difference between super invisible cultural norms and insights about gender? 3:20</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/15	6.667

"The dirty secret about racism , sexism and prejudice, is that it's pleasure. It's pleasurable to assert your dominance within a hierarchy that you create"	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/24	8.333
losing privilege, going from being special for nothing to having to put in equal effort	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/15	6.667
I was intrigued and fascinated by many of your videos until this one: your bias seems to be showing. You talk about statistics and facts, but forget (or ommit) the many studies that demonstrate gender preferences for career are largely biological. Even apes display very similar general interests by gender as we do. So do children that have not yet been socialized. I'm not saying there's a perfect balance of circumstances and opportunities for man and women, but mischaracterizing the issues only make them harder to combat.	Gender	Gender - General	2/87	2.299
the shirt was made by a woman	Woman	Gender - General	1/7	14.286
one thing I will say is my atypical daughter wants nothing to do with STEM. What we need to do is encourage our childrens interest no matter that they are (my kid want to ride moto for a living and we are in a position to encourage it...as I said atypical and someone you would thing might like STEM) and let them be them. forcing woman to do STEM will not fix the issue.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/74	2.703
i strongly disagree with you here. Let me give you a simple example to get the point across: Imagine a female caretaker at a Kindergarten. A mainly female work-environment. She is being interviewed while wearing a He-Man shirt. This is a 100 parallel to the situation at hand. Would you call her a sexist for that? Would you say she is keeping men out of her field of employment by that action? Would you say she is contributing to a sexist consent? I dont think any sane person would. I would agree if he had a shirt with a women being forced at the oven with a collar, or a bound naked woman crying or something clearly showing and glorifying a statement about women being inferior. But the way you are reacting is very dangerous in my opinion, towards progress, diversity and individual ability to express oneself. Edit: FIxed a word.	Oven, Woman	Gender - General, Religious Identity - Jewish	2/151	1.325

As a woman who was sexually assaulted, looking at his t-shirt made me feel a deep urge to not give a shit. Srsly, I find the idea more insulting that I ought to care, simply because of fictitious tits on a shirt... That's not how I identify with anything. I feel liberated, because I have the freedom to be emotionally ambivalent about this almost cartoonishly sexist fashion-choice, simply and only because it affects me 0. As long as I don't wake up tomorrow and every man around me starts treating me like the character on the shirt, I'll be able to handle it.	Woman	Gender - General	1/103	0.971
I imagine a woman wearing images of a cartoon created as advertising for a toy brand, is dressed for the job of kindergarten caretaker.	Woman	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
Analysis work well for artistic media, but not when you're over-simplifying the feelings and attitudes of entire groups of people. I almost couldn't get past 3:36; opinions are fine and dandy but the build-up of that music just makes everything you say sound ridiculously condescending.I believe there are legitimate gender inequality issues present in STEM but not so severe such that wearing the wrong shirt is considered important, especially in first-world countries where often girls are being motivated wherever they can to pursue a STEM major. As a female studying and working in CS/Engineering in a first-world country, I cannot stand this constant babying of girls here—often certain opportunities are given (especially in tech) exclusively to women and under-represented minorities (i.e. non-Asians and non-Caucasians) while we are in university. All they've done for me is distance myself further from my male peers who feel slighted at the idea that they have a smaller shot at certain internships and opportunities just because I was born the right sex , despite having a weaker resume.There may definitely be some biases at the graduate and post-graduate level that I'm not aware of so I can only acknowledge that they may exist; I don't know enough to comment further. But my perspective is that if you can be so easily deterred from studying STEM because of someone's choice of clothing, then maybe you shouldn't study STEM in the first place. Sexism in STEM is complicated and goes beyond "STEM hates women". I'm sick of discussions about this issue being focused around shirts and toys. We could be helping eliminate sexism effectively and where it's readily present but instead we're jeering at people's choice of sweaters and character models in video games? What the hell?Sorry if I sound overtly aggressive. I'm young and there are definitely a lot of issues revolving around sexism that I might just not have lived long enough to experience. Your video and holier-than-thou attitude just reminded me how I'm just tired of us women being babied and protected against the big scary real world and told how repressed we are again and again. Your film analysis videos are still pretty damn good though.	Gender, Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	5/365	1.37

I rly wish I hadn't seen this. You're a really smart guy but you seem to have abandoned all skepticism with regard to these particular intersectional slippery slope fallacies.	Slope	Nationality - Chinese	1/29	3.448
"If you're operating on the assumption that women just don't like science as much as men, maybe you're assumptions are following from cultural norms that are so invisible that they seem like insights about gender ". I'm sorry, evolution disproves your theory about humans being blank slates. Men and women have been naturally and sexually selected to have different skills and interests, which explains the immense difference in vocational choices that we see in the most gender -equal societies like Iceland and Norway. Equality won't erradicate these differences, it will strengthen them.	Gender	Gender - General	1/90	1.111

<p>You speak so eloquently, your speech alone is enough to make me want to binge watch all of your videos. (This comment is long and does not at all mention the t-shirt incident mentioned in the video above)This is a really really late comment but personally, I feel that, to some degree, young women are not exactly encouraged to pursue STEM careers. Take it from a 17-year old in a public science high school from a third-world country trying to pursue a career in physics, which is arguably one of the most male-dominated fields in research. In my year, the ratio of boys to girls is two is to one. Overall, there are more males than females in my school. This can mean that when there are issues affecting the student body, such as, let’s say, overpopulation (because our country had just adjusted to a K-12 curriculum and they were not able to build additional dorms because of budget constraints), the males would be given a higher priority than females. In our campus, there are 2 dorms each for males and females. For the past four years the females only occupied one, so the following school year, when the student population was expected to increase by 25, they decided that to give the unused dormitory to the boys. Somewhat reasonable based on the logistics, however, the girls were either forced to cram their already full dormitory to accommodate the new tenants. Some of the girls were forced to vacate the dorm, while the others, like myself, were forced into smaller, more crowded rooms. Unfortunately, the stress accumulated due to the taxing requirements accompanied by the new, less-conducive-to-studying atmosphere of the room. My mental health degraded and I started have panic attacks. I was not able to attend classes for a long period of time–long enough for the higher-ups to consider my dismissal from school. Take into account that I had been performing exceedingly well before I developed severe anxiety. I was one of the very few in our year who was consistently in the honor roll. In the end, the school gave me an ultimatum: either catch up on everything that I missed (which was half a year of work) within two weeks, repeat a year, or withdraw. I chose the last option for the sake of my mental stability. Mine was not an isolated case. At least 20 of my batchmates also developed some form of (clinically-diagnosed) mental illness. Most of us were girls. Our already-dwindling female population in the science high school decreased all the more. Even a close (male) friend of mine would tell me that (non-verbatim) ours is a male-dominated field and always will be because men are really better at math than women. He would tell me this when I ask about his math competitions abroad and inquire on the percentage of females competing and winning the event.Not to worry, though. I still plan on pursuing a career in physics and I don’t think I would consider any other path than that.</p>	Mental, Old	Age - Over 65s, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/509	0.786
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Unfortunately you failed to connect any of the statistics to the presentation of sexualized women in art/media. Yes it is unfortunate that more women do not go into STEM fields, and that the ones who do go into them are taken less seriously. But just placing those numbers next to a feminism-related story does nothing to establish a causal relationship. Numbers only add credibility to an argument if you know how to use them.I think there is also something to be said about the "damned if you do, damned if you don't" mentality in modern feminism in regards to sexuality. Some will condemn it as inherently degrading, while others herald it as empowering. The woman who designed that shirt as a gift for the scientist and encouraged him to wear it on air as a promotion for her designs most probably belonged to the latter of those mentalities.</p> <p>I wonder how she feels about all of this</p>	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/158	1.266
<p>So rather than consider other points of view such as: the main reason why women don't go into STEM fields is because they demand a lot of work, and when such work isn't necessary for a group of people to live, such as having a men (or just their money) to take care of them, then more often than not people of that group are simply not gonna work that hard.Or another point: Sexism is rooted in putting men and women in particular roles and circumstances, particularly having men do the work that needs doing and having women keep safe and rear the coming generation. The reason why we see women as weaker and incompetent compared to men is to keep them in the safe parts of society, which is why we also tend to give them much more sympathy than we would with men (this is why we can have a woman get away with trying to slit a cop's throat with nothing more than a slap on the wrist). So when people complain about something as benign as a shirt because it supposedly oppresses women, they're basically playing into the roles that keeps sexism going.Instead, you'd much rather paint anti-SJWs simply as people who don't want their power taken away...Fuck you and the horse you rode in on. Oh wait, you are the horse, and the one riding you are feminists. And if you think that last statement was an unfair take on you, then maybe you should look at your take on us for a change.</p>	Nothing, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/262	1.527

<p>It is a new idea to me to thinks of sexism as a pleasure like "winning". That really makes a lot of sense to me. No wonder it has been such a struggle for equality. If my work as a scientist is equal to your work as a scientist and we are both equal then science advances, but if my gender equality threatens your sence of pleasure or privilege, then you must continually signal your response to the threat by undermining or devaluing my work or potential work.If you are blind to hypersexualized images of women, just replace in your mind an equally hypersexualized image of a man and see how you feel. If an unrealistic picture of a man With oversized sex organs in scanty clothes inhances your concentration, self esteem, productivity and team spirit in your workplace, I would be surprised. Now surround yourself with those images unpredictable but frequently. Isn't the scientific accomplishment enough. Can't a guy be a "bad ass", just by landing a probe on an asteroid. Does he have to wear a tacky shirt show where else he want to land a probe, too? The ubiquity of porn culture is eroding everyone's dignity, not just women in traditionally male workplaces. Comic book porn shirt makes him look more like a boy than a grown man. It's time we all grow up.</p>	Blind, Gender, Sex, Sexism, Tacky	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Working class	5/228	2.193
<p>Why as a woman should I feel like I can't enter into a stem job because of a sexualized shirt? Why should I feel bitter and disgraced about sex? Sex is normal, and I shouldn't feel bad about sexy women cartoons. This just shows that people expect women to feel wrong about sex. Sex is nature that many living beings part take in.</p>	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	3/63	4.762
<p>I only listened to the Gideon the first time, wasn't paying attention to the screen, and so was curious how sexist the shirt was to provoke such an analysis. I was expecting something a lot more than an artful, drawn shirt that happened to show some good looking women. Seriously? I pity anyone who is so wrapped up in the debate on sexism that in such a report of amazing scientific achievement, all they saw was a not-even-that-sexist shirt.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/79	1.266
<p>I would not per se say that it pleasure is the main point. The main problem is that it is a protective mechanism. It is a way that we were taught to understand the world. The need for catefoorization and the implied negative impacts (sexism, racism etc) is to keep power is structures alive. It is importat to emphasize that most of this is 'just' an automatic reaction that you were taught by your surroundings. That is no excuse but a way to make sense of the problem.</p>	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/88	2.273

Threatened? Pfft! I don't get threatened by others opinions. I do get annoyed by a prude repeatedly reinforcing the same criticism of the same old injustice in the name of a political agenda, that has no objective of correcting any injustices, but politically sterilizing everyone on no basis, save in the name of the unicorn of equality. That's political correctness in a nut shell. I hate the idea, not the useful idiots advocating it. I feel pity for fools, not hatred.	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/81	1.235
The shirt was a gift to him from a female friend who is a fashion designer. Also feminists are cunts who like to reduce grown men to tears on national TV at the apex of their careers, thus ruining what should be the happiest day of their lives. Also no feminist has ever landed a spaceship on a comet. All they can do is dye their hair blue, shit on other people's successes and then accumulate cats in middle age .	Age	Age - General	1/80	1.25
The happiest days of these guys lives are when they're asserting their power of others who are weaker than them, even unconsciously. Nobody is ruining them. They are all wealthy enough to retire comfortably. They just shouldn't be in position where they can ruin the lives of others.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/48	2.083
No one is innocent because our society is a toxic cesspool, the whole human race is shit.	Race	Ethnicity - General	1/17	5.882
A woman designed the shirt.	Woman	Gender - General	1/5	20.0
"The dirty secret about sexism, racism, homophobia " isn't that it's enjoyable. It's that both sides do it.Women can be misogynistic, and Women can be sexist against men. Black make racist jokes against black people, and black people can be racist against white people. Gay people can be homophobic , gay people can be heterophobia.There ARE NO VICTIMS and there is no such thing as one way bigotry.	Black, Gay, Homophobia, Homophobic, Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	13/68	19.118

"It's pleasurable.' You could say the exact same fucking about what you're doing. Does it feel good to be a part of the "pat yourself on the back" club? You're fighting issues that aren't there so YOU can feel like a good person because otherwise you are empty and cynical. You've created a fiction where you are the hero, standing up to a racist and bigoted society. And on top of that you feel the need to justify yourself by speaking up for other people's opinions while offering only one side of the argument. All you are doing is dragging yourself further and further into an illusion where you have to justify your own opinions because critical thinking does not exist, so you reason your thoughts into reality rather than letting them go because maybe they are wrong. I'm sick of this petty view becoming more and more popular but I guess it's easier to be a part of a crowd than to think critically.	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/165	0.606
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Well. Well. Here's my thought: I am thoroughly impressed by so many of the commentors on this video. You have attempted the definition of terms, imposed definitions on these via rhetorocal order, and have used them consistently as best you could. You have often begun with questions. You have kept the focus on plain logic: have maintained strictly the venerable method of pure Rationalism; pure Rationalism being the only possible way of justifying pure Empiricism, truly the only method used by all demagogues on every side of every debate ever. The demagogue's method works thus: The demagogue speaks, "We observe this, we call it that (we have snuck in a value judgement). And we say that this is having that effect--this effect of that being axiomatically bad. . . Therefore. We command you. [Pause]. Smash. It!"The method of the video is merely a fairer version of the above. I am happy there is so much capable argument, and on a democratic scale. That is something to write poetry about 1000 years in the future to honor an ancient golden age.Do you think Athens was quiet? Was France's, then, a quiet riot? "Paris, Venice, the litter of Italian republics. . .When they made these things they were boiling with revolutions."America has been quiet for too long. Blast the brazen horn! Find your manhood. Regret that you have found it! And defend femininity, whatever it may be.That was a bit of fun. But with a point. Maybe we should discover what masculinity and femininity really are before we start prescribing treatments. What are the sexes, are they intrinsic? If not, we must decide if we want make femininity more like masculinity. If so, then what does respect for women really mean? Objectification was never really the question. An ancient Christian may have scolded a certain man that wearing a cloak patterned with erotic women was wrong and then covered her hair. A more extreme female femnist may tell you so and then bare her breasts. It is perhaps a difference in method indicating a disagreement about fundamental things.</p>	Age	Age - General	1/350	0.286
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	---------------	-------	-------

Women compose majority of college graduates, yet they simply choose not to major in STEM fields. That's their CHOICE. People make CHOICES. Now, why aren't you complaining about the falling male college graduation rates? Also, the Labor Department, under Obama administration, already concluded that the wage gap is entirely explained by choice, not sexism . There have been laws in place since the 60's that prevent compensating women unequally for same work. If corporations could pay women less, then they would only be hiring women. Now, let's talk about some real examples of sexism : 90 of workplace related deaths occur to men. Men are forced to register for selective services and potentially be drafted for a war, as they were in Vietnam, where men were forcefully flown into a foreign country to die in a rain of napalm and bullets. That's a possibility men have to contend with every minute of their lives. While women are assured that, in case of war, they will be allowed to stay home. Also, men get their genitals mutilated at birth, occasionally resulting in infant death.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/181	0.552
It has nothing to do with " gender ", which is an unnecessary term anyway. But with sexual biology. There have been countless studies which show that men are naturally better at maths and sciences and that women are better at languages. So naturally more men gravitate to STEM fields and it's a good thing that they do, simply because they are better at it and we would want to have the best scientists we could have in each field. The fact that women are less likely to make it into peer review can be explained through the bellcurve of probability of intelligence. While women cluster around average intelligence, men are more spread out, which means there are way more male idiots but also way more geniuses. Since it's those geniuses that produce a vast number of important scientific discovery it is only natural that men are "overrepresented" in peer reviewed articles. All of this has absolutely nothing to do with cultural norms or sexism . Women are on average just worse at hard sciences.	Gender, Nothing, Sexism, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	5/172	2.907
I respect the balls he had to put up this video, but I respectfully disagree with his arguments. if sexism is invisible, whether it now be visually or physically felt and yet its not felt by the female demographic, then its not sexist. Being offended is on you. It's a choice.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/51	1.961
I work in a STEM field - biology. It is VASTLY dominated by women, about an 70/30 split, except for the few very senior professors. Its fine.	Senior	Age - Over 65s	1/27	3.704

4:47 so the next time you feel annoyed by feminists or sjws or mike from idea channel, take 60 second to think about weather in fact you should do whatever they say because they are morally superior to you. next time you think of wearing your favorite shirt, think again buddy, those woman in slightly sexual positions might just prevent 40 of females with graduate degrees from joining the stem fields.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/71	2.817
Bullying a man because he happens to wear a shirt with bad-ass looking women on it and citing some SJW-type nonsense as justification for doing so is weak sauce. - Your theory is a little girl sees an image of a big-breasted woman holding a gun - and is turned away from science because of it. That's not how interests work. I happen to be a woman , and I happen to hate sports, like many women do. Not because of society, but because I just don't find them interesting. Trying to find an external or societal reason behind my distastes is invalidating to me, and suggests that as a woman my interests are merely the production of what society has told me to be interested in. Likewise, trying to blame society, "the man" or " sexism " for not as many women going into STEM careers, is like saying women aren't responsible for their own lives and have to be "helped" into those fields. That's about as sexist as it gets.MAYBE - and this is going to piss some people off - MAYBE just MAYBE women, on average, are just not into the same things as men are? MAYBE women have different operating systems, and different things that excite them...because oh..... I dont know...Thousands of years of evolution? Why aren't we doing a study on why more men aren't interested in being daycare operators?	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	4/234	1.709
In my opinion, the problem wasn't that people were pissed at feminists and others genuinely offended by the shirt. The problem was that people made this a much bigger deal than it really was. Was the shirt offensive? To some, it probably was. But from my perspective, it looked more like a bandwagon trend people jumped on so they could feel good about fighting for women's rights. It's easy to hate on some guy for his shirt and feel good because you're "fighting against sexism " or whatever. It's hard to actually fight for women's rights whether it's the wage gap, abortion rights, sexual assault you name it. I think that's why people thought this whole shirtgate thing was stupid-because fighting so fervently against what some guy wore is stupid if you're not actually fighting for women's rights. Just my opinion	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	2/141	1.418

Generally speaking, women just aren't that into science. Not that they can't be, and not that there's a problem when they are. Marie Curie, for example, was one of the most important scientists in history. But, neurologically speaking, women operate differently than men. Men's brains tend to navigate structural problems better, while women's tend to navigate interpersonal problems better. Not that this matters, but it does help to explain the lack of women in STEM fields. Assuming oppression without evidence does not. It's okay for women to be scientists, and it's okay for them to not. It's okay for men to be nurses, counselors, and elementary school teachers, and it's okay for them to not. But obsessively demanding a 50/50 gender split in all occupational fields will do nothing more than drive people into fields they don't really want to be in. Nobody demands a 50/50 split in sewage workers, for example. Everyone should aspire to the job that they want, and statisticians should get over the "representation gap." To assert that sexism is the cause of this gap is to assume your conclusion. And also, people need to get over "objectification." It's not misogyny , it's advertising, and it happens to men as well. Advertising is inherently objectifying. Just looking at someone is objectifying them, the only thing that is immediately apparent by someone's appearance is their appearance. Objectification of women isn't keeping women down, there's really nothing much keeping women down in America. Online feminist groups should try and focus more on the problem areas of the world. This "Shirtgate" nonsense distracted from the fact that Saudi Arabia, a member of the U.N. human rights council, doesn't let women drive. Or go places unsupervised. Or dress themselves. Or much of anything. But no, the shirt thing is definitely more important.	Gender, Misogyny, Nobody, Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/301	1.993
this sounds like bullshit there is this magical sexism that only the illuminated people can see! and the average person cant notice them1!!!! MAAAGIIIIIC!!!! thats like claiming people have body thetans and they just dont see it its bullshit	Sexism	Gender - General	1/40	2.5

<p>a) That shirt was made and gifted to him by a womanb) Women are favored 2/1 in stem fields, meaning that in a field with a limited amount of positions, some men must be discriminated against because of the way they were born. Which, I think most modern would agree... is disgusting and is the antithesis to everything social justice has accomplished in recent times.c) The difference between Matt Taylor and Sam Pepper is that the latter was actively literally (not hyperbole) sexually harassing the women in his video and then trying to get other people framed for his literal crimes.Would there be the same guys if a woman wore a similar shirt but with men on it in a field like nursing or fashion? I doubt you would even hear about it.d) At 2:02, are you saying that mens' images aren't abused for advertising's sake? Every commercial that comes out targeting men is like "gotta have this to make you sexy" "gotta have that to make you appealing to women." Just Google Abercrombie and Fitch or Old Spice ads and you'll see what I'm talking about.Honestly, of people like sexy people, then why shouldn't advertisers be able to hire sexy people? I think that you see what you are looking for, everyone is affected by confirmation bias, but if you try and take a more objective look at the world, you can negate the effects of it.Sources:a) [LINK] b) [LINK]</p>	Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	3/248	1.21
<p>it truly can be a touchy feeling in a way, as well as it can be nosense to even consider it a problem at allbasically, if the man was wearing the same thing but with a bunch of sexualized men there would be probably no impact at all, other than weird vibeit feels dumb to see someone fight so much due to a shirt, but then again everything we see in a video sometimes is over-analyzed, guess people just decided to pick up on this one due to background history of this subject having already female and male dispute problems in the pasti guess the best method to take here would have been for him and his co-workers to all have more jackets on with different patterns of over sexualized women and men and keep running this like a gag for a while ++++++equality++++++</p>	Dumb, Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/147	1.361
<p>The shirt may seem like a small thing, but it deserves to be talked about. The kind of sexism which doesn't hurt anyone overtly is by far the most persistent kind.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/31	3.226

is there any credence to the idea that men as a group are generally better than women at STEM, even discounting all historical evidence of this as due to misogyny , surely the well-understood differences between female and male brains supports this - autism and subgroups of it such as aspergers are very common in the best scientists and mathematicians - autism is also far more prevalent in men (essentially non-existent in women) and is even referred to within psychology as 'the extreme male brain'. Autistic traits make a person more likely to go into, and succeed within, STEM and men generally exhibit far more of these than women. Not that related to the vid but... any argument against this?	Common, Misogyny	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/119	1.681
I took 30 seconds. In fact I´ve take a lot more time and research, and I still feel annoyed by the professional victimhood and fake outrage from many SJWs. People are entitled to their emotions, but emotions are not facts. And just because you FEEL that a certain situation, person or object is "wrong", "bad" or "sexist" doesn´t necessarily make it so. Apart from the histrionics and often misplaced outrage and consequences that follow, the SJW movement as a whole does something worse: It often completely removes the focus from REAL issues that ACTUALLY need solving. The more time we (us, the media, politicians etc) spend debating very small or even completely fake issues, the less time we spend on things that could have made a difference in areas where feminists and the SJWs (allegedly) want to see change. Wanna stop sexism ? How about the SJW and feminists protest the Kim Kardashians of this world? How about challenging the women of Instagram, FB and the plethora of other social media, who unapologetically use sex to promote themselves and/or get a shortcut to the good life? How about being pissed off at female pornstars for making it super easy for all men to be sexist and objectifying women?But no. Because the root of the problem is an underlying hypocrisy: If a woman makes bad choices "the Patriarchy made me do it!". Unless she adamantly stands by her choices, because then she´s a "strong woman ", or "a sell-out" depending on how SJW you are. And the pendulum of hypocrisy keeps swinging merrily: When a woman strips naked and shows her tits to get attention, she is "a strong, independent woman ".When a man stares at her titties, he is a misogynistic, sexist pig who objectifies women. Seems to me that (according to a lot of feminists and SJWs) women demand equal rights, as long as they can reserve the right to be a victim when it suits them. Seems to me, that "equal rights" these days has nothing to do with rights, and everything to do with entitlement.But let´s not get into that issue.Instead, let´s focus on a man with a shirt.	Nothing, Patriarchy, Sex, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	8/363	2.204

Wonderfully explained!By the surreal amount of affected answers, i would sugest to talk about about sexism trough Patriarchy and Colonialism, but im afraid that in that dialogue at somepoint you got to talk about Capitalism... and that will trigger too much hurt in our deep rooted culture of slaves with pleasure trough domination delusion.	Patriarchy, Sexism	Gender - General	2/55	3.636
I would like to see a day when people are just as happy to celebrate the sexualization of women as they are the empowerment of women to embrace career paths and identities that have as much or as little to do with sexuality as they choose. Sexualized imagery is only hurtful if it's the only message women receive: IE "You can be this, and only this, if you want to be desired." I think that's both unfair and untrue. I think any modern movement should be less concerned with tearing down sexualized imagery and more concerned with promoting imagery that celebrates the diversity of choice that women could have provided society gave them un-biased opportunities (it currently doesn't). The problem of sexualized imagery is that by attacking it, you're just introducing more shame onto women by telling them that it's not ok to be attractive. I'd like to see society change in a way that is more permissive and hands-off, so that men and women are free to live however they decide without consequence or fear of resentment from other human beings. Controlling a person's behavior by ridiculing their sexual identity, needs, or expression, is as old as agriculture and getting kinda stale, yo. I'd like to hear your thoughts on this Nerdwriter and would be open to changing my opinion if there's something I don't know. But, I also understand that I'm commenting on a 3 year old video. I'm a newer subscriber and I'm late to the bandwagon. I love your work!	Old, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	3/254	1.181
That conclusion is wonderfully thought-provoking. If working against sexism is about shifting the status quo, and that's going to be taking away things from the group of dominance (e.g. deriving normalised pleasure over another social group).No wonder there is a sense of loss in that - and that gets mistaken for pain of oppression (rather than pain from the loss of privileges).	Sexism	Gender - General	1/63	1.587
Really!? Fucking really!? The shirt does not matter, it was DESIGNED by a woman , the people who focused on his shirt and think its acceptable to ruin the day a that should have should have been one of the best days of his life over a fucking SHIRT! They should be ashamed of them selves	Woman	Gender - General	1/55	1.818

he's right. sexism , racism , are so insidious. if you asked me years ago if i were sexist or racist , i would have laughed and said no. but i had an event in my life that lead me to really get introspective and i found some disturbing things in myself, like sexism & racism . i think everyone is sexist and racist , at least in some ways, and these feelings influence our actions & beliefs in ways that we don't always realize. it's an uncomfortable thing to do, but we'd all be better off if everyone got really honest with themselves about why they're doing the things they do. most often it's because of insecurities.	Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/113	5.31
What?! I'm a woman who grew up watching Xena: Warrior Princess and she looks pretty much the same and "sexualized" as those women on the shirt. It never made stay away from STEM fields, I'm a biologist now and it has never occurred to me that wearing skimpy clothes can't coexist with science. That stuff doesn't even make any sense to me, maybe it's a US thing. Do you mean what a male scientist wears on his shirt suggests something about what women should do about science or clothes or what... Maybe if you Americans weren't so obsessed with demonizing sexuality then you would realize that looking sexy or wearing skimpy clothing isn't a bad thing. At least it isn't seen as demeaning or bad in Europe. Women wearing skimpy clothing is all about empowerment and the right to do so.	Woman	Gender - General	1/141	0.709
Maybe we are afraid of losing our freedoms and our voice when these dumb cunts ask for censorship on the internet and in real life because they are "offended". I care far less about quotas and statistics than I do about freedom for everyone.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/44	2.273

how the fuck does the coloring scream stay away to women when it was given to him by a fuckign woman ? What give>? How comes? Oh wait, it's just a stupid meaningless comparison, maybe his beard screams stay away to me but I'd never make him cry, apologize and lose his job, cause I'm an adult, nto a fuckign 5 year old that can't understand complex though, if pics of unreal looking women makes you stay out of stem it's sure you'd never get in anyway, cause you're a dumb fuck! go do gender studies and be unhireable. Go listen to Osho, you people need it. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE SHIRT, wtf is wrong with you dude? you're supposed to be smart. It's media's fake outrage just do make a easy cash grabbing fake news, IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH SHIRT, IT'S EASY CASH AND FAKE OUTRAGE!!!! sexism means nothing today anyway. LOOK AT ARTICLES 5 YEARS AGO, FEMINISM IS NEEDED IN 3RD WORD COUNTRIES, DAMN YELLOW PAGE JOURNALISTS CUT THE 3RD WORLD THING JUST TO CONTINUALLY MILK IT FOR CASH, AND IF YOU THINK THAT'S NOT TRUE, PLEASE SHOW ME EVIDENCE WHY FEMINISM IS NEEDED IN THE WEST RATHER THAN MIDDLE EAST and why no one does anything about the second part. Oh wait, they just like being perceived as raichest and be the good guys, they don't care about anyone but themselves. HOW the fuck can you people not see this?	Dumb, Gender, Nothing, Old, Sexism, Woman, Yellow	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Asian (East-China, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam), Gender - General, Nationality - Chinese, Nationality - Japanese, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	11/247	4.453
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	-------

<p>There’s no confusion about what we were afraid of losing. When a hard working, intelligent, dedicated, and apparently nice guy like Matt Taylor can be brought to tears by self-anointed moral crusaders because of a shirt, we have ventured into dangerous territory. You talk about how racists and sexists and the like derive pleasure from their sense of superiority and power over others, and you are absolutely correct, but this is the same thing happening with people who go around saying that everything under the sun is somehow prejudiced and harmful. They are taking pleasure in asserting their dominance over others by shaming them, turning them into a pariah, over barely perceptible slights. This culture of hyper-sensitivity pushes society further from the ideal that its adherents, as well as many of its victims, desire. In hindsight, we can see that this is exactly what happened with the Clinton campaign and why it was such a failure. More than any candidate in the last two decades, Clinton spent much of her time campaigning attempting to shame the opposition, and the results have clearly been disastrous, having the exact opposite effect to what was intended. This is what we were afraid of losing; the once liberally minded, socially conscious, free-speech advocating left has been corrupted by this authoritarian and hateful ideology that is no more self-aware of its own hypocrisy and the harm it does than it claims Taylor was of his shirt and its supposed detrimental effect on girls’ ambitions.Regarding differences in male and female career choices, and gender norms in general: there is a plethora of evidence from multiple lines of scientific inquiry that supports the hypothesis that there is a biological basis for the observed differences in male and female preferences. Obviously, this doesn’t say anything about whether or not there is sexual discrimination in STEM fields, but it should at least make one pause and think critically when presented with some sex-based statistics so as to avoid committing a base-rate fallacy error.</p>	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	2/335	0.597
<p>Dr Taylor’s apology broke my heart. You can tell he is a good man, worthy of praise rather than criticism. He was used as a scapegoat for an imporant subject matter that truly affects millions, but that truly has nothing to do with wearing such kinds of shirts, or even liking such kinds of aesthetics. Dr Taylor deserves a global apology, especially now, when halfwitt public officials like trump are elected for office. A man’s taste is not to be put in question, so far as he is not deliberately hurting anybody, your capacity to feel hurt by others is no justification for acting against them and making them feel hurt in return. A good man cried, and felt deep regret and sorrow and shame for just being himself. Dr Taylor deserves better. The scietntific community deserves better. Our children, who want to live in a free world where personal tastes are accepted if not necesarily shared, deserve better. Best wishes.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/161	0.621

There's nothing wrong with female sexuality. I love dressing sexy, wearing make up, perfume...and all ugly feminists need to understand that we (pretty feminine girls) enjoy male attention. I don't feel insecure when I see such images in media in fact I like it.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/44	2.273
As I've gotten older I'm questioning my mindset towards certain beliefs more. For example, I noticed that my first reaction to a rape accusation was that of disbelief. Growing up I thought women should cover themselves up and that wearing certain clothing was worthy of abuse. That should a woman have several sexual encounters over the course of her life made her a whore. That a woman's greatest contribution to life was being a mother/wife and that she should have no other aspirations, especially not those that were suited for men. The more I thought about it the more I realised I was a misandrist. None of those ideas were really based on anything, but instead seemed to have been absorbed through societal ideals and enforced by an insecurity I wasn't aware of, that used other women as means for poorly building on my self worth through an ill educated sense of morality. I only wish I had realised these things about myself sooner but that I will as time goes on, question long standing ideas for their merit as opposed to the pleasure I get from them, especially if the end result is an attempt to take away the ability for others to live their lives the way they see fit. I endeavour to never again accept an idea without looking at it from as many angles as possible before making a decision. This I can at least pass on to my daughter in hopes that she will be more compassionate and understanding of others than I have been.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/260	0.769

As a feminist, I have to disagree. Yeah, I think the shirt is a part of negative images of women, and yeah, I do think it has an effect on how people think (and before anyone says that it infantilizes women to say that they're influenced by things like that, everyone is influenced by their environment; there's no such thing as a personality or choice that isn't). People don't realize the influence because they take it for granted, but I do think it matters. But this was just one instance; the guy wore the wrong shirt, and it wasn't great, but he probably just didn't think about it. He absolutely did get skewered, if not here, then plenty of other places. And that's ridiculous; everyone makes gaffes from time to time. The thing to do is talk to the person; usually, they'll apologize, be more careful in the future, and everyone moves on with their lives. And honestly, one shirt choice is the least of reasons why women might feel unwelcome in STEM fields. Why was everyone suddenly attacking him? I'll tell you why: bandwagon mentality. It became something to talk about and have an opinion on. And people felt self-righteous about those opinions, on both sides. But I think THAT is the main reason people don't want to hear it: it's less about talking about attitudes toward women, and more about trying to make yourself look more enlightened than everyone else. It's true that people don't want to give things up, but when you bring things up in a more down-to-earth context, they might disagree, but they're a lot less likely to get hostile. I actually think one of the biggest problems facing modern Feminism is its own sanctimonious attitude; nothing makes people shut down faster than acting like you're better and/or smarter than them. Fortunately, I do think there's a movement against that trend, with people saying things more like, hey, I'm not trying to say you're bad or stupid; this just bothers me, and here's why. I don't even think you have to give up everything that has some sexism in it... but that's a different subject.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/359	0.557
An exceptional explanation of the root of sexism and so many other issues: racism , homophobia to name a few. Thank you for this!	Homophobia, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	3/23	13.043
the shirt was very much like dressing up as a black person for Halloween. My people (in this case women) are not a pattern for you to use for your purposes (in this case he wanted to seem 'chill' I guess).	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/41	2.439

<p>I've been ruminating on a term that has become ubiquitous on youtube in as diverse discourses as politics, sports, gaming, and flaming. That term is being someone's "bitch." Although it is used to describe the dominance of one allegedly straight man (Putin) over another allegedly straight man (Trump), it originates from, and correct me if I get this wrong, the disempowered position of a female dog beneath the male dog that is humping her. The use of this term even by what I believe are enlightened, progressive people perpetuates, I believe, the rampant verbal sexualization of all competition. I believe the term, being someone's bitch, has extended into the gay community as well so that in those relationships, too, instead of venturing into new, egalitarian modes of communion simply parrot all inside traditional heterosexual relations that keeps the politics of power alive. Women use this terminology as much as men, and never before have I heard articulated a reason for its popularity until I watched this video: the pleasure of domination. So long as we slice up domination and focus on all the "isms" like racism, sexism, etc. We keep the lens focused on the victims instead of what inspires a perpetrator to act out aggression. I think it is indeed this pleasure of domination, which is reinforced in myriad ways throughout our culture, so much so that we don't blink when we hear of someone being another's "bitch." It's a sort of pathology of competition, the threads of which are so woven into the fabric of our society as to appear, as you say, invisible. To this day, for example, few people know who Lynn Margulis is or the era of symbiogenesis she introduced to evolutionary theory, effectively bringing to an end the view that survival meant solely competition. Our vocabulary egregiously lags, ever tapping into medieval concepts of brute dominance when in fact at every turn, cooperation has been shown to be as much a key to success as any other.</p> <p>Some people might see a shirt like that as a bunch of admittedly over-sexualized "kick-ass bitches" and admire those images of women as somehow empowering to the female. Some people might see a shirt like that as objectifying women, belittling the significance of their intellect, and blaming the lack of women in important STEM fields on the biases that are obviously alive and well. Then there are others who might question why it is seen as admirable for women to enter the traditionally male arena of competition, even sexual competition, testosterone hype, and the politics of dominance.</p> <p>Do claiming those things add one iota of freedom and empowerment to the state of women? How can it when we still have in our language terminology like "being one's bitch," which immediately connotes that the traditional position of the female is that of disempowerment? Do we then somehow empower that position? Or do we summarily divorce it from its origin? I don't know. But it seems to me an important question.</p>	Gay, Racism, Sexism, Sexual	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	5/502	0.996
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

I still don't dislike the shirt. I do feel threatened: I feel like these people threaten my freedom of expression. I'm sure sexism exists, but I'm not sure we're dealing with it appropriately by focussing on something so benign.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/39	2.564
every single one of my female friends are interested in science and want to make a career out of it while almost all of my male friends want NOTHING to do with maths and science. I feel like I live in a very VERY protected and open environment, you know? girls are always encouraged to do what they want just as much as guys are and people in my school are excepting of literally everything, we dont have that kind of highschool drama you read about in teen fiction books.which is why I think the only reason women seem uninterested in science is because they have grown up learning that it isn't for them....and that makes me really sad.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/120	0.833

I suppose the point I raise has already been raised ad nauseam sempiternam, but... just on the off chance that someone may not have heard it, or may want to engage... the question to me is the correlation. When you claim that Matt's t-shirt is 'part of a culture' that 'influences women away from STEM' (Mike's apt comparison with the colors of poisonous frogs sort of summarizes it rather well), what I miss is the evidence other than the fact that there are fewer women than men in STEM fields. As the saying goes, correlation does not imply causation, and one should always be distrustful of easy "just-so" stories. The truth to me is that we still don't have a good science of "social engineering" that can really answer the question, 'what is the expected impact of this or that element (be it a work of art, an idea, a tendency, a meme, an ideology, a scientific fact...) on society as a whole?' To pretend that we do – that there is a simple causal relation between "sexualized images of women (or men) in the media" and **sexism**, for instance, because "it's obvious" – begs the question. There is research supporting this idea, and there is research supporting the opposite idea – at best this is far from being a settled question. But, as you said... the dirty secret of **sexism** – and of anti-**sexism** – is that it's a pleasurable way of asserting dominance. If a group can dominate the narrative – "sexualized images" > "**sexism**" – and silence other narratives, or at least make them avoidable for thinking people... then what have we escaped from, really? Certainly not oppression, as it is now understood. "**Patriarchy**" is not in itself a bad concept; like, say, "primitive society", it is simply trying to capture some sort of anthropological intuition about society. It's the use that is made of it that can be, and often is, cringeworthy. "Primitive society", which could have been simply a technical term, became offensive because of its use to justify evolutionist views of human groups, thus placing higher importance and higher worth on our own group – the danger of bad ethnocentrism (there is a good ethnocentrism, but that's a topic for a different post) is quite obvious. "**Patriarchy**" as a justification for anything that is seen as (as opposed to being proven to be) bad for women (or men as a **gender**, lately) similarly begs the question. Boogeymen never really explain anything, because they don't exist. A number of things that are bad for women as a **gender** (or for men, for that matter) probably have much deeper (and surprising) roots than the idea of "**patriarchy**" gives them credit for. Here, as was the case for 'primitive society', the danger of (over)simplification and reification – of assuming that "**patriarchy**" is something material, like a bunch of sexist **pigs** hiding somewhere and controlling everything, rather than a complex and basically still unexplored system of relations – may end up with this word, "**patriarchy**", becoming similarly dangerous and ultimately counterproductive. As I watch would-be SJWs consistently misrepresent problems and their solutions in the internet, the end result – '**patriarchy**' been reduced to just a word used to silence certain people, e.g. white men, which is what MRA's are trying to do to it – seems woefully unavoidable.

Gender, PIGS, Patriarchy, Sexism

Gender -
General,
Nationality -
Southern
European

11/560

1.964

sometimes i have the impression at least 50 of sexism comes from women towards other women/women in general but appearently only men get picked on for this development. You have another opinion? Please share it with me :)	Sexism	Gender - General	1/38	2.632
Sexually derived social power is not oppression, nor is it forced. Feminists are idiots. None of this stuff is "cultural stereotypes", or "oppression" but evolutionary psychology. Men and women are objectively, scientifically, on average, different. This is proven. And the reasons for it, sex hormones, are proven in their effect on behaviour. Its "women and children first" because men lay down their lives, instinctively - testosterone makes men protective of women and children. The reason why- if you can't work that out, go back to school (its offspring survival). Men work longer hours at work, have longer tenure, because they are providers, same reason as above. Both things are built into testosterone. So are a whole host of other evolutionary behaviours. Women want to attract the best possible mate, they are the choosey ones, they aim up the social chain. Pregnancy has a high cost, so mate selection is a priority. Men are concerned about genes and health, so a display of health and genes is employed to attract the best possible mate. So they sexualize themselves . Repeat, women sexualize themselves. For their own advantage . They are sexual , and social. This is built into oxytocin and estrogen. It is why less women enter stem fields, because women are people orientated on average, rather than thing oriented on average, like men. Hence, they CHOOSE, teaching, nursing, secretary etc. Because of sex hormones. These are more rewarding socially. Not everyone thinks about dollar bills or quarks, or equations all day, and that doesn't make someone less valid. There is no "cultural oppression" or " gender stereotype threat", there are on average behavioural differences that persist across cultures and across history . These are basic differences between men and women. It is the absolutely known, established and strong effect of sex hormones. Ladies If I can live with cut guys parading with their shirts off, you can live with sexy cartoon ladies. Get over it, and hey, maybe get to the gym, if you feel that threatened by the social power of other women (even when they are cartoons, lol). If you want to get into science, get into science. Not only is no-one stopping you, you'll be hired preferentially at a ratio of 2 to 1, lol. As for the author of this video, Nerdwriter - read up on some legit evolutionary psychology. Study the known biology of sex hormones. Science is not confused or ambiguous about this stuff, our culture is. When you read the legitimate, unbiased science outside of the bubble of feminist mythology, I know your a smart guy, it will really open your eyes, trust me. Stop drinking the cool-aid, and use that muscle between your ears.	Gender, Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	6/447	1.342

<p>I love your channel, I'm a big fan of what you do, and I'm not saying you took a completely invalid angle, or that you couldn't have made a valid point, but it appears to me that you just made the argument that if someone feels differently from you, they're probably not really feeling enough, and should take some time to feel more. I'm a science major, I work with women in my classes and research everyday. They are PhDs, grad students, and undergrads. Those who are passionate about the sciences, just are. I've never experienced this "boy's club" mentality. To pay the bills I work in a small, locally-owned company and I definitely experience it there, so I don't feel like it's something I'm blind to. And, as a science major, I can tell you for a fact, no researcher cares about the gender of the author in a potential citation. None. Most of the time you can't even tell who they were, as most published names have an initial for first letters, and there is no way, no way that gender would somehow quell the hunger we all feel when we're making an argument in an abstract to our own research paper or grant proposal. Maybe that doesn't make sense to someone who's not in the sciences, but there is a desperate, desperate hunger to build substantial arguments, and I can't even imagine a proclaimed misogynist googling each author for a citation, just to make sure there weren't any women in there... Some publications have upwards of 20 authors! Can you imagine the time requirement necessary to be that petty? Not to sound self-important, but those of us in the sciences have way more pressing matters absorbing our time than gender exclusion. With that in mind, the citative(made up word) disparity is entirely fascinating if it really exists. Given there's no easy way to tell gender, this is an issue that should be studied at length. I'd have to see if I thought the stats held up on the citation disparity, but if they do and I decide to do a lit analysis to pad my CV I'll post back with a link to my findings. For now I should probably stop wasting time on youtube and get back to my Linear Algebra homework. Though I think you probably missed the boat here, or at least temporarily squandered an opportunity to seriously explore a valid issue, I do love your work. Please, keep it up my friend.</p>	Blind, Gender, Misogynist	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	6/419	1.432
<p>So we can't "assume gender" but people can tell us what shirts to wear.....</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/14	7.143
<p>A guy wearing a shirt a woman doesn't like doesn't prevent her from pursuing a STEM degree. Sorry, I can't get on board with this one.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/26	3.846

it's a 'another drop in the ocean' type of thing. There are social groups who have been (and are) exploited and put down. We are now in an interim period of escaping it and every tiny pull in the downward direction is felt stronger because of the awareness of past events.It's like casting a black kid as a maid in a school play. Nothing wrong with it, someone has to and the kid might have even volunteered, but still the teacher will squirm and make someone else do it	Black, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black	2/89	2.247
This video generally lacks perspectives: It assumes everything (extreme) feminists advocate. Maybe Evan's assumptions "follow from cultural norms so invisible they seem like insight about gender ." For this to be a proper nerdy piece of work, we need to also play with ideas like male disposability etc.. Especially a guy talking so much about science and the scientific method (by the way; facts and statistics are not the same. Statistics are inherently up for interpretation, facts are not). Though it is the case that feminism is a popular thing. When Evan wants viewers he should do entertaining popular stuff.	Gender	Gender - General	1/99	1.01
you get a lot of pleasure out of demonizing men and projecting your own sexism and racism onto others.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/19	10.526
I'm a female undergraduate is STEM. Nice to know my future career prospects. Don't give a shit about the shirt. Interesting perspective on sexism NerdwriterI love you video's :)	Sexism	Gender - General	1/29	3.448
The thing to remember is this, there are women that will say they are not offended by that shirt. But it is difficult to know whether they say that because have grown numb to it or simply overlook sexism in that form because they are barraged with it and can't do anything about it anyway. I still know plenty of women who don't make a fuss because no one listens. And they get shit for expressing themselves. So until women don't feel like they will be attacked for their opinions when they run counter to bro dude culture, we may not be able to get a true reading on how they really feel about things.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/115	0.87
I'm super late to the party but if a woman doesn't go into the STEM field because of a shirt that's on her.	Woman	Gender - General	1/23	4.348

The shirt was made by a woman . A dear friend of Matt Taylor. He wanted to appreciate her work by wearing her presend on his greatest day. Yet feminists had nothing better to do than to attack him, telling him to kill himself, sending dead threats... for a shirt he worn... for a gift... for showing passion to a dear friend...What does this tell you about the feminist culture? Think about it, who is the real enemy here? Who is afraid of losing influence?With this video you played yourself dear sir.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/93	2.151
Wellp, now we have a president who says things like, "you can grab 'em by the pussy "welcome to 2017.	pussy	Nationality - Chinese	1/20	5.0
I don't fell pleasure for being a straight cis white male. I don't fell superior because of it. When I see that shirt, I just see the taste of a guy for his shirt, nothing more. You are interesting, but I do believe that you are wrong about this subject, in this video. I find it annoying that people are attacking this guy for his shirt that his female friend gave to him. I live in Montreal, where that we don't have sexism that is existing like in some countries, if we have it it's incredibly minuscule... When I see people putting efforts to destroy that guy's life instead to help doing real change about real issues, for example, rape in India, I do find it annoying...	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/127	1.575

<p>While this video makes some very valid points (though certainly appears to examine them through a pretty one sided lens), it closes with a pretty awful strawman. It strongly implies that those people that are annoyed by SJW's are actually threatened rather than annoyed. Not only does it couch this as a neutral ("ask yourself which you feel) while making a thinly veiled accusation ("you're probably threatened"), it also means that your fallback position ("I'm not threatened") is one of a trivial emotion ("annoyance"). It's a classic debate strategy cleverly (or, hopefully, unintentionally?) used as a closing argument to the video. People can defend a scientist who they feel is wrongfully maligned for reasons other than annoyance at his accuser. The lack of civil debate that occurred (yes, on both sides of the issue) is the real argument that most people have against SJWs right now. Frequently, those people on the side of SJWs (and I realize I'm painting with a broad brush stroke here... my goal is not to equate all SJWs... it's convenient short hand here) want to create binary situations (he's either for us or against us) out of grey situations. They want to stifle commentary. They do this either by shouting louder or, in Mike's case (and I say this as an avid subscriber to Idea Channel), use lengthy sentences and big words to sound objective and academic while displaying a veneer of elucidation when persuasion is their actual intent. I like intelligent discussion. I like coming at these things with an open mind. It's been 2 years since this event (debacle?) and I think we can all agree that the number of women harmed by this man's shirt was probably small. The amount of change that has been made in a predominantly masculine industry has been minute at best. And the amount of reasonable discourse that has actually been made here has been slim to none. Getting outraged (triggered?) at these things doesn't advance the cause. It sets it back. Over and over and over again. There are people that want these things to change but they don't want to do it by torching the whole system or by demonizing those individuals who succeeded within the system they grew up in. Lastly, PLEASE, read some broadly sourced academic literature on pay disparity. There is soooooo much more going on then I think you realize. Pay disparity has many, many factors and some of them deserve a very different discussion. Currently, recent female graduates actually out earn men.</p> <p>Given the historical bias in favor of men, this is pretty surprising. How much pay disparity is due to job steering? How much due to education steering? How much due to different priorities and what portion of those priorities are biological vs. sociological? How much should society work to subsidize the biological end of those equations given the (in this at least) competing goals of fairness and procreation? These are all difficult questions that do not have clean moral answers. The involve competing interests with valid arguments on both sides. Demonizing the other side and just saying that they're wrong and should go "fuck off" does no good when the goal is to find a solution that everyone can live with. I know this video is two years old. I know this was a lot of writing. Hopefully you still read these and glean something from this.</p>	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/569	0.176
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	----------------	-------	-------

Hey, I really like your work and I have no comments on the actual point of your video but as you say that many people don't stop a second to think that there is an invisible social reason to why woman have different interests (even though most people contemplate this option at one point or another) I must ask. Have you, and everyone else making this point, stopped a second to think that maybe our brains work, generally, differently and thus our different interests? There are plenty of studies that show this to be right, far more in quantity an quality than those that atune with your point.	Woman	Gender - General	1/108	0.926
i took 60 seconds, i feel annoyed. And also words like misogyny have meanings, even dull people can tell when you're using them wrong.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
yall... its not the shirt itself thats the issue here. its that so many people think it's perfectly acceptable to wear that shirt- that its acceptable to objectify women.also, the difference between sexualizing a woman and letting a woman do what she wants- be in the porn industry, be a nude model, that sorta stuff: when you're sexualizing someone or a group of someones, they dont really have any say in the matter. is a woman decides to portray herself as sexual , she has made that decision herself rather than letting someone else make that decision for her.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	4/99	4.04
Women's sexuality should be treated like the N word, Its only okay when a female displays and makes use of her own sexuality maybe because that's her art or just part or her identity. However when a men (like non-black people) uses it or a corporation that's not okay because it's considered objectification just as using the N word is an insult. Some people in the comments say that if she was wearing an offensive shirt to him no one would say anything, however reverse sexism doesn't exist , and in any case if her shirt said something like "All men are only worhty through their bodies" (and if men had a long history of being sexually objectified and exploited) it would of course be offensive and not okay. Instead of taking every opportunity to start arguing how " sexism doesn't exist" or " 'feminazis' are crazy " you could try to see the bigger picture like this man in the video said , using a small portion of all the facts that exist to proce that there is a problem that affects us all and needs to be fixed.	Crazy, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/189	1.587

<p>Toxic Potato We sure have a lot to learn from History if the same people who marched almost 40 years ago had to do the same this year, if sexism , racism and homophobia continue to exist then History is still defining us. Thankfully there are people who are that this problems are not being "allowed to happen" but atr actually just a consequence of our old history and want to change that, people from Feminist, LGBTQ, Black rights , Muslim, etc. movements. And it's completely normal and expected that people will dissagre with us and with the status quo being moved. However I just wanted to make an statement, this is not up for a discussion for me.</p>	Black, Homophobia, Old, Racism, Sexism	Age - Over 65s, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	5/119	4.202
<p>As a woman and a feminist (don't punch me before you hear me out), I don't mind the shirt, but I don't think he should have worn it to a global event. He has since come out and said a female friend gave it to him, and I'm sure there's some inside joke. But I noticed a lot of comments calling feminist stupid because w blame all men for everything. THAT IS NOT FEMINISM. That's misandry. Feminism isn't being disgusted by women in porn but understanding they have a right to do whatever they want with their bodies. It's a bigger problem all these people brought so much notice to a shirt which was difficult to see anyway. When I watched it, I was too enthralled with what he was saying to notice the shirt. And to those saying he's stupid for putting his point of view and unsubbing, you are doing the exact opposite of what he just said to do. Feminism isn't meant to tear men down but to build women up to an equal standard, so those women you hear talking about how piggish men are and how feminism is the greatest thing in the world. They don't even know what they're talking about. Thank you.</p>	Misandry, Woman	Gender - General	2/209	0.957
<p>I think the percentage of women in STEM jobs doesn't matter. People should get hired based on their abilities. One shouldn't automatically get hired just because they are a certain race or gender.</p>	Gender, Race	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/33	6.061
<p>flyngtable when that happened in symphony auditions (when the sex of the musician was kept hidden from the judges) a significantly higher percentage of women (I can't remember the exact percentage) were accepted</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/36	2.778

I doubt any girl/ woman who saw him wearing that shirt were dissuaded from pursuing STEM studies because of it. The disparity between genders in STEM careers is an important problem, but it's not caused nor solved by that man's fashion choices, in fact, I think that giving so much importance to the shirt does more harm than good. Picking on such a small detail conveys the ideal that the problem is equally as small and does more to divide people than to unite them in trying to solve it. Furthermore, if a woman shouldn't change the way she dresses just because she's going to be around men, a man also shouldn't change his because he'll be around women. This and other equality problems are real and it's important that we are aware of them and of the need to solve them, but this is not how we do it.	Woman	Gender - General	1/149	0.671
So you're gonna force women into stem fields even if they dont want to? It's not an individuals fault if they dont want to go into a stem field, its not a 'boys only' club, women and men can just as easily get into a stem field as long as they have the academic ability and willingness. It's someone's choice if they dont want to go in it, they're not being pushed away, in fact, women are more likely to be accepted over men if they have the same intelligence, just because they are female. It's shit like this that annoys me, as there is actual misogyny towards women in other countries, that actually affects their quality of life, yet radical feminists focus on shit that isnt even real over here.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/131	0.763
Ironic that you claim racism , misogyny , etc. is pleasurable for those who hold those beliefs, when being a zealot for progressive causes and taking it way to far (like potentially ruining this guy's life over a stupid T-Shirt) is just as pleasurable for those zealots, and just as hateful and intolerant as the "cultural norms" they seek to abolish. But hey, give your 5 minutes of support to a progressive cause for the week. Harass this man for what he's wearing. Then you can go back to not giving a shit for the rest of the week, right?	Misogyny, Racism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/98	2.041

<p>I think Evan is circling a valid point but I agree more with this. Yes, sexism is very real and I think normalized mysoginist archetypes can often do harm to women who wish to pursue historically non-traditional careers. But if a shirt displays imagery that supports stereotypical or sexualized portrayals of women, is that shirt actually part of the reason for the wage gap and gender bias in STEM? I think Evan went really soft on the people engaging in character assassinations. You might consider a guy’s fashion sense to be in bad taste because of that, but it’s a whole other leap to say the images conveyed on it confirm sexist intent or that ”even if it’s unintentional it still does harm”. Does it? Really? That thing specifically is doing the harm? Just because something is associated with the greater harm by implication, that doesn’t mean the thing is directly contributing to it. Whether it is should depend on portrayal and context. It would be one thing if he actually expressed sexist ideas verbally to support such conclusions but he didn’t. I feel like a lot of progressives (and I generally consider myself one) tend to unfairly prioritize breadth over depth of harm. In this case it’s all women versus one man. An unintentional slight should not excuse rabid personal attacks, even if that slight may contribute to a larger problem. Implicit association with a greater harm does not make something more harmful than the character assassination of one man. Shirts like that may be a symptom of sexist society, but they are not the cause of sexism. Attacking someone for it does nothing but make people feel victimized. Not because of the fact that ”oh no I like this shirt, that’s annoying” but because the social policing is so strict and unforgiving, that it puts them in that position by default. Going about it so aggressively gives zero room for a person to learn/change and makes it far less likely for people to take the overall issue of sexism seriously. That feeling of digging into someone and ripping them apart while self-righteously waving the banner of social justice is far more pleasurable than whatever that guy got out of wearing a shirt.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Sexism, Zero	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/374	1.604
<p>Nearly all the ”issues” are just consequences of personal choices... it is more fun to study something you like, instead of a Steam field. So she makes that choice. Sure that choice could be supported by being able to survive without solid income as a woman, often due to old rolemodels of the working/earning man...</p>	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/55	1.818

there's a Norwegian documentary on YouTube that's really interesting and examined gender roles. Norway has worked hard to remove all ideas of gender roles in education, career choice, and scoicety. They examined the gender spread in engineering and nursing and even in one of the least sexist countries, there is still a huge gap. The documentary examines the argument that it's a biological difference, that most women aren't interested in stem, and most men aren't interested in nursing. They back it up with some science and psychology, and of course the argument of sexism is also explored. It's interesting nonetheless	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	4/100	4.0
When a woman publishes something in a scientific journal, how do you know the gender ? often all you get is the last name.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/23	8.696
For me it seems the moral rules a lot of feminists want to establish are just to dominate other people and feeding ones ego(same thing with a lot of other morals). Especially in this case I don't get what is wrong with the shirt. Nerdwriter you don't say what is wrong with the shirt, please tell me. The women shown there are shown as dangerous and sexy in the same time. A women just like a man wants to be respected and looking physisically attractive. When you think it through the end the women shown there are feminist archetypes - amazons. Pure feminists in this sense. These images are typical for Heavy Metal culture. Metalheads back then used to grow long hair, singing high pitched and even wearing womens cloth but acting at the same time ultra masculine. That was a true rebellion. To me it seems our generation has nothing to rebel against, there are no taboos anymore. So feminists rebel by doing the opposite of rebelling. They don't break rules and they don't violate taboos, they make up new rules/moral laws and taboos.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/185	0.541
I feel like you are misinterpreting facts about only 25 of woman working in Stem, you are painting a picture as only 1 in 4 women get in, when its actually closer to 25 who apply are woman You forget to mention that woman have kids and peruse those factors. Yes there is social pressure for a woman to follow the typical 'women' roles but it does not come from man like Taylor or the scientific community. It is no fault of science for social problems caused by cultural factors. Change is an uphill battle if a shirt would stop a women from being a scientist, than there is no hope for her. Culture doesn't change by asking, or calling Taylor sexists but by overcoming obstacles.	Woman	Gender - General	4/126	3.175
Nothing convinces me more that feminism is akin to a religion when it's the thing that causes otherwise very talented people to completely throw logic and reason out the window and focus entirely on feelings when making these kind of bogus fucking arguments.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/43	2.326

Assumptions, the uploader makes:- sexual imagery is inherently sexist and inherently bad- a gender imbalance in certain fields of study is a problem- gender imbalances in STEM are partly caused by colourful hipster shirts- people, who object to any of these claims, do so only to maintain their own privilege- people, who want to defend science from pseudo progressive puritans like Nerdwriter, do so only because they enjoy their superiority over women»I found myself wanting to know, who was right.«No, you did not. You jumped right on the train and defend the twats, who attacked this poor man over his hip shirt. You and your lot are the bigots here. You are the zealous puritan bigots of this story.I'm very disappointed.	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	3/129	2.326
Would like to have a video on Mad Men and history and present situation of sexism access the globe.Thanks for the vlog btw	Sexism	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
that's why MarkZuckerberg wears grey or black t-shirts.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/9	11.111
For these types of feelings to end, we have to understand that equal does not mean 50/50 in every respect. I am in college for Nursing. I go to a D1 university and there are a total of 9 men in my particular class of 100. We do not hold a torch and say we are the bleeding hearts for our gender . The rationale that we must have equality by numbers rather than by opportunity is a farce. All the same, we are all equals and to say that the underlying thread is invisible is to say that it is non-existent. My point is, to perpetuate ignorance, we look at numbers as a mask to wear when we stand on our soap box. Am I a victim of sexism because my peers are not equal parts penis and vagina? I think not, and it is a fallacy to fall into this manner of thinking on either side.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/157	1.274
I think every woman has two sides to herself. One is the serious side that might have an interest in STEM subjects and many more serious thought provoking subjects and the other side is the sexy, playful side. So I personally doubt that his shirt offends many women. It's possible that a woman who goes home and wears outfits like those depicted on his shirt wakes up in the morning and goes to work as a professor or an engineer. Maybe the problem is with seeing women as one way or the other instead of as entire and complex people. Maybe men are more one sided than women? I'm just wondering why they cannot see this.	Woman	Gender - General	2/116	1.724

None of us are blameless? Yup, we're all sinners. That's the mentality. Also about facts. There's a bigger ratio of women in STEM in humble and poor countries than in wealthy, welfare-led ones. Sweden has far less women in STEM than India, China and Portugal. Yet Sweden is 1 on gender equality. You cited a few numbers about women in STEM yet none of these. Also, you've yet to show a single fact that supports the idea that the T-shirt contributes to all this.	Gender	Gender - General	1/84	1.19
I am a woman and I study in a stem field (chemistry). We are divided about 50/50 gender wise and let me tell you, I have not felt discriminated against by my male coworkers, but rather by people, men and women alike, outside the field.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/45	4.444
How is this video not just conjecture? There is no way to prove or dispute the claim that people who find feminism and SJW's annoying are just afraid of "losing something" (implying that men are afraid to lose their patriarchy or whatever). People find the bickering about this admittedly ugly and inappropriate shirt petty because that's what it is! Stop trying to psychoanalyze. You've really just put people who are indifferent to these "misogynistic undertones" into an unfair position, where they can't possibly defend themselves, without actually having evidence. Your statistics on STEM don't prove any causation. I don't know why women are less likely to pursue those fields, and neither do you. I like your other videos but this one came off as speculative and pretentious.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/127	0.787
I disagree with your video. I honestly don't have the strength to explain my points, but everytime i hear about "invisible strings and boundaries" it just makes me cringe. I am a woman and yes, it does matter what i think. And i don't even like the shirt. But i think that reasonable people who are actually interested in something won't care about it. Man and woman are different. Man and woman brains and bodies are different. They have different priorities. And what society is but invisible boundaries. Everything shapes our psyche, but is it bad? I don't understand that this mentality "oh they don't want to go to STEM fields because society told them that. But if they don't want to go why should they go? You are talking about potential interest, not real one. If someone wants to go, then we encourage it. If they don't - great. They should have every right to choose what makes them happy. Afterall, we are all influenced while our development stage. I could say that "oh, people say that they shouldn't kill because media and parents tells them so, but they do really wanna kill."	Woman	Gender - General	3/194	1.546

I don't need a white man to explain the secret of sexism to me. Believe me, I know the secret. I've experienced it enough to understand it. I don't need to ask academics about it to know anything about it. There is a lived experience of it that brings an understanding of sexism beyond any academic understanding a male could give or surmise.	Sexism	Gender - General	2/63	3.175
Honestly, I really like your videos, but this one isn't really that good. He has a right to wear whatever shirt he wants. If some little girl sees his shirt and says "Oh man, I wanted to change the world, but some guy has a shirt!" it's her own damn fault. If she can't stand up to a shirt, she's not cut out for the cutthroat world of industry. Maybe it's because I've always been very headstrong, self confident, and never really cared to much about how others see me, but never in my life have I seen a billboard or a shirt or a model and thought "Gee, I better starve myself to be that thin ."	Thin	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/117	0.855
I think you're wrong on this one nerdwriter. You jump to the conclusion that the reason for women's underrepresentation in the stem fields is caused by sexism . You tried to sneak it past us. The reason for women not equally represented in stem fields is well documented to be due to women's different wants and needs out of life. Here you left us to imply sexism is the culprit. I don't know whether intentionally or by accident, but I do enjoy your other videos so I will give you the benefit of the doubt and assume you were just misinformed and not intellectually dishonest.	Sexism	Gender - General	2/104	1.923
Eh, I feel if a woman is so ruled by emotion that she'd abandon her goals of going into STEM because of someone's shirt, she was never really meant for that kind of work anyway. Same goes for any man, trans, or whatever else. Even if a prominent figure of whatever you want to be a part of has "offensive" attire, that's no reason to abandon your goals completely. It's not like STEM inherently embraces sexism , they don't have rallies about female inferiority. Any sexism is incidental and not at all the main focus. I'd respond to the statistics about female peer reviews and such but, the works cited have been pending for three years x3As an anecdote, (hey, this is just an opinion so try not to jump on me about how invalid anecdotes are), I've met a few people in video gaming communities who acted shocked and sometimes downright sexist when discovering I'm a female who's also gamer. Also, I've heard stories of top level pro Smash Bros. players, a game I'm a frequent player of, inappropriately touching girls. I didn't pay it much attention though because really, it's not relevant to me, even if they're prominent figures in the competitive Smash scene. I'll still enjoy games. There's way more cool guys who see me as just another gamer than sexist douches. And even if there weren't, that's no reason to give up on something I want to do.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	3/242	1.24

The problem with these kinds of issues isn't the fact that feminist took offence to the shirt. They are entitled to their stupid opinions. The REAL problem comes in when people don't dismiss their stupidity and give power to their poison. Ironically, instead of empowering woman , the silly 3rd wave feminist diminished what they stand for and made themselves look ridiculous. I don't think they care tough. They were just after the drama caused by this piece of cloth.	Woman	Gender - General	1/79	1.266
The SWJ who pilloried that poor guy are hateful freaks.. They would never attack a woman for a sexist shirt such as " I bathe in male tears". And yes women are not as interested in stem... Despite massive quotas etc. See camille paglia " if there were nomen, women would still be living in grass huts."People are sick to death of feminazi facists spitting on any sign o healthy masculinity. And yes, women want to be dominated and inspired by men. They don't wnt men who cut their balls of to pander to the female supremcist bulies. The massive popularity of 50 shdes of grey attests to my point. Consenting submission to a man who knows what he wants is what fulfills a woman . Nothing will change that, and feminists will die alone with their cats... As Milo yiannopoulos would say.	Feminazi, Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General	4/142	2.817
As a practicing anthropologist and a female, I don't feel that your point about sexism being a sort of unquestioned cultural norm in STEM fields is entirely accurate. Let's be honest, the reason MOST people don't enter STEM fields is because they are extremely difficult and require a lot of hard work and dedication. That is a big reason why these jobs pay so much money. Aside from those with a truly undying passion for their job, a large incentive for having a STEM job is the financial security. In my perspective, it seems that males in our culture have far greater expectations to provide financial security than females. This makes it so that females don't feel as pressured to choose jobs in these more difficult fields. Now, one could argue that the expectation of women to depend and men to provide is "sexist", but that's an entirely different arguemnt than "men prevent women from getting engineering degrees because they hate when women have more power than them". It seems your point in and of itself is also a cultural assumption.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/181	0.552
As a woman in STEM I must disagree, the sexism I've experienced throughout my academic career has lead to fewer women in my classes every single year. Men in the departments (both lecturers and fellow students) chronically underestimate, condescend to and/or over-look their female peers. Its getting better but its still definitely a problem	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/54	3.704

alex Though I kind of wonder, who's causing the cultural messaging. Is it the the people in their lives who are talking about how they aren't meant for stem or is it the people telling them that the stem workplace is sexist and against them? Or it is a little of both?"So there are plenty of women going into STEM fields already"Ehh, not exactly. I mean women are 60 of graduate degrees but only hold about 30 of stem degrees. [LINK] "are published less than their male counterparts"Maybe in Sweden which is where the study is based off of. But if we are going off the assumption that the US has a more subconscious cultural bias toward women, you could extrapolate that it is worse here than it is is Sweden. "and are cited less than men"I haven't read the study of this yet so eh I'm not too sure what it means besides sexism , but it wouldn't make much sense for there to be subconscious bias in this department. Especially for people who go through the process to cite people."women are interested but have a difficult time in the hostile work environment."What do you make of this? [LINK] Here is the study it links to. [LINK] Though I'd admit I haven't read through the study yet, I've only read the article so there could be some quote mining.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/235	0.426
I have a job I love now but when I first started working there was a lot of resentment directed at myself and the other woman who started out on the same level as me. It was hard to put my finger on at first but a lot of the guys going into the sciences don't have much experience working with women and have an anxiety that if women are getting good positions or moving up in the field then there is less success or opportunities available to them. There is also the issue that some men tend to see all women as potential sexual partners instead of just colleagues and are angry when they have to keep relationships professional. And then there are some that are just plain old misogynistic and think women should stay out of the workplace. That last one is the most uncommon- most guys seem to just feel a general dislike to having to work with women. Also, there tends to be this idea that older men are more hostile to women in STEM than millennial men but it's actually the opposite. Younger guys are a lot less likely to work on a project with a female colleague, whether it's doing research or just writing a paper. I remember one man that even turned down a grant because the team would have included a woman we both worked with. To sum it up- I've never been sexually harassed (although I know it does happen) but for a lot of women, I think it's hard for them to feel excluded in a workplace that otherwise works as a team and to deal with the passive-aggressive resentment that is common with young men these days.	Common, Old, Sexual, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	5/288	1.736

I would have to disagree with your assertion as hard data directly contradicts your statements, as well the fact that ideas about gender in America usually paint men as "more logical" than women, and therefore more suited for STEM fields than women ("hysteria" used to be a diagnosed disorder that was basically just 'being a woman disease'). While I think it is true that men are pressured to go into "masculine" fields such as STEM versus something along the lines of fashion design, I think your mislabeling the reason there aren't as many women in STEM fields. Think about it - just because a woman doesn't feel as "pressured" to be the financial support of her family doesn't mean she doesn't want to make money, or that she doesn't want to be her own financial support.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	3/136	2.206
This is so amazing, I feel like this could be said about a lot of subjects. In my class my hispanic teacher gets offended when people say " nigga " or "ni-hard r" and so he trys to ban it from class but everyone is like your Mexican why do you care, what does it matter to you? And his answer was everyone should have respect for everyone. I don't want hate in my class even though it's derived from a simple six letter word that may not be used how it was originally ment but the history is still there. people just want justice for the future, if we keep these words around or keep flaunting our women like sex symbols, the history will still be there.	Nigga, Sex	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/126	1.587
Just so cite Animal Farm here and the problem with your argument "We are all equal. But some of us (women) are more equal than others."No, I'm not an MRA. No, I'm not a man. I'm an antifeminist woman telling you that the real problem with people making such a big deal out of this and the real problem with feminism is that it's not about equality anymore. And that they can throw that " sexism " card at anything they find goes against their agenda, and everyone will believe it.A shirt won't prevent young girls interested in science to go into the career they like. A shirt won't make rape-culture go higher. Same as with the video-games argument, this is just idiotic criticism. There's a difference between sex and sexism . But feminists like to condense it in one single cathegory. ONLY WHEN IT COMES TO MEN OF COURSE. Because sexualizing men is not wrong. Sexualizing women is.	Sex, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	4/158	2.532
I don't understand how thinking women's bodies are hot is sexist. The man thought the women were attractive on the shirt so he praises them by wearing the shirt. That doesn't mean just because a woman is attractive and people recognize that, she can't still be a successful STEM worker. If anything I would think it would help.	Woman	Gender - General	1/58	1.724

what you social justice warrior cunts call a a culture based on sexisim is simply a culture based on sex a culture that both sexes take part in men want sexy women and women want sexy men women have their share of products that sexualizes menbut its only sexist when men do it right	Sex	Gender - General	1/56	1.786
I think the main point of berating female sexualization is to point out how extreme it is compared to male sexualization. Men definitely are sexualized in this society, but it occurs much less often and at a much older age than women. Girls as young as 12 often have moments where they realize men are looking at their bodies in a sexual way. Women's bodies have been sexualized in media and advertisements for a long time as well, whereas male sexualization in media is a more recent development. Just look at advertisements from the 50s; women are displayed just as much as the products. The real problem with all this is that it can teach some people that women exist only objects to be sexualized, to be looked at or touched for pleasure alone, without consideration for the fact that women are people who deserve empathy. Male sexualization can have this harmful affect as well, but because it occurs less frequently and to a smaller extent, there hasn't been a push for a movement preventing male sexualization equal to the feminist movement preventing female sexualization.	Age, Sexual	Age - General, Gender - General	2/185	1.081
I'm so sick of the strength comparison being used to justify the argument that sexist ideas are scientific... Yes, men statistically have stronger upper body strength but what the fuck does that have to do with anything other than sports these days...There isn't scientific evidence to suggest a biological difference in intellectual capacity, that idea is purely a product of generations of sexism . Girls (and boys) are being told from a young age that boys are smarter, and more scientifically minded, than girls, so of course that'll effect their choices, if that is what they've been taught about themselves.	Age, Sexism	Age - General, Gender - General	2/99	2.02
The guy wore a bad shirt. I guarantee if he had never worn it there wouldn't be even one more woman to go into a scientific field. It was not an act of aggression, just an ugly shirt. This kind of overreaction and mass hysteria over trivial nonsense is the reason a monster like Trump has been elected president. Too many people are too stupid to understand that these "SJW's" are an over represented but tiny minority and actually think that they are being over run by a leftist thought police. Women in the West today experience the greatest level of equality and freedom ever in the history of the world. The greatest crime against women is that some people are assholes. But no one escapes having to deal with that reality.	Woman	Gender - General	1/132	0.758

He said Equality. A system that places women at the top is not equality anymore than one that sets men at the top. And please tell me how it could be more equal. Give me a single example of a right that a man has that a woman doesn't have.	Woman	Gender - General	1/50	2.0
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	---------------------	------	-----

<p>+Sam Kem Please explain it, I seriously don't understand. Why is it an issue that some guy decided to wear a certain piece of cloth? We aren't talking about physical or psychological abuse here (Why do you even mention IPV and sexual violence?). Just some scientist and his freaking shirt! (BTW, are we seriously going to start expecting 'nerds' to have better social skills? If there is one social group I would excuse for asocial behavior and not understanding social norms is scientists)Also, why did the leaking of the Damore manifesto affect you in such an awful way? It wasn't a public statement, just some guy expressing his personal concerns about the way the company in which he worked operated. As far as I'm aware he wasn't even the one who published the memo online, meaning he expressed his opinion in as a tasteful way as he could. And why is it a problem that some media portray and treat women in a certain way? If you don't like a TV show, you can change the channel. If you don't like a movie, you can see another film. If you don't like a magazine, you can read something else. Mass Media follows the money, people start leaving for channels/movies/magazines that treat women fairly, then that's what they will offer. If a woman doesn't study a STEM field because media portrays "women as status symbols valued for their aesthetics to be "worn" by men" then she probably is unable to cope with any kind of frustration and should study in a less stressful, competitive and demanding field. GFinally, what can be done to solve this alleged* issue? You even recognized that this can't be solved by legislative means, so... what's the proposed solution? Publicly shame and harras those who act in a way the crowds find distasteful? Best scenario, that ends like another Red Scare, worst, it polarizes American society even more and violence escalates. *Had I mentioned that there is no way of proving whether or not an issue even exists? The only way of demonstrating that there are more men than women in STEM due to cultural reasons rather than biological or evolutionary ones is to raise a human population in at least the hundreds completely isolated from the rest of the world and see what patterns emerge.</p> <p>Since a study like that one would be really expensive (and would violate a couple Human Rights), it's impossible to affirm with absolute certainty which explanation is correct. [This shouldn't be taken as an attack of any kind, I just vomited everything that I could think about and I'm honestly interested in the answers. Why did I do it? Idk, probably how you described modern feminist issues as hard to visualize and explain, which made me think that maybe you could explain some of the problems I have (had) with 'shirtgate' in particular, and modern feminism in general.]</p>	Red, Sexual, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General	4/489	0.818
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Sam Kern Hola,"People (including some further down this feed) try to end discussions by declaring that women today are experiencing greater equality than in the past or by demanding to be shown an example of a right that men have that women don't."Some people, true."It's not productive to stop the discussion once we reach the end of easily quantifiable things like "can women vote." The discussion is more nuanced now than it was 100 years ago, because the problems that face today's women can't necessarily be fixed by introducing legislation."So it's more of a cultural thing? Well I'm not necessarily surprised."That doesn't mean they don't exist."I wouldn't imagine women's problems wouldn't exist. But the question would be how do we contextualize said problems?"It's also more difficult to quantify today's inequalities because not all women respond to the same issues in the same way, and not all men perpetuate these problems (and men are, obviously, not the sole perpetrators of harm or stereotype against women)."Yes it is, and the conflicting data on it doesn't make things easier; especially with all the political motivation behind either the research or the interpretation of said research. "Asks like "Let us vote" has been replaced with asks like "Don't objectify us," which are harder to explain and don't change as visibly, since they're not binary."When you say don't objectify us, what do you mean?"Something as innocuous as this shirt presents a problems for not all, but a good number of women who are treated poorly in the workplace by not all, but SOME men, and wearing such a thing in an academic or professional setting makes those women remember the current state of things: that they are generally less well-regarded in STEM "When you say this shirt are you referring to this specific event or some hypothetical event of someone wearing a shirt like that in the workplace or in a working area? "I was a software engineer at Google when the Damore manifesto leaked; that didn't feel awesome"The Damore Manifesto? Have you read the memo yet? I have a pdf here of it... [LINK] "and that their gender faces a greater likelihood of experiencing sexual violence at the hand of SOME, NOT ALL men who are influenced IN PART by media that portrays women as status symbols valued for their aesthetics to be "worn" by men."This reminds me of gamergate for some reason. With objectification in games. Well Sam, some is not the most descriptive measurement of the problem. By the same merit I could flip men with women and it'd technically be valid. How bad are we talking about?</p>	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	2/449	0.445
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------	-------

How come that in the fields, of biology psychologie, there is now a woman dominace, while they are still under represented in physiks math in chemistry. All these fields used to be men dominate, yet somehow given women the rights to study what the want started this inbalance, so how can you claim it's not women choice? An why would you expect women and man to like the same things in the frist place. As someone	Woman	Gender - General	1/76	1.316
I just think it's funny how there is an INCREDIBLY STRONG campaign going on that basically says women should be able to wear WHATEVER they want without any blowback. Like if a woman wants to wear the sluttiest outfit you can think of, you should just shut the fuck up, don't ogle her, don't comment on it, that's her choice blah blah blah, but when a man does exactly the same thing he's told NO you can't do that! How dare you! That degrades women! Well you know what? Slutty outfits on a REAL woman degrade women MORE than some babes drawn on a shirt. All us men are asking for is some sort of consistency in these ideas, but ofc women don't want that...they want everything their own little way even if it's completely hypocritical. At the end he asks "what are we really losing?" Freedom...freedom is what we're losing...	Woman	Gender - General	2/151	1.325

<p>This video completely flies in the face of one of the insights from the more recent video about Louis CK: effects matter, yes, but intent also matters. He did not wear the shirt to assert sexual dominance. He didn't even pick the shirt himself. The shirt was a gift by a woman. You can argue whether it was appropriate to wear the shirt in such a public context and you can try to find out whether the colleagues (and potential colleagues) generally find this appropriate for their workplace when it's not on TV – but you can't blame him personally for being "sexist".You point out that being sexist/racist/whatever is pleasurable, but so is being morally outraged. And outrage culture is exactly that: a hedonistic outlet that allows us to righteously harass and denigrate people in a way that would be wholly unacceptable if they hadn't been declared as valid targets for violating social norms. The people "calling him out" were harassing him in a way that's socially acceptable – this is where the slur "crybullies" comes from: harassment being justified by either portraying yourself as the victim ("so how dare you question my behaviour") or speaking on behalf of the supposed victims (whether they actually exist or not).The employment gaps are interesting, yes, and they may be the result of social norms that make certain people feel unwelcome in certain fields, but mob justice is never acceptable and psychological violence is still a form of violence (and can be far more traumatising than mere physical violence). This poor human was harassed to tears over a shirt that would have been perfectly acceptable in other contexts. It was never about him or the shirt, they only served as a proxy for the "patriarchy" or "misogynists" or whatever – a confused mess of actual network effects negatively affecting women and the various individual abuses by men throughout society. They saw a guy who fit their bill for a sexist scapegoat and they tore him to shreds with complete disregard for his actual person.</p>	Patriarchy, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	3/343	0.875
<p>This argument of "sexism is pleasurable and when someone tries to get it away we feel angry and threatened" is a very poor argument. Because the argument is really "X is pleasurable and when someone tries to get it away we feel angry and threatened" and now just by changing X with "pointing out sexism" and the argument is good for the other side of the argument.So in conclusion. This is an empty video that tries to get you on his side with no real arguments.Next time, when building an argument try to twist it to the other side, and if it still makes logical sense, that means is not an argument.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/114	1.754

<p>Titan Coral Oh that’s not the paradox I’m referring to. If different people are feeling different things about a situation then either A. those people’s feelings of that situation are irrelevant and it’s ultimately a moot point or B. one side has the better argument and logic. But this is besides the point.Because you see the paradox comes from the realities the shirt actually entailed and how much it diverged from my imagination.First thing that I tried to figure out was what makes the shirt so bad?Only two findings come to mind.1. Revealing clothing2. Sexual clothingOther things I was surprised to not find.SubjugationDegradationTortureSo I had to come to the conclusion that people are offending by the sexualization of the females. And said sexualization is objectifying to women and it perpetuates something something harmful culture. Basically the stock feminist argument. Which raises an interesting question...If the bar for objectification is sexualization then wouldn’t that call into question sexual freedom?Now usually the defence I’d get from that is that it’s different when people are consensting, but if the people who are viewing said image of consenting porn stars and consenting models why would you expect them to discern these details?From our youth specifically it’s all the same whether or not it’s a cartoon or real people or drawn people. It’s all objectifying in their eyes.From this assumption it’d call into question our entire basis for sexual freedom and liberation as it can have untold effects on our young girls by perpetuating harmful stereotypes and an offensive culture. There in lies the paradox of female objectification. You cannot have sexual freedom without sexual objectification. And I’m assuming you aren’t a ‘family values’ porn is evil super conservative. But at the same time according to you this shirt is problematic. I can only assume because of the sexualization. So I’m confused.In response to this confusion I have a few questions...1. Where do you draw lines of women’s portrayal in visual mediums(what are your standards specifically)?2. How does the shirt contribute to an offensive culture? Can you prove it?3. What is your opinion of women who consent to over sexualization?4. How am I to believe feminist objectification argument is different from the opinions of prudish conservatives?5. Which side of this argument is right and why?</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	5/400	1.25
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	------

<p>Titan Coral Also, not specifically directed at you but I found this comment somewhere down the video to be funny.'So the next time you feel annoyed by a man's t-shirt, take 60 seconds to think about whether in fact you feel annoyed or threatened. And if it's the latter ask yourself, 'what is it that I'm in danger... of losing'.'That's just one of nerdwriters words that I found weird. Also I found the comparison between comparing pleasure to this t shirt situation. I only see that comparison make sense if either A. People on the pro t-shirt side get pleasure from him wearing the t shirt(which is incredibly weird but I'm assuming nerdwriter's never taken psychology and is just making baseless theories) or B. The man himeself takes pleasure wearing the shirt and establishing his unconscious dominator complex. Or maybe I'm strawmanning him and you have a better explanation.</p>	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/151	1.325
<p>I've worked in STEM. I've worked alongside many excellent women. You know what trait they had in common that made them successful? Instead of training their mind to be outraged at little things or be petty about shit that doesn't matter, they trained their minds to focus and stay on task. They said, "Fuck Everyone who says I can't do this.... I'll fucking do it." They put their actions as their strongest statements. That's Female Empowerment. This teaching women to be umbridge machines? that'll never work.</p>	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/87	1.149
<p>YogGroove but they shouldn't have to put up with so much shit just because they are women! That's the point! Leave them alone and let them be! Being blind and guarded to hate comments at work can take so much energy, that can be used to do something usefull and important, but this energy is wasted on ignoring stupid thingsand you can say "i don't mind it" not so many times before it fucks you up</p>	Blind	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/77	1.299
<p>A funky shirt is "putting up with so much shit"? Your thermostat for what constitutes an oppressive environment is so sensitive that it seems likely that you are far more privileged than most men. Only someone so completely pampered would view a shirt this way. Its a shirt . Its not a threat. Learn to live and let live. The only reason you are so triggered by a shirt is because of your religion. Please do what you need to join the community of logical, well adjusted, not cultish, well adjusted adults. Try therapy, yoga, meditation, go to the gym regularly.... a shirt should not bother a well adjusted adult to the point that they feel they're putting up with shit.This is why barely 20 percent of women identify as feminist. 80 percent of women aren't nearly this crazy. Thank God.</p>	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/144	0.694

then you are talking about the shirt... at least in part.The shirt is inappropriate. What's more inappropriate is catastrophizing the shirt as a horrible, oppressive force...or as contributing to a hostile work environment. Perhaps you are putting up with a lot of crap. We've all worked with assholes before. But if a shirt bothers you to the point that you categorize a mild faux faux of decorum in the same category as hostility, don't be surprised when your judgement is put into question. This does not bother well adjusted adults of any gender . You are not dealing with stress or micro-inappropriateness very well. That's on you and people are right to call you on it. If you are putting up with shit, you are doing yourself a disservice to put a shirt in the same category. People are right to not trust what you judge as putting up with shit. If you want them to listen, become a well adjusted adult who can deal with stress. Then people will trust your judgement and people will listen.	Gender	Gender - General	1/179	0.559
You say that it doesn't matter whether the shirt offends women or not, what matters is the statistics of women working in the STEM fields. However that I see as a diversion from what people are actually talking about: whether types of objects of this kind repel women from STEM fields. That is the accusation levied against this scientist and his clothing and what people are responding to. I think equating the opinion that nothing is wrong with this shirt and that there is no problem with gender representation within those fields is wrong. And that's what you imply with this.If you want to point out the gender imbalance in STEM fields you should stick to those facts, not point to innocuous objects and subjective opinions and drawing unsupported conclusions from them to further your argument. It is only hurtful, and I think that people are right to criticize such a bad argument.	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/154	1.948

<p>What am I afraid of losing? FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. I find it ironic that people complaining about his shirt tend to also be for abortion and use the excuse "its my body I can do what I want" so let the man wear what the fuck he wants then! Its his fucking body its not like you're gonna get fucking cancer or some shit - - Its pathetic that people complain about such meaningless stuff The other day I was kicked out of a store because one of my tattoos is a girl in a bikini riding a motorcycle and when I asked the lady why. she said "its offensive and you're objectifying women" thing is that the tattoo is supposed to be my girlfriend (she like to ride my bike in a bikini ;) When I told her she went red but still kicked me out (I think she just was to embarrassed to have me stay XD) In my opinion having worn shirts with partially naked women on it and having tattoos too I am not objectifying women I'm showing how fucking awesome women are naked or dressed and that if I were bi or gay id have a man partially naked too but I'm not gay so I don't. I love the human body its amazing how it just all seems to work (sadly not always) and that if there is a god and he did create all of us he is a fucking genius but he does need to improve some stuff cancer for example but still! We our the only species on earth that can let alone speak we have built cites, we have invented thousands of amazing things you got the point us humans from mind to body are fucking amazing! So why hide it?!</p>	Gay, Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	6/300	2.0
<p>I am threatened by people's petty and uninformed reactions to some dude wearing a shirt with animated girls on it. I do stand to lose from it. We all stand to lose from each other's idiocy, pettiness and immaturity. It's just like what happened on Louis CK's SNL monologue. "He should have special license to skirt morality because he's a comedian." Howbout we actually all have license to skirt morality because we can and no one can do anything to stop it. Why should there be a regulation on exploring the edges of "morality" in ways that actually don't harm anyone. And no, wearing a shirt with sexified cartoon ladies doesn't hurt anyone. Adults get to choose how they react to these things and if people lose their shit at basically harmless things such as that then they either chose to or aren't psychologically developed. The LGBT community puts their sexuality on display during marches and people tell them "they're celebrating their sexuality" and I'm fine with it even though sometimes it seems to be overcompensating.</p> <p>A guy shows his interest and enjoyment of the female figure on a t-shirt and he's a sexist woman oppressing pig. I'm not saying he isn't one, I don't know the dude, but if he is one it's not because he wore some stupid shirt.</p>	LGBT, Woman	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality, Sexual Identity - Transexuality	4/221	1.81

i think the "exploitative images" idea is hyperbolic and inaccurate.everyones (body) is a commodity with value, with supply and demand, be it sexual (porn/sexualized ads) or hard labor (road crews, mining).the difference isnt with the act of selling your body itself but in how we see sexuality: most people think of their sexuality as very intimate and private, and that is something that should be valued for those individuals.but many sex workers (excluding those forced into it) are in the business because there is a demand for sexuality, thus money to be made, and many feel different towards their sexuality(which is there because men largely want sex with strangers, much more than women)	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	3/117	2.564
I'm not sure I agree with the notion that the act of doing nothing amounts to the perpetuation of sexism . You perpetuate sexism if you're sexist. It's like blaming a crime on the bystanders.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/34	8.824
On the shirt specifically, who do you think is more likely to have drawn those women? A female artist? Or male artist? Can safely say, probably a man. So in this case, it's (presumably) a man showing off fictionalized women's bodies. As for the countless ads showing women's bodies in what are basically sex positions in order to call attention toward that ad's product, it's not women showing off their bodies. It's the male-dominated system, powered by money/profit, ensuring that those women's bodies appear to the viewer in a "pleasurable" way. Aka using those women's bodies for the purpose of male pleasure. So it's really not the women who are controlling the way they appear or showing off their bodies. It's evidence of the power the U.S.'s patriarchal society has, particularly over women.	Sex	Gender - General	1/133	0.752

<p>The answer to the Question at the end: Annoyed. I believe women should get equal pay and absolutely think there should be more women in the stem fields; however, I'm not pleased with the way the feminist movement is going about trying to make that change happen. Constant complaining and less action. If you want a change in legislation, devote your life to it and become a politician so you can effect that change, I know some women have done that already but not enough, when you're best option for a president is Hillary Clinton you know you don't have a lot of options (not trying to get into a political debate about the election, but I think most people if they had their first pick of who would represent women as a president would probably have someone else in mind). My point is there's a lot of talking, a lot of being offended, a lot of complaining, but not a lot of action. Secondly, I feel that the feminist movement has actually deepened the rift between genders (in recent years) more than actually helping. When people are constantly comparing the two genders instead of accepting their differences, saying that equal has to mean the same, it just forces us farther apart. I don't like the idea that women and men are the same, I think we're different and I think that's beautiful. For instance, I think women are better stay-at-home parents then men, not that the women are incapable of going in to various carriers but that statistically men make worse stay-at-home parents. Men (usually) as good as nurturing and comforting, I'm not arguing whether that is because of conditioning or biology; regardless the fact remains that they aren't as good at it. I definitely don't want a future where children are sent to nurseries and raised by hired babysitters, I find this selfish on the parents side and think this is detrimental to future generations. Although that is besides the point, it's meant to be an example of what I'm trying to say which is that equal doesn't have to mean the same, this is perhaps my biggest problem with the feminist movement. Lastly, the "man-haters". I know quite a few feminists who try to be social justice warriors but the more I hear them talk the more I realize they never have anything good to say about any man. I know this one girl who I heard complaining (rightly so) about a man being sexist and misogynistic, then just the next week heard her complaining about a man who was trying to defend feminist ideals saying "he couldn't understand our problems so he has no right to talk about them, he's never been in our shoes". So we can't be misogynistic and we can't be feminists, what are we supposed to do? I realize that not all feminists are like this but there is definitely a good sized group of feminists that hold this disposition. I suppose I'd like to draw a line between what feminism and what I'm going to call hyper-feminism. If you define feminism as wanting equal rights, pay, and respect towards women then count me in, I'm absolutely a feminist, but if you take it a step farther to what I believe it has become, then count me out. And in addition to counting me out please leave me alone, stop twisting my words to things they were not intended to say with your extreme political correctness, let me speak my mind in my own way - I promise I don't have any hatred or superiority in my heart towards women and I don't see gender as a competition. If you're offended by everybody then maybe</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/652	0.153
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

2:10 "everyone supports something or other that has some sort of misogynistic undertone" So you are claiming that pictures of attractive women on shirts or advertising is misogynistic. When you see attractive men used in the media for the exact same purposes would you consider that misandry then? I think that most people would not, and I think holding different standards to different sexes under the guise of "equality" requires some very strange mental gymnastics.	Mental, Misandry	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/75	2.667
man people in the western world are so fucked. always making an issue out of nothing ...	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/16	6.25
Bottom line for me: Gender, race, sexual orientation, anything else should mean nothing when you are working to better our society. Just because you were born poor, just because you are a girl who was raised to be a housewife, just because you were picked on as a kid for being different means nothing . What matters is who you make yourself. Women can be successful in every way a man can and vice versa. Social norms and supposed oppression mean nothing when you choose to take control of your situation.	Gender, Nothing, Race, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/90	6.667
Dude, that wasn't a secret. In fact, it wasn't even a very well articulated argument. Sexism and feminism are broad and complex topics that you really can't boil down to one mans shirt possibly being offensive shirt. It's not like he was the only one who ever bought or wore a shirt with images of nude women on them. Maybe talk about why naked women on shirts is so common , but breast-feeding is scandalous and naked women are "asking for it." Maybe talk about how science in media has, until rather recently been portrayed as a masculine field, how this debate has been raging for years, and why people on both sides lost their minds over a shirt. There's just FAR more here to unpack than one youtube video is really capable of.	Common, Sexism	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/133	1.504
Really all of this over a shirt because idiot's think that it is contributing to sexism when a woman made the fucking shirt for him I'm so sick of these fucking SJW's who have to shit on the most minute thing like could you focus on something else that is more important like I don't know actual sexism that is going on over in the Middle East but I guess if they did that they would be called racist by the same fucks who complained about the stupid fucking shirt fucking conehead idiots.	Racist, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/93	4.301

Can people please stop telling me what I as a woman should feel offended about and what is sexist towards me? Let me decide. Fuck off, Imma buy that shirt with the naked anime girls now. I've been pondering on that for a week. Honestly I knew a girl in high school who was a nice friend of mine, she was good at every subject so she wasn't sure what to study later but her mom kept pushing her to study physics because "there aren't enough women there". She kept refusing because even though she wasn't sure what she wanted to study in particular she knew it was not going to be physics. She eventually studied psychology. And guess what, her mom wasn't even in the science field herself. It shows how women can make their own decisions now and some of us decide against other peoples agenda. The male-female gap exists because we can make our own decisions. My mom studied engeneering and economics so of course she'd be much more happy if I studied something the likes, but no, I study arts. This is what I've been noticing for quite some time, people want to FORCE diversity. They ignore the voices of people whithin and claim it's social norms while society literally tells us the exact opposite. And here's the big part. When people speak of social or cultural norms, they forget that they are part of the culture. THEY are society. It's not some magical creature, WE design our way of living.	Woman	Gender - General	1/255	0.392
Men have always been the first to die in war, they are the ones who do the most dangerous jobs, they are looked at as a second class parents (custody battle are usually in the woman 's favor, and the majority if asked why women shouldn't be drafted will bring up mother). They can be slapped by a woman but society doesn't allow them to fight back. Even pricking a young girls clitoris with a needle (considered an alternative to female genital mutilation to some of the cultures that practice it) is illegal, but cutting of a baby boys foreskin is treated like a joke. Women are constantly given easier punishments than men for the same crimes. I could probably go on. Sexualizing a person is not lowering them in value, that is just bullshit we inherited from our puritan ancestors. Leaking nude photos is wrong, but it isn't a gender issue. I'll be the first to admit that women aren't taken as seriously as men in many professions, but that isn't my fault, and if they aren't to busy grabbing life by the balls and forcing people to respect them instead of whining about it, they don't deserve to be taken seriously. Egalitarianism makes sense, feminism is bullshit.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/208	0.962

so... misogyny and homophobia . fear and hate. they're pleasurable. it's fun to fear and hate people based on their gender or skin colour or sexual orientation.do you see how that argument makes no sense?	Gender, Homophobia, Misogyny, Sexual	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	4/35	11.429
There's nothing noble about the feminist oppression narrative. It's about power. If you think it's noble, you're naive in the extreme, and the only appropriate response by egalitarians is to oppose it. It is, itself, an oppressive movement because it seeks to force people to conform to a particular way of thinking.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/52	1.923

<p>1. Cultural norms are hardly invisible. I can see perfectly the norms you are following when you argue in this video and the way it helps you to think/feel about yourself. Now why, instead of just denying sexual dimorphism, don't you think about ways to prove or disprove what hypothesis better maps reality. Is it that women are not that much into hard sciences or that there's a bias or discrimination against them? You mention one idea you don't like, and pass judgement on it as (maybe) people just following an invisible bias. But what if it was true that women statistically don't like that much the STEM field, what would that imply? Should we close the sciences to women? Well of course not. It speaks about what's generally the case. But there's where the individuals come in. You can have an incredible female physicist or mathematician that stands out among everyone else in their field, and there should be room for her too. But trying to unnaturally balance the score is wrong and painful for both sexes, and as with your video on dragons, it has a negative impact on the results and overall progress of our civilization.2. Then you state that it's pleasurable to assert your dominance, there are contexts for that too. I mean, if I'm playing chess, I like to win, and I get pleasure from winning, yeah. But there are other situations where I would despise myself if I was trying to assert dominance. With my family for instance, I try to please my wife and I try to get my kids to learn skills that will make them (hopefully) better than I am, you can see that I'm hardly trying to dominate them. Or at work, I team up with some other people to get things done, and since the goal is to get things done, it's really easy to work as a team. And it's unnecessary to say that with my family or my team at work I also get pleasure. If asserting your dominance is such a driving force, then the ultimate achievement would be to dominate yourself, and we would all arrive to that conclusion. Whereas mocking someone's physical characteristics, preferences or ideas is not asserting dominance. Despising is not subdoing. Rejecting is not controlling. So no. In my case the annoyance has nothing to do with fear or loss of pleasure, but with detecting bias and willful ignorance: you don't say here are the two (or more) explanations for the numbers given by the statistics, you dismiss the explanation you don't like and keep on the one you do as if it was the truth. Yep, my annoyance is about the lack of rigour on feminist/sjw arguments, and how they try to dress them as the truth.</p>	Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/468	0.427
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>I don't think it's the shirt that is the issue. The shirt is representational and invites the topic that women feel discouraged from entering STEM fields because of objectification/sexualization. From a young age women are heavily criticized in regards to appearance (this statement is oversimplified, I can explain if anyone wants me to) but this criticizing heavily impacts self-esteem. These issues with self esteem and the pressures of looking a very specific way effect the confidence (and interest) of women joining STEM fields. In regards to the interest of joining STEM fields, If you look at commercials for children's shows (for ex.) you'll notice specific marketing for "boy" and "girl" toys (girls have makeup, baking, beauty related toys while boys have super heros, guns, robotics and remote controlled cars). Even in womens fashion you'll notice it's fast paced and complicated compared to mens fashion. That may even relate to how markets prefer having a target group of customers who want the same thing rather than a bunch of individuals with varied interests. I guess in saying this I'm trying to illustrate how sexism is not as simple as a tee-shirt. The tee-shirt reminds us of an underlying societal issue. People want to speak out because it makes them uncomfortable. Please don't take my word as solid fact, I'm no statistical genius but this issue personally effects me (and many others) as a woman in STEM.</p>	Age, Sexism, Woman	Age - General, Gender - General	3/235	1.277
<p>I see what you are saying, but if they are discouraged from going into STEM fields because they feel objectified, there are many, many other useful fields (fields that need skilled workers and pay a good wage) that women should go into to help society. Just off the top of my head civil engineers (ok, maybe that is STEM, but many of the jobs are government so maybe a little less scary), Surveyor's and cartographers, any of the welding professions, machinist (skilled ones are in very short supply), any field in HVAC, etc...</p>	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/93	1.075
<p>The thing is not that female sexualisation = oppression. The thing is that female sexualisation is often the same as objectification, which does hurt women. Margaret Atwood's poem "Male Fantasies" from The Robber Bride really sums it up - you feel like you're constantly under observation to perform as sex icons such as Kim Kardashian perform, for the gratification of men even if, like me (a lesbian), you aren't attracted to men: you're still programmed by a society that proliferates images such as those on that guy's shirt to crave male approval. In image, yes, but also if we propagate the idea that men approve of women who fit the roles assigned to women, roles firmly outside of STEM, it becomes increasingly difficult for a women to be taken seriously in anything outside what is perceived to be her role.</p>	Lesbian, Sex	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	2/140	1.429

A bunch of worthless mouth-breathers that produced nothing in their life bullied an exceptional man until he broke down and cried while apologizing for wearing a funky shirt.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/28	3.571
But that shirt is kinda crazy man. I'd never wear it.	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/11	9.091
1. A woman made the shirt for the guy.2. You said women are getting 66 of STEM degrees, and yet there is still apparently a sexism problem.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/28	7.143
The "women hold more degrees, yet make up 25 of STEM jobs" thing is bullshit, because they pick their degree, and ultimately their career. Perhaps women are less inclined to join STEM simply because they aren't interested in STEM. The fucking idiots with gender studies degrees fail to realize that, instead of getting a degree with practically no related jobs, they could have gotten a STEM degree and been a scientist who makes good money, instead of complaining about a lack of women in these fields and how there is a gender wage gap (which, by the way, is statistically bullshit, since there are more women lower paying fields, take more time off, are less likely to ask for a raise, etc.). And, if some asshole who says "women can't be scientists" makes you decide to give up on being a scientist, you deserve failure. You reap what you sew. Many of the greatest accomplishments in human history were done by people who were told that what they were doing was impossible. If everyone listened when they were told that what they were doing was impossible, we would never have traveled into space, the Americas would remain undiscovered by Europeans, women/minorities wouldn't have rights in many parts of the world, and you wouldn't be watching this video, nor would I have ever written this comment.	Gender	Gender - General	2/225	0.889

lol thats funny, dominate someone or winning or succeded over someone else being pleasurable is not sexism or racism . if i beat another straight white guy in game of chess thats more pleasurable than beating a mentally ill bla ck genderqueer homosexual morbidley obese midget	Homosexual, Midget, Obese, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features, Sexual Identity - General	5/45	11.111
women can do more for equality by going into stem fields, or joining a trades union, than by takeing a womens studies class, gender equality is innate, sexism is learned not the other way around much of feminist philosphy is derived by womens studies proffesors who have never take a statistics or science and psudeoscience class, and the extraged rape , and wage gap statistics and the false causes for these things perpuate the problem and not solve it	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/79	2.532

People can be offended about everything, if we would avoid offending everyone we would never get out of the door, it is simply impossible.Saying it is culture, is just not true it is way more than that its genetics, we are born different and like different things for a reason, lots of studies have shown this regarding children: boys and girls prefer different things from the beginning before they have learned the culture. So stop using culture as your straw man. its simple not the reason for a lot of peoples choices in life. And if you look at it from animal/beastly perspective, it make more sense that when one gender can birth children and the other can't, that their desires are not always the same nor should it be. There is a reason our survival instinct isn't always the same.People should not be afraid of expressing themselves even if there is a camera pointed at them, if he likes the shirt, he should be allowed to wear it. He should not cover in fear of offending someone.If people can't be themselves home,work,public etc.. they have a more difficult time enjoying lifep.s. as far i have heard from the story, it was a woman how also gave the shirt to him. Some other's don't like it, but people will always find a reason for not liking it. Stop worrying about it, and be true to who you are, you will have a more full filled and happy life.I do agree culture also affect a lot, but don't think its the only reason all the time without proof.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/272	0.735
You know whats the worst thing about all of this is? Since people started throwing allegations of sexism or racism around like candy on parade, I don't take these serious anymore...Every time I hear someone calling another person Sexist or Racist , I get really weary of the Name-caller and not the Name-called. Its seems like a self-fulfilling prophecy. Someone says people don't take Racism and Sexism seriously, so they point it all out, wich basically waters down the definition to a point, where the average Joe only has things like the sexist Shirt and other petty things in mind, if it comes to Sexism and Racism and actual victims will suffer because of it.Anyway, what I really feel threatened about is the right of free expression and opinion... As long as you don't actively hurt or threaten someone, You can wear, say and do whatever you want. Bullying someone, to the point of conformity, doesn't make the social-justice-clique any better than what they supposedly "critiquing".	Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	7/167	4.192
It applies to the word "rape" too, that many feminists want to change to mean an action where a man belittles a woman , only managing to trivialize actual rape victims.	Woman	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
Is it possible you don't take claims of racism or sexism seriously because because there's little chance of you being a victim of sexist or racist attacks?	Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/27	11.111

Thing is, if nobody shout out the issues then they will be burried by already loud misogynist culture and sexism related crimes rate won't decrease. In fact, it is safer and easier for women now than ever is proof that feminism though painful but working.	Misogynist, Nobody, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/45	6.667
Truth Gehrin. These are serious issues that are only belittled by petty debates about shirts. I too want to stamp out sexism , and that's hard to do when even the most minor, non-malicious offense gets an absurdly overstated response	Sexism	Gender - General	1/39	2.564
Yes it is just a shirt, but from a woman's perspective it represents shit that I am sick to death of seeing perpetuated in our society. Yes sex sales but so does crack that doesn't make it right. And as a young girl these images can be very confusing as they only show visions of male sexuality not female sexuality on top of that it sends the message to girls and women that this is sexy and this is how women are supposed to be. The real problem comes when there are no other images from a female perspective to balance this imagery out.	Sex	Gender - General	1/104	0.962
Did you just compare having sex to smoking crack	Sex	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
Freya Pokorny You people are lunatics. Nothing happened. There is no victim here except him.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/15	6.667
Nerdwriter1 About sexism . Visual imagery in our environment influences our views of our culture as a whole. On this note 100 of your thumbnails including humans, a bit more than 86 include male and 31 female faces or bodies. I did not include video that include Q&A and thumbnails with your face or guest faces. The insidious nature of sexism , or what a lot call patriarchy in this particular subject, is that it's also visually implemented in our everyday lives. Even though I believe that it does not reflect the level of sexism in our western culture, or at least I hope so, I can understand the disgust and anger when it becomes noticeable. Sexism often goes incognito and even the well intended members of our society do not realize some ways it can continue to spread. Once you see it a lot will vent their frustration even on the most trivial subjects.	Patriarchy, Sexism	Gender - General	5/153	3.268

the movement doesn't even seem to have a single position on this. half of them hate the porn industry for objectifying women, the other half are like "Fuck off, women should do what they want with their bodies, including sell them." half are like "you go girl, sleep with as many guys as you want. Yay **sex** workers, yay all female body types." the others are like, "Damn those dirty men for feasting their piggy little eyes on naked women! Think of the children and the stereotypes!!" as an outsider i'm at a loss. how do you get to a society where women are sexually autonomous, while also keeping their sexuality under wraps where it cant be exploited by media and consumers? i dont think it's possible. we are **sexual** beings, programmed to objectify each others' bodies, and evidently those urges are much stronger in men than women. i dont see how we'll ever get away from that short of 1984-like **sexual** reprogramming. i guess the conclusion i'm reaching is not that the guy's shirt was a problem. the shirt in itself hurts no one except those prone to victimizing themselves. the problem is not male consumption of female sexuality. that has always happened and will always happen so long as society remains free, as will the reverse. so what are we worried about? women being driven away from STEM by the perception that it is an **old** boys club? it certainly doesn't affect women in school, who perform as well as if not better than men all the way through university now. in my own graduate office there are easily more women than men. perhaps that is the exception, but taking a look around my building i think not, although i am in the biological sciences and not math or physics. no, women leave STEM AFTER their graduate studies. the reason why is pretty self-evident. the post-graduate years are the end of prime baby-making time. STEM are generally highly competitive fields. employers want people they can trust to be productive virtually all the time. building a research program in academia requires a relatively constant stream of publications, new projects, new students, etc. women consistently state throughout their teenage and college years that they prefer more work-life balance than men do. raising a family rather than being wedded to a career. perhaps there are a handful of women who genuinely get snubbed for funding, professorships, etc. for no reason other than suspicion of future baby-making, when in reality they are as single-minded in their career pursuit as any man, but i find it hard to believe that is any kind of epidemic. and again, even if it were an epidemic, the issues would be well removed from a sexy shirt and would not even come close to justifying the disgusting character assassination on what would otherwise probably have been the proudest moment of that man's life.here's a final thought: maybe those images dont exploit female sexuality, they exploit male sexuality.

Old, Sex, Sexual

Age - Over
65s, Gender -
General

4/501

0.798

<p>I think the shirt is such a problem to people, because when some women see that kind of thing, it's hard to remember that guys can still see you as a person under your sexuality. We appreciate being sexy, that's not the problem, it's thinking that's all we are. And just because men don't actually feel that way, doesn't mean it's totally easy to see. That's why women get so bent out of shape about it. It's really hard to actually tell if a guy is like "this is a hot woman" or "that's a hot ass." I'm good at seeing both sides of an argument and I don't equate sex with emotion, so it's not usually that big of a deal with me. But I can see where these people are coming from, even if they're being dicks about it.</p>	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/141	1.418
<p>+EyMeng I think the point OP is trying to make is that if women are ENCOURAGED and CELEBRATED to make choices to be sexually promiscuous and make decisions that sexually exploit them, why are people vilified for exploitatively representing women as sexual objects? This is a contradiction. Do feminists value the individual woman's decision to pursue these things or vilify their decisions for harming the collective? If the latter, isn't this an infringement on the woman's autonomy? The Emma Watson Vanity Fair controversy is a good example of how feminist ideals seem to be in conflict with itself when it comes to sexual representation in media.</p>	Promiscuous, Sexual	Gender - Female sexuality, Gender - General	3/106	2.83
<p>The issue is with the feminist movement itself. Everyone has a different idea of what's right and what's wrong for people to do. And also a lack of understanding from both genders about how they see each other. It's why men don't understand what women want from them, and women think men just generally don't care. It would really help the whole situation if men and women (and the nonbinaries) would sit down and talk about gender without bringing oppression into it. The second you start talking about how hard a side has it, someone who's had a shit hand is going to take offense. Also, the feminist movement is an angry sack of cats at the moment. best to stay away from thinking of it as a cohesive thing. Because it is no where near that. ALSO also, a woman being promiscuous doesn't mean she's a sexual object. A blow up doll is a sexual object. A waifu pillow is a sexual object. A woman is a person you just happen to have sex with. There is a difference in a person sleeping around and showing their body off, and them being a lifeless object for sexual consumption.</p>	Gender, Promiscuous, Sex, Sexual, Woman	Gender - Female sexuality, Gender - General	9/199	4.523

i agree very much. everyone could do with a little less dogmatism and tin-foil hat syndrome. your last paragraph i agree with as well, although it's pretty safe to say folks know the difference between a sex doll and a porn star or a lady with a nice pair of tits walking down the street. a woman being objectified sexually by men does not mean that they literally see her as less than human. it just means that in that context they are particularly enjoying her sexuality. there can be some blurred lines there, but for the most part there's a huge difference between what happens in fantasy and media, and reality. the situation is not as dire as internet comment sections and Jezebel/Huffpost/Slate/Buzzfeed articles would lead you to believe. at least, that's my opinion.	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/135	1.481
This is true, I just took a little offense to the "why are people vilified for exploitatively representing women as sexual objects?" line from the other guy. Also, I was really tired. haha.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/33	3.03
Namaka Lis Actually, porn objectifies all genders and races, and even some animals, if you go that far down the rabbit hole. That's the point of porn. To objectify. The porn industry is fucked up, but not the act of porn itself. We are sexual creatures, most of the time.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/50	2.0

As for the first part of this comment, the "movement" as you like to call it doesn't have one single stance on porn etc because there are different "genres" of feminism, because it ties in with the rest of your political and non political opinions. You can be a more leftist feminist, a more liberal one or a more conservative one. Personally I think you are mixing up women being in charge of their own bodies and women being exploited by others. While a single woman sleeping with a lot of guys doesn't harm anyone and is about her choosing to do that, the porn industry on other hand reinforces views that harm women as a group because it is prone to sexualising violence and innocence (which ties into the sexualization of young teens). Additionally, the porn industry is run by men who also get the most money, so it is no longer a single woman in charge of her own body and nudity.I'm curious to why you think this guy's shirt harmed no one. While I think it doesn't necessarily discourage women from going into STEM, you have to think in a larger context. How do you think girls feel seeing themselves portrayed as objects? And why would he choose to wear a shirt like that, since it was obviously a natural choice. Don't you think that it is harmful that he put on a shirt with a women that sexualised without further thought? do you honestly think you would ever see a women put on a similar shirt, if not as a protest therefore with thought behind it? Don't you think that this shows that it is a problem that goes far beyond his shirt, and rather ties into our society's views on female vs male sexuality?Yes we will always, as individuals, view other people sexually. The problem lies within a society where a shirt like this can be manufactured sold and worn with no one along the way questioning it before it reaches the public. Additionally, does male sexuality really have to be tied to women's objectification? Please comment back, I'm genuinely interested in a discussion.	Woman	Gender - General	2/361	0.554
I appreciate your comment and your stance on the issue. For me, it seems that the woman would have to judge whether or not to trust that her co-worker does not see her as a means to his own end (i.e. only geared towards his own self-interests and sexual desires); instead empathizing with her by valuing her goals as equal to his own.Until that happens, we will continue seeing these misunderstandings that you pointed out in your comment.Perhaps engaging in dialogue, looking at the other individual's mannerisms, and learning how a that person ticks could be beneficial not only for women, but for men as well in order to determine whether or not they're being used or mistreated/assaulted.Check out Immanuel Kant's "Groundwork of the Metaphysic of Morals." It delves into the groundwork of this kind of morality and the imperatives that (according to Kant) must be followed.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/150	1.333

<p>Okay, I can sort of see where you're coming from with all this, but, to be honest, I don't agree with most of what you said. To address the first paragraph: just because different people in a movement have different opinions on things and on the best course of action for resolving what they see as a problem does not mean that the whole movement is thus worthless and should not be taken seriously. For example, just because Martin Luther King Jr and Malcolm X had differing stands on what actions should be taken during the civil rights movement does not mean that racism and discrimination were (are) not issues. It just means that they were complicated issues that had no clear solution. The same is true of feminism in the modern era. In response to your second paragraph and the implication that women are less successful in a demanding field because of the fact that they are the gender who can get pregnant, well... I mean, I won't say that's not what causes the lack of women in STEM jobs. I don't really know if it is the case or not.</p> <p>What I will say is, even if women are losing out on STEM jobs just because of their "baby-making" tendencies, it doesn't mean that that's not still a discriminatory practice. Last I checked, it takes two to make a baby. Just because the woman happens to carry the baby around with her for nine months does not mean that she is the one solely responsible for its care. Men and women can both want children, or they can both want to not have children. It's not a purely female thing to want to be a parent. Just because we've grown up in a culture that socialized us to believe that women should be the primary care-givers to children and men should primarily focus on their careers does not mean that that is an appropriate or non-discriminatory belief. In fact, it's quite reminiscent of the "separate spheres" ideology of the 50s which said that a woman's place was in the home, behind the oven, and I think we've all moved past that kind of thinking. There is no reason to expect that just because a person wants to have children, they should not also be allowed to have a career. There is no reason to believe that a man who wants children should be able to do so without devoting any of the time previously ascribed to working to taking care of his new child, while it is assumed that a woman will do so. The assumption that because a woman wants a family she should not also be able to have a career she enjoys, while refusing to apply the same logic to a man is, in itself, unfair and unequal, and harmful to women. What you're saying is that a woman must choose between having children and having a career, while a man can have both without making the same sacrifice. I don't blame you for thinking this way, as you were socialized to do so, but that doesn't make it okay. That's basically all. Reply if you want to, I would love to hear some other perspectives.</p>	Gender, Oven, Racism, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Religious Identity - Jewish	7/541	1.294
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

I, a woman , also like it (from like an artistic standpoint) but that doesn't mean I don't also think it's a bad idea to wear a shirt like that for a public interview/event like that. A shirt like that conveys more of a message than just personal style.	Woman	Gender - General	1/48	2.083
Gutsm3k the problem with Boris Johnson is that he is articulate. It masks his misogyny as insight.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/17	5.882
he didn't say "that shirt is going to destroy the life of every woman in the planet", he says that the usage of a shirt like is a part of something bigger. a shirt don't stop girls to do science, but patriarchy do, and that's exactly what this shit endorses.	Patriarchy, Woman	Gender - General	2/50	4.0
You must keep in mind that elitism is elitism, no matter the form to which it melds. Sexism and racism and other isms are all about feeling superior. If we've nothing else going for us, it makes sense to invent ways that we are effortlessly (and quite lazily) better than others.Ergo, how we're born. Money, skin tone, religion, name, place of residence, gender , etc...all ways we can naturally be superior than someone else.	Gender, Nothing, Racism, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/74	5.405
Thanks for explaining that this is not sexism . Because that's condescending at all .And using that non insult 'virtue signalling' says more about you and your opinion than you think.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
"Social justice" is about getting justice for social groups. It's in the name. People that are treated like shit by a system for things they can't change, such as genetic factors (including skin tone, sex , sexuality, etc, etc), are going to try to change the system to make it fair. If a system is broken, you fix it. Is there is social injustice, then you seek justice. It's... you know, the definition of "social justice"?	Sex	Gender - General	1/75	1.333
Good points in the vid, but I'd like to point out two things:1. Objectification and glorification may sometimes look the same, and cause a storm of emotions. Mainly, I think, this confusion and emotional shouting is because we still haven't quite grasped gender equality and sexual liberation as humankind and individuals.2. What the heck; the pictures show an action woman with guns, probably a femme fatale assassin, that uses every means necessary to be as dangerous as possible and use her individual power, maybe even on the expense of others' human rights. So how does that fit into any picture of being inferior to others? More like a goddess of war and deceit portrayed here, wouldn't you agree?	Gender, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	3/120	2.5
Also: censoring sexual imagery, or treating it as dirty/improper, is asserting dominance over open and natural display of sexuality.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/19	5.263
Common sense	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/2	50.0

I think a better question is would you be ok with this if it was turned around on you. If you were the oppressed group, if your not already of course. Or objectively should people of that group care about it, as even if you don't or wouldn't, that might just be because you don't wanna go into that field anyway, so it doesn't really affect you. Ultimately, however, my issue with this contraversy is that we're looking at it the wrong way. If a pervasive culture of sexism really discourages women from entering the stem field, then shouldn't we teach people that those sexi ^e t opinions don't matter and to forge on in spite of them. It is almost impossible to change a culture other than simply waiting it out, but I think we can teach people how to succeed despite that obstacle.In the end his shirt might be indicative of a problem, but him changing his shirt won't help women. Or at least that's my take	Sexism	Gender - General	1/168	0.595
David Ralphsky To be fair—which is more likely to affect the average person: landing a probe on a comet? Or institutionalized sexism ?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/22	4.545
Gizmo My beliefs have shifted far further left than they were when I posted my first reply over a year ago, but I still think this is much ado about nothing . He wasn't making a stand for institutionalized sexism and the shirt is inconsequential. It's scantily clad comic-book style women. Is it nerdy and tacky as hell? Yeah. Is it more important than the logistics of landing a space probe on a comet? Not really, especially since the only people who would've tuned in would be people who care about that kind of thing.But I can kind of understand it. This was back in the halcyon days of 2014. We had no idea what was coming in the next few years.	Nothing, Sexism, Tacky	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/122	2.459
Smiley Zefi I feel bad for you. Nothing you fear is real.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/12	8.333
2:00 this is about where you failed, because you never even took note of benedict Cumberbatch, Arnold swarzensomething and all those other males that are objectified. As long as humans have been around we have fantasized about each other, it is nothing new.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/43	2.326

<p>PBS Idea Channel’s Mike Rugnetta is hardly a good source for information on this matter. His opinions are informed by a highly abstract and nonobjective view of reality. ”Patriarchy Theory” and the ”Kyriarchy” are the primary means by which he chooses to perceive concepts like sexism, racism, etc. Taught primarily in social justice college courses, these ideals require a subject to view the world through a lens of ”privileges”. Race, sexuality, and gender all play a role in determining one’s level on the Kiriarchy, aka privilege level. This ideological perspective serves the explicit function of categorizing people based on factors they cannot change and discriminating against them. I implore you to imagine an instance in which a woman wearing the same thing as Matt Taylor (or with men sexualized instead) and consider what an ideologue Mike Rugnetta wold say about such an event.Your point about racism and sexism robbing people of their power, joy, etc. for the pleasure of their assailent is absolutely true, yet you fail to see how Matt Taylor is the victim here.</p>	Gender, Patriarchy, Race, Racism, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	8/177	4.52
<p>The shirt isn’t the problem, it’s the parents that tell children these gender stereotypes from birth. If they didn’t have them ingrained into their minds no shirt would convince them and if the did have it ingrained, the shirt would just be redundant.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
<p>AzraelYou act as if he wore a shirt saying ”women suck at aerospace engineering”.And you know why you act like that? Because you are a puritan bigot and you hate sex.That’s why you naturally assume that anything remotely sexual is sexist and bad. You don’t even think about it and neither does Nerdwriter. It comes naturally to you, because you are bigots.</p>	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	2/65	3.077
<p>TaxtroThe main problem here I believe is not that the content of the shirt is sexual, simply that it objectifies women.Also, please don’t like you’ve got someone all figured out after you read two comments they left on Youtube...</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	1/41	2.439
<p>LoupiTheMightyCucco notice that the woman on the shirt is bad ads shooting shit and blowing things up.Its not like the shirt had a woman making a sandwich in the kitchen or something.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	2/32	6.25

Implying men are as objectified as women, and that said objectification has the same consequences in society on both genders is ignorant. Little boys are encouraged to be audacious, courageous, tough and to affirm themselves ;once they grow up, they are able to easily differentiate them from whatever objectifies them because of the education they're given. The same can't be said about little girls, who are taught to be pretty, gracious, delicate and that they need to please others as well as society to be respected and liked ; therefore they feel pressured to fit society's demands, and it is much more difficult for them to ignore the objectification of women. What I'm trying to say is that objectification can be oppressive to anyone, no matter your gendre or sex , but that overall, the education girls receive does not provide them with the tools needed to escape the pressure of objectification. However, things are changing, and women are given better education and are slowly being freed from their cultural shackles, but its much easier to end objectification than to end centuries of toxic education and stereotypes. I do agree though that we honestly shouldn't give a fuck about the shirt, its really not important, but these kind of things allow us to discuss deeper and bigger problems which are realted to things like that shirt.	Sex	Gender - General	1/225	0.444
Critical thinking needs to be developped, humans are not born with a great ability to rationalise things, we usually tend to respond and side with our emotions which are most of the time not in line with reality. For example, sophisms are continously used by politicians and public figures and people still fall for them because they listen to their emotions and don't make the effort to make use of reason, and that ability to make use of reason is something that is taught, its not biological, or else let me tell you shit like the holocaust would never habe happened. I would like to discuss more on this subject with you, you actually make some good points, but you try to taunt me and tell me to "fuck off" and etc.', which makes me think you're too emotionally invested in this discussion and therefore will not be able to listen to my arguments. It's normal to be convinced of our perceptions and opinions, but the human experience is completely sujective so I'd tell you never to fully trust yourself. Anyway, small parenthesis here, my previous argument was inspired by Simone de Beauvoir's writing, and I think its still holds. Honeslty 20 years of education (keep in mind, education hasn't changed for everyone) is simply not enough to destroy centuries of social and gender constructs that are still present in different degrees in our everyday life. I'm not trying to attack you personally here or to put myself in some moral high-ground btw, I jsut think your attitude cannot lead to any fruitful conversation.	Gender	Gender - General	1/264	0.379

No Sane Person thinks the shirt says, "Women suck at Engineering". You'd have to be indoctrinated into a cult that places a high value on being outraged to think such a thing. A female friend of the guy designed the shirt and he wore it to honor their friendship given that it was her passion. And to answer Mike's Question.... What is under threat is nuanced discourse in favor of mob mentality black & white thinking that puts reactivity at center and more important traits in the background. This is a disaster. This is why I'm annoyed. The idea that people are only annoyed because they're sexist is played out and a sign of cult dogma if there ever was one.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/121	0.826
Hans Peter Rørholt Vigerust I think you make a mistake in speaking of this societal phenomena as if it were consciously guided. Yes, manual labor jobs have traditionally been of greater necessity, and consequentially, conferred more status onto their doers; usually men. However, only in the past thirty years we've seen a switch to what many would call an 'information economy', where intellect is awarded over physicality, and we're seeing women climb the career ladder just fine in this new environment. That is all to say, the hierarchies that naturally form in societies have not been informed by sexism in the substrata of culture. They were born of practical necessity.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/110	0.909
Ash Tree Your emotional appeal is nothing but that. That's not the average experience of a working woman in the United States. And for any woman who faces instances of discrimination in the workplace we have a system of jurisprudence in place, through which they can seek redress. It's not a perfect system, but only when you compare it to some fictionalized utopia in your head can it be called bad. Our social institutions, and attitudes towards women, are top notch on a global scale.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/85	3.529
Ash Tree Yes, different people have different experiences—including different women. I never claimed yourself, or women who share your experience, don't exist. In fact, I preemptively addressed that in my original response to you, when I mentioned our system of jurisprudence. However, your experience as a woman is not representative of every other woman 's experience. You do not get to declare yourself the spokesperson for all women just because you have a vagina and a sad story. When dealing with a large group of people, the only way to make accurate statements about their shared experience is through statistical observations. And the statistics tell us that experiences like the one you describe, thankfully, are the exception, not the rule.	Woman	Gender - General	1/119	0.84

<p>+Tom Cotter No, but your ability to understand and rule as an arbiter on experiences (such as street harassment) which are race or gender specific is greatly diminished because no matter your understanding, it's entirely theoretical in comparison to a group who have lived it. Same as abortion. I'm not going to try and proclaim something about an isolated village in a foreign country's culture if I've never been there, or don't speak the language, or let someone take my advice betting their life's savings on a rugby match if I've never watched a game in my life. I'm not going to lecture a physicist or a surgeon about things I'm not informed about. You can have an understanding of what life's like for different people, it's when you make the mistake of demanding your theoretical understanding be prioritised over theirs that you encounter serious issues.</p> <p>Like insisting you should be the one to do a job someone else in the room is better informed and qualified to do because you've read the Wikipedia page and maybe a book on it. And yeah, not all women represent all women, duh. So the conversation should be largely driven by women. You're off the hook, judgement regarding a type of life experience you'll literally never have is unasked for and unnecessary. At it's most basic, men will never have the same experience of culture and societally bred discrimination (if they're straight and caucasian anyway, buzzword but it's true, no simpler way to phrase it don't get offended) (I'm also both straight, male, and caucasian fyi) that would inform an opinion about that shirt. YEAH FUCKING DUH men aren't offended by shirts of really beefy guys with abs, or feel insecure about it, because there's no cultural structure of sexualisation that dehumanises men, leads to absurdly disproportionate sexual assault and rape statistics (the most significant factor), and affects their lives and career opportunities. And if you're offended by the implication that you might not know everything there is to know about someone else's lived experience, ask yourself (not me) why you assume you don't have more to learn on the subject, or why it's such a 'sexist' injustice to imply that people have different experiences and there are certain ones you'll never have the authority to speak to. Why does that aggravate you? Once again, I really doubt you'd be offended by someone asking an astrophysicist to work at NASA or someone with a law degree to defend them in court over you, because you'd say "oh sorry, I don't really have the qualifications to provide a contribution compared to the other alternative options you could ask in this context. I might have a vague understanding but there's still vastly better alternatives available".</p>	Gender, Race, Sexual	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/460	0.652
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>RainMinded This response is structured to address each section of your comment in chronological order:The thrust of your first point is that firsthand experience, as opposed to an abstract understanding, a priori, makes someone’s opinions on a matter more valuable/true–correct? There are several problems with this line of reasoning. First is that there are only a few experiences in this world which are truly limited to a certain group of people, say child birth or getting kicked really hard in the nuts–each experience the exclusive province of people who have vaginas or testicles. Yet even these experiences fall under the broader umbrella of ”Pain.” If you ask someone: ”How did it feel to get kicked in the nuts?”and they respond... ”It was painful.”You will be able to understand their experiential state of being, based on your own previous experiences with the sensation known as pain. In fact, this is why we wince when we see people get hurt. Our brains have what are called mirror-neurons, which read the emotional state of other creatures based on physical cues and activate similar brain patterns in our own minds. In other words, you literally feel vicariously through other people. Secondly, knowledge can exist without experience. Take your ”isolated village” for example. Let’s say you happened upon this village and found that they had a religious doctrine which demanded that every brunette female, upon her eighteenth birthday, be raped by the entire village, skinned from her waist down, and then roasted alive over an open flame to become a sacrificial feast to the gods. Tell me, do you need to conduct an in depth ethnographic study to understand the experience of these girls? Let’s take another of your examples that’s less extreme: You wouldn’t lecture a surgeon on something you aren’t informed about (his job I assume). Wise choice.But tell me, does a doctor need to have cancer to treat people with cancer? No. They have medical training. But where did that medical training come from? Did they learn how to treat cancer by surviving cancer? No. They learned about cancer from a completely ABSTRACT body of knowledge, which was created by conducting empirical studies on people who do have cancer. So you see, we agree. You say we should listen to experts, but the experts are using the exact same methods to reach their conclusions as I am–statistical data.You can have all the first hand experience in the world, it only makes you an expert on yourself. To determine the veracity of a claim about anymore than one person you necessarily have to use statistics.—————Okay, your second point. It seems to be something along the lines of ”issues that affect women should be decided by women because only women can understand them.”This is not only sexist as all fuck, but it also defies basic common-sense and contradicts your previous point.One, it implies that there are ”things that can only be understood by women.” If we accept this premise we would necessarily also have to accept that there are ideas which can only be understood by other groups of people such as men, or asians, or gay people. If we go down that road, then the door opens for the case to be made that some groups have ’better brains’ than each other, or are biologically superior, since they can understand certain ideas that other groups can’t. If this were true—as you</p>	<p>Gay, Mental, Woman, doctors</p>	<p>Gender - General, Nationality - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality</p>	<p>6/1138</p>	<p>0.527</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

Wow, are we still debating this? I almost regret commenting...anyway, I don't think it's really all that complicated. It's just a silly shirt. I'm as big a supporter of feminism as anyone, and I know the issues brought up in this thread are very real issues, but I also know how to pick my battles. Some things are only an issue when you make them into one, and a dumb shirt - which was made for Matt Taylor by a female friend, I might add - falls squarely into that category.The backlash to his shirt only made things worse. Not only was Taylor bullied to literal tears on social media (but his feelings don't matter - right?), it took the attention away from a major scientific achievement in favor of the clothes he was wearing. It also increased sales of the shirt in question in support of Taylor. Nothing gets accomplished when we make mountains out of molehills.Lastly, I feel the need to respond to one specific part of a comment left here -"YEAH FUCKING DUH men aren't offended by shirts of really beefy guys with abs, or feel insecure about it, because there's no cultural structure of sexualisation that dehumanises men, leads to absurdly disproportionate sexual assault and rape statistics (the most significant factor), and affects their lives and career opportunities."Actually there is, and there are scholarly articles about the subject. Eating disorders in boys are on the rise and cases of male sexual assault tend to go grossly unreported. But that's a separate conversation.	Dumb, Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/260	1.538
Ash Tree Oh yeah, the "I'm too busy and cool for this" excuse.I'm sure it's the case that you never intended anyone to take your comments seriously-it's just YouTube after all. I'm sure you don't believe any of the dumb things you said, and are definitely just way too busy to justify any of it. Totally, guy.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/58	1.724
all I'm saying is if a girl went out and wore the opposite nobody would have cared	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/17	5.882
the shirt is just one reminder of a larger problem. sexism is a system created by small and often seemingly harmless parts	Sexism	Gender - General	1/22	4.545
but the opposite never happens. Why? because it isn't normalized. and therein lies the problem. the very fact that you will never see a woman with the opposite shirt	Woman	Gender - General	1/29	3.448

<p>i mean.... is it not reasonable to be concerned that young girls might have a tentative interest in science but they're so used to seeing examples of STEM being a boys club rife with sexism that it's just a fantasy that never develops into a real aspiration for them?doesn't it make you lie awake just a little thinking about how many people have been shaped by the culture around them from a very young age to go down certain paths that doesn't reflect their inner passions?the shirt really isn't the problem, it's the wider culture of sexism, discrimination and harassment it represents that's the problem. saying that women who are tentative to pursue their passions because they don't want to endure that kind of dehumanising, degrading environment (again - not talking about being in the presence of an ugly shirt, talking about being sexually harassed and condescended to and discriminated against, which does happen in STEM fields at a really high rate) have 'already lost' is a little.... cruel. and lacking in empathy. don't you think?</p>	Age, Sexism	Age - General, Gender - General	3/178	1.685
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	---------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>2:24 "We are not crucifying Mat" OH COME ON, you can´t be that STUPID, so you have to be willfully ignorant of how overzealous feminists are, people on the internet generally go overboard on stuff like that. YOU may see this with a certain distance and remind yourself that it is "just a shirt". But you are one step from defeating your position here:3:30 "It is pleasurable to assert your dominance within a hierarchy you have created." This is the main and if you ask me the ONLY thing those who garner outrage about stuff like this shirt, the Hula Doll, a professor calling "form some muscle over here" etc. are looking for. This assertion of dominance is what drives 3. wave feminist and SJWs to be such utterly disgusting bullies. It is what motivates people to send death threats to a small pizza-store for refusing to (as I recall, hypothetically) serve pizza for a gay wedding. I don´t like the thought of that either, but I won´t make it a bigger deal than it is. You may not be completely wrong about how certain things may be "wrong" and how doing nothing will just further the problem, but still, assuming that shirt has a negative impact on women in STEM is not a fact, it is part of a theory at best. And this is my problem here and I took more than 60 seconds and I am not annoyed, not frightened. I am so utterly DISGUSTED by how petty these people claiming to fight for such great causes act that I could not give two fucks about whether they have some point somewhere any longer, not until they see how pathetic they have become and change their approach. I mean how can nobody see this problem? This line of reasoning makes everyone a potential symbol of the system of oppression and people go fucking nuts like the cowards they always will be, picking on the weakest for the smallest offenses. If social justice can not find a way to deal with this problem, then expect me and people who value reason, restraint and simple human decency to become more and more opposed to this movement. It´s not that they are just giant fucking killjoys, no, it´s these pampered brats feeling like they are freedom fighters for bullying people, believing they can do no wrong because they are "fighting the good fight." Edit: completed my quotes.</p>	Gay, Nobody, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	4/408	0.98
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	------

<p>Well, the hardest habits to overcome are unconscious ones. And it's not really the big things that perpetuate discrimination, but the unconscious everyday things. That joke at the bar of how women can't stop nagging, that small sexist joke you toss at a colleague. These things don't seem to have an effect at all on our everyday lives because they've been thoroughly normalized and incorporated into our habits, our passive actions. Now, i for one am not necessarily against the sexual portrayal of women in something like a shirt, what i son't appreciate is the idea that people unconsciously assume through extensive imagery that women are to be portrayed like that in the real world. Maybe if me were portrayed in a similiar fasion there would be an equal standing on the matter. But would it solve the problem, or just create another one reversed? Are we to sacrifice our freedoms of these unconscious actions for the sake of a better environment for everyone? Everything related to the loss of freedom is a huge dillema, especially in the USA. The good ol'debate of "don't do that, it offends someone, somewhere". Is it even possible to live in a world where nobody is offended through these unconscious portrayals? Would we like to live in such world? Maybe. Hard to know. But as of now, let's make an effort to change some of our habits that we unconsciously do, but actively know we shouldn't.</p>	Nobody, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/242	0.826
<p>I really love this man, and I think he provides immense incite into difficult issues, even if I don't always agree with him. I wish to note, though, there was an interesting dichotomy he brought up at 2:00. That is: a central focus of the feminist movement is to condemn the depiction of female sexuality as a commodity. In this, there can be no doubt, they are in the right. The manner in which media and the public at large has been conditioned to view the human body, particularly a woman's body is deplorable, to perpetuates objectification and projects a cultural expectation that can limit a person's development. However, I recently had a discussion with a friend who said she wasn't offended by such images in and of themselves because a person, regardless of gender, should be allowed to express their sexuality as they see fit. That is, if someone wants to flaunt the fact that they are a sexual being, to display that to a wider audience (Kardashian), they have a full right to do so. What I am concerned about is that while I suppose this is true, each person has a right to express themselves however they please, I think that as a culture we should limit it. In the same way as we condemn the use of certain words because they are considered profane or obscene, perhaps we should frown upon certain imagery because it lowers the tone of the conversation and leaves open the door for exploitation.</p>	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	2/252	0.794

but you cannot control what goes on in the heads of other people. when does sexual liberation end and commodification begin? that's a personal judgement, not an objective standard. is it even possible to have one but not the other? i think not. living in a free society has consequences. you can't encourage women to express their sexuality and then cry foul when you see images you find distasteful on TV or in magazines, or get mad at men for consuming what they are hardwired to like.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/87	1.149
ice hawk10 Nah, dude. A woman being personally empowered by sex and sexuality is totally different than the systemic sexualization, and subsequent objectification, of women. Female characters in television & movies are often reduced to sex objects. Women are sexualized in ads. These are instances where there is a power imbalance and the woman is reduced to only her sexuality. A woman saying "I like sex and I think it's fun to present myself sexually" is totally different than society saying "all women are inherently sexual and it's the most important thing about them."	Sex, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	7/93	7.527
Zoe S That's because everybody is inherently sexual . We're mammals. Ads sexualise and stylise everybody as well, that's what happens when you draw attention. Nobody says "it's the most important thing about them" apart from dumb teenagers, that's simply feminist paranoia.	Dumb, Nobody, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/41	7.317
i think you'll have a very hard time drawing that line consistently Zoe S. i prefer to take a more libertarian view. those women in movies and ads agreed to those roles (and were paid handsomely for it btw). not my place to say whether it was the right or wrong decision for them or for women in general (and there's absolutely nothing i can do about it anyways, besides my own consumption and my own psychology).	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/77	1.299
Angie Four Wouldn't that also imply the woman who made the shirt for him is a 'repressed jackass with no taste'.	Woman	Gender - General	1/21	4.762
I think its fucking stupid to assume you share an achievement with someone just because you are the same gender . Men and women both work in the stem field and their gender is beyond irrelevant to their work. If you want to work in STEM, you will work in STEM. bottom line	Gender	Gender - General	2/52	3.846

john smith look man, in all honesty I have nothing but nice things to say about this dudes videos. The only problem I have is when he does any type of politics. It reminds me of celebrities expressing their political views. I don't care for it and it makes me dislike them. That being said, I can obviously just not watch his political type videos, which is honestly my plan from here on out. So relax and stop picking YouTube fights.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/81	1.235
john smith yes! Picking from fights! Your name if john smith! I know a fucking non-contributing troll when I see them. A page with absolutely nothing on it, a fake name... all the signs point to a ass who wants to argue.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/42	2.381
"your assumptions are following from cultural norms so invisible, they seem like insights about gender "thank you, this was the sentence i was looking for. although short, this video is a great dissection of the subject.	Gender	Gender - General	1/36	2.778
The most frustrating part of trying to talk about sexism is no matter how reasonable I am in the discussion people take the words more seriously as soon as they are parrotted by a man.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/35	2.857
All evidence for inherent gender differences being dismissed as deeper, more invisible, more insidious sexism is the tactic of an ideologue not a thinker.Maybe we shouldn't try to force equality of outcome because that's a dystopian nightmare for all involved, maybe if a nerd's shirt is enough to dissuade you from a career then you don't deserve that career. Maybe men shouldn't have to alter their behaviour and hide who they are because it might offend someone, maybe if women want to be taken seriously they shouldn't ask for special treatment.If you need special treatment to achieve equality you were never equal in the first place.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/108	1.852
"All evidence for inherent gender differences being dismissed as deeper, more invisible, more insidious sexism is the tactic of an ideologue not a thinker."This!I find it incredibly telling that when he did that he didn't present any evidence of any kind, he just said "what if" and pretended that was all argument he needed.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/56	3.571
These people would deport some woman from Lithuania, who dreamed of becoming an author, to force her into, say, aerospace engineering, because the quota for women from Lithuania in aerospace engineering was not right.And they'd still think they are the good guys, progressive and liberal, fighting fascists and bigots.	Woman	Gender - General	1/50	2.0

there are so many demeaning and overly sexual portrayals of women in social media and just society in general, it would be pointless to get offended by it all, not to say that it's acceptable and that we shouldn't at least try to call out misogyny when we see it, but we should be able to tell the difference between a piece of artwork, an innocent joke or a nine year old troll somewhere on the internet and straight up sexism without immediately blowing our tops at the sight of either. Yes, misogyny is a big problem, one we need to fix, but we'll achieve nothing by trying to yell our arguments over eachother.	Misogyny, Nothing, Old, Sexism, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/114	5.263
I always like how people get butthurt over 'demeaning and sexual portrayals of women in media'...but completely ignore the fact that men are perpetually depicted as both villains and being expendable henchmen. Seriously, there will be a movie and 100 men died as cannon fire...yet one woman is in a bikini for 3s and y'all screaming, 'MUH SOGGY KNEES! DA SEXISM ! THIS IS WHY THERE ARE SO FEW WOMEN IN STEM FIELDS! MUH FEMALE REPRESENTATION!!!'.I for one want you people to for once put your money where your mouth is and demand more female villains and female henchwomen to be brutally murdered for spectacle-or beaten, or tortured, or forcibly amputated...basically, collect the same acts of brutality as men are subjected to on screen. Oh...and we need the female equivalent of the 'nut shot' gag btw. What's that you say? A man simply holding a woman by the neck is inciting domestic violence against women? Well fuck....you people lack any understanding of internal consistency don't you?	Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	4/166	2.41
I think the fact that that naked pictures of women circulate around the media cause of a tshirt, or a nine year old meme maker passing on a comment, or a piece of artwork so rarely showing men naked and over and rose petals or lying around wet in a car is representative of the fact as to how much misogyny has seeped into our society. I might be wrong, but I will need evidence for it otherwise.	Misogyny, Old	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/78	2.564
Because this is more a social issue –something to be debated and not necessarily "proven". You can quote statistics and research findings when it comes to Sexism , but it'll always be very subjective.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/33	3.03

Well, there's some evidence that hints in one direction or the other. Cultivation theory says that such shirts can skew the perception of reality of people that scientists generally like wearing such shirts, even as it is not true. This may indeed dissuade some women from entering a STEM field. Moreover, cultivation theory says that if feminists repeat enough times that scientists are sexist, and mass media gives them enough exposure, women will really start believing that scientists are sexist, even as it is not true. This most surely can dissuade some women from entering a STEM field. See, cultivation theory makes the most sense when speaking about the tv audience that spends 7 days a week in front of it - in other words, it needs continued and regular exposure to a single source in order to be able to change the perception of reality of the subject. So while a single shirt can't possibly have such an effect, the 24/7 whining that a shirt is sexist certainly comes much closer to what the cultivation theory is about. On the other hand, research done on violence and video games pretty conclusively shows that violent video games don't actually cause violence. That seems to imply that as long as one is sane and can separate reality from fiction, he can't perceive the fictional women on a shirt as something sexist. Because, you know, fashionable designs are also a kind of fiction. Unless, of course, one uses the other definition of sexism , and then pretty much every shirt a man wears can be seen as perpetuating sexism . Because the cis white male is the top of the social hierarchy, so everything connected to him is also sexist, racist , bigoted and so on.	Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/291	1.031
And so these little perpetuate sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/6	16.667
1) Nope. These little things perpetuate the fact that life sucks.2) Nope. Sexist little things, even if they exist, can't have influence over sane people in a normal state of mind. 3) Nope. You don't really believe this, right? I mean, no matter what a particular little thing is, it can be spun as if it is sexism - because that's what postmodernism does, it interprets things in ridiculous and obviously wrong ways in order to serve its own narrative. What a sad end for a movement that started with the desire to deconstruct the predominant narratives.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/98	1.02
Just look at how some people are now trying to say that words like 'mankind' are sexist. Some people see sexism where it isn't.How can you be certain what 'little things' are actually sexist in a world like that?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/40	2.5

Sexism exists and it appears in different forms everywhere and all the time even though you might not always be aware of it (like the video points out). We are exposed to the macho culture and different expectations depending on our gender since the day we are born. I think all of you can think of multiple examples like girls being expected to be the calm and study hard while "boys will be boys", guys get away with yelling sexist comments in school, guys who have lots of sex = kings while girls = whores aaaand soooo oooooon. Truth hurts. Feel annoyed?	Gender, Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	3/102	2.941
Paradox Acres List of evidence for sexism within normal, sensible people: -Invisible cultural norms that are so ingrained that they cannot possibly be detected or proven to exist -Annoyance when feminists generalize your whole demographic group and paint you off as a sexist when you aren't -Wearing garments that supposedly have a big influence on women, which can again not be proven or shown at all. On the contrary, we saw a list of women who stated that they were completely unaffected by the garment. -Corporations that use sex to sell, which they would never do with men cough all fashion and perfume brands ever as well as every movie with attractive male actors cough -Less women present in STEM-fields while completely ignoring that women absolutely dominate the social sciences -Casually stating that women earn 60 of all graduate degrees, which means men only earn 40. Formulated differently, women earn 150 of the graduate degrees men do, sounds like privilege if you ask me. -Ascribing the discrepancy between women and men in STEM to Western cultural norms, even though it is also present in other cultures with different cultural norms. This video should be placed among Ars Rhetorica and Stoicheia as a staple of logically sound thinking.	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	2/207	0.966

<p>You say "use facts and reason", yet you rely on no facts or statistics that are accurately portrayed. Your whole argument is well-worded, however, entirely misconstrued for the simple fact that if women don't want to be portrayed this way, then don't allow yourself to be on a shirt dressed like this. Also, your argument is tremendously flawed because if this situation were reversed, this outrage wouldn't be there. Also, if men were treated as sex objects, I highly doubt someone would complain to the degree you have. Lastly, by implying that this shirt is sexist, then you must infer that the women on it are sexist, since they agreed to having this image shared! Therefore, I would arguing you are excluding women from being allowed to choose to be a sex symbol, and stating that being a sex symbol is sexist. The fact that something is on a shirt does not define anyone. Many of guys own shirts that have athletes, or musicians, etc, yet they don't often end up achieving what is on that shirt. If someone wore a shirt with an image of the Bride from Kill Bill, how would that be defining anyone besides the character from that movie? A t-shirt can't define a gender, that is absurd, and despite your extremely well-made video, the ideas you present here are incredibly flawed.</p>	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	4/226	1.77
<p>I'm very confused by your argument because I feel like it doesn't have a lot to do with the actual content of the video. Nerdwriter is tackling the idea of sexism by using the shirt as an example, a way to guide the thought process surrounding sexism. He explains that the shirt is being criticized because some people think that it is leading women away from pursuing STEM related fields, which is why it matters that the shirt had sexualized images of woman because it may lead women to think that STEM is, like Nerdwriter said, "a boy's only club." You're saying that he gives no proper facts or statistics, but in the video at 2:42 he gave legitimate facts and statistics to defend his argument on how women often do not pursue STEM careers. Nerdwriter talks very little about the sexualization of women or whether or not the shirt is propagating it he is just using it somewhat as an introduction into the topic of sexism, therefore, I still believe that your argument is still a little flawed itself. I think it is unfair of you to criticize the video, because you didn't seem to get the point he was actually trying to portray.</p>	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	4/205	1.951
<p>Hannah WhitlockOh I get the point trust me, it's just extremely stupid. Hannah you are saying that you are so unempowered that you need people to stop sexualizing you so you won't be dissuaded from following your passions? You would let a shirt stop you? Not very empowering. I think women, like men, should get over it. Sex is everywhere. Grow up and look past that aand be an adult. Stop blaming your failures on others and on a society obsessed with sexualizing everyone.</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/85	1.176

<p>I think you're still not quite getting my point. Obviously there is no definitive proof that an incident like that could decide someones career path, I wasn't saying that there was and neither was Nerdwriter. But I'm sure there is plenty of research out there that has been done on the stigma surrounding men's vs. women's clothing or what is considered a "boy" thing or a "girl" thing. Certain stigmas are especially amplified via the media, like Nerdwriter mentioned, wouldn't you agree? Also I have to call you out on one thing, in your original comment you said "Also, if men were treated as sex objects, I highly doubt someone would complain to the degree you have," but now your saying that sexualization occurs towards men and women? I certainly agree that it does, but your opinion seems to have changed.</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/141	0.709
<p>You called my argument stupid, should I not consider that an insult? I have tried to be neutral in explaining the video, but you clearly remained very biased for seemingly no reason. If you are going to be relatively closed minded maybe you shouldn't bother watching or commenting on videos like this. Honestly, you're the biggest hypocrite here, you're asking me to provide evidence, but you have none of your own. Have you ever taken a sociology class? They do plenty of studies on gender, I'm sure I could look in my old sociology book right now or even just do a simple google search. Are you actually saying studies on how society views different genders don't exist? Calling that bullshit gives me a good idea of your intelligence level. And again, I never said my opinion on the shirt. I was just explaining the video. You continue to pull assumptions from nowhere and this argument is continually going nowhere therefore I am done replying. Obviously me explaining isn't going to change you in any way and is just a waste of my time.</p>	Gender, Old	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/184	1.087
<p>Hannah WhitlockBecause my argument doesn't need facts! My argument is a fact. Fact: to think a t-shirt defines a gender a hurts women's chances of attempting to go into the STEM fields is fucking retarded. You were the one who claimed you had research or gender studies. Provide some from credible sources and websites ending with a .gov, a .edu, or an extremely credible website. I have taken a sociology class, I have taken psychology, and I have been through one of the better school systems in the country. So perhaps rely less on the ad hominem. And I don't disagree with that assessment, but you are changing the focus of my argument. Anyone who allows a t-shirt to define what their gender is relegated to and what they should pursue career-wise is fucking not empowered. People need to get over it it is just a t-shirt.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	3/148	2.027

so because women choose not to go into STEM we all hate women? Really? So what's your idea to fix it? Force women to go into STEM fields and be miserable. Most people don't go into STEM. Male or female. Women are allowed to like what they want. What you're doing is simply being a try hard. Benevolent sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/59	1.695
No, but women chose not to enter STEM fields because they are socialized at an early age that doctors , scientists, mathematicians and engineers are men. It's not because "women don't like science" or some other bullshit.	Age, Engineers, doctors	Age - General, Nationality - General	3/36	8.333
TT LL no you misheard him. Women do not make up 60 of STEM graduates they make up 60 OF graduates. Meaning in total, not in STEM. The majors where females make up more than 50 of graduates are Art, English, Physiology etc. Companies go crazy to hire women so they can say they are equal opportunity which is why women have higher chances of being hired than men, only problem is women don't have the background to do it.	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/80	1.25
"Signal to woman their not allowed in STEM." No it isn't. That only one interpretation stated as fact, manufactured to outrage. "...Danger of losing" How about freedom of speech and thought and to wear clothes that I choose? Because that what happens when we let the mob shout people down.If the roles were reverse, and a woman had a shirt with naked men on it I would defend it the same. And to say woman will not do STEM because a shirt was offences is treating them like children. And I find that very offensive.	Woman	Gender - General	3/96	3.125
Oversexualised? Do they have impossibly large boobs or something? They're just sexy women. Men like them, what a surprise. We wouldn't exist as a species if they didn't. There is nothing bad about sexy women, keep your puritan Christian culture to yourself.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/42	2.381
It's pleasurable for people to point out ' racism ' etc. because it makes them feel better about themselves. People who are constantly looking for hidden sexism , racism , etc. do it because it enables them to feel morally superior. They know that a lot of things in this world are wrong but they want to blame the others because they don't want to feel guilty.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/63	3.175

So sexually suggestive images of women = 'objectification', 'misogynistic' undertones?WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?? Is what sick universe you live in where sexuality is a fucking sin? For every sexually suggestive image of women out there you can literally find another equally sexually suggestive image of a men.People like you are replacing religious people as the backward and prude idiots that cry sin over every small skirt and cleavage. Just shows that feminist and social justice is just like a religion.Oh and a side note, that shirt was MADE BY A WOMAN And how incredibly arrogant you are to assume you know what women should be studying more than what WOMEN THEMSELVES chose. Respect their choices, if a woman chose whatever degree over STEM you have NO BUSINESS telling her she made the wrong choice. And it's INCREDIBLY DEMEANING for you to pat their head and say she doesn't even know she made the 'wrong' choice because 'sexism in society' is subconsciously controlling her decisions and she is too stupid to make choices of her own. How LITTLE must you think of women if you believe their will is so weak that her agency can be overridden by mere sexually suggestive images?	Woman	Gender - General	2/205	0.976
Ancap2112 this. its like when people think they need to defend or be surragetely offended for other races, who never asked for their help, is kind of racist by assuming they don't have a voice of their own.	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/38	2.632
Ancap2112 "what sick universe do you live in that tells you that sexuality is a sin" gay people across the world would love to speak to you	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/27	7.407
Ancap2112 actually women are often more sexually objectified than men because straight men operate sexually on the basis of visual stimulation. that's why women are objectified more often BY MEN to sexually gratify OTHER MEN. you will find objectification of men to be less explicit because what gives straight women or otherwise, sexual gratification is not always strictly visual like it is with men straight men	Sexual	Gender - General	1/66	1.515

<p>A better question to ask yourself in situations like these is "Would I be okay with the opposite version of this?" ie. "If the gender-roles were reversed, would I accept this?".After all justice is fairness - and through seeing the situation through the eyes of the potentially worst off in the scenario, helps see the balance clearly.So, if we apply this to the shirt situation: Would it be okay if a woman wore a shirt/dress decorated with unrealistically Adonis-like bodies wearing nothing but speedos? I think it would be acceptable. Looking at it this way, the most reasonable objection seems not to be about unfair body-images* but about prudence: It might make someone flustered to be forced to witness what would be considered pornography a 100 years ago. And that hardly seems like a pressing matter.* Dealing with bad self esteem is ultimately a personal endeavor, and it can be affected by a million things differing from person to person. A society with a global media can never fully accommodate every psychological sensitivity, since some such accommodations are mutually exclusive.</p>	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/183	1.093
<p>Beastly yeah, but you're ignoring the historical and cultural context in which this shirt exists. What if a woman, in a field dominated by women, as it always had been since the beginning of time, wore a dress covered with the idealized and fetishized bodies of men, who had been subjugated, harassed, shamed, murdered, raped, and even killed because of their body.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/62	1.613
<p>In that case, then Beastly is using the wrong example, but still asking the right question.Men being viewed as sex objects isn't historically a problem, true, so that's not our inverse. Our real inverse is being viewed as financial objects and disposable human sacrifices. So if men were depicted as cash dispensers or murdered martyrs on a shirt, would we be cool with a woman in a position of power wearing that shirt on TV? If a female politician or CEO wore a shirt like that, would the male population be alright with it?Probably not. Thus, we can say that the guy's shirt is in poor taste.</p>	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/109	1.835
<p>+That Wade Guy "Our real inverse is being viewed as financial objects and disposable human sacrifices."While that is probably closer to the truth than men being viewed as sex objects and trophies, it's still far from it. Men are viewed as the main character, the person in charge, the norm. White heterosexual men like me are invisible, because we're expected and accepted. Even when most female authors, filmmakers, computer game makers and songwriters construct their stories, the women are more visual while the men are the norm. And a female politician or CEO who wore a shirt with men depicted as cash dispensers would be viewed very different from a man wearing a sexy-girl-shirt. There is no real comparison, I'm afraid, although I find your analysis to be 100 times more apt than the one Beastly did above...</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/139	0.719

I can tell you why there isn't so many women in scientific fields. My boss from the stem cell company I'm working in at the moment is a woman , and I have to say women tend to be a bit more guided on an emotional level. Sometimes we argue about things where I'm pretty sure that I am right (and others agree). Though, her cognitive dissonance is so strong that it's really hard to convince her. I don't see this very often with male scientists. It's not necessarily a bad characteristic to think emotionally but it's very hard for women to be cold blooded like men and just accept things the way they are. Since science is all about facts and not how we want things to be (nature isn't always the way we expect it) you have to face the truth. I'm talking about the average (and not all women). It's quite easy for men to stick to rules (of nature). Especially when things aren't the way my boss wouldn't like them to be she says: "that's not possible, that cannot be". People may disagree and the thought isn't very friendly to say but I think that in average women think more on an emotional level. I think that is (among other reasons) why scientific fields have less women (men are better at focussing on small things, a very important skill in science, while women are much better at keeping things organized and thinking in context).Of course there is other reasons why women don't get into science (cultural reasons, etc.) but I think what I mentioned is the main reason.What's your thoughts on this?	Woman	Gender - General	1/277	0.361
Truly Outrageous lmao really? You have an opinion based on a fact, somebody tells you your fact is wrong and updates your info, you accept it, but then you still hold the opinion based on the lie? Why don't you do like a 2 minute google search and figure out what's what rather than holding onto dumb shit?	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/58	1.724
To the original comment, you do realize how that sounds a lot like "Women are worse at thinking rationally", right? Crazy thought: maybe there is no (or very small) natural difference in any type of intelligence between men and women. And preference for a certain job (assuming the skills to do it well) is influenced by not wanting to feel out of out-of-place everyday.That, I think, is partially why there's fewer women that go into STEM fields and far fewer men who go into nursing, even when they are the significant majority of doctors , which uses a very similar type of thinking.	Crazy, doctors	Nationality - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/103	1.942

<p>You just relayed an anecdote. Anecdotal evidence can be very powerful to the person observing it, because it presents itself more often and more clearly when it correlates to your preconceived notions, no matter how subtle those notions may be. I could give you 100 anecdotes from my personal life that easily refute yours. It wouldn't prove much.</p> <p>Issues regarding gender and intelligence, emotional inclinations, &/or mental performance are far too complex to be summed up in a single paragraph. Volumes upon volumes would be needed to fully understand such a topic, because it's nearly impossible to find the root (actual) causes of your gender-based observations. Here's one now!! I was a cheerleader through elementary/junior/high school. No one ever pushed me toward math or science in any way because it was just assumed that I was a blonde, ditzzy cheerleader. But, as a freshman, I decided to get a head start on school the summer before, and picked up my geometry book for a self guided lesson. I wanted to give myself a little jump on things since I often had little time for studying with all the cheer practice & games we attended. I finished the geometry book in a few days....on my own. I checked out an algebra book from the library - giddy with the excitement that comes from learning. Finished it in 2 weeks. Moved on to advanced algebra & on and on. My sophomore year, I placed 1st out of my entire school of 3000+ kids in math, across the board, and was placed on our school's academic team. Finished 6th in our state that year. And yet not a single teacher, parent, or counselor EVER SUGGESTED I CONSIDER A CAREER IN MATH. People thought it was cool that a cheerleader literally WAS the math team for our school. But, they treated it like it was "cute". In fact, NO ONE EVER MENTIONED COLLEGE TO ME AT ALL. I ended up being accepted to UCLA 3 years after graduating high school, because a good friend saw me waitressing and said "what in the hell are you doing? Your'e going to college. Apply immediately." My point is, women deal with treatment throughout their lives that you will never grasp - and that they themselves have a hard time coming to terms with. My little story probably didn't clarify the issue for you in the slightest, but I hope that it helped you see the difference between INTRINSIC personality traits, & things that have been learned from a world that undervalues our work, minds, opinions & accomplishments.</p>	Gender, Mental	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/430	0.465
<p>The phrase of the day is "Confirmation Bias", and it explains most of why humans are very bad at generalizing. So, OP having a dumb lady for a boss no more shows that women are less rational than Brandin's experience shows there is a society-wide pressure for women to not go into STEM fields. (Although she acknowledges that somewhat)We should only rely on society-wide evidence when making society-wide generalizations.</p>	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/70	1.429

What am I afraid of? I am afraid of not being able to express myself because it offends someone.If this was reversed and it was a woman wearing a shirt scantily dressed men on it and some guy was complaining about her attire being offensive to him, then I'd inform the guy that his feeling have nothing to do with what she can wear. So am I a misogynist if I have the same advice for women?It seems intellectually dishonest and blatantly manipulative to me to suggest the only reason I'd not condemn this man's fashion choice is because I want to oppress women.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/106	1.887
Funny how we never talk about male's domination of dangerous fields like mining, deep sea fishing, and the like. Funny how we aren't talking about the hidden sexism keeping women from those fields. When we get to cushy office/laboratory jobs, then men have to make it emotionally/mentally safe for women to get into those fields..	Sexism	Gender - General	1/55	1.818
"then men have to make it emotionally/mentally safe for women to get into those fields." And? Why should women or men not be allowed to be mentally safe. It is a work environment. Men and women are absolutely allowed to not be harassed in an office. This is a legal right. "Funny how we aren't talking about the hidden sexism keeping women from those fields" There should not be any hidden sexism in any field.	Sexism	Gender - General	2/75	2.667
Mr.Rawbs caring about underrepresentation means giving preferential treatment towards a group. When given equal opportunity as men and women for the most part have today the only thing that holds one back is personal choices and work ethic. If women and men have the same aptitude in stem then what is the point of encouraging people to make choices based on their sex or any other identifier (perhaps with the exception of class)	Sex	Gender - General	1/73	1.37
That is because psychology is mambo jambo about feelings and has not been done on a scientific base since many, many decades. :pSorry, could not resist, I am just joking, well, not about the systemic lack of scientific base in your chosen field, because that is a real thing and problem, but about that being the reason everyone is fine with more women in that field. Still isn't it funny how that actually is the perceived stereotype about psychology? Mambo jambo about feelings, totally a woman thing. And more ironically is the amount of women who never finish their psychology degree course because of the heavy amount of math, especially statistics in it. :p	Woman	Gender - General	1/115	0.87
I don't see anything wrong with liking sexy images. I don't see how it equals sexism automatically.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/17	5.882

Haley F Sexy images aren't automatically sexist. It depends on what the perspective is. If a woman is in a sexual position, but you don't see her face, she is being used as an object and not seen as a person. On the other hand, if a woman is doing something sexual and is looking directly into the camera, she's owning her sexuality. This can also be applied to images as men who pose in ads that showcase their muscles. It's not inherently bad, but his body is being treated as an object.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	4/93	4.301
".....If a woman is in a sexual position, but you don't see her face, she is being used as an object and not seen as a person..."All sexual positions are human positions, not object positions. Objects do not pose sexually, can cannot loot the camera in the eye or turn their head away.Defining women's sexual poses as the objectification of women is ITSELF objectifying women, because it is stripping women of their own sexuality and agency. It assumes women do not ever desire sex with men, and do not signal that desire through sexual flaunting, flirting etc. Female sexual poses and alluring clothing are also an assertion of women's sexual power over men. (Look at me, I am hot, I bet you;d love to fuck me, right?). Everyone loves to feel desired and valued, men and women alike.Feminism is A MALE POWER FANTASY which frames women as passive, inert, objects with no agency and men as all-powerful dominant gods who not only are invulnerable themselves, but have the power to control women like chess pieces too.Oh, and the shirt was a gift from a female fashion designer friend, who made it herself.	Sex, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	8/196	4.082
theawecabinet This is all true, but you also have to consider whether or not it's through the male gaze. Yes, women want sex just as much as men, but people in positions of power create images and media that perpetuate this idea that women have to be submissive at all times. Feminism is about yelling men are monsters. It's about equality. Patriarchy hurts both sexes. It tells men that if they don't act in a certain manner they are "pussies" not real men. Which is why so many of them are sill scared to talk about their feelings and why, though it's a more common thing, men don't tend to be as involved in a child's life as the mother. Which is why so many men use the term "babysit the kids". Real feminism isn't about petty problems. And I never said the shirt was sexist. In all honesty this is the first time I'm hearing of it. If you ask me, it's none issue. There's more important issues in the world.	Common, Patriarchy, Sex	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/172	1.744

+ Nobody Lurker the simple fact is that you're correct but I wouldn't try to paint with such a broad brush, you don't have to make a point that some feminists are stupid. Yes. But biologically men are disposable, a single male can produce a lot of sperm and a surplus of males doesn't really impact a population, just harder competition for males. But females will have to choose the best of the best, now of course humans are different since they are much different and aren't as demanding(sometimes) as certain animal females.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/92	1.087
Nobody Lurker, while I agree that there is a branch of feminism that entirely contradicts the other, you seem to completely disregard part of your last point (which coincides with feminism). Yes, men have been disposable, but why do men "make" women the "crown jewel" you speak of? The question we should be answering before completely dismissing feminism is: why have men gone through so much trouble to idolize women? To quote from you "all they ever asked for was a cute wife and children". Sure, that is a valid answer, but you forget to see this statement through a feminist view. We idolize the perfect family (which we sustain) which is undoubtedly the male goal, but why should this be women's fault? So the problem is not "why are women bitching when they are idolized from the womb?", but "why do we, as men, put these pressures on ourselves?"If we cannot answer this question without saying "that's what a man is supposed to do/be" or picking at straws with biological defenses/solutions (because, let's be honest: we are not born knowing how a man/woman should behave), then this means that we feel as if our masculinity is being threatened. We cannot even think of blaming masculinity for women's issues, MUCH LESS for men's issues. Let's be honest, without the pressures of our own masculinity, the problems men go through (some of which you listed in your last paragraph) would be no more (or at least more manageable).In this context, it makes sense why we see feminism as a clusterfuck (for lack of a better word) of ideas that make no sense. Masculinity has made men feel disenfranchised because it has made our lives seem secondary (after all, all we accomplish is for the "cute wife and children"), but has also made men competitive which is why we have a predominant male ratio in positions of power. All of these male issues feed into feminism because women are also affected by it.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/333	0.3
+Lilliath Speak for yourself. I enjoy STEM and social interaction is exhausting. Luckily my parents didn't really socialize me one way or the other, the way other parents gender their kids. There aren't that many fundamental differences in the brains of men and women unless they were socialized a certain way. Anyway, whether you're XX or XY, it's not really going to determine whether you like STEM or not. Come on.	Gender	Gender - General	1/71	1.408

<p>Sexy is not inherently sexist. The sexism piece comes in when one gender is far more often portrayed as being sexy, and that their worth is, in part, derived, from their physicality and sexuality. So, women are far more likely to not only be portrayed as sexual, almost ubiquitously, but also more likely have their sexuality emphasized as important over other important features (one example is how rare leading roles in media are for non-physically attractive women, when the reverse is not true of men). Another example, it is rare to see a camera linger and move over a man's body as if that body was being viewed through the eyes of someone sexually attracted to the man's body.</p> <p>There may be reasons this happens, but those reasons do not change that this contributes to a cultural understanding of women's bodies and who is "sexual." This actually is unfair to both men and women Men often complain about having a LACK of sexual presence, never being complimented, never being pursued, as opposed to the pursuer. All of this, in part, relates to how men and women are portrayed sexually, and disadvantages that come from being reduced to one's sexuality, or conversely, having one's sexuality ignored entirely.</p>	Gender, Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	5/206	2.427
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Sonnera This would probably be a good time to address this comment. "Sexy is not inherently sexist."I think this is true, yes."The sexism piece comes in when one gender is far more often portrayed as being sexy, and that their worth is, in part, derived, from their physicality and sexuality."I do agree that one gender is far more often portrayed as being sexy(mostly in the physical form). I don't agree that this is inherently sexist. As for their worth being derived from their physicality and sexuality(depends on the medium and person). Are you saying this worth derivation applies to all women?" So, women are far more likely to not only be portrayed as sexual, almost ubiquitously, but also more likely have their sexuality emphasized as important over other important features (one example is how rare leading roles in media are for non-physically attractive women, when the reverse is not true of men)."Hmm.. well this is true in the sense of visual entertainment media."Another example, it is rare to see a camera linger and move over a man's body as if that body was being viewed through the eyes of someone sexually attracted to the man's body."Also true."There may be reasons this happens, but those reasons do not change that this contributes to a cultural understanding of women's bodies and who is "sexual.""Ok. So what? I know I'm supposed to be perceiving something negative here, but the statement not really giving off the negative connotation I need to understand what is bad. Is cultural understanding of women's bodies as sexual bad? Is that the angle? Because if so you're going to have to elaborate on why this is bad."This actually is unfair to both men and women. Men often complain about having a LACK of sexual presence, never being complimented, never being pursued, as opposed to the pursuer."Some men sure. Other men, eh - () /-. I wouldn't really call it unfair. It's more so just how it works. But I'd say if you really wanted things like more forward and aggressive women to be mainstream, then I'd argue that sexualization is actually a good thing. Though the way you describe it it makes it sound like we need to scale back on sexualization."All of this, in part, relates to how men and women are portrayed sexually, and disadvantages that come from being reduced to one's sexuality, or conversely, having one's sexuality ignored entirely."A lot of this doesn't make much sense.You didn't really say how men and women are portrayed sexually more so you talked about the frequency of women being portrayed sexually in relation to men and that that's bad because "this contributes to a cultural understanding of women's bodies and who is 'sexual.'", which doesn't do a very good job of explaining the bad.The only disadvantages I see you point to here is that some women may not get some role because of how she looks. But that's kinda par for the course in visual entertainment. Nothing really problematic there. Unless you can elaborate why this is bad? Are directors contributing to harmful culture by picking attractive women over none attractive women at disparaging rates?The other disadvantage on the men's side isn't really proven to be a result of sexualization so... what point are you trying to make with that?</p>	<p>Gender, Nothing, Sexism, Sexual</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General</p>	<p>8/565</p>	<p>1.416</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

Lilliath women on average don't like STEM fields OR are they disencouraged to do so since they are born? Not on purpose, ofc, but since I was a little girl, people offered me barbie dolls, you understand what I mean? People expect that I have kids and a smooth life at home, or maybe a job but nothing too ambitious. Although I choose a engineering career, I feel that I'm in a boys club, everyday, it's scary when I imagine myself at work, are they going to take me seriously? Like a man? or am going to prove myself more than they need?	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/103	0.971
Jess P Even female babies spend more time looking at their mother's face than male babies. Hell, even in chimpanzees, the males prefer toy cars while the females prefer dolls. Women are wired to empathize and socialize, because this was evolutionarily beneficial to their reproduction. That does not mean every single female will dislike STEM fields, just the average. People like to believe in nurture over nature, because it makes them feel in control of their destiny, it makes them feel comfortable. But truth is more important than comfort, because you can't build anything on a foundation of lies, even white ones.People expect the usual. If you're outside the usual, people will be surprised. You're going to have to deal with that fact, or be usual.The reason men in STEM will be cautious of you, is because of gender quotas. Poorly qualified women are being hired into STEM fields for the sake of equality, so the men are used to many of the women in STEM being bad at their jobs. Men respect competence, be competent and they'll take you seriously. They will always have a secondary sexual interest in you however, because being horny all the time was evolutionarily beneficial to their reproduction.	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	2/206	0.971
nada if you can't understand why a white man who is in a cultural position of power wearing a shirt with sexualized women on it is degrading then I don't know what to tell you. It contributes to a culture that says women are meant to be sexy, feminine, and that women are only, are at least mainly, good for sex . But more disturbing than that display which I think deserves little more than an eye roll, is the way people responded like he says, particularly the way people defended. The mere suggestion that we should think a little more about what we're saying and doing, especially when we have privilege, being reacted to with anger, definitely says something about our culture.	Sex	Gender - General	1/122	0.82

Emily Sikora You've been indoctrinated and view the world through that lens. You think you're seeing the bigger picture, but you are in fact seeing in tunnel vision. Gender differences are true and gender roles are comfortable to the large majority of individuals. We should absolutely grant the freedom to those who wish to step outside those roles to do that, because not everyone conforms to the average. However, we should not punish those who do.The fact that you think women are somehow harmed and degraded by a fucking shirt, shows me that you think women are delicate, emotional, and feminine. The fact that you defend the degrading emotional abuse levels at the wearing of that shirt, shows me you think men are tough and powerful.	Gender	Gender - General	2/127	1.575
Everyone's talking about whether or not this shirt is somehow a big deal (which I really don't, btw,) and it seems like nobody brings up the fact that he said himself that he had no idea he'd be talking to the public that day, and if he had known he would've worn something more appropriate. For all we know people could be chastising this guy for running out of clean laundry.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/71	1.408
Yo, about Shirt gate...? What about those women who like the holiest of holes? You know those lovely ladys who prefer the female body for a good time..? I mean, I know some woman who might wear this shirt	Woman	Gender - General	1/39	2.564
I think this shirt or every kind of other sexism against men/women is not what causes the discrimination, it's rather the result of it. Also the fact that women do bad in STEM is not the problem itself it's the result of a social problem. People like that guy aren't discriminating or offending someone they just carry the results of the society. So is the shirt bad? Maybe, maybe not? I don't have facts so I can't deny it's offending. But would I criticize this guy for wearing that shirt? Nope. It's just stupid.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/94	1.064
I don't think every sexism issue has its roots in society, but there are biological aspects, which cause the circumstances we live in. In fact, women and men tend to have different interests. While women tend to more social jobs, more men in average go for the stem jobs. I think many people forget totally about average differences between hormon levels of men and women, which were not caused by society, but existed even before societies existed. Many phenomenons such as slut-shaming for example have their roots in the differences between men and women. While men can give their semen at almost every time, women only can get pregnant once in a month and when they are, they can't become pregnant for at least nine months. This causes an positive view on males who have sex a lot and a negative when it comes to women. Is that apologizing slut-shaming? Absolutely not, but it shows that gender-issues are not rooted in an patriarchal society.	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	2/164	1.22

<p>If sexism isn't rooted in a patriarchal society (which means that sexism arises because men have more power and consciously or unconsciously keep it that way) but will arise anyway due to biological factors, then why do matriachal societies exist? (albeit in much smaller numbers) It's true that differences may cause discrimination, for example females are less physically fit than males, females (in the past at least) only had the option of nursing their young, which meant they stayed attached to their kid, but these are mainly problems that are irrelevant in the modern context (most work does not depend on manual labor, there are bottles now, and there are more women than men who graduate with a college degree in the US - so let's not knock women intelligence-wise.) Maybe consider that biological differences led to the discrimination in the first place, which were passed down the generations (aka became part of that society's CULTURE) so discrimination still occurs even now that biological differences are not that much of a deal. Also, you're making a fallacy, if A causes B, B does not necessarily happen because A happened. If more men than women are in stem fields, it could be because women are more social by nature or it could be because people in that industry still think it's okay to send a woman a message such as "Don't bring your laptop, bring your bikini, and we will talk about business after a swim." (this actually happened: [LINK])</p>	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	3/249	1.205
<p>I don't think it's stupid. This shirt and other kinds of sexism are the result of sexism, sure. But also they become part of a positive feedback loop that reinforces this sexism. Art and images, such as what's on his shirt, carry a message - both on its own, and in its cultural context. For example, if I wear a shirt saying "all lives matter" in 1914, it doesn't carry the same meaning as if I wear the shirt today. In a world that sexualises women to the extent where people fail to see them as anything but sex objects, and the existing culture in stem fields, wearing that shirt was in extremely bad taste. Of course people have different interpretations of art and images and whatever, which is why these things are often debatable.</p>	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	4/135	2.963

<p>Maria Eduarda Ferreira Your right, it doesn't prove that hormones are the only reason why there are less women in stem jobs than men are. But I didn't intent to say that. Of course there are some people who still have this views, but don't u think that reality is distorted if we would ignore these facts? I mean, until now I didn't mention any studies, but if you want to see any, give me some time and I will do. As long as people who are qualified enough and want to do a job, I don't see a problem. It is senseless to me that we need 50 women in all jobs.And there is still the thing with the patriarchal society:I still don't get what defines it. I mean: men in power is very easy to interpret in different ways. But I think gender should never be a criteria when electing people. You should elect them for what contents they stand for. Not because we need more women in politics.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/173	0.578
<p>lukastronaut is litSomething I'm curious to learn more about is whether or not the preferences of each gender are a result of biology or societal conditioning. How do we know that women don't choose more social jobs because that's what society, on a conscious or subconscious level, urges them to do? I think there are really two extremes people take this, one where it is all society's fault, and the other where it's all biology, but I bet it's somewhere in the middle. I guess my point is that it isn't a valid claim to disregard these statistics regarding fields that have been male-dominated for thousands of years are today just because "women and men tend to have different interests". Without examining a society which lacks any form of sexism against men or women, how can we really know that this is true?</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/144	1.389
<p>A woman designed the shirt</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/5	20.0
<p>That's a non-sequitur; just because a woman designed the shirt does not mean the shirt can not promote a stereotype that STEM fields are "not for women".</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/27	3.704

<p>If a shirt if going to dissuade you from perusing a profession than that is on you as a person. We live in a society that empowers women and encourages them to pursue any goal or dream that they have and the same goes for the gender pay gap, there are laws in place that strictly forbid the practice of paying one gender more than the other or hiring one gender over the other. The opportunities are equal the choices are there to be made. Equality of outcome is not equality and only degrades the ambition of the people in question. I personally think that it is demeaning to tell someone that they cannot achieve this on their own and that they need special help or incentives because the paths they are picking are the wrong choices. Feminism had a place, and still does to some small extent, however instead of focusing on what divides us why not focus on what joins us, what we have in common, what our passions are. To some extent the 90's did this, being "color blind" was a virtue, treating other people not by what they looked like but by how they acted was encouraged. But somewhere along the line we forgot this and chose to focus on what divides us and wear our differences like badges to parade around and compare against others to see how we ranked on the oppression scale, and look at what our society has become. A society epitomized by division and hate. A society that is told constantly that it is failing and that society at a whole is at fault for the poor choices and lack of judgment of individuals. We need to, as a whole pull up our proverbial sleeves and work together instead of against each other to move forward instead of backward, because if we don't we will just keep regressing until we will have lost everything we have gained in the last 100 years. In short personal responsibility is key. You are the master of your own destiny even more so in the current world. Forge your own future not the future decided on by someone else.</p>	Blind, Common, Gender	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Working class	5/364	1.374
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

HoboNinja: This isn't about the people who are determined to go into STEM. Nobody who really wants to be a scientist will go "oh no, a distasteful shirt! I'm switching careers!". This is about the people who don't know what career they want or are still choosing or are on the fence. They are the people who will see the sexism in the industry and think "nah, not worth it" and choose something else they'd equally like to do. Over millions of people, this turns into a trend which results in fewer women in STEM. As a thought experiment, imagine you have two rooms that people could choose between. The participants have to sit in the room alone for an hour. One of the rooms has a painting of a landscape, the other has a painting of a creepy clown. Although the clown picture can't hurt anyone and nobody will be 'offended' by it, I guarantee the majority of people will choose the room with the painting of a landscape. My point is, something doesn't have to be overtly or extremely distasteful, it just has to be more distasteful than the alternative for people to avoid it.	Nobody, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/197	1.523
lmao its just a shirt designed by a woman	Woman	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
I know. What a bunch of dipshits these outrage warriors are. And to answer Mike's Question.... What is under threat is nuanced discourse in favor of mob mentality black & white thinking that puts reactivity at center and more important traits in the background. This is a disaster. This is why I'm annoyed.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/53	1.887
trying to feel better about your gender by crushing the other feels amazing... its why the majority of millennial women do it seemingly without fail... see what I did there? too bad it's true.	Gender	Gender - General	1/34	2.941
I'm in danger of losing reasonable people going into my field, because authoritarian measures like saying what SHIRT is acceptable turn off way more people than a shirt produced by a woman . Heck, do you think somebody who'd think about doing science would ever care about fashion choices? As usual, feminism demands a change for the sole reason to cater to a non-existing demographics.	Woman	Gender - General	1/64	1.562

<p>>I'm in danger of losing reasonable people going into my field, because authoritarian measures like saying what SHIRT is acceptable turn off way more people than a shirt produced by a woman. That's not authoritarian. It's weird the way you guys try to co-opt our language for your purposes. Authoritarian would be STRICTLY dictating that you are disallowed from wearing the shirt by an authority figure. That's not what's happening here. There's nothing that prevents you from wearing the shirt to work except your boss. Just like you're allowed to express yourself with the shirt, women are allowed to be disgusted by the shirt and to avoid places in which the shirt and attitudes that come along with it are seen as normal. If your field is one where you can wear a shirt that is covered in caricatures of black people eating watermelon, don't expect black people to be excited about entering that field. You've clearly demonstrated to them that your field isn't a hospitable place for them.>Heck, do you think somebody who'd think about doing science would ever care about fashion choices? Um. Yes? I know plenty of scientists who care about what they wear. They're not all goobers with no social skills or care for how they present themselves. >As usual, feminism demands a change for the sole reason to cater to a non-existing demographics. Uh. Women exist. In pretty considerable numbers actually.</p>	Black, Nothing, Weird, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	5/237	2.11
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	------

Actually, it's exactly authoritarian. You can't wear a shirt to a live feed, because social justice demands so. Last year I checked, the liberals had won the culture wars, and the social justice was in a position of power. "Authoritarian" does not mean "governmental".You're making a basic mistake here. Not all black people would react in such a way. Actually, I'd wager if somebody had the mindset required to be a scientist, he wouldn't care about a fucking shirt.You perfectly well know I don't mean all scientists hate fashion. I mean that scientists wouldn't judge a person by his fashion choices, if they are presented beforehand a proof of his worth. Of course, scientists are assholes at the same percentage as the rest of the population, but their value system is skewed towards rewarding intellect.Feminism caters to quite a small percentage of women in our society. The rest of the female population tends to not call themselves feminists.And the outrage came exclusively from people who were not in the STEM fields, and who would never be in the STEM fields. That's what I call "non-existent demographics". There is nobody who would be turned off because of the fashion choices of the Shirt Guy.Vice versa! Seeing some scientist on a live stream in such a silly shirt may make women feel more comfortable about the idea of working in a STEM field, considering it shows you don't need to keep rigid and official all the time. It shows a laid-back atmosphere, which is traditionally taken as welcoming by people who have second thoughts about going into a field.So, for all I know, this shirt could have had a positive impact on how many women go in the STEM fields, but noooo, now that everybody bullied this guy, I'm sure women are scared to enter such a field. After all, statistically speaking women put less emphasis on money and more on work atmosphere.	Black, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black	2/327	0.612
erejnio Sure? Because the argument is "you are stopping women from going into the STEM fields, stop doing this" - >which certainly sounds not like pure character judgement, but as actually forbidding people to wear such shirts on a live feed.I don't think I would call it a character judgement. Here's something that a lot of people on your side don't really understand. Just because you participate in sexism or racism doesn't necessarily mean that you are knowingly or maliciously doing so. I don't think that guy wears that shirt with the intention of making women feel uncomfortable. But the thing is, women have had it POUNDED into their heads from birth that they are lesser. That the world belongs to men. Also, again, I have to reiterate, NO ONE is forbidding anyone from wearing anything. That guy can wear that shirt all he wants. Just like feminists can criticize his shirt all they want. We are all enjoying our right to freedom of expression here. >For the rest, I'm too lazy to pick it apart, sorry for not answering properly.Totally understandable.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/184	1.087

<p>snowsandrivers blackfyrestormFair enough.It is indeed hard to wrap your mind around a collectivist definition of sexism or racism when you've grown up with an individualist one.See, if you follow the individualist definition, you expect the judgement of the person himself to be sexist or racist, and that to show in his actions.For example if a man and a woman apply for a job, are equally qualified for it, and the man gets the job, why did this happen? Pure chance is one possible reason. In such situations half of the time a man would get the job, right? But if you can prove intention, that the employer took the man because they prefer men over women, that's now sexist and punishable by law.On the backdrop of this, the collectivist definition is indeed lost to many. In the collectivist definition the act itself is divorced from the intention of the individual, and is set in the context of the collectives the actors are part of.Going to our example; if the employer is a school trying to hire a teacher, hiring the man is not sexist, because most educators are women. But if the employer is for example an IT company, it is sexist, because most IT employees are male. But if the man is a black gay muslim, it is not sexist, because hiring the white woman would be racist and homophobic.So yeah, I completely agree most people on my side of this argument completely misunderstand this.The problem is when people overstep the line between telling Shirt Guy "I think this shirt makes women stay out of the STEM fields" and telling Shirt Guy "I think you shouldn't wear this shirt on live feeds".You see, the two sides of this debate are actually really close in opinion. Both sides recognize the power of societal conditioning and cultural clues. Why do I say this?Because "our" side argues two things:1) Our society and our culture have instilled in most of us that being sexist is bad.2) The cultural authority of what is sexist and what is not is the surprisingly marxist academia in the social sciences. So this authority can exert authoritarian power over the normal person - and, ergo, by virtue of us living in a democracy, it can exert authoritarian power over everybody.There are examples to support this: how Sir Tim Hunt was fired for example. Our society was manipulated, and outrage was fabricated in order to fire a man. This is clear abuse of power - this power being social capital, and ability to define what is sexist and what is not.So yeah. On the other side of the coin, the same thing is being argued for:1) Our society and our culture have instilled in most of us that some sexist acts are good2) The cultural authority of what is sexist is the white male, and he's decided that most of sexism isn't actually sexism, so by societal pressure he can exert authoritarian power over women and minorities.Of course, this line of thinking also has its set of examples. Take the War on Drugs: people have decided it's not racist*, while it's obvious it mainly targets minorities.Then again, why would anybody be surprised that two sides of the Left have similar views?*yeah, if we go by individualistic definition of racism, it's not racist. But that just goes to show that it's not enough to implement not racist policies, you have to actually implement policies that help the underprivileged classes. What do I mean by that? Identify a problem that many black americans face (segregation into poor neighbourhoods for example), then device a policy</p>	<p>Black, Gay, Homophobic, Racism, Racist, Sexism, Woman</p>	<p>Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality</p>	<p>17/647</p>	<p>2.628</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>erejunion Yeah, we're on a very similar page here. I used to be much closer to your side, until I found myself asking the question: "which side is actually doing more harm?" I've come to the conclusion that the side which has endured the most marginalization, the side which is simply arguing that there shouldn't be any kind of innate power and privilege, the side that wants to escape from being reduced to an object, a stereotype, or a disadvantaged person is the side that is doing less harm. The shirt in this situation is a stark reminder that women are "sex things", much like the black version of the shirt mentioned earlier is a reminder that black people are less than human. This kind of reductionist caricaturing doesn't exist for white men in any real significant way. What would the white guy shirt look like? What kind of shirt could they make that would make a white guy feel as though this wasn't innately a place that is available to him? What shirt could they wear that would evoke a history and an extant reality of the dehumanization of white men? There is no such shirt because there is no such history or situation like that. But that's how it should be for everyone. Everyone should be playing on that same field. As far as I can tell, to try to claim that everyone shouldn't....that everyone should continue to be relegated to the place in society that is dictated by a system that is made to benefit white men simply to avoid "cultural marxism" is inhuman and hateful.</p>	Black, Sex	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	3/269	1.115
<p>snowsandrivers blackfyrestormDoes there NEED to be a "white guy shirt"? Because right now feminism is trying its best to create it. Safe spaces, "white male tears" mugs, "basket of deplorables", and so on, and so on.Besides, it's not that the white males didn't suffer in the past, it's that the civilization created by them survived, proving to be a better choice of lifestyle than all the rest. It's easy to pinpoint why Western civilization was so successful: it was not because it was mainly brought about by males (after all more than enough females were key to its progress), it was because of its adherence to individualism and liberal values. Tearing down the system that benefits individualism and liberal values is the worst possible outcome. Modifying the system so that it fits like a glove not only white men, but everybody else too, is the reasonable and sustainable goal, which our civilization has worked to achieve the last few centuries. Everything else will just bring ruin.But, let's put this aside. The side that wants to escape from stereotypes, being treated as an object, and so on... isn't that the side that wants to eradicate labels? That wants to treat everybody just as an individual, not as "black" or "white" or "male" or whatever? Because there's an innate irony to want to escape stereotyping, while at the same time supporting identity politics, which is all about labeling yourself with the stereotype that most fits you.</p>	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/247	0.405

<p>erejunion >Does there NEED to be a "white guy shirt"? Because right now feminism is trying its best to create it. Safe spaces, "white male tears" mugs, "basket of deplorables", and so on, and so on.Come on, dude. While I don't think that "white male tears" mugs and the "basket of deplorables" are helping the situations – you cannot compare slavery, segregation, voter suppression, the eradication of jobs in black inner cities which resulted in the erasure of jobs, which caused the drug trade to replace legitimate economies, resulting in squalor and mass incarceration, of redlining, in women being prevented from voting, from being socialized to be passive dwellers of homes and raisers of children to the detriment of their own agency, etc. etc. This DOES NOT EXIST for straight white men. This stuff has never happened to straight white men. I have to reemphasize that there IS NO history of oppression and marginalization for white men in this country. So you might be annoyed by those mugs and that statement by Clinton, but those things are not evocative of a systematic dehumanization of people like you as a whole. The comparison is just silly.>Besides, it's not that the white males didn't suffer in the past, it's that the civilization created by them survived, proving to be a better choice of lifestyle than all the rest. It's easy to pinpoint why Western civilization was so successful: it was not because it was mainly brought about by males (after all more than enough females were key to its progress), it was because of its adherence to individualism and liberal values. Tearing down the system that benefits individualism and liberal values is the worst possible outcome. Modifying the system so that it fits like a glove not only white men, but everybody else too, is the reasonable and sustainable goal, which our civilization has worked to achieve the last few centuries. Everything else will just bring ruin.But no one is trying to tear down liberal values. Liberal values have existed in opposition to conservative values for hundreds of years now. Individualism is giving way ever so slightly one step at the time to collectivist values – but those values have proven to be instrumental to happiness and well-being in regions like Canada, Western Europe and Northern Europe where the quality of life and genera satisfactions of its citizens are considerably higher than that of Americans. The people who are trying to stand in the way of that are conservatives, who actively want to return to a situation in which women are relegated to positions of subservience and black citizen continue to exist in squalor because of a history and a legacy of apartheid in this country.But, let's put this aside. The side that wants to escape from stereotypes, being treated as an object, and so on... isn't that the side that wants to eradicate labels? That wants to treat everybody just as an individual, not as "black" or "white" or "male" or whatever? Because there's an innate irony to want to escape stereotyping, while at the same time supporting identity politics, which is all about labeling yourself with the stereotype that most fits you.ABSOLUTELY NOT. Labels are VERY important. They facilitate communication and understanding about the ways in which human beings are different and experience the world differently. The whole "I don't see color" or "I don't treat you differently because you're a woman" comes from the</p>	Black, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	8/894	0.895
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Rule of Law and the human rights are specifically designed to be individualistic, not collectivist. They don't appeal to somebody as part of any group. The whole point is that you protect these rights, because you want everybody else as an individual to protect yours too. It's about the relationship between two peers, not about the relationship between a person and a group. The point of socialism is to benefit EVERYBODY. Not "the most number of people", but EVERYBODY. Even a billionaire has a vested interest in socialistic policy, because he knows that he may go bankrupt one day. *Socialism is also designed as a way to make individual people succeed. Why? Because the specimens of Homo Sapiens are really risk-averse creatures. The social safety net is designed to eradicate the risk inherent to trying to succeed. Without it, less people would ever try to succeed. Vice versa, capitalism in practice doesn't benefit individuals. It benefits corporations. That's why if you try to implement capitalism without socialistic policies to counter-balance, you quickly devolve into corporatism and oligarchy. And, of course, if you try to implement socialism without capitalism, it quickly devolves into communism, national socialism, feminism, or some other collectivist ideology. Frankly, humanity can't do without collectives just yet. Even though it's proven again and again that the societies that are the most individualistic survive at the end. P.S. Yeah, and some people just have as a "guideline" that blacks are often thieves and murderers. They have black friends, hey! They are not racist! It's just that they "understand" that if they see somebody who self-describes as African-American, there is a somewhat higher chance he may off them for a few bucks. *while a libertarian may say "taxation is theft", a socialist would say "money are just a system, and as a system they must benefit all of us". In this sense the billionaire is benefited from paying more money for taxes, because everybody knows countries with enormous income inequality are pretty much gonna get ruined sooner than later. After all, there must be someone to buy your products in order for your wealth to continue existing. So wealth redistribution benefits the wealthy too - in the long run at least.</p>	Black, Racist	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General	2/371	0.539
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>The claims of "masculine oppression" are of course annoying and not threatening; but it's a slippery slope. It is indeed reactionary and it isn't that people do not welcome change (necessarily) but they feel that it isn't in the right direction. It isn't possible to determine what women are offended by, let alone determine that it is a precursor towards losing STEM jobs as pointed out by many women responding. The statistic is even misleading as only 2.6 of women explicitly go into engineering (most go into professional fields such as nursing and architecture, etc.).The onus is on them to extrapolate the issues of women in STEM to the so deemed sexist mentality of STEM. They have to prove that it isolates better quality of work, more experience, is not a stay-at-home mom, etc. etc. The fact that they are using this man's shirt as an example of sexism in STEM I feel undermines the grand scheme of "privilege" wherein women are simply raised to not like hard sciences and should be parenting. Now arguing whether or not that is right or wrong is a completely different story.</p>	Sexism, Slope	Gender - General, Nationality - Chinese	2/189	1.058
<p>erejunion Okay. The people in question are random mobs on the internet. Actually, I suppose one could say such groups have authority, but if you concede that, you have to concede the same thing about the mobs that have gone after Anita Sarkisian for nothing more than speaking her mind.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/50	2.0
<p>The people in question are not random mobs on the internet. It's every major media outlet, as well as "experts" on gender studies, and politicians. The first and the last aside, I'd wager you can see why people with PhD in gender studies are supposed to have more authority on this topic than the random mobs on the internet.Also the random mobs who "have gone after Anita" are people who are trying to prove that she is wrong. Putting the offensive words aside, it's 99 constructive criticism and no actual treats that are verified as not originating from Anita and her clique. Of course, if you have a problem with strong language, well, while you're correct to advice against using such language, I'd like to remind you that it's only normal to react harshly to being belittled and vilified. Sadly, this is part of human nature. I mean, surely you understand why a Mexican may have some rash words to say about Trump?I'd also urge you to note that this is mostly leftist infighting. Nobody cares what the radical Christians and the radical Muslims have to say.</p>	Gender, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/189	1.587

<p>The authority of experts is different than the authority of priests and politicians. Equating them is a fallacy of equivocation.As far as I'm aware, nothing happened to the shirt-gate guy that hasn't happened to Anita. If one is okay, the other is. (Anita has probably received less negative attention from the mainstream media, but those aren't communications directed toward the man, nor would they usually be unjustifiably harsh, so I don't count them.)Saying that "no actual threats have been verified as not being from her or her clique" sets an unreasonable standard. Barring the threat-sender being caught, which hasn't happened and probably never will unless someone goes through with a threat and is arrested, no such verification could possibly occur. There is likewise no threat that has been proven to come from her, nor am I aware of any case of a feminist threatening themselves like that. She has received enough threats that it is unlikely that none of them are authentic.Also, while I suspect the numbers are very, very different than you say, (I'd imagine less than a third of what she receives is criticism, and less than a third of that is constructive), it doesn't matter how much constructive criticism she receives compared to harassment. Imagine someone who received 1,000,000 harassing communications. Consider the level of badness that would have. Now, imagine that they receive 99,000,000 pieces of constructive criticism. Have the 1,000,000 communications lost badness? I don't think so. (The person might see fewer of them, but that doesn't decrease the badness that has been done, just the degree to which it had its intended effect.)I'd like you to show me where Anita has belittled or demonized gamers. Video and time slot, please. If I were unduly charitable, I might say you could accuse her of demonizing the designers of some of the specific games she criticizes, but certainly not all of gamerdom. Don't get me wrong, I understand how you see it that way, but I think if you watch her videos closely and try to interpret them honestly, you'll not be able to come to that conclusion.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/356	0.281
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	-------	-------

<p>Hm. Not that different, though. As long as the country is not ruled by the priest class and there is civilian police and court system, the priests are just authorities on what is good and what is bad, but authorities without legal power. Doesn't make them less authoritative. Same with the experts. This argument may be coming from the fact that I'm not used to the Pope pretty much pulling the strings of everybody throughout history, as my high school education didn't happen in a Catholic country."There is likewise no threat that has been proven to come from her, nor am I aware of any case of a feminist threatening themselves like that."Some threats were with suspiciously specific language, leaving people to assume they were written by a feminist or an ex-feminist. Of course, even one threat is too many, but that doesn't mean most people are simply trying to critique. Nobody should be expected to drop his criticism just because somebody else sent a threat. Same with harassment. Though I'd make a distinction between harassment and the brass chan culture. It's as if a Japanese thinks the American guy who enters his home with his shoes on is harassing him. It's not harassment, it's cultural differences. Sometimes people should just ignore the pointless rude words and try to get the message, the way every anon acts in every chan. In my opinion harassment should also be systematic and devoid of actual meaning, besides containing insults. Oh, but that's not the question, is it? It's not about what the author wanted to say. She said what she wanted to say. It's about what messages the audience sees in the work. If I want to be 100 charitable to Anita... I'd still have plenty of problems with her, but we're speaking about the reaction she receives, which is not connected with 100 charitable reading of her videos.Besides the media did try to declare that "the gamer identity is dead". Which is the very definition of demonizing gamers.</p>	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/339	0.295
<p>It's frustrating when woman decry feminism and are proud that they aren't feminists but have NO PROBLEM reaping the benefits derived from feminism, such as the right to vote, the push back against sexual assault, and generally things that make the day to day life of being a woman more pleasant and on par with how men are treated in society.</p>	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	3/61	4.918

+WFDolphinPilot Oh shit. Some women don't have a problem with reaping the benefits of gender equality! It seems as if feminism isn't the only ideology that advocates for it! That must be wrong, of course. Egalitarianism can't possibly exist. Also, heh, "benefits". The right to vote is not a "benefit" - (thankfully) everybody has it. The right to not be conscripted is a benefit (you may think men can't be conscripted anymore either, but no, the government technically can resume the draft whenever they want, and it will still be men-only). And women who decry feminism actually do have a problem with reaping this benefit. Women would have gotten the right to vote anyway - probably much later, when the draft stopped in the 70s - but feminists made sure to win this benefit instead of lobbying women to not oppose being conscripted. Don't misunderstand me, without feminism women would have gotten the right to vote waaaaaay too late. But your claim that women don't have a problem with the benefits that come from feminism is an outright lie.	Gender	Gender - General	1/179	0.559
I'm 28 and I had different classes than boys in primary school, that was 1995-2001. The scientists making it big now are twice my age	Age	Age - General	1/25	4.0
You're correct, the world was non-sexist way before women had the right to vote. People have this misconception that women were treated like shit through out history and that women were oppressed left right and center. But that's not strictly true, there are many cases through out history of women voting and women owning property, even as far back as the ancient Egyptians. In fourth century ancient Greece, over two-fifths of the land in Sparta was owned by women. In the fifteen hundreds, there were many female aristocrats who owned property and were able to vote. Same with eighteen hundreds and also in the nineteen hundreds too. In America many men weren't allowed to vote either, only property owners (that includes women) were allowed to vote. As far as I seen it, only the rich and powerful, no matter what gender , were allowed to vote back in the day and the poor were not, it had nothing to do with sexism . It just so happens to be that most of the rich and powerful people back in the day happened to be men, but also most of the poor people back in the day happened to be men too. People conveniently like to gloss over this fact.As far as you personal story, I doubt the teachers separated the girls and boys because they were sexist, it's just that girls and boys enjoy different things. Generally the girls like to make food and play with dolls and the boys like to make electronic gadgets and play with cars. But if a girl asked to make gadgets with the boys, I highly doubt that the teachers would refuse and force the girl to go back to making food.	Gender, Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/287	1.045

no it was a policy, girls here, boys there, not the teacher's choice (maybe you'll find it less hard to believe when I tell you I'm Polish). Valid point, but that property based right to vote in modern history is purely an American thing, isn't it? And you're only seeing institutionalized sexism (laws, rights, bills, landmark cases, etc) which is only the surface. We're not gonna convince the other, I'm not even arguing that this shirtgate was just only not to interpret it in such a myopic view as "shirt made me not go to uni"	Sexism	Gender - General	1/95	1.053
Property based voting wasn't just an American thing. If you look at the examples I gave in my previous comment, pretty much every society in history had a property/wealth based voting system. But that doesn't really matter, the past is the past and I want to move away from what happened back then because it's pretty much irrelevant now. What I want to know is how people can still say that institutionalized sexism is still a thing. As for your school experience, I will admit that it's a little strange for your school to have such a policy. Your story is anecdotal so I can't know for sure if you're telling the truth, but I'll assume you're not lying and take your word for it. Even if that is true I don't see how that's sexist, I just think your school was a little too traditionalist. But either way it's irrelevant, point to a school in the western world now days that still does that?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/165	0.606
gap between men and women in STEM is because society provides resources and support more to men than women, media representation of men in STEM is more likely as well, and history erases female scientists who have contributed major findings like Marie Curie, Ada Lovelace, Rosalind Franklin, Emilie du Chatelet etc. Today, however, more and more organizations, companies, and schools (National Math and Science Initiative, Million Women Mentors, National Girls Collaborative Project) have allocated special resources, programs, and scholarships that encourage young girls and women to join the STEM field in order to bridge that gap. Some of these programs are fairly new and have A LOT of catching up to do in order to bring gender equilibrium in STEM.	Gender	Gender - General	1/120	0.833
Aeg Cronlow They don't. They respond to accusations like yours with "women's rights are human rights", which they are. Custody battles are pretty much the only thing where, in a handful of countries, there is even room for debate. In most places "all over the world" women have no such custody rights, or still lack basic legal protection from abuse, forced marriages, rape as sanctioned punishment etc. Mostly it is butthurt men who think that losing their centuries of automatic privilege is an attack on them instead of women (and minorities) gaining ground. Or blaming women's unprecedented sexual agency for making it harder for them to get laid.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/108	0.926

<p>your point is very valid, although there are a million cases and billions of people, the world full of examples but with a very narrow social pipeline that will magnify only certain things that are rather transparent but masked with meaningless things/actions/news/comments. like for example i think everybody could understand the point of equal rights, its fairly simple and logical, but it is presented to us as a eternal fight between genders, discussions, 'facts', hate, headlines screaming judgment at everything and anything in biased manners. and as a result more of it is encouraged(before you know it people have forgotten why they are even fighting, but continue to do so just because its something that has been done for a while now and they guess that its supposed to be like that)but troughout history and statistically women have been opressed and lacking certain rights, more then men have, which can still be felt today but no longer in the declination of rights or such, but merely in peoples personal opinions and the mass consumption of sexualized media and uselessly genderized advertising. it has become ingrained into the society, this way of thinking about things, like he said in the video: peoples assumptions come from cultural norms that are so invisible (ingrained) that they are taken as factual insight on gender. and that is why we fight about if his shirt is offensive or not, when in reality the shirt is not what's important and should be regarded only as a bad fashion choice.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/254	0.394
<p>reckoner "but troughout history and statistically women have been opressed and lacking certain rights, more then men have" I must disagree with you here. Yes women have been lacking power and certain rights but on the other hand men had too many rights and thus too many responsibilities. I find it very unfair and illogical that one has certain responsibilities because who he's born, especially when those responsibilities don't make any sense.When you choose to run for president you can't just complain over the hard job because that's what you chose. But men are born men and they have some rules and limits because they are men. Don't get me wrong, I don't ignore sexism against women but women's rights have become such a painful thing that we have completely forgotten about men's rights. So is everything else by the way. We fight for black's rights but for some reason discrimination against white people is not considered as discrimination.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/160	0.625

<p>yeah, exactly! i agree 100 about the responsibilities. it's the same, but usually overlooked because of old norms. women are born into certain female expectaitons and men into male expectations that society has brought upon us. and the female expectations are considered to be bad, but for the male nobody cares, because of the lack of power and limitations of women trough history (a.k.a. people only hearing and saying what they want and what they can use in their advantage in an argument). men are considered to have been so 'priviledged' all along, and they musnt complain about it. if people would think for a second about the origin of all these norms and ideas they would understand them better.(i would say that those ideas had a valid point and made sense many many years ago, when there was no technology etc like today and everything was different, also back in the caveman ages, but today the world has changed a lot but the ideas of these gender norms, in the majority of peoples heads, stayed the same)i think it has all become very fucking absurd and twisted, the things i read online, making problems out of the stupidest things, everyone being offended about everything and screaming for rights.women have the rights today, and men also, we can all do whatever the fuck we want, the only thing that is a problem is that there is always gonna be somebody saying something normative or negative about it and we need to suck that up, stop being so damn sensitive to words of people who are scared of any change, and keep changing the world as we do, instead of complaining online about it :D</p>	Gender, Nobody, Old	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/287	1.045
<p>Feminists don't ruin these conversations. For one, check your facts. Men have higher suicide rates, but women are more likely to attempt suicide, but with less violent means. So no one knows whether men would actually have higher suicide rates if women used guns instead of pills more often. Regardless, rates for both sexes are high, so it's not like suicide does NOT get a lot of attention no matter your gender. Second, who do you think is really suppressing the open discussion of suicide? The culture of machismo is widely blamed for telling men to "man up" and shaming men as weak for suffering from mental illness - not feminists. Feminists have actually helped bring more attention to the issue by helping to destigmatize it.Higher addiction rates? No. That's factually incorrect.Higher homelessness? Also incorrect. Please fact check!</p>	Gender, Mental	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/140	1.429

<p>Feminists don't ruin these conversations. For one, check your facts. Men have higher suicide rates, but women are more likely to attempt suicide, but with less violent means. So no one knows whether men would actually have higher suicide rates if women used guns instead of pills more often. Regardless, rates for both sexes are high, so suicide does get a lot of attention no matter your gender. Second, who do you think is really suppressing the open discussion of suicide? The culture of machismo is widely blamed for telling men to "man up" and shaming men as weak for suffering from mental illness - not feminists. Feminists have actually helped bring more attention to the issue by helping to destigmatize it. Higher addiction rates? No. That's factually incorrect. Higher homelessness? Also incorrect. Men are more likely to sleep on the street, but women are just as likely to fill up the shelters that some men choose not to sleep in. Why? One possibility is that homeless men have less to worry about in the form of sexual violence, so they are more likely to sleep outside. But ultimately, your point was that feminists are "ruining" these conversations. No. If anyone is ruining them, it is conservative white men in power (80 of the US congress is white men, after all, until recently it was over 90, and 44 of 45 presidents) who would rather increase crime measures than the social services that would help all of these things. These are complex issues. Please fact check, and think more broadly.</p>	Gender, Mental, Sexual	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/260	1.154
<p>valar are you saying women are too dumb to know what will kill you and what wont?suicide attempts with means known to be unreliably are a sign that the victim hopes for help in some way, while suicides by guns for example are a sign the victim has lost all hope for life, or is under the impression no one will help her/him or they are not deserving of help.successful suicides are a sign that men need our help AND empathy(especially if it happens at THREE TIMES the rate of women) which is the one thing i hate about feminism as a movement.tradcons want men to "man up", while feminists talk about how men have it sooooo good they dont need help.same difference.is it so hard to accept that different genders face different, but equal sexism in a society just coming out of traditional gender roles?especially when feminism has improved life for women?also men=white men, especially when minority men face the brunt of violent bigotry.also saying "men would rather live on the street than in a shelter" is untrue. society often sees women as incapable victims and men as effective actors, so of course anybody would rather give limited shelter space to women.also sexism isnt like racism: there is no "male privilege" that is similar to my white privilege. there are just gender roles and prejudices, some of them lead to discrimination against men in the "justice" system (which obv. affects minorities more, but still privileges women of all races)</p>	Dumb, Gender, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	10/260	3.846

"What do men think they are in danger of losing to feminism?"To 3rd wave feminism? Common sense.	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/18	5.556
AussieJ T Yeeeeeah... you don't actually know what feminism is about ... what woman can gain from feminism? Just basic things like paid maternity leaves, more woman in the government and high ranking jobs, more woman in stem fields, just media being less sexist and hostile towards them, access to abortion, access to education, a healthier attitude towards sex , a healthier attitude towards the LGBTQ community, a healthier attitude towards mental illness, no more racism , not having crippling anxiety and self-hatred because their bodies aren't perfect, just simply hearing for once in their lives that their ambitions matter, that what they want matters, that they are valuable as people, that woman aren't made economically dependant on man, that woman can work and have children at the same time, the fathers take responsibility in child care, that man don't treat them like their personal sextoys, that rape and sexual assault is no longer sexualized, that woman are allowed to be strong, that man are allowed to be weak, that everyone can be who they really are regardless of gender , that older woman are no longer told by society that they are worthless, that media recognizes that there are more things interesting about a woman than is she a mother and who does she fuck, that woman are allowed to express their sexuality without being called a slut, that man are allowed to not express their sexuality without being called gay , that strangers don't just touch a pregnant woman 's belly, that woman are recognized as capable leaders, that woman are recognized as capable, that it is no longer normal and excusable to act sexist, that the term feminist is embraced proudly by man and woman alike, alright I'll stop now but only because I've got other stuff to do	Gay, Gender, Mental, Racism, Sex, Sexual, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	19/297	6.397
30 of these things are made up problems. 30 are not addressed by feminism. The remaining ones have nothing to do with it.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/23	4.348

Due process rights: Title IX being used to force Universities to handle rape cases, where the preponderance of evidence standard is used, even though rape is a crime and should be "beyond reasonable doubt." This is an erosion of justice because many men are expelled based on no evidence at all, and some actual rapists are walking with no prison sentence because the university is as far as the prosecution went. You can read all about how Universities handle rape cases with a simple google search.LPS: There are dozens of articles and videos like this: [Jezebel Forced Fatherhood whatever] You can't even bring a bill like LPS on the floor because you'll get shouted down as a " misogynist ". Shared parenting: Most of the links have been taken down, but the national organization for women has a long history of fighting shared parenting. Here's a summary: [Thought Catalog Why do feminists oppose equality]And regardless of anything else, inaction is tacit support. For a group that claims to be about equality, turning a blind eye to any of this injustice really casts the whole movement in a bad light.Edit: I originally had links, but it looks like YouTube won't let me put them. You should be able to find the documents with a search.	Blind, Misogynist	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/215	0.93
valar you should pls fact check there have been conferences on male suicide because men are 80 of the people who kill themselves and who shut the meet ups down..... feminists also the gender biased court system that was particularly caused by feminists and also Ronald Reagan.Feminist push out a shit ton of anti male propaganda and expect people to support them it's ridiculous.	Gender	Gender - General	1/64	1.562
The root of sexism against men and sexism against women is the same root. The culture that teaches everyone that men should not express emotions (thus leading to higher suicide rates) is the same one that teaches everyone that women are overly emotional. The things that disadvantage men and women are caused by the same thing, and that's the culture of patriarchy which sets out these prescribed gender roles that we are told to follow. And it's all made up. A man in a wig, heels, and make up today would be seen as breaking masculine norms, when all of those products were originally invented for men. If it can change over time, then its subject to our collusion with the system. Smash the system, and then build a new one where there are no disadvantages like higher suicide rates or lower pay.	Gender, Patriarchy, Sexism	Gender - General	4/143	2.797
3:30 Basically the truth about Racism ...2yrs ago. Its relevant today as well...	Racism	Ethnicity - General	1/12	8.333

2016 opened the door to hell starting on 11/9. Basically, I'm not even sure where women are mentally in terms of " sexism " right now. A Lot of women voted for a man that made known that groping women was alright. Supposedly we should have put the 1st woman in presidency, but emails swayed them "Right". As a woman , I say phuck them. We aren't ready to fully support our own gender so instead we must deal w the losers that wear shirts like this or say damaging sexist words because we don't know what we want so how can we convey it to our abusers?	Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	4/105	3.81
The shirt perpetuate the stereotype that most men are heterosexual, and that some people have a weird sense of fashion. If someone does not want to be a scientist because of 1 stupid t-shirt, why do we even care about that? If you work at some place where they hang calenders with nude girls on the wall, I could maybe see the "boys club" aspect, but this 1 guy who individually chose to look like that? The shirt communicates "I'm a comic book nerd and/or hipster with a weird fashion sense" more that it communicates "get back in the kitchen woman ". Maybe we put too much value in the collective/the systemic whatever over the individual when we see that shirt as being a threat to society, when we cater to the weak and afraid girl that cares more about petty stuff like this than her own passion and career. In that case, no disrespect to girls that might feel like that, but that's really a personal problem, not a societal problem. I'm a very shy guy, but I don't expect society to not be so loud and lively all the time because they're really oppressing me.	Weird, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/196	1.531
duuude, you lost me in dog trying to reach a tart best 2 minuts on internet today... just kidding awesome video	Tart	Gender - Female sexuality	1/21	4.762
It seems to only be offensive to some women when a man wears such a shirt or a shirt that has a half naked or naked woman . The biggest hypocrisy is celebrities who oppose it but they themselves bear it all or go half naked on film when they are getting paid to do so.	Woman	Gender - General	1/55	1.818

<p>I think what we're forgetting that for a majority of human race's time on earth, it has been a male-dominated society. And, I believe that's the point the Nerdwriter is trying to make that somethings have been invisiblised. (I don't completely by his "oh, people like Taylor get pleasure out of it" stuff, though.) Why it may feel like there is too much of this women's feelings are being hurt is because things are coming to light now; we are able to identify these small behaviours that are symptomatic of larger assumptions. And really, feminism is not about "women are the best"; feminism is about breaking the roles that people are traditionally put in, so it's not just for women, it's also for men and the assumptions that are made for these roles. And before you ask me why it's called feminism, it's because it started with the idea of women and their bodies.ANyways, like I said, behaviours of sexism and misogyny that people may find "annoying" or not worth thinking about or calling out, are symptomatic of larger assumptions—and these assumptions lead to bigger problems in places that you seem to be insulated from. There are places that women are still repressed and abused because of the cultural assumptions about their roles. So before we start to not think about these small behaviours of sexism and pat "the men of the world" on their backs for being nice enough to acknowledge that women are human beings, we should think about the orange that sits in the White House, who can pass of highly threatening comments like "grab them by the pussy" without being held accountable for them. We should also think about the women in certain countries who do not have access to education or even the basic human dignities of sanitation. So, if you think that society is dominated by women, please, pause, and think again.</p>	Misogyny, Sexism, pussy	Gender - General, Nationality - Chinese	4/319	1.254
<p>Eugène Troyson :I agree that discussions on male hardships, realistic issues and are valid but I think discussing both could do better for the world. I do wonder what males thought if manufacturers of shirts, billboards, hamburger commercials, movies, soda bottles, etc.. over sexualized them how would they feel about it. Would the reaction be brushing it off as normal or discuss how it may paint (cause a stereotypical stigma) an unrealistic view and expectation of the opposite gender making it damaging to relations as a deeper topic. Just a thought that I've observed.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/94	1.064
<p>I agree - I don't see why I should be put down for being a guy when I was never a part of the rampant sexism that used to exist</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
<p>"Maybe your assumptions are following from cultural norms so invisible they seem like insights about gender" Evan PuschakYou've managed to put what I've been thinking about the topic in one extremely eloquent quote. Gonna print it out, put it on my wall, and use it in conversations with certain people. This is gold!</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/54	1.852

Jake H. Sexism is what men do to women	Sexism	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
+gumbiligious1 So women can't be sexist? What the hell do you mean "what men do to women." If I went to a bar and the bartender happened to be a woman and I ordered a drink from her, am I sexist? Apart from being totally fucking vague, you've already made yourself out to be a bigot.Or maybe you're just busting balls and aren't serious about your statement. Then I will gladly retract what I've just written down. However, just in case you meant it, then I very well meant what I said.	Woman	Gender - General	1/93	1.075
Basty135 Poe's Law.if you are interested in serious conversation then my comment was a statement of ridiculousness. there is an argument that women cannot be sexist because sexism requires social dominance. the argument goes that since women aren't socially dominant then women cannot be sexist.this is the "prejudice plus power" argument, and it applies to racism , sexism , etc."[I]nstitutional power: men as a class have it, women as a class don't.What this imbalance of power translates to on an individual level is a difference in the impact of a man being prejudiced towards a woman and a woman being prejudiced towards a man. While both parties are human, and therefore have the same capacity to be hurt by the prejudice, whether they like it or not, the men have a whole system of history, traditions, assumptions, and in some cases legal systems and 'scientific' evidence giving their words a weight that the women don't have access to." [LINK] i do not fully agree with this statement or at least find it not to be very useful in general conversation as [i believe] most people would see sexism as a bigotry or prejudism against a sex/gender. someone using the argument would make a clear distinction between sexism and bigotry where as most people would not.and yes, some people would consider you doing as something as innocuous as ordering a drink from a female bartender as sexist (though i am happy to know this is a very small minority).so my statement was meant to be satirical	Racism, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	7/259	2.703
Sexism is discrimination (or perpetuating of stereotypes leading to discrimination) because of gender . e.g. the stereotype that doctors are always men (harmful esp. to women), or the stereotype that nurses are always women (harmful esp. to men).	Gender, Sexism, doctors	Gender - General, Nationality - General	3/37	8.108
Sexism is alive and well in Islamic states. In my neck of the woods? No laws enable it specifically, and many laws punish it. Freedom of thought means that (relatively) anything can happen, so sometimes, sexism does. Everything has consequences, and above all there are no solutions; only trade-offs.	Sexism	Gender - General	2/49	4.082

+Gumbilicious "Sexism is what men do to women" Like paying for their dinner, paying child support, paying rent and giving them half their money when they divorce them? Goddamn sexists....	Sexism	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
Its when you look at a woman & you don't think of her as an equal.	Woman	Gender - General	1/16	6.25
Sexism is anything that expresses unfair discrimination against one of the biological sexes through purposeful action. There is plenty of fair discrimination. I'm very discriminatory with what I eat because eating rotten food would just be a bad idea.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/39	2.564
Graeme Thinking of either gender as unequal is sexism , not just women.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/12	16.667
you don't know what it means anymore because in first world countries, just because the number of women in powerful positions has increased slightly, men believe sexism ceased to exist; but we wish it was that simple- sexism is rooted deep in our society, in the way that boys don't think girls read comic books or play video games, in the way that when a woman is speaking, it's more likely that a man will question her knowledge more so than if it was a man, even in the term 'friendzone', when a guy isn't clear about his motives, but still believes he is entitled to gain something from the girl. there is sexism against men, when they are not allowed to have human emotions, because it's 'girly', only proving the disadvantageous position of women, or how specific professions have gender for some reason. and then there is blatant sexism , such as rape, and the position of Muslim women in Muslim societies, African women and so on. Sexism is when a person faces unjustified discrimination because of their gender .	African, Gender, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	8/178	4.494

<p>In reality society really isn't. I'm biologically male, but identify as neither and people really can't tell the difference without looking very closely. However 9 time out of 10 people think that I'm female. And guess what? Nobody cares. There isn't a deep-rooted sexism in our society, and there hasn't been for a while. In the end, even if there is sexism, it's not societies fault. It's the sexist person's fault. (Which doesn't include people who are just insulting you for having an unpopular opinion or stupid idea) Blaming society is pointless, and comes from this process:1. Person 1 get's questioned or insulted by person 2 who is of opposite gender, nationality, race, etc.2. Person 1 claims that person 2 is being sexist, racist, etc.3. Person 2 claims the reality, which is that person 1 is just upset and needs a petty insult but doesn't have one, and affirms that person 2 isn't whatever-ist, and that person 1 needs to not use ist words as petty comebacks4. Person 1 claims something along the lines of "Oh don't worry, it's not your fault for being whatever-ist, you were just raised in a society that makes people of "X" group whatever-ist5. (Optional) Person 2 tries to claim that by making that projection, they are being whatever-ist themselves, resulting in Person 1 claiming that whatever-ist can't apply to the oppressed side, which just-so-happens to be theirs. It works with and -ist. Try it.In the end the only thing that will make women equal in the work place or any other place, is for women to suck it up and try. Don't complain about gender inequality in STEM if you have a degree in "Gender Studies" or "Liberal Arts". The only one promoting false expectations for young women is older women. !NEWSFLASH! Having a movie with a women acting an important role in society doesn't make girls want to become that role, it makes them want to become actors.</p> <p>Have more public women in STEM jobs. Like Michelle Thaller.</p>	Gender, Nationality, Nobody, Race, Racist, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Nationality - General	9/341	2.639
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>oh, gin harris, I don't know where you live, but it is only in that utopia that people don't care that you're a male that identifies as female. Of course, I have no problem with it, it's your body you're entitled to do and call it what you like, but where I'm from, life is not that sweet. Sexism does exist, and it is rooted in our society, every society, and saying it doesn't just proves you were raised as a male. Every woman knows, and has felt discriminated against because of her gender multiple times during the span of her life, be it at work, at home, or in any situation really. Besides the fact that you missed my point entirely, the situation that you used as an example is rarely as you say it is; most of the time, women at work who experience sexism rarely complain about it, and because they are in the work environment, they can't exactly do that at risk of losing their job, can they? so I don't know where you heard that, but it's fantasy.</p> <p>I'm not saying that some women use the sexism card to get out of an unwanted situation, but that doesn't happen very often and by respectable women. The problem with what you're saying is that it's not only the small number of women that are in STEM, but it's also the lack of representation. We learn about all those male scientists in our curriculum at school, Einstein, Decart, any number of those, and i never once saw the name of Mary Curry. Do your research, and then open your mouth please.</p>	Gender, Gin, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - Aboriginal, Gender - General	6/274	2.19
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	-------	------

Where exactly are you from? I would really like to know. And the issue that I have is not people thinking I'm trans, people don't think I'm trans. They just think I'm a **woman**. Also on a side note do you live in Europe, the USA or somewhere else. If it's the US I perfectly understand where you are coming from, although once again saying that it's engrained in society is still incorrect of you to say, as it's only shifting the blame from the person to the society, when in reality society is trying it's best to NOT be sexist, **racist**, etc. If you truly believe that you (if you get the chance) should give Europe a try. It has much better laws regarding anti-**sexism** and anti-**racism**, and if you still think that those societies are sexist, than it's not the society, it's probably either the specific people you met were sexist or you are being sexist. At the end of the day, being discriminated against because of your **gender** happens all the time, but it's not rooted in our societies, it's rooted in our genetics, because for tens of thousands of years, we didn't have such a thing as philosophy, and so we couldn't understand the true meaning of "**gender**" or "sexuality". Take medieval Europe for example. Yes, men saw women as baby-makers and something to brag about and flaunt everywhere at parties, but women saw men as fools who would do anything for **sex**, and who would do literally ANYTHING they wanted if they so much as asked. About representation in STEM, I meant public people like that. I just didn't mention Marie Curie, because she is the one token science **woman** who everyone brings up. It would be nice if we had more than one commonly known science gal. (Though honestly I know plenty of people who can only name Albert Einstein and no one else) I was however also referring to modern public women in science. For instance, Neil Degrasse Tyson. He is popular, semi-well known, and good at explaining things that people who don't have an IQ of 142. I can not remember a single **woman** who was in any of the many science debates or shows that I have watched in the past week. So what? Is science sexist? No, it isn't. Why? Because science doesn't give a shit what genitals you have or wish you had. Are you smart? Yes?

Great you can be a scientist. Get in line. At the end of the day/month/year, Social media and the news likes to make a big deal about **racism** and **sexism**, etc. But in real actual life from my own experiences and feedback from others of both genders, **nobody** fucking cares because there is no problem. Most men aren't monsters and most women aren't bitches. The only thing they claim that is real is the number of men in STEM jobs vs women in STEM jobs. I personally blame that on the fact that we have a technological revolution so often it makes it impossible for women to catch up. Now that society is no longer biased towards **gender**, we just need a few decades where no big tech revolutions happen so that women can catch up in a **race** they have been behind in for the past 12,017 years. Too bad that break probably won't happen [LINK]

Gender, Nobody, Race, Racism,
Racist, Sex, Sexism, Woman

Age -
Youngsters,
Ethnicity -
General,
Gender -
General

12/565

2.124

<p>Gin and Tonic - You're convinced that sexism is nothing but personal attitudes and not deeply ingrained cultural brainwashing, and you know why it seems so to you?</p> <p>Because you're high on passing privilege and girl privilege (yes, those are very real things) and it feels like you're finally in the driver's seat of your own life. You were the one who defied everyone's expectation that you would grow up to become a man, and through sheer self-determination compelled the world to accept you on your own terms as a woman. A genuinely impressive achievement, but it comes with a blind side.What you have done is authentic and valid, but it is not what most women experience, it is the trans experience. We experience something women cannot imagine because they had no choice but to be women. We had the option to CHOOSE to become women (rather than remain caricatures of men). We could do so because originally as men, we had exclusive control over our own lives, extending even to the point of choosing to discard the exclusive privileges of men. Women do not have comparable privileges, because everywhere women must negotiate the terms of their lives to meet the approval of men.</p> <p>No matter what society you name, the range of options available to women are exquisitely circumscribed to limit their prerogatives to fit within men's expectations of women. As we all know, that is a large part of the charm of being a woman, how we confine our behavior to fit gracefully within the perimeters of the role. Most men are not even aware of the effort this requires, simply because they do not experience being a man as limiting their options in life.The notable exception to this state of affairs is the realm of heterosexual love and sex, one of the few opportunities men have to experience what it is like to negotiate the approval of women. While some men choose to rise to the challenge, many others clearly resent women's power to act on their own prerogatives in this one special arena. The pity is that this novel experience seems to give these men so little insight on how the world is likewise experienced by women.</p>	Blind, Gin, Nothing, Sex, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Aboriginal, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	7/373	1.877
I also have no idea what sexism is. Sexism , however.....	Sexism	Gender - General	1/10	10.0

I understand the argument you're making, but you're purposefully stopping in your introspection at a point that is convenient to your theory.You stop at "These are societal norms that have become so common they're almost invisible".I double you down with the question: Where did these "norms" come from?Hormones and anatomy are a thing, a real thing.Moreover this whole "scandal" makes the whole of humanitarian fields look like 2nd hand jobs for retards, when they're the other half of the spine that keeps our society together.If you want a real issue to discuss, why not discuss the fact that teachers are underpaid and and often apathetic to their role, and what that means for society?But besides all this, STEM is not a field where genitalia matters, what matters in STEM is attitude, determination and knowledge.A person, man or woman , who is intimidated by the blind factuality of the STEM field won't get into it because it's somehow "Safer for their gender ".If you make a breakthrough finding, the least thing the scientific community will care about is whatever the fuck is in your pants.This notion that men and women are separate is just mindboggling.This notion that involuntary sexism is some kind of dominance-game that pleases people is also absurd, we're all human and empathy is a thing that we all experience to some degree, each and every one of us has a friend or a family member of the opposite sex , so why in the fuck would it be pleasurable for me to know that my cousin or my sister probably won't get into STEM? How can it be a winning game when someone I care about is sad, about something serious?Your whole theory assumes that people are inherently evil by default, without even realizing it.	Blind, Common, Gender, Sex, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Working class	6/305	1.967
The moon being sexism and the finger being, 'it's just a shirt'? Or, the moon being, the literal moon, and the finger being ingrained social norms?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/26	3.846
+Alpha Morty PS. Read my first comment. Confucius' comment can just as easily be reversed. So, the "moon" in this context is sexism , and the insignificant "finger", is people thinking it is 'just a shirt'. IE, "imbeciles" only see it as a harmless shirt, and not what it might represent.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/50	2.0

<p>+J Lim "The moon being sexism and the finger being, 'it's just a shirt'?" - congrats for missing the point, and proving, how dense you ideologues really are... – I could not have pulled that off, even if I tried! - I am way too much bound by reality and rationalist thought... (why am I suddenly reminded off of "The Antichrist", 55.?) "Thinly veiled "anti-SJW". The irony is probably lost on the OP." - the irony, huh? - isn't that ironic...Exactly this is what makes you lose these debates, this ideological, incorrigible, opinionated, self-righteous approach, that twists and turns and distorts everything until it its your narrative..."the hyper-pedantic commentator" - ad hominem (as a cowardly preventive strike, to make oneself "immune" to criticism) – jeez, you are a price... - even your fellow ideologues couldn't artificially thumb-up that garbage-comment enough, making it get far less than your next one (which is telling; in longer threats thumbs-ups usually decline the further down one goes, because people stop reading at some point; some sooner, some later)"So, the "moon" in this context is sexism, and the insignificant "finger", is people thinking it is 'just a shirt'" - rephrasing the same fallacy multiple times doesn't make it any less laughable. The only people agreeing with that laughable comeback are the ones that drank the same kool-aid as you; even fairly neutral ones (I'd never consider myself anti-sjw; in fact I hate both the term "SJW" and the hypocrites usually referred to with it, as both sides of that equation manage, to give justice and [real] social concerns a bad name, helping right wingers being on the rise... thanks, you bloody morons!) are probably facepalming at your comment en masse...For the slow, the retarded and otherwise mentally incapacitated:moon -> something big, important, worth studying, and atop of all: an astronomical body within our solar systemcomets -> see moon.T-shirts and pictures on it; phantasies and art, all of that – a personal preference, nothing to get up in arms about, and harass people for, creating a big mob to [metaphorically] tar and feather them. -> non-issues; something like the finger that points to the former, that the small minded busybody concentrates on, rather than what's actually noteworthy here... Your insistence, that the "its just a t-shirt" was equivalent to the finger to be examined, while the pictures on it where one of the big questions that move humanity as a whole, just puts on display, how detached you are from the real world and real world problems, concerns, and noteworthy interests. One's gotta be very shallow and talentless, to make a big deal out that, in order to have something to feel important for..."Morale" - n*****, please! - you are just a very peculiar species of puritans, that's all...</p>	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/471	0.637
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

+J Lim The While all the scientists(wise men) were looking at the data that revealed secrets about our species' origins(the moon), the feminists(imbeciles) worried about whether or not the wise man's attire(the finger) was appropriate.Maybe if women wan't be scientists they should pursue an education in a STEM field so they know how important the mission was, rather than an education in gender studies or sociology so they know why the shirt was "problematic"? Just a thought...Also: "EDIT: Before the hyper-pedantic commentators reply, yeh I don't recall what he's building. Just that it's to do with space."Is it just me or is this guy literally proving the OP's fucking point that he is an imbecile for not even knowing what the mission was about yet knowing everything about the shirt controversy? Seems a bit ironic.	Gender	Gender - General	1/138	0.725
youre so right man, sexism is such an insignificant problem	Sexism	Gender - General	1/10	10.0
J Lim context matters. the shirt was made by his FEMALE FRIEND who was AN ILLUSTRATOR. he wore it for her. maybe he didnt wear something to offend ALL women, which is the most unproveable accusation anyone can ever make, maybe he wore it to please one. so stop trying to use the shirt out of context, cuz youre already generalizing women, and when we appeal to women about something, it shouldnt be because they have a vagina, it should be because they themselves are interested in naturally. your comment makes no sense because no one is discriminating women in stem. prove they are. low numbers. that is not because of shirts. and if a shirts does take away their motivation... MAYBE THEYRE NOT REALLY INTERESTED. women aren't lemmings. theyre not pussy cats you have to white knight for. they can handle themselves when facing a shirt.	pussy	Nationality - Chinese	1/147	0.68
Rhinestone tiger in a leisure suit its an ad hominem, youre attacking his character instead of anything he has done intentionally. fail. no sexism here dummy.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/26	3.846
PyrrhoVonHyperborea I think you got a little over-excited. Yeh, you didn't need to write 1000 words explaining the OPs intent. EVERYONE got it, lol. I was just re-contextualising it to serve my "SJW" purposes. "For the slow, the retarded and otherwise mentally incapacitated: moon -> something big, important, worth studying..." YOU DON'T SAY! Lol. "ad hominem"? You mean like... "retarded and otherwise mentally incapacitated"...or "incorrigible, opinionated, self-righteous". So hypocritical, so "ironic". How 'bout a little self-reflection, Mr.'I'm not anti-SJW'? Lol, oh "even your fellow ideologues couldn't artificially thumb-up that garbage-comment enough". Oh no, my self-worth has crumbled to nothing because I didn't get likes! ..ad hominem, what a total wanker, lol.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/111	0.901

Then what are women so annoyed about then? Their "lack of power"? So, its never been about equality has it. As a woman myself, I am annoyed with the whole idea that women are so fragile that they might see shirt like this and not become an Engineer or a Doctor because of it. That is absurd. The reason women are more prone to avoid stem fields is because they are generally drawn to "people" over "things" and choose careers that reflect this, while men are drawn to things over people and dominare the "hard sciences", and women prefer "soft sciences". This is a FACT and a part of HUMAN NATURE and it has nothing to do with a damn shirt.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/121	1.653
Because when people are objectified, other people see no problem treating them as objects, which leads to violence, especially sexual violence.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/21	4.762
Lin0026 Is it okay to commit sexual violence or any other kind of violence against a bus driver, or a car, or a hat?	Sexual	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
Models choose their profession, they expose themselves because they want to, and that's ok. Models are not necessarily being treated badly, but the whole culture of exposing women's bodies in movies, video clips and ads just for the sake of it, for example, without serving any purpose in the story, contributes to men viewing women as sexual objects and treating them violently, even if the actress themselves were not.I don't see what "task performer" has to do with "object", this is not about profession at all.The point is, when people say objectify they mean "to treat some as less than human" and usually in a sexual way. Language is not something set in stone, it changes and words meanings changes with time and with the context they are used. Objects can be treated well or badly, but comparing humans to objects is always seen as bad because of the context.Any further and we're just discussing semantics, so I'm gonna leave it here. Bye bye	Sexual	Gender - General	2/167	1.198

<p>It's not objectification in and of itself (although I think a lot of people—wrongly, in my opinion—see it that way). It's objectification in the absence of anything else that's a problem. We all objectify people when we exhibit attraction. They literally become the "objects" of our attraction. It's harmful only when your objectified perception of someone becomes the defining factor of your perception of them as a whole. When I see a coworker who I find attractive, part of me is going, "that's a thing I want to have sex with." If I stop there, it's harmful. But if I also consider that my coworker is not defined by my sexual attraction to her in any way, that she has skills and feelings and a moral disposition, then it's not so bad. She does not exist to be attractive to me, I have no claim to her because I am attracted to her and she is vastly more than just something I want to get into bed with, even if that is something I want on some level. Applying that to the bus driver, he's not defined by his role as a bus driver, that's just his job. Models, on the other hand, are almost entirely defined by their roles. They literally make a living out of being looked at as the objects of people's sexual desires. It transcends a workplace and on a very large scale, they're basically thought of as being important solely for the reason of being attractive. I'm not arguing models should go away, but the objectification of a model is nothing like the feelings most people have toward bus drivers. The reason it's damaging to women in general is because it perpetuates an idea that women in general are only valid as objects of sexual desire. Ultimately the harmful nature of purely sexual objectification comes down to where you rank your sexual attraction to someone in the list of reasons that validate their importance as humans. If you see it as a defining characteristic of a person, you're doing them a disservice and shortchanging them. If you know that they bring more to the table than giving you a boner, then it's not really a problem. You're allowed to find people hot, you're allowed to pursue a sexual relationship with them (within reason), just make sure you thoroughly understand that people you're attracted to are people too.</p>	Nothing, Sex, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	8/402	1.99
<p>is there any evidence that this is true though? are men who watch porn more violent towards women for example? if it's anything like the "violent video games make violent kids" now thoroughly debunked narrative, then it's more likely the opposite is true. ps: i'm not saying porn or certain less savory types of porn are a good thing for guys to consume, just that the link between that and actual sexual violence remains to be seen. if anything on a societal level, even as women have become more objectified in media since their sexual emancipation, violence against women has dropped. a lot of confounding factors there of course, but i've never seen anything that showed the link you are positing.</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	2/121	1.653

ice hawk10 not trying to be rude, but were you asking me? I can't tell who you were addressing. I got a notification about your comment but I'm a little confused because I wasn't trying to posit a link between porn and sexual violence.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
Lin0026 Sounds like you're making a false conclusion and slippery slope logic.	Slope	Nationality - Chinese	1/12	8.333
All Im gonna say is that I recommend you tread with care when you say things like "being racist and sexist is pleasurable" and in doing so, skirt with accusing everyone of engaging in these practices, ESPECIALLY when you claim it's normalized when you can see at every damn turn just how thoroughly reviled it really isIf Im gonna take the time to think how such accusations make me feel, I recommend you also take a moment to think what it is you're expressing when you make such claims, because I've a slight suspicion that there's some projection involved, and that you're speaking more about yourself than about anyone elseI could be wrong but that's how it comes across to me	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/123	0.813
Everything Offends Someone. Should all foods that religions tell certain people not to eat be shunned by everyone, even if people enjoy eating those foods? I can't dress how I want as a man, because it's called sexi est . Yet men can't have any say in how woman dress. So Woman can't be Sexist, just like Black People can't be Racist . It's all just excuses.There are Racist Black People and Sexist Women. But no one is allowed to call them out on it. Just Abuse Straight White Men and Shun The For Your Own Self Pleasure.	Black, Racist, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/96	6.25
There's a lot of studies (for example: [LINK] that show that women and men just think different, that's why they dominate in different fields. Is it really that controversial to suggest that men and women may be into different things? As for the shirt, really women aren't the only ones being sexually objectified, men are just as objectified in media. What is the problem with sexual objectification really? It's in our nature to be attracted to certain features in the human body, so how is indulging in that harming anyone? I really don't think women care about a shirt of some half-naked women on it, just like I don't care if I see deodorant adds with half-naked men in them. To really think that the guy meant any harm by it, just really seems ridiculous to me.I really do appreciate your content and I'll probably still watch your uploads, but I really think you should reevaluate your stance on this. I urge you to think logically about this and to not pay mind to these so-called "progressive" SJW ideals. I say this with all due respect and hope that you'll reconsider your stance. Also check out ShoeOnHead and Chris Ray Gun, youtubers who tackle a lot of these kinds of social issues and I hope you'll hear out what they have to say.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/224	0.446

<p>Your very premise is wrong - that the shirt is intrinsically misogynistic. Are romance book covers with tall, rippling men misandrist? Why aren't those romance book covers depicting short, fat, hirsute guys in stained t-shirts? The annoyance comes from another sex telling the other what they are allowed to like. How fucking dare they? Can you even IMAGINE how women would respond if men did that to women? If the criticism is that it was unprofessional attire, FINE. but to jump down this guy's throat for a shirt that A WOMAN made for him is absurd. And watching this video right up against the louis ck video ought to give anyone whiplash. You ask us to consider whether our cultural norms affect our thinking but you should ask yourself the same thing as your generation is growing up under a regime of hypersensitivity to even the slightest possible "micro aggression" ffs. Just because someone is offended doesn't mean they have a point or that anyone has to accede to their preferences. It's this kind of AUTHORITARIAN fascism that is wrong with the regressive left. Conversion by swordpoint? No. And the thing about the extremist left and statistics is that they alway ASSUME MALICE whenever they look at a number favoring men but LOOK DEEPER on numbers favoring women. The romance fiction industry is like 89 women... Yet to we assume sexism there? Or do we think perhaps men aren't that into literary porn? :p you yourself are operating under a TON of unfounded assumptions to arrive at the notions you've arrived at. Likely fostered and encouraged by your like minded peers.</p> <p>Again, i encourage YOU to dig deeper. Look at the assumptions and leaps in logic. Examine whether a 70s era film theory notion of objectification NECESSARILY speaks to the real world and human behavior and psychology when it comes to things like fashion models and romance fiction book covers. And watch christina hoff sommers and camille paglia talk about these kinds of issues as feminists who think this kind of stuff is bullshit. Be as open as you ask us to be because what you've got here is faaaaaaaar from the bottom line.</p>	Fat, Sex, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	4/364	1.099
<p>A majority of biology majors are female, and roughly half of medical students doctors are female. To say women are just not interested in STEM is narrow minded. In the 70s less than 10 of doctors were female, after "shoving stem down girls throats" its closer to 50/50.</p>	doctors	Nationality - General	2/48	4.167

Hannah T Or perhaps they really aren't interested in some STEM fields? At my school they tried their hardest to seek out young girls for STEM programs. They offered us incentives to go to their all girl meetings. Girls just didn't show up. Most already had other career plans in mind. Those meetings specifically made me feel like I needed to go or otherwise I was failing my gender . It was pressure that I didn't like. This is just a personal gripe. I don't care if they continue to do such things as it will either help girls get into the field or do nothing at all.If girls arent interested I don't think it's because men are scaring them away though. I would assume it's more because they never thought of those jobs as an option. There's an argument that girls follow their dreams more when they get a job and men go for the higher paying ones. Biology and being a doctor are dreams for plenty of people but other STEM jobs aren't.It's a complicated question that could have many variables to sift through.	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/187	1.07
Well thats your experience, feeling like STEM fields are boring and that they are pushed on girls but girls just dont care.In my experience working in a STEM field and meeting tons of women interested in STEM I have a more optimistic view of women's capabilities and interests. In college I was even part of a group that brain stormed how to get more women interested in STEM. We held events for young kids and it was obvious that for elementary and middle school age the girls were just as interested in the boys. My personal thoughts are the change in interest happens in high school. We were in the process of developing a mentorship program for high school girls, but it didn't happen while I was there.	Age	Age - General	1/129	0.775
Hannah T you just said you interacted with women that did partake in those STEM classes, therefore women that already wanted to partake in them, that has nothing to do with the women that dont want to	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/37	2.703
Or maybe it's just a shirt that coincidentally was designed by a woman . It's a shirt, get over yourselves, you fucktards!	Woman	Gender - General	1/21	4.762
well as a woman I fear I'm going to lose my independence and my freedom of speech. because my value is going to be lumped with everybody who has a vagina, and I'm being made to believe I need a group of people to back me up and defend me, if I'm to be free. I don't need or want feminism and I stand to loose alot, so yes it is pain. I'm hurting because feminism is striving to take away the joys in my life and my freedoms. in the soiled name of justice.	Woman	Gender - General	1/95	1.053
2:48 That's because not all degrees are made equal. Women are less likely to take STEM subjects and more likely to take Gender Studies, Sociology, and other expensive yet useless degrees.	Gender	Gender - General	1/31	3.226

So wait... I didn't tell a man to kill himself for wearing a shirt, and that makes me sexist? Obviously a loaded question but as long as we're making arguments that are so obviously faulty that a newborn would call us out what's the harm right? Of course this isn't about reason, this is about one side shouting at the other right? What's the danger of not alienating everyone not involved in the shouting match? Would it be taking away the pleasure of having someone who's obviously worse than you, because they aren't on one side or the other? Would there be less people to hate, taking away a purpose in your pathetic lives? I know no one has a bigger struggle than the "oppressed feminist" or the "oppressed by feminists". tl;dr Shut the fuck up, take a step back, and realize the world isn't black and white (since it seems you haven't already).	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/154	0.649
I'm so glad someone finally published an intelligent video with a thoughtful message on sexism . Of course this shirt is just a shirt, and certainly this guy hasn't ment to be oppresing, but people have to realise that carring a stereotype, especially on TV is, on some way, a kind of oppression...	Sexism	Gender - General	1/52	1.923
Messua Gonzalez carrying what stereotype? That women are sexy ? As a woman in STEM I don't see a problem? And how can you claim a shirt is oppression???	Woman	Gender - General	1/29	3.448
White Blogger Black Specs what are you even trying to say? I don't understand what point you are trying to get across.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/22	4.545
White Blogger Black Specs but I'm a woman in STEM who is not offended at all. I'm upset that people are offended on my behalf more than anything.	Black, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/28	7.143
White Blogger Black Specs but what I don't understand is why you replied to me as though the shirt offended me.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/21	4.762

<p>Your theory is that people take pleasure from sexism and establishing dominance and that not going against even a small thing perceived as such would be driven by fear of losing that pleasure. In a way you're saying we may be addicted to sexism. That may indeed be the case sometimes, but I believe you've failed to consider that wearing that shirt may not be sexist at all. That kind of art derives from comic books, where both men and women are sexualized and whose bodies are portrayed as what we perceive or accept as ideal. Would you say, for instance, that my girlfriend telling me, after we saw CA: Civil War, that she wanted someone as strong and handsome as Captain America, is an example of sexism? Would the criticism follow, should a woman wear a shirt like this with male characters? Or compliment a hot guy's body on the web or anywhere else? If you believe, as I expect, that every woman has the right to do so, then the problem isn't sexualization, it's how we perceive it. Female sexualization is perceived as a sort of aggression these days, but that is an assumption based on what you believe the person's values are towards females. If you see a woman dressing in a sexy way, is it because she wants to be objectified by men or does she want to feel empowered or does she simply like the way she looks? If a guy wears this shirt, does he believe you should abuse women or does he merely celebrates the beauty of the female body? I think we haven't learned to see sexuality deprived from hate, abuse or dominance. Which is sad, really. How much better our relationships could be if we did? Peace. (PS = Brazilian, sorry for bad English)</p>	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	6/303	1.98
<p>Paradox Acres caring too much about a shirt, is dumb, not evil, it's petty, it's SUPERFICIAL. it's also fashion shaming. controlling what men wear is the same as controlling what women wear.</p>	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/32	3.125
<p>It's pleasurable to you?! I think you have a problem. The shirt had NOTHING to do with dominance,</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/18	5.556
<p>It makes a lot of women uncomfortable. If I saw someone wearing that at work, I would interpret it to mean that person values women for their bodies and sees them as sexual objects. I would infer that when he sees me, he's judging whether or not I'm hot, not taking my ideas and work seriously. Wear a shirt like that, and you create a culture that makes women uneasy. That's dominance.</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	1/72	1.389

You interpret and You infer and You're uncomfortable. The problem is Your insecurities. My shirt can't dominate you just as your high heels can't dominate me if I were short. Work and ideas speak for themselves and when you encounter REAL sexism (and everyone will) you show it for the bigotry it is.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/53	1.887
+Melissa JansenThat makes you the terrible person if you make all those assumptions about people simply for their fairly harmless fashion sense. You might as well see someone wearing black and assume that they're always sad or angry.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/39	2.564
Mortimus wearing high heels isn't the same thing as wearing a shirt with suggestive women on it. It's like if a woman came into work with a tshirt that had naked men on it. Totally different	Woman	Gender - General	1/36	2.778
I wish people were more like me, just watching this and dismissing all of this weak ass information. When you see how totally unnecessary it is to point out some kid wearing a shirt. It just shows how allot of people think of sexism and al isms that are popular today in such a narrow sense. This perception of good and bad that doesn't really work in the real world.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/70	1.429
Well what we're in danger of losing is freedom of expression. Honestly I think the shirt looks quite ugly and I would never wear it myself, but to say it is offensive or that he can't or shouldn't wear it is ridiculous. Women graduate less in stem areas because men and women are different. One isn't better than the other, but the interrests of people tend to fall in line with their gender identity. You say that we might only think these are facts because they have been engrained into our society, but let me ask you this. Why is it that the majority of men in the fashion industry are gay ? They are men, so if your theory that it is the cause of societal pressure were true then you'd assume that sexuality wouldn't be relevant, right? Yet it is. It seems that men who are genetically more feminine also share the same interrests as the majority of women. So would it not be the logical conclusion that genetics and actual biological differences between genders plays a major role?	Gay, Gender	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/180	1.667
Lumos Eis Women get published less likely because the subjects they study are usually soft science, which are not worth publishing for many scientific magazines and such. Soft science is an area of expertise which changes a lot and is less dependent on hard facts. So obviously they will get publishes less, their research is less groundbreaking and will thus get less magazines sold.I do applaud you for not blaming very complicated and nuanced issues on dumb things like clothing.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/81	1.235

<p>Lumos Eis Can I see the source for your study? Because I find it very hard to believe that scientific publishers will just ignore groundbreaking discoveries just because they were made by women.”But women that choose science work equally hard and contribute as much to research as men.”That’s very egalitarian of you to say, but unless you can back up that claim with evidence it means nothing.”This is to a main part also caused, by their personal choice of not following their caarer for a family life.”You literally just said that they work equally hard, and now you’re saying that a lot of women choose a family life over a career. You are contradicting yourself.”But some discrimination is there.”Well what’s your evidence there is? How are you so sure it is not JUST because women choose family over career more often?</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/147	0.68
<p>Lumos Eis Did a little fact checking on that study. The study says this: ”The production of scientific articles in engineering is male-dominated: the total share of women’s authorship is 20”I did some research and found out that women make up 14 of engineers. [LINK] ”Currently, only 14 of engineers are women, according to the Congressional Joint Economic Committee.”So women are actually being published disprortionally high. ”I do not have concret evidences. But I think some discrimination must be there.”How the hell can you think that if you have no concrete evidence? You’re just claiming things that fit your narative even though you can’t back them up.”But in general I was talking about my personal experiences.”Too bad those are not arguments either.”Women choosing family over caarer doesn’t contradict the fact that women choosing science are working hard.”Even the women who study subjects like engineering often abandon their career for their family later in their lives.</p>	Engineers	Nationality - General	2/162	1.235

<p>An unusually good discussion, guys, thank you. On the percentages pointed out by Kenny: 14 of engineers may be women, but what's the female percentage of scientists in engineering fields? That would not be the same, and considering the topic in discussion I would expect it to be above 20. But the more important number here is the number of citations. Articles of women being cited less often indicates a lack of perceived credibility, which is the heart of the issue.I have to say from my 5 years experience with the culture and "secret society" that is academia that I have no doubt there is a preference for male publishers in the STEM fields - by some people, under the surface. Your chance of being published has as much to do with your credibility in the field as the significance of your research. Research by a more credible scientist is simply more credible. Unfortunately, gender affects perceived credibility in the eyes of some people – we're not rid of that. There is a reason dissertations are often published anonymously for review (in my nordic part of the world at least): the evaluator's opinion of you affects the evaluation. People decide the outcome, and people are not perfect.And Kenny, it is possible to discuss personal experience and opinion without demanding credible sources for every claim, just treat them as opinions and not facts. Facts are non-discussable, per definition; opinions keep the discussion going.</p>	Engineers, Gender	Gender - General, Nationality - General	2/244	0.82
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------------------------	-------	------

<p>Lumos Eis "but what's the female percentage of scientists in engineering fields?"I couldn't find anything, nor could I find any difference. Please explain the difference and give me evidence that that number is higher than the number I gave you. Seems like you're just messing with terminology because you don't have an argument."and considering the topic in discussion I would expect it to be above 20."And you expect that WHY exactly? Oh wait, let me guess. Because that fits the political narrative you have already accepted. Am I close? Here's a fun tip: Scientists and researchs only start expecting/suspecting things when they have a reason for doing so."Articles of women being cited less often indicates a lack of perceived credibility"Or it indicates that most engineers are men because of the personal choices of women. I have proven my theory regarding the data, you haven't proven yours. This isn't religion, things aren't just true because you want them to be true."I have to say from my 5 years experience with the culture and "secret society" that is academia that I have no doubt there is a preference for male publishers in the STEM fields"On the internet everyone has over 5 years of experience in every field that happens to be relevant. If you think I am just going to take the word of an anonymous stranger on the internet for it you are out of your mind."Unfortunately, gender affects perceived credibility in the eyes of some people "Again, what do you base that on? What is your evidence?"it is possible to discuss personal experience and opinion without demanding credible sources for every claim"If you want the other person the change his or her mind on an issue, as is usually the case in a discussion, you must give them actual reasons for doing so. If I was going to tell you about my personal experiences on the matter, would that change your mind? No, it wouldn't. If it would then you are an absolute idiot. In case you haven't noticed, we are two anonymous strangers on the internet. That means either of us could make up any personal story we want. That is why we use EVIDENCE to prove our point, and not some anecdotal evidence."Facts are non-discussable, per definition; opinions keep the discussion going."Please don't take this as an insult, but that is retarded.1) Facts are discussable, if you were an engineer like you claim then you'd know about the scientific method, and you'd know that not a single fact is 100 proven. Literally every fact is discussable and might be wrong. 1000 years ago it was a fact that the earth is the centre of the universe. If we hadn't discussed that fact we'd still believe it.2) This line of reasoning only works if you FIRST decide what your opinion is, and then look at the facts. Only an absolute idiot does that. Your opinion should be a result of the facts, and nothing else. Anything else will, without exception, lead to ideological zealously.</p>	Engineers, Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Nationality - General	3/520	0.577
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Lumos Eis "I'm not saying that my claims are facts. "If something is a claim, then you are implying you think it is true. Why would you think something is true without any reason or evidence for doing so? To further your political agenda?"I'm talking only about woman that are actually working in the field (not those who gave up their caarer)"Well that might be what you are talking about, but it wasn't what the study was talking about. As far as I have seen the study made no distinction."The fact that woman are overrepresented indicates that the women that actually work are better than men."So if men are overrepresented then it is sexism, if women are overrepresented then they just work harder? Why didn't you think men just work harder when you thought men were overrepresented. The mental gymnastics people who follow ideologies and religions perform to make their worldview work truly astonishes me sometimes."But what's your point? That there is no discrimination at all?"My point is that there is no evidence to suggest there is any discrimination. And I am not the type of person that believes something is real without evidence. People want to change laws and dedicate this resources to solve this "issue", yet they can't even proven the issue even exists. Do you understand why I think that is an absurdt idea?</p>	Mental, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/234	1.709
<p>Lumos Eis If you do not have evidence then I do not care what you have to say. The "experiences" of a random stranger on the internet aren't going to change my mind."You also made claims (like women choosing soft sciences), which you can't prove. "Uhm actually no, I can prove that. If I couldn't prove it I wouldn't have claimed it. [LINK] As you can see over 80,000 women chose social sciences and history, almost 70,000 chose psychology, and 45,000 chose biology and biomedical sciences. Meanwhile, the number for female engineers isn't even big enough to be on the charts, while for men that nummer is nearly 70,000." I just want you to understand my point (not believe the same or change your opinion, just understand it)."That is a completely meaningless statement. If I do not believe you then I obviously will not understand your point."Anyway to be able to dissucus on the niveau you expect I need to do more research for which I simply do not have the time."If you are not even willing to do the required research on a topic, then don't have an opinion on it.</p>	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/198	0.505

<p>Lumos Eis Words are merely a way of bringing across a point. The point I was trying to make was that psychologists, sociologists, antropolochists, etc will get published less than Chemists, Physicists, Mathematicians, etc. You understood that point perfectly well, so I have do not care for debating terminology because I'm not an etymologist and I do not care."That's basically maths which is not even considered a science by your own definition."Please don't tell me I have to explain to you how comparisons work. Oh well here's another one to suit your needs. If we have proven that A is a cat, that B is a cat, that C is a child of A and B, and that cats can only give birth to other cats, will we need to prove that C is a cat as well? It's a rhetorical question, the answer is that we don't."We are talking about empirical problems. Like whether women are discrimnated or what soft science is"What soft science is is not an empirical problem. Language can't be an empirical problem by definition because language is an evolving concept, not something based on hard facts."But your definition was pyschology etc."Because that is the definition.... If that wasn't the definition then I would not magically alter my argument. I would just say "psychologists, sociologists, antropolochists, etc", instead of "soft science". Please stop whining about this insignificant bullcrap, all it does is show that you don't have an argument. I've seen this countless of times. For example when creationists start arguing over the definition of "atheism" instead of actually giving evidence that their theory is correct. The only reason they do this is because they do not have any evidence."You haven't proven yet that these fields get published less and that this is the reason why women have fewer publication"It wasn't a scientific fact, it was my theory. Do you know what Occam's razor is? This seemed like the most reasonable conclusion you could draw based on the facts presented. I find it hard to believe that publishers would sincerely censor the scientific breakthroughs of women just because of their gender. That would cost them millions."There are actually tree flaws in your argument.1. The definition of soft science2. That these fields do get published less3. That this is the main reason why women do get published less"1. That's not even an argument, that's just a word I used to explain my argument. If you could somehow prove that my definition of the word is incorrect you would've disproven literally nothing as the meaning of that word is of zero significance to my argument. I would simply use another term that means the same and be correct again.2. I don't think you know what either a flaw or an argument is. That wasn't an argument, that was my PROPOSITION. I gave arguments to prove THAT point.3. Again, Occam's razor.</p> <p>Together we could only think of two possible explanations. Mine requires less assumptions then yours, therefore I am correct. If you do not agree with the concept of Occam's razor then that is fine, but I don't have the time nor the energy to discuss that topic with you. You'll just have to find a philosopher for that discussion."So basically I have to accept the definiton by one scientist and your logical implication of his definiton. "No you only have to know what I meant with that term in this specific circumstances. Do you understand how language works?"Of course I had an argument</p>	Gender, Nothing, Zero	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/674	0.445
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Lumos Eis "If you are too lazy to do that, you won't convince anybody."Well it's not a matter of laziness. It is just that I tried to look up any studies or polls on the matter and couldn't find any. "Even if you apply occam's razor, my assumption is simpler.</p> <p>"First of all I just realised we have colluded two different points. We already established that women do not get published less proportionally, they even get published more.</p> <p>Your argument, and please correct me if I am wrong, seems to be that scientists are sexist because they cite women less frequently.Having established that, for your theory to work you'll have to assume that:1. Either that men and women are equally represented in all fields(which we know is not true) OR that all fields get cited equally overall(which seems very unlikely).2. That everyone in an industry that revolves around rational thought has an irrational hatred against women.3. That said people don't hate women enough to not cite them at all.4. That women don't simply work less hard. (may I remind you that you had absolutely zero trouble accepting that "men work less hard" when I pointed out that women are overrepresented in published articles on engineering.</p> <p>I agree that this is probably the reason, but I also think think that you can't have double standards. I also think it is possible that women simply work less hard in other fields, just like men work less hard in certain fields.)I'm sorry but these are not simple assumptions. All my theory requires you to assume is that soft sciences get cited less than hard sciences, and I even have arguments for why I think that might be the case."You assume, that the field in which women work are less based on hard facts and this is the reason why these papers publish less, thus women have fewer publication."No, I KNOW that the subjects most female scientists work in are less based on hard facts.I ASSUME that this means they get cited lessI DEFEND that position by pointing out that soft sciences change more than hard sciences and are less based on hard facts.</p> <p>Which again, I KNOW.As you can see, I only assumed one thing. And I even have arguments for that assumption."But since it's just your theory, it's as much worth as my theory."I'm sorry but I don't believe in the notion that anything is "worth" anything outside of the field of economics. For example if you have to choose between killing a stranger and killing your father, most people will kill the stranger. Not because your father is "worth" less. The motivations for your actions have nothing to do with "worth"."Women, work as hard as men."That's not a proven fact. That is your first assumption."But still women have less publication and citation"We already established that women get published MORE than men representatively. So no."These are facts."No, one of those three things is a fact. One is straight out false and the other is an assumption."My theory is that they have fewer publication, because some men do discriminate them."They discriminate them why...? If they can actually use the information in a study, why the hell would they NOT do so JUST because the person who wrote the study is female? That is a HUGE assumption." I make this assumption because I have seen men rejecting women, based on their gender. I do not claim this is a fact."Well at least we agree on that, it isn't a fact. Anecdotal evidence is never</p>	<p>Gender, Nothing, Zero</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General</p>	<p>3/643</p>	<p>0.467</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

<p>Lumos Eis 1. Okay2/3 So please explain to me why you would discriminate against an entire demographic if you do not hate them.”That just means that you choose to cite a work by men although it’s equally good as the work of women.”You have no evidence to back up that the work of women is equally good. Just because you don’t like an explanation does not mean it is automatically false. Obviously I am always going to assume that women are capable of the same things men are, but it would be unscientific to hold this up as proven fact. For all we know there is some biological reason that makes women less productive in fields like engineering. I am not saying this is the case, I am just saying it is possible.4. How do you know women get published in better journals?”This might even have even other reasons, but it definitely proves the statement ”women work less hard” wrong.”Well you literally just contradicted yourself. If there might be other reasons then it doesn’t DEFINITELY prove anything wrong. If your claim is accurate, it might be because of positive discrimination. Again, not saying that is the case. I’m just that it is possible.5. ”My only assumption is that there is discrimination.”Discrimination in what form? Discrimination based on skill and intelligence is still discrimination. Discrimination isn’t inherently wrong. It is wrong to discriminate based on gender, I agree on that. But I do not see any evidence to suggest gender is the only factor here.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	2/262	0.763
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Lumos Eis "Discriminating is not about hating. It's just the thought that women are bad in general, without any reason to do so."Well no, that's not what discrimination is. You can discriminate and have a valid reason to do so. Discrimination isn't inherently bad. For example if I own a bar and won't let anyone under 18 in then I'm discriminating. But I have a very valid reason for doing so." So if you see a article is written by a women, you assume it's bad and don't cite it."So why would people ignore scientific evidence that might further their own goals just because it was discovered by a woman? I find that very hard to believe, and since you don't have any evidence you're not going to convince me."Even women might think the work of a woman is worth less. I don't know the reason for that. "Your usage of the word "might" is crucial here. You are literally just assuming things without a reason for doing so except that it fits your political narrative. That is the very definition of irrational."Please give me some proves, which show that women are less productive in some fields like engineering."I already told you that this is merely an alternative explanation for the data you have shown me. I don't have any reason to believe this is the case, so I don't believe it is. All I wanted to point out is that you shouldn't jump to the conclusion that fits your political narrative if there are other explanations which are just as likely."Because the Study I showed you clearly says that the article by women are significantly more often published in better journals."And I already told you that this might be for other reasons than "women are better than men". For example, positive discrimination. In this day and age positive discrimination is the new discrimination, so it could be very much possible."Papers published in better journals are cited less, if they are written by a woman. I'd like to hear a resonable explanation for that."Me too. Too bad that neither of us have an explanation for this data which we can back up with EVIDENCE. If you don't know what the explanation is then don't just believe whatever you want to believe, just say "I don't know".</p>	Age, Woman	Age - General, Gender - General	4/397	1.008
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------	---------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Lumos Eis "What I mean is: treating women different only based on their gender."I know but you do not have any evidence or reason to think that is what they are being discriminated for."however is very unlikely because the journals considered their work eligible."Again; what about positive discrimination?"I do thinks it's wrong if you just take the work of a women, because she is a women "I agree, it's still happening though."But such journals have a reputation"Which is exactly why they'd involve themselves in practices like positive discrimination. They might be afraid their reputation will be ruined because "they didn't publish enough women". Of course the scientists CITING the work do not care about the gender of the author, only whether or not they can use the information."We can agree on the fact that there is chance that women get discriminated. "Well there's a chance anything is true. I only concern myself with what can be proven, not with what "might" be true."But that's the same for you with my theory too I guess."Well my point of my theory was to show that there are other theories and that it is impossible to make a correct judgement with just this data. I don't believe either explanation."So let's agree that we can't come to a final conclusion with the data we have for now.Show less"Sure that seems fair. Goodbye. It has been nice talking to you.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	2/249	0.803
<p>Kenny Naicuslik I would say, it has a lot to do with the sexual identity, like what you think you are, what you think you are supposed to be, than with what you are born as. Not all gays are born gay. Some develop that way, or choose that way. I think its about the role we put ourselves in, and that has to do with the sozialisation im our society. Sure, there are biological features found in the genders, but i think humans are way to complex in the layout of their psyche to be limited to that.Btw, i too find this kind of mindset to needlessly endanger our freedom of expression.</p>	Gay, Sexual	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/113	2.655
<p>+Kenny Naicuslik I would say, people are not the same, and gender can and likely will be a part of that. You make it sound like there would be things you could attribute to all women or all men, and i dont think thats true.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/45	2.222
<p>There is no attribute or character trait that is true for all members of any group, however because of biological reasons it can be more common for certain traits to appear in certain genders. Do you understand what I mean?Not all women like men, for instance. But that doesn't change the fact that women tend to be attracted to men more frequently than men are attracted to men. This is because of biological reasons, not social ones. Can we agree on that before I try to tackle more complex examples?</p>	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/91	1.099

Yeah, its way better to read then the usual "all men are EVIL" - "no, all women are EVIL" Youtube-comments about sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/22	4.545
Kenny Naicuslik ,um no ,that's in America my friend .Here for instance in Algeria , more girls enter college ,and they choose science .And mind you this is a Muslim country yet girls are 70 percent of the ones in intellectual fields, uni or in jobs that require thinking . gender doesn't determine intelligence nor preferences of profesionas ,just like it has nothing to do with preference of food or aspirations .	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/71	2.817
Kenny Naicuslik ,um no ,that's in America my friend .Here for instance in Algeria , more girls or women enter college ,and they choose science .And mind you this is a Muslim country yet girls are 70 percent of the ones in intellectual fields, uni or in jobs that require thinking . gender doesn't determine intelligence nor preferences of professions ,just like it has nothing to do with preference of food or aspirations .	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/73	2.74
His point wasn't that he cannot wear the shirt. But he could've thought twice, after all it's just a lame shirt with lots of tits.	Lame	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/25	4.0
He could have thought twice, but i dont see anything wrong with the shirt. It might be lame to you, but its not to me, and wasnt to the guy wearing it. Thats subjective at this point i guess. Even if he would have thought twice, it doesnt necessary mean he would see something wrong with it, since, like you said, its "just a lame shirt with lots of tits". And people harassed and bullied him for it. Thats shitty behavior that we should be concerned about, not wearing a shirt with skimpily dressed women in comic-book action poses.	Lame	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/99	2.02
David SmithShut up and go make me a sandwich . Cause you're too stupid to be in this conversation.	Make me a sandwich	Gender - Female intelligence	1/19	5.263

Michelle I'm sorry about David Smith's behaviour, he's clearly an absolute piece of shit who should fuck off immediately. Statements like the one I made act like a bug light for neckbeards with woman problems.I disagree that the point of the video was about private versus work attire, because that doesn't seem to come up in the video. The video is about the moral implications of choosing to wear clothing that some people don't like, and trying to place a burden on average people's sartorial decisions because too few women are in the sciences.I stand by my original implication that a double standard is being applied, although I (unlike piece of shit David Smith) consider us to have absolutely no ill will whatsoever.	Woman	Gender - General	1/125	0.8
Joshua, thank you and I get what you're saying. I think in the end we need to think about what we see and why we see it that way. Life is too short to get mad about a shirt. Of course we're adults and we don't know what that does to a kid. Of course the same can be said that we need to make our girls stronger and make them understand that they will have to see that stuff and to look past it. I guess I am on two sides of the conversation. I don't see what's wrong with a shirt, at the same time I am not a 9 year old girl looking up to a man that's wearing the shirt. We need to explain better to our kids the difference between sexual cartoons and pictures and becoming something better for ourselves. Be the change you want to see. Encourage the girls to join science and look past the shirt to see the science. In the end, it's about just teaching more girls and inviting them to stay.	Old, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/181	1.105
Don't get it... Is that a positive or a negative comment? Is it based on me responding to a slightly old post or is it based on you not understanding sarcasm?	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/31	3.226
But it's made for him by a woman . It's only offensive because a man wore it instead of a woman .	Woman	Gender - General	2/20	10.0
What pleasure do people get out of having piercings, blue hair or black clothing? Fuck knows, but they apparently do, and it's not my business.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/25	4.0

Albert that's a valid point, although I would argue that very often people are quick to lump a large portion of a given population under the most extreme example. Case in point: someone once told me that they didn't want to live in California because it was "infested" by " red haired feminazi whales" (paraphrasing). I can't think of a single person I've met who matches that description. Yes, some feminists are outrageous in their claims, but I think the number who think that way is in fact far smaller than many people believe. That community certainly doesn't warrant the backlash it receives when one considers how many flat earthers or anti vaccers there are.	Feminazi, Lump, Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - Female intelligence, Physical Identity - Physical Features	4/114	3.509
GoErikTheRed which movement are we talking about now? I don't know about it, but attacking the government forces because of ideology seems more moderate to me than attacking the common joe because of his gender or shirt. Or maybe both are extremists, but at least the first one seems more excusable. Personally, I've participated in movements where occupying gov property or bank officed was a thing, and side extremists would burn the occasional container. But I wouldn't join a movement where someone that says "we should reduce men to a 10 and keep those in concentration camps" is an influential voice. I haven't even heard these things about politicians.	Common, Gender	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/109	1.835
Albert Influential voice? No. The whole guys in concentration camps is pure bullshit only honestly promoted by people with actual mental disorders. That kind of rhetoric has no impact on the VAST majority of people in the feminist movement. And really? You consider attacking the government banks to be moderate?!? So if a muslim man seizes a government building and gets into an armed standoff with authorities to protest the way his family and friends have been killed without justification by US forces, that's excusable? That's moderate? You don't think that shouldn't be punished? Perhaps a better example of extremists in other movements would be the people who have bombed planned parenthood clinics. Yeah feminist extremists can talk all they want, but these people actually ACT on their impulses and kill people as a result.	Mental	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/136	0.735

Albert Balbastre-Morte No, they disagreed with him and he cried. Because he is a snowflake who thinks that every one should not talk back to him. Because he doesn't believe in being exposed to different ideas- – he just wants you to listen to his without any interruption.	Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/48	2.083
Shariq Torres so he cries everytime a woman speaks? that's a weird sexist behaviour.	Weird, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/14	14.286
Yea that shirt is more just showing how awesome he thinks sci-fi and sexy girls are, not any form of misogyny . Is it a bit sexist? Maybe, considering that it's a bit objectifying but even then they're shooting guns for fuck sake it's not like they're depicted as totally helpless toys.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/51	1.961
Most women you know will do worse in a math test, just because they need to enter their name and sex in the first line. Stereotyping works a lot on subconscious levels. ;-)	Sex	Gender - General	1/33	3.03
i don't understand this point—it's like you didn't even watch the video. i know it's hyperbole, but nobody is "fainting", this is a MINOR issue, nobody's treating it like the end of the world (even in the video he said it was just a "stupid fashion choice") but that doesn't mean it isn't worth talking about. the idea is not to just be considerate toward women, but also to assert the mindset in men, as well, it has nothing to do with thinking women are weak creatures.P.S. when i wrote this comment i didnt know how horribly the man was persecuted, and, that's one thing i'm not okay with. like i said it's a somewhat minor issue (not that it doesn't matter at all) and to totally witch hunt him was completely uncalled for. the internet gets a bit too excited in jumping in to hate on people. just a simple criticism of the shirt was pretty much all that was warranted, i think. we need to calm down	Nobody, Nothing, Witch	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female age and physical appearance	3/170	1.765

because sexism is a CONTINUUM, like most discriminations. It goes from rape and murder of women because of their gender , to those little inconveniences that seem trivial to you, like the literal objectification of the woman body for sexual/arousing purposes. All of those are cogs in the sexism machine, it's a system (that's why it's called a systemic oppression). The cogs are not the same size, and some (like here) are not illegal or dangerous, but they are still a part of the whole. To understand and deconstruct a machine you have to take ALL the parts into account, to see how the parts are related to each other. That's what academic feminism (gender studies) is doing, looking at all the parts. You can't just solve the big pieces, like the right to vote and contraception, and say you solved the system. There still a looooooot to unravel.	Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	5/148	3.378
ouicertes sexual objectification is a huge thing done by every non-asexual, aromantic person and it goes beyond thinking about other person's worth just by the body it has. If it was, it would be awful, but in truth it is just a part of another function our brain has- systemization, it's unavoidable. You can try all you want but people will still find something more sexually attracting than others, it doesn't matter if it's a body, size of the wallet, brains or any other thing. True harm comes when person can't see anything boyond it and diminish a whole existence of a person to a sexual object and nothing else, but even so it has exception as most people want to be desired and willingly become sexual object for another person and in returnedo the same to them just because they believe they'll never meet again(it's called one night stand)...We must educate nuances and self worth to the children. Most girls wants to be liked and it's ok, but if it's in a way of the goals they need to learn liking themselves despite popular opinion and find people who like them despite or because they exert 'bossyness' and leadership instead of becoming timid, just another face in the crowd/group...P.S. that shirt is not at fault, people who can't see it as his style/ sexual preference and not as an attack is. He had at least one female co-worker who was nothing like girls on shirt, I didn't find her claiming that he diminishes her work for her gender or body type...	Gender, Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/263	2.281
The shirt would bother me if one of my coworkers wore it because as a woman in STEM I'm generally the only or one of two women in a room of 12 people. If one of those men was wearing a shirt with women in bras, it would remind me of what society tells me my value is, even if it wasn't his intention to affirm those feelings.	Woman	Gender - General	1/68	1.471

How could you possibly think that portraying women sexually is a bad thing? As you pointed out in your video, there are women who embrace this part of them (Kim Kardashian). There is nothing objectively wrong with that. She can be who she wants to be. It's also not wrong to wear shirts like that. It isn't immoral, it isn't sexist, it isn't misogynistic, it is perfectly accurate assessment of the human race . You talk of morals, but instructing women on how they ought to live their lives is inconsistent. Viewing women sexually and viewing them as humans does not have to be mutually exclusive. You seem convinced of the opposite.	Nothing, Race	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General	2/111	1.802
StateoftheNihil , I'm proud to be feminin, and to have the sexuality I have, but I think that everywhere (on TV, movies, publicity, even cartoons!) woman are mostly sexual objects... I'm glad to where makeup, high hills, neckline, to be as feminin as I want to be, but I know a lot of girls rejected to not be as feminin as they "should be"... Here, everything is a matter of stereotype, and oppresing everyone who isn't like this... Also, when I walk in the streets, I'm not feminized, I'm sexualized, and I'm pretty sure everyday stereotype is one of the reasons... Hope you see what I mean, sorry for my faults, I don't speek english so well	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/118	1.695
Messua Gonzalez If a woman is being sexualized when she doesn't want it, I would understand the anger. This video seems to be implying that sexualization, in and of itself, is bad. I don't think this is the case.No need to apologize for your English. You write better than most native English speakers.	Woman	Gender - General	1/54	1.852
StateoftheNihil well, I think that always show sexualize woman is kind of oppresing, but of course a neaked woman shouldn't been prohibited! I mean, I think it s more the way of seeing theme that influes us... Have no idea if this is clear thanks to answer	Woman	Gender - General	2/48	4.167
That's the whole debate. Some men and women have decided it's enough with the sexual objectification and embracing of the sexually attractive femininity because it is a construct of male dominance and toxic cultural growth; that it's time for women to become less of an underdog to men, and I think it's partially true except that I don't think it's that cut and dry. Men have certain weaknesses women are without too but systematically like wage, jobs etc. there's improvement to be made for women. It's just been blown out of proportion by social media, big time on the other hand and I'm consistently flabbergasted at how defensive people are about it and how quick people are to assume that it's right and that the demonization of men is just.	Sexual, Underdog	Gender - General, Social Class - Destitute	2/130	1.538

StateoftheNihil ,maybe cause there is more to a person than their sexual side ? and that we don't want to be viewed that way if we are being professional, strangers,friendly ,members of the family? I don't know ...did YOU know sex is for private settings and all other sides of a human are for the other socially open settings ?	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	2/61	3.279
saman> In my experience it's just the media that portrays us that way, and yes while very hurtful smart men who aren't either impressionable teens or sexist old guys don't view women any differently.Absolutely. I am such a BITCH to anyone who gives me any sexual attention- because it is all unwanted, and I am engaged and this is the only person who can talk to me like that. I am a competent professional, and I let men know that I am dominant and I am not a joke and I will fuck them up if they don't respect me.	Old, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/101	1.98
I think it depends on the woman . Some like showing their sexuality (like Kardashian) and Some don't. It just depends on the woman . But I must admit, there is too much of sexualized women in movies and tv. It's not bad, but I think we should just tone it down a little	Woman	Gender - General	2/52	3.846
Most of public sexualization seems to happen either as a way to market a product in advertising, or in porn where women who generally have no interest in being there beyond the purely monetary have fake orgasms on tape to sell that tape. It's both so cynical and it's all about "what sells" not about what the women who are contributing *want*. So it's all about what men want to see in a woman (and/or what women want to see) and not about a realistic portrayal or a personal portrait. Women's sexuality has become so commercialized	Woman	Gender - General	1/96	1.042
Namaka Lis and men who treat woman like a object are usually (or sometimes) abusive	Woman	Gender - General	1/15	6.667

Code- How is defending myself when men direct their unwanted sexual attention/advances/slurs at me 'taking it out on you'??? I am NOT obligated to 'be nice' to random idiots who think it is appropriate to treat a random woman in a sexual way. Don't harass me and there is no problem. Bother me with your boring come ons, pick up lines, anything, and yes I will be a bitch and yes that is my right. FUCK YOU for telling me I can't stand up for myself. You are NOT entitled to talk to women however you want with no repercussions. If you respond kindly to a man coming on to you, he will think you are a push over or interested. Well I'm NOT FUCKING INTERESTED and I make it known. You are not a woman . You don't know what we deal with. I went to the beach with my best friend yesterday and had 3 men YELL sexual obscenities at me, and 2 men in groups call us 'beautiful' and attempt to get our attention, and one man blocked my path because he wanted to talk to me. Does any of that make a person feel safe or respected??? Take your libido somewhere else. I'm engaged, my partner has 2 kids, I'm getting through school to raise a family and help people in a medical settting. I am not obliged to deal with the libido of random men who cannot control themselves. Bother me and I will bother you right back, dick. Fuck yourself. Women get unwanted attention all the time, and it's an unenjoyable fact of our reality. You have no right to bother people in public, I certainly don't. When I was dating I approached people and I knew they had the right to reject me and defend themselves. This is common sense. I match respect with respect. I am perfectly respectful to people in normal settings, but people who feel ENTITLED to my time, attention, sexuality, ANYTHING, when they don't know me, are animals, and if I put them in their place good for me. Maybe if/when you have a daughter you will feel differently. Again, fuck yourself. Deal with your insecurity elsewhere and understand that women owe you NOTHING .	Common, Nothing, Sexual, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	7/374	1.872
Namaka Lis Your probably a Feminazi but your right. Im not a woman . Still doesn't give you shit to take it out on me or anyone on this thread. We did nothing to you. And if you see men that way, then I feel sorry for your. You should probably talk to your husband about this Edit: or Partner	Feminazi, Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General	3/59	5.085
Namaka Lis And I'm not that sexually in to woman to have a daughter. Just because of this entire paragraph and among other things	Woman	Gender - General	1/24	4.167

Have you checked what that 60 of graduates are graduating from? Gender Studies will not qualify you for STEM jobs. Science does.	Gender	Gender - General	1/22	4.545
In the same form, I believe that everybody that is against the shirt needs to ask themselves why they think that a shirt is what is holding back women. Do you seriously think that this man wearing this shirt is going to stop women from joining science? What a low opinion of women that belief must require. Has it ever occurred to you that the lower number of women in STEM fields might be, at least in part, a product of many individual women choosing to pursue fields that are not STEM related? We should really take a step back here, because I worry that the thinking behind your outrage is more collectivist than what would be accurate to reality. And, by the way, there's no need to think that women are inherently worse at or less interested in science than men to hold the belief that it isn't completely institutional sexism that causes these discrepancies. I also think that it is backwards to think that a woman expressing her sexuality oppresses women. There's something deeply conservative about this thinking, and a stigma against sexuality does nothing to help women. I understand and appreciate your concern with the inaction of well-meaning people causing oppression, but let's not assume that all things are immoral because you are concerned about not noticing sexism .	Nothing, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/221	1.81
I do find it ironic that the people who very often ignore, minimize, and shame the choices women make tend to be feminists – they have taken over many of the prudish qualities of the religious Right, in that regard. Many of them cannot seem to see past the "system" level (a consequence of adapting Marxism to cultures and identities), and largely ignore the autonomy of individual women. In this way, anything can be blamed on the "system," if the ideologue doesn't see the desired outcome, rather than acknowledging more intricate truths. The choices that women make, if they don't fall in line with the ideology's goals, are the "wrong" choices, even as the ideology supposedly advocates for women's free and coercion-free choice. For example, why do many people simultaneously encourage so many women to take gender studies degrees while complaining that not enough women are joining STEM? The ideology often seems more interested in perpetuating itself than actually advancing the placement of women. If women were found to be equal tomorrow, professional big-F Feminists would be out of a job, and thousands of people with Gender Studies degrees would be straddled with debt for a purposeless degree. Therefore, they have an incentive to find more and more ways in which women are being held back, real or imagined.	Gender	Gender - General	2/219	0.913

women are less gifted in science than man. that is a fact, rest is liberal bullshit. it doesnt mean that a woman cant be world class scientist but it means there will always be more man than woman in real science (social studies and similar crap dont count). it is a stupid thought that we must make science more apealing to women (idk how would that work anyway), truth is we have to leave things as they are and let them know they are wellcome as equal because some of them are. p.s. if you are a man and you didnt notice you are more gifted in math and science than most woman you are not very smart in that field.	Woman	Gender - General	3/121	2.479
yes, males were more educated then females until recently. now in modern countrys woman have higher education then man on averge and still 90 of invetions are still made by man. my point is that is in woman nature to give birth and raise children while it is natural for man to gather resources to support his family. today we have degenerated into liberal society where woman are being exploited like never before, they are expected to work full time while state raise their children. dont you wonder why modern generations are getting dumber, more sensitive and weaker in general? and even if we get women to be top scientists we will never get man to be top mothers, we are runing away from natural order and it will cost us sooner than we think.	Woman	Gender - General	3/135	2.222
things like this discourage women to enter a field dominated by men, women through history have always been portrayed as sexual objects & even with the late progression in the issue of equality there still aren't enough women in the science field or in the business field or in politics to tackle that traditional image of a woman being primary a sex object . not the same case for men because they have always been dominant in every field while women never dominated anything. it's a man's world we live in not both sexes world , so a scientist wearing a shirt that shoes women as sexual objects is in fact intimidating them , his action although done unconsciously will assert the stereotype & discourage women from entering a field that has always been dominated by men . I think it's a simple thing to understand .	Sex, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	4/147	2.721

+Nooboleon Nooboleon 1. Women aren't innately nurturing and/or better parents. As someone whose seen moms leave their six-year olds to die in a hurricane and just have driven away—as someone whose seen extreme abuse from mothers, step-mothers, girlfriends, grandmothers.... I promise you. Yes, in the family, men are statistically worse and unfortunately, have higher rates of abusing their family members I STILL PROMISE YOU...women are NOT innately nurturing. There's moms who allow abuse and moms who perpetrate abuse, there's moms who have drug problems and let their kids starve, go homeless, sell their kids for more... moms who purposefully have crippled their children just to keep them close by. moms who've sexually abused their children. moms who've abused their children so badly physically and emotionally, the child live streams their suicide on the internet? moms who've drowned their children? moms who've purposefully had boyfriends drown or kill their children for them? These are all real cases. Uterus = Good Mom is very, very false.You have a very optimistic interpretation of humanity in your sexism though lol	Sexism	Gender - General	1/177	0.565
+Carl-Johan Mellin The shirt was made by a woman . A dear friend of Matt Taylor. He wanted to appreachiate her work by wearing her presend on his greatest day. Yet feminists had nothing better to do than to attack him, telling him to kill himself, sending dead threats... for a shirt he worn... for a gift... for showing passion to a dear friend...What does this tell you about the feminist culture? Think about it, who is the real enemy here?	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/81	2.469
well, if it were just people saying it's an inappropriate shirt, or unprofessional or whatever, they'd have a leg to stand on. unfortunately it goes way beyond that...did you read any of the articles people wrote about this? basically they were trying to make this guy the poster child for supposed misogyny in stem fields, throwing around baseless accusations of sexism , basically what amounts to libel. Hey I disagree with the shirt too, as a fashion choice. If I were gonna be on tv, i'd probably go for a nice jacket and a tie. But then I'm not the phd who just landed a spacecraft on a comet. as far as I'm concerned the guy who did can wear whatever the flip he wants. But going on and trying to make him into the face of sexism in science is just the kind of ridiculousness which turns people off to feminsim, sjws, pc culture, or whatever you want to call it.TLDR: It's not 'just disagreeing' with him. it goes beyond that, and way too far, and keeps going, and leaves everybody with an ounce of sense in the dust.	Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	3/190	1.579

+Shariq Torres haha that's a good one. Yup, disagreeing with someone = libel. That was my entire point. Just a guess, but you had trouble with reading comprehension in school didn't you? I'll make it clearer for you. Saying a shirt is inappropriate is disagreeing. Printing false accusations of sexism is libel. Misunderstanding of this difference is at the root of the ineffectiveness of sjws and feminists today.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/68	1.471
+Shariq Torres calm down chief, let's back it up a sec. What evidence do you have supporting your claim that he's a sexist? The shirt alone? I'm not buying it. The shirt was designed by a woman , did you know that? Not that it matters. Speaking of baseless accusations, now you've decided I'm 'alt right'. What evidence do you have to support that claim? Nowhere in my comments did I say I supported the alt right. But I guess it's just easier for you to slap a label on something you don't like so you can dismiss it without thinking about it. Libel: a published false statement that is damaging to a person's reputation; a written defamation. Whether or not the published statement is libel hinges upon it being false. I don't see any evidence that the guy is a sexist, I just see a tacky shirt. I'll give him the benefit of the doubt. You don't see any evidence that he's sexist either, though. You just see a tacky shirt. But you'll go ahead and say he is. Why not. He's probably a supporter of the alt right, too. He's probably a communist , maybe even a witch . He's definitely worse than Hitler. Why don't you climb down off your bandwagon already.	Communist, Tacky, Witch, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	5/212	2.358
redrounin Because like calling someone an idiot, calling someone sexist is a matter of opinion. So no libel. So all of the bullshit you wrote is for nothing . So back to my original point – disagreeing with him is libel to you and you have the nerve to call someone a snowflake ? You calm the fuck down and read a book, dipshit. (Also not libel. Calling someone a dipshit is a matter of opinion but in your case and in the one we are talking about, they are objectively true.)	Nothing, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters	2/90	2.222
But this man who became the lightning rod of the discussion isn't responsible for culture. He's just a fucking guy, let him live his life. You want a discussion on STEM and gender issues then make articles called "nerdwriter talks about gender in STEM" and make no mention of the shirt. Don't act like "it's not about the shirt, but also we don't give a fuck if a guy catches lots of shit for every element of misogyny in our culture because of this shirt"	Gender, Misogyny	Gender - General	3/85	3.529

+Shariq Torres That's a really nice strawman you've got there. Since you seem so intent on keeping it, just go ahead. I don't remember calling anyone a 'snowflake', but I've gathered you like to just make shit up so you can go ahead and keep that too. Keep an eye on that blood pressure; holding on to that much anger isn't good for a person. If I were you I'd consider sitting out the next witch hunt.	Witch	Gender - Female age and physical appearance	1/77	1.299
redrounin Buddy, you are the one that is making shit up. You have made up that I'm sooooo angry that my blood pressure is going through the roof. You have made up that I called the guy in question, worse than Hitler. You have made up that I called him a member of the alt right. You have made up that I called him a witch and a communist . (A communist , really?) You have done everything to paint me as this out of control person, when in reality, I'm just laughing at your ignorance. Bringing up libel law was the best. I love it when the D students try to sound smart. Shit is jumbled up because they never paid attention to anything and it all comes out in a flow of stupid.	Communist, Witch	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - Female age and physical appearance	3/135	2.222
+Shariq Torres Since you obviously have a gold fish memory, I suggest re reading your own comments to keep track of the baseless accusations you made. I'm not going to keep track of them for you.You don't seem to be able to identify metaphor, or analyse an argument without straw manning it. You keep suggesting I'm the under educated one, as if that makes you right, but it's not landing because you come off as a complete moron. I suggest that you read up on McCarthyism and possibly the Salem witch trials and compare that to the philosophy of finger pointing that all the smart people such as yourself seem to go in for these days.	Witch	Gender - Female age and physical appearance	1/117	0.855
You know that free the nipple is a feminist thing, right? You know that his shirt was made by a female friend, right? [LINK] Patriarchy , yeah? well fuck you dude.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
Shirtgate was the final straw that opened my eyes to anti-male sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/12	8.333
langewel I'm pushing 40 myself and I've been seeing it all my life. Fathers denied access to their kids in court. Alimony payments and child support that the women waste on vice and vacations instead of the kids. The fathers are given zero recourse in those situations. I've seen friends deal with false rape accusations. I've had female friends make false rape accusations and when found out, nothing happened to them. I obviously avoided ever being in the same room with them again. While none of this has happened to me directly I have been surrounded by it since I was young and nothing has changed. It's only getting worse. Perhaps the one silver lining is that possibly, maybe, people are starting to see this stuff and talk about it. Maybe discussion will evoke some transformative activism. At least, I hope it does.	Nothing, Zero	Age - Youngsters	3/143	2.098

langewel it's a raw deal for both sexes for different reasons. Women are treated like children and the vast majority want to keep it that way while men are dismissed as a secondary concern on just about every social topic there is. Feminist was absolutely necessary in the sense that the results a small group of them a long time ago needed to happen. Whether that social change was already in the wind is debatable. We don't need feminism now, nor men's rights advocacy. We need egalitarianism and humanism and we need to go back to the colour blind state western culture was heading towards in the 90's. I don't know what derailed that movement but I lived through it. Those where my formative years and it was working. My social group was a rainbow of backgrounds, orientations and races. Nobody my age gave one single shit about any of this stuff, we just treated each other like people. It's hard to believe or imagine that was the case now but at least in the circled I ran in up in Ontario Canada it was the reality then.	Age, Blind, Nobody	Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/188	1.596
+ThePuppyTurtleFeminism nowadays has its baggage of prominent voices, classes taught in universities, and actual actions taken by self-proclaimed feminists. In short, feminism nowadays is largely understood by feminists and non-feminists alike as "egalitarianism + the premise of class warfare between men and women", if you allow me to oversimplify and paraphrase for the sake of brevity. Just don't take this literally, but as a general direction of what people (feminists and non-feminists) understand as feminism. Egalitarianism is far from the prominence of feminism, so the term is still quite free-floating, without any baggage attached to it. Of course, the real question you are asking is why people would use "egalitarian" or "MRA" to describe themselves, when there are (only a few) feminists who share their opinions? Well... first consider that 1) feminism has accrued too many black points lately, so is in dire need of criticism2) most feminists are collectivists, as I mentioned earlier. This means that for them the "in-group" is "the feminists and the women" (interchangeably), and the "out-group" is everybody else who doesn't share their opinion. So, in order to criticize these people, it's easier to ditch the label "feminist" outright than to suffer constant abuse that you are not a "real" feminist. Because the moment you start criticizing, you're no longer in the "in-group", so you are an enemy that must be defeated.Second consider that public opinion on what feminism is is also created by your own actions if you call yourself a feminist. So if you think there is a systemic problem in the movement, it may be wise to ditch the label, as to not confuse the general public. Further reading on this topic: [LINK]	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/284	0.352

"anit-male sexism "What a snowflake , lol	Sexism, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General	2/6	33.333
I don't think it's up to you to decide what is and what isn't abusing the right to express oneself. I think it's a sense of morality each person has and if one's is abused then the individual should be taught why it is wrong to everyone else. We shouldn't just shut the person out of the conversation because it would only make them feel left out, angry and misunderstood. If someone is mentally ill and the right to express themselves is manifested as a right to use violence, words that might deeply hurt people etc. then we can't do anything but... sue them/put them in a psychiatric hospital because the sense of morality in such individual is damaged in the brain. Not influenced by anything. So if you for example see someone sharing racist thoughts then okay it is an abuse of the right in some sort but i believe what is good is explaining to the person why it's bad. Or just going deeply inside the source. Asking questing where the idea came from etc. because that's what this person believes is right! Also to support your point -The law tells people what's a good/bad thing to say. Basically what might hurt other people and objectively is wrong (shared sense of morality I guess) is forbidden and the person might even go to jail for it. That is an abuse of such right but unless we teach people what's good in our sense of morality they won't understand. So in a way we have to first give them the right to then make them understand why they can't use it how they like.	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/275	0.364
I disliked and I'm a woman and a scientist, it's bullshit that women looking sexy somewhere on a picture on a shirt make us want to stay away from science. That didn't even make any sense at all. Sexy is not bad.	Woman	Gender - General	1/42	2.381
Nice sexism there, you just assume its men with fragile egos. Also you are a misogynist because you're just assuming that every women who watch this video, liked it. I love it when projecting beta cucks like you (and the moron who made this video) call out others (men) on their supposed sexism , and end up exposing your own.	Misogynist, Sexism	Gender - General	3/59	5.085

<p>You come across as a MASSIVE faggot in this video. Not the rarely used gay slur, but the general douchebag faggot sense. So high up on yourself for really taking this seriously and researching. With only an inclining of backbone you wouldn't default to the, "well there must be a valid reason if they're so upset". Instead you should, as an adult, be able to recognize idiots that would get offended over a shirt aren't to be taken seriously.Oh... I'm sorry, do you not FEEL okay now? Who in the FUCK cares. So many pussies crying and bitching about how their feelings were hurt. So many weak bitches, like yourself. You're a true beta-male through and through. Get off your pedestal you fag.</p>	Fag, Faggot, Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	5/124	4.032
<p>Just like it's okay for black people to reinforce and support negative stereotypes against black people, it's okay for women to do the same about women. (this is sarcasm)Our entire culture has been taught from a young age that it's okay for women to be sexually objectified, including tons of women themselves. Just because a woman says stuff like this is okay, doesn't mean it is, there are still women out there that don't believe a woman should be president for god's sake. Just because you are female does not mean you are well educated on these kinds of issues.</p>	Age, Black, Woman	Age - General, Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	5/101	4.95
<p>AvoidingtheQuestion There's nothing wrong with "sexually objectifying" people. In fact I get "sexually objectified" and "body shamed" as a man everytime some parfume or male fashion commercial plays on TV. Sex is literally our primary instinct, it is the entire reason our species still exists. This obviously makes it an useful tool in advertising, both for men and women. If you are offended by that then you simply need to grow thicker skin.Also, do women think A woman should not president? Or do they think CLINTON shouldn't be president? Because those are two very different things.</p> <p>Regardless, if you are right then those women are factually incorrect, but that is not relevant to the topic at hand. I don't think they think a woman shouldn't get into office because of some underwear commercial."Just because you are female does not mean you are well educated on these kinds of issues." And just because you are well educated on an issue, doesn't mean you are correct on this issue. Being educated implies someone educated you, someone who could be wrong. So stop being so pedantic and rationally discuss the issue, instead of pointlessly judging people for "not being educated".</p>	Nothing, Sex, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/199	2.01

+AvoidingtheQuestion I've read many statements with intent similar to "no uterus, no opinion" (not on this topic, but I'm getting there) when people are discussing periods or birth control/abortion. While there is, of course, some validity in saying there exist people who are more or less educated, informed, or impacted by an issue, there must exist a line after which this litmus test (political, not chemical) goes from encouraging the populous to become educated, into degrading or demoting the vote and speech of everyone who disagrees with you under claims that boil down to "everyone who disagrees with me must be too uneducated to have a meaningful opinion." I don't claim you have crossed that line in your comment, but when discussion strays near implying (through implicative patterns of speech) "having (some opinion) means you are (or are not) educated", many precautions must be taken to make sure we don't become enshrouded by our opinions like earplugs- they're so comfortable, and it's so much less comfortable to take them off, but the world continues around us whether we're listening or not.To explain "though implicative patterns of speech": Essentially, you have applied the Kuleshov effect to your writing. Though you draw no pedantically provable connection between "Just because a woman says stuff like this is okay, doesn't mean it is..." and "Just because you are female does not mean you are well educated on these kinds of issues", their sequential use in speaking (and other speech-informed media like comments or film) is often assumed to draw a connection between one sentence and the next, and I think you'll agree there is a somewhat reasonable certainty that this was your intent (though again, of course, not pedantically provable).	Woman	Gender - General	1/287	0.348
AvoidingtheQuestion Thank you for telling me that my opinion as a young woman doesn't matter. Thank you for shaming me into silence. Thank you for enforcing in the mind of a vulnerable young lady that she is worthless.	Woman	Gender - General	1/38	2.632
I wonder if this same line of reasoning can be applied to those, so called, sjw's with their attempts to redefine words like sexism and racism to the "prejudice + power" idea.that this is being done so they can continue being bigoted to groups of people they believe are wrong without losing their sense of one-upmanship or pleasure from engaging in acts that they otherwise see as objectively wrong.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/70	2.857

I in fact, am EXTREMELY threatened. But not because I take pleasure in sexism and I want to keep that in place.I get so angry, so threatened, so heated; because I feel that anyone getting offended by someone else's passive choices is being illogical. Sure, emotionally it might suck that your feelings are hurt but ultimately that's your fault; it's just a picture, it's just words, it's just a perception. I think the more that we glorify and accommodate people's hurt feelings, the more those feelings will be hurt. That is what threatens me: allowing more pain.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/98	1.02
I don't understand why people use underrepresentation of women as an argument for gender discrimination in STEM fields. Women are also seriously underrepresented in the waste management industry. I wonder what's dissuading these "social warriors" from fighting for equal representation in these fields.	Gender	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
nobody lurker, ok, let's say white women are the most privileged group in society, then I bet at some point of your life you found yourself wishing badly you were a woman so you could have it easier. No? How strange.I can guarantee you most women at some point wished they were men so they could A. be respected as a person B.feel safe in the street C. not have to shave their legs.... etc. Endless list of injustice that wouldn't occur to you.	Nobody, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/85	2.353
The inverse can also be true without invalidating the former. Men experience sexism . Men can sometimes with they were women (not talking about trans, I mean actually being girls for some things). We can feel these things and still feel sympathy for women too. It's not a competition to see who is the most victimized. Both genders suffer sexism and their own problems. Not necessarily the same amount. But please stop trying to pretend men don't have any problems either.	Sexism	Gender - General	2/80	2.5
Could it be that women are generally far less interested to go into the sciences due to nature? Trying to get more women interested in science is like trying to get gay guys interested in pussy .	Gay, pussy	Nationality - Chinese, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/36	8.333

Vardamas I suppose you could say that to gay guys then.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/11	18.182
Vardamas Gay guys try to get straight guys to be gay all the time.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	4/14	28.571
The shirt was given to him by his friend, who is a woman . It's just a shirt.	Woman	Gender - General	1/17	5.882
I find it funny how you brought up statistics that directly contradict your main point... I wish the sexism/feminism debate used statistics, sadly the only ones that seem to be floating around are either completely wrong, or misleading and used out of context (ex. an earnings gap is not the same thing as a wage gap). I also love the claim about how male geeks/nerds are now magically at the top of some social/cultural hierarchy. There is a no group more picked on, ridiculed, or mocked than geeks. There are entire shows that air weekly around making fun of geeks/nerds. Long before Big Bang Theory there was Urkel to mock and denigrate vicariously. Even the words geek and nerd were intended to be insults, until they were reappropriated... Now I'm sure some people will make some comments about how female geeks have it harder than male geeks (or black geeks harder than white geeks), either way they are all scraping the bottom of the social barrel. To claim that they are at the top of some social hierarchy is completely ludicrous, and flies in the face of all evidence.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/189	0.529

I have to say you completely lost me when you said "we're not crucifying Matt Taylor" Yes, YOU are not crucifying him, but a LOT of people were. I honestly think this statement of yours is not only very ignorant, but also insensitive to Matt Taylor, who later apologised through tears for wearing the shirt, something no one should have to go through regardless of their job or gender . As well, the concept of subconscious misogyny that permeates culture has always seemed foolish to me-not only because it seems to fly in the face of the huge strides towards equality of genders that have occurred in the past decades, but also because it is completely unprovable scientifically. I've seen some experiments that attempt to test or measure latent biases, but in general these have only served to demonstrate that we as a species are programmed to react differently to people who are different, not because of our culture, but because of our biology, and I've only seen this demonstrated in extremely young people.	Gender, Misogyny	Gender - General	2/173	1.156
you can tell youtube is full of entitled men because any video about sexism , even thoughtful ones such as this, is inundated with dismissive, derogatory, hate-filled, ignorant garbage. any video re: gender issues is torn to pieces on youtube, because youtube contains the loudest, most active sexist voices. take some feminist philosophy or gender studies and educate yourselves. you make the world boring as fuck.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	3/65	4.615
It's not thoughtful, there's the problem. If you think defending a guy's right to wear a shirt is being sexist then you're a moron. And studying gender studies doesn't teach you more about the real world than going to church. All the social "sciences" are extremely biased towards a certain viewpoint.	Gender	Gender - General	1/51	1.961
You suggest that the idea that women intrinsically prefer certain field is an assumption, what about the assumption that depiction of sexual content is denigration? That's just a return to sex-negativity, something that has been prevalent in the past and is now again spreading outside of the US because of the influence of those sex-negative feminists over the internet. You're asking us what we feel is being threatened, I think the answer is pretty obvious.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/75	1.333
You know what I think? I think that maybe we should start thinking about misogynistic third world countries where all women are used for is sex . This shirt didn't out any women. If you are a woman and you are dissuaded from your dreams because you saw one guy wear a shirt that insulted you, those aren't dreams.	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/58	3.448
Denigration of women and femininity? In the west? What planet are u on. when women are demonised constantly as rapists abusers and monsters to the point a blatantly misogynistic ideology can be given absolute authority on gender dynamics then u may have a point but until then no	Gender	Gender - General	1/48	2.083

if anyone can not take a shirt and gets so put down by it that they would give up their dream-hope-anythingElse for a shirt. then i think they were not true enough about it. and they were not tough enough to achieve their dream.Peter Higgs and his cooperators 1st talked about the higgs in 1960's the partical.they won Nobel in 2013 that is around 50 years of people telling you "you maybe a genius or as crazy as crazy come"i do not think that someone offended-put down-driven away by a shirt have this dedication to make it. a cry baby never achive anything that is a fact. a doer is doer.Marie Curie did not cryout cause women were mistreated. nope she won a nobel prize for her work.i am sure she did not cry about a shirt man.one of the reasons i subbed to this cause you sounded logical in general. i guess i was wrong there is always always a "shirt that would drive someone over the edge"the only thing you are trying to do in this vdu is kill the only thing that got us that far. which is humans unbelievable drive forward, the well that makes us over come hardship and ecxel. you want to be successful with out having to work hard. you want a lame being that gets offended by a shirt to be a great stem research, well life does not work that way.	Crazy, Lame	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/247	1.215
lol this was pretty gay	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/5	40.0
There are studies of very small children that could not have any influence of gender roles in their life so far. In this study, no one really knows why, boys were more likely to play with cars, and girls preferred the dolls. They did the same test with baby apes, same outcome. So it looks like there is something in our genes that would totally explain why men are more likely to study STEM, while women prefer social and creative stuff.	Gender	Gender - General	1/81	1.235
why do feminists insist that women should be treated like children.its almost offensive to think that the people that say they are trying to empower women or the sorts would treat women as if they were so weak minded that something as basic as a shirt (designed by a woman mind you) would be so soul crushing to that it would prevent them from following their dreams.Women are not children so treat them like adults ,and don't under mind the advancements of humanity while you're at it	Woman	Gender - General	1/89	1.124
Do feminist want more women in STEAM fields? Good, then they better start moving their asses and choose a STEAM career instead of woman studies in college. Gee, what the fuck do they want us to do! It's only up to women!	Woman	Gender - General	1/42	2.381

Do you really think that a stupid shirt like that represents the real problem of the lack of women in STEM careers? If a shirt like that can make anyone to step aside from a STEAM career its only because that person has deep insecurities and instead of fixing them, he or she wants the world to accommodate to his/her needs. The only reason of why STEAM fields are predominantly composed by male is because of women choice and there are not really any force that tries to decide for them. What we have here is a group of people with their own insecurities that want the rest of the world to please their needs. You ask if we are afraid to lose power... Who the hell would really feel threatened because woman decide STEAM over woman studies?Thumbs down to this video. The only reason for this scandal to exist is because the insecurities of a noisy group I previously mentioned.	Woman	Gender - General	2/162	1.235
In this case it's not just a shirt, but all of the contributing features of society, sexism , and STEM that results in a culmination of ideas that sparks such inspection.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
VintageLJ Did he cry about that? Now that would make him a scientific pussy .	pussy	Nationality - Chinese	1/14	7.143

<p>Schwinn D. Sounds like an ad hominem argument against the shirt wearer, doesn't it?</p> <p>Since you seem to be more concerned about who's wearing the shirt, than what's actually in the illustration. Better question would be, that was the illustrator male, female or trans? And that shouldn't even matter, since artists intent cannot be grasped by some prejudice about his/her race or gender etc., and furthermore, artists intent is many times irrelevant in art, and meant to be concealed, so that the piece would truly be open for audiences interpretation. Of course one can interpret the illustration's intent as objectification, but here people seem to lack the humility of seeing it as just their 5 cents. There are not many hard fast truths in interpretation, so it's alarming to me, that people are so quick and righteous in their anger. Having said that, I'm open to hearing your points that make you think or feel like the piece is objectifying. What exactly was naive in your opinion, since I had several thoughts? We might be talking about an actual character of some pop culture fiction, who has a persona and back story, and I find it concerning that people are so quick to anger about one superficial aspect, that "pops" to them from the illustration. In that case the interpretation debate is in vain, and we should just educate ourselves about the whole story, before making conclusions from "a couple of still frames" that we saw. Nevertheless I stand by my view that by ignoring the context of helicopters, guns and explosions, people are oversimplifying art to be about something they project into it. In this case objectification. And to treat that as absolute truth is worrying. As an artist, I find a gun such a strong symbol of self-determination, that for me it's very far fetched to interpret the picture derogatory towards the woman portrayed, or women in general. Art shouldn't be censored anyhow, where would that lead? Sparking conversation is positive, if the conversation is constructive and productive - and if that has been the case, the piece might very well have served its purpose [optimistic speculation].</p>	Gender, Race, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/359	0.836
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>I think I understand what you're saying. I would agree with what you're saying about the image itself as a perfectly valid interpretation. I can easily see that being the artistic intention. But it's not fair to discount the way I looked at it either, even if it was a snap judgment. It matters less that these kinds of conclusions are concerning, though they are, than the fact that these reactions exist. For that alone they cannot be ignored. Whatever people are projecting into the shirt is just another indication that something is going wrong—not that it's the shirt's fault. Really, with the majority of people looking at this image or others like it, most likely in passing, what are they going to think? That's a strong symbol of self-determination or that's another unrealistic depiction of beauty on some guy's shirt?And who is wearing the shirt is entirely relevant. Ignoring the fact that it is a man would be to ignore the patriarchal system we live in. I'll acknowledge that I have no idea about anyone's intentions with the creation or wearing of the shirt, but note that intention is meaningless in passing encounters. People will see what they see.This conversation is evidence that this stuff is just tricky. I'd like to say that intention is an important thing—and in the right context it is. We can always look deeper. I'll concede to just about everything you said as a potentially precise interpretation, but the truth of the matter is that these things are open to interpretation and people just won't tend to look deeper. Also, I find this discussion (about the shirt in general, not exclusive to our part of it) not entirely warranted just because this shirt is just inconsequential compared to the much bigger fish to fry. That's in part because, you're right, we shouldn't be censoring art no matter what, and there's nothing we can do but critique it. Also in part because the thousand other reasons we still have a patriarchy. BUT. You've had some interesting things to say, so it was worth my time.</p>	Nothing, Patriarchy	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/352	0.568
<p>Phelipe, at least it made a certain woman really famous and successful.I mean, of course, the designer of the shirt.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/21	4.762
<p>If that were true then the shirt wouldn't be part of the story. Using his shirt as an emblem of STEM issues is reductive and dumb. Let people wear shirts. Honestly I think the best response would be a woman in the field wearing a homer simpson shirt where homer or whatever is dumb. Everybody should be free to wear shirts for fuck's sake.</p>	Dumb, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/64	4.688
<p>Phelipe Oliveira There's also the fact that a woman made him that shirt.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/13	7.692

Honestly, as a woman hoping to go into a male dominated field (filmmaking), I am sicked. Not by this man and his choice of shirt, he can wear whatever he pleases, but by the people are attacking him. Their view of women is far more sexist and limiting than that shirt will ever be. According to them, I am far too dainty to handle a fucking tee shirt, and I need my white knight to protect be from it.	Woman	Gender - General	1/79	1.266
The little red haired girl from across the street Good luck in the Film business. Its a great Art that can always use more creators.	Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American	2/25	8.0
Schwinn D. Literally every single feminist who did spend so much time over a dumb shirt could've been actively studying stem.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/21	4.762
The secret to sexism is that reducing a complex argument down to one of binary proportions is much more pleasurable than accepting its nuance and dealing with it accordingly.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/29	3.448

<p>i think there's a bit of an intellectual jump that's implied here. That the images of sexualization are a cause of women not joining stem fields. I will grant thee two premises.1. women are disproportionately sexualized in the media2. Most STEM fields are dominated by menhowever I don't see any proof that these two things are related. Can anyone prove to me that sexualization is a cause of women not wanting to join STEM fields.I've also have a moral question about sexualization. Is sexualization automatically Misogynistic?I think it's wrong that women are disproportionately sexualized. But does that mean that any expression of male heterosexuality is inherently mysogynistic. I like media that contains images of sexualized women because I am heterosexual. Does that make me Sexist? Is it sexist of me to have those images viewable in public space? Is it only okay for me to like pictures of sexualized women and just hide that I like these images?I understand that these images can make women feel insecure. As a man I will never go through this to the same degree that women do. I do however feel insecure about my body image. I consider myself to be to fat to be liked by women. But I never would turn around and expect anyone to remove images that reinforce my insecurities. I think it's important for me to socialize myself in a way, were I can deal with my own insecurities and not get in the way of things that other people like. I am a big proponent of personal responsibility in this respect. My final question is should people be hide images or other media content with images of sexualization or should people be socialized to know that it's ridiculous to compare yourself to images in the media since there mostly all fake?</p>	Fat	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/309	0.324
<p>Dude, forget sexism. Not cool to promote the abuse of animals. That tater tot video was just cruel.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/18	5.556

Constantly attacking STEM, video games, anything that is intellectual or is filled with marginalised and alienated males is easy for feminists. They are just bullies who hate men, picking on nerds or people who are "antisocial" has always been the norm for bullies.The fact that mainstream media tries to pain them as righteous is the anomaly, not that bullies are attacking intellectuals.The idea that he wore the shirt to assert his dominance over women is just stupid, stop pushing these absurd agendas. You yourself admitted that women contribute quantifiably less to science than men, on average they have lower IQ, and on average those in STEM have higher IQs. What you see as an oppressive system is really very simple statistics. The higher IQ you have the more you contribute, there are proportionally fewer females with high IQ than males, especially if you disregard soft sciences, you can plainly see that it's just statistics.Look at basketball, there are more blacks than whites. Wtf is with that shit? Obviously racism right? Well, maybe you should consider that black people are on average taller than whites, so in a sport where being tall is an advantage, there are more tall people, and statistically, more blacks.FYI if something holds across all cultures, all age groups, all demographics, then maybe it really is gender insight. Compare Europe to Africa, the more "equal" a country is, the more freedom that people have to choose, the bigger the STEM "gap" is. In Africa people go where the money is, they don't have the luxury to make the choices they want. In Sweden for example, people can choose, and so they make their choice. Maybe it's time to just accept that "male dominance" in STEM is because men are not identical to women.No one complains about female dominance in nursing, psychology, housewifing, teaching, etc.	Age, Black, Gender, Racism	Age - General, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/312	1.282
"maybe...Cultural norms so invisible they seem like insights of gender ."Well, isn't that convenient. You don't even have to prove that. We just have to take your opinion on it.What came first, you intellectual? Culture or biology? It doesn't take much to logically conclude that culture is based on biology.Further, do you think that all men of all ages and civilizations across the entire earth just hate women?What is your elaborate explanation for this "oppressive" way women were ALWAYS treated by men that are undeniably biologically engineered to love women?Have you ever even asked this question?Also, do you know that women were happier 35 years ago than now, that they are taking antidepressants like it's a fashion statement and that they are converting to Islam disproportionately to men?Why would they possibly convert to such an oppressive religion? Why would they possibly read "50 shades of grey"?Don't tell me that that's "invisible cultural norms" OUT OF DAMN THIN AIR.	Gender, Thin	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	2/166	1.205

I have to downvote this because feminist discourse is tainting your otherwise coherent thoughts on the subject.First feminism as it is right now poisons everything and spreads a lot of disinformation. I don't know what happened exactly in this case but the recipients of the feminist wrath are bullied, harassed, deplatformed, disinvited and they sometimes loose their jobs. Should these men and sometimes women really be subjected to such punishment for dissenting opinions or how they express themselves? You suggests that the STEM fields being more attractive to males is just something we tell ourselves which is just not true. Men and women have different aptitudes and ambitions and therefore populate different fields plus women who are very talented in science and technology are usually also talented in communication and might find more desirable to go into a different field. Lastly I just don't see how sexualized females in media is offensive and denigrating to women or might cause sexism , same as with violence there is no causation link that has ever been proven and I doubt it ever will. I think there is something to be said about unhealthy beauty standards but I believe both men and women can be strong enough to reject them.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/207	0.483
I don't really get what's hindering women from entering stem classes? What do you want people to do? Tell every woman I know she should've studied engineering? How about fields that are dominated by women? Is that sexist also?	Woman	Gender - General	1/39	2.564
3K crazy feminists..	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/3	33.333

Special treatment is a form of oppression. This is what people don't get. That doesn't mean we should just stop talking about women, LGBT or disabled people's rights (and other topics of the kind). But the way we adress those topics should change from godawful patronizing tone our "tolerant" society tend to exhibit, to a proper understanding of what a human really is and why it doesn't really matter if you're a woman or gay , or if you have darker skin and blacker hair than the rest of the boys. We need a different view of humanity - new, updated definition of a human being.	Disabled, Gay, LGBT, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality, Sexual Identity - Transexuality	7/105	6.667
Listen, if you're determined nothing should dissuade you. People have agency. Pursue what you want to do.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/17	5.882
I know this is 2 yrs old but everyone who got offended by a fucking shirt is an oversensitive little bitch. Stop breathing our air.	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/25	4.0
If you apply your latest video's motif to this issue it can easily be dissolved, intent and effect are both forces in action here. Him wearing that shirt quite literally did nothing in itself. His intent for wearing that shirt? Probably because he likes kitsch 1950's pop art and thought nothing of it. The effect was unintentional and probably over-blown if one were to apply a "scale of morality" to the situation itself. It affected nothing in the way the world operates.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	3/82	3.659
While I don't necessarily agree with every word being said, you are by far more intelligent than me and much more eloquently spoken. Your points are not aggressive and they don't come across as attacking my opinion as much as inviting me to discuss it. I feel respected watching the video and I give you respect to raise such interesting questions.And no, I'm not turning a blind eye to women. Equal opportunity and rights all the way, I just reckon that some statistics do have reasoning behind them other than sexism . There were some stats based on parameters I had never considered though. Genuinely thoroughly engaging.	Blind, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/107	1.869

There's nothing inherently sexist about portraying scantily clad women. So you could equally argue that if women are discouraged from joining STEM fields by this then maybe they are the ones whose thinking was flawed, not the man who wore the shirt.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/42	2.381
.. Now if this is the case then you could make the argument that it's easier for him not to wear the shirt than to change the minds of the women, but is the quicker fix the correct fix? I think maybe not. Besides, it was a woman who made the shirt. Was she not once a girl with an interest in art. It's all well and good to say don't discourage young girls from taking an interest in the space program but what about not discouraging them from doing arts. "Do you like to draw pinup art deary? Oh well that's lovely: you draw so well. Just don't associate with men who share your interests. They're sexists." :D	Woman	Gender - General	1/119	0.84
I know when I dress myself in the morning I always go for the "I wanna be an imperialist " outfit. I also want to say that a large portion of your argument depends on using a single white male to represent all of men in STEM fields. Isn't this the exact kind of thinking that you're against?	Imperialist	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/57	1.754
if a woman feels discourage for pick a carrer in science only for see a t-shirt it's a silly woman, nothing more..	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/22	13.636
I can tell you right now that a shirt with some bikini girls on it isn't going to make a young woman who wants to be a rocket scientist suddenly say "Well I guess I'll just have to major in Linguistics now!" Also why is sexualization considered to be sexist? Brands like Calvin Klein and stores like Banana Republic often used sexually attractive men as models for their clothing and swimwear yet we don't see this as damaging men's minds or views of themselves. By saying that women being sexual is sexist you actually make women think that they shouldn't expose their bodies. That by doing so they make themselves weaker and a target for violence. This is the same thinking that Islamists put out to push women to wear Burkas. Women don't go into STEM fields because those fields aren't interesting to women. The same reason why you don't see men going into Teaching, Child Care, Nursing, or even being stay at home dads. I think just calling the entire situation we have now "Sexist" is overlooking all the other factors that make this situation a reality and does a disservice to women who are sexual with their bodies.	Banana, Sexual, Woman	Ethnicity - Asian (East- China, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam), Gender - General	4/200	2.0

<p>Dude, the science on psychological gender difference has been in for decades. The differences are innate. You theory was a theory in the '70s that didn't pan out. Ask a psychologist, or an evolutionary-biologist. There's no kabaal of misogynist professors and business owners with a secret agenda to be mean to ladies. In fact, nearly opposite is true: from humans all the way down to spiders, males instinctively go out of their way to make females happy. We developed that instinct through mutation and natural selection because it increases our likelihood of reproductive success. You'e bought into the evil patriarchy conspiracy myth, and it's really rather absurd, given the culture of extreme gynophilia and anti-masculinity in which we live.</p>	Gender, Misogynist, Patriarchy	Gender - General	3/119	2.521
<p>Ours is a culture of denigration of women? You're out of your mind. The fact that infatuation is called denigration, and admiration called objectification, and love of women called misogyny tells an awkward tale so extreme that it would make it difficult for any E.T. anthropologist to maintain the anthropological objectivity and refrain from calling us shockingly retarded. And you are right there in the middle of it, blinders all taped up over your face, which is sad for a smart guy. Pull your mind out of the gutter.</p> <p>You're better than to repeat that cultural mantra of Orwellian double-think contradicting the blatantly obvious: Never has there been a culture even half as utterly besotted with heaping praise on women for any or no reason, catering to every whim, begging them to be offended by something, anything so that we may flagellate ourselves publicly, blame ourselves when they hurt each other's feelings with their women's magazines, and even trying to force perceived well-being onto them that they clearly don't want(such as working in STEM fields - for the which, you failed to mention, their degrees are not). You're a guy with the capacity for thought and subsequent perspective. But on this subject, you're still in the lie-box. Employ that noggin.</p>	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/210	0.476

Let me start by saying that I'm astounded that you (Nerdwriter1) are still taking the time to respond to comments on a video you made that is almost two years **old**. Good on you. I'm envious of your dedication.Ultimately this video is discussing a specific example of a more general theory. In this case, many people looked at a shirt, decided that the 'message' conveyed by the shirt was sexist and supports the stereotype of a boys club. These people responded by attempting to point this 'message' out, no doubt hoping to help discredit the stereotype of the boys club, hopefully reducing any sexist barriers in place preventing women from entering stem fields. The sentiment rings with me. Fighting oppression is a good and honorable goal to have.The problem I have isn't with the intentions, its with the theory itself. It sounds way to similar to the hypothesis that video games were making kids violent. Its the same fundamental idea. We have a cultural object that is assumed to have an impact on the way people think. In the case of the video a T-Shirt, in the case of the attempting banning of violent video games, it was the videogames themselves. People looked at the video games and saw the wanton violence and believed it was harming kids, making them more violent. People look at the shirt with scantily clad women on it and believe the shirt is making people more sexist, contributing to systems of oppression. In the case of video games, we know that the intuition people had was wrong. There is no good link between violence in video games and violence in real life. The cultural object failed to have the impact that the people assumed it would have. So given we can't trust our intuitions in the case of video games, how am I supposed to trust peoples intuitions when it comes to a shirt. Does the shirt contribute to the notion that science is a boys club? Is women's representation in science problematic and how does the shirt impact this representation? How am I to know?What I do know is that the man is not a shirt. The scientist, along with his team, achieved something incredible. They hit a bullet with another smaller bullet, landed successfully on a comet for the first time in human history. He was invited to speak for public new agencies, no doubt excited to share his passion with the world, to encourage young kids to imagine a future in which they too could be making groundbreaking scientific accomplishments, and rather than discuss the landing, the comet, astrophysics, science in general, he ended up being vilified for wearing a shirt that people didn't like. TLDR : Representation is far more complicated than any shirt, vilification of a fashion choice is insane to me.EDIT: To be clear, I'm not claiming that you or your video vilified the scientist. In fact, my post ignore the thesis of the latter half of your video. The idea that sexism/racism/etc is perpetuated because people like it is interesting if non-actionable. Honestly, I merely lament the fact that a shirt can get more coverage in the press and online than putting a lander on a comet.

Old

Age - Over 65s

1/543

0.184

Mr. Generic *The freedom to wear whatever shirt you feel like without puritanical sexism police jumping down your throat.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/19	5.263
The little red haired girl from across the street absolutely true, when I read this for the first time (35 weeks ago) I thought he was a feminist. This video made me quit on nerdwriter	Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American	2/35	5.714
"its pleasurable to exert your dominance in a hierarchy you crate". I invite you to drop the feminist lense and look at humans as the animals they are. Women like handsome and powerful men, men like young and exhuberant women. It's not oppression until you introduce your bias. When you introduce it though, it's still dumb - the same women complaining that they are being "objectified" also complain when men dont find them attractive, and the same women are "objectifying" attractive men. So do yourself a favor and jump of that ideologic white knight bandwagon. You're a smart guy, you've got a brain, use it.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/105	0.952
The image of a sexual woman is not the hatred of women, you brainwashed moron.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/15	13.333
ok, here's my stereotype. people with tattoos have STD. don't matter if he or she is a scientist or super smart. everytime i see a person with that much of blue and black skin tattoo in his or her arms. i think of taking one step back cause he or she may give me cooties! oh and by the way. that garish shirt that dude was wearing. it pigeonhole him as mentally ill. ya! there is alot of " psychological disorders" going on in that man as far as I'm concern. a desperate cry for attention as in one step closer in climbing the clock tower with a rifle.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/109	0.917
I think another word for the "asserting your dominance..." that you mentioned is "othering." Reinforcing your identity by pointing out all the things you are not. It is most commonly discussed (I believe) in political science in relation to nationalism . Obvious examples are wartime and pre-war propaganda, one of the most famous being the radio broadcasts calling Tutsis cockroaches before the genocide in Rwanda	Nationalism	Nationality - General	1/64	1.562

<p>You are retarded. There, I said. I say this, so that you will pay attention to me, even if you don't hear my words. For my critic has no intention of trying to change your outlook, but of those who look upon it and derive its same conclusion; that you are crazy, delusional, self-assured, and downright cancerous, to think that everyone loves something with MYSOGINISTIC UNDERTONES. I do not and many people do not.</p> <p>The fact that you think appreciating the female (or male) figure is misogynistic, is again, retarded.. Fuck yourself and your self assured channel, goodbye mutha fuka ;)</p>	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/101	0.99
<p>Sexism and racism is pleasurable? Okay dude. That's called projection. YOU are the one who enjoys racism and sexism.</p>	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/19	21.053
<p>"What is it, that I'm endangered of losing?"My fucking freedom of speech and expression retard, sorry to hear from your weakly intellectual ass that TRUE 100 EQUALITY IF THE SUN AND MOON can't exist, but keep in mind that for every so called disadvantaged women have, they have a certifiable advantage inherent to their sex that men where privileged to have a shadow of in the more patriarchal times.Know your place Nerd, this isn't a film, this is real life, when you start talking about men being underrepresented in field, irrelevant as that is, in the manner of talking about women in STEM, then I'll at least take you comically serious, you forget how men are expendable, how poorly men are genuinely cared for in comparison to the infinitely more socially valuable women, keep in mind how few fucks are given about the unfair sentencing of men to prison, where women will never see bars, our society gets on its knees for women, yet it will never be enough.Women are designed to sniff out resources, when you stupidly give into the dog like begging of moronic women who can't help but veil their clear intent you become a beta of the highest order, and in no MRA here, this is factual stuff, men don't try to push the envelope for free shit, men have a solid morality, women can keep edging forward though, if you think feminists want equality then your an amateur, don't fool yourself.Equality gets to exist when variety doesn't, if you think women have first world problems that need addressing more so than men, I'll congratulate you, you're a generic dumb ass who can't help but point their nose in the direction of the nearest vag, facts and not feeling matter. So when you pull up "statistics" Nerd, give them context, I can make it look like men live in hell on earth with statistics if I wanted too you know, but like I said, I'm not an MRA, just a simple MGTOW who wants to see America get nuked by North Korea after Hillary gets foolishly elected.</p>	Dumb, Sex	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/355	0.563

<p>Yeah Nerd. Because no man ascending to the heights of a Matt Taylor ever had to triumph through any kind of adversity, or bullshit from people conveying the idea that they're unacceptable.Frankly, anyone that's pissweak enough to be so deterred is doing science a favor. Welcome to the rigors of science. If you're going to blame your gynocentric whine, you really, really don't belong where the science gets rough.</p> <p>Threatened? Yeah Nerd, we're threatened by people who think it's men's job to make women excel. Because male culture is a fucking greased slide for men. You know Nerd, it's the damndest thing. With my business background in Videogaming, I've had to look on in wonder, at the cyclone of drivelish dogshit flung about in the whole "GamerGate" fiasco. And all I've ever seen is women's participation, relative to their will. With males, frequently encouraging the females on, often beyond their initial interest level. Sexism is when the guys treat the girls like... they treat the guys.Gaming comes from a history, of females exerting their social power to shame nerds and geeks. And then it's males' fault they're not involved. SHAME BOYZ! You're gamerz! Nekk minnit. SHAME BOYZ! We iz gamerz now.Do you Nerd, in your touchy feely, faux diplomat way, ever pause a moment to sniff out the dank fucking reek beyond many of those pushing the whole "do-good" narrative? Here's me, in my own touchy feely mold. Do you Nerd? Do you ever ponder a moment... "What if these people that are claiming the moral heights, are actually just narcissist fuckholes that are engineering a platform of their own moral superiority?"Yeah, nah Nerd. I'd estimate we're mostly just annoyed by petty, petulant, double-standard morons and their gynocentrist hypocrisy. Is that you Nerd? Women can do anythingz! See, I agree. According to the dictionary Nerd, I AM the feminist.</p> <p>Because I know women can kick ass. Which is why the VAWA is on the fucking nose.We're annoyed Nerd, by preening asshats, that sniff out a way to make anything about themselves, if it has merit. But never, god forbid, apply themselves to being the source of merit. For they aren't just dull, but the cause of dullness.Toodles Nerd. Keep coddling those simps and saps bro.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/381	0.262
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Let's say your right. Let's all assume that the women of the world, those with any aspirations of ever entering STEM fields are so easily dissuaded by a shirt, that their biggest dreams become null. What does that say on this arguments ideas on these women with scientific goals? That they have no backbone? That they can't stand up and do whatever they want for themselves? If you are so easily turned away from the sciences, then maybe they just aren't for you. And yeah, STEM is a "boys club". So? So is garbage removal and construction, and no one ever raises an eyebrow about that. The sad fact is that different genders have different brains, fabricated by evolution; the evolution of a society, of psychology, and biology. This isn't a bad thing. Sure it means that men are more likely to take CEO jobs and STEM field jobs, but it also means they are more likely to die. And I would argue that that isn't an assumption. After all it is based on countless scholarly articles and alike. Here's some food for thought though; There seems to be ingrained systematic sexism, as well as other prejudice based -isms. In the case of sexism, they can be explained by two different hypotheses. 1) Different evolutionary trends have developed different brains which make different choices which, for better or worse, create different trends of employment based on gender. 2) There is an ingrained sexism that causes the trends we see. And the biggest difference between these two ideas is the evidence. We can and have identified the evolutionary trends, so we know for a fact that they exist. What we have not done is identified the ingrained sexism, because as you say yourself , it is "invisible". So invisible that they are, in fact, undetectable, immeasurable, except for the outcome they predict, a society that seems to have an ingrained sexism, and that would prove it's existence if not for the other hypothesis. Now there are two explanations, one with evidence to back it up all along the way, and the other with an assumption as it's base. Occam's razor tells us that the hypothesis with the least amount of assumptions is more likely to be correct and therefore should be operated with, and as such, the second hypothesis is eliminated. So although the explanation is not simple, it allows us to really understand that there is no systematic sexism in the first world. And really think about it for a second; if I told you that an invisible, intangible flying spaghetti monster was having a great influence on all of my ideas and perceptions of other people, then you would tell me that I was a moron, and rightly so. Now obviously this comparison is no more than hyperbole to extenuate the evidence based flaws in your argument. So, if you can prove that what we observe in society is sexism, and you can make that argument with logic and evidence, and not just with logic as you have been doing, then I would happily consider thinking it over in greater detail, and probably put a lot of deep thought into which explanation was more likely to be true, which fit our model of society better, but until anyone can do that, I will remain stoic in my understanding. If anyone disagrees with me, and would like to have a civil discussion about the topic, I would be more than happy to oblige/</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	8/583	1.372
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------	-------

The idea that the women depicted on that guy's shirt can't possibly have careers in STEM field is sexist in and of itself. How on earth is a woman's comfortability with her body and her sexuality tied to her ability to solve physics or math problems? Women aren't in STEM because they grew up being told that they're not supposed to be good at math and science, NOT because they are living in a society more open to female sexuality. This guy's work has nothing to do with the women on his shirt because one's physical appearance and one's mental abilities aren't at all related. So in my opinion, the shirt isn't sexist at all, but the things people assume the shirt is saying are. But at the end of the day, the shirt isn't saying anything. It's just a shirt.	Mental, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/141	1.418
Women don't go into STEM jobs because they usually are mothers, easy as that. It's obviously less likely that women will be less on some top page when there are less of them. It's like expecting to get green and red M&Ms the same amount when there is 1/25 chance you will get a green one compared to red M&M that has a chance of showing up 15/25 times.	Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native- American	4/69	5.797
I couldn't find a middle ground on this topic when it happened and your attempt to do so, albeit very articulate, failed as well. The freer the society, less is the proportion of women in STEM-programs at university. Are you saying that, for instance, Bangladesh is more advanced in terms of gender equality in education than Norway? Because the ratio of women in each country's STEM-fields would suggest that. Instead you stumbled upon the answer and rejected it without due process. Women are just not as interested in physics and math as men are. It's biology. I'm wondering if you sacrificed the truth for a balanced and "fair" narrative here. It's disappointing.	Gender	Gender - General	1/112	0.893
25 of STEM, 75 of Liberal Arts. Does this mean men are being oppressed to not be able to get these degrees? is it sexism that only 25 of liberal arts degrees are men?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/34	2.941
I'm enjoying the transition between the scenes of you talking. I like the half second of talking over the previous shot. well done	Transition	Sexual Identity - Transexuality	1/23	4.348
+Urrz why do you think that? If you say feminism is not needed, you just ignore the facts! Woman are for example more often victims of sexual assault, earn less money than man and have a higher risk for beeing poor. And this problems exist all over the world. If you say feminism is not needed, you have to explain why the situation for woman is so worse.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	3/68	4.412

Well, unfortunately you are wrong. Man get sexually assaulted especially in prison, but woman practically everywhere else. According WHO approximately 30 of all woman worldwide were already physically or sexually attacked by a man. The pay gap is not a lie, there are many well founded reports that have proven that fact. Even if you don't recognize that woman chose in general more often jobs that are low payed, they earn less money than a man in that job. I don't know in which county you live, in Germany for example it is about 7. Moreover woman take more days off because they are mostly responsible for household and children, which is in fact an additional job that is not payed. So, I could tell you a lot of those examples, which show that woman are not treated equally. You have to explain now, why you think that you can ignore the truth and have to annoy those people who really care about.	Woman	Gender - General	5/163	3.067
Does anyone, man or woman , who feel dissuaded by colors and shape on a shirt deserve to be in the rough, egotistical, unforgiving field that is STEM?	Woman	Gender - General	1/27	3.704

<p>I was so sure you were capable of deep thought. Then you completely whiffed logic. This whole debate over whether the shirt stops women from going into Stem is wrong. Society does create gender roles but noone is forced to follow them. This becomes evident when you see home schooled girls of the same family go into entirely different fields. One went to the army and the other wants to nurse and be a housewife. Society does not prescribe to women their interests, society sells them what they have decided to be interested in. Its people like you who remove the responsibility from women and all of humanity alike by building a boogeyman made of white cis males. I don't lose anything by women going into Stem. I fucking hate Stem. But I love video games and football and reading about history as well. My stereotypes run abound from fat nerd to sports nut and around the block again picking and choosing my interests from what society sells me. I was never forced into these things, and for those of us lucky enough to have a normal childhood we all buy what we like from society and the fact that 25 of women do go into Stem shows you that society does not prohibit women from doing it, women as the individuals they are just don't take stem as their interest as often. Ultimately shirtgate and the idea that someone else (white males) are actively rooting for women to stay out of Stem on the whole is absurd and only serves to prove that feminism and social justice don't believe in a woman's right to choose but instead their right to choose for women on the whole. As you so easily dismissed those women who have raised themselves above being influenced by a man's shirt, or attributing any power to it. No one makes women not go into Stem besides the people who would also make them not be a housewife because they see it as degrading, society doesn't build the narrative, people buy whatever they feel like best suits them. Constructive people realize you don't have to be even close to as shallow and confined as a human being, as Social justice warriors would tell you to be.</p>	Fat, Gender	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	2/379	0.528
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	---------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Very late comment. You are absolutely right that it was a lot of annoyance by the fact of that was stealing the spotlight of this massive scientific and engineering achievement. And they were right. It is right to be annoyed by that. If instead of assuming that many girls would be discouraged of following STEM because a shirt sends a message, how about the amount of girls that would be encouraged by talking about landing a satellite in a comet? I completely get by people getting angry at the sj police. The angry people on the internet, the internet commentators, they don't create value. What comes trough, is the complete disregard for the actual fact, as in the sexist shirt discussion is more important than anything the guy was actually there to talk about. It is stealing the spotlight. I would say that a scientist saying bad shit about women is a first order sexism. He, personally, is being responsible for pushing women out of science. A scientist that inadvertently gives lower grades to womem would be second order. What do you think that a guy wearing a colourful shirt, that-if-you-really-look-at-it-you-realise-it-has -women-depicted-in-sexualised-poses, is? My point is that we are all in this treadmill, we all live in a sexist society and we all perpetuate these ideas. Why is this of any importance or deserves any media coverage when everyone else contributes to sexism in some way or the other. So was this guy moving forward women in science? no. Was it relevant enough for him PERSONALLY (because, although you say he was not crucified, he did get his name associated with negative ideas all over) to receive this amount of shit? No.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/282	0.709
<p>To answer your question, I just feel annoyed. The "gender role" scenario works both ways. There are plenty of idealized male images (GI Joe, He Man) and male roles (leadership positions, STEM jobs). And we can make the argument that this is just as discriminatory to men that want to pursue other personal images or career fields. We can't go around pandering and ringing our hands trying to lay out red carpets for everyone. If you want something, go get it, if someone tells you no it will just make it that much more satisfying when you achieve your goals. Life is hard and that's why it is good.</p>	Gender, Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General	3/109	2.752

<p>Yeah, the shirt outrage is so ridiculous. It's these kinds of things that make women seem whiny and infantile (not that both sexes aren't prone to this. It's a human problem). If anything, I just think of it as a celebration of the female body. ;)I think you're right about prejudice being "enjoyable" though. It sure seems like it is the way that some people are so obsessed with holding on to it and it's odd how angry people get when you challenge their stance. Everyone likes to feel superior in some way and holding biased beliefs about how much "better" or "smarter" or "right" you are than another group is an easy way to do it. I think what lies at the heart of prejudice is scapegoating. People are so unwilling to see their own flaws that it's much easier and more comforting to replace self awareness about one's own shortcomings with a false sense of superiority or even self pity, thus avoiding growth. I can't tell you how many men I've encountered who believe their non existent sex life is due to "feminism" or how many unemployed Trump supporters think their situation is due to immigration. Scapegoating is all it ever is. If only we lived in a world where people had truly open minds and open hearts. Sometimes I think humanity is not capable of that kind of self awareness.</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/234	0.427
<p>this is why I'm disappointed in America we should be able to say what we feel with out thinking about it, exectp for being a dipshit to people with disabilities or race, we shouldn't have to worry about if this is politicaly correct, and although I'm not for extreminism, I am not for not being an oversentive pussy when we get our shallow feelings hurt about an image, just saying people back then were so much bolder and and okay with others opion. now its just like, if a person told you I like a woman who can get right down and dirty, most people will laugh, but now we have to be carefull of what we say because people will be "offended", my main point is that people are way less tolerant to the freedom of speech and I'm very fearfull that will be taken away because someone decides to kill them selfs to a threat or something, like they are with guns, people can kill others with forks, SHOULD WE BAN THE RIGHT TO EAT WITH A FORK too?(maybe an overexageration)</p>	Race, Woman, pussy	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Nationality - Chinese	3/184	1.63
<p>Common sense tells us that there are some pictures that are okay to display in a work environment and some pictures that aren't. Those rules apply to everyone. Stop whining, little boys, and grow the hell up.</p>	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/37	2.703

Yeah, sure enough. MRA’s are delusional. Because feminism is literally founded upon a conspiracy theory, which they can never scientifically affirm when pressed on the true issue of causality.So here’s your chance Garrido. Wow me. Explain how participatory ratios of themselves, convey the Patriarchy . And no man, not just ”men in charge”. Men setting up a system to oppress women and benefit themselves.With men doing all the work at the bottom of discomfort and danger to make civilization possible. And participating in a neutral political system, wherein it’s a woman’s job to get popular and get elected.So here you go, it’s men’s job to make women excel in a neutral system. Go.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/115	0.87
UrrzThese are withouts tha doubts tha stupids comments they is?Teach me with your supreme powers of intellectual prowess master. I pleaded with the last genius to convince me of the evils of Patriarchy . They’ve got me on call waiting.So here you go, genius number 2. Explain the stoopidz of the comments you so lament. Dazzle me with your insight.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/62	1.613
Speaking as a feminist, I disagree that anyone who’s not a feminist is a bad person or a MRA. There are actually some valid reasons why people, including those who agree with the principles of feminism, don’t consider themselves feminist. For instance, some black women don’t call themselves that because feminism has a history of pushing black women to the back or disregarding them. Others find that most feminists – usually white and/or trans-excluding – either impose their ideas of what empowering women should be onto them or are outright hostile because that person doesn’t fit into their definition of a woman . Considering that intersectional feminism is still pretty recent, I can’t really blame some people for feeling like they’d be considered outsiders.	Black, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	3/123	2.439
Kenny NaicuslikYeah, I’m not convinced that you’re barely versed in prominent MRA writings at all.So you’re trying to build a case around Biological Gender Dimorphism? Welcome to the party pal. The MRA’s have been here awhile, but help yourself to the salad bar.See, the first problem you’re having trying to dial into the thought patterns of most MRAs, is you’re mistaking them for Feminists for men.When their prime objective is the extrication of ideological bent and bias from gender issues.	Gender	Gender - General	2/84	2.381

<p>Kadrick Ninness My problem with the MRA's is not their criticism of feminists, I wholeheartedly agree with that. My problem is that they then turn around, bitch about the same type of issues only for men, and act like feminazis while doing it. I don't know many MRA's, but the handfull I have had a conversation with always end up bitching about some issue men face which can be easily explained if you consider basic biology. For example, they'll bitch about how unfair it is that a woman only has to sit still in a bar to get in touch with a man. While a man has to actively go out and put in physical and emotional effort into getting in touch with a woman. The people who whine about this forget about the fact that in nature, the man is the one who gets the food and makes sure the family survives, while the woman usually takes care of the kids. Considering this, it is then logical that the men in our species will have to compete for "breeding rights", as the men being physically fit is of higher importance to the family.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	3/194	1.546
<p>Kenny Naicuslik Then you don't talk to many MRAs. The main talking point isn't in denial of biological impetus. It's in explanation of it. When MRA's bitch about this kind of stuff, they're usually making the point, that both genders have commonly positioned roles in society that derives of biological behavior. And when they bitch, they're usually bitching that the bitches that do all the bitching have one-way goggles. They're full of humpty complaining about everything as a women's issue. Not a human issue. MRA's broadly know that everybody has their side of the role story. I mean, for fucks sake, the majority of Karen Straughn's writing is ABOUT the distortions of civilization acting against biological impulse. And how they work endlessly to liberate women from the imposition of their gender roles. Meanwhile ironically reinforcing men's gender roles. Even as a mechanism to enforce their pursuits.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	2/146	1.37
<p>Kadrick Ninness Well one of them did advocate developing a form of reproduction that does not require sex, and then forbidding women from reproducing. So maybe I just happened to have a bunch of bad first impressions. However I do not care much for labels, either I agree with your arguments or I don't. Whether or not those arguments are made by a feminist/MRA/egalitarian or not does not influence whether or not I agree with them. So as long as you keep making good points, I will keep agreeing with you. However I don't believe in silly "allegiances" to special interrest groups, if say dumb shit I'm going to criticize you for it. Everyone just needs to stop feeling oppressed, because it devalues the struggles of people that are actually being oppressed. Everytime someone says "men are oppressed" or "women are oppressed" in the western world, everyone takes the serious issue of things like sexslavery a little less serious.</p>	Dumb, Sex	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/159	1.258

<p>Kenny NaicuslikGot a link to whomever this is? I'd be curious to see what the rationale itself is. I am aware of some MGTOWs, that have an overlap with MRA groupings, that are hyper-aware of the trend of female hypergamy, all the way up to women simply marrying the state. And the intricate way that men, doing there biological best to be supporters, now support a system that women use to subjugate, and dispossess men. Male taxes are the only reason that social services have funding in front of the outgoing curve. Men fund this system. And the supply the vast majority of its enforcement staff. It's to the point in the new tribal mind of the woman now, that the system is her best friend, not her husband (yes, generalizing). So she can go get knocked up with that thuggish dude, ditch that sucker and have the collective man pay her way. There is an broader disconnect between the instincts that women have to select men, in the civilization they inhabit. They aren't useless instincts. But it all plays into the intricate structure of men subjugating themselves to female bidding. And for men to get the thanks in return, that the system they die to uphold is.... misogynistic. Which is of course, just part of the big sympathy dance to keep men on the ball upholding it. Trained morons, sleepwalking into the mincer.I too, I'm a general disregarder of labels. General. As long as a label is kept pure and unencumbered by bullshit academic baggage, I'm unphased. Like Egalitarian. I'm pretty certain, that the wanktacular lecturers that would ever attempt to parasistically attach all their pseudo-scientific blather onto it, would be arbitrarily disregarded. Unlike Feminism, it actually IS just the dictionary definition. You believe in a society that is demographically blind in doling out resource and opportunity. End of.When you speak of "allegiances" this to me, also hits at the finer point of misguidance. When you make a label, it becomes a banner. Groups operate under the banner, and it becomes tribal. Us and them. Are you with us or not? And yes, I take the same lens to MRA's, when it comes to association with a group rather than a keen focus on policy. And it's from this outlook, without any sentimental favor about the trends and traits within, that I thoroughly reject the notion that MRA's bare a resemblance to Feminists, just from the other direction. Even if I think the title "MRA" is the muddled "course correction" same as Feminism has a sided nomenclature, in practice the two camps behave nothing alike. The MRA's are very DEconstructionist of the flawed methodology of the evangelizing feminists, who tell a tale that's about as complex as a cartoon. They're a conflicted Grrl power fantasy, demanding society be constantly to the rescue of these hyperpowerful heroines. Which begs of the men, to come rescue them from the evils of men. And then fuck off because it's all men's fault, and we didn't need your help. To analyse, the general trends and traits of Feminism are fuck-ing-in-sane. Not hyperbole. IN-SANE. The MRA's, while they may have the odd gaf or blunder, are hugely founded in comparative reality. They take a solemn, realist look at the nature of maledom and femaledom, and the delusions that people have about the structures they inhabit. But they never act like men are perfect, or incapable of going haywire. Even in shit like Domestic Violence. The MRA's</p>	<p>Blind, Nothing, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>3/1040</p>	<p>0.288</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>Kadrick Ninness I deleted Facebook, but maybe some of the discussions still in Darkmatter 2525's private Facebook group. I doubt they'll let you in, but you can try.Men make up the majority of the working force and pay the most taxes, but men usually also get the most in return. If a man choose to share the capital they earned through hard work with a woman, then that is their choice. It's not like there is a law that obligates you to do so. The only argument you guys gets rests on divorce settlements, but prenuptial agreements are a thing, remember? Plus you don't HAVE to get married to be in a relationship.I believe in a society where everyone is equal under the law, and everyone is responsible for their own problems. It's not the government's job to make sure enough women to choose a stem career, that's women's own responsibility. It's not the government's job to make sure you make your wife sign a pre-nup, that's your own responsibility.You see how this works? I believe that as long as everyone is equal under the law, everyone is responsible for their own lives.So no, I'm not an "Egalitarian". If a demographic ends up worse than some other demographic even though they have the same rights, then that is not a problem for the government to solve, it's a problem for that demographic to solve.I can't lie, this: "They're a conflicted Grrl power fantasy, demanding society be constantly to the rescue of these hyperpowerful heroines."Is a pretty accurate description of a lot of women in the western world. "I'm strong and independent, but please pay my bills."But like I said, if you don't like it then simply stop paying their bills, or stop dating shallow arrogant cunts. It's that simple. "So me? I too prefer a focus upon policy over labels, because policies exist in their own form. They don't lug around the baggage. People play spitball on labels."Exactly, which is one of the reasons why I do not support any special interest group, even the ones that are in my favour. Why would I poison my own well? Plus special interest groups are usually willing to sacrifice what's good for the whole of society, for the specific interrests of their individual group, which I find morally and intellectually reprehensible."They think that their "Wage Gap" fantasy is a signifier of oppression. And at no time will they ever bring up the Workplace death gap."Both the wage gap and the workplace death gap can be explained away by personal choice. I don't think either is a problem.Look I understand the argument, I use examples like that as arguments myself. However the difference is, I end my argument by saying that I believe neither is a problem, which a lot of MRA's don't. They just want to replace the thing we're supposed to whine about, instead of wanting everyone to stop whining all together."She might just complain that while you're paying the bills you're not there, so she fucks the polite neighbor that she borrows sugar from. And divorces you and marries the state anyway."Well sounds like you chose to marry a shallow cunt, instead of someone who loves you the way you love her. The situation you described is awful indeed, however it is your responsibility to not marry a fucking cunt. You don't just marry all of a sudden, do you? You get years to get to know a person before you marry them, that's plenty of time for you to figure out whether or not they're a cunt."So what</p>	Crazy, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/713	0.281
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Kenny Naicuslik In the grand arc of tax distribution, women are far and away the greater recipients of benefit from men’s earnings. A study of several western nations performed recently showcase what many of us already suspected. That women are literally behind the curve in tax contribution. As an aggregate accumulation over their lifetime, women don’t break even in the tax line. As the major beneficiaries alongside being the minor contributors, they are highly privileged in the western world, without even an obligation to any specific male that provides for them. That’s not to say that the benevolent social structures of the west don’t benefit men, too. But we’re talking aggregate distribution of benefit here.You’re overlooking the degree of interference in parts of the western world. Where the government actually DOES see it as their job to act on women’s behalf to enact ”de facto” legislation. Where I live, are subjected to spousal claims simply for living with a woman. She could just as easily claim it. The governement effectively marries you without your consent, and makes all the same ”what’s yours is mine” provisions available to a divorcing woman.And your prenuptual agreements are frequently made a mockery of pragmatic reality.What you’re describing actually is egalitarian. A more Anarchic iteration of Egalitarian. I’m not out to brand anyone. But Egalitarian, isn’t to be mistaken for the ”equity” farce of modern feminism, that incessantly tries to make it everyones business to account for the demographics. Do we see feminists complaining about privilege for the hyper-successful Asian communities? Fark no. Work ethic is so deeply ingrained in their culture, that it leads them to excel. But they’re also what they’d consider an ethnic minority many of the places they are, so they’re an aberration to the feminists’ merry mental distortions.I also have a certain provision to the notion of opportunity when it comes to demographics as well. I don’t support demographic recognition before the law, or before social programs, or quotas and any of that drivle. All the same, I don’t think their are social programs that can be rightly enacted, to account for past failures of discriminating government policy. That have set certain regions and cultures on a trajectory, that is very downward. This kind of momentum, can’t be underestimated. Once you achieve a more enlightened social structure to enable opportunity for all demographics, they have to take a role in this. But the generational repurcussions of past mistreatment can be longstanding, and a gradual healing process to lift wrongly treated communities back up. And to reiterate, making the terms of a program that brings this help to communities Demography based, is a benevolently misguided disaster in process. There’s no accounting for what kind of demographic aberration you could be inadvertently carving out of assistance with dumbass demographic pandering. And all the same, if the assistance is mismanaged with patronizing lack of account, you end up doing more harm to trigger dependency than good.It’s not me we’re talking about on this front. And the context is far large than guys who submit themselves to female hypergamy and chivalry. Chivalry isthe ironic mechanism that pervades western society all the way up through law making and social provisions. We’re not talking about the</p>	<p>Ethnic, Mental, Misandry, Nothing, Patriarchy, Race, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>8/1770</p>	<p>0.452</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>Kadrick Ninness I'm against the welfare state as a whole, so if that's what you are referring to then you don't need to convince me."Where I live, are subjected to spousal claims simply for living with a woman. She could just as easily claim it"Sounds like a shitty law, where do you live? Anyhow such laws don't exist in my country, so I don't see a need to protest them here."And your prenuptual agreements are frequently made a mockery of pragmatic reality."I don't understand what you mean. Can you elaborate?I guess it depends on your definition of an Egalitarian. I don't believe people are equal. People are not equal because some people make better decisions than other people, and that is fine. A society where everyone is fiscally equal is not on my agenda, because I believe for us to accomplish that we have to steal resources from those we produce more. That's not only immoral, it is bad for society as a whole. Why on earth would I try to be as productive as possible if the outcome for me personally will be the same no matter what?Everyone has the same rights no matter what their race, gender, sexuality, etc is. But I don't believe in equal outcome. People who work harder and make smarter decisions should(and will) always end up better than those who don't. Asian people make more than white people do on average, and that is fine. That simply means white people should work harder and invest more. Long live the meritocracy, right?I agree, the law ought to be blind. If a white man kills a black man, the punishment should be the same as when a black man kills a white man. If a woman hits a man, the punishment should be same as when a man hits a woman."Chivalry isthe ironic mechanism that pervades western society all the way up through law making and social provisions."If with Chivalry you mean paying for a woman's dinner and holding the door for her then once again, that's just biology. I do not care. Women can provide a service than men want. It's as simply as supply and demand."Men in western society, are bombarded with a ceaseless torrent of insult, that is so deafening to behold, that you eventually become death to it. And many live out their whole lives, laboring under this bad joke."Well once again, it is your own responsibility to make sure you don't marry a devious cunt. If I love a girl and she loves me back, then I know she won't abuse me like that. And she knows I won't abuse her like that. If this something that genuinly concerns you then it might be time for you to reevaluate the type of people you date."Women have become conditioned to live under a shelter of civilization, provided by male work, that they take for granted."Well no, they've not been conditioned. Once again, it is just biology. Even in the prehistory the men used to hunt and the women used to take care of the children and do all the work that requires less strength and is less risky.Men have a body designed to provide the basics of survival, women have a body designed for reproduction. Both are equally important for our species."And keen to tell the world how awesome they are. Including the guys that dynamited the tunnel she's driving through, in the air-conditioned car that's powered by the petroleum that was accessed and refined by male labor"I don't mean to be pedantic, but you know how they tell mentally challenged kids that they're "special"? Well this is basically the same thing. The only difference is</p>	<p>Black, Blind, Gender, Patriarchy, Race, Woman</p>	<p>Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>11/1087</p>	<p>1.012</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	--------------

<p>+John Micheal Garrido 'MRA's are delusional' Lol, which part is delusional?</p> <p>Advocating for the government to treat men and women equally, or the fact that they dare to say men face issues as well?Let's see, men get longer sentencing for the same crime and in some countries women are not included in the definition of rape (meaning they get even less time).The man has no right to decided if he wants to be a father, while the women can. I believe in abortion, and I also believe that the father should have the same time frame to say that they don't want to be a parent...Under the domestic violence laws called the 'Duluth Model', which I know Australia and Briton work under, the man is assumed to be the perpetrator even if they are abused by the women. After all, all domestic violence stems from men's desire to control women. Women can never be evil, and if they hurt a man, the Duluth Model proposes that it was the fault of the man. I shit you not, this was conceived and implemented by feminists.I know in Australia, the government funded;-The National Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children (\$119.5 million over four years) -The National Awareness Campaign to Reduce Violence Against Women (\$30.0 million over three years)-And on men? 0In the UK, the amount of male domestic abuse shelters pales in comparison to the amount of female ones. No thanks to feminists, might I had... Erin Pizzey, the first women to create a domestic abuse shelter in UK, was harassed by feminists because she dared to suggest that maybe they should help men too. Please, search up her story, she is a true hero that helped victims and yet was ostracized when she suggested helping menNow, just to clarify, this is not me saying all feminists are evil. Or that all are 'delusional'. Not all feminists follow the same ideas, and many truly want equality, but often get caught up focusing on only one gender and not listening to the other side, dismissing them as 'delusional' as you did. MRA's are not all delusional. Men DO face serious issues that are not addressed, and some feminists, weather or not you explain how they are Not True Scotsmen, had a hand in it. So please, don't dismiss those who advocate for men's rights just because you were told they are all crazy. I know I don't, I just want the issues I highlighted above addressed.</p>	Crazy, Gender	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/424	0.472
<p>I see nothing wrong in MRAs.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/6	16.667

<p>Kenny Naicuslik "The people who whine about this forget about the fact that in nature, the man is the one who gets the food and makes sure the family survives, while the woman usually takes care of the kids. " You are talking about biological facts but the things you call "facts" aren't facts. "the man is the one who gets the food" What? Really? As far as I know, the males in almost every species fuck the female and go away not even thinking about the child. Then, after the children become "adults", the female leaves them too. The man isn't the one who gets food. "makes sure the family survives"</p> <p>This isn't in the nature, this is in patriarchal society. You seem to confuse those two."while the woman usually takes care of the kids" Yes, as long as she can feed them.But don't forget another basic fact. IT'S IN THE NATURE. You don't have to behave as nature says. We don't live in the nature. Nature also says that we should let the weak ones die, that doesn't mean we should do it. Nature says men should rape females to give offsprings, that doesn't mean it's true. Nature also says if you wanna take dump, just stop and take dump in the middle of the street, that doesn't mean we should do it.What a lot of anti-feminists don't understand is that you can't argue with what nature says, simply because we have made this civilization by overcoming our instincts and becoming INTELLIGENT species. Now we have to build our "nature", our rules. And we already have. We have built hospitals to care for people who, according to nature, should die. I'm sorry to say this, but implying that everything in nature is right and humans should act as nature tells is stupid and hypocrite. It's just another excuse to ignore nowadays' problems and go like "Oh it's in the nature so it's normal".I'm not an MRA, because I'm not an activist, I just don't care. But I think feminists have beaten the same dead horse for years and it has led to a society that criticizes and hates female discrimination while thinking that the men are privileged. And of course, this much attention to female rights causes ignorance toward men's rights. Now that feminists have fought for woman rights, it's a woman's birthright to have children but fuck men, they don't love children, they aren't parents they are just unemotional perverts who only want sex. Maybe MRAs or feminists are annoying but if there were more MRAs, everything would be more balanced.Sometimes I agree with anti-feminists who say that women have equal rights, but I know for sure that people are ignorant when they say that men have no problems and are privileged. It just goes against reality.</p>	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	4/475	0.842
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>The Earth Is a Cylinder!! ” As far as I know, the males in almost every species fuck the female and go away not even thinking about the child.”But we’re not almost every species, we’re the human species.”This isn’t in the nature, this is in patriarchal society. You seem to confuse those two.”What’s your source for that? Here’s mine. [LINK] ” A biological perspective on gender roles suggests that women have a natural affinity toward the feminine gender role and that men have a natural affinity toward the masculine gender role. The biological perspective does not, however, suggest that one role holds any inherently greater value than another role.””You don’t have to behave as nature says.”Nobody is saying you HAVE to. It just explains why people like behaving in such ways. The difference between your examples and people choosing to adhere to traditional gender roles is that your examples bother other people, while the other is completely voluntary. If you as a man want to wear a dress or whatever then go for it, I don’t give a damn. There are no laws stopping you from doing so.”Now we have to build our ”nature”, our rules.”Why? What’s wrong with the nature we have now? You’ve been reading too much Aldous Huxley.”And we already have. We have built hospitals to care for people who, according to nature, should die.”Well some biologists would argue that it is in our nature to be compassionate, a trait we evolved to have because it helps our species as a whole. The only reason you think compassion is morally good is BECAUSE of your human nature.”Maybe MRAs or feminists are annoying but if there were more MRAs, everything would be more balanced.”Oh God, this is the dumbest argument you could make. You can’t fight stupidity with more stupidity. This is just as dumb as BLM activists saying they have to be racist to compensate for white racism. Fighting stupidity with stupidity will result in more stupidity, not less.” but I know for sure that people are ignorant when they say that men have no problems and are privileged. ”I’m not denying that men and women both have gender specific problems that should be addressed, I’m just saying that we shouldn’t address them in the form of special interest groups like feminism, MRA, and MGTOW. That will just result in more sexism, not less.</p>	<p>Dumb, Gender, Nobody, Racism, Racist, Sexism</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>10/410</p>	<p>2.439</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>Kenny Naicuslik "But we're not almost every species, we're the human species." That's my point. Since we're humans we must behave like humans."What's your source for that? Here's mine.[...]" Well, your source is about gender roles. You can't argue that gender roles are good because of gender roles. The entire point is that gender roles should be eliminated. That's what patriarchy/matriarchy is. It's all about gender roles, and roles in a society are pure nonsense. They are useless for the society and harmful for individuals, as history has shown."If you as a man want to wear a dress or whatever then go for it, I don't give a damn. There are no laws stopping you from doing so." You actually do give a damn. Don't ignore millions and billions of people who mock others because they don't behave like a "real man"."What's wrong with the nature we have now?" Let me tell you what's wrong with the nature. It's based on "Survival of the finest" and "Eat or be eaten". Basically, the strong ones have more rights and responsibilities. Even though our world doesn't work with strength we still act like we're animals. Men are stronger and therefore they are the ones that should care about the family? Since when? This isn't just logical nonsense, it doesn't make any sense in any context. "Well some biologists would argue that it is in our nature to be compassionate"</p> <p>It's not in our nature but in our consciousness. If we stayed dumb we wouldn't be compassionate. If spiders became intelligent enough they would care about other spiders. That's a property of intelligence not our species."Fighting stupidity with stupidity will result in more stupidity, not less." It's not really stupidity. It's just butt hurt activism. When there are feminists who wanna have perfectly equal rights(even though it's not always possible) and there are MRAs who wanna have equal rights for men, you can achieve balance. Also, maybe there are stupid feminists but feminism itself isn't stupid."I'm just saying that we shouldn't address them in the form of special interest groups like feminism, MRA, and MGTOW. That will just result in more sexism, not less." I don't get your point. How should we address them then? Since there are people who are against these movements, people who are FOR them will be labeled as MRAs and they will gather in groups of special interests. If you address men's issues then you ARE an MRA, if not then you aren't. I don't see what's wrong with special interests, if feminists represent the interests of all women and MRAs, the interests of all men...</p>	Dumb, Gender, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	7/444	1.577
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>The Earth Is a Cylinder!! "Since we're humans we must behave like humans."First you say "it doesn't matter how we behave in nature".Now you say "we must behave like humans".You are contradicting yourself."You can't argue that gender roles are good because of gender roles."I'm not saying they're good, I'm saying there is a biological explanation for them and that people aren't inferior for choosing to adhere to their assigned gender role."They are useless for the society and harmful for individuals, as history has shown."You can't say "as history has shown" and not give any examples."You actually do give a damn. Don't ignore millions and billions of people who mock others because they don't behave like a "real man"?"Okay, so....? What's your point? That you're a special little snowflake and that everyone should tell you how special you are every time you do something unorthodox? Grow up." Basically, the strong ones have more rights and responsibilities."It's not the "strong" ones, it is the ones that are best adapted to their environment. It's survival of the FITTEST, not survival of the STRONGEST. The fittest in a western 21th century society would be the smartest and most creative. And those people do indeed appeal more to most women. Most women would fuck a brainsurgeon over a trashman even if the trashman is "stronger" or more attractive."Men are stronger and therefore they are the ones that should care about the family?"Men are more ambitious which is why they are usually responsible for the largest portion of a family's income. Of course this isn't always the case and a lot of women do pursue a career over a family. That's their right if it makes them happy they should by all means do it. However what you are doing is trying to shame the women that DO adhere to their traditional gender norms and stay at home with their kids, for no other reason than that they feel happier and more comfortable doing so. That is what I have an issue with."This isn't just logical nonsense, it doesn't make any sense in any context."It doesn't make any sense because you conflated survival of the fittest with survival of the strongest to make it seem as if you have an argument even though you don't."It's not in our nature but in our consciousness. If we stayed dumb we wouldn't be compassionate" No it IS in our nature, the intelligent thing is often not the compassionate thing. If we were truly rational enlightened beings like you claim we are we would be going around making intelligent decisions rather than compassionate decisions. For example, if you strand on an island without food with 10 other people the intelligent thing would be to eat the person with the smallest chance of surviving so the other 9 can survive. But I think you and I can both agree that that is not the compassionate thing."When there are feminists who wanna have perfectly equal rights(even though it's not always possible) and there are MRAs who wanna have equal rights for men, you can achieve balance.">"Hey guys, half the town is on fire! What should we do?"> "Light the other half, that way it will balance itself out!">"Also, maybe there are stupid feminists but feminism itself isn't stupid."Yes that's because "feminism" is an abstract term incapable of being stupid or intelligent."I don't get your point. How should we address them then? "Well it is really quite simple, address the problem</p>	Dumb, Gender, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	6/713	0.842
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Kenny Naicuslik "You are contradicting yourself." No. We shouldn't behave like nature tells us but like intelligent beings. I don't see any contradiction."there is a biological explanation for them" Gender roles are social phenomenon. There is nothing biological in them."You can't say "as history has shown" and not give any examples." Middle ages, social segregation and social roles. People are born with a role that society has assigned to them. It didn't work, did it?"Okay, so....? What's your point? That you're a special little snowflake and that everyone should tell you how special you are every time you do something unorthodox? Grow up." So first you said that you don't give a damn, now you're saying that I'm a special snowflake. Where is the argument? Your argument was that no one cares what I do which I debunked, what are you saying now? I don't need to grow up, you just have to open your eyes." It's survival of the FITTEST, not survival of the STRONGEST." So this is exactly my point. Since strength doesn't matter in our society anymore, then we should judge each other by intelligence, which is the same for both genders."However what you are doing is trying to shame the women that DO adhere to their traditional gender norms and stay it home with their kids, for no other reason than that they feel happier and more comfortable doing so." Nope, not even close. My point is that everyone should have freedom to do whatever they want. Men have the right to be feminine or masculine and women have the right to be feminine and masculine. When I say "the right" I mean they can do it without being criticized or hated by society. That's what feminists and MRAs fight for. When they see a standard traditional woman who prefers kids over career they don't complain about that."No it IS in our nature, the intelligent thing is often not the compassionate thing. " You got me wrong. "Intelligent" does not mean smart, it means a higher brain capacity, capable of interacting with the world on a higher level. When you see someone being stabbed your high capacity brain has the "power" to imagine the same thing happening to you which makes you feel compassionate. Other animals don't know what compassion is because their brain isn't smart enough to think of something else than the survival of their own body. Humans don't have the compassion in their DNA. When we evolved from apes to humans our cells didn't just suddenly start changing our DNA. ">"Hey guys, half the town is on fire! What should we do?"> "Light the other half, that way it will balance itself out!" " Your argument is based on the idea that feminism is something bad, even though that's what we're arguing over. Since I don't agree on your starting point, this argument is invalid for me."Yes that's because "feminism" is an abstract term incapable of being stupid or intelligent." Same here. I don't agree that feminism is abstract. It's not abstract, it's just people who disagree with it are too hateful. For example you. I don't want to offend you but I don't see real argument here, only insults."That prevents any "us vs them" thinking sneaking into your mind." Ok, I'll agree here. Feminists fight for women's right but then organize parties and events "ONLY FOR WOMEN". So they want to make everyone equal by segregating them from men even more, which is plain stupid."No, you're not.</p>	<p>Gender, Nothing, Snowflake, Woman</p>	<p>Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General</p>	<p>6/774</p>	<p>0.775</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

<p>The Earth Is a Cylinder!! "but like intelligent beings."Too bad we're "intelligent beings" but driven by emotions and instinct just like every other animal."Gender roles are social phenomenon. There is nothing biological in them."Well what's your source for that? That's quite a claim to make if you can't back it up."People are born with a role that society has assigned to them. It didn't work, did it?"Well actually it did. The problems people have with any form of segregation is a moral problem, not a practical problem."So first you said that you don't give a damn, now you're saying that I'm a special snowflake. "I don't give a damn how you dress, I do give a damn when you are entitled to everyone's respect because of the way you dress. You're not special and neither am I, deal with it."Your argument was that no one cares what I do which I debunked"No my argument was that no one is stopping you, of course people will I care. I just personally don't. You keep flipping words and terms to make it seem like you are right, stop that. I'm not an idiot."So this is exactly my point. Since strength doesn't matter in our society anymore"No, that isn't your goddamn point. Your point was that we don't have survival of the FITTEST anymore and you tried to argue in favour of it by switching ""fittest"" with "strongest" and then talk about how dumb it is to use literal physical strength as standard of measuring people. That is a logical fallacy, you knew it was, and you used it anyway. That's because you care more about seeming right than you care about being right, which is exactly why you haven't given me any sources even though I asked twice already."then we should judge each other by intelligence, which is the same for both genders."First of all, I'd like to see your sources that men and women are equally intelligent. I'm not saying they aren't, I've just never come across any studies that settle that matter one way or another.Second of all, no we fucking shouldn't. We should judge each other based on success, and success is achieved by a combination of intelligence, hard work and ambition.Even if men and women are equally intelligent, it doesn't matter. Because hard work and ambition are equally important, and I'm pretty sure men are dominating both of those areas."My point is that everyone should have freedom to do whatever they want."You already do. Please point me to the law that says you can't wear a dress."When I say "the right" I mean they can do it without being criticized or hated by society."No you absolutely do NOT have that "right" you entitled piece of shit. Your "right" to not feel "excluded" when doing things that exclude you from the rest of society doesn't overshadow anyone's freedom of speech. Feeling comfortable is not a goddamn right. God I suppose you're one of those people that's in favour of banning bullying too, huh?"That's what feminists and MRAs fight for."No, it absolutely isn't. And if you think it is you are an naive idiot. MRA's fight for a world without women, feminists fight for a world without men. Special interest groups only care about the members of that group."You got me wrong. "Intelligent" does not mean smart, it means a higher brain capacity, capable of interacting with the world on a higher level. "Now you're just full of shit. [LINK] Smart is literally a synonym for intelligent."Other animals don't know what compassion is because their brain isn't smart</p>	<p>Dumb, Gender, Nothing, Snowflake</p>	<p>Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>5/1070</p>	<p>0.467</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

+Kenny Naicuslik I'll bet you love it when Nerdwriter talks about "the Patriarchy ".	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/13	7.692
Gate? That's kind of weird . I hear slippery slope as a phrase more often.	Slope, Weird	Nationality - Chinese, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/14	14.286
I remember seeing a statistic that if you are a woman applying for a stem job you are more than twice as likely to get that job than if a man with the same credentials where to apply to that same job. Due to technology companies and university's being so desperate for more women in stem. There being less women in stem fields isn't sexism it's just that men tend to pick stem jobs. Same reason why their are more female nurses, evolutionary women's role where to care for their children so that her genes could get passed on through her kids. So essentially evolutionary women's primary role was to be a caretaker, that crosses over to the modern world and that why their are more female nurses because a good section of a nurses job is taking care of their patient's.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/142	1.408
people use evolution to justify all sorts of social behaviors, but you do realize that once you go outside anatomy and mechanism and start to assign adaptive significance or any kind of function to these mechanisms, that's interpretation and subject to bias! saying more females are nurses because of evolution is ridiculous. here's a more plausible reason as to why more females are nurses: (1) females are perceived to be better at the caregiver role, so throughout life they are pushed towards environments where they will learn caregiving skills/they are passively trained in small ways to be warm and empathetic, show more emotion, smile more etc. - you can't confound this with evolution! you don't see this across cultures! (2) structurally it is easier for a woman to become a nurse as opposed to a man since cultural biases EXPECT women to enter the field, so resources and opportunities are catered towards them in this sense; however, there are tons of male nurses! and this statistic is only growing (3) I can tell you that nursing is a far stretch from caregiving! I have worked in the ER and I can tell you that both female and male nurses have to acquire a set of skills to be efficient. taking care of children is very very different from drawing blood from your patients or setting up IV, so you can't make that comparison! "care" can be a very detached and specialized set of skills	Woman	Gender - General	1/244	0.41

so the argument is that when women see the shirt they go "welp i can't be a scientist time to be a hooker " and when men see it they go "ha women can't be scientist because this guy was a shirt filled with sexy women "	Hooker	Gender - Female sexuality	1/46	2.174
Wintermute01001 I'm not an MRA. I just think it's pretty goofy to get so bent out of shape over a shirt.If a woman were to wear a shirt with scantily clad men on it, I think equally heavy criticism would be just as goofy.Now go make me a sandwich . (kidding, kidding!)	Make me a sandwich, Woman	Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General	2/53	3.774
Oh look another social scientist who make a claim to know people's minds better then they do. It isn't like his perception might come from the small community that has secretly ingrained it in him. No this only occurs when it's the "cultural norm". What is the criterion by which you claim to know people's minds. What Im endanger of losing Mens Rea. Anything can be interpreted as sexism because the definition is so vague. Inaction is seen as a bigoted act regardless of whether my intention or even knowledge of it exists as though it some how benefits me in some way (which no one ever proves with data). I am apparently constituted as committing a crime I have no causal relationship to. so yeah people are going to get angry are you really surprise when espouse such dumb theories.	Dumb, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/141	1.418
+Wintermute01001 Yeah sure it is, it's not the other side crying misogynist and sexist for simply disagreeing with feminism and never letting anyone speak. As far as women "asking" to be helped I don't see what a T-shirts got anything to do with that. It's not a situation anyone needs any help from. Nor is getting into stem fields because they already favor women yet it's still male dominated. No it's not because they're oppressed, quite the opposite, they CHOOSE not to. In a world where people can choose what they want to be there will be gender inequality in all fields. There's more male construction workers, fishermen, mechanics, etc then there are female ones. At the same time there are more female teachers, writers, caretakers etc then male ones. notice a pattern. Men and women like or gravitate towards different thing simple as that.	Gender, Misogynist	Gender - General	2/145	1.379

<p>well the shirt just perpetuates a larger social structure that already exists, no one's saying that one girl looks at one shirt for a few seconds and then decides for the rest of her life that she doesn't want to pursue a phd. basically the drawings on the shirt tap into a culture that focuses so much on women's appearance and sexual attractiveness, and it passively tells women HOW to be attractive. (reinforced by TV/media/other agents that surround us all the time)? be made-up, sexual, have a good body, etc., and it's often shown that borderline rapey contexts are attractive. purely externally based. men on the other hand, there are plenty of examples where the physically unattractive intellectual is still attractive to others because of his mind (sherlock? romanticizing alan turing? any troubled intellectual protagonist - crime and punishment? any james joyce book?). so the male mind can be attractive, and internal substance can override external appearance. i have never seen this concept for females, ever! (if you have please point me to it, i would be so happy to consume some kind of media that glamorizes the intellectual female mind!) there's two concrete problems from this that i can pinpoint. everyone wants to be attractive! for men, they can still be ambitious and serious, and the attractiveness will come from their success or intellectual capabilities and that's totally fine. their success ENHANCES their attractiveness. a successful and bright woman will have to COMPROMISE her attractiveness to reach the same level. so it's a sacrifice for her. since it's a benefit for men and a sacrifice for women, it's only natural that more men would be ambitious and driven than women in that sense in this day and age. here's a logistical issue. maintenance for male attractiveness is very low in time and money it takes. females on the other hand have to invest actual time, resources, and money to maintain their conventional attractiveness, and that's time away from success!</p> <p>i'm serious. if you look at the amount of time women collectively spend on their external appearance, and if you think about all that time and energy being diverted to some kind of intellectual pursuit, there would be such a massive shift in female success! and why are they so strongly conditioned towards maintaining this standard of external appearance? because of media!it's a more complex issue than you paint it as, and it's worth thinking about a little more. interesting stuff.</p>	Age, Sexual, Woman	Age - General, Gender - General	4/412	0.971
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Your video fails to deliver a solid argument for one reason. You act as though objectification of ONLY women in marketing and otherwise is ubiquitous, as though every person isn't objectified. Undoubtedly, women have this occur more, a neurological study showed even women view other women as objects at a much higher rate than men. I think it's a mistake to view this as a problem, however. If we did not do this, we would pick very poor mates for breeding. Research shows that "attractive" features and "healthy" features are one and the same. If we are to change how sensitive a world we live in, define the terms. How far do we go? Do we silence our comedians? Our politicians are so afraid to offend, they speak often and say very little. It's what makes Trump look refreshing despite being an idiot. We should simply argue bad taste and call it done, anything else is a slippery slope. Sorry if this is a scattered post, I typed it out on my phone. I enjoy the channel very much, keep up the good work!</p>	Slope	Nationality - Chinese	1/184	0.543
<p>The most telling point is the comparison made between Kim K's photo shoot and his choice to wear a shirt. It even went ahead and spread to the comments. Whether it's admitted or not, the only way such a comparison can be made is if both didn't actually exist as they were, but instead only as images for us to consume. Kim K's photoshoot has nothing to do with Matt Taylor choosing to wear a shirt, and yet the comparison was made based on "The potential damage it did to girls" Why are we blaming these people for how 'damaged' girls are? I don't obviously mean just these two people, I mean this is a trend where we hold an incredibly small fraction of society to this standard where what they do must benefit what we want. In which case, their actions and what they mean to them individually means nothing to us, and the image of their actions and how we can consume them means everything to us. Matt Taylor does not exist, and neither does Kim Kardashian, at least not in a way that respects who they are as individuals. They only exist as a collection of meanings, feelings, and ideas that we have about ourselves and superimposed over and upon them. Understandably, I'm late the party, but I'd rather be correct than in the right.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	2/228	0.877
<p>When a man is portrayed shirtless, huge, bulky, sweaty in a game it's a male power fantasy. If a woman is half naked it's sexist and harmful to woman... Yet Bayonetta is popular among women. Women like to cosplay and feel sexy. We had 1968. We now know that sexuality is nothing bad, unnatural but on the contrary: necessary. Yet bigoted feminists try to drag us back to the 18th century. I advise everyone that has a problem with naked bodies: become a muslim and wear a burqua.</p>	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/88	3.409

In the case of this shirt, which was drawn and designed by a woman , does that mean there's no problem? In a larger sense, when talking about fictional characters, who can we say is holding the camera. If the problem you have with female portrayal is that men are behind the camera, does that mean that only women are allowed to portray, create, write for and photograph other women? Is the opposite true for men?	Woman	Gender - General	1/75	1.333
I think you're oversimplifying how sexualization functions. The way we interpret images can vary drastically—a fact that cannot be discounted even if some people are not offended. The line between empowerment and denigration, harmless fun and damaging objectification is thin and unclear, however this is a line that we cannot ignore, and should try to better understand how to not fall onto the harmful side of it.	Thin	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/67	1.493

<p>When it comes to this kind of stuff you gotta keep in mind whos creating the media. So girls and guys and everyone else like dressing sexy in cosplay, which is good for them, because they are a human being that decided for themselves to dress like that, and they wanted to feel sexy. But when men create a female character that is hyper sexual, then you can't say its a person making a choice for themselves, because its a person giving a fictional character this hyper sexual persona. And this wouldn't be a problem, because there are woman who like being sexual, if it weren't for the over abundance of this. Artists, unless they are going for the opposite, usually portray what they think is the 'ideal', and this has been that way for like centuries, back to the Paleolithic era. Male artists will portray women as sex symbols and men muscly because thats the ideal to them. However, at least for straight men, they identify as the men, and portray the ideal of what they wish they were, and the women as what they wish they had. Like how in comic books for many decades (recently they have made changes tho) every single female character was designed as hyper sexualized in revealing outfits and heels and such. They gave not nearly enough variety in women as men did, with some men being supermen and some being doomsday. If they had some women in hyper sexualized outfits and some in more modest clothing, it would be fine, but they didn't, and instead created a culture where a majority woman had to wear this tiny skirts and heels to be heroes or important.And yeah, men can be sexualized too, and it does happen, but to the overwhelming extent that women are. And some women do like this sexualized culture, but a lot of women don't, and can't really escape it. A lot of women who find hyperventilation disempowering will always feel that way because so much of media and society is misogynistic. It also creates expectaions for men that women will never reach, because they are fed ideals made by other men, that are so over abundant in our culture they aren't seen as ideal, but the norm.TLDR Women being portrayed as sexy and half naked are called out for being harmful towards women because there isn't enough variety and enough woman NOT being hyper sexualized, while men do have that variety. In real life, people do make the choice to be sexy and thats okay. Women like Bayonetta because Bayonetta is a good game.</p>	Sex, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	7/437	1.602
<p>Ceraynay Bayonetta was created by a woman. So argument already refuted.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/11	9.091

<p>Yeah I agree people are making a change and it is a conscious change but it happens slowly, definetly not in a 5 to 10 year period, no change could ever happen that fast. But I don't think there will ever be an 'enough', people will still make sexist portrayals and people will complain about everything, we'll never be perfect. But I guess the realistic ideal for portrayal of women in games and such is when the hyper sexualized woman isn't the norm, and it's more equal to the portrayal of men now. I don't think it's an issue even of "Men making Games/art/movies are all perverted and only protray women as sex objects!" but for most creators making these hyper sexual women, its just always been the norm, since the days of women not being allowed to make these kinds of things, and men just stayed with this flow. And now these discussions and converstions have people realizing that maybe its not okay to always make women always super sexy, but to branch out and make different types of women.Also, kind of seperate to address your last issue, but I believe that discussions like this should always continue. Even for things like racism and anything that ends with -phobic, people continuing these debates and discussions are necessary to make progress. If not, then we would be the same morally forever. We could argue about sexism and the portrayal of women forever and never be 'perfect', but we would get alot farther than if we just gave up and never talked about it. It kinda sucks but discussions like this encourage progress.TLDR: We are making progress towards equality because of the continuous discussion, and, even though things will never be perfect, we shouldn continue these discussions to learn more about how we could be better and compromise</p>	Racism, Sex, Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/309	1.618
<p>I wouldn't say so, I mean, the argument is mostly about some men only creating sexy women and not branching out and showing diversity in women. My argument wasn't that no woman could be sexy ever, just that there was an over saturation of it. Some women find sexiness empowering, like I'm guessing Bayonettas creator did, and some don't, women are all different. Some women like Bayonetta, some don't, some like her because of her sexiness, some dont, some like her despite of it, some like her without even thinking about it, some dislike her because of it, we're all different. I'm guessing a lot just like her because its a good game. Really, Bayonetta was the most insignificant part of my statement.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/123	0.813
<p>L0LWTF1337 well actually some feminists think it's empowering to embrace female sexuality as long as it is their choice to. They have a problem with it when their sexuality is used by men for men's own sexual gratification.</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	1/38	2.632

I think the real point about this argument was that the shirt was bought for him by a female friend - he most likely wore it out of respect for her, so the backlash was ultimately rather petty considering the criticisms came from ivory-tower middle class journalists who most likely no nothing about science or the man himself.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/58	1.724
Lets not forget the thin skinned anti sjws.	Thin	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/8	12.5
Some people are stupid but not just Americans! That's racist to just say Americans are bad and get easily offended. I think you non-amercians are jealous cause we are free	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/30	3.333
Gabrielle Freeman Swagger xD American is not a race ... So try and rework that thought	Race	Ethnicity - General	1/15	6.667
Pleasure is used to give an enemy a touch of humanity, something to relate to. It's not meant as an insult or an accusation. There are nice things even your enemy can see in you or say about you. Not everything enemies say about you is an insult you can throw back at them. Not everything you say about your enemies has to always be an insult or an accusation all the time either. Some dehumanize their enemies so they can hurt them without feeling guilty right away, others humanize them so they don't end up accidentally hurting them and feeling guilty for the rest of their lives. It has nothing to do with which side of which war you are fighting on. That's just a choice you have to make and consequences you have to live with.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/138	0.725
It doesn't feel pleasurable at all when you stick up for your principles, though you know you're going to get backlash. It feels tiresome. It's a mental drain. That's why it often requires a group of people (such as friends, family or otherwise community) behind someone to get them to come forward and say there's a problem. Even without direct harassment, people who moan about things are often not looked on kindly, it's been expected people should just put up with things. But look where that's gotten people. There's going to be a lot less people willing to put up with things from now on. But it's rarely, if ever, from a place of superiority. It's just people sick of shit.	Mental	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/121	0.826

People didn't even like MLK at the time ([LINK] check out the king center link if you think the letters are invented or otherwise fake news), I'd suggest looking into letters he was sent. Never mind Rosa Parks, who was carefully orchestrated to be the least offensive to white "modern" sensibilities. She was a strong activist all her life, and if you've ever participated in activism, you'll know how tiring that is. People see even the slightest bit of criticism as moaning, it's not even moralistic, you're looking at things from a total outside angle. It's about justice. By the way, do you think criticising the designs on a person's shirt in a televised interview, is the same as blaming a woman for getting raped because of what she was wearing? I saw that inane comparison made by a lot of people offended about what they considered censorship of fabric designs. In the same field of things, don't you think it's awful a person loses their job for wearing a shirt? [LINK]	Woman	Gender - General	1/173	0.578
That's definitely the case sometimes. But I don't think that's what he's doing, as he tries to be sympathetic to both sides. He isn't wrong about sexism in STEM, but i'm not sure addressing a gross t-shirt is going to solve the fact that men in STEM fields don't acknowledge women because they think they're inferior. At the same time... gotta start somewhere.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/63	1.587
+Maz H She is accusing them of discriminating against people on the basis of their gender , which is a crime. What she is saying is slanderous."what you're looking for is evidence, not arguments. "Evidence can be a form of argumentation, if she has some other form of valid argument I'm not just going to ignore it because it's not empirical evidence." your evidence will be a wide range of anecdotes"Anecdotes are not evidence. If you want to come across as intellectually superior, at least get your facts straight.	Gender	Gender - General	1/92	1.087

<p>Kenny NaicuslikMany white people discriminate against black people on the basis of their race, even unconsciously (test your unconscious bias on the IAT, great fun!) Pretty sure that's illegal in a fair few countries too. You can prove it by talking to black people, even people here in the UK. We have much bigger laws on libel here as well, and this still isn't slander (though technically if you were to actually use the term properly you'd say a type of group libel, which it still isn't. Also checking on that, if you want to prove something is libelous then the burden of proof is on you to show the harm it's caused, and that it's false) [also are you the type of person who gets really upset when someone criticises their work?] If you survey wide range of people in general about their experiences, that's always by some measure an anecdote, therefore a lot of people dismiss certain points of view because they've not personally experienced it. In an example of this, an abusive person is generally only abusive to their abuseé, and can be lovely to everyone else. So what needs to be disproven by you is that there's A. harm done with the above statement and B. so few men disregard women in STEM subjects that the sentence is empirically false (the sentence did not say all men, but the implications is enough men so that it causes issues within the scientific community, unless you misread it and thought it said "all men")</p>	Black, Race	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General	3/258	1.163
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	----------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>”Many white people discriminate against black people on the basis of their race”You are trying to prove a claim you can’t back up with evidence with another claim you can’t back up with evidence?”(test your unconscious bias on the IAT, great fun!)”Why do you assume I’m white just because I’m a conservative? That’s pretty racist of you....”You can prove it by talking to black people”Anecdotal evidence is not evidence. I can prove white genocide is happening too, by simply talking to a few of my local neo-Nazi’s. Doesn’t mean it actually is happening.”and this still isn’t slander”You still haven’t given me a reason for why it isn’t.”also are you the type of person who gets really upset when someone criticises their work?”No I think rational criticism can only make us better as a species. There is however a difference between rational criticism, and unjustified accusations of a hate crime.” If you survey wide range of people in general about their experiences, that’s always by some measure an anecdote, therefore a lot of people dismiss certain points of view because they’ve not personally experienced it. ”That’s because, once again, anecdotal evidence is not evidence. I will say that a survey that questions a thousand people is a better source than just one example, but it still isn’t empirical evidence. If you want to prove that women are being discriminated against in STEM fields then prove that women that are better capable of doing certain jobs are still less likely to get them than their male counterparts. If your claim was true then this would be a pretty easy thing to prove by any first year sociology student.”In an example of this, an abusive person is generally only abusive to their abuseé, and can be lovely to everyone else.”Yes but if someone is abusive against literally half the population, I’m pretty sure we’d notice. And if we wouldn’t, I’m pretty sure we could find evidence that suggests they do. ”Some scientist wore a shirt I don’t like” is not evidence by the way, especially if you consider the fact that the shirt in question was a gift he got from a female friend.”So what needs to be disproven by you is that there’s A. harm done with the above statement and B. so few men disregard women in STEM subjects that the sentence is empirically false (the sentence did not say all men, but the implications is enough men so that it causes issues within the scientific community, unless you misread it and thought it said ”all men”)”Hahaha nice try, but that is a logical evidence. You are making the positive claim, namely ”there is sexism in STEM fields” so the burden of proof lies on you. This argument is just as dumb as ”God exists because atheists can’t prove he doesn’t”.</p>	Black, Dumb, Race, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	6/486	1.235
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

Kenny Naicuslik Here is the empirical source you asked for. I also have plenty of stories of chauvinism from having a mother who was the lead analyst for a bank and a close female friend who is also a computer scientist (c++ developer) for a bank. So, if you would like to hear real stories of women being told by clients they would rather have a man help them, I might have to sit down and decide which one to start with.A quote from the study if you don't feel like reading through the whole thing:"Even recent international research has generated skepticism over the importance of biology in determining math ability, as findings demonstrate that girls outperform boys in math and science in several countries; the size of gender discrepancies varies widely by country; and that cultural factors, such as stereotypes and gender inequality, are related to the magnitude of these gender gaps (Else-Quest et al., 2010, Nosek et al., 2009, Penner and CadwalladerOlsker, 2012 and Provasnik et al., 2012)." [LINK]	Gender	Gender - General	3/173	1.734
+Daisy Millard For the love of God, how many times do I have to repeat this? ANECDOTAL EVIDENCE IS NOT EVIDENCE. Even if your anecdotal claim was 100 true, then it still wouldn't prove anything. It would just prove that sexism happened once to one individual, not that it is a rampant problem in the scientific community.As for you source, this is another quote from the study: "Although biological differences in androgen levels and brain organization may influence the gender gap in math and science ability, the current research has been largely inconclusive."Do you know what "largely inconclusive" means? Or did you just not read the study?	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/109	1.835
+Maz H You said I should take the IAT test literally right after claiming "Many white people discriminate against black people on the basis of their race ". It's pretty obvious that you assumed I am white just because you don't agree with me, and that me being white would fit your narrative."Kenny with the white fascist avatar." Milo Yiannopoulos, the gay Jewish author of a book about freedom of speech with a black Muslim boyfriend, is a white fascist now? Quite an interesting claim."Please, step out of your bubble and look up the definitions of things you're talking about"Well that's quite a vague statement. What are you even referring to?	Black, Gay, Race	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	5/113	4.425

<p>Kenny Naicuslik So your hyper reactive nature makes links between asides and main text? No wonder you're having such a bad time. [LINK] Also, while he might be ethnically Jewish, he's apparently Catholic. Either way just because of any of those things doesn't mean he's a good person by any means. He supports fascists, he runs with them, his supporters are fascists too, they even shoot people. Also are you claiming Jewish people aren't white? I'm pretty sure most of what you say is that reflected inside your safe space bubble. It's not like you even gain anything from these conversations.</p> <p>It's just a waste of time with people as sheltered as you. Here's a guess, if you're not mostly white you're at least mixed race, you think the world is too soft and pc, but you also get really offended at being called racist as if someone beat your dog, you probably think soros is behind most things you dislike, you're male and between the ages of 17 and 32, and you think the sociological concept of privilege is a zero-sum game invented to make you sad. You want things to be as simple as you thought they were when you were a child, and you think being called a sealion is basically a slur.</p>	Race, Racist	Ethnicity - General	2/216	0.926
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	---------------------	-------	-------

<p>Maz H 1. Donald Trump is not a fascist, stop calling everyone you disagree with a fascist. It devalues to meaning of the word "fascism".2. Give me any fascists Milo Yiannopoulos associates with, and explain to me why you think they're fascists. Also, associating with fascists doesn't make you a fascist. I have many socialist and communist friends, that doesn't mean I am one.3. Jewish people are not ethnically Caucasian, so they can't be white supremacists.4. Unlike most regressives, I have a life. And in real life you will find it to be quit impossible to shelter yourself from anyone who disagrees with you. Not only that, but I think it is very important for people with different opinions to have discussions. If I wasn't interesting in hearing anyone else's opinion, I wouldn't be having this discussion with you.5. The only reason my race would matter to you is if you were a racist.6. My country is too soft and PC, I wouldn't know about the rest of the world.7. The only people that don't get offended when they're called racist, are actual racists.8. There is plenty of evidence that George Soros is trying to mend the political landscape of many countries to his benefit rather than the benefit of the people, but I wouldn't say ALL or even most problems are his doing.9. You found out my gender by looking at my username, I'm impressed!10. Most people that use Youtube for other reasons than to watch Let's Plays videos are between the ages of 17 and 32.11. The only type of privilege I take seriously is the one that is recorded in laws. Like Saudi-Arabia forbidding women from driving.12. I could now throw a bunch of stereotypes your way, and describe how I think your life looks like, but I'm not going to. You know why? Because I am a mature person, and assuming things about people based on nothing more than their political opinion is very childish and unproductive.</p>	Communist, Gender, Nothing, Race, Racist	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/342	1.754
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>+Kenny NaicuslikYes, Kenny, I read the study. Besides that fact, I am a female who has actually lived through the sexist bullshit this study is explaining.First of all, what i gave you is not anecdotal. Please go read the definition of anecdotal. What i gave is empirical evidence (meaning it is based on objective facts, supporting research and peer revision). That means it's a scientific study to examine what is causing the gender gap in STEM.Secondly, the study used scientific method to further show that the gap is not caused by a biological predisposition in women to not like STEM based studies (as you so crudely finagled in your misguided rebuff). In laymen's terms, no women are not mentally inferior when it comes to math or science. This hypothesis has to be scientifically beaten to death because until now it has largely been the gas-lighten excuse for why there aren't enough women in the field. The study goes on to show that there is a direct correlation (a scientific term for a direct relationship between a statistic and a catalyst) between sexist cultural influences in those fields (ranging depending on the country but still existing in all countries) and the of women working in the field.Thirdly, just for shits and giggles, in science, largely inconclusive means not strong enough data to support the hypothesis. This, for scientists, usually means they are ruling out that hypothesis (think darwinism, if a species isn't evolutionarily competitive, it goes extinct). I have an entire family gene pool of scientists and mathematicians at my definitive disposal to don't even try to play the condescension game with me cause I. will. fucking. barry. you.Honestly, I have no further interest in arguing these well established facts and statistics with butt hurt males who have no idea what the fuck their talking about. So, Kenny, if you decide to grow a pair and discuss this in a respectful mature manner, I'd be happy to continue this conversation.Until then, go choke on your own clueless snark. You clearly have no fucking clue how much bullshit an intelligent woman has to deal with to full-fill her ambitions in this patriarchal world.</p> <p>*mic drop*</p>	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/370	0.541
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Fascists are fascists, even Godwin admits, and where do you see an accusation? There's a checklist for Trump btw. I could also get my book "Fascism Today" to give another sentence. There's no pleasure with fascists, why would there be any pleasure with them?</p> <p>Fascism is an ideology which society should not tolerate because it is an intolerant ideology. It's part of the paradox of tolerance. So, Muchina, why do you use the rhetoric of a time from a time when one of the biggest countries of the world isn't headed by a fascist government? Does it give you pleasure to pretend the world is only full of good people and accusers? Are you expecting me to say, "Oh no, j'accuse, that means I am the bad person for assuming powerful and continuing nationalism, disdain of human rights, identifying enemies as a unifying cause, calling the free press as enemies of the people, rampant cronyism and general obsession with crime and punishment are signifiers of fascism, and then, even worse than that, calling people who support those as fascists!" Clearly I must be the evil one there for calling a spade a spade, when it's actually an alt-spoon. Also by the way, there's barely a discussion here. It's time wasting for all sides. It's more like posturing on the Milo-supporter's side , and I'm using it as a bit of a stress release.</p>	Nationalism, Spade	Ethnicity - Black, Nationality - General	3/233	1.288
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>+ Daisy Millard "I am a female who has actually lived through the sexist bullshit this study is explaining." [LINK] Anecdotal evidence is not evidence. You're a complete stranger to me so for all I know you're making your "experiences" up to push a political narrative. And even if you're speaking the truth, that still wouldn't prove anything. It would only prove that sexism happened once to one individual, not that it is a common thing in the scientific community.I know you think you gave empirical evidence, but you didn't. You gave a scientific article that summarised our knowledge on the subject and didn't make any conclusions because of lack of information. Meanwhile there are studies that prove that women in STEM fields get sourced more than men, and that when it comes to soft sciences the exact same statistics apply but in reverse.(I am willing to look these studies up for you if you want me to, I think still got the PDF's saved on my laptop)I will quote the article you gave me again: ""Although biological differences in androgen levels and brain organization may influence the gender gap in math and science ability, the current research has been largely inconclusive.""Do you know what "inconclusive" means?"The study goes on to show that there is a direct correlation"No, I read it and it doesn't."(a scientific term for a direct relationship between a statistic and a catalyst)"That is not what a correlation is, that is what a relation is. Two things can be correlated without directly being related."in science, largely inconclusive means not strong enough data to support the hypothesis."What's your point? If there was enough evidence then they'd have enough data to prove the hypothesis, right?"I have an entire family gene pool of scientists and mathematicians at my definitive disposal" [LINK] I wouldn't care if you're related to the bloody queen, it's not an argument." I. will. fucking. barry. you."Now now, play nice.</p> <p>Also it's bury, not barry. Barry is a name."Honestly, I have no further interest in arguing these well established facts and statistics with butt hurt males who have no idea what the fuck their talking about."First of all it's they're, not their.(Is it just me or are you very fond of making logical fallacies and grammatical errors for someone who claims to be a scientist?) Secondly, then don't. There is nothing easier than simply not replying"So, Kenny, if you decide to grow a pair and discuss this in a respectful mature manner, I'd be happy to continue this conversation."Quite an accusation coming from the woman threatening to bury me and telling me I should choke."You clearly have no fucking clue how much bullshit an intelligent woman has to deal with to full-fill her ambitions in this patriarchal world."Yes, just ask Angela Merkel. Fucking patriarchal Germans, voting a woman into office! Or how about Emma Watson? How dare all those sexist males pay money to see her movies?</p>	<p>Common, Gender, Nothing, Sexism, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class</p>	<p>7/508</p>	<p>1.378</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

+Daisy Millard No, it's not a threat. I'm just saying this conversation won't do you any good when applying for a job in a STEM field. But don't worry, if they decide not to hire you because of your immature and irrational behaviour you can just blame it on "sexism". If you can't reply to me in any other way than childish emotional reactions then I am obviously wasting my time with a spoiled millenial baby.Goodbye, and have a nice life.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/82	1.22
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	------	------

<p>Reply to OP:The things he does (like killing methane regulations) are concerning. But whether or not Trump's a 'facist' doesn't seem to get anywhere. He definitely has fascist tendencies, and the fact that he has a lot of ties to old white supremacist and the crime world in the New York & New Jersey area are deeply concerning. But it seems that to have a shot at the presidency, or any public office these days, you have to be an organized criminal. There was an investigative journalists who tailed Trump for 30 years and wrote about all of it once Trump announced his candidacy, i can't remember the name of it off the top of my head but if your interested i can find it for you. [LINK] This is one of my favorite journalists, he's spent his whole career talking about mass incarceration and on the ground writing about proxy-wars (look up his bio). Here's one of his most recent articles, to quote:"This problem is not going to be solved in the 2018 elections,' warned Akuno, the author of the organizing handbook 'Let Your Motto Be Resistance' and the former executive director of the New Orleans-based People's Hurricane Relief Fund. 'That hope is an illusion. The democratic apparatus will be completely gutted by then. We have to look beyond Drumpf. We have to look at the consolidation on the state level of these reactionary forces. They are near the threshold of being able to call for a constitutional convention because of the number of governorships and state legislatures where they hold both chambers. They can totally reorder the Constitution, if they even continue to abide by it, which they may not. We are facing a serious crisis. I don't think people grasp the depth of this because they are focused on the president and not the broader strategy of these reactionary forces.'I think a lot of Americans are scared of Trump as opposed to Bush or Obama (even though the policy really hasn't fundamentally changed) because Trump has no spin on what he does. Bush catered to a neo-conservative narrative, Obama catered to the neo-liberal narrative. If taking that veneer off what has been happening for decades finally terrifies Americans into doing something real about their authoritarian government, maybe it will not have been a complete loss. I also think it's interesting to see an isolationist hold the executive branch hostage while the CIA is trying to get it's next war (Iran) revved up.And your right (to get sidetracked), publicly scrutinizing one scientist who might have grown up with some questionable views on women doesn't solve a lot. But maybe getting more men to empathize with trauma women face will reconnect victim with perpetrator. I'm sure in the time since this all happened, a lot of discussion between different people has forced a lot of men to confront this issue. An amazing friend of mine, whose trans gender, told me after her surgery she had this huge reality change. She went from being a man in society to a woman in society. The change revealed to her all sorts of every day gendered prejudices that she had never noticed when she was a guy. I guess the point i'm trying to make is; if your a male, your not going to get the whole perspective. That frustration of being called out for something you thought was harmless (and, lets be serious, we ALL face that moment at some point) is an opportunity to express kindness through empathy for someone else's struggles.</p>	Gender, Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	3/618	0.485
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------------	-------	-------

In case you don't know, many trans people become victims of violence by discrimination groups. In some places (this happens in my country) the police don't give much attention to those victims or discriminate them, blaming the victim by being from a minority gay or trans.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/47	4.255
+Renan Silva What country are you from?Also, women have been using their sexuality to get things from men since the beginning of mankind. It used to be a part of the food the man hunted, now it is to get them to buy shit they don't need. Why make a problem out of it now? And if you are going to make a problem out of it, how is it that you think women are the victims while straight men are quite literally being brainwashed into buying dumb products?	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/90	1.111
Exactly. I was a bit disappointed to see Nerdwriter1 not go this route, when he just brought up that whole argument.To me it's a question about how self-minded vs how other-minded you are. An other-minded person, the type who feeds off Facebook and always thinks about how he appears to others will jump on a moral bandwagon and agree that the shirt is repulsive and offensive to women while an ego-minded like the guy wearing the shirt will do so because they have an attitude and to communicate a sense of how they view themselves or to have a striking personality. Could be sexism too, but excuse me... was anyone killed here? Was this really a crime against humanity?Also, half of those gender-studies are fraudulent, I've heard. There are first-hand accounts of women partaking college degrees in feminist-related sociology studies, science-history etc. that have said there's a toxic bias from the people running those organizations to shove viewpoints and agendas under the rug to promote feminist ideals. Somewhere with a small font several addendums in those studies have been hidden away and gotten lost as those articles have been reiterated and paraphrased across the media.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/197	0.508
Can you imagine being the woman who made Taylor that shirt? How awful she must have felt over the needless shit that Internet cretins gave him over it.	Woman	Gender - General	1/28	3.571

Food and sex is great and everybody wants that. Some people are just more expressive than others. There is a difference between being sexually expressive and having prejudice about gender ! There is also a norm about what is acceptable. Norms differentiate between countries and cities. Sometimes an expressive person could be persevered in a bad light with wrong translation of the message. There is also a difference between butt hurt feminists who need a small reason to jump on anything sexually differentiating and people who don't care about other people who might offend them. They respect the differences and agree to disagree. / edit: I fucking hate feminists because they tell you whats wrong and what you should not do. And i never follow arbitrary rules. If you want to resolve prejudice about woman you should teach people about woman . Inform them about what women like, what they do, what they want to do. Build experience so that people can make reasonable decisions instead of acting on prejudice. Basically its better to learn from a woman about woman instead of listening to whomever. Specially because people are different from each other.	Gender, Sex, Woman	Gender - General	6/191	3.141
No, most people were annoyed. This dude managed to do something incredible, and some shitty people fucked him over. "When the wise man points towards the moon, the imbecile examines the finger"Try going a day without offending a SINGLE PERSON ON EARTH, that is impossible. The world owes you nothing , it isn't against your rights to be offended.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/59	1.695
I'm a woman and I find it all a bit patronising and misogynistic that men are telling me I should be offended by a shirt or that some man wearing such a shirt is degrading me in some way. I just thought it was a fun shirt and showed that he wasn't dull and I was disgusted by the bullying that followed, which made the poor man cry. Sexism is not something you wear, it's something you do and sometimes it's men deciding that women should be offended by something most of us have no problem with. In fact, if anything puts women off science, it's probably the fact that a person can be publicly humiliated for what they wear.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/120	1.667
my sister was studying to become a scientist but as soon as she saw that shirt she became a hooker that's proof that this shirt is sexist	Hooker	Gender - Female sexuality	1/27	3.704
+Celtic Bird are men not allowed to make a comment about something involving the opposite gender then? Can women not comment on something involving a man? That's what your saying	Gender	Gender - General	1/30	3.333

It contributes to a larger idea of women in general. Not just the shirt, but the sexual objectification that is everywhere in the media, it is so common place that it just feels normal to mostly everyone, and it definitely has an effect on people’s perspective on women because of that. Sexism might only matter when it’s something you do, but being taught that certain sexist thoughts are okay, leads to people doing things. The more you think a sexist idea is okay, the more likely you are to do something in regards to that idea.Also how is choosing to buy a shirt and wear it not ”something you do”?	Common, Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/111	2.703
Nobody (well, almost nobody) is telling you that you should be offended. They’re telling you that some people are bothered by it. You don’t represent or speak for all women, so it frankly makes no sense to interpret that as a personal attack.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	2/43	4.651
May the flying spaghetti monster bless you! I am a women and I am in STEM fields because I love science. This kind of polemic scares me because it shows how much people can be dumb and mindless. At the end those moronic fascists can shut anyone down, even the most brilliant of scientists, because they don’t like there shirt?? Why are we even wasting our time trying to educate those people. Any women or men who bullied this poor guy don’t even deserve to get into our fields. When I first saw this guy I didn’t even notice his shirt I was just focusing on what he had to say because he was talking about science. If you cannot do the same, just leave us alone! Go enjoy your diversity studies, you future unemployed piece of shit.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/138	0.725
Like he said, it doesn’t matter if you personally aren’t offended. I a woman , am also not offended by the shirt. But the issues isn’t about whether or not the shirt hurt some feminist’s feelings but, to show how wearing a shirt like that on a GLOBAL webcast might add to a culture that already disrespects women. Also, as he points out in the video it’s 100 okay to respect someone’s work while at the same time addressing the shirt as an issue.	Woman	Gender - General	1/83	1.205

+Eli N.S Theres a lot your saying thats right, especially at the end where you bring up the annoying entitled super feminists just shitting on men because they want more power than men rather than equality. But if western culture totally respects women equally to men than why is that women in the US only account for 20 of the Senate, 19 of Congress, and 19 of the house of representatives? Why is there such an enormous emphasis on beauty that women who do something as simple as not shave their legs are publicly shamed or "making a political statment". Your just repeating yourself in that we can't complain because other people had it worse. Should we not fight against abuse in law enforcement because it's much worse in China? Should we not address the rate of violence against LGBT in our country because it's a death sentence in others? Women are not respected as equals in western countries, our worth is often placed on how sexually conventionally attractive we are rather than who we are as individuals.	LGBT	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality, Sexual Identity - Transexuality	3/178	1.685
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Cinkam Leonus Sorry, it's going to be pretty long. All the problem you are talking about are the results of women choices. There are less women than men in the Senate or the Congress but nothing is preventing them from enter the Senate or the Congress.</p> <p>Are there any laws prohibiting women to make up 50 of the Senate. Are there any studies that showed that women SAY they are not interested in politics because a man told them not to do so? It is the same with STEM fields. Go and ask women why they don't want to go into this fields. I doubt that there is any pole that shows that most of teenage girls say "STEM fields aren't women friendly, that's why I am not going there". If your motivation to become a engineer is destroyed by the guy in that stupid shirt, then I don't think you would have been able to succeed it that field. Maybe girls just don't WANT to work in these fields! I usually consider this issues by picturing a race. What matters to me, and what is in my opinion the real equality, is that everyone starts at the same point or/and have the same distance to run. People are different, some are naturally faster than others, so they will finish the race earlier. When your only concern is that there is an equal number of men and women in each position, you are ignorant these differences. It's like saying that you don't care whether some are faster than others, or they didn't have the same distance to run, you only want them to arrive at the same time. Equality of opportunity is more important than the equality of outcome. We should make sure that girls have the same rights to education and employment than boys, if they choose different paths than boys it doesn't matter, as long as it is their CHOICE. There've been many policies to force "equality" in the west and they are doing more bad than good. If you give someone a job just because of the identity and not there qualification, you might take an unqualified women and let more qualified men unemployed. Not only does it hurt your business but it also hurt the women who actually deserve their place because it will minimize their accomplishment.</p> <p>Take firefighters for example. Their job is very physical and the test is the same for both men and women but because men are naturally stronger than women (even though feminists pretend the contrary) it is easier for a man to get hired, therefore there are more male firefighters than female. But these women pushed the boundaries of what a women is able to do and became as strong as men, that's awesome!! Sure the training was more difficult for them, but the success is legitimate! If the requirements to be a firefighters are lower for female just to hire more of them, it will not only put people's lives at risk, it will also be condescending towards us because it's basically saying "ladies, you are too weak to become as strong as men so we will make the job easier for you". We don't need special treatment. Plus there is nothing wrong in not being able to perform as well as a man. Women perform better than men in some cases and in other cases they are better than us. What is this obsession with doing exactly what a man does? As long as everyone is doing what they like, who cares that we are not equally represented in every fields? If women are happy even if they don't work at the Senate are you going to fire some males senators and OBLIGE women to leave their job to</p>	Brat, Nothing, Race	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General	5/979	0.511
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Alright I don't really feel like writing an essay so i'm just gonna bullet-point some stuff and if you want you can reply if not whatever. I think you really went all over the place while only scratching the surfaces of complex sociological dynamics. - To get into the idea that women are simply disinterested in politics because it's boring is incredibly shallow minded and would take too long to dissect tbh so I'm just gonna let you go on believing that only 20 of women honestly care enough about politics to pursue it. AND that those few coincidentally get elected into lower tier positions or ones that primarily focus on child welfare, national healthcare, and environmental rights. I'm sure that has nothing to do with bias of a women's role in society. - Second I'm not quite sure why you brought physical jobs such as firefighting into this? I think you assumed I was under the impression that ALL jobs have to have equal hiring rates, which I strongly disagree with, especially with intensely physical jobs such as firefighting. But striving for equality in an office job and in firefighting are 2 separate things. -The fact that your saying "If you give someone a job just because of the identity and not there qualification, you might take an unqualified women and let more qualified men unemployed." already shows you believe women being less qualified than men is the reason that women get less employed. THAT IS THE DEFINITION OF SEXISM. Women being the obvious minority in political jobs for example is what's worrying because it's THOSE societal barriers that restrict women's involvement in integral decision-making processes. - Also men run the majority of cosmetic industries seeing as how they take up both the C.E.O and the vast majority of board seats in several companies including: CoverGirl, Estée Lauder, Revelon, Neutrogena, L'Oreal, and MAC Cosmetics. Although to be fair, men run those companies not because they're more interested in beauty produces, but because there are so few women in executive positions. -As I said before it's pretty obvious that a women's worth is most often placed on how sexually attractive they are, thus the emphasis on looks placed on us today that are constantly enforced by media and commercialism, run by men. Not by the gossip girls in high-school. Listen I'm not saying we can't do anything and that we're all just sex slaves with no rights and no free will, I'm just saying we don't HAVE to ignore things we find sexist. If you don't find these things sexist that's fine, Its' okay to voice your opinions, like it's okay for me to voice mine. I think women have made incredible progress in society but this last stretch for equality is that hardest to achieve because people keep trying to say we have it "good enough" and should stop complaining.</p>	Nothing, Sex, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/481	0.624
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Cinkam Leonus I get your point. But I kinda feel like it's not an issue for everyone. I mean if it bothers you that much, then try to change it. It's just that many women don't care about most of the stuff you pointed out, myself included, we will feel like things are already pretty great and we shouldn't be blamed for that. As far as beauty is concerned, even before it's mass commercialism women gave it a lot of attention (that's actually why it was massively commercialized, the demand has always been very high) and it will never change.I don't think the last stretch for equality is hard to achieve because people tell you to stop complaining. I think there will always be a little bit of sexism or sexist bias towards both men and women that will never be obliterated. There are both advantages and inconvenient in being a woman or man, If you are really willing to fight for equality you will have to abandon some female privileges that women in the west have earn over the years. You have to admit that they are many sexist bias that play in our favor, and feminists are not the slightest bit interested in giving them away.</p>	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/209	0.957
<p>Steeleperfect The thing everyone is missing is that it's not about the shirt. The shirt is a symptom of a deeper sexism that runs through the STEM field, not the cause of it. So maybe to some it is "just a shirt" but that doesn't excuse or explain the fact that women have a distinct disadvantage in the Sciences. And likewise, people who started bullying the guy and sending him death threats were not focussing on the underlying sexism that is the real problem because anyone who thinks that sending death threats is a legitimate way of expressing their opinion is a fucking moron and can't understand the subtlety and nuance needed to have a constructive conversation about sexism.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	3/119	2.521
<p>Banana Manchester Can we please not state that women have "a disadvantage" in STEM. Fewer women study STEM so fewer women will work or practice in those fields. The shirt is no more a symptom of sexism, as the boondocks is of racism. If people genuinely want to tackle this issue, do not nick pick and place blame on men for things women do or do not do. We are not children we make our own choices. Conduct research on why women are less interested or less inclined to study STEM. If some say "because men" that doesn't mean it's a societal issue, especially since quotas almost strong arm some companies into hiring less capable people simply based on their gender, sexuality or skin colour. Less women are working in STEM less women study STEM and the answer as to why is probably as nuanced as the issue of sexism itself let's not boil it down to *the patriarchy*. Shall we?</p>	Banana, Gender, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - Asian (East-China, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam), Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/161	3.106

I wouldn't go quoting Mike rugnetta on issues of gender . Nothing against him personally, but his thinking on this is highly delusional and far down the SJW rabbit hole.	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/29	6.897
hey man that shirt is evil it turned my grandma into a hooker	Hooker	Gender - Female sexuality	1/13	7.692
hey man that shirt is evil it turned my math teacher into a hooker	Hooker	Gender - Female sexuality	1/14	7.143
+Croakinc36 true. There's nothing wrong with his shirt	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/8	12.5
I don't see STEM as an issue. If someone really wanted to pursue a certain profession in these fields, then they would work towards making that dream a reality, as many female scientists, mathematicians, engineers etc have done and in the past and will continue to do in the future.	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/50	2.0
Its just a anecdotal experienced but when i was at a university in CA i encountered a rumor that one of the synthetic organic chemists had to add a male name to the paper before it was accepted into the journal. One way or another sexism still needs to be discussed. I think part of working on overcoming sexism and any of the other ism's is that we talk about it in this more balanced and productive way. I have seen way to many videos that were just destructive and would dismiss the apposing side as crazy . You can practically feel the anger in those videos. So, Thank You for a good video.	Crazy, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/113	2.655
We all want our daughters to have the same opportunities as our sons, but we never think about whether we are giving our sons the same opportunities as our daughters.Its politically correct to talk about how we can help girls/women achieve in school, but mention that boys are falling behind in all subject and you're a misogynist .Ten years ago Canadian boy and girl were tested. It was found that there was a gap in abilities: boy did better in Math/Science and girls did better in Reading/Writing/Social Studies. The Canadian government implement programs to help girls catch up and now girls do as well as boy in math/science, but boys still fall behind girls in Reading/Writing and Social Studies. Why didn't the government also make programs to help boys?	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/130	0.769

I lack green am gay XD	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/6	33.333
pleasure??? I think thats oversimplifying it a bit - I dont think its pleasurable I think its sort of a default way of thinking. With that argument you're saying people who are perceived to be superior are automatically experiencing more pleasure than people who arent socially defined as "masculine" (the role not the gender necessarily...)And thats definitely not true. No matter what the statistics say. People who are perceived as "poor" are sometimes quite happy, and sometimes not, same as rich people...it just all depends on circumstances. I dont think they're experiencing more pleasure, I think its just the status quo. You know "heavy is the head who wears the crown" I dont think Henry VIII was happy, I think he just had an assumption and so did everyone around him. That assumption was based on the fact that he was wearing a crown and was called a "king" and people bowed to him and thats just how you do things, no one questions it. i think his ego was being fed because he was winning some kind of game, but I dont know if i'd equate that to pleasure. pleasure is genuine and i dont think you experience it from manipulating or projecting onto someone. and if you do it doesnt last	Gender	Gender - General	1/213	0.469
PC gone wrong. If I say nerdwriter1 seems to be developing a small vagina, would it be taken as a sexist offense or a thing to take pride on? What a transgender would think about it? And what about an hermafrodite? Whats the sex of the angels? Why are blondes so stupid? How knows	Sex, Transgender	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Transexuality	2/54	3.704

<p>It's not, but sexuality is not something for every place and every time. It's very personal, private, important etc. It's distasteful to bring sex and sexuality into certain contexts. Sex is not something you throw around. An exaggerated example - if you have pictures of nude/half-nude sexualized women everywhere in an office, that absolutely contributes to an overall atmosphere that is not welcoming to most women - that's just a fact. When talking about science... that is a context in which you don't want any sexualization. It's just not the right place for it. The person putting up the pictures doesn't see it that way - to him/her it might just be some fun reference to some entertainment IP, but that's irrelevant.It comes down to what is tasteful or distasteful to other people.It's like a woman wearing a t-shirt with scantily clad male strippers on it. Would she get the same amount of reaction ? No, and it is definitely biased because of the fact that all of this began from a push against sexualization of women (and no one is going to worry about guys not going into STEM fields). Even so, would she get a negative reaction ? Depending on who she is, the location, the context (how formal/official it is), situation, yes. It will stand out as distasteful for her to do that in certain situations. That's really all it comes down to.</p>	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	3/238	1.261
<p>I think woman not being in STEM fields is partly feminist's fault. Telling teenage girls that STEM fields are bad for woman because of the lack of woman is a self fulfilling prophecy</p>	Woman	Gender - General	3/33	9.091
<p>I agree that it was unprofessional but that's not what he was told. He was told that his amazing scientific achievement is meaningless because we don't like your shirt. That is clearly absurd and saying he regretted it once wasn't good enough. He had to say that three times. You're not defending woman right's, you're being a bully</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/58	1.724
<p>What I saw HASHTAG SHIRTGAET as, was a lot of Puritan(sex only as necessity, shame women's sexuality, etc..) methods to solving modern sexual equality and the perception of women. It just came off as ironic to me. It was an overreaction, and there could easily be a nuanced debate about OTHER existing media. It undermined an achievement of mankind to satisfy some instant gratification on social media. The only reason this video exists is because the people who had a knee-jerk reaction to it are now over-analyzing their first and mostly wrong thoughts of a live event because of the heavy criticism from people who took a step back and viewed it outside the bubble of something that was happening for the first time.</p>	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	2/124	1.613
<p>What woman complain about woman who arn't in STEM?Woman Studies.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	3/11	27.273

I'm more disturbed by the pre-packaged notion that sexual imagery is denigrating because humans cannot perceive iconography without objectification. Why is it presumed that in order to appreciate a purely visual and even titillating image of a human, one has to be dissociative? Is it because this is the way it is or because this is the historical model we're used to? I think it's the latter. Because if the dissociative appraisal of the eroticized image of a human being is symptomatic of a dissociative attitude towards people, then it would be easy to assume that the eroticization is a cause as well as a symptom. But if we're using historical precedent, keep in mind that global cultures traditional place hierarchical provisos on human worth—i.e. humans aren't valuable until you measure their qualities such as status, gender , race , religion, clan membership, etc.—so there's all these dissociating attitudes that tailor the behavior, and then the symptom becomes an expression of it. We're not used to the idea of an eroticized or iconic representation of a human independent of a message or culturally reinforced intention. We can't imagine the idea that someone can wear an eroticized shirt and see women as equal humans because in order to do so, he couldn't be interested in those kinds of displays (I'm not using Matt Taylor as an example because I don't know him...I'm referring to a hypothetical male). The presumption that sexualization is denigrating seems to be as much of a problem as sexualization being used to denigrate is. Sexuality is (for the most part) an integral PART of the human psyche. It would seem that the issue about sexualization is more to do with conceptual integration failure rather than sexual imagery itself.	Gender, Race, Sexual	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/289	1.384
The reason people were pissed was because people were calling him a fucking SEXIST. Feminists and SJWs were completely ignoring the scientists amazing work simply because of what he was wearing, a shirt that was designed by a woman mind you. So let me get this one right, feminists can walk down the street with their tits hanging out and call it a "slut walk" but a man can't wear a shirt that depicts women presented in a sexual way? That's fucking retarded.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/83	2.41
+Stacey Stakeley I corrected it to girls because they both had sexual relations with very underaged girls.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/17	5.882
Jim Halpert He married his adopted daughter. If you want to pretend nothing happened until she was eighteen, you may, but . . .	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/24	4.167
Norway is among the top 3 most gender equal societies in the world. What happen is that the more equal things get the more traditional work women chose. Can you explain this?	Gender	Gender - General	1/32	3.125

There are active efforts to get more young women involved in STEM. How about interviewing women who work in STEM, doing a workshop at a school or donating to a relevant charity instead of focusing on what one Scientist decides to wear in an interview? I know its a hot topic and so it gets views but my suggestions still stand. Making a big deal about things like the shirt will dissuade young women from taking up STEM. It is one shirt of many shirts scientists have worn in interviews but when you draw attention to it and say the shirt means 'Look science is a boys club' you are reinforcing that message. It is common knowledge that STEM subjects are male dominated and so you are not raising awareness for that. What you are doing is reinforcing the message that women are unwelcome in STEM instead of helping to change the state of affairs.	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/155	0.645
I agree with the concept of pleasure, but I must add that i goes for the other group as well. When you go ahead and try to criticize someone because of their sexism , and so on, you are placing yourself in a superior position. Its like saying "I know better!", "You are wrong, and I am right!", and especially "You are a person who hates!" which directly implies that I am a good person.. Not just a good person, but a wiser and more articulate one. There is pleasure in this as well, the pleasure of elitism. You become a moral law giver, the one who has the power to judge others, and that feels good. When I see people who are not educated, but have simply adopted, for example, feminist ideas, because it is hip to be a feminist, I see someone who will react to anything that can be, even so slightly, interpreted sexist just to get that "high". Then, if challenged, he will react with anger, simply because his power of authority is compromised. I myself am a left wing anarchist, I believe in equality of all human beings, and therefore i despise elitism and cannot support those who use "political correctness" just to feel better and superior then others. Humans do stupid shit all the time, and its our job to understand why, not just to criticize.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/231	0.433

Thinking on it is annoyance, I tire that I'm misogynistic, biggoted, homophobic , sexist, white, privileged male that "doesn't understand society". So I'll ask why even bother with people who make the assumptions based on sexist, racist , classist, and biggoted remarks. Here's why: they're started this blanketed "pc" culture that is changing actual policy making it illegal to be offensive and breaking freedoms that were once there to protect the people's rights.I'm sick of feminism going for the "matriarchy" as it's goal.I'm sick of social justice warriors defending people that don't need defending.I'm sick of policies changing so that there is no public speaking.I'm sick of groups like " black lives matter" ruining events and interrupting studies for people that PAID to LEARN with student loans and thousands in tuition fees.I'm sick of religious and anti-religious band wagons.Yet I still say this country is one of the best places to live because without the policies set in place, I probably would be dead including half my family and unable to post my opinions.	Black, Homophobic, Racist	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Sexual Identity - General	3/177	1.695
"Maybe this is because women just don't like science as much as men" is an assumption that is following cultural norms so invisible they seem like insights about gender .But, "A shirt like that tells girls that STEM is a boy's only club and keeps them from pursuing higher paying jobs" is a legitimate complaint that you empathize with at the end of your video.And then you talk about taking away people's pleasure away from them. Oh, like how Feminists took away the pleasure that a scientist should have enjoyed by landing a craft on a goddamned asteroid and brought him to tears over a piece of clothing he chose to wear. Is that the taking of pleasure you're talking about? Probably not.Did Feminists ask themselves if they are annoyed or endangered by a man's shirt? You seem to hold one standard for those who oppose the totalitarian demands of Feminism and SJW's and those they oppose.	Gender	Gender - General	1/159	0.629
yoooo this was crazy well done, very articulate	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/8	12.5
Everybody who is working in science knows how challenging and frustrating it often is. Someone who would allow to take silly pictures of a shirt into the consideration of doing it will nether be successful at it. In general, believing that woman are so indecisive and manipulable that such silly things have an impact is giving in my opinion a far worse image of them than a bit of nudity does.	Woman	Gender - General	1/71	1.408

Women don't care as much about science as men do, and that's just a fact. Now, I'm not saying that a woman can't be the next Einstein, but the thousands of researchers working at CERN are more likely to be men because men need constant stimulation because way back before houses, hunting was done by men because men are stronger, and hunting has a method to it that men learned from trial and error. In fact, the same reason there are more men in science than women could be similar to the disparity in video games (real video games, not candy crush). Science is very demanding, and creates puzzles that men enjoy solving more so than women.	Woman	Gender - General	1/117	0.855
You say that women experience things differently from men is categorically because of how women are treated by their environment with the exclusion of how women behave as a demographic. You put anything else away by stating that all Statistics found how women prefer a healthy personal life over pure money are purely stereotypes. But it fits with your biological need to display your inherent willingness to provide for women which adds to your positive self image. And if there were no people like you, the social power that comes with being a woman would be lost and they would be in an actually disatvantageous situation.	Woman	Gender - General	1/106	0.943
"Maybe this is because women just don't like science as much as men. If you're operating on that assumption there's little I can tell you save to suggest that maybe your assumptions are following from cultural norms so invisible, they seem like insights about gender ." Nope. Steven Pinker stated the former years ago, citing research from sexual psychology which, incidentally, he noted was the most female-dominated field of science he had ever witnessed. Men and women are different. No childish inequity needs to be derived from this, so get over it. Let people be themselves – which is pretty much what they are born to be.	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	2/106	1.887
Irene Adler "Years ago" as in 2002 (The Blank Slate), and subsequent research continually reinforces his conclusions. Human sexual biology evolves, of course – but over millions of years, not fourteen. Still relevant.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/33	3.03
So only 14 years ago. And I'm not talking about evolution, I'm talking about how research methods or research in general can change significantly in such a period of time. And psychology, is not biology. Even neuroscientists frequently state that they know pretty much nothing about how brainfunctions influence behavior. The nature vs. nurture discussion certainly hasn't been resolved yet, not by a long shot.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/65	1.538

Irene Adler "Resolved," no. But The Blank Slate was about the debunking of the "tabula rasa" view of human psychology, which became standard in the 20th century for political and not scientific reasons, albeit it was very well-intended. That's Pinker's point. His reputation precedes him as an unmistakable liberal feminist, yet he admits that his leftist colleagues have bullied him over his work, not because it's necessarily wrong but because they find its conclusions threatening. Sure enough, one of his chapters covers gender , and in summary, historical injustices against women (although egregious) do not alone explain the behavioral differences widely observed between the sexes; but that these differences are nothing to be ashamed of nor any cause for legal inequity in our modern age – so why not accept them?If you're interested, a PDF is here. Only 12 pages, but I was told that it is incomplete: [LINK]	Age, Gender, Nothing	Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/149	2.013
That's an interesting study (seriously). But most of the psychological assumptions he makes are not based on actual biological findings (he talks about them separately for the most part, implying that one is responsible for the other without supplying any in depth research that links the two together). Additionally the sentence " Many psychological traits relevant to the publicsphere, such as general intelligence, are the same on average for men and women, and virtually all psychological traits may be found in varying degrees among the members of each sex . No sex difference yet discovered applies to every last man compared with every last woman , so generalizations about a sex will always be untrue of many individuals." Should be considered. Plus, I looks like it's complete, the comics are after the concluding sentence: "Of course, just because many sex differences are rooted in biology does not mean that one sex is superior, that the differences will emerge for all people in all circumstances, that discrimination against a person based on sex is justified, or that people should be coerced into doing things typical of their sex . But neither are the differences without consequences. " And I agree with that by the way	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	8/202	3.96

<p>Irene Adler "[S]o generalizations about a sex will always be untrue of many individuals."</p> <p>Completely true, which is why I'm a supporter of a free society where people can become whatever they're capable of. But A.) this acknowledgement, again, is not a denial of those general truths about men and women in the first place, nor should anyone deny them despite numerous exceptions. Equality of opportunity is NOT equality of outcome; and B.) I think people are rightly bothered that feminists and even government policy ([LINK] are needlessly concerned with enlisting women into fields in which they are "underrepresented," even though doing so will potentially coerce a lot of young women into career/education tracks that they will not find comfortable. I don't think ambition works that way; a truly gifted and interested woman will make her way in a "male" field despite the obstacles, e.g. Marie Curie. "Affirmative action" for women will ultimately only hold them back. If one accepts the general biological differences between men and women that might affect what paths they choose (it sounds like you do), then how is it logical to demand PERFECT 50/50 parity in the types of careers they occupy?I will also add, one can only accept the good will behind feminist/government policy on this topic if one accepts the implied feminist mantra that "female roles" are not as important as "male roles" and therefore must be changed. I think the implication that mothers and elementary schoolteachers are not as worthy as politicians and CEOs, for instance, should first be substantiated by feminists, because I do not accept it as a foregone conclusion and decent society does not either.</p>	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/278	0.719
<p>It is not about perfect 50/50. It is about serious underrepresentation. There are no indicators that i.e. STEM subjects are favored by men because they are more predestined for it. And the same goes for women in leading roles (such as CEO's). I suggest you maybe read 'The End of Poverty' by Jeffrey Sach, a book not specifically about feminism or gender issues, where he states that a countries economic success can often be determined by how many women are elevated from a position of child rearing to being part of the work force. And of course mother (as well as father) positions and teachers are invaluable to society, but that does not mean that should be barred from entering higher positions (as a lot of them have already done). Again I quote your own study where it clearly says that 'Many psychological traits relevant to the public sphere, such as general intelligence, are the same on average for men and women, and virtually all psychological traits may be found in varying degrees among the members of each sex.'</p>	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	2/179	1.117

<p>Irene Adler I apologize for the late response. Firstly, of course countries of greater liberality (sexual or otherwise) are more economically prosperous. I agree. But wealth is a separate issue from happiness. I applaud women who make it to the top on genuine merit, but that is different from the concerning feminist habit of forbidding them (implicitly or explicitly) from settling for traditionally female roles IF that is where each lady's instincts call her, as is the case for many; given that men and women generally form different preferences and priorities for work and life, attempting to establish parity will absolutely result in more people ending up in jobs and lifestyles to which they are not inclined, and therefore, ultimately unhappy. This creeping, sanctioned androgyny seems not unrelated to polls which indicate both male and female working class adults (especially women) to be less happy than in previous eras, despite being more prosperous. Now, you may determine for yourself that ambition is more important than happiness, but that is not for you or any spokeswoman to say for all women, and therefore feminism would seem an affront to individualism the more political it unquestionably becomes. ...It must also be noted that the increased careerism of women may be considered a sign of social progress, but without women sufficiently giving birth and raising children, there will BE no society to progress. We must never suffer the hubris to forget that our natures evolved to make our species survive, and yet fatherhood is not denigrated nearly as much as motherhood is, for political purposes of all things.Secondly, absolutely no one has said that women should be "barred" from entering peak positions, least of all myself, and there is no evidence of widespread institutional sexual discrimination in the U.S. In fact, among millennials more women graduate college than men, and currently they are earning greater income on average.Lastly, I acknowledge that quote from my source, as all of them, but you keep misusing them to attack positions that I do not even hold. I have been applying generalities this whole time; did you think I was saying "all" women are a certain way? I hope not. That said, general intelligence is indeed the same "on average" for men and women, but still not identical. How is this? Men tend to inhabit the ends of the "intelligence" bell curve – both the highest and lowest ranges of intelligence – while women tend to inhabit the middle. For the question of ambition, this scientific fact – combined with men's larger tendency towards risk, both as it succeeds and as it fails – would perfectly explain why men are both more likely to end up at the top of the career ladder (CEOs) AND also the bottom (jobless, homeless). In other words, men get both the best and the worst of society, while women tend to stay comfortably or restlessly (as each woman's individuality will dictate) near the center. And yet, feminists seem to be arguing that women should share the TOP with men, but not the BOTTOM as well.</p> <p>No? This tells me that the movement is either missing the big picture, or chooses to ignore it. I would love to give them the benefit of the doubt, as I hope you can give me (after all, like all men, I have a mother).</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	2/561	0.357
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

So I wrote my masters thesis on **gender** studies und read several surveys and analysisis of psychological surveys on **gender** studidies. It's been over a year since Iwrote it and to give proper citation I'd have to dig up my paper so for now I'll just write what I remember from my findings, Ok?So, Psychology is a male dominated scienbce and like most scientists they have a certain assumptions what theywant to prove with their tests etc. so it wasn't uncommon that tests that didn't prove their desired result were simply ignored and only those surveys that did prove the theories were published. Also the surveys themselves often are very biased and the sanalysis is usually going to be in favor of the own assumption.I don't say psychology is useless and wrong but it is very very debatable and for every survey you can find or make a counter surveyFurthermore it is proven that the brain is built mostly during your childhood. So depending on how adults treat you other neural links will beformed, thus it is atleast suspected (here the same is true as above ofcourse! These people will interpret the data according to their assumption) that the different treating od girls vs boys leads to a difference in the brain. What is true though is that in primary school girls often do not believe maths and science to be only for boys but in puberty they suddenly tend to believe they aren't good in maths even when their grades are quiet good. Boys with similar grades will on the other hand claim that they are good in maths because they are boys, which is kinda odd. So basically statistically both genders do just as good in the subjects in school. nonethele3ss girls perveive themselves as worse!Also the way teachers, parents etc treat the two genders in this regard is important. Since many believe boys to have a natural talent they expect them to figure stuff out on their own, while girls will more likely get a more detailed explanation on the assumption she has a harder time to get it.I could go on and on, also bout how we only learn about male inventors and scientists in school although it was a **woman** who eg invented the first computer, and that there used to be pletny societies were women were equel to men or even regarded as higher! But I think this is enoughthe point is this is hard to prove. Sure there are biological factors, like hormones, sturcture of the brain etc. But Women and men are over 90 similar in their physiscal and genetical properties" We are more similar that different, and culture can even overrule some genetic properties!!!! I don'T think there will ever be any evidence, any answer to this question that cannot be debated against....

Gender, Woman

Gender -
General

3/478

0.628

<p>”Furthermore it is proven that the brain is built mostly during your childhood. So depending on how adults treat you other neural links will beformed, thus it is atleast suspected (here the same is true as above ofcourse! These people will interpret the data according to their assumption) that the different treating od girls vs boys leads to a difference in the brain.”But Steven Pinker already addressed your point: ”Contrary to popular belief, parents in contemporary America do not treat their sons and daughters very differently. A recent assessment of 172 studies involving 28,000 children found that boys and girls are given similar amounts of encouragement, warmth, nurturance, restrictiveness, discipline, and clarity of communication. The only substantial difference was that about two-thirds of the boys were discouraged from playing with dolls, especially by their fathers, out of a fear that they would become gay. (Boys who prefer girls’ toys often do turn out gay, but forbidding them the toys does not change the outcome.) Nor do differences between boys and girls depend on their observing masculine behavior in their fathers and feminine behavior in their mothers. When Hunter has two mommies, he acts just as much like a boy as if he had a mommy and a daddy.”</p>	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	4/209	1.914
<p>Feminism (specially the third wave of feminist thinking) does not have a ”mantra” like the one you state: ”female roles” are not as important as ”male roles” and therefore must be changed’. Feminism derives from political science and is, in it’s essence, concerned, in the same way as political science, about the relations of power in different aspects of social life. If you wanna go by Webber’s definition then Feminism is concerned on why and how Gender A can make Gender B conform to what Gender A’s determination of the world and it’s societal relations. You might have guessed it right, in most feminist approaches to reality Gender A consists of the Male Gender. It’s quite complicated, as behavioural psychology and biology can be, but I find it easier to explain with a word: consent. When you ask yourself whether there are enough women in the stem fields you have to ask yourself is it because they assume they’re not capable or that the stem fields are no places for woman rather than that they consciously don’t want to go down that path. In the case of Affirmative Action you have to look at it through an anthropological perspective, practices and the way that societal life develops through practices in the eyes of the younger generations will determine the world they reproduce for themselves. In other words, although affirmative action won’t solve the core problem it will help attenuate the stronghold that defined female roles have on our present culture.</p>	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	6/250	2.4

Aeg Cronlow General, yes; wrong, no. Why do similar differences exist absolutely everywhere regardless of culture? Anthropologists have discovered the dreaded gender norms among remote peoples who have had no contact with our civilization's " patriarchy ."	Gender, Patriarchy	Gender - General	2/35	5.714
Coolidge Dollar untrue. Actually cultures where women had a ruling position were indeed discovered. When I wrote my masters thesis (I'd have to look up the book again but it was a major MALE gender studiist) I read that there indeed were cultures where men were not trusted with any matter of money or property as they were regarded as less intelegint. Also there were several cultures in which showing emotion was considered rather a masculine than feminine trate	Gender	Gender - General	1/79	1.266
Coolidge Dollar "Why do similar differences exist absolutely everywhere regardless of culture?" They don't. As 89taklung pointed out, there are many matriarchal societies where men as seen as the weak ones. Patriarchy is more often social system because of worldwide religions, about 5 billion christians and 1.6 Billion muslims believe that men are better than women and god has created them different. Biologically: yes, they are different but in tolerant western countries more women are taking masculine jobs whereas more men are taking feminine jobs. Which can prove that almost everything in our brains is the same. Society has a great influence on every individual much greater than we think.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/110	0.909
Aeg Cronlow Feminism's greatest opportunity to prove that our ideas of gender are misconceived came with the rise of the "blank slate" view of psychology – the thesis that NOTHING about individuals is genetic or sex-based and that it is all environmental or cultural. While well-intended, the blank slate has proven inaccurate, as genetics influences much more than we think. In writing The Blank Slate to debunk the old view, Steven Pinker said he has become the target of his fellow liberals whose unfortunate notion that "different" must ultimately mean "unequal" pushes aside the alleged-uncomfortable truth about men and women. Psychology is being corrupted by politics, it seems. I assure you, I don't hate women, but they are generally not the same as men, no matter how they are raised, and convincing them otherwise from a young age is going to cause a lot of cognitive dissonance as their sentiments clash dangerously with their nature. Look up the notorious David Reimer case ([LINK] I will also point you to the work of Steven Pinker – who considers himself a feminist, by the way. His opponents' counterarguments tend not to attack his research because it is necessarily wrong but because they fear its implications. Don't fear.	Age, Gender, Nothing, Old	Age - General, Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/206	1.942

Irene Adler I fully agree that misinterpretation is a big problem with gender studies – probably the biggest problem, in fact, when it comes to those who harbor legitimate misogyny with the intention of "keeping women down." But I additionally feel that what is happening as a response is a dangerous over-correction, for reasons including those I've hinted at. Thank you again for your reply.	Gender, Misogyny	Gender - General	2/65	3.077
Coolidge Dollar "Feminism's greatest opportunity to prove that our ideas of gender are misconceived came with the rise of the "blank slate" view of psychology – the thesis that NOTHING about individuals is genetic or sex -based and that it is all environmental or cultural."Not only, there are many studies showing that children have no significant brain differences before entering the socialization process. And I'm not a feminist but I'm highly interested in gender studies."Psychology is being corrupted by politics, it seems." No, just no. One thing I can fully trust is science. And most scientists don't care about political opinions, they are after facts. That is what makes them different from SJWs or anti-SJWs, it's that they debate over studies and facts not on opinions."but they are generally not the same as men, no matter how they are raised, and convincing them otherwise from a young age is going to cause a lot of cognitive dissonance as their sentiments clash dangerously with their nature. Look up the notorious David Reimer case "First of all, there are many studies showing the exact opposite. I know David Reimer but he is only ONE person. My friend is a psychologist and he told me that making a conclusion basing on ONE person is a huge sin in psychology. No psychological studies have ever studied only one person. So your statement that is based on ONE example is not trustworthy. How about people who don't feel comfortable with their biological sex ? Some people are born male but they just don't want to be a man. That would assume that sex doesn't influence gender that much.Also if you go after biology, then what do you think of the fact that X chromosome is much more active and someone who has two X chromosomes(women) should be socially more competent and active, whereas in our society men are seen the active ones. If biology really changes the behavior of an individual then why aren't all women funnier and more active than men?	Age, Gender, Nothing, Sex	Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	7/340	2.059
nah growing up as a female around other females, i saw how society made them lazy. They assumed where they should go according to norms and were mentally lazy. Business etc cuz its simple and easy. The intelligent ones became doctors but thats about it. Its almost like we just assumed we werent supposed to be engineers .	Engineers, doctors	Nationality - General	2/57	3.509

BatsAndBadgers On the other hand, I've known females who were raised identically to their brothers (typically from farm families, for the necessity of hard chores), and still the " tomboy " syndrome did not last long past adolescence. In fact, Steven Pinker has cited studies revealing that Western parents as a whole actually don't raise their sons and daughters very differently at all – but as I'm a millennial, I could have told him that by sheer experience.	Tomboy	Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	1/76	1.316
<p>Well fuck you. It has been scientifically proved that there was no difference between male and female brain, and that the only differences between the two sexes were only either anatomical, physiological or hormonal. Genders were built in a social context where we tried to take advantage of those physical differences, but society has changed in a way that any professional field can be accessible to men and women (I mean we don't have to hunt mammoths anymore in order to survive). But these ancient social constructs still remain into collective imaginary, whether it's through fairy tales, movies, prejudice coming from your own social environment, media... that a certain role belongs to men, and another one to women. When will you get over it. We don't live in the fucking Victorian era anymore, so stop taking women down. As a woman, it makes me so insecure to know the field (which is a scientific one) I want to work in is one of those where women are the most underrepresented, and how many times have I seen teachers treat me as if I were some dumb bimbo and roll their eyes whenever I asked a question</p> <p>but when boys asked the same thing or stupider ones, answer with a smile before making some sexist joke "from man to man LOL" before happily staring at my tits. You don't know what it feels like. It's bearable but it just makes me want to kick some balls.</p> <p>But I guess nobody cares, I shouldn't be complaining unless I'm being raped buried alive, right? Some of you are so anti-progressists and stuck in your weird values, acting as if your society was perfect the way it is, when really it's very inegalitarian. I'm not saying my country has got it right, we're still far from it to. But at least start questioning things the way they are and stop being afraid of the truth.</p>	Bimbo, Dumb, Nobody, Weird, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	5/322	1.553

I'm 17, you despising fuck. You're being so dishonest and disrespectful. You must be really confused in your life right now or be a real failure to lose so much time debating over the YouTube comment section AS A FULL GROWN ADULT. This conversation doesn't go anywhere, you're a really narrow person and I see nothing will make you change your mind. Again, you're one of those people who are convinced they already got everything right and they don't have anything to learn anymore. At least, as someone young, I'm still always being told to question my own opinions etc. Obviously that's something you don't do.I wish you good luck in life anyway, I think you'll have some hard times being as limited and bitter.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/126	0.794
Oh yeah and what you said in that post about anatomical differences supposedly affecting how both genders do in certain things is false of course. I've discussed that with my mother already who is a doctor (something tells me you aren't) who actually studied anatomy, medicine, and who knows a lot about how hormones affect us because she's a gynecologist, and she told me clearly they did not affect or mental ability. I personally give more credit to an actual doctor than to some stranger that read one fucking blog on the Internet.	Mental	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/93	1.075
I don't think the man, whoever it might be was trying to assert dominance on women. The sexualisation of women is a hard act to justify. Many people confuse that if you want to be a feminist, women must be conservative with their sexual aura, if you will, to perpetuate the idea of desexualising women. But to talk about equality means to also try to fix the large difference between the sexualisation of women and the sexualisation of men. We also cannot perceive the world's people as equal yet, so to say since each gender has particular traits. Men generally are more reactive to sight and sound which is why men like porn, if you follow. Women are the opposite, more stimulant to touch and thoughts which explains why most women don't watch porn, because there is porn out there that doesn't degrade women. Knowing this it must mean that the sexualisation of women will be higher. And to fix that inequality would mean to either bring the sexualisation of men up of sexualisation of women down	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	2/177	1.13
Both cases are problematic because the desexualisation of women tell women not to look sexy, be conservative and hide away those parts while the sexualisation of men means there'll be an uprising of a competition who will deem each other as hotter or uglier. I think the solution to these problems is to be more sex positive. To learn about sex , feel comfortable to talk about it and to understand that it isn't shameful. This frees women from the idea that feminism equals conservative.	Sex	Gender - General	2/85	2.353

sexualistioin of women by themselves isn't the problem - it's when it's others doing it to a woman or women that it is.	Woman	Gender - General	1/23	4.348
I enjoy your other videos because they are insightful. This, however is virtue signaling hypocrisy. You ask what those threatened have to lose? Perhaps you should ask what they have to gain from jumping on the social justice bandwagon? Seriously, what has social justice done for it's proponents? Humiliation, emasculation, shaming, "positive" discrimination and unjust disenfranchisement is what these useful idiots receive. True altruism does not exist in a healthy mind. A man that gives up his body so that his fellow stranded sailors can eat is not of healthy mind; especially if there's food enough to go around.You say they do it because it feels good to be a winner? Ok; now explain without bringing in any lofty pie-in-the-sky altruistic reasons why they should give that feeling up.Or explain what you are afraid of, what are you threatened by when it comes to that good feeling people have.Or how revealing pictures of women exploit women, not the male consumer who is duped by psychologists puppeteered by corporate interests.I am disappointed, yet not surprised. Call this an ad-hominem, but you look effeminate enough that sucking up is your primary mating strategy.	Effeminate	Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	1/195	0.513
Sexism is about stupidity, not dominance. Men will always be the more dominant sex but that doesn't mean men should be on top of society. It usually only means they're on top in the bedroom and in interpersonal relationships but certainly not intellect or strength of will.	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	2/47	4.255
I have to say i like a lot of your other videos but this one I have to disagree with you on this one. the majority of the conversation here was about his shirt going as far as for them to say they didn't care what he did he was a sexist pig for wearing that shirt and it out weighted what he did. This is stupid. On that shirt does it look like they are forced? are models forced to dress like that? are women in movies forced to look like that? or is it their chose that led them to this? women may have been disadvantaged in the past but now they are the more privileged gender . we believe them when they say they are raped and go after the accused rapist with out even asking for evidence. they can abort a baby or put them up for adoption with out the say of the father, but if they keep it then the father has to pay for life even if he didn't want the kid in the first place. I could list so many more ways but this post is already too long.	Gender	Gender - General	1/196	0.51

You're one dumb piece of shit	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/6	16.667
What is it with liberals and their ability to speak calmly and sound intelligent while at the same time saying nothing and simply regurgitating theories without substantiating them?Stop body shaming Kim Kardashian. Some women are proud of the way they look. They should have a right to flaunt it if they want it. If you think images of beautiful women are holding women back from STEM then you're the sexist. You're the one who believes women have no agency; that they're just victims of a system. You think they don't have the intelligence to disassociate media fantasies about ideal body types with their own desires and goals. If you were focused on Matt Taylor's shirt, and not his accomplishment, then you don't really care about science anyway. All you care about is complaining and finding reasons to be offended.	Body Shaming, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - General	2/140	1.429
Cite your sources, man. The reason women hold 25 of STEM jobs is because they choose to do degrees that have nothing to do with STEM, if you look at the source below it shows that women actually make up around 25 of all STEM degrees so it is proportional. Stop distorting figures. [LINK]	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/54	1.852
Quite frankly, you're just wrong. Matt Taylor did nothing to discourage women from entering STEM-fields, and it is absolutely ridiculous to claim he did. Being as such, this claim requires extraordinary evidence, so I ask you, where is your evidence that this actually made a difference for women interested in STEM. Also, no, some women being offended doesn't count, unless you can show that it made them reconsider their previous interest in a STEM-field education.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/75	1.333

<p>A girl I knew felt the establishment was so against her that even the female counselor who joked that she might want to consider a different major than biology perhaps sociology was employing this discouragement and that she might take it up with the deans. Look, don't get me wrong, I think that if there are subtle signs, they need addressed, because like anyone a female may be an incredible scientist with the right work ethic, but frankly I have never not once heard of a woman being dissuaded from being scientific or pursuing a career in science except through the near sacrosanct section of culture that irrational people impose on themselves with paranormal, supernatural, astrological, folk remedy beliefs. I've met people of both sexes who believed in astrology, and I've had my own share of crap beliefs, but in the end, you check them and move on. Just be a scientist no matter what anybody thinks. Nobody can make the decision but you in the end anyway, regardless of discouragement.</p>	Nobody, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/171	1.17
<p>I am personally into a STEM field; a graduate student doing my PhD in Computer Science, the king of all "boy's clubs", and I personally have never seen any discrimination against women anywhere. Less likely to cite a woman? really? What kind of statistics are these? Of course it's less likely to cite women if there aren't many women in the field...Not because people say "hmm this is a great paper, let me look at the author, gasp a woman wrote this? pshh on second thought it sucks, not citing! haha male power, I win" All of this is bullshit. Women should start realizing that the STEM environment is one they now have actual advantages in over men since they have significantly greater chances of getting accepted into top programs than their male counterparts. Nobody cares about your gender, only your work. Also, people should be free to wear whatever the fuck kind of shirts they want. Sure you may not like it, but it doesn't make that person any worse or better than you. You are also free to criticize, but you can never prohibit this.</p>	Gender, Nobody, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/187	2.139

There is no reason that anyone should be offended by women being displayed has people that can better display there sexuality then male. There is absolutely no one getting harmed and you have no evidence to prove the contrary.You are claiming that we need more girls in science but nobody can tell anyone why, why does it matter if different people take different choices? I don't get up in the morning hating that i cannot wear a pink dress. Just like some girls don't wake up in the morning wanting to be scientist.If you are such a smart guy, then prove that, why do you want girls in science? Why do you want to rip them from which ever place they are now and put them in science? Why is this going to be better for them?The problem is obvious, we all claim <field> is going to be better for <someone> because we want to give them "equal choice", ,while in reality you are just giving less of a choice to the other group that is also trying to get into the field. All while you are trying to push more girls into the <field> for example you are replacing the positions that a guy could of taken by girls.This is not equality, this is simply minority bias. If you want equality you need to assume all groups are correctly distributed among fields.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/237	0.422
I agree that this shirt screams "stay away" to women approaching STEM fields, and I consider that a disgrace too. But also, I remember how the very same week a team of scientists voiced by Gabriela González (a Latina woman) announced the discovery of gravitational waves, the big feminist topic was Beyonce showing her empowering crotch in the Superbowl. So, yes, I feel annoyed at internet social justice warriors from time to time, but no, it has nothing to do with the removal of some misogynist pleasure I secretly enjoy: I just feel frustrated at how misdirected, ad hominem, biased and impervious to criticism they can get to be.	Misogynist, Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/109	2.752
"Women earn 60 of all graduate degrees yet comprise less than 25 of STEM jobs."Those facts sound about right but using them as an argument for a "culture of denigration of women" is false equivalence. A more qualitatively equivalent question to address the low woman STEM employment is: What percentage of women with graduate degrees hold a graduate degree in a STEM field? I would guess that it's close to that 25 mark if not even less since companies are encouraged to hire women to "balance out" the sexes. If the latter were true, this would actually say that women are relatively overrepresented in STEM fields in terms of equal opportunity.Different people with different combinations of characteristics, traits, etc. (including gender) tend to make different choices and that's probably a good thing for people in general.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/138	1.449

But how? I'm a dude so maybe I'm a bit blind to it but I haven't noticed any exclusion of women from any STEM.	Blind	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/24	4.167
There's nothing wrong with women dominating industries like modeling and nursing, and there's nothing wrong with men dominating industries such as STEM and oil tanker work.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	2/26	7.692
What I don't understand is why feminists are scapegoating specifically STEM fields for its low female/male ratios. Truck driving, construction, welding, plumbing, and carpenting are few of many jobs DOMINATED by males, even more so than STEM. If you are truly standing for equality, shouldn't you been targeting those jobs too? I would like a feminist to respond.On the flip side, there are also fields such as teaching, nursing, and cosmetology that are predominantly female. Are there practical barriers for males to get into those fields? For me I was just never interested in teaching, nursing, etc.Lastly, what is the inherent value of gender diversity? In other words, assuming that there are no practical barriers to any one gender and males and females can perform at the same caliber, how do we benefit as a whole from policies artificially populating a field with people one gender at the cost of people of the other who performs at the same level/possibly higher?	Gender	Gender - General	3/163	1.84

<p>I really couldn't get a sense of whose side you were on in this video. He's not wearing the shirt for the pattern, he's wearing it because it is made out of rayon, one of the lightest most comfortable fabrics to have on in a place like Florida, and also one of the cheapest. I live in Virginia, and every summer I buy a whole new spate of rayon shirts with all manner of prints on them, because it gets fucking hot here in the summer and they only cost 12 bucks for a week's worth of shirts. And I don't examine them that much before I buy them, I go based on the base color behind the pattern. A blue one, a green one, a red one, and so on. I don't even try them on first. Secondly, he's not exploiting any woman by wearing it, because the women on his shirt are fucking cartoons. They never were real people, they never will exist as real people. Those cartoons are a fantasy brought about by the imagination of an artist; an artist that might, in fact, be a woman. Women artists draw other women, shocking, I know. Can you fucking believe it? And not all women artists are like Marie Cassatt or Georgia O'Keeffe in their approach to drawing or illustrating women. Hell, Jane from Breaking Bad drew "Apology Girl". Are you telling me that wasn't a sexy drawing in any way? Now, if he had seven copies of the same shirt, and he wore one every day. Then your sexism argument has a leg to stand on, because clearly he is sending a message with his shirt. But why on that day? You might ask. I chalk it up to him figuring that it would be a success and he was going to leave work early and hit the bars to celebrate, so he dressed in his bar-going outfit, not figuring ahead of time that someone might interview him on his way out of the door. And also, the idea that his shirt reinforces a "boy's only club" mentality is plainly ludicrous. The shirt, by itself does nothing, because, again, the women on his shirt are a fantasy, most women don't look anything like that. You could pick the pattern apart in the same way, but instead complain that the women are all white and call the shirt racist, or you could complain that because there are no dogs on the shirt, he must also hate dogs. He didn't make the fucking shirt, he's just wearing it, and if he is anything like me, he probably didn't examine it too closely before buying it. My wife works in STEM, she has a doctorate in virology. We got married while she was finishing grad school. All of her classmates were women, and all of them washed out, she was the only person who graduated in her class. They didn't wash out of the program because of sexism. Some of them quit because grad school wasn't what they expected in terms of workload and pay (writing grant proposals sucks), some quit because of family problems and planned to come back sometime later after they had been resolved, and some quit because they did the math and a masters degree would be a faster route to the job they wanted. Most of my wife's coworkers are women. The statistics you quoted earlier might have been true at some point, but they are not true now. I've been to conferences with her and the male to female ratio is about the same as the general population. Again, I can't tell what side of the "issue", if you can really call it an issue, you are on, but the shirt is harmless. So is the guy wearing it. Your ire, if you hate the shirt, should really be directed at the factory</p>	<p>Nothing, Racist, Red, Sexism, Woman</p>	<p>Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General</p>	<p>8/660</p>	<p>1.212</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

<p>You might be right about some people’s anger towards feminism is fueled by feeling threatened, however most people including myself get angry at the concept of feminism because it is irrational and sometimes promotes false ideologies (Gender pay gap, Men and Women have equal strengths, Men should pay for women’s bad choices, We leave in a rape culture created by men All men are rapists, A girl entering the STEM field should be given special support, than a boy entering the STEM field because the latter is a male while the other one is a female, Women’s right to vote when they do not have to surrender their bodies to the state) etc. Now, I do agree with some of the Feminist perception of Capitalism, the very concept of it is Flawed.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/132	0.758
<p>It says something about our culture when the t-shirt a scientist wears gets more news than the accomplishment. Superficial, click bait, designed for one purpose only, to drive ad revenue by appealing to the lowest common denominator.</p>	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/37	2.703
<p>It really does. in a more enlightened society someone would go ”um, I’m glad for our further advancement into science, but that shirt was a little tasteless” and then people would go ”...oh, huh yeah you’re right!” and our dear scientist would go ”oh man I didn’t think about that, sorry!” and then everyone would move on.Like things I really want to raise hell about: A friend of mine recently changed labs because the dude who was running it openly talked down to and punished people with chronic conditions.</p> <p>They find out later that one of their labmates published a paper that used their research, and he didn’t credit them. Like that’s more worthy than a stupid shirt- how the culture in stem fields encourages open theft of research and ableism.But no, we have to get past a open field of adult children who have to get defensive about a shirt and their ”right” to wear it.</p>	Ableism	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/159	0.629
<p>An interesting discussion but I don’t think I agree, not entirely anyway. Part of the problem is cognitive and evolutionary science are still in an infant state. We don’t really know why societies came together the way they did, or why the same moral and value judgments of those societies seem to be in agreement. What we do know is that societies that espouse what we might call ’family values’ are more successful at bearing children. Population growth creates economic growth, and those in turn create knowledge, science and the technology we are communicating on. To tamper with that formula has often in the past lead to disaster, such as the social experiments in Soviet Russia, Nazi Germany and Maoist China. The worry I have is we’re chopping away at the foundations for a literate, technologically and socially advanced civilization and all we’ll have left is the ruined, toxic leftovers of a gender-fluid society that collapsed under its own arrogance and and (oddly) anti-western bias.</p>	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/165	0.606

<p>Your video was insightful and explained what feminists have been saying for a very long time, but because you're a guy people will actually listen to you, so I appreciate you saying something. Unfortunately, reading through your comment section makes me sick. People are so afraid to look inside themselves and see a monster, and see someone who is harming others (whether they mean to or not), and see someone who is afraid of giving up some of their power and realizing that they might only have what they have because they're better and deserve it, but because they were born lucky and into a society that automatically assumes they are more intelligent and hard-working just because they so happen to be male. You hit it on the nose when you said they're afraid to give up their power, so they go on the internet and write angry comments and tell women to die, that they hope they get raped, etc... to assert their power even more. I was accepted into philosophy masters and PhD programs and I'm one of 2 girls who were accepted into the program I am most strongly considering (I'm also thinking of doing an international relations course, which has many many more females and minorities represented). I'm scared, because I was an undergraduate in philosophy classes and it's so hard to be one of the only females in a class full of males, because even though I did really well in all of my classes and even though I know that I am good at philosophy, whenever I spoke up in class, I would be attacked like no male in my classes— even when I was putting forth ideas that a male would later say and not be attacked for. I even considered not entering philosophy and doing something else instead just because the sexism is so pervasive.</p> <p>Those little things make a big difference as far as being accepted and welcome into a program. So, yes, a shirt does make a HUGE difference. Not just shirts, but words on the internet (as can be seen in this comment section which is not even as vile as on a lot of feminists videos), attitudes, and everything. I am being sexually harassed at work here in Japan (one of the most sexist developed nations on Earth) and when I told people about it they said "Oh, it's just a joke," but it's not just a joke. It matters.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/412	0.243
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

I'm not really up-to-date on this shirt-gate thing, so I may be taking things out of context, but I'm rather annoyed with outcry against sex as sexism . If a person has images of scantily clad women or men on their shirts, then my first assumption is going to be that this is motivated by one's libido, rather than some declaration of superiority.Obviously, we shouldn't think of anyone as sex objects, but we can't just ignore that most of us find the opposite sex (or the same sex) to be sexy. I believe sexuality is something to be celebrated, and it feels natural to me for men to enjoy images of naked ladies. That's biology, folks.I'm not concerned with the focus on female sexuality so much as I'm bewildered that it's less common for men to get the same treatment. Here is where I suspect that are patriarchal society is at fault, but I don't think the solution is to demonize make horniness, but to celebrate female horniness.	Common, Sex, Sexism	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	6/169	3.55
i agree with what you say but the patriarch society statement.....an the shirt was made by a woman who is his friend and he wore it for her the day he was persecuted on stage and broke down crying and forced to apologize.	Woman	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
That's why in Communist USA everybody will wear the same clothes regardless of what gender they are or what gender they identify themselves as - one cut, onse color, one size.	Communist, Gender	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General	3/31	9.677
I wonder that pleasurable isn't the word for the feeling of dominance and winning. I think its satisfaction, to me its the feeling of relief at not losing, at avoiding that shame. In my opinion, pleasurable is a word more aptly suited to the feeling of connection, of equality even, dare I say. It feels wholly more satisfying even than winning, but its a different type of satisfaction. And its one that is found in nooks and crannies, while the pleasure in the context you're defining sexism to be is found practically everywhere, and it perhaps should be the opposite. Perhaps what I'm saying is that yes its pleasurable, but its not specific enough. The word becomes de-contextualized almost the instant its said. The further describing afterwards is a good thing that you added, I think, but it doesn't change the fact that the word was just decontextualized, and it decontextualized itself, almost. Or perhaps I'm rambling at nonsense, haha."In danger of losing." mic drop ;P	Sexism	Gender - General	1/167	0.599

<p>Your first stat is so misleading. It paints a false narrative of victimhood. So what if 60 of all Graduate degrees are women? Having a PhD in Art History does not qualify you for a STEM job. How about giving the stats of STEM-related Graduate Degrees held by women? In fact why not include even undergraduate degrees in your stat since most STEM jobs do not require a Masters or PhD. Also it is stupid to compare the gender makeup of the whole workforce. A huge chunk of the STEM workforce started their careers three decades ago (when there was sexism) so they bias the sample against women representation. The only honest stat to measure sexism in STEMs is by compare the PRESENT hiring practices of STEM companies. Are QUALIFIED men applicants hired disproportionaly more often than QUALIFIED women for comparable position in 2016? But most feminist don't what to find out the answer to my question because they are scared that it will not conform to their world view.</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	3/170	1.765
<p>The thing is that his shirt was literally made by a woman who was a friend of his wife.Its not that he was sexist, its that this could be sexist, but in this situation it wasn't. He's damned because of a circumstance that other people don't understand.That said, was it professional? Probably not. Should someone have told him to button up a lab coat or something? Probably. If a woman would get lambasted for showing cleavage with their shirt in a situation like this (which lets face it), then a man should as well.Another question that comes easily from this: Why does scantily clad women have to be a bad thing? I understand it can easily be one, but in reality its just sexuality, which is built into almost every human on the planet, male or female. I understand that it can often be a tool for objectification, but why does it have to be? Can humanity change that?</p>	Woman	Gender - General	2/162	1.235

<p>Answer to you final question: Freedom, you're threatening free expression. Love your videos, but you have a seriously flaw here.. the statistics you refer to, about women's jobs in STEM fields, and being publicized and cited, you took a MASSIVE leap from [It's just a shirt, and some woman even liked the shirt] all the way too [It doesn't matter, because the statistics show that it is harmful to the sociological disconnect between women and STEM fields].. You provided NOTHING concrete and just invented a bridge to get; He wore that short with sexualized females, and because of this, females are dismissed from serious STEM studies... You provided a light hypothesis, basically that women see sexualized women, see the emphasis on sexuality, feel inferior, than aspire to sexuality, but facts don't even support that.. In fact, there are numerous credible studies that show that females seeing sexualized females makes them feel better about themselves, that they too can be sexy and badass, and that female sexuality is a unique kind of power over the typically high male sex drive... and most importantly of all, in my opinion, is that no one should EVER be considered responsible for another person's passions and decisions, because of something as microscopic, in the realm of influences on ones identity, as a fucking shirt they wore, that may or may not have, and very likely does not at all, demotivate or stigmatize a group of people. Maybe he liked the design, maybe he liked the color scheme, maybe he likes attractive women, maybe no one should be so incomprehensibly sensitive as to let someones fashion sense offend them to death.. Everything is offensive and inoffensive to someone. It never has been, never should be, and is not any person's responsibility to build anyone else's self image, unless your anti-freedom. I would at least be able to understand criticism if it were something clearly malicious towards females, even though he would and should have the right to express anything he wants I would exercise my right to call him a sexist asshole, but he was CLEARLY doing nothing maliciously, he probably just liked the shirt, like a lot of other people did, he probably had no idea anyone could possibly be offended by it, probably because he didn't know people got as stupid as modern SJW's and feminists.</p>	Nothing, Sex, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/392	1.02
<p>3:46 "The feeling of being a winner"Winners have broader options in sexual partners."... of bettering someone."NO. The women on that shirt weren't his competition, they were an idealized representation of "reward."You say you're not trying to crucify him, yet imply that the shirt is an assertion of his superiority over his female coworkers who could also claim victory that day.</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	1/64	1.562

Lorcan Bonda "Women" aren't a monotone block. I look at a lean, ripped male model in a fashion ad and think "that is an ideal being expressed for this context". I don't think "I'm worthless if I'm not that."The fact that gambling is displayed on the shirt ... that means he thinks that's the only worthwhile way to make money, right? No? Then why is a celebratory display of sexual desires any different?	Sexual	Gender - General	1/74	1.351
It's interesting that you can state "women aren't a monotone block", while at the same post you imply that all viewers of sexually suggestive advertisement should follow your monotone, laissez-faire attitude towards it.Consumers of advertisements are all different, yet society is subject to all of that. Whether we welcome it or not, we are all affected by the societal influence of the Kardashians.Gambling images on the shirt is not the same thing, because nobody internalizes it – but the state showing images of lottery winners is socially damaging."On another note, suppose champagne is served at the celebration. This would means this lab is unwelcoming to Muslims and Mormons, right?"No, don't be ignorant. There is a difference between cultural ignorance and "unwelcoming", particularly on such a minor issue as champagne. All Muslims do not abstain from alcohol , but even those who do are not generally offended by non-Muslims drinking.	Nobody, alcohol	Age - Youngsters, Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol	2/152	1.316
Lorcan Bonda "All viewers of sexually suggestive advertisement should follow your monotone, laissez-faire attitude towards it."That's pretty twisted logic, claiming that having broad tolerance is equivalent to persecution of those with stricter personal standards. That's a typical Christian fundamentalist retort to secular policies. "Gambling images on the shirt is not the same thing, because nobody internalizes it."I'm sure those with gambling addictions would beg to differ."All Muslims do not abstain from alcohol ..."Not all women feel threatened by sexual imagery"... but even those who do are not generally offended by non-Muslims drinking."And what does that imply should be the response to non-explicit images on other people's party shirts?	Nobody, Sexual, alcohol	Age - Youngsters, Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol, Gender - General	3/114	2.632
Celebrations of sexual desirability are not appropriate at public celebrations.Unless you're a woman . You know ... equality.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/18	11.111

<p>I am a female chemist, and I do believe that in a completely egalitarian society, you would still find that women are more likely to choose careers involving care-giving and interpersonal contact, and men are more drawn to careers involving mechanical systems and math. The reason why I believe this is that in the most egalitarian societies that we currently have, primarily the nordic countries, women are more likely to choose careers in nursing, teaching, etc., and in places like India that are still very sexist, women have a strong economic incentive to choose STEM fields and are more likely to do so than in Nordic countries. Men and women are not completely the same once you look past their genitals. The same hormones that have massive effects on our bodies have effects on our brains as well. I say this as a highly rational, proficient female scientist. I won the award for the best graduate student in the chemistry department at my university. I knew I wanted to be a scientist since I was 4 years old and watching Bill Nye, yet, I'm willing to admit that a lot of the women that I know are highly gratified by working with individuals rather than ideas. Most likely, when comparing men and women on most factors, they are two bell curves that mostly overlap, but they are not completely identical. All of this is fine as long as we accept that the women who are in STEM fields are just as proficient as their male counterparts. Likewise, male nurses are paid less and promoted less than their female counterparts because we expect women to be caregivers. Sticking our fingers in our ears and screaming "MEN AND WOMEN ARE IDENTICAL IN EVERY SINGLE WAY EXCEPT FOR SOCIALIZATION" ignores reality and doesn't fix the problem. We are an animal that is fantastic at heuristics, but we need to retrain ourselves to approach every individual as just that, an individual, rather than as a member of any one group first.</p>	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/337	0.297
<p>Just a quick thought, David - I don't think many people think that that one shirt is driving women away from STEM. It's more like that's one scale on a dragon. No single scale, no hundred scales make up the dragon's armor, but it's a part of thousands and thousands of bits that taken together, are overwhelmingly powerful. In the same way, this shirt is just one (particularly loud and obvious) piece of a pervasive pattern of messages that say to women, "you're not welcome in STEM."Yes, the shirt was made by a woman, yes, he was wearing it to support a friend, no that was not a good choice for that particular event.One whisper is silent. Ten thousand whispers are deafening.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/124	0.806

How much of this is someone outwardly saying "You're not welcome in STEM fields" and how much of it is just an excuse? Also this video postulates that this one shirt was the driving force for many women not to embrace STEM fields. If a woman can contribute to science and has demonstrated value, no rational scientist, will ever deny her a place. All this to me seems like some people dancing with a "shadow monster." And again, if you have a talent, ability and passion that leads you to succeed than I doubt much will stand in your way. Science, unlike other fields, extends a warm welcome to who will push it into new and uncharted territory. Its acceptance of diversity is well noted through out its history. But it does not embrace timidity and is reserved for the bold. It demands strength of character and resolve, so this "dragon" you claim exists is easily slayed by those who fight against it.	Woman	Gender - General	1/163	0.613
Yah, if a shirt is enough to throw an ENTIRE gender off the rails of choosing a career choice, then there is a much bigger problem.	Gender	Gender - General	1/26	3.846
It's not that women are not resilient in the presence of weird sexy lady shirts, if that's the argument you're trying to make. It's that women are seeing these sorts of images and this type of messaging (the message being something like stem is for dude bros like me that like wearing sick bikini lady shirts that would probably make a 12 year old girl feel kinda weird) at young ages (elementary, middle, high school) and internalizing them. What happens to you as an adult man at your job (or maybe even when you were younger and first entering the work force) is pretty different. If you had been bombarded as a young boy by subtle and not-so-subtle messaging that your desired career field was not made for people like you, yes, maybe you would still be doing what you do (just like there are still women in stem) but it probably would have reduced the likelihood of most boys in your situation going into that line of work.	Old, Weird	Age - Over 65s, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/170	1.765
A lot of women LIKE be denigrated and viewed as sex objects you fucking gay dork.	Gay, Sex	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/16	18.75

<p>People are offended by this shirt because it depicts women in a moderately sexual manner. Well, what is it about female sexuality that is so offensive, even to the supposedly liberal and open-minded? This isn't about misogyny, it's about our collectively rocky relationship with sexuality. If this shirt was covered in scantily clad men, people would think it was funny and goofy. Why? Because in many ways, we continue to perceive male sexuality as funny and goofy. For us, female sexuality still carries darker connotations, something dirty and even taboo. When we see an image depicting female sexuality in connection with a man (Matthew Taylor and his shirt, Calving Klein's new "I flash in my Calvins" ad) our minds often automatically jump to thoughts of denigration and exploitation even if that isn't what is necessarily occurring.</p> <p>We think that showing women being sexual is degrading to them, as if women are incapable of being strong, independent individuals and sexual beings at the same time.</p> <p>There is definitely an undercurrent of sexism here, but it isn't Matthew Taylor's. It's ours. At the end of the day, WE need to sort out our issues with female sexuality rather than constantly trying to police it in all it's forms.</p>	Misogyny, Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	5/206	2.427
<p>Barna Bop Now this is an intelligent and open minded comment. Only problem is; women are viewed far too often as sexual objects, and this seems to set a bad example for kids and the way boys view girls.</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	1/39	2.564

<p>TLDR: I'm right, your wrong, also i gave up half way through spell checking this to study for AP examsResearch has shown over and over again women prefer arts, literature , and music, and social sciences (psychology and sociology). Women's often most preferred science is biology. Men tend to prefer math and science as well history. The reason isn't some collective cultural conspiracy that we are all a part of but just don't know it. It is in fact a difference in our very biology which influences all other aspects of ourselves. Men and women have biological differences no sane person could dispute that it is an observable fact, but what is often not observed or recognized is how these biological differences affect our interest and psychological differences. Why do these biological differences affect i cannot say and i think it is a question that can be answered but the idea that men and women are influenced, in every society, to have the exact same differences in interest in just an assumption that i find strange for a rational person to make. And it is a rediculace idea that we can destroy all hierarchys in human culture. Heirearchys exists everywhere in everyday life some people have more value that others, usaly depending on the sistuation. A mathmation has less value at a track meet than someone who has trained for the events there, yet both have less value at whietlifting compettion than a professional whetlifter. Someone who has stuided algerba and calculus has more value say when planing and constructing a birdge than someone who spent their time reading silvia plath and preforming shakespere. Peopels intrest in these feilds or activies is depenednt on a murade of things but since we are governe by our biology it is resable to assume that our biology affects our intest, and to an extent our study or practince in them, whihc would lead to greater profficeny in them. To take it out of the academic setting , when going to see movie you decied you want to take a freind with you, if the movie is a drama than perahps you will take your freind who is quite and shares intrest in film, rather than your freind who is loud, or don't have money, or you just dont like very much compared to someone else. Its not pleasure to particapte in an heirearchy, it human, and to an exctent animal nature. When you say people get angry over these issues i defitatyl agree with you but your resaonfor why; it takes awy popel's pleasure, i simply cannot. Its becasue when poeple make the claim that there is a socital and cultural consiracy to keep women down, despite what data says it gets poeple angry espssly because men fet much of the blame, not to mention and this is my own opion mind you that this guy right achived somehting great and insted of being celivbarded he is riducled for wareing a shirt taht demeanes women just gets me angry, this guy achived something amazing but instead he isn't great he's misogisnistic.</p> <p>Men are fucking tired of taking the fucking blame for so much shit taht we didn't fucking do its not our fucking fault dumb cunts can't get fuckiing jobs THAT THEY HAVE NO FUCKING INTREST IN GETTING!! AND WHEN WE DO DO SOME SHIT THATS AMAZING LIKE THIS MAN WHO WORE THAT SHIRT HE REIDCULED HE HE WAS A FUCKING WOEMN HE'S BE GIVEN SO MCUH FUICKING PRAISE MEN ARE FUCKING TIRED OF PUTTING UP WITH THIS</p>	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/605	0.165
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------	-------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

easy answer - annoyedfirst, if we're going to pretend that neither gender had predilections towards fields or subjects that are less interesting to the other then we are denying science - these gender specific biologic tendencies have been scientifically recorded in infantssecond, if we're going to pretend that women have the mental and emotional stamina to enter STEM fields, but are also fragile enough to be dissuaded by a genius's shirt then who's really flirting with sexism	Gender, Mental, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/79	5.063
I normally agree with the nerdwriter but in this case ,you seem to be making a more sense. To me that whole debacle with the shirt felt petty and instigated by a group of ppl who go out of their way to be offended. Most women i've discussed this with has concluded the shirt is a non-issue to them. I guess its easier to see something as simple a shirt than to comprehend or fathom the complexities of landing on a comet. It makes the more common among us feel equal or superior to this scientist's achievement. Or maybe it could be my own bias for scientific breakthroughs and pioneers who i personally believe aren't credited enough in our current culture of celebrities and instant gratification.	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/126	0.794
A woman can't sexualize herself, and queer women as anything other than unstable are still made practically invisible, so while it would have been inappropriate, it would not have had the same causes or effects	Queer, Woman	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/35	8.571
+QuikVidGuy A woman can't sexualize herselfWhat the fuck is that supposed to mean?	Woman	Gender - General	1/14	7.143
Aoty Because sexualizing is specifically reducing another person to a sexual object rather than seeing them as a full person. It's not difficult.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/23	4.348

Your question is SO ironic, because your question is inherently sexist. Let me try to explain.The 'point' would be the same, the only difference would be that the content of the discussion would be about that woman being the one responsible for unconscious and presumably unintentionally ignorant sexism . Women and men BOTH buy into the sexism system, to claim that " sexism " is only about MEN being sexist is... inherently sexist.See? Ironic, because you're effectively trying to defend against claims of sexism by saying that the people claiming sexism are... sexist. Indicating that you are already fully, consciously aware of the existence and nature of sexism , while attempting to subconsciously protect it's existence by not acknowledging it verbally.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	7/119	5.882
shiner ...? Huh? What are you saying implying? What does your gender matter...?	Gender, Shiner	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/14	14.286
shiner Thanks for not paying the slightest bit of attention. This is officially spam.	Shiner	Ethnicity - Black	1/14	7.143
shiner Wh... what? Do you not speak proper English or something? o.0 ...I give up	Shiner	Ethnicity - Black	1/15	6.667
a insane feminist would say: patriarchy ...because its ingrained into women they can not figure it outsane people be they a feminist or not: laziness	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
I have a question? What is about the Sexism in Art? When exactly is something sexist? I know Feminists on my university who think im sexistic when i use the word "Rape" no matter in what context i use the word.I feel like there is something we can and should do about sexism , but people really feel different about what is sexism and what is not. And the argument "i feel hurt" or "you sound like an asshole" arent relevant arguments to me.	Sexism	Gender - General	3/84	3.571

I caught a red flag very early here.Men wearing clothing with signs that may scare away women, Is no diffrent then a Christian wearing a shirt that may make a gay/transsexual scared, This dosen't mean the christian hates gays, Yet this is presumed and then you make this something to blame them by?Single mothers, Is a giant red flag to single men, Yet nobody is allowed to speak about this subject with out being shamed to the ground, Isn't this a major doublestandard?.. If this invisible 'Force' against women you speak of exists, Then its oppressing everyone in everyfield, And Women by no means is the most oppressed in this even if that is true.I'm not a MRA, a Feminist, Hell I like to judge people based on theyr actions.. So, When you go find your rope to hang your self a 'Sexist', Make sure he has a history of actual Sexism .. Because God may not exist, But humans does.. and lots of people are geting tierd of this double standard, Try not to let loose hell upon your selfs.	Nobody, Red, Sexism	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General	6/183	3.279
the shirt was made by his friend who was a woman as well and he wore it for her.....and people went after him on stage till he broke down crying and was made to apologize for it.....wtf!?!?!?	Woman	Gender - General	1/37	2.703
It's kind of like a black slave having to apologize for anything that may, Or may not of happend, Back before the american civil war isn't it?	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/27	3.704
Ancient Artsyes and its stupid; even if the shirt was not made by a woman ...let the guy wear a fuckin shirt...hell i thought the shirt was kinda cool as it showed women with these high tech robotic arms and one of them looked like a secret agent from the future....but shhh its offending us....its stupid	Woman	Gender - General	1/56	1.786
Threatened? Yea, I feel our freedoms being threatened over nonsense. That shirt is expression and nothing more. What's the difference in telling this guy what to wear and telling a woman to wear a burka? Both are bad, no matter what the gender of the person is.	Gender, Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/47	6.383

<p>To the idea that bigotry, sexism, racism, etc. is pleasurable... I don't think that is accurate... I think it would hit closer to the mark to say that these things are a reaction to fears and insecurity. That shirt reminds me of the boys on the corner. A person does not wear a shirt like that because they like to look at beautiful women, or because they want to impress beautiful women, but because they want to a at once cater to idiocies of "the boys on the corner" and assert a lording over position to any woman who would take someone seriously wearing a shirt like that. It's like setting the terms of the relationship at a glance. It is saying, this is how you will be evaluated... you either rate on this scale or don't and by taking me seriously you agree to these terms. It's like the scarecrow, the tin man and the cowardly lion delivering up the little girl to the boss behind the curtain who promises to deliver her home but leaves her stranded upon a stage humiliated before the entire community. And why? Because withholding what was desired is as good as saying you aren't worthy. And "the boys on the corner" are all about massing together in their little gang where everyone is forced to pass and asserting their presence, desired or not, on everyone's day. They may be laughing and indulging in the humiliation they subject others to, but they are doing it to escape their own lack of place and their own insecurities which dominate them so thoroughly that it defines how they act, and thereby, who they are.</p>	Racism, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/278	1.079
<p>you do realize the shirt was made by a woman right? a woman who is his friend and he wore it for her the day he was persecuted on the stage till he broke down crying and forced to apologize.....he wore it for his friend who made it for him who is a woman.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	3/54	5.556
<p>Draconis The Wyvern I don't see how that would make any difference... if it's true-I doubt anything said by someone who goes by such a ridiculous alias. I've heard women defend prostitution... in fact, Cornelius Vanderbilt had an affair with his secretary and her sister and encouraged their peculiar brand of "feminism" which was less concerned with the vote than it was with sexual promiscuity. Which might have been easier to accept as something other than a kind of Stockholm Syndrome if it hadn't been in a time when working people commonly worked themselves to death impoverished in impoverished communities, where union leaders were hunted, harassed, jailed and killed and Vanderbilt was the richest man in the country-wealthy enough to personally fund an invasion of Guatemala. You should read the book, Catch 22. If you ever get free of the video games, kid.</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	1/143	0.699

charles jurgus”if it’s true—I doubt anything said by someone who goes by such a ridiculous alias.” what alias? this is the first time i ever heard anything about an alias.....o also...take a trip on MMORPGs or other MMO games you can see quite a few long, weird , funny, or ridiculous aliases.”I’ve heard women defend prostitution.” the way you say it makes it seem you think its a bad thing.....is it really? if it becomes legal and we REGULATE it....its a source of revenue, we can put a end to women out on the streets whoring themselves and than being kidnapped or drugged and raped when they reject a customer.”Vanderbilt was the richest man in the country—wealthy enough to personally fund an invasion of Guatemala.”...your saying that as if he was a bad person...from what i could find he was born poor and raised through the ranks earning his money and he did not necessarily do anything wrong. he even supported the north in the civil war and donated a ship he made and even started a university.”Catch 22” ive read parts of it here and there....by the way...i do read....green heart, middle earth book series, walking chaos book series...ive read the hobbit...ive read a number of books.	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/211	0.474
lol, if you find the shirt offensive than fine but he wore that shirt for his friend who made it for him and that person was a woman ...an i find it hard to believe people are actually offended by the shirt because the robotic arms are cool and one of them looked like a bad ass with the explosion in the back ground as if she was a secret agent in the future.	Woman	Gender - General	1/73	1.37
Why are sexual images of women seen as harmful to us? I am a woman , I’m clever and capable and I am also sexual . Those to aren’t mutually exclusive in any way yet that seems to be the way a lot of people view it. No one would ever suggest that a man couldn’t be both sexual and a scientist so why should it be any different for women? I could be a rocketscientist by day and wear sexy getups by night no problem. Telling me I shouldn’t be sexual is what would be harmful to me.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	5/97	5.155
2:57 - I follow the data. No matter how many Athena Swan charters are drawn up it’s still up to the individual to choose and stay with STEMM (another M for Medicine). I’ll see how it really goes when my daughter reaches the age where she has to decide her career path and hear her reasons either way.	Age	Age - General	1/58	1.724
If a women had worn this shirt she would have been praised and celebrated for it. The man was demonized for something that ”might” have an effect on women.The lack of the need any proof in modern feminist ideology means they can run witch hunts on any straight white male they don’t like.	Witch	Gender - Female age and physical appearance	1/54	1.852
Isn’t calling all sexual imagery of females ”exploitation” basically slut-shaming? Since when is it wrong to portray women in a sexual light? Isn’t this third wave of feminism supposed to be ” sex positive”	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	3/33	9.091

I have taken more than 60 seconds to think about it, and I still consider it to be an annoyance. The only thing it threatens is the focus on the actual subject to misdirect people's attention to forced self victimization. This trend of hyper sensibility is going too far as you've pointed out, and your analysis tries to suggest it is only targeted towards women based on the narrative that they are portrayed in a derogatory manner, but consider the same narrative for men: super heroes are always muscular, with pronounced cheek bones and other favored male characteristics, women praise attractive sex symbols like George Clooney, Brad Pitt and so on. Why not consider that as a form of oppression as well? It's because that kind of self pitty gets you nowhere, and that's equal to both men and women, and that is equality.	Sex	Gender - General	1/144	0.694
Damn right I feel threatened! Not because of women, but because of, indeed, the mindset that comes with social justice warriors.Let me explain.The small town I study engineering in has two universities (kind of three, but that's besides the point): the regular, highly marxist university and the university of technology. While both schools have their share of similarities, there's also a huge cap in political stance and the general way of doing things. In the "regular" (no hidden meanings, I just can't think of a better term) uni, there is a very hostile climate, where the totalitarian and socially controlling and exploiting marxist ideology chokes out all opposing views and opinions, leaving behind an echo chamber, the intellectual void. In my school, on the other hand, the atmosphere is very different, the political background is secondary, and the things that actually matter are your devotion and skill. There is no political strongarming to gain control of anybody, there is just, well, engineering. Math. Physics. Architecture. Women have always been just as welcome as men. The " gender gap" between men and women is becoming more narrow every year, there is about 60/40 ratio for men to women currently. I don't see how enforcing an incredibly hostile, highly ideologically zealous cult would make things any better for anyone.Please, stay in your own "safe spaces" and leave us alone!	Gender	Gender - General	1/229	0.437
i completely agree. im going into mechanical engineering and i have friends that ask things like "why would you go into a field that hates women?" and i simply reply im not. i have many women in my family that are in these fields and they all say that it has nothing to do with your gender . People dont focus on your gender or other identity political nuances, it is simply merit based. If anything it is OTHER WOMEN dissuading young girls from the field	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/85	3.529

Women are simply a price for men to win from being the Alpha male. As simple as that. So is the man a bag of money and strong arms for the woman to win. To find this sexist is to hate the female or the male for their nature. And if anything is sexist, then that is sexist.	Woman	Gender - General	1/58	1.724
I disagree with some minor points of the video.You seem to associate the idea of wearing an explicit piece of clothing and prejudice against the "groups" people depicted on said clothing belong to.The lack of women in science and more difficult education pathe such as philosophy, math, physics and the rest of it isn't a result of some omnious, hivemind-like groups' doing. If anyone's to blame for that, it's every woman who does not try to major in these courses.Last but not least, the last ideas you bring up shouldn't apply to the groups you use as examples. Social Justice Warriors and the likes of them seek to silence and punish divergent (and ergo "dangerous") opinions. Orwell describes it spot-on, albeit his description is that of an extremist right-wing government, whereas in reality, liberals and progressivists assume those roles. For that reason, the backlash against them is extremely violent; we are being robbed progressively of our rights to free speech, of that answerd your last question.	Woman	Gender - General	1/166	0.602
the reason your so called " sexism " is "invisible" is because it doesn't exist. you have to reinterpret reality to assume it does. no one wakes up every morning and decides to hate other women. literally no one. no sane person looks at other women (in the western world) and says she is inferior to me. you can pretend its the 18th century all you want but that wont make it true. your pretentious crusade for justice is a pitiful attempt at self validation of your own moral superiority over a cause which is non existent. your actions create an atmosphere of gender based stigmatization and discrimination against young men who will face pressure to back off from pursuing their dream career so more undeserving and non serious candidates can replace them in the name of politically manufactured and artificial gender equality. men like me will continue to fight and oppose treacherous entitled men like you who will turn your back on your own gender for the sake of petty personal validation/some sort of financial compensation in the form of subscribers from the misandrist feminist demographic.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	4/185	2.162

I think the problem with sexism is that the world hasn't found a standard definition of what each gender is or should be. There are those who feel equality means that woman should be decent, respectable and essentially sexless in public where others feel that women using and promoting their sexuality and sensuality means that they finally own that part of themselves. It's a complex issue and there are a million opinions about it. The question is; how can we say what denigrates women if there is no consensus on what the ideal state of womanhood should be? What will please one group will always offend another.	Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	3/107	2.804
The flame war is over. Sound and fury, signifying nothing .	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/10	10.0
What about the fact that the guy working in space missions and astrophysics is a tattooed guy with a comic-anime-whatever shirt with glasses and a hip beard instead of a thin stuttering nerd blowing his nose running from bullies stereotype?I think that this altogether should convey that Anyone can go into STEM. This is beyond gender I believe.	Gender, Thin	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	2/59	3.39
I know this is an old topic, but as someone who has female family members wanting to pursue a career in the STEM fields, this bothers me. My issue with this type of argument saying that a simple shirt (which was a gift from a female colleague to the man in question) is enough to keep women out of STEM. To me, that's a more damaging idea than the sexaulization of the female body. Why? Because it's implying that women are delicate and don't have their own agency or will power pursue their dream of being in the STEM field. My sister is adamant about being a doctor. A shirt with a bunch of comically sexaulized women isn't going to stop her from her dream. She won't even let her depression and anxiety get in the way of that. So, when I hear people saying "oh look women won't join the STEM field because of a shirt", I have to call complete bullshit on that. Not only that but it's offensive to the women and girls already pursuing STEM but to the women already in the field who aren't offended by insignificant things, like a piece of cloth a man wore.	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/201	0.498

A lot of the comments in basically every video discussing this issue, including this video, showcase a fundamental misunderstanding of what the "isms" actually mean. In this case people are confusing the word " sexism " with "chauvinism" by no fault of their own because that is what they were told it means by the media, their peers, and society at large. Sexism refers to the systemic disadvantage of one sex , in the case of most countries women. Sexism does not refer to the individual, it refers to the system that the individual is a part of.	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	4/95	4.211
The dirty secret of sexism , racist ideas and anything else that so deeply offends is that its partially true.	Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/19	10.526
+Infame Kato - Manotas Pro (Manotas Pro.) And no, I'm not mad, its just that the fact that shirt generated a problem is one of the most ridicoulus things (and well... there seems to be a lot of em) that has happened in our "advanced" society, if the people who critized that shirt had any problem with the shirt, they should be critsizing the designer of the shirt, not the guy who could have bought it because it was on discount or was a gift from his uncle, JEEEEZZ, where is the common sense in this self indulging, spine-lacking and stupid world?	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/102	0.98
The point about pleasure in asserting dominance is really interesting. I am reading "At The Hands of Persons Unknown" which describes the Post-Reconstruction Era lynchings in the American South, and many of the discussions about black Americans being lynched also described the general zeal and pleasure that people took from it, as well as enjoying the creative ways that they could take another person's life.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/65	1.538
Foot, meet mouth. I mean do what you want with your channel, but this whole movement against the shirt does seem cruel once you see the poor guy who wore it sobbing over his choice of textile. Yeah yeah poor decision, but if we're going to, as a group, use something to communally typify the issues in an entire field, then as a 'talking point' it seems it'd behoove people to pick something more overtly antagonistic. As is it's just a poor nerdy dude, wearing a hand made shirt his friend gave him – cause holy shit he just pulled off some crazy cool things– ,who then shows up on TV sobbing his heart out apologizing to everyone (seriously I'm surprised he didn't apologize to the jews, poor guy seemed heartbroken) for wearing a shirt. Yeah yeah there could be a larger discussion about the STEMS but really, it feels like bullying for so many people to use one man and his shirt to host that discussion.	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/167	0.599

The whole premise of sexism is retarded. The sexes are not the same and that's a good thing. SJWs and humanitarians in general ruin societies.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
Please stop torturing the English language. Misogyny is not a word to use lightly and it's one reason that people react so extremely on this topic.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/26	3.846

<p>The main problem with current feminism, is its devolved into a witch hunt where its more interested than indicting more men with sexism and misogyny who in fact aren't. The actual practice of hating women and discriminating against women or supporting actual observable instances of clear prejudice, is less of a concern to feminist than growing into the very authoritarian ideology it initially set out to oppose. Far more often than not feminism and most feminist take an approach that it and it alone is qualified to define and determine all human experiences, particularly that of men, and render absolutist judgments. These days the indictments by feminism extend beyond actual sexism as an obvious practice, but instead into shrill indictments against men for assumptions of thought, interpretations of once banal words, and obscure meaningless gestures. Feminism isn't so much interested in equality but rooting out potential or assumed dissidents via the kind of call for over the top punishments for what amounts to Orwellian Thought Crime. Feminism, similar to Big Brother and the oppressive government of the novel, absolutely tolerates no criticism of its practices. To critique it is virtually an admission of practicing misogyny and once labeled, then whatever one has to say in critique becomes meaningless as the now misogynist's observation has no value. Feminists, never question the methodology of the statistics they depend upon and most statistics used by feminists are almost always the ones accepted that forwards a preferred gender narrative that under any honest scrutiny is overly simplistic, particularly when it comes to the lives of men. Feminist don't ask men about our lives, they tell us, and thus places themselves in a rather irrational conviction that they and they alone are more qualified to define and determine the life experiences of men than the actual men who live them. because feminism today sees itself as the exclusive ideology of higher morality and ethics, feminist truly believe that anything external to its label and submission without question examination or critic to its often hypocritical values, cannot reflect legitimate morality and ethics. Thus feminism embraces a form of hyper elitist absolutism. An example of this is when feminist universally declare that one is a feminist is one believes in equality and social justice and if one is not a feminist then one doesn't believe in equality and social justice. If on insists that one does, then they decide for you that you are a feminist. if you continue to say you're not, then they can only conclude one of two things. Either you're a feminist and don't know it, or you don't really believe in equality and social justice. Modern feminism has become in away a lot like the poose in the old movie "The Oxbow Incident" All too willing to operate on hyper righteous indignation, its own sense of self manufactured moral superiority and unexamined consideration of its mounting hypocrisies, double standard and fanatical practice of wholesale persecutions to realize that the majority of men they metaphorically lynch are in fact actually innocent. The recent UVA false rape hoax is a visceral example of the Oxbow mentality currently sustained by feminist practice. Its really a story that can best be summarized by an analogous destroy 100 innocents to get to the one guilty person approach. In the wake</p>	<p>Gender, Misogyny, Nothing, Old, Sexism, Witch, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General</p>	<p>11/740</p>	<p>1.486</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

Truth is no one cares, it's just because there's an open discourse about the topic. Sexism Is usually contextually used in topics to scapegoat. That's it folks. Men do it and so do Women. Heroine might as well be classified as the drug rather than the metaphorical sense. That's what sexism is in "Developed culture".	Sexism	Gender - General	2/54	3.704
Women have the brains to choose a field based on their intellectual strengths; not a shirt. Those who are most suited to STEM should be hired regardless of their gender . Imagine if the media was channeled into publicizing more pressing matters like the removal of pharmacy patents on life-saving vaccines.	Gender	Gender - General	1/50	2.0
+Fey Fée How many slut walks are there in a year? Is the new rule that you are only allowed to judge based on clothing when a man is wearing it? Or is it that only men are able to overcome the influence of unsettling clothes and have an internal locus of control? I personally would like you to retract your fascist sexist statement and keep your patriarchy infused thoughts to yourself. You are causing so much damage to young girls that it is revolting	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/85	1.176
It wasn't a fucking t-shirt.. It was a button up. Which makes it even more tacky .	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/16	6.25
Archivist psychologist shit about 'racism feels good so when sjws take something good away then you must be racist .' So let me answer your question. What is it I'm in danger of losing? Free speech (see Emory and many many other campuses) career and livelihood (Tim Hunt Jon Pisone, others) Education (Dalhousie and other colleges) STEM disparity has more sources than culture, and there are many more women in STEM initiatives and scholarships and 0 for men. There can be other causes than culture, such as evolutionary psychology (Prof. Gad Saad has looked into this) and how that impact the choices of individuals when looking at large scale stats.	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/109	0.917
i got some invisible sexism . when was the last time you saw a woman get shamed over the choice of her clothing? the secret of sexism is that it goes both ways. this guy wore a shirt, and was crucified for it because it offended some women. but who suffered more? the women, or the man who was crucified? why is it that feminists are socially allowed to condemn men over clothing, but when MRA's do it to women, it is the men who condemn who are the bad guys? sexism goes both ways, but only sexism against men is publicly tolerated. i agree with your final statement. everyone who just sits back and watches this stuff is in part guilty of allowing it to persist. modern western feminism is a sexist hate movement.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	5/134	3.731

Objectifying something is exactly that, objective. Whether that person harbours any ill feeling towards the other sex has nothing to do with the shirt, in this instance. Would you say somebody with a black person on their t-shirt is racist ?	Black, Nothing, Racist, Sex	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/40	10.0
His shirt was not sexist! Where is the evidence that the shirt he wore dissuaded any girls from entering a stem field? It's one thing to claim that the shirt is sexist it's another to provide evidence that the shirt is sexist. I believe no girls saw this shirt and thought "ok well i can't be a rocket scientist now" or had their subconscious hypnotized into thinking they don't want a degree in science. It was at most an ugly shirt and there is literally no reason to think it was more then that without doing a study to prove the impact of shirt designs on woman entering stem fields. feminists-overthinking-sexism	Woman	Gender - General	1/111	0.901
I learned something important today: I have heard enough about sexism . Thank you for the link - Argus was awesome! I have no idea what was in the rest of your video and, Allah willing, I never will.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/38	2.632
The last line said it all about modern sexism (that's what i have been thinking for a long time.. thanx Nerdwriter1) ... But,only i know,how much i hate feminazis.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/28	3.571
Sexism, racism and prejudice do not require dominance, therefore that explanation is invalid.All of those views go multiple directions and only require a person to be narrow-minded.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/27	7.407

I don't really know what to take from this video. You seem to have outlined both "arguments", then chosen to validate and lean on one side. At least that's how it looks from where I'm sitting.Minor nitpicking. 2:42 "Earn 60 of graduate degrees but comprise less than 25 percent of STEM jobs."Women earn degrees in what field? An Art History or Gender Studies degree isn't doing me much good in my search for jobs in the STEM field, I'm afraid.I'm glad you decide to base your argument upon facts; then proceed to talk about things that cannot be quantified like societal norms and cultural stereotypes. I'm sounding like a dick here, but I'm honestly wondering how you missed that when making the video.3:35 I thought everyone was aware that this was the driving force behind the channel. The intellectual superiority that you feel when making a video, the same superiority that the viewers feel when watching your videos and the same thing that I might feel when posting a comment nitpicking your argument.	Gender	Gender - General	1/177	0.565
I farted and everybody complained that it stank, my friend the other day admitted to farting at some social event and bragged that nobody noticed! How dare he?!?! I should have known though because I noticed him staring at petite women and giving no attention to the over weight females he saw. That asshole! Who is he to say that one deserves more attention than the other	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/67	1.493
im a woman . i like that shirt. I would buy it nothing wrong with it. it was the wrong choice for the press conference. it takes away from his accomplishments. everyone is talking about the fucking shirt and not what the press conference is for.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/45	4.444
If you're a feminist who feels discouraged to take STEM because someone wore a piece of clothing you didn't like, then you obviously didn't even want to to it in the first place.And this is coming from a woman who studies STEM.	Woman	Gender - General	1/43	2.326

I don't want to commit a logical fallacy here, but I feel like people who have no interest in STEM should steer clear of lecturing men AND women about why there aren't women in STEM. I work in STEM and the women are sick of the patronising emails and event invites they get re: How HARD was it for you to get into STEM? What held you back? They don't give a shit and they say, if anything, it was easier for them. Now you could make the "oh, but it's the ones that DON'T GO INTO STEM cause they're scared that..." Lemme stop you there. If China and the Middle East and India, not exactly bastions of gender equality, have such high rates of women in STEM do you really think it's "ingrained cultural attitudes" stopping girls going into STEM or maybe the fact we live in the freest societies ever to exist where women truly DO have the choice to do what they want. And what about other sciences where women dominate? People take the hard sciences like Physics and subjects like Computer Programming to come up with this gender gap but ignore areas like Geography and Biology where women are doing just fine in representation.	Gender	Gender - General	2/208	0.962
It astounds me that most of the comments on this video is just proving the point of the video: people expressing annoyance at the mention of gender inequality, and the possible causes for it. THE VIDEO IS TRYING TO MAKE US THINK ABOUT THE WAY WE REACT TO STORIES INVOLVING GENDER IN/EQUALITY, SO STOP RESPONDING WITH THE KIND OF MENTAL LAZINESS THE VIDEO IS TRYING TO DISPEL (OR AT LEAST REFLECT UPON). Seriously.	Gender, Mental	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/73	4.11
The problem, as I see it, is at the level of the subconscious. Images such as the man's shirt automatically light up area's of a person's brain related to sexuality, cultural condition, or any number of areas depending on one's personal history. We can dissect and analyze what effect such images will have at the conscious level, but the damage has already been done, so to speak. We can't unsee images. And, to a large extent, we can't undo the initial effect images have on us. But what we can do is study the totality of imagery within our culture and attempt to come to an understanding of what effect this imagery is having on us. And then, the next time we encounter a certain type of imagery, we can choice how we interact with it instead of just reacting how we have been socially programmed to react. TLDR: images fucking matter because we have monkey brains and think stupid shit before we even know that we have thought anything. but, we can do better by understanding how stupid our brains actually are. sexism is real, wake up people.- Monkey brained "person"	Monkey, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/192	1.042

3:05 - I love how you say nothing to dispute the fact that women have different interests than men (often outside of sciences) other than a cheap visual effect. That is called a deflection.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/34	2.941
I don't know how this argument works because Taylor didn't wear the shirt for his own pleasure. He wore it because his female friend made it for him. How is that misogyny ?	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/32	3.125
Anyway in the larger debate on whether sexism is valid at all, something women come into contact with everyday and from puberty have a desire to reject their gender , like me, and act boyish bc they fear that boxing of what "ur capable of doing" I think the only important thing worth talking about in this video is that it's all about betterism. This pops a hole into all prejudices- gays blacks women,etc this is huge. We should be asking ourselves if the weakness of mankind/homosapiens; our narcissism and ignorance or egotistic need to feel superior to SOMEONE anyone, is the core of the fabricated man-created slip of sexism when in reality, were all insignificant to the universe and probably not the only lifeforms around	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	3/125	2.4
Excuse me. Fuck the shirt. This video captures a theory on the origin and explanation of sexism which is waaayyy more important (by the way to all the ppl saying juggling Astro tits on a shirt does no harm/oppression, I believe that sexism has grown inherent to our culture so we see it as acceptable & normal. A REAL way to test whether your perception of the shirt is accurate on its offensiveness is to compare it to ANOTHER group besides* women, say black people or gays. Since it's become normal & unnoticeable to be anti-woman. So let's pretend the shirt portrayed gay people being unable to do science in the sci-fi backdrop. Or kkk/southerners whipping black people while the whites were making experiments/scientific formulas. THEN is the shirt offensive? In other words, since we're numb as a society to disgracing women and hence seeing anything wrong/harmful in that, swap the prejudice group. Maybe Jews? Or Muslims? Or a gay black female Muslim Jew? Then wud u find the shirt offnsive?	Black, Gay, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	9/171	5.263

I'll make another example. Let's say the shirt had a different image: imagine the design was of Einstein, Newton, all the (white) greats of mankind in science, writing their $e=mc^2$ etc but let's say it showed these famous physicists writing their work *while riding on black people like warthogs. Or the shirt was illustrated a universe/reality where black people were footrests and the white scientists had their feet on blacks while discovering comets/astrophysics,etc. how about gay people serving drinks to Einstein in a subservient way. Think this is totally irrelevant and I'm on my period? You could be onto something...OR MAYBE just maybe the reason " psycho feminists" screamed over a shirt is bc juggling Astro-strippers clad in lingerie amid science is communicating a loud unavoidable idea that women are subservient to men of science as prostitutes/sexual birth giving cum dumpsters. This is no different than black/gay bc they're all examples of inferior groups serving/slaves 2 white men	Black, Gay, Psycho	Ethnicity - Black, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	5/157	3.185
Took 60 seconds to think and I'm both annoyed and threatened. Why is the notion that the overall majority of women aren't interested in science just dismissed as a sexist idea and social construct? The overall majority of men don't care about fashion. Why don't we as a society feel the need to investigate the ideology behind that. The vast majority of nurses and caregivers in any society are women. Where are the social mobility programs trying to encourage more men into those fields. I'm annoyed by the wage gap argument because that is an argument based on metrics that disregards how society actually works. It disregards that more women choose to exit the workforce for longer periods in order to raise children. It disregards that women don't have to be gainfully employed in order to enter relationships. It disregards that over the course of any relationship, the male tends to be the primary spender. I'm threatened because I'm worried about what happens to men at the end of this social experiment we call "equality." Women aren't as attracted to men the same way men are attracted to women. Without gainful employment, we are disregarded. So we push women into high power positions as a means of equality. They have no time to play a role in a family. Men can't get that well paying job because a woman has it and he's forced to be alone because he can't play his role financially in a relationship. Population decreases. It doesn't make sense.	Woman	Gender - General	1/253	0.395

<p>This is complex as hell.I look at the bdsm community, the religious community, the academic. I don't know what's right. I don't think it's right to coddle people. You're not entitled to safety. This is a universe law, beyond people. At the same time, people need to be protected. That's a humane response. But look at the victorian era. It was very uptight and, I feel, misguided. How can we act? Women are oppressed by sexualization and the lack of sexualization. They are oppressed by this shirt. They are oppressed by the blankness of the Middle East.I think that erring on the side of expressive freedom is the better err. It's going to hurt people. The Civil Rights Movement hurt people that would not have been hurt had it not happened, but it was the better way, I feel. So too, I think a person's right to express him or herself is the better side of making a tough decision. Honestly, I think we are all repressed and that society has been dominated by the power holders. And the power holders aren't men. They are at this moment, but if society then puts women in power, there are still people who hold power over others. That is the source of trouble, of pain, of problems. These people causing the real harm are adaptable.That shirt was not offensive. The same way that two men French kissing is not offensive, the same way that anyone's kink is not offensive. People can take offense to it. That is their problem, not he person expressing themselves. The problem comes not from the shirt but from the context of the shirt, which is our world. That guy could be a great guy (he landed something on a comet and he then apologized for wearing this shirt, so, I mean, how bad can he be when he had every right to act like a cocky bastard, but chose not to?). Also, that shirt is cool, it is artistic. It is sexual, and well crafted. The problem is that our world has problems, and this makes people afraid. Women are a power minority. So are non-whites. This is a problem. There are groups of people who hold power over others. This is a problem.But don't solve the problem with exclusion. That's just stupid. You're going to antagonize potential allies. Be inclusive, celebrate everything that has an iota worth of achievement. Do not make the slave the master and the master the slave. That doesn't fix humanity. Do not tell people how to go about their personal decisions. We need to balance our understanding of intent and impact. This shirt is not bad, and it is not a bad thing, and calling this shirt into the spotlight is not worthy of the efforts of people who are trying to fix humanity. What is worthy? A slow progressive, inclusive dialogue that makes gradual but permanent social change that brings about equality and camaraderie and allows for everyone to live and express themselves as they want to.And it's not because "It is just a shirt." Any small thing can have magnificent impact. No, it is because that shirt represents expression.</p> <p>That guy liked it. I like it. I am a man, and if any of you think that devalues my opinion, then you're an idiot. This shirt is ok. The world is not. It is not the shirt's fault. That guy could have worn a plain black shirt and the world would be just as bad off as before. And that shirt does not represent what is wrong with the world. The fact that we are simultaneously trying to tell people to be one with each other, but also to be sterile. That is the problem with the people who claim to be on the side of progress.</p>	Black, Sexual	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/643	0.311
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------------	-------	-------

5:00Meritocracy - or a world in which my sisters and I are judged by our actions and not gender or skin colour for one can not pride oneself with any achievement if it can not be claimed beyond reasonable doubt to be ones own ... and one will (rightfully so) always be treated accordingly.The road to hell is paved with good intentions ...	Gender	Gender - General	1/65	1.538
nobody prevents a woman from joining in any stem field. nobody says "You can't do that!" and follows up by entirely stopping them. any woman who isn't in the field either isn't qualified, and does or doesn't want to be in the field, or is qualified but doesn't want to be there. it's that simple. any adult woman should not get any hand holding on this note at all. And at the end of the day, it's that man's right to wear what ever shirt he wants. you can't take that from him.	Nobody, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	5/93	5.376
word of advice to anyone daring to read the comment section in hopes that it will have people who understood the point of the video and the subject matter in debate and are contributing to a thoughtful discussion about sexism remember this is youtube	Sexism	Gender - General	1/44	2.273
Sexism and racism both start at the point where people start thinking that there is a difference between all humans. Men may have some biological differences when compared to women, but the fact that there is" right" behaviour for women and "right" behaviour for men, for example, that women shouldn't have sex with many men or they are sluts and despised, while men who have sex with many women are playboys and celebrated, is totally stupid. Sexism is something you can find almost everywhere in society, men wearing dresses are pretty likely to get beaten up. Change something and make the world a better place for everyone!	Racism, Sex, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/107	4.673
One thing I noticed that you didn't touch on regarding the amount of women in STEM is the fact that women are the only gender that bear children.People's desires change from time to time and some women almost certainly end up not working in a field of science because having a family or children becomes more important to them than working in whatever particular field they got a degree in.	Gender	Gender - General	1/70	1.429

<p>Weird. Usually I get pissed off at feminists, but your voice and music are so soothing.</p> <p>Anyway, to answer your question at the end: I am afraid of society becoming unforgiving and hypervigilant about what I say and do, that a one-off comment could send a hate mob of feminazis doxing me, sending letters to my employer and getting me fired. And I don't mean tweeting something sexist. I mean saying something that could be interpreted as sexist/racist/homophobic/whatever, and everyone being eager to get angry, but not to give me the benefit of the doubt.I don't want to worry about my political views getting me fired. I don't want to whisper or keep my mouth shut. I'd rather talk with people, with the intention of maybe saying "Yeah that is a bit sexist" or "No, I still think I'm right, but I get where you're coming from. Anyway, thanks for making that peanut butter & jelly sandwich. You don't know how happy that made me."I'm afraid of Orwell's 1984 becoming reality.</p>	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/173	0.578
<p>the reason that there is more men in STEM then women is because woman are too busy in their retarded gender studies classes.</p>	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/23	8.696
<p>Sexualized femininity is unrelated to misogyny, that said, carry on.P.S. Watching this video again, and I would like to say that I am annoyed, not by his shirt, not by the worry people have over its effects, but at the vulnerability young women supposedly have. I want to live in a world where sexuality of all kinds is not shunned or insulted, and no one is threatened by that.The fact that women are threatened by that shirt I consider unacceptable. That we live in a society where someone of your same sex appearing attractive or fetishistic somewhere makes you less comfortable, is not acceptable. This anti-sex society is wrong, and needs to be corrected.</p>	Misogyny, Sex	Gender - General	2/116	1.724
<p>Equality between genders is doomed or at least improving at an insanely slow rate. MANkind has always been like this and it's so disappointing that a difference in organs and chromosomes would result in such an ugly situation. People hate the word 'feminism' and feminists are being percieved as whining or fighting for a useless cause.</p> <p>And no matter what demographic you're in don't you brush this off because it does matter because sexism exists in this world and we live together in this world and we're going to have to learn how to live peacefully together because there's one world and we all live in it together get it?</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/110	0.909
<p>Anyone else here have a commercial by BrawnyTowels about crushing sexism and stuff? lol.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/14	7.143

hmmm... what am I endanger of losing? maybe my job, my career, my friends, my life's work, my children, my dignity, etc etcit's not annoyance. you are a threat. as all immoral manipulators are a threat to moral people.Maybe you should take 60 seconds to think that maybe you're the one that is sexist, thinking that you need to white knight for every woman in the world, that thousands of women are avoiding math based fields because they are brainwashed by their culture, but you are the one good man that will stand up for them even if they won't stand up for themselves. this is vanity, not morality.	Woman	Gender - General	1/111	0.901
it's pleasurable to dominate someone in a hierarchy that you create. Like the hierarchy that you've created around the false narrative of sexism in order to bully some poor guy, who's only crime was wearing a shirt that a female friend of his made for him, and this guy also has accomplished far greater things, in the short time he owned that shirt, then you ever will in your entire life.pretending to be more moral than everyone else doesn't hide what you truly are. You are a bully that picks on nerd, that's all you are, and people like you have torn apart this guys life and nearly ruined his career simply so that someone somewhere will click on your video. Your vanity is astounding.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/126	0.794
No I'm a feminist and I didn't think the shirt was offensive; I know what other fems are talking about and it does turn us off, makes us feel uncomfortable. Makes us want to stay away and men knows what this feels like each and every time they see a fem poster that implies and, or only show women getting hurt by men, like men are always the villains; but it normally shows women in overly sexual and submissive ways. And that's what gets under my skinThat shirt was sexual but did not show women in weak submission so I didn't take offense, I would give that as a gift too! I don't think what they did was honorable.	Sexual	Gender - General	2/119	1.681
sexism is morally right	Sexism	Gender - General	1/4	25.0
Women are less likely to get published on double-blind peer review journals because of sexism .FeministLogic	Sexism	Gender - General	1/16	6.25

On the topic of the statistics given about how women are less prevalent in the STEM fields I'm wondering if age has been taken into account?I certainly do admit that the ratios of women to men in most STEM subjects at undergraduate level are still far from 1:1. However, many of the quantifiers for the success of anyone in science require a pretty great level of seniority.My worry is that because it takes so long to get into any real level of success in STEM (especially science, where at the very earliest you finish your PhD at 25, have three years of post-doctoral research and aren't leading your own research properly until you're about 30) we are mistaking the fact that it takes a long time to see the effects of promoting women in STEM for a direct effect of sexism .Given that a scientist is unlikely to be producing really impressive, citable work until they are at least 35/40 it probably should be taken into account that the demographics of STEM workers at that level of achievement haven't been effected as much by the great programmes that are now under way and perhaps we need to wait a while to see the full fruition of what has been done.Obviously we aren't done yet and I am definitely all for having more women (and non-binary genders... and more diversity in general) but I'm more in favour of the correct use of data!	Age, Sexism	Age - General, Gender - General	2/245	0.816
I think women subconsciously avoid stem fields because, as you sort of said (I think) they feel stem fields aren't directed towards them by society, but in reality there's nothing stopping them	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/32	3.125
First off the "graduate degrees" you talked about are mostly based in gender studies courses contributing to the lack of publishing in peer reviews and employment in stem fields. And the idea that women are so easily discouraged from joining stem fields by a simple shirt would also prove tenfold men are discouraged from join the feminist movement for the killallmen. This point of view is insulting and overall worse for females because it breeds a victim complex making even more people in gender studies courses. (Sorry if my punctuation is bad)	Gender	Gender - General	2/92	2.174
My fear with the obsession with social justice is that one day all art will be first required to pass through a 'filter of proper morality' before it is allowed to come to pass. I worry that this will limit human expression because misunderstood pieces will be blacklisted. To me that is just as bad as the discrimination of people for their gender or race , maybe even more so because it is even more 'invisible' than systemic oppression is now.I feel that there needs to be some type of compromise that doesn't stem from one party seeking to humiliate the other.	Gender, Race	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/102	1.961
Milo would go back in time to rape a 13 year old Evan.	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/13	7.692

I'm a new viewer. Saw your Trump videos and really liked them, but this video is just a train wreck. This seems alot more like it's a message to non-feminists rather than being about the secret of sexism . The example you used wasn't really very interesting or very good. I'm a feminist but it's obvious to me that he should be able to wear that shirt without it being controversial. You should have quickly stated this and moved on to more interesting and good examples of sexism , and how it can systematically affect what women and men choose to do with their lives. I also have to say that the way you worded what those studies showed was very odd. The studies barely sounded relevant or convincing.	Sexism	Gender - General	2/127	1.575
This video to me shows an extreme lack of understanding with people you disagree with on this matter.People are not annoyed because they "secretly like asserting dominance over others". They're annoyed because they are tired of seeing people complain over matters that seem trivial to them, and then feel that they are being preached how to feel about it.The biggest hypocrisy of this video is that it preaches personal responsibility of ones actions in a larger scale but completely feels justified in making an example of publicly shaming a man who did nothing wrong for the sake of an agenda. It doesn't matter if people were not intending to "crucify", it's not up to you or anyone else on how you are being perceived. You should know this because that was the point of telling people how the shirt was harmful in the first place.If a simple shirt can make women run away from stem research, then how can it be okay to tell people that what they are doing is wrong for just being themselves? You don't think people who see a guy get heckled for what he's wearing won't have an effect on other peoples choices to the point where we all remain too afraid to offend others? Or is that less important than the equality of a workspace? Did Taylor need to wear that shirt? No. Should people be adults and know how to deal with the idea that people think and act differently and are occasionally going to piss them off. Yes, at least I strongly think so.I myself hate how much ideas like this have to reach so far to turn the smallest of actions into larger than life problems that are holding women back. Ideas like these only serve to make women look weaker and paranoid and men more evasive and frustrated.There should be more back and fourth communication with both sides. Instead we have every opinionated asshole getting on their little internet soapbox and putting a magnifying glass on some anonymous people who got an adrenaline rush from calling you a faggot .	Faggot, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/354	0.565

<p>My assumption that women dont like science as much as men is because people around me have shown that what is popular has become more important than what is right or even what makes you an individual. i don't know how to better explain it than, People have begun to stop dreaming/thinking and instead start following what is culturally common or more so culturally POPULAR. after-all why is it that so many kids leave high-school still not knowing what they want to do? is it our schooling, or is it our people, Media? as an example... In high-school many people were confused as to what they needed/wanted to be in their life, all that was important to them was netflix(otherwise media), video games, sports, drugs/alcohol, sex, sleep, or even just food.(really quite material concepts even if they can be virtually or mentally related) so when it came down to it they went with what their friends said, but every semester of highschool they would get in a different class with influential teachers and they would want to go into a different field. One second they wanted to do computer science, the next second they wanted to be a chemical engineer, the next second art. so where does that vicious cycle end? I for one know that it didnt really end, some still dont know, some arent happy with what little college they have done.(Stick with me, i know it seemed unrelated but ill try to connect the dots.)Really i said all of that to say this, i dont really think that women dont like science as much as men. However i do feel as though culturally women have been raised to be more social than men, like some kind of female keeping up with the Jones's social game. and that in turn has led them to be connected to our seemingly over-connected media and social systems, a product that our wonderful science and technology has brought us. i guess a question then arises, are we over-connected, or under-prepared for this kind of connection? and then at the same time, assuming that a massive cultural following causes young girls to be social to a flaw, then doesn't that mean that the very studies they aren't getting into is what would drive them further and further away from those studies? and not directly of course but in an indirect but still connected way. its like a monkey finding the wrench that can disassemble its cage and knowing how to do it but then the monkey is distracted by the banana ornaments built onto the cage.i hope that wasn't confusing, im no writer. id much rather be a computer scientist, astronaut/space explorer, or even a sociologist.(i am studying to become a computer scientist). but these issues saturate my brain day in and day out. maybe im just connecting points i cant fully understand but ive started to predict peoples actions and things just fall in line. this makes too much sense to me, ive seen it over and over, in my brothers generation, in mine, and even in my parents generation.</p>	Banana, Common, Monkey, Sex	Ethnicity - Asian (East-China, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam), Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	5/521	0.96
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	------

There is no culture of "denigration" towards woman . This system is specifically catered towards women and girls while boys are being left in the dust.	Woman	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
If feminists want equality, then they shouldn't argue against sexualization. Guys are sexualized as much as females. Look at all of the men used to market anything, they are stereotypically attractive and not at all how most men look. Also, look at movies with attractive guys, you can be sure at some point their shirts will be off. Hell, look at boy bands. Unless you want to get rid of sexualizing for ALL, you shouldn't be offended when one gender is sexualized in one particular instance, since the other gender is sexualized all the time as well.	Gender	Gender - General	2/97	2.062
Is it really so complex an issue? What pleasure? What dominance? It's a fantasy based graphic of exaggerated female sexuality. That's all it is...the only "pleasure" is directly connected to male sexual response to images of female sexuality. It doesn't have any effect on how men or society view or value real women. I think a much more interesting subject is the broader question of why female sexuality...or maybe a still broader topic of "female attractiveness" is so universally powerful upon human behavior. The bizarre coupling of "worship" with ...take your pick from "need to control", need to dehumanize, conceal, enslave.....so it IS a very complex issue....but the social aspect seems beyond real comprehension without first evaluating the biological evolutionary basis for what shows up in a social context	Sexual	Gender - General	1/129	0.775
Last time I checked, both sexes can be sexist, so why is it always men that are portrayed as the sexist ones?The same can be said for racism . Whites are the only ones that are portrayed as racist .	Racism, Racist	Ethnicity - General	2/39	5.128
Women choose to not pursue STEM for a variety of reasons, but not because they are threatened by men. They're strong, independent women...remember? I'm annoyed by this "controversy" because it is a red herring. Instead of internal reckoning among women as to what attracts them to fields of study and careers that don't provide the same economic rewards as STEM, they're all too happy to point to an innocuous shirt. Much easier to lean on the cry of " sexism " than it is to begin the slow process of self improvement. I do wish more women worked in and studied STEM. But that's up to women. It's not up to me.	Red, Sexism	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General	3/110	2.727

The facts are biased and skewed. If you compare how much the average woman and man doing the same job make PER HOUR it is equal. The difference in pay is normally due to the fact that ON AVERAGE men work longer hours and go for more competative jobs while women ON AVERAGE work more part time jobs and focus more on the kids. Most of these studies ignore this. If you repeat a lie often enough people believe it. Just look at politics.	Woman	Gender - General	1/84	1.19
What I don't understand is how people like you think you can convince everyone else to get concerned about this issue when it is obviously a big lie perpetuated by attention-seeking control freaks. No girls will abandon science because a fat guy wore a shirt with sexy women that his FEMALE friend designed. Not even these spineless idiots in the "tumblr" crowd, because at the core of their talk they are all just liars, trying to get attention and shut people down by pretending to be offended at what ever they can sink their claws into, maybe to make up for their own insecurities, and the fact that you say "look guys, see this issue from THEIR perspective" shows that you don't know what the fuck you are talking about. They have no perspective. They don't really care about anyone.	Fat	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/140	0.714
God the white knight filth dripping off of this video is nothing short of toxic. Imagine if the amazing scientist who landed the probe were a woman and wearing a shirt that depicted buff scantily clad men? No one would care, she might even get some praise. The retarded Tumblr comments comparing his shirt to a poisonous frog are another good example at the mind-bending stretches SJWs will take to find issue in something so they can justify their own existence.	Frog, Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Nationality - French	3/81	3.704
Why do you assume that men and women want the same thing? I understand that women might be affected culturally but what if we are truly different in nature? After all we have different hormone levels which affect brain activity. I am not saying women are stupider than men or vice versa. I don't define being good at science as being smart. There are different kinds of smart. I am just saying that it's ok to be different. Women and men can have different opinipns and preferences. I think in the future people will keep on pushing and pushing to make everything equal and when they fail a paranoia will ensue and everyone will think of new ways that women are prejudiced. Also why does no one care for example that men are less represented in women studies or in beauty school. Why do you assume that women HAVE to be in science fields? Why do you think they are more important? Is it because they are occupied by men, then you are the misogynist . Please consider this thought.	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/179	0.559

I was going to write about He-Man or Captain America cartoons and whatever... but you may need to do an anthropological study on being a beta male . Or perhaps just look in the mirror?	Beta male	Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	1/34	2.941
If he had gone on with a shirt that said "bitch go make me a sandwich " I totally get that that would be damaging in all sorts of ways. But he isn't wearing that, nor are the sexualized women Gil Everen Pinups from the 50's doing house work, Like there is nothing that suggests those women can't do anything besides being pretty. Like someone who really digs dominatrixes with guns and has a kinda retroish rock and roll hawaii shirt tattoo aesthetic going on isn't the devil okay. You could have told him you think the shirt is sexist before you turn the freaking camera on him you know. Like talk it out, tell the camera guy to turn to the floor or something.	Make me a sandwich, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female intelligence	2/127	1.575
i have to admit that shirt was damn ugly but it wasn't sexist feminists need to shut the fuck up and look at their sexism before judging other people's	Sexism	Gender - General	1/29	3.448
Why can't there be both a patriarchy and infantilism? 2 ideas can exist at the same time. Im tired of SJW's and Anti-feminazi's trying to act like the world is black or white. It's GREY. Are there forms of both? YES! The point that it gets messy is with intent. Multiple women face discrimination in the workplace and career opportunities. Multiple women have an infantilized idea of society. Both can exist. the argument now is that are men AND women playing an active role in this. Both answers are yes. Women for the VAST AMOUNT of history have been marginalized and discriminated against. its been less than a hundred years since they could vote. To think that POOF , patriarchy is done is like saying racism is over after blacks acquired equal civil rights. Its not true and im sorry its like that.	Black, Patriarchy, Poof, Racism	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	5/142	3.521
sexual dimorphism is a biological fact, and our minds are not removed from our bodies. Men and women are slightly different mentally because of biology, and it should never keep someone from getting what they want, but it also does not mean we can ignore it.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/46	2.174

<p>Treating privileges as rights, and their absence or any threat to them as an attack, and meeting that attack with defensiveness or even a counter-attack (or a preemptive strike), that's one of the big problems we face in the world. My main problem with the racism/sexism/representation debate is when I spot existing inequalities and see people argue that adding some to the missing side isn't fair because you haven't also added some to the bigger side. I've seen this crop up in my personal life when we (my family, including my 12-year-old nephew) go to McDonalds or a store, and we have the idea going in that we're going to get ABC (say, a drink for each of us), and I decide that since I don't want a drink as much as I want something else, I'll switch my drink to something of roughly equal value (say, a cheeseburger). And dang if that kid doesn't walk out of there with a drink AND a cheeseburger. Because I got a cheeseburger, so he should get one too, right? And my dad prefers to get him the burger than to argue the point (if my dad even notices that the point exists). This happens even when we have specifically pointed out earlier that we are operating on a limited budget for the day, and go in with the idea that we are only getting drinks because we're saving our money for later in the day – and then I feel like if I assert equal privileges (getting two cheeseburgers to match his two items), I'll be draining the budget before we've even left the first stop. This is kind of what the atmosphere is like for the sexism/racism/etc. debate, in that when some people say "Hey, we could use some female characters" or "Hey, we could use some Asians instead of all these musclebound white guys" or the like, people on the other side say it's just not fair to focus on stuff like that, in part because it takes away from the existing setup. Because THEY already have their ice cream, and they don't want to see other people get a scoop of ice cream unless they get a second one. Etc. I'm a white girl with no claim to minority status of any type (not even female: we make up half the planet), and I want to play a wider variety of characters from different races and such. I really don't care about strong, active female characters (I've always gravitated toward Dark Horse male characters even in like Buffy the Vampire Slayer or The Slayers, where they have strong, active females), but I want to play Japanese characters and Korean characters and Kenyan characters and Cajun and Sumi and Argentine and Fijian and Romani and mixed-race. And I want to play males who don't have musclebound bodies, and females who have flat chests (it would be nice to have some fantasy play there: I have a build like the female dwarf in World of Warcraft), and to have more choices on Rock Band (which, coincidentally, I just typed Rack Band) than "thin" and what my friends and I dubbed the "starved in Africa" look. (I'd actually like to play some of the aging country stars, or like Meatloaf, which requires a different body type than all these skinny sticks.) Compared to the people who look around at all the media and see themselves barely represented at all, it's not like I have a lot to complain about. But it bugs me. Sameness bugs me. Variety interests me. I don't see how there would be enough people in the world for whom the opposite is true, that the entire media has to continue operating from a fear of variety</p>	<p>ABC, Dwarf, Skinny, Thin</p>	<p>Nationality - Chinese, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features</p>	<p>4/633</p>	<p>0.632</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

1:00 Why do people ignore that countries like Norway and Sweden have been trying to get women in to workspaces like Engineering and men into nursing for decades and aggressively, it barely helps the ratio, it doesn't change either from being male or female dominated.Maybe women don't go into STEM as much because men and women are not psychologically identical.We shouldn't strive to make it 50/50 that will never happen, we should strive to make the women and men in their respective fields equal. If a woman pursues STEM she can, nothing is stopping her most certainly not T-shirts. I don't believe women in STEM are treated differently to their male counterparts, women in STEM have actually spoken out and said time and time again that they don't feel discouraged to work there.There is no invisible culture barrier stopping them, it's mostly biological and psychological. Why is it so hard to admit that on average women and men have different interests? It does not make one more superior than the other. It doesn't make a female mathematician inferior to a male mathematician, it merely means men are more likely to go into mathematics and be interested by mathematics.People, stop being offended at reality and channeling your anger into people who wear T-shirts that you happen to dislike. Our brains are for the most part (with exceptions) wired differently.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/232	0.862
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>THIS video is amazing. I've went from .. how do I put this?... NOT thinking that I was immune to prejudice but at the same time NOT fully understanding the extent to how it applied to me as a white male. What I mean is, as someone who generally tries to stay calm and be a good person. It's just hard to hear "white privilege" and not think it's overblown and get defensive about it even when you concede that the idea is probably true. Like anything in life, you need to be able to objectively look at things and read GOOD sources from BOTH sides (very important) for NOT hours but days, weeks, months to start to truly understand it. Even when you're sure you get it it's not until some period of time in that you'll read, or hear a quote or argument that just blows your mind and opens your mind up to new possibilities or, in my case here, simply clarifies the issue even more so. So what clarified the issue so much more for me?</p> <p>The quote about "cultural norms being SO invisible that they seem like insight is AMAZING". It expresses so much about all of these prejudices in a way that I wish I had heard months if not years ago. There is something AS important to me that is not discussed that often, but I know people feel, that I feel is just one reason why these conversations are so hard to have productively. My main problem with stuff like "white privilege" REALLY wasn't admitting it was probably true, or to even what extent, but the fact that when I tried to have conversations about (all of these, really) topics like this it very often turns into passionate people with good intentions and motivations SHOUTING at me and others, calling us idiots, not 'woke', telling us to kill ourselves, etc. I've NEVER understood how this furthers the conversation and I get that people are going to be passionate (and that's a good thing) but if you ever try to question these methods you'll be told your an asshole, racist, homophobe, whatever, and that you're just trying to "tone shame" them.This is ONE thing that I ABSOLUTELY do not agree with because the premise is that you're wanting them to quiet down in an attempt to silence their passion, message, and (usually) that it's a further attempt to assert your dominance. While I'm sure this happens every once in a while, FOR ME it's not about that. I AGREE with the premise, I AGREE with the passion. You can ONLY master a few things in your entire life. Like one or two. You can only be GOOD or even DECENT at a few more. There is simply not enough time to spend 10 years on every subject and truly immerse yourself in it. Yet we talk about EVERY TOPIC like we are experts ON BOTH sides. Therefore, what I think is super important to concede is that ALL humans are wrong MOST OF THE TIME and so when you run into someone who is TRYING to have a conversation about topics as difficult as this FROM EITHER SIDE, the best thing you can do is remain calm and get out your passionate thoughts in a way that is as absolutely effective as possible. That is, people, like me, are going to have ideas that AGREE with your message but haven't spent as much time as you and are, therefore, still going to say some stupid ignorant things. Sometimes, to understand the situation better, it's even IMPORTANT to question the worst aspects of these topics specifically to get a different perspective on them. When it feels like you're being</p>	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/875	0.114
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	---------------------	-------	-------

It seems to me that the pleasure of dominance is a far stronger motivator among those who want to force dress codes and denigrate and dismiss real achievement subordinating everything else in the name of their particular orthodoxy (belligerent puritanism is not unique to the left or the right). No one worth having in any serious field of study would leave just because of a tacky shirt worn by an eccentric genius, and this sort of thinking is where the Safe Space doctrine so poisonous to discourse comes from.	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/89	1.124
Women are testing better in higher education and are more likely (2 to 1, [LINK] to get a job in STEM fields vs men. If women wanted to go for any job in STEM there has never been a better time in history. The truth is women (in general) are not interested in STEM. Maybe there is just a difference in the way men and women think; maybe gender is something innate and all men and women are predisposed to act accordingly to their gender , that this whole "gender is a social construct" theory is bull. Who knows? But math doesn't lie, so look at the numbers.And in this political climate (where you can get crucified for a shirt) who would be dumb enough to have any gender biases?? Seriously it's beyond common sense.But really, this seems just like another push by the regressive left to make a fuss about nothing important.	Common, Dumb, Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Working class	7/153	4.575
Part of the problem here is that you buy into the crackpot sociological "theory" of intersectionalism. Once you understand that just because a sociologist has tacked on some suffixes to common words to form a lexicon of convenient neologisms, and that citing a bunch of other sociologists with crackpot "theories" is pretty much the basis of that entire discipline, then you'll be on the road to recovery.	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/67	1.493
I think these tiny controversies, though they are insignificant, add together into the quickening pace of cultural change brought about by the information revolution. Nothing has done more for helping us live up to our ideals of progress than the constant conversation of the internet. No matter the conclusion the conversation continues. Loud and angry, soft and understanding, the manner doesn't matter. Progress works itself out by the word count.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/70	1.429

<p>[LINK] I read this on Pop-Sci. (Pop-Sci is already terrible, because they make shit up and never even attempt to source.) Feminists actively attempt to stop progressive research not only in neurology, but in other areas of research as well. Feelings and not perpetuating stereotypes is now larger than the truth behind the universe. I can tell you're not within the STEM sciences, because you really do not understand the structure that it is built upon. You can't deny and cherry pick what is true and what is wrong based on your own personal views, if you do, who is to stay what is truth and what is false? It's all deniable, or none of it is."it is not only okay to criticize science, but indeed necessary to."Yes, Criticizing is good, but within the sciences, this finds facts and weeds out bad research. But, what science doesn't need is people who have no idea about the research, criticizing it because it doesn't fit in with their agenda. You don't see me walking into your gender studies lecture and telling your professor everything he is teaching is a lie without a speck of proof. That is essentially what this Social Justice movement is doing in science fields."then I want some people watching damn closely to make sure that..."While, I have nothing to hide, and no agenda to push, that isn't true for the reverse relationship. Giving someone the power to say what is true and what is not true shouldn't lie in some outside government who can cherry pick what they like and what they don't like. This responsibility lies within the hands of peer review between scientists."to make sure that ugly ideas which may have become associated with scientific practice"What ugly ideas? You didn't illiterate."with scientific practice without really coming out of it aren't getting reproduced and massively proliferated along with the good stuff"And who is to stay what constitutes as good, and what constitutes as bad? If it hurts some feelings is it bad? If it agrees with the Social Justice agenda is it good. This is where your argument breaks down, because there is no good or bad facts. There is accurate and inaccurate facts, but bringing morality to facts is just something that is objectively impossible. Facts are factual, they exist whether you believe that they are right or wrong. Facts exist outside of human perception."In that regard, I find there to be much more value in people criticizing that guy's shirt than in everyone just getting down on their knees to mindlessly praise him off for landing a machine on a space rock."So you find it much more valuable the criticizes someones choice in clothes than the quality of their research. Are you saying that someones choice in fashion is much more important than the permanent mark that they left on humanity. Wow. That is some sound logic, right? I guess you don't believe that Mussolini or any of the axis powers were that bad since they wore really gender neutral clothes. Who cares about their message or the marks they left on society? What is really valued is that they choose to wear nice suits and nice ties and nice military uniforms. This is actually the moment I realized you aren't really in the STEM fields, but you are either pretending, or shouldn't belong."Science doesn't need a cult or crusaders to defend its ideas. That's what's great about science."Yes, and Ethiopia didn't need an army to defend it's identity. Oh wait. It did. You missed the point</p>	<p>Gender, Nothing</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General</p>	<p>3/647</p>	<p>0.464</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

<p>+dogsRoxXD Why don't you dial it down a bit, huh? We're just having a conversation, there's no call to be hostile or discourteous.I read the article you linked and I fail to see what you find so devastating about it. My major criticism of her would be that she highlight this "major study from December" and criticize it for methodological flaws and faulty assumptions without outlining these flaws and assumptions and producing an argument for why they're wrong. Hell, she doesn't even name the study she's referencing, talk about some shitty journalism. As far as concerns the meat of Virginia Hughes' argument, namely that she wants to criticize the tendency in neuroscience to jump from brain structures to universal proclivities inherent to the sexes, I am actually quite sympathetic. The brain is massively informed by environmental influences and is an incredibly complicated machine; I would be wary of any claim that tries to make a direct causal connection between a brain structure and some universal human proclivity, let alone a study such as this which does have ideological stakes, stakes which very conceivably are coloring the researcher's interpretation of data.All that aside however, I still fail to see why you find this article so threatening. I don't see any laws being passed about what kind of research can be done, I see no thought police donning white coats and entering the labs to enforce conformity with the feminist party line. All I see is a small woman with no power besides that of the pen raising a rag about how maybe there are some sexist stereotypes getting unwittingly reproduced and legitimized under the penumbra of good scientific research. My faith in the durability of the scientific method remains unshaken. I mean just look at global warming and the failed attempt by the goddamn Republicans to deny it: almost everyone takes climate change as a fact by now, and if all the moneyed interests and political power that went into resisting that scientific fact failed to do so, then what chance do some online writers have? Your belief that internet feminism presents a legitimate and pressing threat to scientific inquiry is fantastic."Yes, Criticizing is good, but within the sciences, this finds facts and weeds out bad research. But, what science doesn't need is people who have no idea about the research, criticizing it because it doesn't fit in with their agenda. You don't see me walking into your gender studies lecture and telling your professor everything he is teaching is a lie without a speck of proof."You kind of are, bro :) The basic tenant of feminist theory is that patriarchal thinking informs our psychology and beliefs to such a profound extent that examples of it can be found surfacing just about everywhere, including in such seemingly removed fields as scientific inquiry. You disagree and are trying to summarily dismiss that theory despite only having a tenuous grasp of the philosophy behind it. I am trying to rebut your position through providing further information and through argument, not just by saying "lol, you're just a STEMlord who can't understand the TRUTH! Go back to your Chemistry 101 class and don't come back until you've read the completed works of Judith Butler and Simone de Beauvoir." The ability of laymen to converse over issues in which they aren't specialists yet which nevertheless concern them as public citizens is a cornerstone of democracy. I don't</p>	Gender, Racist, Sexual, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/1132	0.442
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------	-------

<p>The thing missing from this comment section is that, time and again, the existence of stereotype threat and self-prescribed gender limitations has been shown again and again in study after study. You cannot deny scientific data that shows that many women have negative perceptions of their ability to achieve in some fields, and not in others. [LINK] "Findings suggest that men and women do not differ greatly in their online abilities. However, we find that women's self-assessed skill is significantly lower than that of men." [LINK] "When women perform math, unlike men, they risk being judged by the negative stereotype that women have weaker math ability. We call this predicament stereotype threat and hypothesize that the apprehension it causes may disrupt women's math performance. In Study 1 we demonstrated that the pattern observed in the literature that women underperform on difficult (but not easy) math tests was observed among a highly selected sample of men and women. In Study 2 we demonstrated that this difference in performance could be eliminated when we lowered stereotype threat by describing the test as not producing gender differences. However, when the test was described as producing gender differences and stereotype threat was high, women performed substantially worse than equally qualified men did. A third experiment replicated this finding with a less highly selected population and explored the mediation of the effect. The implication that stereotype threat may underlie gender differences in advanced math performance, even those that have been attributed to genetically rooted sex differences, is discussed."And these are just two, easy to google examples that are members of a substantive amount of research showing that women have negative perceptions of their abilities in areas, even when their abilities are the same, and therefore end up underselling, underperforming, and underachieving. There are dozens more, but I don't have my binders full of women handy right now.For any female scientist on here to say 'well, I don't feel that way at all' is incredulous. Not because they don't know how they feel, but they act as if their anecdote supercedes the evidence.The very fact that so many people think that one or two or even twenty women's testimony to the contrary of this scientifically observable trend points to the fact that many people look to the confirmation of their belief that gender discrimination in any capacity is wrong. That CONFIRMATION BIAS has also been shown time and time again.As a teacher, I'm sad because I hear too many accounts of female students who were top of the class, bright, and outgoing in Years 1,2,3, and are now quiet, afraid to answer questions, and no longer achieving greatly in Years 7,8,9.</p> <p>This is not an anecdotal attempt to convince you. I just said I hate the anecdote arguments (or rather, non-arguments). It is an emotional appeal to get people to DO THEIR FRIGGIN RESEARCH AND SEE WHAT THE MAJORITY OF SOCIOLOGICAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL, EDUCATIONAL, AND DEVELOPMENTAL STUDIES SAY!So, yes, that shirt was not okay. And no, one person saying 'We have a Women in Tech event at my company' does not mean that it is better. We are talking about ingrained, widespread, SCIENTIFICALLY DOCUMENTED!!! trends that</p>	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	6/662	0.906
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>So you brought up an interesting point. Some people operate under that assumption that [[women biologically prefer other fields to stem fields]] because they may be misinterpreting invisible social norms as statements about biology. But honestly, everything starts with biology, even social norms. It's not like they're pulled out of thin air or are an accident. Maybe social norms are a result of biology as well, and this feed back loop has caused a reasonably large segmentation between the sexes as far as participation in different fields are concerned. [LINK] This talks about a study which found that, while the deviation of brains is pretty high in general, there is still a light correlation between certain traits of the brain and the sex of the person with the brain. Surely, because this correlation is light, it cannot describe the large disparity between wo/men in different fields. I think it's much more likely that these differences are the result of biology, not society. But even then, this assumes that the relative lack of female participation in STEM fields is a bad thing, the result of evil misogynistic social norms forcing women out of STEM fields. Rather, this is just how society works; it influences people in ways which hugely affects what they do later in life. You certainly wouldn't be a YouTuber right now if not for certain societal influences, right? And that's not a bad thing. Welcome to humanity.</p>	Sex, Thin	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	2/239	0.837
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------	---------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>I'm in danger of losing my freedom of expression, when no discrimination is occurring - neither implied nor in actuality. I don't want the US to become like Sweden. Equality of opportunity is infinitely more important than equality of outcome. This is why statistics mean nothing without knowing and understanding underlying root causes, and therefore it's extremely facile to concoct spurious correlations, even in completely unrelated concepts (which is why pseudoscience remains rather prevalent in the modern age). Maybe this, maybe that - little more than maybe's. If sex asymmetry in STEM is primarily due to actual discrimination, then action should be swiftly taken when the actual discrimination takes place. Otherwise and regardless, don't raise females to be so complacent to cultural norms, or demand that subjectively offensive material be prohibited, if for whatever reason you must absolutely demand that there must exist a 1-1 male-female ratio in STEM (or really, any other job/career). Everyone has the right to be offended; however, no one has the right not to be offended. This equally applies to males as well. I would be singing the exact same tune if STEM was dominated by females, and wearing shirts with [drawn] scantily-clad, sexualized men was the norm.</p> <p>There is no "pleasure" that I could possibly derive from being associated with a dominant subset of humanity (in a given field, career, area, topic, or any other category) - nor is there any good reason for me to focus on subsets of humanity within the context of STEM, which has aims above and beyond the trivial and petty categorizations concocted by flawed, mortal organisms. I am a libertarian and a classical liberal in this sense - individuality is more sacred than authoritarianism. Provided discrimination was not occurring, there is nothing wrong with wearing such an article of clothing in an absolute sense. Hence regardless of what the root cause is, Matt Taylor did nothing wrong (other than perhaps wear something that's inappropriate for the occasion; a lab coat would have been a better choice to appease the [clearly abundantly] shallow public - but certainly nothing wrong within the context of sexism in the form of workplace discrimination). In the case of shirtgate, there was no discrimination occurring - only inference that was immediately connected to a conjecture of systemic sexism in STEM, and Taylor immediately became the whipping boy of such an inference. The Rosetta event was twisted into a platform mainly utilized by the vocal minority (hopefully) of SJW fanatics, which not only primarily downplayed the scientific achievement (in which both males and females were directly involved), but regressed the original goals of feminism by inducing further divisions in various scientific and social communities. Do not mistake this as an implication that discussion should be suppressed, but rather that such discussions should not require the exploitation of an incident which did no actual harm.</p>	Age, Nothing, Sex, Sexism	Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	8/479	1.67
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	-------	------

Where your entire argument breaks down, is the notion that male sexual desire is 'sexist'. Why do you think so? Do you think that women are sexist when they express sexual tastes? If not, why not?	Sexual	Gender - General	2/36	5.556
+TheDefan01 Of course there's no real link between that shirt and the lack of women in STEM - the latter existed long before the former. And nobody is arguing that that exact shirt is the cause of the problem. Rather, the shirt is a symptom of the attitudes that are at the root cause of the problem. The belief that STEM is a manly field, and the lack of women, and the laissez-faire attitudes toward certain behaviors (such as choosing such a shirt to represent one's group instead of something more neutral), are all factors that feed into and reinforce each other. Finally, a generalized comment about how to discuss complex, touchy issues: Don't say "this thing that I disagree with must be an ass-pull." That's quick and easy, but also cheap, unconvincing, and kind of annoying. It would be better to try and find out what facts, beliefs, assumptions, and trains of reasoning led to someone making a claim or professing a belief. If it turns out to be convincing and solid, so that they were probably right, then you get major intellectual-honesty points for following the line of reasoning and accepting it. And if it turns out that they were wrong, then finding how they got to an incorrect conclusion can give you the tools to construct a compelling and effective counter-argument.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/224	0.446
+TheDefan01 "Belief" - I got it from having eyes and ears and a brain and basic pattern recognition. To put it another way, I know female academic scientists and have seen a fraction of what they go through. "Agree... but" - Ruthless. Right. So it's okay for me to point out that rattling off a slogan like that means nothing and makes me respect your opinions less? :p	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/68	1.471
"what is it that i'm in danger of losing?" Freedom to dress the way i fucking want. Criticizing Taylor's shirt is like criticizing some woman 's clothes. Besides, Taylor is not responsible of what women might find a "stay away" statement. Feminist can't blame the fact that women are less into STEM jobs BECAUSE OF A FUCKING SHIRT. There's so much shit that's more harmful to women and to humanity. Like making a scientist feel like shit because he wore some people didn't like. Oh, and it was made by a woman .	Woman	Gender - General	1/91	1.099

TheDcfan01He's not implying a causal relationship between the shirt and the lack of women in STEM fields. He's saying that the wearing of a shirt like that on a global webcast might add to a culture of denigration of women. He's also saying that the main issue isn't whether or not all women are offended, the main issue is that sexism is at least partly to be blamed for the lack of opportunity for women in those fields.To say that he's "connecting" the two issues undermines his argument in a fallacious way. They are connected, but not in the way you're implying.Argument form, it looks like this:1. Sexism appears to be a prominent issue in STEM fields, one backed by data.2. Wearing a sexist shirt on a global webcast as an influential figure in the STEM community may contribute to a pre-existing culture of denigration3.A. A person is capable of holding two different thoughts in mind at once.3.B. One can be angered or offended by the behaviour of a person while simultaneously recognizing the significance of their workC. Just because he's a prominent figure in the community, doesn't mean he should be off the hook for wearing a sexist shirt. More so, in fact, because of his influence.He's not saying "He wore a sexist shirt, and this caused less women to be involved in the STEM fields".	Sexism	Gender - General	2/236	0.847
Well starting with point 1, I already disagree with the first word.. Nowhere is it proven that sexism is prominent in STEM fields. Just the fact that there are less women, and women are less likely to be published does not imply sexism . You would have to prove that last connection.The 2nd point. I don't agree that the shirt is sexist.. I mean.. if the shirt had a woman in a kitchen making a sandwich, then I would agree. But that isn't the case.About the 3rd point, the work ethics of ESA (The European Space Agency) are a problem of them, not us. If he was breaking any rule by wearing that informal shirt, then yes, he should be reprimended by his superiors.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	3/125	2.4
TheDcfan01Science "proves" very little – the only real "proofs" are found in math and logic. Saying something complex and social "isn't proven" isn't really saying anything.Secondly, you're the one who threw "proven" in there. He nowhere said this is proven, and neither did I – I said it appears to be so, and this is backed by data. And yes, there is considerable weight to the argument that sexism is existent.2. You and a great deal of other people have different definitions then, of what is degrading/objectifying to women, and therefore sexist.3. This does not absolve him of social repercussions for his short-sighted decision.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/108	0.926

<p>1. The weight you give to that argument is arbitrary, since it is not backed up by anything.It is just as valid as what some idiots say: "It's because women are inferior" or some shit.2. Again, you just say that the shirt is "degrading/objectifying to women", I'm asking how so? It just seems to be a cartoon of a super-heroine or some badass woman.Is it forbidden for cartoon women to show some cartoon cleavage? Should we censor all art where the upper part of women breasts are explicitly shown?3. Of course it doesn't. People in the internet can say whatever they want. In my line of reasoning, the shirt isn't sexist => there is nothing to talk about. (Except informality, maybe, which we don't know if it's accepted or not in that organization)</p>	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/137	1.46
<p>TheDcfan011. It isn't, though. It's backed by a long history of sexism towards women in the workplace, women only more considerably entering the workspace of men within the last 3 decades. And no, that argument is not valid the way this one is, because this argument is backed up not only by data, but by a history of traceable sexism also supported by data, such as the social perpetuation of the idea that women are poor at math, the idea that women are not as neurologically capable of intelligence as men, and a history of women being excluded in various vocations and fields of study until recently.</p> <p>This is all evidence for the argument – not proof, but evidence.2. The shirt was considered degrading because it follows a long line of history in comics and other media that portray objectifying images of women by virtue of exaggerated sexual anatomy and partial nudity. You may not personally find this sexist, but that doesn't mean it's not a valid concern. Again with the straw-men and equivocation – no one said it's "forbidden", and not all art depicting nudity is degrading or objectifying. Stick to the argument at hand.3. Just because it's said on the internet also doesn't mean it's invalid. There's reason for discussion on this topic, and while it may not be earth shattering in repercussions, accountability is still important and warranted.</p>	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	3/234	1.282

<p>Rohann van Rensburg 1- Just because the world worked in a different way 50 years ago is not evidence enough of sexism today. What data supports the claim that sexism in the workplace is common in current times?2- Who is the judge of what constitutes objectification and what doesn't? if some art is going to be censored because of this, then the rules must be clear right?Also, what's wrong with partial nudity, or moderately big breasts? how is that degrading women?The thing with imaginary cartoon characters is that they are however the creating artist wants them to be. In this case, the female creator of the drawing wanted this particular image to be in the shirt.. Of course anyone is free to draw a small breasted lady in an office suit and sell shirts with that..What you are advocating for is for her creative drive to be shut off. Or will you say that she can make them and sell them but people shouldn't wear them in public? 3- As I said, the shirt is not sexist => there is nothing to say.If the shirt was sexist, then social media outrage would be ok.</p>	Common, Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	4/199	2.01
<p>Why do you want be oblivious to the materiality of our bodies? You speak of humans as if they we were mere spirits deprived of flesh and bones. I know that I sound crazy but think about this:Men bodies and Women bodies are pretty different, we experience the world and thus build our personalities with our bodies. Moreover, our hormones do influence our personalities and once again, men and women dont't have the same hormones (at least not in the same amounts).Knowing all of this probably explains why men and women have generally different personalities.Boys will always be more enclined to play collective sports, legos etc. and girls will always be more enclined to play with dolls or play song games etc. regardless of culture (it's been proven in israelian communities with hardcore gender-free education).Boys being more into physics is probably not because of our culture but because of our nature. And of course, there are hundreds of brilliant and succesfull women in physics, It doesn't prevent it! It's a general and observable trend.Don't deny the fact that men and women are different physically and therefore "mentally". Sexism does exist but it's not as general as we're told. At least not in the western world!</p>	Crazy, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/210	0.952
<p>Ok here are my thoughts on the issue at hand, though I expect no one to read this. From my research I've found out that the woman on the shirt is the creator of the shirt herself. This brings up my argument. I believe that if one exposes themselves with consent, they, to a degree, should expect objectification to occur. In this case, the scientist isn't even objectifying her. Hes's just wearing her merchandise. He isn't perpetuating the "boy's club" mentality at all, in my opinion. He's just wearing a fucking shirt. Everyone is thinking too hard on this "issue", if it even is one.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/105	0.952

the video series "why are you so angry" by innuendo studios is an analysis of that "threatened" or "annoyed" feeling that some people may get when confronted with issues like feminism and racism . check it out if this video caught your interest.	Racism	Ethnicity - General	1/42	2.381
Here's the thing, Nerd. (By the way, I like nerds, in general. I don't hold your nerdism against you. I hold your leftism against you. Leftism is White-Genocidal.) Anyway, here's the thing. The reason that women – even White women – don't do as well in and don't pursue as much STEM intellectual pursuits is because women and men are genetically different. You no doubt think (or pretend to think) that gender is a "social construct." No. Gender differences are biological and deep and pervasive. And those biological differences include, besides the obvious ones, intellectual and behavioral differences. For example, White men have on average a 5 point IQ advantage over White women. This translates to far more White male geniuses than White female geniuses on the right tail of the intelligence Bell Curve. White men are stronger, on average, than White women in mathematics. White men, by their nature and because of their superior aptitudes in these areas, are simply more interested than White women in the fields of science and technology and engineering. If you HONESTLY don't think so, then you haven't studied gender differences sufficiently.	Gender	Gender - General	3/188	1.596
First he implies that cultural norms are drawn from thin air, with no comparative subject that would explain the existence of such tropes. Then he implies that Dr Matt Taylor wore the shirt that he did because discrimination "makes people feel superior" over the ones they commit it against.Because, in no way do SJW's enact the verbal abuse they do (which has lead to people attempting suicide) in order to feel in any way superior. Nope. They in no way are claiming to automatically have a morally superior stance to everyone else that may counter them. Fucking hypocritical pieces of shit.	Thin	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/102	0.98

<p>two years later and I come back to this out of idle curiosity; what have I taken from it all? People are morons sometimes.I know this probably wont get read and I'm potentially casting my thoughts to the void, but I think its worth saying in the idea of acknowledging +nerdwriter1's general bravery for stepping up in the face of what could have been a hellstorm to smite him where he stood. I love the channel, love what you do nerdwriter, youre a good man. In regards to the whole thing– the swirling murky gross M-word and the sticky people that brings to mind on both sides of that fence, I'll have to say I disagree with the notion that the shirt was ever an issue. not because of the fact that it was or wasnt sexist or freedom of expression or any of that, but just... the environment around this just speaks a lot to be said for what people cared for the man and his life, and the subsequent value they place upon women actually joining STEM careers. My girlfriend is in school to become a web systems architect, has been for years now. She adores the field and enjoys the challenge that it provides her. when I first showed this to her asking 'does this intimidate you from going into these fields?' she simply laughed in my face and went back to her sandwich she was eating. I asked her 'Well, do you think it intimidates any of the other girls in your classes?' to which she rolled her eyes and replied 'I wouldnt know, the ones we had dropped out in the first year cause it was too hard' I want you to bare in mind that my girlfriend is not a straight A student, and that she's failed a few classes and this has been stretching out her degree plan. EVEN with this, she still tries because she feels a certain passion for it. when she speaks to her female friends about this, generally they shake their head and wonder why the hell she would want to ever do something 'so boring' Now, I realize this all comes down as anecdotal, and that maybe theres something to it all... yea, taking away someones pleasure to expose an ugly truth is a rough sell, but lets sorta pause for a moment here; the sacrificing of this man's proudest moment, his magnum opis in life, for the promotion of something that might or might not just boil down to someones subjective opinion, is his blood worth the uncertainty of such an ideal? what does undermining something else to provide as a platform say about your ideals own validity or strength to be stood alone? Food for thought. Like I said, at this point I'm skipping stones across the lake for a bit of kinda sorta public personal reflection. the video if nothing else gave me plenty to think about. its nice. sometimes you just gotta yap out into the aether.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/504	0.198
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------	------------------	-------	-------

How incredibly heterosexist.Stating that Sexualisation of women is misogynistic assumes that Lesbians don't exist. It also assumes that sexualisation automatically implies denigration. This is patently bullshit, it is also implying that male and lesbian sexuality is somehow misogynistic, which is a disgusting attack on over half the human species.	Lesbian	Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	1/50	2.0
i agree a little on the what he said here. my 2 cents is this. in America we live in a fee country where we have free speech. that means someone can say something even if it offends someone else. weather that person is right in offending the other is not the point. I'm all for equal pay and women on the front lines but when we sacrifice quality for equality thats a problem. I'm my opinion, however pointless it my be to express, we need to empower women to look inward at themselves and the same goes for men. knowing who YOU are is what matters. i know strong women who could give a rats ass weather a man calls them a bitch and i know women who that would destroy, and the same goes for some men i know. the problem isn't gender its a problem with self image of everyone. everyone has insecurities and i believe insecurities are the root of the problem, how to deal with them is another topic entirely and depends on religious beliefs and much more.we live in a society that thinks not offending someone is the most important issue, but maybe it should be reversed. who cares if that guy over there thinks women are less than men? if he thinks that then his opinion shouldn't matter right? I'm sure people will disagree with this and discredit me somehow so why can't the guy who thinks women are less than men be discredited also?i think society is focusing on the wrong problem by trying to silence mean people, that will NEVER happen. for example the government outlaws drugs but people still get them, use them, and sell them. so, if the government can't stop drugs, a physical thing that we can handle, what makes us think we can stop an idea. all that we as a society can do is replace the idea, so instead of stopping the hateful language or symbolism lets try to replace it with nice speech. you can't kill an idea but we can try to balance the negative with a positive and in time positive will win out.	Gender	Gender - General	1/363	0.275

Women are objectified as sexual objects, but when they are they are treated as treasured objects to be valued. Men are objectified too, but when they are objectified, they are treated as objects that are disposable, such as in war and work. We send men to die in wars. We send men to the dangerous coal mines. Did you know labor saving devices in the Kitchen were invented before many life saving devices for miners? The definition of power boys are taught to accept is "work an incredible amount to make lots of money that somebody else spends, so you can die sooner".But, we no longer think of men's feelings or that they suffer any more than we think that winding a clock back is going to change earths rotation. To think of it is insane. Anybody who's curious and has a heart, I'd highly recommend"The Truth About Male Privilege " by Stefan Molyneuxor "The Myth About Male Power" by Warren Farrell	Sexual	Gender - General	1/165	0.606
There is a great documentary called 'The Gender Equality Paradox' on youtube (made in Norway) that outlines that men and women really do make different choices based in large part due to genetic drivers. The studies by Simon Baron-Cohen in particular are brought to the fore in proving that young babies (we are talking days old) exhibit this difference clearly in the shape, texture and form the toys that grab their attention the longest take. Boys tend to look at straight edged polygons and three-dimensional mechanical structures such as cars and lego etc - things unrelated to human interaction. While girls tend to remain fixated on dolls, and in particular, faces - things that assimilate human forms. So, in places famed for having made great strides in gender equality and breaking down the so-called harmful gender norms, such as Scandinavia, all the government initiatives to create parity in male-dominated arenas have failed to influence the free choices (let's not get into the illusion of choice rabbit hole for now, please) of women who simply do not want to enter STEM or elsewhere. Consequently, and paradoxically, in countries in other parts of the world with less socio-economic liberty and availability of choice, the gender gap is diminished and mitigated in comparison to, say, Sweden, where those (apparently) pernicious norms persist. So, what this tells us is that given more freedom, women go towards gender normative jobs that involve more socialising or human-to-human interaction. Matt Taylors shirt can be viewed many MANY different ways. But, really, this is just another case of Feminism doing more harm than good by over prescribing a social malady that doesn't exist. The shirt did nothing . The response did everything to keep women from STEM.	Gender, Nothing, Old	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	7/288	2.431
The kim kardashian thing was bad because she used saatje bartmann as an inspiration.Exploiting the sexualisation of black women	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/20	5.0

<p>This guy is guilty of as much assumptions as those he accuse. The only difference between a sexist, racist, homophobic and a feminist, gay and minority activist is that they identify with different in-group. They have the same instinctive in-group loyalty and out-group hostility. It is human tribal nature. None of them are more rational.</p>	Gay, Homophobic, Racist	Ethnicity - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	4/55	7.273
<p>the secret sexism is that the world is sexist towards boys/males while at the same time injecting into the minds of males that they need females that they are nothing without them and I think that creates more harm than chivalry. if the world operates like "its my turn to do the sexism" then this is going to be one long very cycle.</p>	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/63	4.762
<p>Since when has STEM been a guy thing? I decided not to go into that because, at least where I come from, that's perceived as either a girls thing or a lame, uber nerdy thing, and I just didn't want to be perceived that way and be forced into that crowd. If that was really supposed to be a guys thing, I would have been a chemical engineer - accept I'm not, because it's not.</p>	Lame	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/75	1.333
<p>First off, you are attempting to crucify Matt Taylor. And you are creating strawmen of your opposition.The impending arrival of the press was not expected. It is not something that he could reasonably have considered when he chose to wear the shirt. And that shirt was made for him by his significant other. Never to wear it at all would be a sign of ingratitude.The main reason why women are under-represented in the "STEM" fields is because those fields are hard. Our society has done everything it can to make things easy for women. Women learn from childhood that everything is supposed to be easy for them. And when something isn't easy, many of them just give up. But there is no "royal road" to science. There is no "royal road" to technology. These things require effort.When it comes to feminists, SJWs, and other sexist bigots such as yourself, there is a very real danger of losing my freedom and my autonomy. Everyone who isn't an obedient little slave is labelled as "misogynist." Men are expected to work themselves into an early grave for the pleasure of women without even a word of thanks in recompense. So, perhaps you would like to tell us why you enjoy your sexism so much.</p>	Misogynist, Sexism	Gender - General	2/214	0.935

I don't care about what people wear on TV, I hardly notice it. So I didn't even realize that the scientist had sexy girls drawn on his colored shirt when I saw him in the original report. To be exact, I just noticed it was very colorful, but I didn't care. I only realized that there were sexy girls drawn on his t-shirt when I saw Sargon of Akkad's report of the Feminists' attack on the man. Then I learned that it was a woman who gave him the t-shirt. Sorry but these Feminists have no excuse. They are completely fucked up in their minds. The guy is a hero for his amazing scientific achievement, and because of these retards more people know about the contents of his t-shirt, than what he actually did to be on TV. In that moment people should be tweeting about his achievement and related scientific topics, not about sexist interpretations of clothes.	Colored, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/158	1.266
I know you wanted to cite statistics here, but I don't see why any statistic you brought up can establish a clear connection between A and B - A being the fact that women are underrepresented in STEM and B being the shirt and the assertion that this perpetuates A. Yes, you did mention offense and state that the fact that people got offended is enough to prove your point. I disagree. What is offensive? Isn't it true that everything and anything can be offensive? Is it because the offense taken is related to sexuality that this offensiveness is particularly bad? When is something offensive enough to be deemed a valid reason to give up a career? Would an A&F bag justify the same treatment if the webcast came from a nurse gathering of some sort? If a shirt is the tipping point for someone to give up a career in STEM, I would argue that the field never was intriguing enough for the individual in the first place. You may deem this a straw man because you try to analyse this within a huge context. I think the analysis should include a reflection of how you would react if the representation was 50/50 - and would you still think the shirt was that bad? To say that the man wasn't crucified but got something "explained" to him is blissfully ignorant. Someone writing: "I think your shirt is offensive, here is why ... " on twitter is a very rare occasion. It is more like: "perpetuation ... rape culture ... misogyny ... male gaze... " and I don't think this is fair at all and I think people should be more open to being criticised about this.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/289	0.346

I'm a white male, so everything I'm about to say will be discredited immediately because of that fact. (Racism? Sexism?) but when I see "progressive" "activist" groups like black lives matter, getting offended and angry when someone says "all lives matter." I see that as absolutely disgusting. All lives DO matter. So when I get annoyed about groups like that, it's not because I'm worried or nervous that my dominance and power is being threatened... It's because our country is being threatened. We are becoming far too soft about people's feelings that we're losing sight of other more important issues like terror. "NO DONT OFFEND THE MUSLIMS THEYRE GONNA BE SAD." Relax. We love the Muslim people, but we cannot risk more terror and loss of any American life. So don't try to guilt trip white Americans into believing they owe anyone anything. In reality, we all just need to work together to protect our nation as a whole, and forget about our differences. We are human.	Black, Nation, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Nationality - General	4/167	2.395
+Matthew Bonasso I'm also a white male and I gotta say "all lives matter" is fine on its own, but its popularity only grew as a response to black lives matters as a way to silence these activists. When a certain portion of the population is being treated unfairly, they have the right to say WE matter. America is not under threat by this, in fact, america will be strengthened if we treat everyone fairly. The only thing under attack here is the illusion that america treats everyone fairly	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/89	1.124
You are starting from the premise that the shirt really is sexist. Let's accept for a second the fact that female nature is fundamentally sexual . Modern puritanism will seek to displace this statement at all costs, but this is simply the truth - if they weren't, no man would've fucked them to ensure our species survival. Similarly, men have a fundamentally dominant nature. Why do we have to fight and hide our own biology just to cater to idiots? Sexualizing women isn't oppression. It's PEDESTALIZATION.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/85	1.176
You give a reason for why women should be classified as fundamentally sexual , but offer no such evidence to accept the second notion, that men are fundamentally dominant by nature.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
+Silver Nuke Yes, women that can handle stress make for pretty inspiring leaders, but it's ever so rare... the bigger issue is that alphas also need to be physically dominant, and thus finding a woman this is mentally resilient and physically capable of enforcing her own dominance is incredibly rare... This logic didn't apply to much to royalty, but the origins of all kings come from the alphas that established their dominance over the lowly, and his children then carried the same fundamental modalities and traditions, without needing to physically establish dominance themselves.	Woman	Gender - General	1/93	1.075

<p>DubStepChic111 " humans are way more advanced than that"Another wrong premise. You cannot be efficient if you don't get to know the monkey, the reptile and the snail inside you.Yes, rape is justifiable as a sexual strategy. It doesn't mean it shouldn't be discouraged, but that's what it is, as opposed to the feminist narrative that claims all rape comes from a fantasy to dominate and sadistic tendencies (which can be the vector, just like your body gives you hunger signals to force you eat)You cannot fight biology, boy. It's there, and it has more experience than any of us would ever dream. We humans aren't special, we were just lucky. Tone down your arrogant "we're better than that" just because we invented this notion of morality which is a superficial tip of the iceberg over an amoral system.As for the sexual objectification, so many people claim it's dehumanizing, which is the reason it's bad. If you're in the same boat, you drank the feminst kool aid. Their bodies is the product they are trying to sell. And since our species evolved to this point where "we are better than seals and crickets", i'd say they did a pretty god job at this. Why stop and overhaul the way sexual selection works just because of a few jealous legbeard fatties that want to drag everybody else down to their level?</p>	Monkey, Sexual	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	4/234	1.709
<p>Silver Nuke "You cannot fight biology" thousands of years of advancing human civilization says otherwise. Did humans get lucky? sure! We struck lucky, and got really big brains. With that comes morality, and, like I said the ability to give our lives value! Otherwise, you wouldn't have goals in life other than sex and food. Everything humans have constructed, from laws to societal norms go against biology. Be grateful that humans are different from other animals, you wouldn't be on your computer right now if we weren't. The sexual objectification thing is something I have a very shaky opinion on. People can view others the way they want. The problem comes when that view trickles into the real world and dictates peoples' lives. Biology might make someone notice a woman's big breasts before anything else, but biology might also prompt someone to take advantage of that person. Rape is not justifiable as a sexual strategy (assuming by justifiable you mean defensible) because even though it may be brought out by a biological need, a person's inability to control that need can destroy someone's life.Of course, rape and a lewd cartoon on a shirt are very different things! But the purpose of my original comment was just to clarify that biology shouldn't dictate how a person lives their life.</p>	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	3/219	1.37

<p>DubStepChic111 Civilization and society are an extension of the biological substrate. Our sexual strategies are very much alike to those of humans thousands of years before. Please don't give into the illusion that we're very much different. The scenery of the game changed, but the rules have not. The computer you mentioned is just the natural evolution of things, where the monkey created better and better tools.Second, by justifiable i don't mean defensible. I would never. It should be discouraged, so better ways emerge (like the K selection - two parents are way better). What i mean, is that it appeared for a reason, and that's not the feminist narrative where all rape is about power fantasy. Many animals have reproductive cycles that would be called rape nowadays, where the female resists because of the biological imperative. It mutated into a societal filter that is meant to scare and shame most men, it's not a conspiracy but an emergent feature.As a side note, i am firmly convinced that we have an advantage through our neocortex and self awareness to correct the shortcomings of biology. However, we shouldn't fight it and repress sexuality so violently, like using shaming tactics to nuke a guy that just wore a shirt. I'm trying to see your point, we shouldn't be slaves to our biology, but the fact is, it has 3.9 billion more years of experience than any of us. Sometimes it's indeed better to follow your gut (but don't rape lol).</p>	Monkey, Sexual	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	2/250	0.8
<p>+Frank Fang Where does he claim "sexism is good because it's natural"? I read his overall message as "know thyself". Feminists are the ones making extraordinary claims, so the burden of proof lies with them. The very notion of "sexism" is a modern invention. Where is the EVIDENCE that it even exists as a thing, separate from biology? I'm not talking about examples of the purported sexism, as that would be circular reasoning.So many of feminism's claims have no basis in reality..</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	3/83	3.614
<p>eagleeye929Because it doesn't help us define what it is in the first place. A bit like pointing out examples of colours to a colour-blind person. Maybe sexism is a 'quale'? A sort-of feeling or sensation that's only knowable by the 'quality' of what it "seems" like? Having sexism rigorously defined would really help in the public debate.But at the moment it seems like we're being unwitting participants in a global cult where sexism is an imaginary spectre or devil, and we're all expected to nod and agree, lest a scorned woman point and scream: "misogynist! Lynch him!"Alternatively, we could just accept that sexism is defined by the examples. "Sexism is whatever the victims of sexism say it is". In that case, a man's very existence would be like criticising the Queen, and it's up to Her Highness's whim whether we get punished or not.</p>	Misogynist, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	8/147	5.442

eagleeye929 definitions are rarely so black-and-white in real life. One day, you could get accused of sexism , and nobody will give a shit about your "facts". If you're a man and she's a woman , you'll be guilty by default. That's the way things are going in the so-called " patriarchy ".	Nobody, Patriarchy, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/49	8.163
You think women are fundamentally sexual because you are a straight man. A woman who is attracted to guys would think that men are sexual . The reason why you would consider specifically women as "fundementally" sexual , is because the media caters almost exclusively to what you see as sexy. You think that how you feel is how everyone feels, but at least 50 of the population disagrees. Just ask anyone who isn't attracted to women if they think that women are hot, and they'll just say something along the lines of "no".	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	4/92	4.348
it's not a bad theory but you're not putting into perspective; personality and mental circuit models	Mental	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/16	6.25
people are such pussies. Unless his shirt said something like "women are cunts & worthless " then I could see how his shirt can "oppress" you. If all takes is a shirt with CARTOON drawings of a woman to make you feel down & targeted maybe it's your low self esteem.	Woman	Gender - General	1/51	1.961
The shirt is tacky . It's a crime against fashion, not women. That statistic is offensive(STEM graduation vs employment rates)	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/19	5.263

Why is it a problem that women have fewer degrees in STEM? Should we start setting government mandated quotas for schools to graduate x amount of female STEM students? certainly not.The modern feminist movement has pushed women further and further from STEM careers and made them more likely to pick a field in the humanities than ever before, just so they can turn around and complain about it. It makes zero sense, whatsoever, as there is quite literally nothing standing in the way of women getting STEM degrees compared to a man of the same socioeconomic status, and in fact, because schools tend to favor female adolescent behavior from the time of K through grade 12, they are generally more likely to go to college, get private scholarships, and be prepared for their courseload after HS.People that are agitated by SJWs don't feel that way because we fear losing some hegemony over women, but because we fear losing tangible things, such as our liberty, or our constitutional rights. There are many voices out there on feminist activist sites that would like to see laws put into place to make that shirt illegal. This is an egregious offence to civil liberty and is blatantly hypocritical, because no one ever addresses the exploitation of male sexuality in media at all. If you took the movie "Magic Mike," and swapped it out for "Magic Michelle: The Story of a Female Stripper Making it Big," SJWs would cry misogyny like no tomorrow.	Misogyny, Nothing, Zero	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/250	1.2
I'm in danger of losing my ability to freely express myself, be it in a sexist, racist , loving, humanist, or any way. I have the right to do with myself what I want and when feminist try to take that from me I am threatened and annoyed because I am a free person they want to cage.	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/57	1.754
I'd like to direct you to "The Gender Equality Paradox". Though it refers to the ultra inclusive Nordic countries, sometimes a cigar is just a cigar. Let's not always assume that people don't do certain things because they're being bullied out of it. Even if it was the case, let's not forget the importance of taking lumps and overcoming them.	Gender	Gender - General	1/60	1.667
Homophobia is not 'pleasurable', there is no dominance in any of it either. It's about morals.	Homophobia	Sexual Identity - General	1/16	6.25

Here's a little problem for you to figure out: Try and create an image of a man that people would consider sexist or damaging to men's self-esteem without using words.The problem with that is the fact that you can't. When someone creates or supports an ideal for men, men look up to it as something they want to achieve. They don't take it as a hit to their own worth, merely another level for them to reach.When you do the same thing for women though, they have a fit. Why is this? Why is it that whenever someone suggests an ideal for a female it's shot down as insulting or damaging? Why is it that if you even imply a woman could improve herself or just be more than she already is it's a bad thing?These are rhetorical questions obviously. It's because of fucking lonely, beta eunuchs such as yourself that have founded the shitty gynocentric shit hole we live in today that taught and encouraged women to be extreme narcissists and to never tolerate criticism. Plain and fucking simple.	Woman	Gender - General	1/183	0.546
+Misanthropy As a 25 year old man who was generally vitriolic towards aggressive, unreasonable feminism my entire life, so I'm coming from a conceptual angle probably close to yours.Having said that, your comment is inaccurate. In my country (Australia), research is showing that young males are actually experiencing more body dysmorphia and eating disorders (bulimia nervosa, anorexia nervosa) than ever before - even higher than females (which is strange because these conditions are typically associated with females). So I don't think you should completely discount males and their need to appease some socially constructed body image. To become a physically desirable male is arguably a lot harder than the process for females as well. While women gain more fatty tissue from eating, typically, it is also easier for a female to be desirable for a female than vice versa. Male reproduction is about maximizing frequency, so standards are lower for males. For females, the aim of the game is quality - so women are aiming for the best they can get, thus higher standards. To be a 'hot' woman , you generally just have to restrict your eating. To be a 'hot' male (magazine cover), you need to spend 2 hours in a gym 5 days a week and eat healthily.	Fatty, Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	3/211	1.422
ajnodeThat's not what I said. Men having body dysmorphia means nothing , it's whether people believe something is damaging or not and there is nothing because nobody gives a shit about men's feelings and the only time they "do" is when they're called out for being hypocrites."U-uh... w-wait no see, y-yeah I totally give a shit about men's problems, I was totally g-gonna get to those eventually right after we deal with women's issues of course... Men killing themselves is more important than women feeling uncomfortable? U-uh, w-well no... not really? Can you stop being a creep, you're making me uncomfortable."	Nobody, Nothing	Age - Youngsters	3/102	2.941

<p>May I cut in for a moment gentlemen and be the voice of reason? I think the problem is standards. Physical standards, societal standards, personal standards, it's inconsequential in the grand scheme of things. I have heard voices on both sides talk about these issues, issues that I find it silly over all because it's not really helping either side. I personally would like to a world that looks past gender (which is nearly impossible because we are sexual beings after all) and that's just my own view. But, the problem is whether or not we, as individuals, feel any worth in today's society. With that being said, I feel there are issues that are over looked and we shouldn't marginalize males or females...period. But we do. If you're good looking, chances are you're going to find it easier to attract the opposite sex (or same sex if that's your preference), get a job, get paid more, and become more successful in life. But it also comes with problems as well.We live in a society that really does put emphasis on appearances and not so much on substance. This applies to both males and females. And I do believe this is a bad direction to take in society. For the men out there, I do have something to say. Fuck modern standards of masculinity. Fuck it! Why try to live up to those standards? Just try to be the best human you can be and fuck what others say. If some one calls you a pussy, fuck'em. If someone questions your masculinity, they're probably insecure themselves. And just remember we're all in this shit hole of a world together and we have to make the best of it. And for the women out there, the same applies to you. Fuck the standards of femininity, shrug off the bullshit and join your fellow men and try to build a better tomorrow. I am a thirty three year old man who doesn't try to fit any preset idea of what a man is. I have my own way and I am my own man. I have feminists friends, I have misogynists friends, and people who fall in between. If we just respect each other as we respect ourselves, imagine how much easier things would be. But unfortunately, it seems like everything in modern society is based around a power struggle, even if these ideas started off with the best of intentions. I mean, look at the right/left paradigm, capitalist/socialist, theist/atheist, Star Trek/Star Wars. I find all of this absurd, and this is coming from an absurdist. I personally have no problem with someone walking down a street with either a shirt of scantily clad women on it just the same as if it had scantily clad men on it. I mean, after all I'm not the one wearing the shirt and I think it's kinda tacky. I personally like shirts with bands on them. It's a great way to start a conversation with a stranger. Men and women view each other sexually because we are sexual beings. The point really does come down to respect. Do we really respect each other? I think that is a question we have to ask not just each other, but ourselves. Okay...I'm done ranting for now. Let the love come at me. :-)</p>	Gender, Old, Sex, Sexual, Tacky, pussy	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General, Nationality - Chinese, Social Class - Working class	8/558	1.434
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

More fundamental than the effects of sexism on the proportion of women in STEM academia and STEM jobs is the objectification of the female body	Sexism	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
You know that shirt was designed by a woman right?The fuck out of here...	Woman	Gender - General	1/15	6.667
+Do what you must, I have already won Just because it's a woman does not mean she can't be perpetuating social norms as well..	Woman	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
You know what a girl told me "We don't need men, one day when we are in the power jobs will treat you men like slaves" watchout guys this is a sign on why there are no other alien lifeforms one side always takes out the other then kill eachother off.	Alien	Nationality - General	1/51	1.961
This is ridiculous didnt woman fight for the right to express themselves ,and when an image is shown of a woman doing just that people get pissed off	Woman	Gender - General	2/28	7.143
You're normally quite reasonable, but siding against the shirt is just disappointingly silly. Lets talk less about who was and wasn't offended by the shirt, more on who is or isn't going to go into STEM research because of it. Here's the truth. One people are surprisingly reluctant to accept - some jobs appeal to one gender more than the other, in general.Science has always been seen as a "masculine subject" as it naturally appeals to younger, less artistic boys. On the flip side, Art related jobs appeal more to women. Its a social construct yet not a preventative one. No ones saying "You can't go into STEM because you're a girl", it just a job field appeals more to boys. Less woman are naturally interested in it - nor should they be if they don't want to, as some detractors seem to suggest. "Why should woman work in the fashion industry? They could work in STEM!" Think of how boys are more interested in building sites, computing or mechanics, generally speaking ofcourse. Does that mean no woman is or ever wants to go in those fields? Of course not, but that's not the point. I work in an industry filled with women. Out of 25 staff, I am one of three males. It happens.Whenever there's this kind of discrepancy, when ever people notice that a male/female ratio is not 50:50 (a ridicules concept) - they look at what to blame. Who's stopping them? Why aren't there more? Look at that shirt, I bet that's at least part of the reason!No. That does not string together. Point me to evidence of woman being denied jobs or bullied in the field then we'll talk. Point to a guy's bikini shirt and I'm not even going to bother..."Problem"As if women not wanting to do a certain job is a problem."Put down that book little Suzy! Stop doing what you want and enjoy! There are enough female authors and we need to fill a quota in STEM!!"	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	5/341	1.466

Considering displays of sexuality like those aren't done with bad intent, I really think it's unfair to try to restrict them. Sexuality is a big part of human lives and the current hypersensitivity in our culture causes some to want to eradicate it from the public eye, but I do not think that kind of sexual suppression would be beneficial. Teaching people to be as less affected by presented images of what they should be seems more doable and preferable to expecting people to hide their sexuality and restrain media from capitalizing of of it.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/95	1.053
I don't disagree. I understand what sexual dimorphism is. But we're trying to weed out the societal factors so we can really see what the dimorphism is between the genders.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
Nai Guy the balancing so far have been women being favored 2 to 1 for a job were both have the same credentials and there are a lot of statistics that suggest men are smarter, at least iq based stats plus its pretty obvious, also im sure there are differences between races too, im latino and im open minded if someone shows me proof that x race is smarter than y race I will just accept it	Race	Ethnicity - General	2/77	2.597
egps37 That's a very bold claim to make. Men are smarter than women in general? Do you even science?That is an utterly unprovable claim. How is intelligence measured in your mind? Have you managed to find studies that control for societal influences, race , age , SES and education? I doubt it.	Age, Race	Age - General, Ethnicity - General	2/51	3.922
+Joakim D because maybe they want to, but its seen as weird for their gender , or race to have that job. (ex. men feel degraded by having a job as a nurse because they think its for women)	Gender, Race, Weird	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/38	7.895

+g kat "ex. men feel degraded by having a job as a nurse because they think its for women". LOL do you look down on male nurses? If not, then how did you come up with the idea where YOU think men feel degraded doing certain types of jobs? Sounds like you're projecting your own low opinion of said males. It's really simple, if you tend to find men LESS SEXUALLY ATTRACTIVE for doing a certain type of job, that's YOU (assuming you're a woman , "g kat") degrading them. You're actively "putting them in their place" with prejudice in the dating market, in reproduction and in other life choices.But that's OK. Women are hypergamous, and blind to it. They date an 8 and honestly believe he's only a 3. Men have to adapt and take social factors into account when choosing careers.	Blind, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/143	1.399
meh hi there whats you're problem. Guys say that being a nurse is gay all the time. Why are you so rude and harsh when I'm just trying to sympathize. And "smite" me for trying to empathize is so fucked up.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/39	5.128
As a physics student I find the notion of feminism utterly disgraceful, in regards to its treatment of STEM.Firstly it tells the men in STEM fields that they are only there because the field is gerrymandered in their favour and girls that they are merely window dressing and 'diversity hires'. This ignores the one main reason these people are there, the amount of work they put in. Secondly it always appears to be people with degrees in the humanities and social sciences complaining about the under representation of women in STEM. To these people I always ask, why did you not study physics? Interestingly weather male or female the response it near universally "because I wasn't interested in it". Equally if you want to explain the male dominance of physics you also have to explain the female dominance of biology and general practice medicine. Women seem to be designed as to be less likely to take risks and this follows from an elementary interpretation of evolution. The male population is disposable, that is to say if necessary one man could kick-start the human race with a handful of women and that the reverse would not be true.Thus men are more likely to take risks which is a key component of physical science thus more men are physicists and engineers . Equivalently women are more likely to take up caring roles. Thus women re more likely to be GP's.	Engineers, Race	Ethnicity - General, Nationality - General	2/238	0.84

<p>This is what I always think about while suffering street harassment. It doesn't matter how much I try to be valuable to our society, how much I put in my work, to be successful, or even that I'm not a burden for our society, that I help other people or do charity, that I study science or save animals or whatever. It doesn't really matter. With just a few words, someone harassing me in the street is showing to me that nothing really matters because whoever wants it can become more powerful than me, can have a power over my life that I don't want anyone to have: the power of frightening me, of making me feel vulnerable and violated.I really hate this.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/124	0.806
<p>+Internet Junky >suffering street harassment. Could you please use air quotes, while using the terms street harassment or online harassment?>It doesn't really matter. With just a few words, someone harassing me in the street is showing to me that nothing really matters because whoever wants it can become more powerful than me, can have a power over my life that I don't want anyone to have: the power of frightening me, of making me feel vulnerable and violated.A random person said something about you and you question your whole existance? You are an idiot. A) Did that just destroy your life? B) Because it shouldn't. I don't believe that anything serious happend to you. Just the regular SJW calling catcalling or something like that "harassment" (unless you are the 1)</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/132	0.758
<p>I honestly don't think sexism in work place is as common as the video makes it out to be. I know everyone could give there own personal experience of some way they got short end of stick from employer. Trust me it's happened to me. Looking into degrees earned by gender, men get more engineering/finance/business degrees. Women get more educational and social work degrees, that is noble work and I think they should make money but I don't make the rules. If a guy wearing a shirt with comic book females on it dissuades a woman from not getting into a career she probably wasn't that excited about that career in the first place.</p>	Common, Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	4/114	3.509

<p>Just a disclaimer: I'll be paraphrasing.0:54 - The shirt will discourage girls from joining STEM research because it perpetuates the idea that is a Boy's Club.How does someone wearing a shirt discourage people from joining a field of research? The shirt had women that were sexualized, sure, I concede that point. What's a bit hard for me to swallow is how wearing a shirt with cartoon women on it will dissuade young, aspiring, potential female scientists.I'd also like to point out that the shirt itself makes no mentions of STEM research, nor does it independently associate itself with that field of work. The only association it has with STEM research appears to be that someone reporting big news as far as our progress in space is affiliated with that occupation.If we're to assume that this shirt will have any impact on young girls, we'd have to conclude that the girls' thought process goes as follows:1. There is a shirt with scantily clad women on it.2. That shirt is being worn by a scientist.3. Those women must be referencing the field of study this scientist is involved with because he is wearing it.4. Because this shirt is involved with his field of study, that must mean it is a symbol dissuading us from becoming scientists.5. All things considered, I should not become a scientist because, through looking at this shirt with women on it being worn by a scientist, STEM research must obviously be an all boys club that does not encourage women's participation.Conclusion 3 makes little to no sense because we don't know how, or in what way, the shirt is affiliated with STEM research outside of being a scientist's choice in outfit at the time. Some explanation would be appreciated.2:33 - We're trying to show how wearing a shirt like that might add to a culture of denigration toward women.This goes hand-in-hand with what I wrote earlier. You don't seem to provide a method by which this shirt is doing this.2:46 - Women comprise less than 25 of STEM jobs, and they are far less likely to be published in peer review journals. Maybe this is because women don't like Science as much as men. If you think this, however, the only thing I can tell you is maybe your assumptions follow cultural norms so invisible they seem like insights about gender.There is a lot I take issue with here.You propose the explanation that it's possible that the statistics are not just a coincidence and instead are the result of invisible cultural norms, but you don't provide any evidence to back up that assertion. What reason do we have to assume that this tendency among women and STEM jobs as well as their frequency in publishing peer review journals is anything but a coincidence? What are these cultural norms you're talking about? How can you demonstrate that they are, in this case, responsible for the statics we've been given?The only explanation you provide in the video is, "Well, maybe you're wrong." Well, OK, maybe I am wrong. So, what? You didn't give us anything to consider. No proof, nothing. Just a suggestion with a lack of citations proving your point. If you provide some kind of proof that gender discrimination/"cultural norms" are responsible for these statistics, please provide some evidence.3:54 - *In issues like this, you need to keep in mind that we're talking about people's pleasure; about taking it away from them."What? I'm going to assume that the issue you're referring to is the discourse over</p>	<p>Gender, Nothing, Old, Sexism</p>	<p>Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General</p>	<p>5/670</p>	<p>0.746</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

I understand that a woman made that shirt for him.	Woman	Gender - General	1/10	10.0
I'm sure the majority of munitions dealers and makers are men. Maybe some women don't want to die in an accident, and maybe some men like explosions. That doesn't mean there's a problem with it in terms of gender .I like games, but I don't see girl rushing to buy consoles. I see girl playing phone games, but I'm not rushing to buy those either. Fields are fields, market is market, unless the gov makes taxpayers pay for people's jobs and get laid off anyway cause corp reasons when no one was doing it anyway(airplanes and cars).	Gender	Gender - General	1/97	1.031
Women being in less STEM careers, less published, and less cited does not indicate sexism . I don't say women love science less, I say that the work these women have done is of less merit because that is the answer without corruption. The scientific establishment needs to be tested the same way an alleged criminal is, assumed innocent until proven guilty.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/61	1.639
I am angry at the hypocrisy and narcissism: 1) Matt Tailor has arguably been the victim of the greatest online bullying campaign in history. Executed by SJWs. Bullying is something SJWs are against, except when they are not. You can try and justify that it wasn't, but I am sure Matt saw it differently. HYPOCRITES!2) Women want to wear things without being judged by others. 'slut walk', 'free the nipple' etc. But Matt Tailor cant ware what he wants. 'its different when men do it', thats special pleading. HYPOCRITES!3) This was a hijacking of a scientific achievement for all time, by the trendy identity politics of a few, to make it ALL about them. Then they complain that if people are hostile to the intellectual equivilant of squatters house invading, as somehow proving their point that sexism exist. The self-fulfilling prophetic narcissism of these people is disgusting. NARCISSISTS!4) I don't see feminists campaigning for the rights of men in the female dominated STEM fields of psychology, nursing, and other biomed fields? Only maths ,engineering and chemistry. Well done on cherry picking your arguments. HYPOCRITES5) Rather than bitching there is no women in science, BECOME A SCIENTIST!	Sexism	Gender - General	1/200	0.5

I've gotta say, this is not a very good argument. Please hear me out, as i heard the whole video out and will respond to any comments or questions.Maddox, as divisive as he may be, makes some astoundingly good points about the illusion of wage gaps and glass ceilings. Look up his video on it, I tried linking sources but youtube wont let me. He listed them all on his site (google maddox wage gap). In it, he breaks the old "men make more than women claim" by first exposing the fact that the statistics are way off (it's closer to 6-7 difference). He then goes on to show that the more reasonable wage gap has a much more simple explanation: women are less likely to negotiate for salaries. Turns out when you tell women all their lives that they are going to make less than men, the feel okay with crappy wages and are less likely to vie for higher positions or pay. You were on to something with the stats about women in the STEM field. The problem is, coddling them like this only perpetuates the myth women are weak and need to be coddled. We cant take you seriously when you get this bent out of shape about a shirt. Women need to nut up. Stand up for yourselves. Demand better pay, and go into the field you want to go into. I believe in you, now believe in yourselves. Show us what you're capable of.TLDR; thank you nerd writer for having such an articulate community where people can discuss this kind of stuff meaningfully.	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/270	0.37
Whys objectification a misogynist construct? It doesnt only happen to women you silly	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/13	7.692
Sexism and sexual harassment are prevalent in every field or profession that is predominately male. The more money to be made, and perhaps prestige there is, the worse it is...I'm not sure one nerdy guy with a shirt like that would threaten me. I'd be likelier to think he was a geek who didn't get any. There are far more instances of sexism that are blatant, insidious and every degree between than this shirt.	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	3/74	4.054
I am a man who grew up in a very feminist environment. In answer to the question at the end there; I am absolutely terrified of being made as powerless as in childhood again. When ever people rally behind a cause they become an "in group" and every one that doesn't support their cause is the "out group." To me feminism is a triggering and threatening word that conjures memories of being scolded for being a dirty and dangerous boy that needed to be broken of my "natural instincts." To feminists; feminism is powerful voice of advocacy for good. Ultimately nether side is wrong because it's a perspective issue. How you see the world is what determines if that shirt, or anything, is oppressive, uplifting, or null in implication. From my rather unique perspective sexism holds it's roots in the constructs of gender identity and sexual orientation as validated concepts. There's no ethical way to go about fixing that either.	Gender, Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	3/160	1.875

<p>”We’re not crucifying him...”The hell you aren’t. You’re picking him out because he is a face to direct your hate at, because you can’t target ”the culture” because it is in the air you breath, the water you drink, and so fractured into subcultures and interpretations there of that any such criticisms fall on deaf ears, or are attacked by people who misunderstand your words or disagree with them.He was a person who could be singled out and dragged through the mud because he was a person, and this is profoundly unjust. Psychologically people are better equipped to critique people than abstract concepts, which is what you have the issue, not his stupid shirt. You want to talk statistics? Do you have statistics on the effects of fashion choices on women going into Stem? No? Well than what you have is conjecture only. As a student of physics I can assure that it is a fucking hard field, even in undergrad; you need intelligence, talent, and mountains of determination just to get a BSc, never-mind a PHD and a career in any space agency. If you think that a cheap shirt is going put a dent in the mountains of mental force you need to be successful in the sciences, than you are delivering a grave insult to my female peers. Then there’s the question as to why you would assume that cartoons of women in poses which are barely suggestive by modern standards would have any deleterious effect on women at all. Unprofessional, surely. Ugly and in poor taste, arguably so. But careful that you aren’t simply trying to justify your disgust, but trying to solve an actual problem. Lets look at an illustrative example; a woman who just put on a dance performance hailed by critics as a masterpiece in our time chooses to conduct an interview wearing a dress featuring drawings of shirtless me with rippling physiques. Would YOU be equally offended by that? Would you say that her shirt is pushing men away form careers in art? Would you claim that it is her right to wear whatever she damn well pleases? Would you deride her for having poor fashion sense or forgive her trespasses since she is a eccentric artist? I don’t know what any of you would do personally, but I do know that many internet commentators would hold her up as a hero of feminism for flipping the script of men objectifying women in this profoundly idiotic gender war we’re all supposed to be fighting. And I see that as fucked up; that even the most pro-women elements of our culture have absolutely no problem with representing themselves as the perpetual victim, always downtrodden and in need of support when times are tough, and praise when they assert themselves, where as men are inherently so tough and privileged that they need to be torn down a peg or smacked back in line. Am I arguing that women don’t on the whole have it worse then men, or that they are underrepresented in STEM? No. I am arguing that you’re psychologically tearing apart a science nerd because he has fashion sense, and putting on airs as if this is justified, and good. Ad if humiliating one man will end the patriarchy. As if you don’t have your own problematic gender-based biases. As if your ineffectual attempt at social progress were more important the the scientific progress this mission has achieved.</p> <p>I’m disappointed in you.</p>	Deaf, Gender, Mental, Patriarchy, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	5/582	0.859
387				

I'm not hating but you should've known that the shirt was a gift to Matt from a female friend. It WAS NOT his fashion choice. That reporter was a dumb bickering human being. Why is it nobody is offended by "wife beater vests" but IS by a shirt depicting some supposedly bad ass women?	Dumb, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/54	3.704
maybe if a girl, woman or whoever bases their decision on weather to pursue a certain career is based on someone's fashion choices, maybe that someone does not belong in that career. I am not threatened by someone who takes away cool shirts from people. I am angry, the obsession by some people to control what others wear seriously pisses me off. How often do you see a cool tattooed scientist with a goofy shirt? well you're not gonna see more of them anytime soon, thank you you pseudo pc attention whores.	Woman	Gender - General	1/92	1.087
In defense of the man with the controversial T-shirt I would just like to say If your hypercritical your almost certainly a hypocrite and nobody likes a hypocrite. If you think about your life as a whole then you would realize that you have probably done something someone could choose to take offence at. That is why when someone does something you find offensive it is good to ask yourself if they intended to be hurtful and if they did not then don't be horrible to them. Instead use a bit of christian charity and kindly point out the problem in a way that's not going to make them publicly brake down.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/112	0.893
Ehh I'm gonna call bullshit on something you said about sexism and racism being pleasurable. I've been in plenty of situations where people around me said some pretty sexist and racist things (in jest mind you), and I didn't find that shit to be pleasurable. In fact it's made me reevaluate the people I hang around with and how I respond to certain statements. I don't care about the guy's shirt. It's an ugly shirt but it's not going to ruin my day. Is it sexist? I don't know. I don't think so and like I said, I just think it's hideous. But it was designed by a female friend of his and we don't know if he commissioned her to make the shirt or if she made it as a gift for him or whatever, but in the end, isn't the fact that it was made by a woman and the fact that it features scantily dressed females, make it fall in line with sex -positive feminism? Isn't that a possibility as well?	Racism, Racist, Sex, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/174	2.874

<p>Hmmmm...I think your content is fantastic by the way. I think that buzzwords like "sexist" and "misogynist" hardly ever get us anywhere. They come with the baggage of decades and decades: anxiety, fear, shame, and anger all buried underneath them.</p> <p>Plus, people define these words differently, and most of us don't sit patiently and reasonably to ask, "What do you mean by 'sexist'?" What do you mean, apart from being an awesome Justin Beiber song, is one of the most useful questions to ask in debate and discourse. Personally (based on my own definitions and life experience), I don't think that shirt was sexist. Tacky, yes. Sexist, no.To someone else who finds it sexist, I would ask, "What do you mean?" and probably find out that, though our choice of words differs, our ideas and understanding of the situation don't.</p>	Misogynist, Tacky	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/141	1.418
<p>Another truth about sexism is that one way or another, its everyone's fault...</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/13	7.692
<p>TL;DR Cartoon women shirt = Sadistic pleasure in oppression?!? You don't even know you are a sadistic, enabling misogynist because its so hard to spot!A woman made this shirt btw. If fabric and drawings offend you and scare you away from a "job field" you were never really that passionate or interested in the first place.I think, honestly - its the SJWs and Progressives who take "pleasure" in denouncing this amazing feat of human ingenuity by raising their fingers and saying "LOOK, MISOGYNIIST! Who cares if he is a brilliant scientist who aided in this miraculous tech that NO OTHER HUMANS HAVE EVER DONE BEFORE.. he wore an offensive shirt with sexy ladies!!!!!" Because SJWs would rather spend time on that then other real world issues that are hurting people far more than the sight of a shirt ever could.</p>	Misogynist, Woman	Gender - General	2/142	1.408
<p>Because it's SOOO much more believable to blame an unfalsifiable theory - that sexual dimorphism stops above the neck than to believe tht there are non-social factors behind career preferences.</p>	Sexual	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
<p>Thank you! It's so refreshing to see a man talking about sexism in a humble, objective way. And in an easy way explain why these emotion exists and how sexism in media hurts women(and men). I will try my best to help you out in the comments!</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/47	4.255
<p>I was just infuriated when I found out they made this dude cry, what should have been one of the happiest days of his life ruined because feminists beat him over a shirt.Even more stupid is that the shirt was designed by a woman and he wore it to help promote her fashion.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/54	1.852

Well, I guess I have to unsub after I just subbed. Didn't know this guy, who seemed so smart, fell for this shit. The only and I mean ONLY logical argument against the shirt is that it was probably too goofy of a shirt to wear on a huge broadcast. That's it. Y'know whats funny? If this was a woman and she wore a shirt with hot cartoon men on it, she would be praised for being funny and swapping gender norms like some brave iconoclast.I laugh at all of these idiots trying to "protect" women by making them into children who can't think or feel for themselves. Oh, women are too afraid to go into STEM because of rampant misogyny ? Well, I'd better tell my 18 year old sister-in-law to stop pursuing a degree in geology because it's only a matter of time before she's sexually harassed and shunned. I'd better get my friend to tell his little sister to watch out for those evil woman hating pigs that will be alongside her as she pursues her degree in biology. I mean, lets just tell women they aren't wanted in STEM. That'll really make them go for it!	Gender, Misogyny, Old, PIGS, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General, Nationality - Southern European	6/200	3.0
wtf mate, i like that there's a somewhat sophisticated art appreciation channel on youtube but when you said that using sexual images of women is "misogynistic" (ie you want to sell your product in a competative way? You HATE all women) i just stopped watching in disgust. 1. Sexual images of women are in no way sexist or misogynist , 2. Sexual advertising happens with men too and no one complains about it, why? Two reasons. Men have no capital as a political interest group when compared to women, and because it doesn't matter and there's literally nothing wrong with the human body.	Misogynist, Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	5/102	4.902

<p>Whelp. You are either about to piss me off or make me just shrug my shoulders and stop binge watching your videos. I wonder which it will be... 0:11 Nice for you to offer an escape, but no, my body is ready. 0:55 And they are essentially wrong. Look, you can say that his shirt is inappropriate. That’s perfectly valid, and I might even agree. Great shirt for a night on the town, bad for being recognized for your accomplishments of landing an unmanned craft on a fucking meteorite. But letting something like that influence your educational decisions would make me very concerned about your overall self worth and mental stability. It is like a man whining about the vast majority of teachers being portrayed as women making him not want to pursue a career in education. Likewise, harassing the person in question- especially after you find out that a female friend made the shirt for him and there is an emotional attachment to it- until he breaks down and cries is a thousand times worse than what he did in the first place. It would be like if someone called me fat and my response was to break their arm. It was completely unreasonable and those involved were scum. This is pretty clear cut, and the fact that you even question it is... problematic. As a good rule of thumb, any time someone complains about something being sexist reverse the genders involved. If it still seems sexist to you, it probably is. If it does not, then you are probably the one being sexist. Tacky shirt with half naked members of the opposite gender plastered all over it registers only the slightest of discomfort. 1:16 Mike is right. If he was trying to get laid that would have been a terrible choice. As is everyone is coming across like they are picking on that socially awkward guy who speaks Klingon and sticks his fingers in his arm pits before smelling them at work. This isn’t highschool. For that matter, the shirt didn’t phase me. Now if he was being a jackass about it, drawing attention to it, asking me weird questions like ‘would you like to dress up like one of these girls for me’ or constantly singing about how much he loves Pamela Anderson’s tits yeah. When that socially awkward guy who speaks Klingon and sticks his fingers in his arm pits before smelling them at work starts staring at you at lunch and licking his lips while winking at you and using his ridiculous moonspeak language to make sexual passes you don’t understand to you it is time for him to fucking stop. 2:20 ”misogynistic undertone” aaaaaaaaaaaaaand pissed off. Fuck you. Just so we are clear, I have tried to be as eloquent as possible else where. So when I finally did directly insult you, it might carry some conveyance of how supremely livid I am. Stop misusing that fucking word. Misogyny is the hatred of women. Objectifying women is not the same as hating them. Sexism against women isn’t even the same thing as misogyny. You can be blisteringly sexist but actually LOVE women. The white knights of the world can attest that. Appreciating the female (or male) form is not ‘misogynistic’, even in undertone you rancid cunt. You festering cock. You take away from the power that word possesses every time you misuse it. You HURT women when you misuse it, because when it comes time to address something that is actually misogynist people will be expecting you to be bitching about Kim Kardashian’s fat ass, and dismiss you off hand like the boy who</p>	<p>Fat, Gay, Gender, Mental, Misogynist, Misogyny, Sexism, Sexual, Tacky, Weird, Woman</p>	<p>Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality, Social Class - Working class</p>	<p>15/870</p>	<p>1.724</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>2:38 Yes, what matters are facts. Statistics less so, those can be very unreliable, just see the statistics on your average Fox News program. Yes, very few women are engineers. Very few men, to use an example from earlier, are elementary school teachers. So how about instead of automatically assuming either of them WANT to be these things we consider the possibility that... the average man doesn't want to be around screaming children all day, and the average woman wants a career that might allow her to spend some time around her screaming children every once and a while? But no, it is invisible cultural norms getting into my blue collar, manual labor female lesbian brain and telling me that not all women want to join the military for some reason other than sexism. Or maybe not all women want to scissor with me because a very small portion of the population is gay. Really most women are secretly bisexual and I just have to sexually harass them until they drop their panties for me. The sad thing is, you could be right, at least in some cases. Maybe a very small percentage of women are off put and don't join up because of a bunch of subtle things influencing them in society. I of course mean join up with the marines. Why wouldn't a woman be just as naturally predisposed to hours of rigorous training, someone screaming in their face, being shipped off overseas to fight, seeing their friends be horrifically killed around them before slowly succumbing to their wounds and dying in a pool of their own blood? That one is a little easier to answer than the STEM question, fair enough, but when asked women are more interested in going into fields where they can help people directly. This is why the equally difficult and high paying medical school doesn't see as bad of a gap in genders. This is also why my female cousin, a nurse, immediately quit her job when she had a child. Reering a child shouldn't automatically be a woman's obligation, but she did this under protest from her husband because she made more money than he did. She did this against the advice of a lot of us, honestly. She was actively being coerced in the opposite direction by the 'culture' around her, yet... she choose family over work.</p> <p>Could it be, that some biological functions born from hundreds of thousands, if not hundreds of millions, of years of evolution are at work here? That culture derives from biological and external needs in some cases? Will I be told I have 'internalized misogyny' for suggesting so, even though I personally have never had these urges and they seem so alien to me? Despite my living in the same culture? Considering that I may well have a very different biological leaning compared to the average woman, being a six foot two inch testosterone ridden bear dyke? Can I still call myself a dyke? Do I need to be saved from myself by SJWs and intersectional feminists? Some of them would say no and yes respectively to those last two questions. But if for one moment you are right, and this is completely, absolutely, 100 due to external cultural influences... why is it we are using it as a reason to complain about only a fifth of STEM jobs going to women (who compose 60 of graduates) and not that men despite making more money overall are usually more impoverished, compose a massive majority of the homeless, constitute roughly half of domestic abuse victims yet are laughed at when their abuser is</p>	<p>Alien, Engineers, Gay, Lesbian, Sexism, Woman</p>	<p>Gender - General, Nationality - General, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality</p>	<p>11/938</p>	<p>1.173</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

+Girl Buu Sorry girl, but frequently moderates are just the reason radicals exist.One, they feed the radicals so things can push better to their side. (public opinion)Two, they feed the radicals because the radicals are brave enough to do the things they want while asking for a price they won't have to pay. ("We need a war to rise germany from this pit, let's join the nazis! What about the jews? I'm not a jew!" kind of mentality)Three is the famous "tell me how to live" situation. Crazy people make strong leaders for some reason. (or at least pass a such for most)	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/106	0.943
+Girl Buu I live in a third world country. I've faced a lot of sexism from my orthodox family which has made me to decide on going to the first world for college.I want to pursue a career in engineering.While browsing about STEM fields in the US I stumbled upon the statistics on the massive gender gap you have in them and after that I also read many articles by women scientists and engineers facing sexism .Where I live the idea of STEM fields being only for men is completely alien and technical work isn't considered to have any gender .And I don't know many girls who aren't studying science subjects.So...should I assume that it is a geographical thing? Maybe what you're saying is true and women in developed countries just aren't wired for science.	Alien, Engineers, Gender, Sexism	Gender - General, Nationality - General	6/134	4.478
Sanjana Hegde Well... technically is both, but is mainly cultural, biology is just the beginning of the positive reinforcing cycle.But 1 day old chimps who were separated from mother at birth show predilections to objects that correlates to sex . (each sex has a statistically significant greater chance of showing predilection to one kind of object compared to the other. But it's statistical, not all males or all females, the same thing is observed in humans but we can't separate them from their mothers and father from birth so we can't rule out motherly influence, like we can do with chimps and we can never know for sure if it translates well between species. For what I know it's 90 somewhat conforming to sex and 10 don't, and does not seem to correlate to gender identity.)	Gender, Old, Sex	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	5/136	3.676

<p>”While browsing about STEM fields in the US I stumbled upon the statistics on the massive gender gap”There is definitely a gender gap there, yes. There is, like I said, also one in the military, amoung miners and sewage workers. Likewise not many male nurses or teachers. The existence of a gap is hardly proof of systemic sexism preventing women from entering a field. I am kind of tired of the assertion that is the case... and tired of it only being for high paying jobs that require years of academia.”I also read many articles by women scientists and engineers facing sexism.”I have no doubt that some of them were telling the truth. It is kind of hard to stumble through life and never be judged on arbitrary things beyond your control. I’d say the issue is blowing it out of proportion, like with this fellow or Tim Hunt. That isn’t doing you any favors when it comes time to deal with the real sexists who actively want to hold you back.”Where I live the idea of STEM fields being only for men is completely alien and technical work isn’t considered to have any gender.”Show me one person who said STEM jobs are only for men, because I certainly didn’t. Twenty five percent of the field suggests otherwise.”And I don’t know many girls who aren’t studying science subjects.”Do any of these girls plan to settle down and start families in the foreseeable future? How many of them are mothers? Could you be studying it yourself, and thus most of your college acquaintances be in the same class room?”So...should I assume that it is a geographical thing? Maybe what you’re saying is true and women in developed countries just aren’t wired for science.”It is possible that it could be a cultural difference. I do think that there are a lot of women who don’t apply themselves in the west under the assumption that their significant others should do it for them. I mean, if that is their choice and they can find a sucker esteemed gentleman to help them out with it, fine.If they were being forced into the role of housewife that would be one thing, but it is hard for me to fathom it not being by choice. My choice was obviously different, as was yours. Maybe Indian women are just more ambitious? What is the percentage of females in STEM over there?”Girl Buu is denying that and stating that it’s biological.”Less biological and more that college age women here give serious considerations to getting married and starting a family. If they have a child, they want to spend time with the child. I’ve seen many a college (or even high school) drop out over babies.</p>	Age, Alien, Engineers, Gender, Indian, Sexism	Age - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General, Nationality - General	9/469	1.919
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

No one aspires to be a sewage worker or a miner.Man or woman .Physical labor (mining,military) is for men as they are biologically stronger.Who's complaining about that?STEM fields however aren't.Women, including married women with kids, in the third world countries work in them and they're doing extremely well.Majority of students, after the tenth grade,study science and then study engineering in college.My mom (a housewife) and dad studied social science subjects and my mom says that most of her classmates quit their jobs after having kids. I've seen that happening too.Engineering and scientist moms I know however are working everyday, looking after their children and also earning tonnes of money.Well in our (sexist) culture they marry girls only to boys who earn more than them.So they could just sit at home and do household work.No one would bat an eye.But they don't.Studies have shown that nearly 8 of women engineers felt that they didn't fit in whereas 30 of men wished they had chosen some other field. Btw most STEM jobs have 6-8 working hours here.I heard you have similar laws there too.No? Do they work for twelve hours a day there? Your society need not say that science and technology are not for girls out loud.In the 1990s the percentage of American women in those fields was more than it is now.They drop out or transfer to other branches.What could be the reason for that? Isn't that evidence enough that subliminally influencing damaging stereotypes or some other reasons like that are preventing them from entering those fields and pushing them away when they do?	Engineers, Woman	Gender - General, Nationality - General	2/264	0.758
+Girl Buu Your post is fucking hilarious. It's a thin line you walk between on-point as fuck satire and just trashing these kids by making them look like ROK-fed idiots. Do stay on the former side, though, as you are magnificent at it.	Thin	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/43	2.326

<p>Whoever marked Sanjana’s comment as spam- knock it the fuck off. Censoring people for saying things you don’t like is craven and lowly, and getting her comment hidden is definitely censorship.”No one aspires to be a sewage worker or a miner.Man or woman.Physical labor (mining,military) is for men as they are biologically stronger.Who’s complaining about that?”No one, and that is sort of the problem. How are men suppose to feel when women demand the good jobs they are dominate in have equal numbers of men and women but never squeak about the (quite literally) shitty jobs?You aren’t wrong, men ARE bigger and stronger than women on average. But if we are being honest several of these careers are requiring less and less brute force. Garbage trucks have mechanical arms to gather trash, soldiers only really have to worry about carrying their equipment and possibly another soldier and if all their squad mates are also small women that shouldn’t be an issue. We already lower the physical requirements for women in basic training... how is a small man who wants to be a Marine, can’t pass the male training but could pass the female training suppose to feel about being denied?This is the thing that is really peeling my onions about modern feminism. It is like they want women to have all the perks men have had... but none of the draw backs. I always thought it was suppose to be about gender equality, that means you have to take the good with the bad.”STEM fields however aren’t.Women, including married women with kids, in the third world countries work in them and they’re doing extremely well.”I am glad for them. Though I have to ask, what is their home situation like? Who is caring for their children? Relatives? Friends? Daycare centers? The state? If they can balance a demanding job and caring for their children all the more power too them. That is commendable and respect worthy.Not all women can manage that, and many want a more hands on approach with their child rearing. Fathers who work demanding jobs don’t always get to be there for their baby’s first words, for their first steps, and I would imagine a mother with a demanding job could be in the same boat. Children will develop stronger bonds with whoever spends the most time around them. For a significant part of my childhood I had a slightly stronger bond with my grandmother than my mother, because my mother was a single mother working all the time and my grandma had me all day. Apparently I called my grandmother ’moma’ before I did my mother, and that bothered her a lot. So she got a job under my grandfather in the oil field as a secretary and they allowed me to hang out with her all day in her little office. Not a perfect solution, as sometimes I made it hard for her to do her job. I was a rambunctious tomboy who liked action movies and when I went full John Wayne and shot her with my finger and she didn’t act out the part (say because she was on the phone) I became a wailing brat. ”Likewise I know many fathers who look back on how little time they had to spend with their children due to work and lament it heavily.If they are okay with that, that’s fine. But these aren’t choices to take lightly, and I find it hard to believe it is more due to systemic sexism than it is women weighing the pros and cons of the paths they choose. I know of many women who are</p>	<p>Brat, Ethnic, Gender, Indian, Lame, Nothing, Senior, Sexism, Tomboy, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality</p>	<p>11/1209</p>	<p>0.91</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	-------------

Sanjana Hegde Oh... So science is only for men when it's only for nerds? So science is only for men when it's only for outcasts?No, you don't have choices, my sister was bullied as well for being a nerd, I received worst abuse not confined to name calling, but regardless...No, you don't have choices. You don't have the choice of marrying a guy who's gonna feed you for the rest of your life because here, one family income isn't a choice available for most, differently from sweeden. And a woman here has less freedom to spend time with kids because, well, she can't afford losing income.She might have more choices than the man, but much less than a 1st world woman .She also doesn't have the choice to depend on a welfare state.	Woman	Gender - General	2/136	1.471
lloydgush But there still seems to be a greater percentage of women in STEM fields in Brazil (40) than the first world countries.Here,in India (a third world country like yours)it's culturally acceptable for a middle class woman to be a housewife even if her family is poor.As I have said before,non-science fields are also open.But science is still the most chosen field among both boys and girls.	Woman	Gender - General	1/68	1.471
It sure is refreshing for someone who goes by the name "Girl Buu," the sexualized version of a male character, to educate the people on how and what misogyny is.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
Angel Flores bu ain't male and bu is just as much sexualized.Technically bu doesn't have a gender , just what it looks like gender performance.Girl bu wouldn't be different.I don't care what she said, but the name means nothing .	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/41	7.317
lloydgush Diegetic reasoning is great and all, but Buu is clearly meant to be a man by the creator. Doesn't matter that he "doesn't have a gender " in the show when everyone refers to him as "he" and has all the characteristics of being a man. Girl Buu acts much the same, but she's still a girl, and it's obvious someone made up the idea to make a sexy Buu.	Gender	Gender - General	1/70	1.429
Angel Flores It isn't. Bu, piculo, freeza and all of their race have no gender or sex .piculo and freeza reproduce asexually and bu technically doesn't even reproduce and the asexual production of good (fat) bu and evil bu (skinny) is nothing more than a fluke, it's artificial, just like cell an basically a killing magical machine.Now, what tell tales you think make either bu male?A complete absence of genitals or mammary glands? The fact that it's strong?The complete absence of body hair?A complete absence of bone structure?	Fat, Gender, Nothing, Race, Sex, Skinny	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	6/92	6.522

+fuckinggooglemangwtfiswrongwithyou Which one? I made several. =3 Also, ableism .	Ableism	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/9	11.111
Angel Flores Actually it does. Everything was chosen for a reason, designs were made and remade.The bu and frieza line were clearly designed to be assexual, and the bu line only became " sexual " (without actually becoming) is because they made a game and knew people would feel better playing a bu if it was sexualized because people like to confirm to their gender performing, even in gaming, even when it means to play another gender .And note the game isn't cannon.Now, the piccolo line, the namekians, well, we can see piccolo was made as a man, some sort of devilish man, but they changed it in the progress of the narrative when they created namekians.	Gender, Sexual	Gender - General	3/117	2.564
lloydgush Yes, everything was made for a reason. What is so hard to understand about that? Nothing else matters in the context of the show. Them making Freeza "asexual" doesn't change the fact that everyone in the show refers to him as a "he" and it doesn't change his obvious male appearance.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/52	1.923
Bravo. You have put more thought into gender interactions than I think I will ever be willing to (over the internet anyway). Both sides are cancer which leads to my stomach turning whenever I stumble upon a piece of media dealing with gender issues You know that there are going to be ballbusting feminazi Tumblrinas on one side and sexist/borderline misogynistic "Hey. Women are just inferior in most cases. I read it in a magazine. M'lady." whiteknighting federalords on the other side. Your perspective cuts a nice line between the two that is just as passionate but infinitely more rational.	Feminazi, Gender	Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General	3/100	3.0
Kevin Uchiha Going to go out on a limb here based on your username, profile picture, the tone of your typing, and what I saw on your G+ profile and say that you're a guy. Assuming this is correct, I have to question whether you read her post in full or not, because in it she explicitly states that she is a lesbian .	Lesbian	Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	1/63	1.587
+Five Cents lol you did some digging :P Yes im a guy. And my comment wasn't serious (obviously) so her being lesbian doesn't matter. I was just joking. But no i didn't read that she was lesbian , i typed that comment after reading her first comment in which she never said she was a lesbian . I read that she was lesbian latter though.	Lesbian	Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	4/63	6.349

See, this is the thing. Stereotypes are born out of truth. But holding an entire group to a stereotype... that is bigotry. Or collectivism. =PI don't think we need more or less male teachers anymore than I think we need more or less female engineers . People should just do what they are good at and enjoy. That said, some of my best teachers were male. So best of luck to you.	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/72	1.389
+Girl Buu Listen, you could prove a point in so much better ways. If you bring in so much hatred into writing an argument you are going to ruin the image for women's internet persona. Being so extremely ignorant online is not helping anyone especially any woman . By writing something so ignorant and not looking at his point is discussing. You are not insulting the writer of this video you are insulting everyone. I understand your point extremely well but if you are going to portray it with so much ignorance you are developing a terrible image for yourself. You are not becoming a better person or earning any pleasure from ruining someone's you tube video with negative hatred filled remarks. Your contribution to this video is not helping anyone especially the writer... You are the idiot that stops amazing writers like this from writing amazing videos that can have arguments. You are the downfall of you tube. Now go discuss it on tumblr or something because apparently you have the least of a morsel of brain to put up a counter argument against self minded people that have their own viewpoint that you can learn from. PSH! www.tumblr.com	Morsel, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/199	1.005
Good Cents No problem, I have nothing against homosexuals.Nice Beksiński picture by the way. Respect.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/16	6.25
Nikolai Drostdov Thanks. It's really odd to ask a lesbian to marry you, however, if you aren't a woman . I get it's a joke but still.	Lesbian, Woman	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	2/26	7.692
Girl Buu Really? He's always struck me as a bit of an asshole, kind of like Sargon of Akkad, the Amazing Atheist, or Armored Skeptic. My opinions of them might be colored by those they attract, however. Those comments sections. Turns my stomach just to think about them.	Colored	Ethnicity - Black	1/48	2.083
Girl Buu "If you have read the Communist Manifesto, you understand modern feminism." - Sargon of AkkadHe actually said this. How inflammatory can you get?	Communist	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/26	3.846

Girl Buu I don't think your average feminist wants to wage the gender equivalent of a Marxist class war. That's for Tumblr. He's misrepresenting the whole by looking at a part and obviously trying to rile people up by tying one controversy, feminism, into an even bigger controversy, communism. He reminds me of Dr. Phil. He might profess he wants to help or at least fight for some higher ideal but he's really just exploiting a bad situation for personal gain. Phil by filming and broadcasting families' troubles on national television and Sargon by burning straw men to garner likes, views, and subscriptions for his YouTube channel.	Gender	Gender - General	1/107	0.935
"Wow...talk about an overreaction"I know, the way people reacted to that shirt was ridiculous and continues to be."You completely separated the context in which he was speaking so that you could go on a rant about the right usage of the word ' misogyny '"You are right, the context of the conversation can totally change the definition of a word, you cock gobbling faggot .By which I mean a lit bundle of kindling wood devouring a rooster, of course."Did you really think his context was regarding hatred of women?"Do you really think I would have went on a rant about his misuse of the word if I thought he did?"Learn how to separate intentions from words used"Before taking the speck out of my eye, take the log out of yours brother."You're so sensitized to language"Oh, the people who got uptight over a shirt are now going to lecture me on being overly sensitive about a word they constantly misuse. Please, go on, I won't utterly disregard this as hypocritical blather. I promise."that you intentionally went out of your way to speak so insultingly toward a person who was genuinely interested in both sides of the argument"At what point did he express interest in both sides of the argument? Seemed like a propaganda piece to me, if a more politely worded one than usual.Intentionally went out of my way? No, I only started insulting him when he started accusing people of misogyny over things like the shirts they wore. If he had used another word, say, sexist, I would have still been a little miffed but I wouldn't have gotten so outrageously angry. If I went out of my way to insult people who seem genuinely interested in both sides I would have drenched Sanjana in vitriol.Like I am about to do you because-"This is EXACTLY why men are not willing to have a dialogue with women about feminism."..."Every slight, every misspeak is perceived to be borne of malice."10/10. I didn't see that coming. You totally got me.	Faggot, Misogyny	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/352	0.568

+Girl Buu I don't know why you have this crazy assumption that I'm one of those people who think that his shirt has some 'unspoken misogynistic/sexist' agenda. I'm one of the people that doesn't give a damn. My issue is with people like you that get rattled with the smallest misuse of a word. You could've just said "I don't think misogyny is the right word to use since objectification is not the same as hatred". Instead, you went off on a rant filled with your genitalia laden obscenities. If your intention was to change peoples' minds, you would've shown a modicum of empathy, but no. You only spewed forth your disgust because you were offended. So no, I don't think your speech had any positive impact except for showing that you're an insecure person. You are the reason why I will tell people "feminists are crazy ". I hope you're happy with the contribution you've made to women's rights.	Crazy, Misogyny	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/159	1.887
+Girl Buu This is my new favorite quote "You are the religious right winger complaining about a gay couple kissing on television because it offends your ridiculous sensibilities and then calling it 'hatred of Christians'."	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/35	5.714
+Girl Buu Thanks for reinforcing the stereotype that feminists are all toilet-mouthed angry people waiting to fly off the handle at nothing . You've just made it harder for the rest of us.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/32	3.125

<p>Good CentsSomeone hid your comment and I am only just now noticing. Restored it. Seriously people, knock it the fuck off with the spam flagging on comments you don't like. It is obnoxious at best, and makes you a spineless coward at worse."The dictionary definition of feminism is about building women up to a level equal to men" And communism is theoretically equal distribution of wealth. Was it equally distributed in most states that assumed the communist model? Not just picking on the left though, free market capitalism is suppose to have little state interference. How often do people who purport this belief hand out massive, stringless money grants to corporations? How often have they abused government power like imminent domain to benefit one company over another? I clearly disagree with Sargon here, or else I wouldn't call myself a feminist. But he isn't without a point. Feminism has been hijacked by those misandrists. They aren't misusing the term, they are using it as a shield for their abhorrent, puritanical behavior. Well, not entirely puritanical. Just when it comes to policing men, shaming a woman for her sexuality will get you hen pecked. It is not a minority, it is definitely a majority. Or maybe it is just a loud minority... but if it is, there are a bunch of apathetic and quiet feminists who really need to start speaking up against it. Instead, I see quiet support being lendd to women like that bitch you linked to, and feminists who are disregarding this behavior or criticizing it being shunned, are being ostracized... how else do you explain the OP, seemingly a level headed fellow, calling a man a misogynist for wearing a shirt? He is the face of the majority of feminists, I am sorry. Not taking part in the attack or being bombastic about it, but gently nodding his approval and rationalizing why it needs to be done. I despise it when people are afraid to voice their opinions, or when their opinions are censored. It is why I get so mad when people like you or Sanj get their comments hidden... or when guys like Gregory Allen Elliot get in legal trouble for saying mean things about people like Steph Guthrie online. That is my berserk button. Socially shaming someone for behavior you don't like until they are silent is more of a grey area. So I tend to go on rather or not I think someone deserves it. Obviously I am not going to agree with everyone, so when I think someone goes too far (again, like with the shirt) I feel it is my duty to counter it hard. If I am not everybit as vocal as they are, they win. That is part of why I got so testy in the original comment."The seventh, I would be lying if I said part of my trouble with him wasn't that his channel drowns you in dozens of hour-long videos upon viewing one."That is less him and more youtube. I viewed a Watchmojo video once and their bland mass produced crap was shoved down my throat for months afterwards.</p>	Communist, Misogynist, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General	3/527	0.569
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

You guys are totally right. I mean, if someone calls someone else a Nazi for not voting Obama I shouldn't get mad at all over them misusing the term so long as they were not antagonistic about it.	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/38	2.632
+Girl Buu well that depends on the context, which I think is the main problem here. I highly doubt that someone would calmly tell someone that they are a nazi . And great move on pulling the nazi card, because killing millions of people is just as bad as systematically oppressing women. I think a better comparison is getting mad at someone who calls himself a vegetarian, but still eats fish. And to give some context: you're both casually enjoying a coffee in a nice little cafe, with jazz in the background. Wouldn't seem right to get mad at them now would it?	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	2/102	1.961
"I highly doubt that someone would calmly tell someone that they are a nazi "You haven't spoken to very many people. It is used casually at this point."And great move on pulling the nazi card, because killing millions of people is just as bad as systematically oppressing women. "Hello strawman."I think a better comparison is getting mad at someone who calls himself a vegetarian, but still eats fish."... you would get mad at someone over that? What the fuck? I mean, they are wrong but who are they hurting by doing that? What heinous act are they condoning?Jesus Christ.	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	2/104	1.923
JelenjasBecause you should really know better in certain instances, you silly Nazi .	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/13	7.692
Girl Buu I get a feeling that you don't accept any other opinion than your own opinion. And calling someone a nazi could be really fucking rude to some people, so just try to avoid that word.	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/37	2.703
JelenjasYes, calling someone a Nazi being rude is the fucking point.	Nazi	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/12	8.333
JelenjasBecause calling someone a misogynist is also rude for the same reasons. Try to follow.	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/16	6.25

Jelenjas I don't know, given the massive amount of upvotes on that comment and the mostly positive reception of it people didn't mind me getting vitriolic very much. But if he can call someone a misogynist I think I can call him a cunt just fine. Just because misogynist doesn't have the same sting as cunt or Nazi (yet) doesn't make it any less of an insult. At this point calling someone sexist, racist or homophobic shuts down conversations just as much as profaning them.	Homophobic, Misogynist, Nazi, Racist	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	5/85	5.882
1: You were treating him like a victim, some poor child who didn't know what misogynist meant. I call it like I see it. 2: Read my last sentence again VERY carefully. I am not ACCUSING you of calling me a bitch. You are being extraordinarily defensive. 3: I am a bitch. Calling me such isn't rude, or any more rude than saying "I get a feeling that you don't accept any other opinion than your own opinion". In fact, I'd say that baseless assertion is far worse. 4: Calling 100+ upvotes a massive amount is not hyperbole. I am not disputing the accuracy of your percentage, I didn't double check the math. Should I have? 5: I am a pretty calm person. I am not cussing you out, am I? Despite that you are clearly taking things I said out of context- hell, I am giving you the benefit of the doubt that you are just misreading them. But there is a point that I will lose my temper and I will not be sorry for it. Calling a person a misogynist because they wore a shirt with half naked ladies on it is one of those points. I am sick of that sort of fem-nazi horse shit and I am not going to censor exactly how I feel about it when I encounter it. You aren't going to change my mind there. Now, if he had called it sexist or uncouth, you might get an apology out of me, or an admission I was wrong to blow up. Or if the man he was talking about wasn't bullied to the point of tears. But that isn't the case.	Misogynist	Gender - General	2/280	0.714
+Girl Buu > Objectifying women is not the same as hating them. Sexism against women isn't even the same thing as misogyny . Here's where you're running into some problems. It's difficult to hate a group without first objectifying them. As for misogyny vs sexism , they may not be exactly the same thing, but they go hand in hand. Why would there be a sexist system if there wasn't at least a little bit of hatred? Where does this come from? When you have a treatable or curable illness, do you treat the symptoms while ignoring the illness, or do you treat the illness?	Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	4/102	3.922

<p>+Girl Buu Just so you know, I read all your comments and agree with you. What's mostly getting me reading many of the responses to your original comments is how people seem to have decided that the style of your argument invalidated your claims. As a shout out to people (in general), arguing the use of a word – even if it is only to be somewhat pedantic – is a vital part of linguistic evolution, and especially so in cases where a word is transitioning definitions. Look at the TECHNICAL definition of feminism and the NEW definition of it for proof. The word started out only referring to advocacy for women's rights, and has now taken on the popular modern definition of advocating for both gender's rights. While misogyny might undergo the same conversion (to a less severe definition) it is still CHANGING, and language being the purest form of democracy to a certain extent the largest body using a given definition will 'define' it. There's nothing wrong with arguing for the old definition to remain, as it DOES fill a void in the English lexicon that would be empty otherwise. Sure she argued it somewhat crudely, but again, that's STYLE not CONTENT. It's well within a person's rights to say that her particular mode of argument failed on them, but to use that as your sole ammo for arguing her points is not only silly but ineffectual. All that said I'mma let y'all finish but Girl Buu is the best commenter in this thread. Kanye out.</p>	Misogyny, Nothing, Old	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/258	1.163
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	----------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

+Girl Buu It's sad that you have this strong relationship to a word as misogyny that you cheapen your otherwise well-reasonated argument with slurs. But the fact is that misogyny is - among other things - sexual objectification of women. Was that shirt objectifying women? Yes. Did he deserve to be dragged through the mud and publicly lynched because of it? Of course not. You define your world(or at least your arguments over this situation) very much on your sexual orientation, which is lesbian and that's fine but this "problem", either your hatred for the word or his decision to use that shirt has nothing to do with sexual orientation. It's a shirt of poor choice. The second problem with your argumentation is that you are using straw-men examples. Of course there are women (and men) who quit their job when they get a child, but these are not representative for the gender as a whole. That's what both the mysogynysts and the radical feminists are doing, which you seem to have really strong feelings about.Third problem I find is that you misrepresent Nerdwrighters argument. He didn't call the scientist a mysogynyst, he said the shirt was mysogynystic. And if you don't want to bend your world by definitions that's ok, but then you have to respect the people that actually do. And then you ramble on and judge him even though he has the textbook example. And of course you have to compare him to christian conservatives because they are the ones who would not get angry about a situation but discuss it in a civilized matter. It's not like they are throwing rocks at abortion-clinics. Although you glorify yourselves in slurs like "bitch" and trying to come off strong , modern and approachable when you say that you are a bitch it still seems like you're kinda cheapening your own argument when you compare him to the conservatives while you are the one throwing a hissyfit and insult him. Point is that you're the one who ends up as being the Westborough Baptist Church-goer. Finally, statistics are facts. You again use the same stuff the mysogynysts and the radical feminists are using when you discredit statistics because Fox abuse them. Now of course you could disregard this message and say "wow this tool doesn't know what he's talking about" but then you fall into what was essential about this video. You lose some part that's been so integrated in your body, so much that you want to completely disregard it, you feel like you lose something if you actually agree with my point of view.	Gender, Lesbian, Misogyny, Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	8/438	1.826
kaingates So the shirt shows some hatred of women because a chick in a bikini is on it. I think you don't know what hating someone is. I doubt a shirt with someone in a revealing outfit is now a sign of hatred.	Chick	Gender - General	1/43	2.326

Zeke Sanders No, misogyny is not ONLY hatred of women. It's also (but not limited to) objectification, discrimination and therefore presumption. It's not as simple as "he hates women, therefore he's a misogynist ".	Misogynist, Misogyny	Gender - General	2/33	6.061
kaingates I just checked two definitons (one of them being merriam webster) saying its simply the hatred of women. I'll go for the wikipedia then just so I can widen it for you.So the shirt is a symbol of contempt against women? As well as discrimination against women and prejudices. These are the things included in the definition of misogyny in wikipedia. What prejudices is the shirt he wearing exuding?	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/71	1.408
Misogyny includes objectification in the same way a group can only be racist if they also hold institutionalized power over those they are prejudice against.	Misogyny, Racist	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/25	8.0
Samuel Ellis Not all feminist are crazy nut jobs. There will definitely be some with sense out there.	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/18	5.556

<p>Zeke Sanders See, here's the problem because we're all rational people here. And we can all see how by a forced perspective see that the shirt kind of fall into the category, even though you chose to be condescending. So the problem is how it is used, and that's why I wrote about Girl Buu 's relationship to the word. How she has some hatred of it, because she's looking at the shirt and don't see women being neglected, abused or something along those lines and that's fair, we all have our own words that trigger us.</p> <p>By the way Zeke, if you read the whole article on wikipedia instead of just the first paragraph on top the page you'd understand where I come from. So the problem is more in the sense of how misogyny is being used. Because "hatred of women" translates to a very wide definition. As I mentioned earlier institutional misogyny is for example in the 1850's people actually wholeheartedly believed that women weren't set to do politics. They didn't hate women, they just didn't know better. But! back to the problem at hand. I of course base this on the presumption that it's how we use misogyny. Even though we can go way back and look at how the priests and scholars in the 1600's used the word, which was in the same sense of "haters" artists use it nowadays(this is just my own understanding of the writings I've found on the word, but it's really not relevant for my argument). But for the sake of relevancy let's hold it at least in the 20th century. Look at pinup-women from the 30's are those prejudiced against the female body? They are refurbished from reality and it's almost identical body with another face. They were targeted for specific purposes, and in that matter were condescending for women. It cheapens their own individuals to be categorized as pretty things to look at and not much else, these women weren't pictured as scholars but dressed provocative(read sexy). I would argue that this shirt were either pinups or an homage to pinups. And there is the misogyny, when you "cheapen" not one individual but a whole group of people you get the misogyny. As the Wikipedia article states "the Macquarie Dictionary expanded the definition to include not only hatred of women but also "entrenched prejudices against women". And as I said earlier, we're all rational people here so I don't feel that I have to explain the prejudices on the shirt. So the final question is then "where is the line where sexism stop and misogyny begin?" and that's a question for greater minds than me to decide.It's quite late so I'll probably come back tomorrow for spelling mistakes but my point is the same.</p>	Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	7/467	1.499
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>kaingates "And we can all see how by a forced perspective see that the shirt kind of fall into the category"I'm kind of confused on what you're saying here ,but lets see what I can muster. By any forced perspective something can be seen in a bad light or a good one. If I was in the perspective of a serial killer I could possibly think murder is ok. Thats not a legitimate point to be made."As I mentioned earlier institutional misogyny is for example in the 1850's people actually wholeheartedly believed that women weren't set to do politics. They didn't hate women, they just didn't know better."Then that isn't misogyny. Its a clear definition that was easy to understand and made sense. It can be up to interpretation whether something is hatred based off of ones reasoning for doing something. They weren't women haters ,but simply taught sexism and thought that this was how things were. Women were taught and believed this as well. Am I suppose to believe all the women hated themselves from that time period?You're clearly conflating misogyny and sexism because in some cases they can intertwine and build off eachother. The same way people are conflating racism with institutionalized racism. Keep the two things separate. The Macquarie Dictionary (from what i've seen) is an Australian dictionary made in 2013.</p>	<p>Misogyny, Racism, Sexism</p>	<p>Ethnicity - General, Gender - General</p>	<p>7/228</p>	<p>3.07</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	--------------	-------------

+Teja Devarakonda "So no, I don't think your speech had any positive impact except for showing that you're an insecure person". That's where you are wrong. 232+ people that liked her comment would beg to disagree. Forgetting the likes, it had made a positive impact at least to me. Reading her comments(not the only one where she might have went slightly off the rail) proved and reminded me that there are still true feminists out there. If all you got from it are the insults, I am questioning your reading ability. You completely missed her and this video's points. This video provided both sides of the argument while ended on the note that the criticism he got for the shirt is justifiable, said everyone including professor is misogynist , and claimed that most people who are annoyed with what is going on are not annoyed but threatened(for whatever reason). Buu's counter-argument was that there is no single argument that can be made that would justify the response Taylor got for simply wearing a shirt. She has also argued that the statistics Nerdwriter pulled might not be because of misogyny or sexism . If someone wanted to go into this field, they would not be deterred by something as trivial as the fashion choices of one of his future colleagues.The sexism and misogyny are present even more so in other fields that are even or might be even dominated by women. There probably is a biological and evolutionary reason for the stereotypes and such disbalance in the STEM fields. All of this and more Girl Buu has argued in those comments, but all what you have picked up were the insults towards Nerdwriter. A significant part of his argument was based on this misuse, so distress is justifiable, especially when this channel has a significant youtube base and he is trying to teach people something. Insults and hate did go overboard in my opinion. The reason for it though was not just the misuse of the word, but also the claim that "none of us are blameless" in misogyny that is going on. He basically called everyone a women hating piece of trash. I don't think that all of that was directed towards him though, and I don't think that all of that was only because of the his misuse of the word and that "none of us are blameless". It was probably more because of her passion towards this issue and the feeling of deep injustice because of what happened to Dr. Taylor. I think a lot of it was directed towards all this people who went on harassing, attacking, and sending him death threats while disregarding all of his achievements. And all of it was Over. A. Shirt. That being said, it is true that the insults were unnecessary, but there was much more to her comments than that, and the good outweighed the bad.	Misogynist, Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	6/484	1.24
Never have I read a comment that has so accurately reflected my reaction to watching a youtube video, you brilliant, well articulated (for the most part :P) evil magical alien pink blob :D you've given me some hope for moderate feminists yet. <3	Alien	Nationality - General	1/43	2.326

Girl Buu appreciating the male or female form is NOT the same fucking thing as objectifying them, I just want to make that clear. By objectifying someone, you make them unhuman, that is arguably a form of misogyny or misandry . notice I said ARGUABLY. Because sexuality works in a wonderful way such that it isn't tied to morals or empathy, you can be turned on by literally debasing and degrading someone but it doesn't mean you hate them. this may be nitpicking but I just wanted to say it. and also I don't see why you had to go fucking nuts over what he said, even if he IS wrong, nothing he said is even remotely harmful to women	Misandry, Misogyny, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/119	2.521
+realevilcorgi I'm horribly confused by where you ground your argument. Both of these issues are feminist ones, but they are different. One is about helping girls who literally have no rights. The other is about lingering sexism after achieving social equality. To make an analogy, this is like saying that racism against African Americans by white Americans doesn't matter because African slavery still exists. We could argue about which issue is more pressing, but neither is a non-issue.	African, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/78	5.128
Simply put I think people are fine with the point about lack of gender representation in STEM and how seemingly tiny symbols like Matt's shirt could contribute to this problem - going off on a slight tangent here, I agree that there is socially ingrained attitudes holding women back but as uncomfortable as it is to admit, it is possible that society isn't as big a factor in determining woman's choice to go into STEM subjects as in more egalitarian societies like Sweden less of women in their pop. go into STEM fields than in patriarchal societies like India so there's clearly something more to the issue of STEM underrepresentation than the nebulous sexism of society - but they are annoyed not because their own pleasure from their privileged positions are being taken away, its because of the fanatical also crazed crusade the SJWs have launched on Matt Taylor, this lynch mob mentality that wants Matt to confess to his crimes and grovel on his knees in forgiveness that really is reminiscent as Boris Johnson said like the show trials under Stalinist Russia. Yea this is a super late response but I just discovered your channel and been binging on all your commentaries - great stuff, social media and the internet in general needs a nuanced balanced and objective voice like yours. Really appreciate your work!	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/227	0.881
And here I am were my ideas and opinions didn't mattered in the classroom because of my non femininity, because that equaled freak .	Freak	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/23	4.348

I am danger of losing free speech and a hard-working society because a generation was raised, being told, "you're special." Women are not as fragile as you think and sexism isn't stopping WESTERN women from entering into STEM fields.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/39	2.564
Sexism is pleasurable? Citation needed.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/5	20.0
This reminds me of the "ban bossy" meme that feminists created. If you can't handle upsetting language, you aren't fit to be a leader. Further, if a woman can't handle hearing the word "bitch" at a bar without having to run home and switch from physics to the gender studies program, who has the real mental illness here?This is why we don't want women in groups where men are trying to get shit done. Why? It's the same reason that male siblings don't want their younger sister tagging along when it's time to play with the boys. This kind of morality policing and attention whoring happens in every field where women are not the majority over men. The myriad PC tentacles of these people are always trying to pull the rest of us down with their inane bullshit. Everything isn't about all about making me comfortable, why is the world so oppressive?!?! This is the perfect representation of how fucked and ridiculous our society has become.This guy is part of a team that just landed on a comet with a mission that was 10 years in the making. These women can't even hear the word bitch without having a mental breakdown. And he has the problem? The comment section is filled with women crying and white knights talking about how "unprofessional" it is to wear that shirt. I can only dream of a future where the environment is even more "hostile" to these people as the PC shit finally recedes back into a sense of normalcy.	Gender, Mental, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/258	1.55
+Kanye's Biggest Fan Your idea that optimism is childish, quite frankly, is childish, and isn't backed by any kind of actual logic or reason. Your point is nothing more than emotionally bias drivle. You see the world pessimistically so anyone who differs from you is wrong.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/44	2.273
I'm late to the party by a long shot. I have never heard of this. However, I do think that women are not the main issue to this. I think it's young impressionable minds; both boys and girls. What do they take from things like this? Maybe nothing on their own, but once this fiasco took flight, they are forced to acknowledge it and what happens then?	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/67	1.493
Yeah, we might be threatened, not by feminism, not by gender equality, we are threatened by the most immoral people who hide behind a Political Correctness mask to exploit gullible people, threaten people who disagree with them and alienate everyone around them, and still convince people that they have the moral high ground.	Gender	Gender - General	1/53	1.887

+Mr Nobody Women can play into their own stereotypes. That doesn't change the fact that some people will find it offensive. Also, as an aspiring comic artist, I must say that her designs are surprisingly non-functional for a female action hero. It bothers me as much as capes for fast-flying heroes. Breast straps don't help. They just push your boobs to the side to flail in the cold. And the suit? That looks like constraints for breasts, not comfort for combat.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/81	1.235
+ Snowflake Productions They're Comics, they aren't supposed to be realistic. They're made to be entertaining. There was nothing on the shirt that put women in a stereotype, only sexualized women, and that's OK.	Nothing, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters	2/33	6.061
I personally beleve that the sexualisation of women or men should be sean as bad since it basicly just shows a man or woman at their peak physical form. Although I say this I also think that gender should be sean as a minor part of your identity as I just see gender as the bone strukture (Wider hips, broader shoulders etc.) and the "dangly bits" of an indeividual (with some minor driftences in how the two genders think and learn). Just a side note to how women don't seam to pop up enogh in the sientific cominity, I think it's becose of a backlash from the 50-60's when women stil where sean as a weaker gender , since the inclusion of a once upressed group of pepole wont just speratacly make that upressed group want to include them selves eighter out of fear of the unknown, the earlyer opression or the lack of "want to". There is no dought in my mind that more women wil reach out to more sientific work in the near future but rome was'nt built in a day just give it time.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	4/187	2.139
I think this video was very unbalanced. It was so one-sided that you lost any claim to being objective. This is a big mistake considering your channel seems to hang on being candidly informative; and without an agenda.Sadly, this video demonstrates otherwise.Your take on gender issues is very skewed and I bid you adieu. Unsub'd.	Gender	Gender - General	1/57	1.754
Maybe they are superior? I don't know enough about both subjects to comment on their superiority but people seem to have a consensus in the matter.Either way, the only sexism that exists is strictly against men.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/37	2.703

I don't feel threatened, just annoyed by the rampant emotionalism and anti-reason that is sweeping trough society thanks to groups like feminists or SJWs. Highest values for me are truth, rationalism objectivity. I guess i feel threatened in a sense that if we continue like this then society will devolve into the middle ages and all the strides we have made because of science and logic will be for naught. I'm also tired of women being the protected and coddled class and men getting the shit end of the stick everywhere when in reality we should be equal. It's the feminists who are really perpetuating inequality, often not due to a sense of equality but because of self-interest.Society needs to stop treating women like children and women need to start taking responsibility for themselves and their actions. WOMEN DON'T NEED TO BE CODDLED. Because nobody gives two shits about offending men.Women are less interested in science and tech. I know, I'm a computer engineering student. Even in my field or in engineering in general women gravitate towards the easier wishywashier or more glamorous areas like design and economics. I've yet to meet one woman engineer who's passions is computer security while at the same time i know many men, including myself. Now you can argue why it is like this and i personally think it's nobody else's fault but women's. Education is equal. Actually in most cases women have an advantage with special scholarships and organizations. What separates men from women MORE is that women care more about and are more susceptible to social perception, image and trends. It's pretty obvious everywhere when the majority of really nerdy hobbies and jobs are dominated by men. It's easier for men to say fuck you to social conventions and pursue their dreams and interests. For some reason women are more dependent on society and have a bigger need of being accepted.	Nobody, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/321	0.935
With all the empowerment and affirmative action women enjoy, you still have the audacity to claim women begin at a loss? What did you just say - who holds more than 60 of university diplomas? You ask yourself whether you're not prejudiced against your own gender .	Gender	Gender - General	1/46	2.174
Lol I'm not in danger of losing anything, and I really think feminism is just a byproduct of human stupidity, not directly but indirectly, because of the tendency of humans to categorize things way to fast, thus the gender stereotype so people who to put it in a three year old language, feminists are retarded, anti feminists are retarded, what I'm afraid of is that these retarded ideologies and widely spread behaviors would affect me as soon as I talk to my friend and an audience listens to me.	Gender, Old	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/89	2.247

I feel annoyed and frustrated, I have NOTHING to lose by women going into STEM or gaming, in fact I gain. I have something to lose when internet bullies and professional victims dictate what is right and wrong. Feminism is showing more and more a lack of concern for reality or humanity, they seem to only care about their own feelings . Those who are against feminism aren't because they hate women (womenagainstfeminism), they hate what feminism is doing to the thing they love and care about.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/87	1.149
I think there is way too much emphasis on sexism against women and the gender problems that men deal with are largely ignored. That's what worries me. A future in which the influence of feminism becomes even stronger than it already is.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/42	4.762
What I feel threatened about is the fact that I could be denied a job because companies will fill my spot with a lesser candidate to fill a quota, as seen in colleges and jobs all over the country. Your statistics about women in STEM fields may be differed when you see that women dont make up 60 of people in STEM degrees ([LINK] So by that logic, women are going to college, and taking more traditional feminine classes. In that case, isnt it reasonable to say that women WOULDNT make up 50 of STEM fields if they dont get 50 of STEM degrees? And it isnt the " patriarchy " pushing women out of getting STEM degrees, because as stated in your video, they actually make up 60 of people in college. So it is only womens fault for them making up less a of the STEM fields.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/149	0.671
The biggest secret of sexism is that there's nothing wrong, per se, with objectification. What's wrong is...(1) When objectification is required. I.e. it's a social, collective expectation rather than self-motivated play.(2) When it's claimed that something objectifies a woman JUST because a large group of straight males think it's hot.The problem here isn't the man's shirt. The problem here is that some women can't stand seeing male fantasies of women, just as some men can't stand seeing female fantasies of men. Some women are so misandristic that they're even willing to let other women suffer from it - that there's somehow a "right way to be a woman ". They have no understanding whatsoever of the definition of equality.	Nothing, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/121	3.306

<p>You mentioned the fact that an astoundingly lower number of women pursue STEM jobs or degrees than men. You also mentioned, and proceeded to refute the argument of "what if women just don't like science" by stating that that assumption is based on cultural norms. You argue that the reason women don't seem to like science is because we somehow propagate that notion through stereotypes. But... what if I told you that it's because women and men are different, not just physiologically, but also psychologically? What if I told you that the above assumption is not based on cultural norms, but biology itself? There are plethoras of studies exposing dramatic differences in male and female cognition. Why are we so against this idea that men and women are different? Why can't we simply accept (and perhaps even celebrate) the fact that men and women are different instead of trying to forcefully androgenize society by assuming that a 50/50 gender split in the global population ought to translate to a 50/50 gender split in every single populace (be it STEM or a different one), and subsequently trying to enforce an even gender split based on the notion that women are psychologically identical to men?</p>	Gender	Gender - General	3/203	1.478
<p>Most man (myself included) were bitten and bullied at school for liking science and being a nerd, yet none of them were discouraged from perusing a career in STEM. If a drawing on a shirt is enough to discourage some woman from STEM despite all the Woman-Only mentoring programs and monetary assistance, maybe their motivation wasn't that strong to begin with.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/61	1.639
<p>+Dima Conn All nerds are bullied for liking science. That being said, I doubt you were asked if you were supposed to be studying for science when you could be buying a dress for prom. It's separate issues of sexism.I agree with you about the slippery slope at play here. The shirt is offensive to some women (I just criticize the way the woman is drawn-boobs don't work that way!) but the shirt itself is not the slammed door or representative of the problems of sexism as a whole. It just shows what one scientist gets off to.</p>	Sexism, Slope, Woman	Gender - General, Nationality - Chinese	4/99	4.04
<p>+Snowflake Productions no, we were asked if we should be studying instead of running around outside.</p>	Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General	1/16	6.25
<p>1st: The shirt is not the only reason, is just part of a bigger problem.2nd: It wasn't the other scientists bullying or harrasing you, it were people outside said field. While entering a STEM career you leave the harrassment behind, while for a woman would be like coming back to the bullies.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/53	1.887

+Mauro Daniel D&39;Ambrosio Oh god, there's nothing sadder than a fucking white knight. You're a man and you spend presumably every moment of your YouTube life being criticized by men (and women) who think you're a pathetic loser who tries to stand up for women who can't do it themselves because they're such delicate damsels in distress.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/57	1.754
Do woman scientists get bullied by their peers?	Woman	Gender - General	1/8	12.5
Vivovixen Don't worry, the high demand for the employment of women in STEM fields will make up for your bad results. You can always feel safe in the knowledge that you might be chosen over a more capable candidate due to your gender .	Gender	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
Ummm..... It's a t shirt with sexy woman on. Yea cuz air head Kardashian , Beyoncé, Amber rose really empower woman LMFAO	Woman	Gender - General	2/22	9.091
+Ludwig van Beethoven idc that this comment was left 2 months ago, i'll reply anyway. i think it's so funny when men act like they are oppressed to be working in jobs like construction or sewage repair (jobs in which they are paid for their labor) forgetting that in most parts of the world, women work their entire lives away in non-paid domestic labour because it's deemed as their role by social standers to clean up after men and children and take care of the nastiest most laborious shit without any credit. women have literally wiped the shit right off your ass and women still probably take care of you at whatever age you're at but you still think that all of the work that they do is in their nature and translate to nothing because they have been doing it for centuries under oppressive patriarchal structures	Age, Nothing	Age - General, Age - Youngsters	2/147	1.361
Mashael A. I can just imagine all the shit house husbands get from other men. And people wonder why femanists say that gender roles are BS that hurt men too.	Gender	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
Mashael A. Nothing like responding to a criticism with... no discernible counter argument whatsoever.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/14	7.143
I feel annoyed. I'm not sure why I should be threatened. Perhaps the need to tame and make everything politically correct threatens me. However I may be to close to see the tree's from the forest. I certainly would love a world that accepted our weird shit. Perhaps I am still a fool to dream.	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/55	1.818

I'm in danger of losing my FUCKING RIGHT TO WEAR A GODDAMN T-SHIRT MADE FOR ME BY A WOMAN AS A GIFT BECAUSE SOME ONION-SKINNED ASSHOLES WILL BE """"OFFENDED""""There. Reason enough."Oh but you can live without this shirt"Then you can live with a shirt with cartoon women drawn in it BY A WOMAN .	Woman	Gender - General	2/56	3.571
his misuse of the word " misogyny " really bothers me.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
That was an interesting point of view. That might be why it is polemic. I'm not the kind of man that feels annoyed neither threatened; I support any advance in women rights and I don't support sexist culture. Anyway I don't feel like there's given attention to men point of view and a lot less to pure misogynistic men. Sometimes also I feel like principal points of old feminism like "fight against patriarchy " are misunderstanding and comprehended as "fight against men".But, anyway, It's a complex and polemic theme, so I understand that there's people who don't agree with my point of view.	Old, Patriarchy	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/103	1.942
As Donald Trump said: We have to find the problem.Until then we should stop these sexism posts.....)	Sexism	Gender - General	1/19	5.263
And if it was a woman wearing a shirt of men in G-Strings?female oppression was created to destroy power structures. That's not rocket science dearest nerd. It was utilized to destroy the faith of millions in order to gain control via religious doctrine when word domination was the agenda aka Rome, the cutting down of Ashrah poles etc.. Degradation of their own mothers, sisters and daughters - it was the beginning of the end of humanity and the world as we know it. Man treats our world with the same respect he treats women. Personally, I think it's pathetic.	Woman	Gender - General	1/99	1.01
They will let a woman with low qualifications in STEM because of their quota and the feminists still complain. You have equal opportunity here, yet act like you're oppressed. There won't be equal results, because men and women are different and have different tastes. Women don't go for STEM jobs because they WANT to work in other jobs. I'd like to see the feminists complain about men being 13 times more likely to die on the job and men making up 80 of suicides.	Woman	Gender - General	1/84	1.19

Thought you had some interesting insight till you so easily handwaved every criticism of shirtgate with such odd arguments. Saying women have been so subtly conned out of STEM professions that the cultural push is nearly undetectable is a heck of an assertion to make, and even stranger that the supposed "secret of sexism " is that oppression = pleasure. It makes so little sense when you aim that at the mass amounts of women that are apparently finding pleasure in being oppressed according to such an idea. It's just as silly when you put the ultimatum of, "Does this annoy or threaten you?" only to see most responses hit back with a declaration of "I'm annoyed.". If you're trying to grasp the secret of sexism you need to categorize it, because this video attempts to draw insight into subtle subconscious sexism and overt "I love to hate" sexism and tries to package them together in one incident with little success.	Sexism	Gender - General	4/160	2.5
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-----

<p>Let me make a counter-proposition, women aren't being driven away from stem by subliminal messages, they are being driven toward something else by positive messages.</p> <p>Here's the thing, we should know by now that negative messages don't drive people away from a subject, if you are bombarded by negative or repellant messages you are only driven closer to what cannot be obtained. This was the driving force behind most civil rights actions in the first place. Because humans are driven toward that which they are told they cannot have, it makes no sense to suggest that women are driven out of stem by negative messages, we must assume they are being driven toward something else. If you buy your daughter a barbie, it's not out of the malicious desire to see her conform to femininity, but because it is something you think that she would like. Girls, like boys, figure out what other people think they should like and accept or reject such notions based on their relationship to the suggestive figures. If dad who you love gives you a barbie and is happy and wants to see you happy then you associate barbie with happiness because clearly that's the effect the object is meant to illicit. If parents are guilty of assigning a sex to their daughters through the objects they give them, it's only because generally that's what they are told girls will want. So a person determines part of her identity by observing what other people expect them to want and conforming to that notion or rejecting it. Most parents are shown by commercials that their children want ovens, toy babies, and nurse tools because most adult women go into culinary or nursing professions or else identify themselves as mothers. Likewise when women are given these objects and expected to find joy in them they are taught to associate cooking, nursing, and motherhood with joy. So if the above far more likely premise is valid then some random scientists shirt isn't harmful, you're just using that baseless supposition to criticize an irrelevant detail because you see malice where there is none.</p> <p>After all, we are not slaves to subliminal messages, as Sarte would suggest we are radically free. If fewer women are in STEM it's not because they're given a message that it's a boys club, it's that they don't pay much attention to it at all because it's not related to what they form emotional attachments to typically. If you want more women to go into stem, don't complain about some random scientists harmful shirt, start marketing chemistry sets for girls that are... you know... regular chemistry sets.</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/442	0.226
<p>Obviously if women cared about science then they would comment on this tremendous scientific development but instead they chose to make an insidious and petty attack on the basis of a tacky shirt. Feel free to wear a shirt with attractive men on it, we won't crucify you.</p>	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/48	2.083

<p>I say this with all recently earned respect (I am up early tomorrow and your fantastic videos have kept me up far too late :-))but I think you miss the mark on this one. By equating the act of wearing the shirt with an act of pleasurable dominance you are stretching an idea to breaking point. Perhaps the scientist just likes garish shirts with eccentric designs. This one happened to feature scantily clad women. Perhaps it is merely an expression of his outgoing, buffoonish personality, rather than an act of intrinsically satisfying dominance. I can't say - I don't know the man. But neither do you and to argue either way is just speculation and should be noted as such. Also your attempt to somehow equate his behavior with the stats about women not entering stem is at best a reach and at worst somewhat disingenuous. Your dismissal of women who are not bothered by the shirt is also pretty troubling.I can tell you exactly what I thought about the event of 'shirtgate'. Firstly I was mildly irritated that a great scientific achievement was being overshadowed by one man's (admittedly awful) taste in clothing design. Then I was shocked, horrified and dismayed at the disproportionate response by his critics. A response that, need I remind you, drove the man to break down and cry in public. I can do the empathy exercise and imagine being a young woman eager to enter a stem field. I guess I would find his choice of shirt extremely irritating and boorish and when combined with all the other media stories about sexism in x,y,z field it may have a demotivating factor. But I also know that if I was truly passionate about my chosen field I would not let things like this dissuade me from entering it. And if I still possessed any sort of moral grounding I would not be demonizing the man or trying to force an apology from him.Was his dress inappropriate for a Public work event? Yes. Was the fact that there were pretty women on his awful shirt an indicator that this man is sexist? No. Poorly attuned to the needs of others, a bit clueless and certainly not likely to win an award for SJW of the year, but not sexist. The vituperative response of his critics revealed far more about them than it did him.</p>	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/401	0.499
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------	-------

It's all kind of hilarious. Due to moralistic, Christian societies everyone gets really upset and does armchair women's studies when sexy women appear on a shirt. If people didn't have such a negative view of sex in this culture, this shirt would not have been an issue. Also, this video has some pretty big logical loops you had to jump through to approach your argument. Asked simply: how do divides in descriptive statistics about women and men in STEM have any impact on the argument of whether he should or should not have worn that shirt? To illustrate why this is ridiculous: there is a gender gap for teachers in the US. The majority of US teachers in K-12 schools are female. Does this mean a female teacher shouldn't wear a skirt because by doing so she is implicitly asserting that you need to wear a skirt and be part of the girl's skirt club to be a teacher? It's damn arbitrary to start pulling connections like these out of thin air based only upon the existence of discrepancies.	Gender, Sex, Thin	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	3/179	1.676
+DarKMaTTeR I don't really care to look up the term for it, but aren't people instinctively drawn to sexual stimuli? Regardless of whether the shirt is offensive or not, it definitely evoked female sexuality.Also, why did the guy have to wear a shirt like that to an interview? That's just not a professional choice.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/55	1.818
Snowflake Productions There's no such thing as a professional way to dress for engineering positions. Most engineering positions don't have a dress code. Even for presentations and fieldwork, engineers wear whatever they would wear in front of friends.You assume he chose to wear this on TV, as if to make a point. In reality, he just came to work like any other day, not expecting to be judged by shallow people.	Engineers, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Nationality - General	2/72	2.778
+Nepthu Can I point out that this can be due to the fact that you're likely seeing a very similar group of people? Or that this could be attributed to gender roles or societal norms people are taught to have from a VERY young age that later presents itself in adulthood? If I'm surrounded by male high school drop outs can I truly say that this is indicative of men being uninterested in school?	Age, Gender	Age - General, Gender - General	2/74	2.703
Danny Berrios You're just wrong. I think it is safe to assume you're not an engineer, otherwise you'd be very familiar with the kind of person I described. Most engineers simply don't reflect on how they look before doing something.	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/40	2.5

DarKMaTTeR I never claimed to be, however I'm certain that another engineer could come here and completely state the opposite. That's just plain common sense. I'm also certain that I could find a female engineer (more than one probably) who is completely outside of this image you have of them and some that do in fact fit that image. You, and certainly everyone including myself have created narratives that fit the biases we have formed for ourselves. However the problem is assuming that those narratives are factually correct outside of our small spheres of experience.	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/95	1.053
Danny Berrios I would be shocked if another engineer came and stated the opposite, i.e. that the average engineer is conscious about how his clothes are perceived. By pure statistics, there probably is a team somewhere that happen to all be hipsters or whatever. But even they would have to be completely isolated from the community to believe the above claim.Over the course of my career I have probably met a few hundred engineers and scientists. I could find you one to fit any box that you want to, but that cannot change the fact that the majority of engineers don't judge people clothes and don't expect to be judged. Intellectually, we are aware that other groups of people would care, but it simply stops occurring to you never have to dress up in your daily life. I can't remember the last time I saw a colleague give a presentation in something other than jeans and a shirt.Most of what you said are things you need to remind yourself and not me. Even if I were stuck in a bubble, it's the bubble we are discussing and the one you are trying to project yours onto.	Engineers	Nationality - General	2/198	1.01

<p>Danny Berrios Perhaps it wasn't clear enough in the original post, so I'll elaborate.We're not talking about sweeping things under the rug. If there is an actual problem, we'd like to address it. The women who are experiencing STEM fields from the inside don't complain about anything in particular. In fact, everyone I've talked to has told me that she found things to be much better than she was led to believe.If women engineers were actually reporting problems, trust me, we'd be all over it. But the complains don't come from people who know what they are talking about. They come from outsiders who have bought into the myth that STEM is unwelcoming and are looking for confirmation. Out of millions of engineers, occasionally someone will do something of poor taste and everyone will jump on the hate wagon, loving it because it reaffirms their stereotypes. Then they teach those stereotypes to young girls, who then conclude that STEM isn't for them.I've worked for an entertainment company for a month, and for a marketing team for 3 months, and in both of those cases I saw more sexism that I've seen from every engineer I know combined. But nobody is looking at those fields asking why they're not listening to women and putting a leash on all the pervs. It doesn't fit a stereotype, so people are very quick to forgive and forget.</p>	Engineers, Nobody, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Nationality - General	4/236	1.695
<p>Nepthu All I'm putting forth is to remember that personal experience is exactly that, personal experience. Inductive logic requires multiple pieces of evidence before a theory can be made. I don't deny that what he has put forth is his personal experience nor that there are people who blow occasions like this out of proportion. However to call any level of sexism in ANY field a myth based only on what I have seen or what MY friends believe is unreasonable because as comments in this very thread prove it is not a universally held thought even among members of STEM fields.As to the second point. I'd argue that the people doing those studies are typically directly effected by some form of sexism as much as people who directly oppose those ideas aren't or don't define it the same way. It's up to each reader of said studies to look at the facts and methods used and determine whether or not it was reasonably without bias. Also to determine whether they're reading said studies from the source or opinion articles in newspapers.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/183	1.093
<p>Danny Berrios I don't understand what you are getting at. Can a sample of 200 hundred engineers be skewed? Only in theory.</p>	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/22	4.545

Leksi WBoth sides have a great deal of very aggressive trolls. For whatever reason, this particular topic is very appealing to that type of person. I have been on the receiving end of an unspoken amount of vitriol online, simply for not buying into everything that SJWs or MRAs say. And I'm practically a nobody . I can't imagine the amount of threats that prominent feminists and prominent MRAs receive. And I want to stress out that it is both sides. If you feel that it's always one side that is attacking, it's because you have picked the other side.That said, I have noticed a difference that matters to me a lot. SJWs try to use the attacks against them to gain leverage in a debate. They expect me to concede to their points, just because some dumb kid somewhere thinks doxxing is cool. Even worse, some treat everyone that disagrees with them as if they are collaborating with the attacker. The saddest part is that this shtick works, so SJWs have learned to keep feeding the trolls. I have little respect for the victim card.	Dumb, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/187	1.07
+DarKMaTTeR It's hard to explain the nuance between someone who is fighting for women's rights and someone who attacks that person if you do not understand why women fear men in the first place. I would encourage you to take a look at violence stats both within the US and worldwide as a starting point. I am a rational person and as a rational person, I understand that online threats rarely turn into anything serious, but the real violence of men on women is the framework of the world in which these threats happen. That is a form of terror that some cannot fathom, but most women understand. In short: When there is backlash to women pointing out injustices, the backlash does more damage to the side that is trying to fight back feminists than the feminists do towards my views on the world. Meaning, even if the message might have seemed uneven, the attackers bring home the need for more social justice warriors, but actual offline ones who fight against the system which allows the harm to continue. I hope I've explained the situation from my perspective as a woman who is just a regular person, not in any movement, but an observer.	Woman	Gender - General	1/204	0.49

+DarKMaTTeR But Dark Matter, what I'm trying to tell you as a woman who saw this drama unfold is that the pointing out of the shirt being sexist did not deter me from being in a male-dominated field. The men who attacked the SJWs are the deterrent. The SJWs do not scare me and most do not affect me at all; It's mostly complaints and pointing out of various unfair stuff to certain degrees, most of which I ignore anyway or just accept. The hardcore bashing of women as a reaction to the SJWs, however, is doing the real harm. The violence-talk and aggressive attacks on anyone who brings up feminism or women's issues is downright scary. It makes men look bad and fields dominated by men become terrifying to even consider. I refuse to believe that men are that horrible, but the impression those that attack SJWs in such emotionally triggered manners leads me to believe that male-dominated professions are dangerous to woman , or at least downright unwelcoming. Personally, I wish I went into Biology which was a great interest of mine in school, but I had some bad experiences with male colleague on the university level. I started in the Physics department because I did well in the AP class for it at my high school and the teacher got me into a good university program on scholarship; However, when I got there, I didn't get the support I needed so I switched out to the liberal arts. I regret my decision and wish I attended an all-female school instead. I sincerely hope you are right about the changes you speak of in your earlier comment, because I could not find any mentors, and fellow male students were inappropriate around me (sexually mostly, but also skeptical of my abilities, talked over me a lot and i got excluded from study groups-sigh). It was not easy being alone at a difficult university and feeling isolated. I met lots of great women in Sociology, so that's the path I took. It's not the one I wanted most, but it was a lot friendlier at the time. But thank you for caring enough to help mentor and respect the women in STEM. I hope there are more people like you who will believe in the young women that try to break barriers and give them the much-needed support that I never got.	Woman	Gender - General	2/401	0.499
+DarKMaTTeR Thats funny because as women, the clothes we wear is always a huge topic of discussion. Look at Hilary Clinton and Sarah Palin in 2007... Sarah Palin's clothes were too expensive and Hilary was made fun of for wearing pants..... Yes, pants suits caused controversy... not pants suits of hyper sexualized naked women on them... just regular old pants suits. Guess they wanted Hilary Clinton to show more leg...	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/70	1.429

+DarKMaTTeR As a woman , I would feel unwelcome if a speaker wore a shirt like that to any event I attended. Regardless of what I might learn about the reasoning behind his clothes if I got a chance to talk to him personally, the message I would get is that, at least slightly, this is the first thing that comes to mind when he thinks about the concept of "women". What he did essentially was plaster onto his chest a stern reminder of what people like me are valued as (and consequentially, what we're not). This attire IS unprofessional. Not because it doesn't match some suit-and-tie dress code, but because it's unnecessarily alienating to people you would in theory want attending your presentation without pause. Just thought you might want to hear from the perspective of a woman who DOES have a problem.	Woman	Gender - General	2/143	1.399
DarKMaTTeR No, but I'm a woman whose been to a conference before, which is all I said I was speaking as. Unless you can give a reason why a presentation on a STEM subject is so drastically different from other presentations or conferences that it would effect how I would react to the behavior of the speaker, I don't see how WHAT the person was talking about is relevant to my perspective on what's appropriate for a conference. Until you can point out a difference, I'm going to think you're arbitrarily nitpicking ways in which the situation is not perfectly analogous as a way to ignore a contingency of people telling you it IS a problem. Throughout this thread, there's kind of some circular logic going on by citing women in the STEM fields that were fine with all of this. On the one hand, they are, for the most part, the only demographic this concerns and therefore they are the authority on whether this is a big deal. On the other hand, if the whole point is that this kind of behavior is off-putting and driving women away from your field, doesn't that mean the women you're citing are essentially "the ones left"? I'm interested in sociology and law. If the lecturer was wearing that shirt when I went to go watch some presentation on the between-the-lines of a recent election, I would feel discouraged and uncertain about my ability to succeed in the political sphere. That's all I'm saying. Whether hearing that point of view causes you to pay attention to the parallels being drawn with a possible problem in YOUR community, all depends on how much credit you're willing to give me with using my imagination to form opinions on situations I haven't had as literal as possible an experience with.	Woman	Gender - General	1/304	0.329

<p>Annie Trinity "I don't see how WHAT the person was talking about is relevant to my perspective on what's appropriate for a conference." Any random passer-by could cut in and start saying they're offended by this and that. It doesn't give them any authority or power on the community. You don't have to inform your perspective by who's talking.</p> <p>You only have to understand that your opinion will be rightly ignored when it is not relevant. You're not part of the community, therefore you do not get to dictate the rules by which we operate. Believe it or not, there are millions of women working in the field and they're all adults. Our HR departments are open for them to voice their concerns, and most of the time the reaction is to their satisfaction. And HR departments are staffed mostly with women, who do care about appearances. If the women in STEM don't care about the things that you do, then we don't care either. Why on earth would we ever care to be attractive to people, who never seriously considered a career in STEM?"</p> <p>doesn't that mean the women you're citing are essentially "the ones left"?" We're very aware that this can play a part, as we are aware that this cannot be but a small part. We're much more worried about losing women who do fit, but they are lead to believe by people with no personal experience that they will be mistreated.</p> <p>There aren't women leaving at any noticeable rate because they couldn't handle the dresscode. Surely, if your point was good, some would have joined and found things to be worse than they thought. In reality, they all find things to be normal. Not to mention that men can be just as preoccupied with clothes, but men aren't bothered either. It is a far more likely explanation that analytical minds, which tend to make good scientists and engineers, are also not inclined to cater to emotions and appearances. Did you know that engineering positions used to have "normal" dresscodes, but companies are relaxing them in order to attract better engineers? I've heard of employers who now explicitly ban formal clothes, so as not to make coworkers uncomfortable. You may think your dresscode is good and ours is bad, but we think the exact opposite. It turns out that not every personality type is attracted to every field of work. We're not trying to impose our style onto your fields, so why are you pushing yours on us?</p>	Engineers	Nationality - General	2/421	0.475
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------	-----------------------	-------	-------

<p>DarKMaTTeR "So why are you pushing yours on us?" I'm... not. I'm SHARING. I'm not demanding you do anything; you're right; it's not my place. That doesn't mean you couldn't possibly gain anything from listening to me. We are BOTH outside of the sweet spot on the Venn Diagram of who this concerns. I could just as easily flip this around and say "Well you're not a woman, so shut up, u dont no" but I'm not. Because how the scientific community operates is something I have no experience with, and therefore I should listen when someone speaks about their experience, even though yours is going to be only one subjective vantage point of many. So if you really have an analytical mind, I assumed you would feel the same and therefore, you know, might be interested in hearing the WHY behind what a woman might object to, the REASONING behind the descending point of view.Especially because you still actually DON'T seem to understand what the actual issue is. You're still conflating casual attire with non-antagonizing attire. We're not talking about a guy wearing a Hawaiian shirt; no one would GIVE A SHIT if it was just a Hawaiian shirt. It's a more extreme example, but if the shirt had pictures of black slaves picking cotton, would you still be trying to defend it?And speaking of giving a shit, clearly there was at least one person who is more a less a part of your community who was bothered by it. Because feminists aren't psychic; if it came to the attention of people who were only interested in the shirt, it was because at least one person watching the presentation was upset by it enough to speak up.Just now remembering what the original comment said, I chimed in because either you or someone else was claiming it was the attention being called to the shirt scaring away women from the field, not the shirt itself. And I chimed in because that's horseshit. It's the "talking about racism is racebaiting" argument. If women ARE being driven away, then getting inside the head of a woman being offput by all of this (which is pretty damn easy for me), no, it's definitely the shirt.So. Are you going to do the very reasonable thing of just hearing the perspective of one woman and filing it away upstairs for next time you make a judgment call about what's appropriate (In case you're wondering, yes, I am doing the same about you saying your female colleges said they weren't upset) or are you going to continue to only take into consideration the perspectives of people who agree with you and/or exist outside the demographic in question the same way YOU exist outside the demographic in question?</p>	Black, Racism, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/465	1.29
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	-------	------

+DarKMaTTeR Actually Danny is right, and being in STEM yourself I am sure you understand the difference between the personal observation that Nepthu offered and the studies such as those cited by the video's author showing that there is a good turn out of women interested in going into STEM. A sample of 200 (20,000?) engineers would be skewed for the very reason he cited. They are not hired. Women are funnelled out at every level and we can see empirically that it is not because they are less interested or less capable.	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/93	1.075
160p2GHz Your reasoning has hidden in it a very sneaky pitfall of statistics, that most untrained people are tricked by. You can't just combine numbers from uneven things and say you're reasoning about the whole. STEM is by definition a combination of uneven things.I don't know how to explain this simply, except with a example. Let call it a hypothetical example, because I'm too tired to pull up the official numbers, but I do remember the numbers approximately. Computer Science has a 15-25 female students (depends on country, university, year etc) and the same exact representation in the work workforce, as far as the new generation goes. Another STEM field, biology, has 60-70 female students and the same representation in the workforce. However, employment prospects for computer science are much better than biology. When carelessly merging the numbers from the two fields, it looks like a woman is less hirable, but it has nothing do with the fact that she is a woman and everything to do with the career she tried to pursue. If you look it up, you'll see that the STEM fields that are popular among women are also among the least profitable. So, no, you don't have evidence that women are being blocked from the workforce.This tricky case of statistics has tricked almost every researcher the first time. It's not you fault if you were tricked (unless you studied a science, in which case shame on you). but it is the same mistake that lead feminists to claim that a woman gets paid 23 less for equal work. So be careful, if you want to be correct.If you want to erase the disparities, not just in STEM, you need to stop beating on employers and the workplace, and start beating on those who bring up women to make poor career choices.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/308	1.299

+DarKMaTTeR I agree 100. I am also in STEM, a salesman of scientific solutions, and I dont see the "professionalism" evident in the dress code; I see it in the intellectual contributions made to the field by both women and men. We have men that wear goofie shirts and let their chest hair hang out, we have women that wear over tight pants and shirts and reveal their cleavage. This is ok. We respect each other for each others intellect, contributions, and passion, not for dress codes. As a salesman, I can not be repelled by a woman who bends over and has a thong hanging out, or a man that looks too casual. I have to find the good and the value in every person I deal with. I feel like this whole situation was critiqued by fashionistas. STEM people tend to be pretty quirky, the world can learn to deal with it.	Woman	Gender - General	1/154	0.649
Thomas Ueland Torp I understand the point that you are making, but we actually have more data than that. I'll give you a few more pieces.The first part is that women are not dropping out at any significant rate. The reason there are so few of them is that they never begin on this path. The percentage of women employed in STEM is the same as those graduating, which is also the same as those applying for studies.The second part is that "fixing" the workplace changes nothing . Most prominent tech companies and institutions offer incentives and advantages to attract women, on top of an already perfectly balanced workplace. They do big gestures to convince people that they are women-friendly, and nobody has good reason to doubt them. Still, the ratios don't change. It's so hopeless that Google hiring leads know openly say that they will offer advantages to women in the hiring process, in order to skew their ratios towards a 50-50.We know, through years of research, that kids decide at a very early age what kind of role they are going to play. They form impression from multiple sources, including media and the general public discussion. The leads in popular movies are the biggest known predictor for what hobbies the next generation will pursue.We don't have the means to create the next Big Bang Theory so that it has better female leads. However, at the very least we can help fix the public discussion, which right now is based on caricatures and no facts. People are just lazily guessing that something bad is going on and then passing it on as a given. The whole discussion is framed in the form of "when are you going to stop hitting your wife?". Girls are watching people have discussion in this context and conclude that they shouldn't be engineers . And then the same people will use the product of their own words as evidence.	Age, Engineers, Nobody, Nothing	Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Nationality - General	4/327	1.223

<p>+DarKMaTTeR I agree with all of this. My mom and step mom are both engineers and I started down a STEM career path (math is the family tradition) myself before switching to an English major (the only rebellous path left). I'm a woman, and while I can't say that it applies to the whole of STEM fields when I asked other women why they weren't pursuing a STEM job their answers predominantly focused on the fact they didn't think they were 'good enough at x' to pass. A large part of what I personally think the STEMS need isn't more consternation about the dressing and behavioural habbits of male engineers (we have enough, we all get the point, reiterations on the subject nowadays feel more clickbait than illuminating) , and more on making the careers seem more 'accessible' as it were. Before I decided to follow my passion (corny I know) one thing I loved to do was show other women how the computer programs I was working on actually functioned. Lots of them thought it was a cool brain teaser of sorts and asked questions, it's just that initial hurdle of 'I'm not nerdy enough for that' that pushed most of them off. Once I showed them it's not nerdy so much as just something they hadn't been exposed to they warmed up to it a bit. One last trend I've noticed in the STEM classes (this is all in Alaska, so while we have an iittttty bitty sample size the one thing our university is proud of is it's engineering program, go figure) is that, on the large part, most of the people are there for the paycheck once they graduate and get a job. I'm not trying to say that all engineers are there for the money (again, family of math nerds, I caught my brother writing theoroms about his reflection) , but I will say that in a profession that's known for earning money and with our current economical situation, most of the people that are willing to pay for the education or go into debt for it do tend to like to think they won't be living under a debt rock their whole life. As a lady in a very lady filled department of my university (seriously, it's a clam bake. me and the other women even go to philosophy department functions just to find men to talk to) we're all dominated by our loves for the subject. Very starving artist follow my dreams stuff. I don't see as many men actually shelling out thousands of dollars of debt to follow their dreams, so it makes sense the more... pragmatic, if you will, jobs are 'manned' more by men than women.</p>	Engineers, Woman	Gender - General, Nationality - General	4/456	0.877
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

+Ms. Jackalope .j. Johnstone (CONT, 2 of 2)...+DarkMaTTeR With that said: if sexism is not inherent in STEM (I don't think it is), and with all acknowledging that it's important for more women to get involved in STEM, why would people be more concerned about losing the women already in the field (as you mentioned: due to their getting the wrong impression about inherent STEM sexism) than they would be about appealing to the untapped pool of girls/women who might pass on STEM all together based on this (supposed) "reputation"? How can fem. STEM workers be mislead by SJW's/media re: their work environments, which they experience firsthand? It doesn't seem that one would have to worry about them being pushed out by misinformation - they can see what is & what isn't true - as much as the future of STEM, thus the pool of women/girls "who are left". So how can the opinions of those STEM is hoping to attract be deemed irrelevant? Why doesn't it matter if some of them found it discouraging?	Sexism	Gender - General	2/176	1.136
Ms. Jackalope .j. Johnstone Your question isn't clear to me, so feel free to rephrase it, if I'm not addressing it.We ARE concerned about the untapped pool of women who are pushed away. That's what I have been arguing about all this time, and if that wasn't clear so far, I have failed.I do not think it is possible to misinform women who are actually working in STEM about their own experience. That's why I pay attention them when they don't cry sexism , instead of other opinion-havers who say there is sexism .The people who can be misinformed is the huge untapped pool of women you mentioned. Millions of young women are thinking about what they should do with their lives. Plenty of them who fit perfectly into STEM, but they don't try because they are taught that STEM is a horrible industry full of sexism . Who told them that? Everyone but the people who actually do work in STEM.I should also note that all the above is about sexism specifically, and not about the overall culture, which is frequently thrown into the mix of criticism. Very often, nerdiness is equated to being unwelcoming to women and the argument becomes that we are sexist because we are "nerdy". I think it goes without saying that being nerdy isn't just a male trait and, therefore, unrelated to losing potential female talent.	Sexism	Gender - General	4/233	1.717
+DarKMaTTeR my dad is an engineer and he always dress appropriately to work even though there is no dress code. So don't say all engineers is on one group but maybe the engineers within your company. I still agree with the person pointing out it is very unprofessional for an interview and does not give a good impression of you company..	Engineers	Nationality - General	2/61	3.279

<p>DarKMaTTeR read the top bit and a bit briefly down the conversation, just felt it was unfair of you to say that it is common for engineers to dress like that.. I have probably met a couple of 100s engineers so far in my life and they have all dressed alright. Granted I am Norwegian and it seems quite a few Norwegian guys dress quite nicely which is why I would say it is cultural or the surroundings rather than the profession. And I still stand by that for an interview where he represents the company it is nice to avoid shirts with naked women.. honestly I don't think it will effect women away from wanting to become an engineer I would just have a bad impression of the company this guy is from. Just like if I open a website and see a unorganised mess:p</p>	Common, Engineers	Nationality - General, Social Class - Working class	3/146	2.055
<p>Sigrid Then there's obviously a miscommunication. I didn't say most engineers dress like that. I said there is no dress code. No dress code means that your dad can dress up if he wants, and his colleague can wear a Mayhem t-shirt and a nose ring.The second thing that you didn't understand is that I didn't say casual clothes are appropriate for TV. I said that it wasn't deliberate. People, yourself included, are having this discussion as he chose this shirt to make a point. The reality is that he just went to work and there were cameras.Does the public judge people by their clothes? Yes they do. That's why PR is expected to dress up, STEM or no STEM. But engineers are not there to please the public. Their job is to collaborate with other engineers and be creative. Companies that depend on creativity encourage people to express themselves, IF they feel like expressing something, because that enhances creativity. And there are, of course, companies, where engineering is not creative. When I worked at a bank, I was expected to dress up, presumably to demonstrate commitment and submission.I personally think that a culture which allows you to be yourself, as long as you're not hurting anybody, is something to celebrated. I understand that such a culture goes over most people's head, but I still find it extremely frustrating (and ironic) that such an open and welcoming culture is a accused of being unwelcoming, by being too open. I wouldn't be half as upset by this situation, if people could just admit that what they want is for STEM to submit to the mainstream ideas of uniformity and normalcy.I'm not accusing you personally of all of this, btw. The absurdities in this discussion make me want to vent a little. I'm happy to agree that engineers are not made for PR, where appearances are the goal itself.</p>	Engineers	Nationality - General	4/321	1.246

<p>DarKMaTTeR alright I understand, and if the case was that he just went to work and there was cameras there then I can understand, if not then there is still tons of other geeky shirts that are less offensive:P There is ways to dress that is creative as well as not boring and suit everyday. and again there is lots of engineers that are great as representatives for their companies and now how to dress and act accordingly. I study animation so bit same deal with creative field and no dress code generally, and I know the wanting to express yourself with your style. Unfortunately there is still limitations for what I would wear to work:P</p>	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/116	0.862
<p>+BobRossNTV as a person no doubt not even interested in stem at all (possibly steam soon if arts are included in the near future) i really dont think you have any right to say that. with every career there is a different lifestyle, and to criticize a lifestyle and say it has an impact on other people, albeit of another gender, who have a similar lifestyle without actually asking them what they think is ridiculous. i myself am studying for physics and never have i ever heard anything from my female counterparts about supposed sexism through the dress code, even when that shirtgate shit happened. and i really have to agree with +DarkMaTTeR 's statement about people in stem not caring about their clothes, especially in the west and california (i heard the scientists in ney york and the east wear suits like the rest of the prudes). but dont believe me, go interview someone with credentials, someone qualified to talk about the issue. i assure you, there are many people who focus on this issue in the stem field, trying to smooth out relations between scientists/engineers/etc and the general public as well as informing the public of the opportunities and the openness that those of the stem field to those who want to join. if you are young and havent chosen a career path yet (its hard to tell age on youtube, sorry if that came off as rude) then please, consider stem (or steam)</p>	Age, Gender, Sexism	Age - General, Gender - General	3/246	1.22

Jose RicoTacoNachoQuesadillaMandillaJones yeah, i agree, it was definitely blown out of proportion and kind of was a crucifixion, which i'm not happy with at all. he is by no means a bad person, and he has done so much for science. the push for women in science is only recent, so we see leveling out happening in recent years - i think it's a good push. i suppose for the push to fully be in effect there are cases like this that happen that are over the top, but i think once demographics even out they shouldn't happen anymore. to put this into perspective, there are thousand of sexual assault and sexual abuse cases that are swept under the rug and never get any attention in the scientific field, and once in a while a single case gets a ton of attention - i guess that's just how media works. (even with the black rights matter movement).also remember that it really was only a couple of years ago when many schools did not accept women into their universities, so discriminatory practices were in place a lot earlier than you think.	Black, Sexual	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	3/191	1.571
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Jose RicoTacoNachoQuesadillaMandillaJones also i think it's difficult to relate to the push if you haven't experienced it, so even i couldn't relate to it for a while. but when i compare a field where there is a push and where there isn't, i can really feel the difference. i'm a female studying neurobiology and chemistry, and i'm working to be on the md-phd track. this was pretty much a smooth path for me because i never really felt discriminated against at all, it was a very welcoming and natural course where i felt like i could do my best! (there was one time when my lab tech told me that i had joined a "boys club" - my lab - and socially it took me a long time to fit in because of that, but putting in extra effort really isn't enough to deter me from something i love as much as research)in contrast, when i was in 4th grade i had a passive interest in chess and math and joined the chess and math clubs respectively. i was the only girl there, and there isn't that huge of a push in these fields i think - or it's a lot more recent of a push than it is in fields like biology and chemistry, which i think are much more gender neutral (biology moreso than chemistry). of course as a kid i wasn't passionate about math or chess or anything, i was just trying it out, but since i didn't see anyone like me and i picked up on subtle unwelcome language cues and couldn't relate to the people around me that much, my kid self thought the fields weren't my thing and i ended up never pursuing those fields. it's sad that i still don't think math is my thing even though i took diffeq and multivariable calculus in my junior year of high school and did quite well in the classes, but i think once you internalize that something isn't your thing it's hard to get out of it. i think the point of the shirt being such a big deal is that small cues like this can really push someone out of a field, especially when they're in this entry-level stage of trying to find out what they like. he doesn't deserve the brunt of all this force, but i think people are trying to find a way to not let "small things slide" or get pushed under the rug, and this is what ends up happening</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/422	0.237
<p>The only equality that matters is the equality of opportunity, as long as society offers to both men end women the same opportunities to enter the STEM fields the work is already done. Women and men are different. If most women don't WANT to get into these fields we don't have to spend hours trying to persuade them that it is what they should do. Feminists narrative is women and men should me the same (at least for the fun stuff) so we should OBLIGE girls to be like boys.I don't even understand why they are so many people complaining that they are more men then women in STEM fields when nobody cares about the lack of women in coal mining.Plus women who over reacted to this, don't even realize that they can serve as an argument against women in STEM fields. You try to tell them about science, all they see is your shirt.</p>	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/157	0.637

Perhaps instead Nerdwriter1 should think about why he is enforcing a code of silence around criticism of new totalitarian ideals such as third wave feminism and dismissing such (!) as sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/31	3.226
The logic of "Women are being discouraged from entering STEM fields" has never been sound. Who is discouraging them and how? Every show and movie on TV has at least one prominent female scientist. Every university with STEM subjects offers support and sometimes even scholarships that are exclusive to female students. If I was a woman who wanted to enter a STEM field, a shirt sure as hell wasn't going to change my mind - if it did, then I clearly didn't want to study a STEM field enough. Let's look at this logic from another angle - the overwhelming majority of romance novels and young adult novels are written by women as opposed to men, and a huge chunk of books that end up being turned into movie franchises (Hunger Games, Harry Potter, Divergent, Twilight, Fifty Shades, you name it) are written by women. Does that mean that men are being discouraged from becoming a romance/YA writers? Is there a culture of misandry which seeks to disempower men and keep those genres dominated by women? Or is it simply that men and women have different tastes and aspirations, and as such choose to learn different career paths? You be the judge.	Misandry, Woman	Gender - General	2/202	0.99
So are you equating the power of pointing out someones perceived sexism with the very real power of that person losing their job, losing 100s of thousands of dollars in funding for future scientific endeavors? and costing multiple innocent men and women their jobs just because of perceived sexism ? Look at this from the other side, this shirt was made for him from the mind of a woman , she wanted him to have a shirt that she described as "powerful" because it did not conform, it was not the focus point of the mission, just as your gender should not be the focus point of getting hired for a job, or getting a paper published, or getting a study funded. This shirt was meant to demonstrate that no matter who you are or what you wear, you can achieve amazing things.... unfortunately that woman's ideas where shattered by the horde of people whose entire existence seems to revolve around perceived attacks against them or people like them, one of the greatest days in human scientific history overshadowed by human inequity, sad.	Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	4/181	2.21

Here is my problem; why do women have such little recognition in STEM. If your are simply going to place it as sexism stop typing and go to a slutwalk. If your still here please analyze human nature of why women get less jobs. is it truly because all employers dont trust women or could it be some women decide not to pursue the field after college or that their productivity is less than their male counterparts. Granted some employers do have their gender stereotypes, but is that always the case. please just look deeper than what your local social media warrior says	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/103	1.942
I stay away from dudes who dress like that. Or put up pics like that. My pervy boss would put up pics of women and so did some others. One time he showed me pics of his prostitute women and asked if I like it. Another boss was sitting and telling me awkward things about jlos body. When dudes flash that in your face it feels hostile. Especially if they are a boss or authority figure. It's degrading. If you like jlos butt, keep it to yourself. If you are actively planning to cheat on your wife why would you tell me? The dudes are out of control these days. Keep ur sex to yourselves.	Sex	Gender - General	1/115	0.87
Could we get the works cited on this video please? Particularly the works around the pleasurableness of misogyny , racism , etc.	Misogyny, Racism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/20	10.0

<p>I generally like your way of thinking, but not here.You and others reframe the discussion to thing like domination... after talking about statistical enrollment facts re. STEM and gender.Yet you ignore the same facts about construction, mining, the military, etc. The dangerous career fields.The number facts are not because of a women-intimidating culture. A male-heavy culture exists as a result of the male majority in certain fields, simple, do not get cause and effect mixed up.Research the enrollment in college and university programs – in general the different genders take different programs for different reasons. In general men want to provide for women and a future family and so enter fields enabling room for growth in that desire – yes even today after decades of "feminism", it is still expected by society and peers (though communicated in more subtle ways than in the 1950s etc.)Women do not have an equivalent drive or societal expectation – so they (most, obviously not all) enter non-STEM programs, for various reasons... without a strong requirement to actually do something career-wise with that education.Because WOMEN always have the option to be "taken care of" by a man – an option that is very much not available equally to the other gender. THAT is an I convenient truth, but thankfully more and more are willing to point this kind of truth out. Even at the risk of being shamed or ridiculed or shouted down – irrational emotional methods of silencing an opposing view. (Pause...) Which says a lot about the view held by the shamers.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	2/265	0.755
<p>Dear Nerdwriter1 you fail to establish the relationship between the shirt and the statistics. You are right when you say that those statistics are trobleing the problem is that sexim "the shirt" can not be simple the defined as the reason for those statistics by default. If we want to be more than just gender theorist and actually get to the truth then we have to determen causality. It is easy to say that sexisom "the shirt" is the cause for the statistics but how can you really prove that ? You can of course read a feminist book where we can get many reasonable sounding explanations for those statistics, but would you simple believe something because someone has written it down ?</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/123	0.813

Since when was it a crime to appreciate the human form? Sexuality is fundamental part of the human condition. He wore a shirt that displayed a character he appreciates. A shirt that was gifted to him from his female friend, no less...SO here is a man wearing his favourite shirt, furthering the human endeavour greater than most could even dream, being assaulted by envious fools who can not accept the success of others. The kind of people who wear feminist shirts made by woman and girls in inhumane conditions for barely enough to eat. Well done, you, you wonderful human being. What a farce. What an utter disgrace to humanity. The world is full of problems, and you people spend your time making up new ones and assigning blame instead of finding solutions to even those. Fuck you all.	Woman	Gender - General	1/139	0.719
I become annoyed with feminists who don't know the facts of what they're talking about. Not by feminism in general but by ignorant tumblr feminist who know nothing about sexism .	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/30	6.667
I think the word you're searching for is a radical feminist. Being a tumblr user/feminist myself, i can confirm that we do actually know about sexism and (surprise surprise) don't want oppress males any more than females. We just want gender equality for all genders. But sadly, people in modern media seem to be more keen on giving the spotlight to the radical feminists, resulting in some people completely missing the point in feminism. Which is, and always has been, gender -equality.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/81	2.469
Hey, if you feel so strongly about denigration. Get married, have children and then get divorced by a woman who needs to break free of her chosen responsibilities. There my simple friend, you will learn what gender denigration is as the courts, culture, an empowered ex and your now weaponized children can do....You will dismiss this comment, but remember it when it's too late. You hipsters think you are so deep as you are corralled by the ruling class into silly spasms over non issues. Keep staring at the projections that the "Wizard" creates and never, never look behind the curtain.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/101	1.98
ooo come on man! not you! why why? bitches(not women but bitches) will always find a reason to bitch about. and why to be sexy for a woman is a bad thing? its not.even for a man. let them have shirts with tom hardy and ryan gosling making push up. I dont mind,no man will ever.	Woman	Gender - General	1/57	1.754

Misc.2 Currently? It's not about specific rights so much as the way society tells us to perceive men and women. It's not a de jure oppression but rather a de facto one, in which women are held back by the confines of what we assume a woman can achieve in our collective minds. It's evident especially in the way women are depicted in commercials or films. Too often is their sexuality used to sell something and too often is their sexuality and their body regarded as their only asset. There are a bunch of assumptions that we as a culture make about men and women that are so normal that it's hard to even perceive that we are being unfair. Although men and women have pretty much all the same de jure rights in the US, a place like India, for instance, is a perfect example of men having more rights than women by law. Most female rape victims are punished for having been abused. Women don't get anything in a divorce. Those are some examples.	Woman	Gender - General	1/176	0.568
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>KatiaJezus. We could live in a world where it was the law that women receive more rights and you people would STILL cry patriarchy.With that said, Katia, you are by far the most level headed, even tempered feminist I've ever talked to in person or online. You seem like a very pleasant person and I would probably enjoy your company.Now, let me address a few points."It's not about specific rights so much as the way society tells us to perceive men and women. It's not a de jure oppression but rather a de facto one, in which women are held back by the confines of what we assume a woman can achieve in our collective minds. It's evident especially in the way women are depicted in commercials or films."Okay, but how many commercials have you seen where men are groveling uncontrollably over women? As if men are so sex craved that they can't possibly control their behavior. That just because a woman is attractive a man will desire her, despite her entitled attitude? Or the commercials depicting men as the dumb dad who needs a woman's guidance? Male and female stereotypes are both used as tools for marketers to sell you on an idea."Although men and women have pretty much all the same de jure rights in the US, a place like India, for instance, is a perfect example of men having more rights than women by law"Yep. totally agree. The middle east does this as well. Feminism is needed more there than in the first world where men and women have equal opportunities."Most female rape victims are punished for having been abused. Women don't get anything in a divorce."This part was sarcasm, no? Men accused of rape are immediately assigned guilt without any evidence. Where were you when the whole rolling stone debacle went down? Or the duke lacrosse team. or jameis winston, or the hawaii quarterback who had to transfer schools, or the middle school student who was in jail for an entire year without evidence!!!! I mean, seriously. Rape is a serious crime and carries a serious penalty, so it's good to take every precaution to avoid arresting someone who is innocent. As for divorce. No. Just...no. Women have always had more leverage in courts that even the most hardcore feminist don't contest it.</p>	Dumb, Patriarchy, Sex, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	5/396	1.263
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Misc.2 When I was talking about rape and divorce, I was referring to India, not the US.And thanks for calling me level-headed, but I swear I'm not one of these "people" to which you're referring. I don't even describe myself as a feminist. I'm not mad at you. I just believe men and women are equal, and since that's technically the definition of 'feminism', you could say I'm one. But I don't have blunt-cut pin-up bangs, I don't own copies of every Gloria Steinem book ever written, I don't leave my legs un-shaved, and I don't hate men.I also agree that there are negative male and female stereotypes in the media, but it is also quite clear that men are the favored gender, in movies specifically.</p> <p>Most movies are male-driven and only offer female characters as one-dimensional accessories to the male's journey. It's just a fact. But there's a promising future for women-driven movies, which already exist, if in small quantities, so it's only a matter of time before it becomes more common, I hope. I'm a humanist. I don't want a patriarchy or a matriarchy. Both are stupid. I want a society focused on human rights. The kind of human one is is arbitrary.</p>	Common, Gender, Patriarchy	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/207	1.449
<p>+Misc.2 The shirt was made by the guy's friend, who was a woman. BTW just because someone believes in equality, doesn't mean they're a feminist. That's egalitarian. Feminists just cry patriarchy and wage gap, which don't exist. They fight for female empowerment, not equal rights.</p>	Patriarchy, Woman	Gender - General	2/45	4.444
<p>Katia When you look up equality, it means egalitarianism. But feminism is not mentioned whatsoever.Besides that, a definition is irrelevant when the movement's actions contradict their words. The moderate feminist will tell you of a patriarchy and a wage gap. They teach this at universities, the feminist theory. If you explore, you would know why people disagree with feminism.As far as the T-shirt, that was BS. Feminists had to ruin a guy's best day making a very impressive scientific feat, then drive him to tears because of a shirt, which his female friend wanted him to wear (which she made herself). The people that assume that the shirt was sexist are idiots. The same idiots who say that a woman can dress as slutty as she wants without reproach.</p>	Patriarchy, Woman	Gender - General	2/131	1.527

<p>Katia You're trying to tell someone that they're a feminist even though they said they weren't. That's not very persuasive. Your comments contain nothing but feminist dribble. "Too often is their sexuality used to sell something and too often is their sexuality and their body regarded as their only asset." If you understood marketing you'd know that having an attractive person advertising a product will earn more revenue. Its because men as well as women are attracted to attractive people. For every sexualized girl their is a ripped muscular man, its equal. To complain about commercials and movies is petty. "it is also quite clear that men are the favored gender." Oh please. Men are the expendable sex in the US. Why else were men required to register for the draft. Or the fact that mothers have all reproductive rights towards their children and fathers have zero rights. In which case, a man has virtually no chance of winning custody of their children, then they are required by law to pay child support or go to prison. Then there's the fact that women receive affirmation action aka free federal funding and quotas. Or VAWA that only protects women. You're assuming a label defines a movement. I've seen former feminists preach the same shit until they realized how ridiculous it was. There's a reason why feminism is criticized. Well, I guess we are done like you said. Bye.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Sex, Zero	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/237	1.688
<p>+Clorgbane Thedestroyer "Or the fact that mothers have all reproductive rights towards their children and fathers have zero rights." Neither gender has the right to paid parental leave in the US, but why not pay the woman who has just pushed a baby out of her vagina to take time off and nurse it for a while? There's a physiological bond between mother and child that's disrupted when a woman is forced by economic pressure to go back to work. And men? If a woman decides to take unpaid maternity leave, which she is statistically more inclined of the two to do, he has to work harder. In fact, some men are not GIVEN the option of paternity leave by their job! [LINK] This hurts EVERYONE involved, not just women, but again, if you had to push a little person out of your vagina, you'd really be wanting a little paid time off from work. Please, give me a legal example of how fathers have zero rights to their children—give me a source, as I have given you. There's no such thing as "zero rights" unless the father has a reason to have lost them (like criminal charges). That has nothing to do with whether or not the parent has a penis.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Woman, Zero	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	8/212	3.774

+Kitty Your using the definition of feminism as an argument. That alone is a bad idea because feminism is a movement. I can choose to be apart of a movement regardless of what the dictionary says the movement is about. The right to choose completely defeats the point you make. The definition means nothing considering that feminist have such crazy radicals that are misandrist themselves.	Crazy, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/65	3.077
QuikVidGuy No I didn't back up. My first comment to you disagreed with idea that it was a sign of oppression. I cared nothing about your metaphor. The second comment you made made the point that regardless of what I think is that its a problem. I then made point asking how is this a problem. How am I back tracking again?	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/62	1.613
Zeke Sanders "Your using the definition of feminism as an argument. That alone is a bad idea because feminism is a movement. I can choose to be apart of a movement regardless of what the dictionary says the movement is about. The right to choose completely defeats the point you make. The definition means nothing considering that feminist have such crazy radicals that are misandrist themselves." Any of that ring a bell?	Crazy, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/72	2.778
QuikVidGuy Yeah its a fact. In the feminist movement there are misandrist inside of it. I can be apart of a movement or not be apart of it based off of my own wishes. The dictionary definition of a movement means little to nothing since the members and the motive of each individual or even as whole changes like the wind. Thats the point I was trying to make.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/69	1.449
Zeke Sanders Misandry isn't real, bruh. You're still not acknowledging that you made accusations while you're trying to invalidate me for making accusations.	Misandry	Gender - General	1/23	4.348
Zeke Sanders hatred, yes, that exists. Misandry ? No.	Misandry	Gender - General	1/8	12.5
QuikVidGuy So you think that a woman can never hate all men because they are men?Misandry-dislike of, contempt for, or ingrained prejudice against men (i.e., the male sex).	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/29	6.897
QuikVidGuy Again. You said misandry doesn't exist. Regardless of what you think of power structures and all that other crap you said the word doesn't exist. I could give too damns about your power structures when you said " misandry doesn't exist"	Misandry	Gender - General	2/41	4.878
QuikVidGuy "hatred, yes, that exists. Misandry ? No."Oh wait what about this?" Misandry isn't real, bruh."	Misandry	Gender - General	2/16	12.5

Zeke Sanders In both cases I never brought up that misandry isn't a word, which it is. Keep mashing different concepts together to make me sound stupid, though. It's hilarious.	Misandry	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
QuikVidGuy You said misandry doesn't exist. What way do you think I am going to take it? Let's just make it easy here. What do you mean by misandry doesn't exist? There are a few ways it can be taken here. Explain that and then we can see where we go with this. Essentially you are making little sense to me. You said misandry doesn't exist. Then you say the existence of it can exist in the comment."I literally just told you that that hatred can exist."Meaning you admit the hatred against all men exist. Meaning a person who hate men just for being man can exist. Misandry means- Misandry -dislike of, contempt for, or ingrained prejudice against men (i.e., the male sex).You also say that. " Misandry isn't real, bruh."All of this in my mind and in most people's mind sounds very contradictory. Yes to me you look stupid here. I am willing to give you the benefit of the doubt and say you either mis worded your sentences ,or i'm doing something wrong here.	Misandry, Sex	Gender - General	6/177	3.39
Zeke Sanders Your spine must be in a pretzel after all those mental gymnastics, but there's literally no thread of logic in your words.	Mental	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/24	4.167
I agree 100 with the idea that sexism is pleasurable. From an early age we teach that girls and boys are borderline separate species. We create a group identity, a tribe almost. We cling to our sex as part of who we are. It's like a subculture. Take metal and punk. The two musical subcultures LOATHED each other in the 80's. Why? In a lot of ways they're similar genres, so why such hostility? Because both groups thought that their genre was better and fans of the other genre were worse. As someone who as recently as January this year was a huge metal elitist, I can say with 100 confidence that that kind of tribal superiority complex is SUPER rewarding. Not a morally good thing to do, but it sure feels good	Age, Sex, Sexism	Age - General, Gender - General	3/133	2.256
You're wrong about the shirt and creating a false equivocation. There is a bubble in academia and the click bait media that tries to fish hook controversy and that means disingenuously creating victims when there is none to push an agenda that has nothing to do with genuine moral issues.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/50	2.0

Posting a video with your own opinion is no different than what he did. Why do people care what some anonymous nobody thinks. Both of you are nobodies to me.Eduardo Blum	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/31	3.226
You bring up the topic of invisible gender stereotypes and what not to support annoyance. However, there is a far simpler explanation for Annoyance here. You say that people feel annoyed because they are denied the pleasures you are used to. This is PRECISELY the reason why the internet is so annoyed. By all the Social Justice Clamouring, you are denying Matt Taylor the pleasure to revel in the light of his achievement. So it is not difficult to see why the internet the annoyed. It is not because Taylor could not show his dominance in a hierarchy which places men above women. It is because Taylor could not show his dominance in a field (for which he spent his entire life) because the spotlight was taken away from his achievement by a bunch of fanatics who couldn't make a good choice between a giant leap for mankind and a supposed small step backwards from gender equality.	Gender	Gender - General	2/157	1.274
Your argument is very logical my good man. However, I must disagree with you on part of what you were saying. In that I don't believe that Taylor's shirt truly proves sexism within the technical fields. Frankly it seems that we need to figure out who is actively discriminating.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/49	2.041
2:34: "It doesn't really matter if some women aren't offended by Taylor's shirt."—So, you just blatantly dismissed the opinions of multiple women, including the WOMAN WHO MADE THE SHIRT , because they didn't support your narrative. Way to stand up for " gender equality", oh great crusader.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/45	4.444
I do not agree, if woman are hurt by this, than O GOD mabye women can better not go in the stem feelds	Woman	Gender - General	1/23	4.348
While watching this I was reminded of a quote: "What I stole I already had in better quality. I stole not to enjoy the fruit , but to enjoy the theft itself, to enjoy how powerful I felt." I believe it is from Augustine.	Fruit	Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	1/43	2.326

We? You were a party to this monstrosity? And you're proud of yourself?The monster in this narrative wasn't a shirt, it was the magnitude and destructiveness so grossly out of proportion that followed; an atomic bomb to clear away an ant hill. It was the hypocrisy, the blind ignorance to reality that is governed by what is true, not simply what feels right. I would argue for a thousand years that the insanity and the backlash that happened did more to harm young people's burgeoning interest in STEM fields than a god damn shirt ever could. Do you think someone would be more willing to spend the disproportionately heavy years of work and study involved, the climbing over thousands of other voices to see above the shoulders of the greats and to have all man listening, all to be destroyed by the society you're serving.... *because you wore the wrong shirt?!!*And I love hearing the cries of "stem fields, stem fields, girls in stem fields" from the hundreds of girls who had the luxury to go to university, and majored in "women's studies" to spend a career making money on Tumblr.	Blind	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/193	0.518
I love how most of these arguments are just pulled of thin air, without any actual data to support the case or its counterargument. I'd really like to know empirically how many women have been turned away from STEM because of this guy's stupid shirt which, by the way, was a present by a female friend of his.I think more often than not the problem with keyboard warriors is how much they resemble sharks. If they don't find a constant supply of something to be offended about on behalf of somebody else, they wither and die.	Thin	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/97	1.031
+Briar Blackbourne Wait, what? Didn't you hear about the 25 that came afterwards?The point is that even though women are massively getting degrees, the amount of those women able to get a job are... Really low. And it's not about scarcity in jobs, because the 40 of men are still able to get 75 of the jobs.It's about culture: STEM fields are still male-dominated, and that on itself perpetuates the idea that women aren't as able at those jobs, and are thereby less likely to be hired, even when they are as qualified as the men they're up against.The shirt is one of the many symptoms of the so-called boys club that keeps the gender disparity alive: the objectification of women displayed on his shirt can make women feel uncomfortable, and they'd be seen as 'too sensitive' if they were open about not liking it, and maybe even judged as less competent as a result of it. This way, only the women who either don't care or don't speak up survive in the business.Furthermore, it's a double standard: Matt Taylor has said he didn't get called out on wearing the shirt because people cared about his work, not his clothes. Meanwhile, female scientists are judged based on their clothes all the time.	Gender	Gender - General	1/216	0.463

Thisold HatteEVERYONE makes a CHOICE to be a parent. Stop being such a liberal. Baby's don't just appear. They happen when you CHOOSE to have sex .	Sex	Gender - General	1/27	3.704
+Briar Blackbourne This isn't about the degree. It's about getting the job post degree and why many women don't get it.Personally, I'd like to see the age stats of all STEM employees. If there are more older than younger employees in the field, that might help explain the stats. Seniority is protected after all, and there are likely more men who hold the jobs from the pre-female dominated degree age .Also, a degree doesn't guarantee you jack shit. Thank you, goodnight.	Age	Age - General	2/82	2.439
Elizabeth WearI agree with your analysis. There are TOO many factors to boil it down to a hot-button issue. The fact is that feminists WANT to make it about gender when that's not the only variable.	Gender	Gender - General	1/37	2.703
Modus Pwnens That is a sexist comment for a closed mind. There are many factors at play when you are comparing college graduation rates, one of the bigger ones being that there are statistically less men than women attending college. Your comment has nothing of value in it and was just purely sexist to incite conflict.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/56	1.786
Anouk Fleur Able or willing? It's mostly their choice not to get a job in those fields. Hell. More and more fields are getting dumb diversity quotas.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/27	3.704
i see the gender issue. i don't see how the t-shirt should affect anything. sexualizing is not necessarily sexist.	Gender	Gender - General	1/19	5.263
You dont recognize that all blame is always leveled at men. Is sexism about one sex being better than another? How many times a day do you encounter ads that praise women and girls for completely genaric qualities? How many times have you heard a list of people who are prefered applicants where men are left out? Is the blame for sexism now founded on gaining equality or on retribution?	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	3/70	4.286

+dust Why isn't this video balanced or fair? Being fair doesn't mean giving equal weight to the wrong argument. To be objective means being able to dispense with falsehood and distortion. If one "side" of the argument is deluded or irrational then a fair and objective view will discount that "side".The argument that sexism is not a vital aspect of modern society is demonstrably false, yet every time an instance of sexism is pointed out in a public forum, there is a chorus of reactionaries who all repeat said argument anyway. Eventually, anyone seriously interested in participating in a conversation about sexism in modern society is going to just an ignore these arguments, and thats a fair thing to do.	Sexism	Gender - General	3/121	2.479
+Mastikator Completely agreed! Instead of crying "SJW" or " chauvinist ," we should be trying to resolve tension and solve problems. Identify a problem, figure out both sides of the issue, and come to the best answer.	Chauvinist	Gender - General	1/35	2.857
The West is going bonkers . Srsly. I can't take this pseudo-intellectual gender babbling anymore. You can't see your true freedom falling apart because of all the fictional liberties you are set on protecting. Can't see the fucking forest for the trees... Fuck political correctness and fuck you guys who're enforcing it. This is what people from empires sound like, just before those empires crumble.	Bonkers, Gender	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/64	3.125
The women complaining about the shirt don't give a shit about science, they have gender studies degrees.The issue here really is the dangerous conflation of equality of opportunity to equality of outcome by radical feminists.	Gender	Gender - General	1/36	2.778
Maybe that's because the " patriarchy " hasn't encouraged young girls to do it.flmvdvsrg	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/12	8.333
theMstangloverThe "wage gap" is BS. Just take some time to research it objectively. Try to find government data based on sex and age .	Age, Sex	Age - General, Gender - General	2/24	8.333
Actually there was an episode of undercover boss where there was a woman and she complained about it	Woman	Gender - General	1/18	5.556

<p>Snowflake Productions No, the fact that more men work in sanitation than women does not mean that all men are lower status as a result. By that logic the only fact that mattered would be the relative participation of men and women in the lowest status job in the country.The systemic under representation of women in high status professions (like the those of the STEM fields) is an indicator of the correspondingly systemic barriers across society that women have to overcome, and which men do not.I didn't even bring up the garbage man comparison. Honestly its really a distraction being thrown up by chauvinist reactionaries. I shouldn't have responded to it.</p>	Chauvinist, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General	2/113	1.77
<p>The problem with most of the "wage gap" research, is it just looks at all women vs men. If you took into account age groups the picture would look a little different.I am a little suspicious about the ruckus being made over sexism right now. Not that it doesn't exist (both ways). It's just that there is a lack of information given on the problem, and especially the solution. We've had laws for a while that attempt to punish discrimination, not just against women but all demographics, and you can't prove or stop much of it. we also have an economy moving toward information (degree based jobs), and more young women in college.</p>	Age, Sexism	Age - General, Gender - General	2/114	1.754
<p>It's funny you mention that. Just this week I was taking out the garbage at work. there's a lot of it and it's gross. I'm the only male on the job at the I ask for some help. Not one of the 6 women I worked with helped. I was meet with "that's a man's job." I'm not saying they were not working I couldn't do that job without them. But I was surprised I just seen a job needed to get done. I didn't assign a gender to taking out garbage.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/92	1.087
<p>I figure it's just the way people have been conditioned.In a way, men have formed this problem for other men.Why have to do the dirty and dangerous work, because more powerful men in the past said this is how it should be, and this is what it means to be a man.I think the specific term is toxic masculinity. Both men and women can perpetuate this.I remember about how Pakistan's recent first female fire fighter got alot of flak from all types of people. I don't think that type of mentaility will go away for a long time.And that sucks because, people will continue to think that men are the only ones who usually will do X jobs, and as a result of these old traditions men have become the most disposable.</p>	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/137	0.73

<p>+Thisold Hatte I’ve read some of your comments and you seem to be working under a few wrong assumptions.You seem to work under the assumption that fields like STEM are more valuable than say, nursing or pediatrics which are two fields that are largely dominated by women. I value all of these fields as they provide a very valuable service to society, you however seem to only think of the monetary value.A large part of the reason why men out earn women is that fields which naturally interest women tend to be in the public sector and fields that interest men tend to be in private sector.Now first I’d like to mention that if you want a really good documentary type thing to watch I recommend you watch Hjenervask, now it is a Norwegian documentary series, and over my assumptions of what kind of person you are it will offend the living shit out of you, however it is well sourced and if you can’t challenge your beliefs you shouldn’t hold them in the first place.It also deals with gender dominated fields and how government quotas do very little to improve the ratios, I believe the very first episode deals with the phenomena in Norway. Every episode deals with a different subject and the guy basically fly around talking to neurologists and psychologists refuting the social sciences.Now the WAGE GAP, you hold a few misconceptions about it so let me adress it.The wage gap is the average pay of ALL women and ALL men compared to each other, IF you account for:-Overtime (Men are far more likely to work overtime as compared to women meaning that they naturally earn more working the same job with the same education and qualification)-Pregnancy leave (The popular wage gap myth doesn’t account for pregnancy leave for instance)-The fact that men take more dangerous jobs (Over 90 of work related deaths are men, something women and feminists are not interested in addressing although, it would be ”equality”)-The fact that women and men simply have different interests as I’ve mentioned above. Here is where STEM comes into play and the big nature vs nurture debate. I’d say the jury is basically in with different quotas in Norway and Sweden aggressively pushing equal workforce in fields like nursing and engineering doing jack shit, but if you want you can keep pretending this isn’t the case.Look, I’m really sorry, but men and women are different. If you want to strive towards equality that’s fine, but you need to realize that we are not psychologically the same. I don’t understand how feminists seem to believe that somehow men and women are vastly different when it comes to our bodies, but somehow when it comes to our mental aptitude we are exactly the same.Reality isn’t always what we want it to be, women and men tend to be different and behave differently. You can literally observe this in every other species of mammals, why the hell would humans be any different?Different \neq Superior or inferior. Get that through your head.</p>	Gender, Mental	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/523	0.382
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

John Calvados what lawsuit?I'm a white guy. Nobody gives a shit, and they made excuses.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/16	6.25
+John Calvados haha, funny! on that tangent, did you know that garbage truck workers often don't have washrooms on their route for hours on end. That means that workers often end up peeing in jars. of course, only men can feasibly pee in jars while driving. Women garbage truck drivers had to complain to be able to have routes that included frequent washrrom stops so they could pee! Of course, not all cities have created this for their women sanitation engineers ... It's the small things that men don't think about that irk women occasionally. Luckily , I am not a sanitation engineer.	Engineers	Nationality - General	1/102	0.98
John Calvados, because it doesn't fit the bill of woman are being oppressed. I believe they are, but others seem to smudge the details to push the message. If anyones fighting for anything here, it would be why are so many men driving garbage trucks, the very fact this is seen as more preferable for men pushes them down possibly unsavory options that they wouldn't have chosen if preconceived notions of manliness weren't there.	Woman	Gender - General	1/74	1.351
You lost me at the ad hominem on an entire half of the human race .	Race	Ethnicity - General	1/15	6.667
"your assumptions are following from cultural norms so invisible, they seem like insights about gender " - invisible? wtf are you talking about? i hate it when people tell me invisible things are facts. sophistry at its finest. "just go away and read a book and come back when you agree cos you can't see what I know about everything ever." no. piss off.and the pleasure argument is really arrogant. like you know me? also, you did crucify matt taylor. for sure that's what happened. over a shirt you could socially deconstruct with your useless diploma.	Gender	Gender - General	1/96	1.042

<p>”...maybe your assumptions are following from cultural norms so invisible, they seem like insights about gender.”All we ever hear about any more in both the popular media and the new media is how sexism and racism run rampant throughout every facet of society, how our collective guilt of bigotry is evidenced solely by differences in outcomes between groups, and how anyone who disagrees with the narrative is blinded by privilege. These so-called assumptions about differences between groups are the complete opposite of invisible. They also happen to have a far more robust support in the realm of fact than the progressive, feel-good assumptions required of dogmatic egalitarianism. ”Women earn only 72 cents on the dollar compared to men!” Actually no, when all relevant variables are properly controlled for, such as career field, education, hours worked per week, breaks in career, etc, women earn between 98 and 101 depending on the study. ”Women face massive discrimination in the STEM fields, as evidenced by the huge gap between numbers of men and women employed in the field!” Actually no, a robust and well-performed study earlier this year by two Cornell professors showed that women enjoy overall 2-to-1 advantage when being considered for jobs in the STEM fields.</p>	Gender, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/206	1.456
<p>The problem with issues like this, is that it sets a precedent of victimization. Instead of encouraging girls to do what they believe in, no matter the opposition or discomfort they might face, we encourage them to blame every minor obstacle they could possibly face. In the real world everyone has problems and challenges they have to confront, but our response should be to ignore these challenges, and not make them the center of our focus. Could his shirt be offensive to some women? Yes, of course. But that doesnt hold anybody back from actually becoming a scientist. If anything, this constant culture of victimization has done more harm to gender equality (on both sides) than any shirt ever has.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/120	0.833
<p>+kalopbl So is your solution to ignore sexism? I’m not saying the shirt is sexist, I just don’t know what you’re getting at</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/23	4.348
<p>+Jake Carlstad of course im not saying we should do that. Sexism is a major issue that impacts every culture on earth, but a line has to be drawn somewhere. Gender mutilation, abortion rights, equal pay, are all major issues, but when it comes to things like this shirt i can help but have any other response than ”get the fuck over it”</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/63	3.175

Isn't your being annoyed not revealing your own bias? I mean that you seem to think that women have to be protected from what this shirt could stand for, is in itself sexist too, and in my opinion far more of a disempowering mentality towards women than the shirt that was made by a woman and given to a friend who honored her by wearing it to that occasion. So, the shirt is not what keeps women out of STEM fields, it is the narrative you and other social justice warriors push, that painting of us women as victims of society, the idea that we are being held back and cannot make it, that is the exact opposite from providing motivations to girls to give it a try. So, for someone who seems to think a lot, you maybe should have thought a little more about what you are talking here about... but I guess you could not escape your own bias and jumped to the defending women mode without really realising how sexist that attitude is, even though you probably thought that you are doing the moral just thing, you failed, you made girls and women just to be nothing more than damsels in distress that need special attention from the men to care more about the female gender than pretty much everything else. I just discovered your channel and most other videos seem much more analytical on what is going on than you did with this video here, hope this is just a bad day at the office kind of think, and that you next time consider your own bias better and try to avoid to come across as sexist as you did, since the shirt did nothing , the things you project on it is the problem.	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/299	1.003
Calling out a culture of toxic masculinity is not "disempowering" towards women. We have a right to be disgusted by it and not tolerate the message it sends. There's nothing sexist about calling out sexism . I have no idea how your view got so warped about this. Women do sometimes try to get into STEM these days, regardless of whether they perceive themselves as disadvantaged or not. But even when they do, there's a lot of gendered challenges that really shouldn't be there. Including sexual harassment. If no one talks about it, these things will never change. So it's good when people actually talk about it like in this video.	Nothing, Sexism, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/110	2.727

<p>Kotryna 'Calling out a culture of toxic masculinity is not "disempowering" towards women.'The culture of toxic masculinity? Care to elaborate on that?'We have a right to be disgusted by it and not tolerate the message it sends.'The message the shirt sends?</p> <p>And what message is that? Women aren't welcome in stem?'There's nothing sexist about calling out sexism.'Are we still talking about the shirt? If so, what makes the shirt sexist anyways?'I have no idea how your view got so warped about this.'I wonder why to, but I probably already know the answer.'Women do sometimes try to get into STEM these days, regardless of whether they perceive themselves as disadvantaged or not.'Well women do hold 30 of stem degrees. 'But even when they do, there's a lot of gendered challenges that really shouldn't be there.'Like what? Sexual harassment? Any other obstacles?'Including sexual harassment. If no one talks about it, these things will never change. So it's good when people actually talk about it like in this video.'People talk about sexual harassment. We definitely aren't living in a place where people don't talk about sexual harassment.</p>	Nothing, Sexism, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/196	3.061
<p>When a man talks about a shirt that is offensive to a section of a society, he is NOT jumping to save women. Women are not damsels in distress, and THAT is precisely what he is trying to say. No, i don't need a man to save me. But I need a man to understand my right of choice, and whether or not I want to be "saved", and be at peace with it. In a world of patriarchy, he is ready to make conversation, that is for many of us, important. Recognition of our rights, wants and needs is the first step towards creating a bias free society. I am an engineer, and I know how difficult it would have been to get through the course, if I didn't have male representatives who fought for my right to equal opportunity. Did my friends save me? hell no. But did their support for my work get more exposure? Yes! Pushing that us women are victims of society? I wouldn't call myself as a victim, because i believe in taking responsibility, and so many other women will not too. But patriarchy affects me. Every time in a social gathering, an insinuation made towards how ungeeky I am affects me. Walking down the street, getting catcalled affects me. Being raped at the age of 11 affected me! How is he pushing the idea when it already exists and all he is doing is addressing it? Also, would your comment change if it was a woman who said this and not a man?</p>	Age, Patriarchy, Woman	Age - General, Gender - General	4/260	1.538
<p>+Logic Gone Wow! That one group of women really proves that all women hate science. I know some people from my hometown who are homophobic, they are white. Does that mean all white people hate gays?</p>	Homophobic	Sexual Identity - General	1/36	2.778

If you create a maxim out of this shit gate ideology you just get a conundrum of absolute nonsense, this is a non issue, how about we empower people to have the emotional intelligence to1. not wear tacky shirts2. not get upset about a shirtdone	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/48	2.083
This was amazing and SO on point.wise, smart, life changing, decent point of view.not only because I agree with it but it's more about the way you're sending your ideas.so, thank you!I am myself working on changing some basic rights here, I live in Saudi Arabia and I'm struggling, I may survive my own struggles but it's not enough for me, I wanna change how things are I wanna spread knowledge or at least common sense!my top things to change are:Forcing women to cover their faces, forcing women to get married, and racism .	Common, Racism	Ethnicity - General, Social Class - Working class	2/99	2.02
I've liked the rest of your videos, you're an insightful guy, but you are completely full of shit in this video. The attack on Matt Taylor was literally fucking ridiculous and yet another example of radical feminists attacking anyone or anything that they can find as ammo for their misandry and to fuel the revenue they make as speakers on the publics purse (women's studies? Literally a fucking joke). Lets get real for a fucking second. No young woman is going to look at Matt Taylor and think, "Fuck, I was going to go in to a STEM field but this guy wore a shirt with naked women on it". The reason people hate SJW's isn't because we're afraid of losing something. It's because we're sick to fucking death of you wanker keyboard warriors picking at the scabs of society every other week and destroying peoples lives in the process. You're all a bunch of fundie nutcases. Gender inequality doesn't exist, neither legally or socially. Both genders have both benefits and disadvantages.	Fundie, Gender, Misandry, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General, Religious Identity - Christian	5/172	2.907
"To tell otherwise kind and goodhearted people that by doing NOTHING AT ALL they are perpetuating bigotry, discrimination, intolerance." I dare you to make less sense.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/26	3.846

<p>The thing is I myself would never wear the kind of shirt that the subject of this controversy wore in the interview, nor would I get a similar tattoo, car decal, bumper sticker, etc. I've also never been one to put swimsuit pin-ups or Playboy centerfolds on my wall, and have always been too caught up in Megan Fox's mediocre screen presence to consider her an element that brought me back to a series like Transformers. What I'm saying is whatever supposed "pleasure" is being taken from decorating my day-to-day life with stylized imagery of idealized women, simply isn't a factor in what I'm about as a person, and in that sense, I have nothing to lose in this conversation at the end of the day. But even with all of that, I react to this story with a disdain that I can only categorize (based on the video anyway) as annoyance. Frankly, I'm perplexed that through bringing attention to the apparent pleasures of what some consider minute sexism, people overlook another source of existential excitement: the pleasure of being on a decidedly higher level of justice and morality, the pleasure of exposing the underlying poison of ["seemingly"] benign facets of everyday life, the pleasure of being the good guy in a fight that may in reality amount to little if nothing at all. And while it is more than worth noting the statistical injustices of women in the workforce and the field of science, the one missing ingredient is what all of it has to do with this one man's shirt. And this is all without mentioning that, through years of meditation and personal questioning, I've worked myself to the point of accepting people's choices of wardrobe, tattoos, gender identity and bodily modifications; and yet, to align myself with the forces of political correctness, I have to draw the line at a type of wardrobe that a certain niche of people decree distasteful and demeaning to an entire sex? Who knows though. My status as a straight American male (not all-the-way-white though, so SUCK IT!) might just be blinding me from seeing the underlying maliciousness implied in countless Youtube videos. I say this partially in jest, but I do have the good sense of admitting it could also be a possibility. Like you sir, I too believe it's possible to hold two lines of thought in these conversations. For instance: I believe in the tree-hugger-hippie notion that we all deserve decency and respect in this wide, chaotic world of ours, regardless of race, gender or sexuality, and that the opportunities given to one person should not be taken away from another on any of those grounds.....I also think this man should be able to wear whatever he damn well pleases, just as I think a person should get a tattoo of whatever they want, a teenage girl should display shirtless calendars of whatever processed boy-icon she prefers, and women should have the right to see Magic Mike XXL, read 50 Shades of Grey, or, dare I say it, watch porn (all of that, mind you, so long as they don't judge other people in a manner as superficial as said consumed media). * Long comment. But i don't think TLDR belongs in this kind of forum. In any case, I appreciate NerdWriter's content and look forward to watching many more videos. maybe even tonight.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Race, Sex, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	7/565	1.239
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

as a bit of an asterisk, ignoring how tacky it might be to continue like this:Would it perhaps be more productive for all involved if the focus was not on picking which kinds of art should be displayed at what time, but rather educating people on how to separate the media they consume with a truer, more sociologically supported reality. You could argue that such are intertwined to the point of interdependency (i.e. our perceptions of others are largely determined by our immediate environment, including the media), but I honestly feel a few classes here and there about such topics, as well as some simple, controlled self-questioning, would do wonders for our modern society. In other [deliberately oversimplified] words, spend less time highlighting harmful art and more time trying to make smarter people who can consume things in a healthier manner.	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/142	0.704
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>The thing is I myself would never wear the kind of shirt that the subject of this controversy wore in the interview, nor would I get a similar tattoo, car decal, bumper sticker, etc. I've also never been one to put swimsuit pin-ups or Playboy centerfolds on my wall, and have always been too caught up in Megan Fox's mediocre screen presence to consider her an element that brought me back to a series like Transformers. What I'm saying is whatever supposed "pleasure" is being taken from decorating my day-to-day life with stylized imagery of idealized women, simply isn't a factor in what I'm about as a person, and in that sense, I have nothing to lose in this conversation at the end of the day. But even with all of that, I react to this story with a disdain that I can only categorize (based on the video anyway) as annoyance. Frankly, I'm perplexed that through bringing attention to the apparent pleasures of what some consider minute sexism, people overlook another source of existential excitement: the pleasure of being on a decidedly higher level of justice and morality, the pleasure of exposing the underlying poison of ["seemingly"] benign facets of everyday life, the pleasure of being the good guy in a fight that may in reality amount to little if nothing at all. And while it is more than worth noting the statistical injustices of women in the workforce and the field of science, the one missing ingredient is what all of it has to do with this one man's shirt. And this is all without mentioning that, through years of meditation and personal questioning I've worked myself to the point of accepting people's choices of wardrobe, tattoos, gender identity and bodily modifications; and yet, to align myself with the forces of political correctness, I have to draw the line at a type of wardrobe that a certain niche of people decree distasteful and demeaning to an entire sex? Who knows though. My status as a straight American male (not all-the-way-white though, so SUCK IT!) might just be blinding me from seeing the underlying maliciousness implied in countless Youtube videos. I say this partially in jest, but I do have the good sense of admitting it could also be a possibility. Like you sir, I too believe it's possible to hold two lines of thought in these conversations. For instance: I believe in the tree-hugger-hippie notion that we all deserve decency and respect in this world wide, chaotic world of ours, regardless of race, gender or sexuality, and that the opportunities given to one person should not be taken away from another on any of those grounds.....I also think this man should be able to wear whatever he damn well pleases, just as I think a person should get a tattoo of whatever they want, a teenage girl should display shirtless calendars of whatever processed boy-icon she prefers, and women should have the right to see Magic Mike XXL, read 50 Shades of Grey, or, dare I say it, watch porn (all of that, mind you, so long as they don't judge other people in a manner as superficial as said consumed media). * Long comment. But i don't think TLDR belongs in this kind of forum. In any case, I appreciate NerdWriter's content and look forward to watching many more videos. maybe even tonight.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Race, Sex, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	7/567	1.235
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>I think this is a great topic to have a discussion on... but I'm not satisfied by the conclusion of this video. You suggest that a real bias exists against women, and even non-sexist/good human beings are somehow committing a wrong by 'doing nothing'... but you don't suggest what should be done. There are definitely places in the world where clear actions can be taken to promote equality of women.. but here in the West what should be done? Is equality in terms of the number of men and women in fields of work a right? If more men in STEM fields = sexism, does more men in prison also equal sexism?</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/112	1.786
<p>I do feel threatened, but not because of the reasons you stated. I'm part of the demographic that Mike and SJWs are trying to "protect". I feel threatened because women are being infantilised, their choices to get paid for doing what they like (sometimes that is flunting their bodies) disrespected. I'm threatened that the art forms I love are being censored and attacked by over sensitive screamingI harpes. you say that the idea that women are just less interested in science has no basis in reality. well why do you think there are more waste collectors who are men then women? and more female nurses than male? misandry? why is when a male internet personality is trolled its attributed to "oh its just the internet", and when a woman recieves the same treatment suddenly its misogyny and an issue that needs to be resolved asap?? I'm sick and tired of being told that I'm constantly being oppessed by the invisible all consuming force called the "patriarchy". im tired of being told that I'm feeding into this force by my choices. I'm annoyed at their sense of entitlement - how they think they deserve privileges for being part of a minority group. I'm a girl trying to get into STEM field, and the last thing I want is my colleagues or classmates thinking that I got here because they needed to feel some quota and not because of my own merit. why do you feel like us women are such delicate little flowers? if a fucking shirt prevents you from doing what you love, may be you didn't love it that much in the first place???</p>	Misandry, Misogyny, Patriarchy, Woman	Gender - General	4/275	1.455
<p>thanks for writing out what I'm too lazy to say. I fucking love that guy's shirt. nerd writer forgot to mention how the harpies drove him to tears for it, and that it was a woman who made the shirt.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/40	2.5

I love women. I have many friends that are girls ann I know that yiu are crazy and you think thant your emorions are more importnat that locic most of the time. But sexism is very real. there is many bastards men and women that will act like women are less than men. that bastards we must fight. cat calling, rape, less pay for the same job - all that shit must go. but I will not stand for people that only talk shit and never do anything. your mom talked abput a rise - if she do the same job - FUCK THAT TURD HER BOSS I HOPE HE GET ASS FUCKED IN HELL. I just hope that war on sexism dont become war on men.	Crazy, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/127	2.362
U Go GirlBrazil isn't the USA. The video is not about your ass backwards country."tell that to me and all my female friends who face verbal abuse and threats every day when we walk down a street.do you know how many times I've been groped in nightclubs and in trains? how i was almost raped?"If you were talking about the USA, none of us would believe you because nothing like that happens here. When a random man interacts with me, for example, it's usually to compliment me on my looks, and it's a highlight of my day. Men threatening women walking out on the street doesn't happen here.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/112	0.893
+Confuzzled Tomato Don't worry, that won't happen. I'm a woman in STEM. I didn't think it was a "thing" until I was far into PhD program. A combination of me being very shy and my PI's boys' club has resulted in me looking to continue my PhD elsewhere. All of the women in his lab have performed poorly (except one who was a huge B and another who is a supergenius) he advises little. He is helpful at times but from what I understand from other faculty, he could be way better. (Best example would be when a friend in the lab asked for a semester of research funding and he told her he had no money. Two weeks later in lab meeting he asks one of the males to do the same position. He lied to her about the money issue.) Many of the female faculty suggested that I find a female PI in the future and several of them are very vocal about this issue. Is it better than before? Yes, much better. However, there are still some threads of the "boys' club" left. I'm in evo bio too. which is supposed to be one of the better fields to be in if you are female. GL if you are considering a different field. A lot of the female faculty also complain about student issues (this is a top 20 uni btw). Female profs tend to get more lip and whining from students than male ones.	Woman	Gender - General	1/248	0.403
+TheAtomicMango Interesting. I'm in STEM and most of the women (faculty and students) do agree there is at a minimum some sexism . It is, of course, way better than in the past. Also I wonder at the "good things to say." Almost everyone I know in academia only have negative things to say.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/53	1.887

crimsonroseWhat kind of sexism ?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/5	20.0
+U Go Girl Sweety the misogyny felt in third world countries is not the same in the US or any other developed countries. The most probable thing is that you are not going to get killed because you are a woman , even if you live in those countries. I know because i live in Peru. We have shit tons of problems with sexism and i know it's an issue, but when cunts in developed countries rant in the internet about how a guy is manspreading in a subway (something we don't even have) just makes me think that these people should be gassed down. Sorry if this was to hard btw	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/111	1.802
crimsonroseHate to say it, but those don't seem like sexism . You're basically accusing these people of being sexist when they could have completely different reasons for doing what they did. If it's not clear cut sexism , you can't really say it's pervasive sexism because 1) you haven't proven there to be any sexism and 2) individuals who are sexist don't make an entire community sexist.>Female profs tend to get more lip and whining from students than male ones.This isn't sexism , either. I've noticed this trend in all of my schooling. Men tend to just laugh at students who give them attitude, and women tend to get huffy and let it affect them. Throughout my life, women have also made more harsh requirements for student success. I don't know any of the individual situations you're speaking of, but considering we live in the real world and not a world in which men and women behave the same, I can see why you think a class's response might be because of some pervasive sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	6/175	3.429
+TheAtomicMango Ugh I just wrote a lot and it got deleted. I'll just summarize what I wrote. Basically, there is more to both of those stories than written here (It would take way too long... that one particular meeting was one of the worst. It included shaming one of the students in front of a new post-doc.). Obviously anecdotes do not indicate trends but at a minimum we appear to be experiencing some. Your experience is different from mine. Amusingly the "strict" female profs I know actually complain the least about it. One of the strictest does believe it is occurring and is one of those that "laugh it off." She's one of the ones who suggested I look for a female PI. Additionally, easy/difficult female/male profs seem to be pretty equal in my experience. Basically your argument suggests that a person has to explicitly say "I hate X" for there to be X,Y,Z motivation (plug in whatever you want here, sexism , etc.). As a result, we shouldn't do anything when X,Y,Z statement has not been made. Personally, I disagree with that because it is totally possible for someone to not explicitly say some similar statement but think and act on it. Here we differ, I suppose.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/207	0.483

crimsonroseI think if it were a recurring theme with one person, whether they say it or not, then it could be pinned as a prejudice. I've never worked in STEM, and I'm still young. Throughout my life I've never experienced sexism . The only sexism , if it can even be called that, that I've witnessed is the way teachers treat boys and also girls who exhibit boyish behavior.From my point of view, it's as if there is a boogeyman out there which the media and some people are always reminding us of, but I've never seen it. I know sexism occurs, it has to, but if I haven't seen it, it's hard to believe that it's as prevalent as I'm told.	Sexism	Gender - General	3/122	2.459
+TheAtomicMango: "The only sexism , if it can even be called that, that I've witnessed is the way teachers treat boys and also girls who exhibit boyish behavior."Would you mind giving examples? I'm very curious and am now thinking of my childhood.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/42	2.381
+Clorgbane Thedestroyer it really depends on the talent and/or personality of that person. And most women don't need special treatment, we either think that we do because we were told that from a young age or men give us special treatment on their own, thinking that we need it.	Age	Age - General	1/49	2.041
+Confuzzled Tomato So basically this is a temper tantrum of someone who is tired of having to confront an issue of social justice. Well, I'm sorry to say being tired or sick of a problem will not make it go away.People aren't going to just let this drop because someone is tired of it, or bored with it. The key is at the end of the video, when he talks about the passive contributions of people. This goes for racism as well as sexism; these inequalities are deeply ingrained, and if we want to improve our society we will have to do some hard work to confront them.One of the biggest lies our society has bought into is that all of the important work of addressing social equality was taken care of in the '60s. This is a test of our civilization and even our species if you ask me. What kinds of problems can we identify and solve? Whats the extent of our ability to improve ourselves? When is it OK for us to stop building on the progress of past generations?	Racism	Ethnicity - General	1/185	0.541
Clorgbane Thedestroyer People aren't complaining about the T-Shirt. They are complaining about sexism . The T-shirt is a manifestation of sexism , and demonstrates the difference in how men and women are viewed in society, as well as the nature of that difference, i.e. that women are objects of sexual desire and pursuit first and foremost. Sexism , like racism , is not about feelings, its about power. The reality is society gives people of color and women less power over their lives and surroundings than it gives to caucasians and men. Its not about the circumstances of a single event or interaction, its about the systemic burden that is applied unequally across all of society all of the time.	Racism, Sexism, Sexual	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/116	4.31

Thisold Hatte Funny how the same feminists say that you should be wear whatever you want without reproach, yet when a guy wears a shirt, they go crazy . If a woman can dress like a slut, then that guy should be able to wear his shirt, which was made by his friend, who was a girl. Sexism and racism are all about power? LOL that's only the SJW/feminist definition. You reek of Tumblr.	Crazy, Racism, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/73	5.479
Just wanted to put it out there that when a female internet personality is trolled, people often use the fact that this person is a woman against her. When a male personality is trolled, insults more often than not either come from what he actually did or random comments without a clear link, not assumptions he is a whore etc. Also often when a female personality is trolled, people (predominantly men) will point out what she did to deserve insults thrown at her but then also add a sexual comment, for example comments similar to "she did this which is bad blah blah blah. But I'd still fuck her," are commonly seen amongst the substantial points other people are making. Just a thought on one of your points.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/128	1.562
hi I'd say its the same. A girl troll will call a guy a small dickd flaccid loser who never gets laid or had issues as a kid. And other trolls will remark on said person's appearance. The goals are always the same when it comes to trolls: start a flame war and have some laughs. It doesn't matter your sex or color. Us trolls will find others to bait.	Sex	Gender - General	1/70	1.429
yarow122 Seeing as how Anita Sarkeesian got fame off of claiming "video game sexism " and getting \$180,000 out of it, this isn't exactly a surprise.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
+TheAtomicMango Why you dumb americans believe those people?When a friend of mine talked about all the "harassment she got" and "women got" I challenged her to take a walk with me to search for such harassment.If a man shy away from harassing women in front of other man, he's a minority and not supported and therefore rare, if he doesn't we would find one.No woman ever took this challenge to search for random men "harassing" random women in the streets, and I know why, because it would take a whole day before we found a minor instance and because we would find a mirrored situation as well.It's not like that anywhere in the world, human nature doesn't allow it.	Dumb, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/123	1.626

<p>Oh please, men aren't nearly as affected by misogyny or gender inequality as women. Sure there may be few cases of that, but after thousands of years of being considered the dominant gender in almost every culture there ever was apart from maybe a handful, men haven't had to break through any kind of social barriers to get ahead in life. Now i'm not suggesting that there aren't people who take gender equality(or feminism or whatever you want to call it) too far but their affect on society through lawmakers or whomever is almost nonexistent and the actual problems that women face are often overlooked or purposely avoided in some bullshit stance against PC which is honestly the most pathetic excuse for bigotry theres ever been. I mean if you don't have the balls to say how you really feel then maybe you should just shut the fuck up. but to say that women don't have a harder time pursuing there carriers or in other aspects of life is to completely underestimate the shadow of sexism that still hangs over our society, which is completely understandable by the way considering the recentness of this issue. ultimately though there will always be those who stand in the way or push back against progress this is the will of the people and the way our society is headed. If you want the alternative move to Saudi Arabia.</p>	Gender, Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	5/235	2.128
<p>+Remy K I think it is quite possible that women meet difficulties in their careers that men don't have to face; I will be very happy to hear you talk about them, and despite Skill-point above, I think you may even be right, at least in some cases. What gives me pause, however, is what the causes are (usually the assumption is "misogyny", which I consider an oversimplification), and what should be done about it.Personally, I would like every person to be able to pursue his or her interests without too much bullshit from society or Mrs Grundy or any other factors not intrinsically relevant to said interests. The world being, however, a complicated place (with interrelations that go way beyond what we see in the surface), I often wonder why we feel so sure we know what to do to change things for the better here. Call me cynical, but I think people tend to jump to conclusions a little too quickly.</p>	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/164	0.61

<p>I know Tomato’s post and most of this conversation are a year old, but I’d also like to throw in my two cents, as I find it disheartening to see these people who have never experienced or witnessed something firsthand speak out as if that means it doesn’t happen, and react to a few overzealous feminists as if that’s how they all are. That study from Tomato’s article found that ”women are no longer at a disadvantage when applying for tenure-track positions in university science departments.” For one thing, that’s nice to hear. For another, that is an extremely niche area within STEM, and one of the most liberal; I’m not surprised it’s the first to see such a change. And what exactly do you think drives them to favor women, if not feminists putting up a fuss when women are given the short end of the stick, together with the current imbalance favoring males? Others have mentioned affirmative action, and I’d like to reiterate that the idea is to give those who have had less privilege and opportunity a fair chance versus those who have it better. You say you’re annoyed at ”how they think they deserve privileges for being part of a minority group”; yet by implying that you don’t want to be treated differently from men, you’re implying that you want to be treated with the same privilege they are, and that’s exactly the point. I can understand the desire not to be treated like a ”delicate little flower”, but you speak as one of a lucky few who have not been discriminated against (as far as you know) or discouraged by society to enter the STEM field. I’m not trying to say women aren’t strong; quite the contrary: they have to be incredibly strong to do what they do in such a world. Props to your strength and skill, and I’m glad you’re speaking your mind here. But I think some of the things you’re saying might be dangerous to others who have not been so lucky. I want to specifically address +TheAtomicMango and her reply to +U Go Girl: that was incredibly insensitive and ignorant, to insult someone’s country without sympathy after they admitted to abuses they’ve suffered, and then falsely claim that it doesn’t happen in ours while flaunting your entitlement that you did nothing to earn. You realize that’s a person you’re talking to, right? And the USA is in fact at 14th in this (incomplete) list of rapes per million people: [LINK] and 28 of them are committed by strangers: [LINK] I’m especially worried for naive young women like you going to college, where supposedly one in five are sexually assaulted in the USA: [LINK] I’m not trying to scare you, just warn you to be careful. Just because it hasn’t happened to you doesn’t mean that it doesn’t happen, or that it won’t. Finally, I think another point worth defending is what he mentions at 2:57 in the video: that much of the trouble is in the way society discourages women from going into STEM in the first place, but people tend to interpret this as inherent differences in the sexes. Nature vs. nurture is a tough question to crack, and I’m sure there are some biological differences, but I reckon it’s more nurture than these people think. The influence of media and advertising and the prejudices of parents and society in general starts at a very young age and is extremely deep-seated. Take the field of computer science, for example, and see the ”Why aren’t more women involved in computer science?” section here: [LINK] or this talk that</p>	Age, Nothing, Old	Age - General, Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters	3/618	0.485
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>+Sean H, here are a few reactions to the points you raise. I hope you're interested enough in the topic to want to discuss it."I find it disheartening to see these people who have never experienced or witnessed something firsthand speak out as if that means it doesn't happen, and react to a few overzealous feminists as if that's how they all are."I also find this a bit much, but then again, the "overzealous feminists" you mention are among the most obvious ones because they're the ones with Tumblr accounts accusing others of all kinds of bigotted and sexist feelings. The feminists who are, say, fighting to improve women's conditions in the Middle East tend to be a little too busy with real-world issues to become as vehement in internet debates. So I'm not surprised that many people know the "overzealous" variety better than other types."For one thing, that's nice to hear. For another, that is an extremely niche area within STEM, and one of the most liberal; I'm not surprised it's the first to see such a change."Sure, but note that things depend on where you look. I don't think it's "the first" to see such change. In my field (linguistics, somewhere in an orbit around STEM – see speech recognition technology), there is currently a (slight) majority of women in tenured-track positions, holding scholarships and even actually among students. This higher level of acceptance has been increasing. Certain subfields of biology also have a majority of female researchers. It's not just "the first one" to see such a change; this change has been ongoing, is cascading down the line, and is supported by pretty much everybody, especially in the younger generation."And what exactly do you think drives them to favor women, if not feminists putting up a fuss when women are given the short end of the stick, together with the current imbalance favoring males?"Sure, but there is a difference between the more visible ('overzealous') feminists, who complain about things that aren't really "the short end of the stick". Besides, I'd claim that a lot comes not from "revolutionary activism" (feminists putting up a fuss), but from internal reflection based on one's own life (having women in your family, talking to them, having peaceful conversations with feminists, equalitarians, etc. about gender questions) without a "fuss." All in all, you have to win hearts and minds – and "a fuss" is not really the best way to do that."Others have mentioned affirmative action, and I'd like to reiterate that the idea is to give those who have had less privilege and opportunity a fair chance versus those who have it better."Sure, but if you soften your quality criteria for accepting students, you demolish the meritocracy, and you slowly sap the efficiency and high quality of the education of the institution in question. I'm all in favor of more minorities in all areas of education, but I would rather do this by improving the quality of their basic education so that they don't have any quality disadvantage when applying for higher education – that seems to me fairer than quotas."You say you're annoyed at "how they think they deserve privileges for being part of a minority group"; yet by implying that you don't want to be treated differently from men, you're implying that you want to be treated with the same privilege they are, and that's exactly the point."True – but that is ismply because, in many cases (e.g., affirmative action), what is being asked is</p>	<p>Age, Gender, Nothing</p>	<p>Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General</p>	<p>3/1226</p>	<p>0.245</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>+Asehpe Hey, this is awesome. I'm certainly interested enough to discuss it, and I appreciate you taking the time to address my points! I hope it's cool that I numbered your paragraphs for brevity, starting with the second one:1. ("I find it...") Agreed: as in many areas, it's likely the noisy/offensive/melodramatic ones getting a disproportionate amount of the attention that leads to this perception that (I think) errs on the negative side. (Maybe I'm just another one of those.)2. ("For one thing...") Quite fair: I should have said "one of the earlier ones" or some such. In general, your response makes me wish I'd thought mine out better, which is a welcome lesson.3. ("And what exactly...") Agreed, and this partly comes down to semantics and what constitutes a "fuss", which admittedly I didn't specify. Regardless, I love your last point—with a nuanced subject like this, winning hearts and minds requires a calm, respectful, patient approach, and outrage and emotion aren't good ways to convince anyone. I don't mean to say yelling and screaming made this happen, yet when I hear that women are twice as likely to be given positions in a department that has historically favored men, I can't help but imagine there's more than purely conscientious motivation involved and, at this point in time, necessary for significant change. (Or maybe I'm overly cynical; regarding universities, maybe, but surely not regarding everywhere.) So the fuss I speak of is the negative attention I think they're partly trying to avoid, and the more visible feminists, though liable to overdo things, do contribute to this. I shouldn't have spoken like it's the only motivator, though.4. ("Others have mentioned...") 5. ("You say you're annoyed...")Hmm... I do see the same ideal future. As for how to get there, and the arguments for and against affirmative action, I probably shouldn't speak of things I know so little about, so I'm going to shush about it for now.6. ("I can understand...")Your field aside (though it's nice to hear of the equality; and I think it's fair to call it STEM, what with it being the scientific study of language; and this isn't really relevant but I think it's SUCH A COOL SUBJECT), doesn't the number of women going into STEM positions today count as hard data? Evan mentioned a few statistics at 2:40 in the video. My field is computer science, and though it is gradually changing, it is still massively one-sided, and projected to be so through 2022 (again I quote this site: [LINK] As for arguing for the need for some kind of behavior, I'll save that for paragraph 8.7. ("I'm not trying...")Ah... Here I probably wanted to dispel the notion that I was being overly patronizing, or chivalrous (a kind way to put it). I do believe what I said, but if that's why I felt the need to say it, it's probably because I was indeed being that way. Ha! Apologies for that.8. ("But I think...")I heartily concur with all you're saying here, and I don't think it conflicts with what I meant, but I was unspecific, so I'll try to clarify. Let's look at her last sentence in particular: "if a fucking shirt prevents you from doing what you love, may be you didn't love it that much in the first place???" I think I understand her point with the same interpretation as you, that women—like anyone—should stand up for themselves and not expect to be pitied or babied (or infantilized) by anyone. And I'm not arguing that. But in this case, she's also</p>	Engineers, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Nationality - General	3/1054	0.285
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------------------	--------	-------

<p>The sole idea of being outraged by the depictions of sexually attractive females in the media is the single most idiotic and most glaring fact that feminism today has fallen to the level of a pack of angsty and jealous 13 year old girls.And don't get me wrongI understand that a woman might feel disgust or feels uncomfortable for sexualised women but the word "sexist" means more than whatever you find offensive or disgusting.It means prejudice ,discrimination and most importantly direct hatred towards a specific gender. There is no such thing as an underlining sexism or discrimination towards women in western culture or atleast not in the apocalyptic "They live" idea of sexism, that groups like feminists LOVE to promote ,where women are now subtly oppressed by the invisible clutches of the patriarchy. But let me put you an example to explain what i mean. How much outrage will anyone at all will have if that scientist was a woman with a shirt covered in sexy drawings of men?Lets be realistic here. Nobody will even care and will most likely call her a hero praising her solely for her gender instead of her achievement and her shirt as a way of "destroying" the sexist gender roles of her field.</p>	Gender, Nobody, Old, Patriarchy, Sexism, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	10/213	4.695
<p>Great, insightful video, much of which I agree with. But the enjoyment of power - the sadism - that activates sexism, racism and other kinds of prejudice is also present in the fashioning of moral codes with which - perhaps rightly - we condemn others. Two thinkers come straight to mind here: Nietzsche's discussion of the genealogy of morality; and Lacan's notion that the history of philosophy is the history of desire - that is, there is something in our ethics that is not part of our moral framework. Forget this and one will practice one's own sadism in blissful ignorance. What shivers of delight so many SJWs feel when they have another person to 'call out'... and this sadism is all the more sadistic - and invisible and powerful - because it is 'doing good' for its subject. This is why, although I didn't like his shirt either, it is absolutely the case that Taylor was crucified by social media.</p>	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/161	1.242
<p>Out of interest was there as much brouhaha about Kim Kardashian's "break the internet" photo (in terms of it demeaning women)? I read about it for about 5 seconds, thought "Good luck with that", followed but "That's dumb" and moved on. But I'd argue that her photo would demean women more than the shirt, because it demeans ALL women (by arguing the should be viewed sexually), rather than being off-putting to some women who might be thinking about getting into Science (I'll admit I didn't even notice the shirt, I was too busy listening to what he said).</p>	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/98	1.02

Really like this dudes videos but I honestly don't feel like I'm losing anything by defending a dudes garish choice of clothing. I personally a wouldn't wear it but I find the fact people are racking on systemic sexism and all their annoyances onto a dudes shirt (that was made and given to him by a female friend) absolutely absurd and sort of obscene when you consider that that story was bigger than the actual Rosetta landing. Maybe I'm wrong, but I just think nit picking these minor things are silly, aren't there far more egregious acts of sexism being committed daily that we could focus our energy on?	Sexism	Gender - General	2/109	1.835
Great sum up of sexism , racism , bigotry, etc. and why they are so widespread and difficult to root out.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/19	10.526
No I'm just annoyed at the idea that people have nothing better to think about than what someone wears.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/19	5.263
+Cynical Alien I find it espacially funny when considering that usually it is the feminists who say stuff like one should not judge people on what they wear... but I guess they mean one is just not allowed to judge women, judging men seems fine, and thus they reveal how their ideology is not leading to gender equality, but is itself sexist.	Alien, Gender	Gender - General, Nationality - General	2/62	3.226
+Drudenfusz Do you have any source for stating that it's usually feminists saying that a person's outfit shouldn't be judged? I'm asking because i personally think that the kind of mindset you're describing depends on the person themselves, more so than their gender . From my experience from using Tumblr for a few years now (which is a site considered to be used by many feminists), feminists tend to call out people opressing women just as much as they call out on people opressing men. I think it's just that the non-or anti-feminists are more interested on talking or rumouring about the radical feminists (who are actually not real feminists) because it makes them look better than them. And the radical feminists do the same thing to men, making them dislike feminists in general even more.	Gender	Gender - General	1/135	0.741

Mistalee I didn't said it has anything to do with gender , since people don't have to be female to follow a certain ideology. And that you are not happy with radical feminist, doesn't mean they are not feminists, sorry, but that is a strowman argument you make right there. Anyway, i don't believe in the oppression of women in the western world, and I even doubt it happens anywhere. Sure, life can suck, but it does so for most men too. And that is why even most moderate feminists, like you, are not helping to reach gender equality, since you believe in that oppression crap, and thus you are the one you is creating the separation between the genders.	Gender	Gender - General	2/119	1.681
Well, if you're sure that you don't believe in it, i doubt i can convince you anymore. But to me, believing in the "oppression crap" actually helps me closen the cap between genders, seeing as i personally hope that gender wouldn't matter as nearly much as it does nowadays.Also, i really don't think radical feminists are proper feminists because they fail to follow the basic definition of the word, "equality for all genders". And as you probably know, radical feminists only favor women and often insult men or think that they are the worse gender . This happens because they usually feel the need to revenge to men that have been sexist towards them.And one more thing to add. Saying "life sucks for men too" really makes me doubt if you read my original reply, as i'm sure i mentioned that feminism= gender equality. So that's why it's pretty much the same thing as saying "not all men".	Gender	Gender - General	2/158	1.266
Mistalee Actually you did not say that feminism equals gender equality in your first comment! And by the way, one can be all for gender equality without buying the feminist ideology. I don't see how believing in the oppression helps to closen a gap, since that leads only to the issues men have getting ignored in favour of supposed women's issues, of which plenty are completely imaginary, since they are based on forged statistics by feminists to create the paranoid view that we live in an oppression. How do you plan to end this paranoid oppression? I mean the common strategy of feminists, even the moderate ones, is to blame men or male attributes or masculinity or the conspiracy theory called patriarchy , I fail to see how any of this brings the genders closer together, but it is exactly the opposite that is happening.	Common, Gender, Patriarchy	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	4/144	2.778

I mostly feel annoyed by this because feminists, in the first world specifically, tend to complain over trivial nonsense. It also feels insulting to a certain extent. All the hard work for fighting for women's rights in the past has built up to complaining over a shirt. And while that was going on woman in Saudi Arabia are being stoned to death for doing absolutely nothing . Is feminism really needed here? No, not really, today the name is used by woman who feel they are being held-back, when in reality women today in our culture are put on a pedestal. They claim to fight for equality, yet they some women don't hold themselves to the same standard as men. You know how you're told to never hit a woman ? Has anyone realized how much of a double standard that is? You know how when men say something disrespectful to a woman ? They get slapped, to society that's okay. But what if the roles were reversed? Would it then be okay for the man to hit the woman ? Society say's "NOPE! It is never okay" and why exactly is never really explained. I think it's because women are seen as weak and tend to get more empathy because of it. With men it's completely different, you're a man right? Stand up for yourself, you've probably been told to never be a pushover right? Never take shit from anyone, and that's when the double standard really starts to show. Look if we're fighting for equality, why can't everyone be under the same standard? Do you think women are just as capable as men? Then why is society perpetuating this double standard bullshit?	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/280	2.143
+Leah D Equal opportunity does not lead to equal outcome. There is nothing standing in anyone's way of getting a degree in science-related subjects.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/24	4.167
Scott Stapp some people have stuff in their way of a STEM degree. Though I don't think there is a gender based bias. But what happens in the workplace? I actually work as an engineer. I've seen the sexism that makes women have to work harder to prove themselves and get less reward for it.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/55	3.636
Leah D Sue, whatever. Now address my comment, not a red herring off of a mundane typo.	Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native- American	2/17	11.765

Little Miss Dysthymia these dudes don't want to get it. Wearing this shirt to work is like having a business lunch at hooters or putting up a swimsuit-girl calendar in your office. It's as outdated as smoking in your office, and it's outdated for a good reason. I have never heard a good reason why anyone 'should' wear a tacky half naked body shirt to work.	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/66	1.515
Leah D Illegal activity is a crime you moron. Another rather important thing: " Do you have any idea how hard it is to prove discrimination based on an enumerated characteristic?" Then don't claim gender discrimination is a thing when you know it won't stand up in court. And doesn't the law have actual protection programs to ensure anonymity for the victim? I'm pretty sure it doesn't all become public information when someone gets raped.	Gender	Gender - General	1/75	1.333
Little Miss Dysthymia Yes, drawings. Not real things. How do you objectify a drawing? No real human being is being reduced as an object in that equation. The fuck, no it won't. If a woman decorates her wall in her office with Adam Levine pictures, nothing would change in the work place. Or do you think men will start feel insecure and not go into STEM fields? And I must remind you, we are not living in the past, but the present. What's history have to do justifying your open sexism towards men (non egalitarian dress codes), for witch you should either bring up justification or apologize? Equal treatment is equal treatment and has nothing to do with historical events.Leah D Nice false analogies. If a man wants to take his, and only his own lunch at hooters, it's none of your business. Smoking has demonstrable harm towards your coworkers. This isn't a "should", but a basic human right to wear what you want to wear. Or do you think women being forced to wear burkas is a-ok? You are the one slut shaming drawings of women after all (the one above you wants to treat them like real women, so slut shaming also applies).	Nothing, Sexism, Witch, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General	5/206	2.427
Leah D You're a stupid bitch.If men can't wear " tacky " shirts, then women should dress according to the male office dress code: full pant suit and never take the jacket off, even when it's a fucking heat wave.	Tacky	Social Class - Working class	1/39	2.564

Little Miss Dysthymia Cute, you think you can offend me. But no, you didn't objectify my mother, you were just being impolite. Nevermind you just pulled a strawman. Quote: " No real human being is being reduced as an object in that equation". Women that don't exist != my mother There's also a two way with this and both fail:1) Treat those drawings as real people, in witch case please, stop slut shaming those innocent women;2) My way, so stop complaining. And on the same note, how does that early 19th century painting of a woman in your profile pic not count as "women as objects"? Just saying, it's gonna make women not wanna go into Youtube...	Witch, Woman	Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General	2/119	1.681
Leah D Sea lioning... what a beautiful way to shut down reasonable inquiry tactics. So, if I'm being impersonal and attempt being strictly pragmatic, I'm sea lioning. But if I am being personal, then I'm butthurt. Pff, my fault for thinking I can win an argument against a woman , I guess. And yes, that wrote itself, try being less of a walking stereotype; then again, you do care about clothes over science so what am I expecting?	Woman	Gender - General	1/77	1.299
+Leah D you do know that that shirt was made by a woman and given to him as a gift right? Do specifics not matter when people are berating a man to tears over a stupid shirt?	Woman	Gender - General	1/37	2.703
Little Miss Dysthymia Sure there is such a thing internalize sexism . But freely choosing your preferred lifestyle and wardrobe preference ain't it. Look for women who rationalize wearing burkas for what this actually looks like.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/35	2.857
Little Miss Dysthymia Last one. Addressed to you. If you cannot see it, here: ' Sure there is such a thing internalize sexism . But freely choosing your preferred lifestyle and wardrobe preference ain't it. Look for women who rationalize wearing burkas for what this actually looks like.'	Sexism	Gender - General	1/47	2.128
Little Miss Dysthymia Trying to change the subject now, are we? Not gonna happen, you have yet to justify internalized sexism in a free society.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
Little Miss Dysthymia Internalized sexism in a free society. Where is your response to that? I said nothing in my recent posts about the right to complain, nor about the adequate wardrobe attire at the work place. I think I made a sarcastic remark weeks ago, though, are you saying then that I can't wear imprints of classic paintings on my shirt at work? Also, you have yet to answer the "why" in regards to that claim.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/77	2.597
Little Miss Dysthymia Who's ignoring who? You throw random anecdotes at me when I explained why it says nothing of culture at large.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/23	4.348

When you quote the stats, the disparity, how do you connect that to sexism ? If there were less women in science fields, why then should it be strange they're cited or published less often. It isn't that women are disallowed from entering these fields, they can if they want to. Are you seriously suggesting women who would otherwise be scientists aren't doing so because of shirts? You said what matters is data, did they mention this in the data?I don't agree. The issue is whether certain actions actually perpetuate sexism . Simply making the claim that something is sexist (or the result of sexism) doesn't make it so. Some women were offended by his shirt, some weren't. It's really as simple as that. It's really lazy (and betrays previously held prejudices) to think b/c he wears that shirt he must be sexist or his friends must be or ALL OF STEM MUST BE OMG OH NOEZ I'LL NVR B A SCIENTIST NOW! Just the same as women ask to not be judged by how they dress, and that if you're distracted by what they wear that's your problem get back to work, it's the same the other way around. And it isn't like he wore a swatika, or a shirt that said " woman are objects, let's disrespect them", or anything clearly and undeniably sexist. It just offends some peoples tastes, and it horrors them that others may have the same tastes as well. The only way to regulate it is to deem it sexist and a crime against civil society and females everywhere to wear that shirt (even if you are a nice guy not remotely sexist at all). So I guess I'd be in fear of losing the ability to dress myself the way I like, for fear it might offend you.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	4/304	1.316
+D Jones (DeuS eX DaRe) It sounded like what he was saying was that of a random sample of 100 published men and 100 published women in STEM, the women would be cited less often. Which I thought was an interesting statistic, worth further investigation. But I agree with you that his data didn't conclude with sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/57	1.754
Correlation is not causation. Your entire argument is:"Oh look, i'm offended by this t-shirt, (grab a bunch of random "pay gap" statistics) throw it all together and call the misogynistic white male patriarchy card"When I see an advert of an almost nude, ripped male model wearing underwear, I don't scream misandry and winge about how the ad objectifies men. If I was actually offended, I would just change the channel.It's a t-shirt with sexy female cartoon characters. You don't see men complaining about how ripped Superman is, with his bulging crotch and massive pecs do you?	Misandry, Patriarchy	Gender - General	2/99	2.02
His female friend made that shirt for him, she must be suffering from internalized misogyny , and according to you she feels pleasure from this dominance.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/25	4.0
B!ack. Replace women with feminist and your statement would be more accurate. I really hate how feminist speak for all women, no, women are not suffering from internalized misogyny in America, shut the fuck up	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/35	2.857

B!ack. What effects? Freedom of expression? Well, of course cultural authoritarians don't like that. But to back up on that, you just said women (in particular) should give up their freedom to act how they like so they are would not be oppressed. That is the biggest oxymoron one could muster. That saying nothing of citing Wikipedia as a source or the sexist nature of your very comment. Oh and I'm pretty sure Youtube just marked your comment as spam automatically. It happens. In the future, please try to keep your accusation to yourself until you have something of an actual reasoning for it.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/104	0.962
B!ack. " And where did I say or even imply that any woman should give up any freedom?" Right above. To paraphrase, "women should not act in this particular way, witch doesn't affect anyone else"; that's sexist and against freedom of expression. That's not even going into how said freedom somehow makes a culture less free; care to explain that? And no, you still lack reasoning. If A or B, you don't choose A for no reason other than personal.	Witch, Woman	Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General	2/80	2.5
B!ack. "What exactly are you paraphrasing?" Quote: " Internalize sexism (behavior) is an unfortunate reality, nothing more." For the behavior to be "unfortunate", it must be something women shouldn't do. Hence you are asking for women to restrict themselves, witch goes against freedom of expression." As for the rest of your comment, try being a little more coherent maybe?" It would help if you'd point out what is incoherent." I'm not sure you understand what a reasonable assumption is."A - ChillsandThrills removed/added to spam my commentB - Youtube automated system You choose A. On what reasoning?	Nothing, Sexism, Witch	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female age and physical appearance, Gender - General	3/100	3.0
B!ack. Why do you lie about previous posts on the very same comment thread?"Stop persecuting""women (in particular) should not""women should not""women shouldn't do" Now you: "if I was lobbying for a law" You slander my comments, apologize." How in the fucking hell does saying it's unfortunate imply that I want to take away a woman's freedom?" Quote: " for the behavior to be "unfortunate", it must be something women shouldn't do. Hence you are asking for women to restrict themselves, witch goes against freedom of expression." Until you address this, I have nothing to add." I would assume YouTube removed my comment if I had written something spammy or offensive, but I hadn't." Wrong again. I got comments put as spam in my comment threads for no such reasons. You didn't research Youtube automatic system properly, that's pure ignorance." And what's incoherent is that entire part." What part? Where do the sentences break apart? Again, with no support for your accusations, you are slandering me. Apologize.	Nothing, Witch	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female age and physical appearance	2/173	1.156

+ChillsandThrills YES women are suffering from internalized misogyny . I cannot even count the amount of times girls have slutshamed and victim blamed other girls. I cannot even count the amount of times I've seen girls mocking other girls simply for being fans of One Direction or whatever. Girl on girl hate is a very real thing. If anyone should shut the fuck up, it's you.	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/65	1.538
+Aveline De Grandpré That's an individual problem, not a societal one, I guess is what my counterargument would boil down to. Much like if a woman gets dissuaded from joining a scientific career field just by seeing a shirt. The shirt isn't the problem here, the problem is the person in question lacking the willpower to follow through with her dreams. If she actually is genuinely passionate about the prospects of a scientific career and legitimately loves a subject wholeheartedly, a single shirt shouldn't be the breaking point. If an individual decides a career path is not for him/her based on an attire choice (that in this case was even a gift from a friend), then the person in question didn't have much love for the field to begin with.	Woman	Gender - General	1/130	0.769
Robert Andersen Some women lack the courage because the industry is male-dominated and perhaps she feels unwelcome or alienated. I'm sure the argument you're making "just toughen up and go through with your passions" comes from a good place, but I sincerely believe it's a bit more challenging than that. It's like telling someone with anxiety to just "get over it" and follow through with a performance. Lacking the "willpower" might be why some women shy away from science or stem jobs, but other women aren't particularly comfortable about the idea of being the only woman in a workplace packed with men. Female friendship is extremely imperative for a lot of girls.	Woman	Gender - General	1/112	0.893
Aveline De Grandpré My University is women dominated. How is that suppose to discourage me? And isn't the gender bias you're describing at the end a personal problem and an appeal for privilege?	Gender	Gender - General	1/33	3.03

<p>Aveline De Grandpré I see where you're coming from, but a field being dominated by men should incentivize, not frighten women when it comes to joining. A person fearing alienation or being unwelcome in a given field is often unnecessarily worried. Male friendship is imperative to us males as well, but the professional environment shouldn't have to be subject to our personal social preferences. In fields of science, people pick their professions based on what they like - not which gender is most prevalent. A high-schooler might reconsider their place of education in case of gender overrepresentation, but we're talking about people with years of experience and dedication, judging one another not based on genitalia, but merit. Anxiety - especially social anxiety - and not being "particularly comfortable" with a job based on gender discrepancies are two completely different things. A person with legitimate anxiety is crippled in situations and needs to reset his/her life completely to change. Women feeling uneasy about jobs because of an overrepresentation of men is a problem that can be fixed. Not by drastically changing the system, but by assuring these worried women you talk about that their fear is misplaced. And hey I don't want to sound harsh; if I was in that position I'd probably be a bit uneasy, sure. It's a scary thing when you're alone in a crowd. But if I opted out because of that, I wouldn't blame anyone and I wouldn't claim to have had undying passion for the field. True passion doesn't negate incoming challenges, true passion makes people great in spite of those challenges. That's what is driving scientific research forward, that's what's giving us these brilliant minds, and I'd hate for such a great endeavour of humankind to be downplayed because of a fucking shirt.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	3/299	1.003
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Robert Andersen Some women certainly feel motivated to enter male-dominated fields in order to contribute female perspectives - which is all fine and great. But the problem lies in the fact that so many other women shy away from it. Especially considering that these are high-paying jobs they're missing out on. I think the strong western patriarchal society we once had is still echoing today. In institutions, women (especially women of color), are underrepresented. No particular gender is at fault here, but I think the best solution is to urge more women into these male dominated industries and thereby encourage other women to follow along.Friendly relations with people from your own gender is generally important to everyone, but I think, broadly speaking, men do not feel threatened by entering a female dominated field (as +Turtoi Radu) pointed out. The reason women most often feel unsettled or anxious, is because they fear sexism, microaggressions, harassment and alienation.Also, yes I agree that a person with anxiety disorder should seek professional help, but there are many different contexts in which the term "anxiety" can be understood. For example, "I'm anxious to have the criminal caught" or, "I'm anxious about performing this presentation". "True passion doesn't negate incoming challenges, true passion makes people great in spite of those challenges. That's what is driving scientific research forward, that's what's giving us these brilliant minds, and I'd hate for such a great endeavour of humankind to be downplayed because of a fucking shirt."I completely agree.</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	3/252	1.19
<p>Huxley14 Sex is great and sluts are great. Yes, fangirls are mocked and trivialised yet men proudly construct their whole identity and manliness around the notion of certain sports and it's not looked down upon - rather if a man isn't interested in these things he's "effeminate" or gay.If you want to conduct this debate in a polite and mature manner then let me know otherwise I'm not gonna waste more time on you.</p>	Effeminate, Gay, Sex	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	4/75	5.333

+ChillsandThrills Let us examine your argument: Trash collecting is the riskiest and better paid job on the "absolutely no qualification" spectrum. On that spectrum, women usually do cleaning, as backbreaking as trash collecting, but the pay is worse and it is less regulated. Nurses do a job that is almost as qualified as being a doctor, but is also back breaking (washing and moving patients) and get less pay for it. So, from my point of view, when there is a gender bias against men in a job it is usually because it requires more education and pays less or is plain worse. There are many jobs that I would never want. Nothing in the health industry, for instance. But the first time I made the exact same amount of my male peers was when I started working for the government. While I worked as a programmer they always found an excuse to pay me slightly less. Even on the government I have spent many years proving that I am not a secretary. None of my male colleagues where ever asked to place a call or fetch coffee. Trust me, I was watching.	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/193	1.036
Jessica Wollstonecraft that was the worst attempt at sophistry I have ever seen. Women don't want to be like other women you imbecile, they want to do what they feel comfortable with and enjoy. How about trans men, they obviously don't to want be like other women, or can't anyway. Most women think alike and most men think alike, so in a free society, when choosing what one wants to do for a living, it's largely based on personal choice. You know just like the first two women to complete navy ranger school, or the ever growing women in STEM, they chose to do these things because they wanted to, just as much as the women who chose to enter early childhood education, or the women who decided to pursue those "useful" gender studies degrees.Edit, January 12, 2016: the reason why men, on average, choose certain jobs that differ from the jobs women choose, and vice versa, is because men and women have different brains."Females and males maintain unique brain characteristics throughout life. Male brains, for instance, are about 10 larger than female brains. But bigger doesn't necessarily mean smarter.Disparities in how certain brain substances are distributed may be more revealing. Notably, male brains contain about 6.5 times more gray matter – sometimes called 'thinking matter" – than women. Female brains have more than 9.5 times as much white matter, the stuff that connects various parts of the brain, than male brains. That's not all. "The frontal area of the cortex and the temporal area of the cortex are more precisely organized in women, and are bigger in volume," Geary tells WebMD. This difference in form may explain a lasting functional advantage that females seem to have over males: dominant language skills." [LINK]	Gender	Gender - General	1/296	0.338

<p>antiantipoda did you just conflate nurses and doctors, that doesn't make any sense. Notice how you said "nurses do a job almost as qualified as being a doctor" the key word there is almost, and even at that you're completely wrong:"Apart from that, doctors are limited to their specialized field whereas nurses are able to work across several wards or units depending on their job descriptions. Doctors need to be specialized in order to fully assess the roots of an illness or a problem. For nurses, their specialty is more task-oriented and patient-oriented. Once a doctor is convinced that a patient's condition is out of his specialty range, he will refer the patient to a colleague who has a better understanding of that condition. The nurses, however, are to inform the replacement doctor of the patient's condition and subsequently follow up with the patient's progress until he/she leaves the hospital.In addition, nurses enjoy a closer relationship with their patients as compared to doctors since they have more direct contact with them. Doctors also have a constant need to detach themselves emotionally from a patient so as to be able to continue with their work. As a result, patients will often times identify better with nurses than with doctors, usually showing their preference by the form of the co-operation they offer.On top of that, doctors need to read a lot and thus take a longer time to acquire new and accurate knowledge whereas nurses rely more on their experience to provide the best treatment possible. This is not to say that nurses do not study and advance in terms of education levels. Nurses in fact are able to study for bachelor's degrees, master's degrees and doctorate degrees in nursing.Therefore, the more education a nurse receives, the better the chances of gaining better income levels. This sometimes brings an overlap of authority that becomes a source of disputes between doctors and nurses. Doctors generally have authority over their patient's conditions and treatment and will then require the nurses to implement certain procedures. However, when priorities collide, doctors may need to succumb to the authority figures of Head Nurses or Head Units since the latter group has managerial control over a specific ward, floor or unit. The same authority overlap problem also occurs when new practicing doctors first join a medical facility. Experienced nurses tend to question analysis given by the young doctors since nurses' knowledge is based on actual past experiences, not from theories. Nevertheless, in general, the two share a mutual respect for each other's responsibilities."</p>	doctors	Nationality - General	12/429	2.797
<p>+antiantipoda Nurses are not nearly as qualified as doctors. Are you kidding? What an embarrassing thing to say.</p>	doctors	Nationality - General	1/18	5.556

Best said be, women get hired as affirmative action sometimes, yes, it happens. They also often earn their place. Basically anyone who isn't a fully able white male gets affirmative action hire at some place. The military alone has requirements for different races and genders. Its not equal like you may think. The bottom line to this topic is censorship. This guy should be able to wear whatever he feels like just as a woman should get the same right. The fact that people care this much about a few cartoons on a fucking shirt probably says more about those people themselves then the person wearing the shirt. Maybe point your thought towards the young girls in abundance joining the underground porn industry, selling their bodies, getting abused, or the ones that have gone through a legitimate forced violent rape. NOT a t shirt with some drawings on it.I get your attempt at just making people think about the two sides of it, but really...It's a shirt. It's not like he came out with a woman with a leash and collar on. Jesus.	Woman	Gender - General	2/185	1.081
If he wore a shirt with stereotypical pictures of people of another race , he probably would have been asked by the ESA to change his shirt. Why is it that it's acceptable to be sexist but not a racist ?	Race, Racist	Ethnicity - General	2/39	5.128
Hi NerdWriter :)I couldnt find in the comm below the subject I wanted to talk about so excuse me if you already read something about this :you said that we love the sense of being in control, to dominate. Racism, sexism , all forms of oppression are somehow a way to feel superior. You said so, and it seems you are right.So what about specism, which is the oppression of animals. "we are superior to them so we can exploite them, rape them, torture them, kill them for the sole purpose of our pleasure". And saying that its wrong is the fear of losing that control, the pleasure of meat, dairy and eggs in our mouth.My question is : did you ever think about this ? what do you say about this ?You can answer by saying : stfu im already vegan :)	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/147	1.361
This is what i always says to my friends and relatives....and they calls me a fool...and i feels it " nothing ".why it convinced some people is bcoz,u showned it with some photograpgic proofs or in the way of the telling a story.....nice job...keep updating....i like human psychology. Oh,no,im a psychologist too....	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/51	1.961

I'm not a fan of this video...I don't see myself as sexist, and I think the shirt choice was not well thought out... but your argument seems incoherent to me...First of all: the bit when you say "look at the facts" and then talk about STEM figures... OK, yeah... but what does that have to do with the shirt? Would the shirt be less sexist if STEM fields weren't male-dominated?Then: talking about the pleasure of asserting ones' dominance... do you really think this guy was getting that pleasure? I really doubt it. I don't think this guy is a person who derives pleasure from seeing women persecuted - he just likes his weird shirt. Do you think it's a subconscious thing...? Or do you think he's looking at his shirt thinking "ha! Stupid half-naked women!".	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/138	0.725
+R. Ringshifter Feminists would complain about the shirt even if the guy was a male nurse, as they are gender studies majors and don't give a fuck about science.	Gender	Gender - General	1/29	3.448
+R. Ringshifter You are totally right! The problem with feminazis is that they think that they should rule the world. They don't mind that bus and truck drivers are male-dominated jobs, they don't care that miners and automobile mechanics are a male-dominated jobs. The don't care, because they are low-paid jobs. But if it was a high paid job, then suddenly it has to be female dominated job, cos feminazi logic. The only reason people started bitching about his shirt was because he is a white male scientist. who worked on getting A SPACEPROBE to LAND on a COMET. That is of course a too big achievement not made by feminazis, so they thought he had to be brought down.	Feminazi	Gender - Female intelligence	1/120	0.833

+R. Ringshifter Hey guys, what’s going on here? You sound confused about the facts... Well, I’m pretty well-educated in (intersectional) feminism, so I’m here to help you out if you’d like. Ask me anything you want to know, I might be able to help you out.Let’s begin with the clear question: Why does it matter that STEM fields are male-dominated?First sub-question: Would the shirt be less sexist if STEM fields weren’t male-dominated? Here’s the thing: If it wasn’t a male-dominated field, the shirt-incident never would have happened. Why not? Well, in a field where women are fairly represented and comfortable enough to voice the issues they have at work, he would’ve gotten called out way before the interview even happened. It doesn’t really matter if it would be one of the women to call him out, or one of the regulations that are in place to make women feel accepted; it just doesn’t happen in those kinds of places. Proof is empirical, though I accept counter-evidence.This actually goes on to answer the question: the shirt is a symptom of the field being male-dominated, so it makes sense to take the male-dominated factor into account.Also important: the STEM fields being male-dominated is actually something we as a society are trying to fix, mostly by finding ways to encourage girls to enter those studies. But what if all that effort was for naught, because the women that got a degree then don’t get jobs? That’s where the 60/25-disparity comes in: apparently there are factors that make qualified women unable (or unwilling) to get a job.This is because the STEM fields are a ‘boys club’: a field where the atmosphere is based on what makes men comfortable, gives men easier access to promotion and assumes men’s capability, with the opposite being true for women. The shirt is a symptom of the boys’ club: none of his male colleagues found personal offense in his shirt’s depiction of women as sex objects, so he never got complaints about it. The sexual way he talked about the Rosetta-mission is also a great indicator that this isn’t such a female-friendly field.In the end, the attacking of the shirt represents us standing up to this atmosphere as a whole.	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	2/377	0.531
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	------------------	-------	-------

+Anouk Fleur Reasonable point. I think you are probably right about the shirt and that was a good addressing of the first point. However, I don't think Nerdwriter really makes that clear in his video (so I still think this is one of his worst). And I agree all about STEM being a boys'-club and that - I realise that. I just didn't connect that to it's relationship with the shirt and this discussion really. I still think it's a bit incorrectly stated, because really, if STEM was a gender-neutral field, and the shirt still somehow got in an interview (which I agree would probably be a lot less likely but I think still possible) it would probably be just as controversial and garner a pretty much identical reaction. Also, I still stand by my second point that this video tries too hard (or seems to) to demonise this guy. I pretty much feel this was a faux-pas and not really much more. Sure, the shirt probably grew out of an art style which grew out of a sort of sexism , but I'm not sure I'd characterise it as necessarily sexist. I certainly don't think the guy was deriving any kind of sick social pleasure out of the wearing of the shirt - at worst, he was just being quite ignorant.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/221	0.452
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Anouk Fleur A feminist in the wild without censorship to protect it, if only this wasn't so rare ..."if it would be one of the women to call him out, or one of the regulations that are in place to make women feel accepted;"Do you study stem? I do and I could wear that shirt tomorrow, nobody would give two shits. In reality people just don't care what I would wear. Was the shirt well thought out? no, I don't think so. Was it particularly harmful? no, certainly not. If it weren't for that outcry, the only takeaway would've been the comet landing, motivating any aspiring astronomer. In fact, I'd wager that this did more harm than the shirt itself.And seriously, regulations to make women feel accepted? This repeating theme of women being precious butterflies that will break at the mere mention of anything seems extremely prevalent in feminism (and is quite sexist at that). We are talking about the real world, where women have a spine and can say what they want without needing regulations."That's where the 60/25-disparity comes in: apparently there are factors that make qualified women unable (or unwilling) to get a job."Yes, there are factors at play, mostly the lack of women actually studying stem fields. However, feminism apparently doesn't care that much about investigating what factors could be at play and prefer protesting.Even at our campus, those idiots appeared, demanding a bigger female representation. Do you want to know what the result is? Females are massively preferred during hiring. Isn't this amazing? feminism actually succeeded in creating even more sexism. How am I not supposed to hate this?Granted, protesting the shirt is a step in the right direction in that it at least appears to focus one of the factors. But did it really have to go as far as harassing that guy until he cries? A letter/opinion piece would've gotten the message across quite clearly but instead those social justice warriors wasted someone's time who does actual research. His time is far more valuable than yours."club': a field where the atmosphere is based on what makes men comfortable, gives men easier access to promotion and assumes men's capability, with the opposite being true for women."Bullshit. How dare you even imply that those are the only factors at play? Women are actively encouraged and preferred. There are more grants available, they have higher hiring chances and the entire school system is better geared towards girls; After graduation, the focus is on research.Let's talk about plausible factors; For one, there's a biological difference right from the get-go. Furthermore, there are more intelligent males than there are females. These are qualities that are emphasized in stem fields. Then there may be societal pressure, an issue that won't be solved by campaigning a shirt."The sexual way he talked about the Rosetta-mission is also a great indicator that this isn't such a female-friendly field."This bit made me unsure if I should even bother writing a reply as this seemed just too trolly. It's really hard to tell with you feminists."In the end, the attacking of the shirt represents us standing up to this atmosphere as a whole."Funny, the message didn't really get across to dr matt taylor.</p>	Nobody, Sexism, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/552	0.543
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

Anouk Fleur I have a question. Why do you feminists call out gender imbalances in good jobs but ignore the fact 80 of suicides are committed by males, 92 of workplace deaths are males, and males make up the majority of the incarcerated where they get raped more than women do as a whole? Why is it that the majority of domestic violence shelters are women shelters when men and women suffer domestic abuse at equal rates? Who do you see begging for change in raggedy clothes outside in the cold, most likely a man. Are these men invincible to you? Are the only men you feminists see the successful ones?	Gender	Gender - General	1/111	0.901
+Anouk Fleur I thought this link might add some much needed perspective to this discussion: [LINK] It seems that there isn't much of a disparity between the gender ratio of degrees in STEM vs the gender ratio in STEM jobs. In fact, women have already achieved parity in most STEM fields with the exception of Engineering and Computer Science.	Gender	Gender - General	2/59	3.39
dear well educated feminist, you state that only 25 of jobs in stem are for women and thats because of sexism . (and not choice)well women make up 60 of college grads. is that because of sexism ?	Sexism	Gender - General	2/37	5.405
I bet anti-feminists would be shrieking about sexism if there were half naked dudes in leather and in suggestive poses.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/20	5.0

I am a machanical engineer. Never in my career, both professional or academic have I seen women be negatively affected because of their gender . I have seen women use their gender to get a significant upper hand (especially professionally) though, but that's neither here nor there.The backlash against these gender demagogues, or Social Justice Warriors as they are now called is completely justified, not because of their ideals, but because of their actions.Here is a short list on why I, and others like me oppose these people.1) All I see is how they are worried about how women do not choose to go to STEM, but women also choose not to work collecting garbage even to a greater degree that they chose to avoid STEM. Why is this not an issue when gender is equally irrelevant to all these professions?2) Professions that involve human interaction, especially childcare are the jobs where gender quotas kind of make some sense, yet the gender demagogues only chose to lobby for the jobs where men are over represented, what about all the others that women overwhelmingly occupy?Would those jobs not benifit from a greater male representation?3) The gender ideologues want things to be given, not earned.I myself studied engineering, even though it was seen as a nerdy (in the negative conotation of the word, at the time it wasn't cool to be a nerd like it seems to be now) profession, I had seen few positive portrayals of engineers as a kid, and in my case there was little peer pressure put on me to choose the profession. Why should I be sympathetic to people that want conditions that I myself was not given?4) What you get paid in this field is either directly stated in the company's pay tables where academic degrees, relevant specialization, and senoirity dictate what you earn, or directly dependent on how profitable your work is for the company. If an actual wage discrepancy exists between the genders (which I don't believe exists once you account for all the relevant factors), I would then find it difficult to justify it without saying that women simply are less profitable, or less adept engineers . I have never seen a SJW sucessfully argue against this.Ultimately, I am sorry that my profession is being pulled in to this political hogwash. I did not study specialized physics in order to answer to these people and play as their political pawn. These people, by and large, have never produced anything of worth in their life. They have the same authority to dictate to companies how they should be managed as a priest has to dictate other people's sexlife.I find this diversion of attention and refusal to acknowlege the actual agenda of the social justice crowd evindent in videos like this one to be dishonest at best and malicious at worst. Many professionals are incredulous when a tell them about this SJW trend, older women especially. And I can say with certainty that where gender quotas are forced, in the industrial sector, women will have a very hard time asserting themselves while working with people who suspect they got in because of their gender !	Engineers, Gender	Gender - General, Nationality - General	11/537	2.048
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------------------------	--------	-------

I just think it's really unfortunate a bunch of people that used to be thought of as geeky, nerdy people, who often times are quiet, tend to be introverted, maybe a little shy, are now called these sexist, domineering patriarchs oppressing women on a massive scale, forcing women out of STEM fields. Like do these people remember school? These people that make up STEM were not the jocks, they were more likely to be the awkward kid in class, who would get picked on, bullied, and what not. They don't deserve to be bullied and harassed by a bunch of loud mouthed dumb motherfuckers.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/104	0.962
Nerdwriter1 Look I get there is sexism and it is a problem, and I do think the guy's shirt was inappropriate - even if it was pro-women (and maybe it is?) - for the venue, but I really don't think him wearing it is a symptom of sexism . He wasn't being a 'winner' - "Hey I got one over you ladies, you'll never have this kind of job and career, hahaha, men are better, I'm going to wear a depiction of you in scantily clad clothes to assert my dominance." I really really doubt that was the subconscious thinking that led him to wear that shirt. In fact that's what we should ask: why did he wear that shirt? Maybe he thought it empowered women, maybe he thought a guy wearing a cool shirt would get the attention of kids, both boys and girls - and it had nothing to do with subconsciously enjoying institutionalized male status. The point is you automatically labelled the guy's behavior as sexist without even asking the guy why he wore it. More than anything, we all should know a picture or video NEVER gives the full context about the intentions of a person. So I think before you label his behavior as sexist - you should ask him. Otherwise, you're just using a picture out of context to justify your framework - not find the real truth.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/233	1.288
August Eightysix He worse the shirt because a friend of his, a woman , designed it for him and he wanted to show off his friend's cool design to the world.	Woman	Gender - General	1/30	3.333
Black. Where is the difference in opportunity for women? How does that manifest itself? As far as I'm aware, women are encouraged to go to college, are actually the majority in college and have equal rights to men when it comes to employment. No woman is prohibited by law to take on a stem job. So, I ask you, what exactly is it that keeps women from simply choosing stem jobs, like they are entitled? It's either that shirt, or it's the fact that they just don't want to.	Woman	Gender - General	1/89	1.124

+Black. Women are encouraged to do maths and engineering and "male-dominated science" way more than men are and there is still a huge gender gap. Companies and institutions fall over themselves to hire female scientists. Nobody is encouraging men to do female-dominated science fields, but they aren't crying because there is equal opportunity if they wish to go into those fields. The fact is that there is equal opportunity and saying "that's not the case" doesn't make it so.	Gender, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/79	2.532
Black. Again, that is the opinion of a single man. This doesn't prove anything."Lack of opportunity exists because seismic exists, internalised and otherwise. Simple as that. But since none of you are willing to look past your conformation biases, there's no point to me participating in this thread any further. Toodles."This is a meaningless and arrogant statement. You've said nothing and yet you act like you just made a good point. Meanwhile, you insult us for questioning your beliefs. That's sad. I hope you grow up one day.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/90	1.111
Black. I thought it was going great, we've already established that by definition, they have at least the same opportunities. Ideally, you'd tell me exactly at what age which problems come into play, I'm genuinely curious. There's a really funny correlation between countries with objectively more equality (denmark for instance), and it's negative. Women in those countries appear to go into less often into stem. This suggests sexism really hasn't all that much to do with it. Feminism often complains about a result of something but rarely checks the cause first. When we know what is wrong, we can fix it. If we only conclude that the outcome is undesirable without further facts, you can protest all you want without changing a dime.	Age, Sexism	Age - General, Gender - General	2/123	1.626
MrDpsc Yeah, cited 16 times by other sociologists. This is what they do. They post unscientific "studies" full of claims and lacking evidence. Then other sociologists "cite" these studies to give them an inkling of credibility within their own field. Outside of sociology, nobody takes this stuff seriously. [edit] If you just read the first few pages of that "study", you'll notice they like to make bold claims or cite bold claims. Then the inevitable survey of 20 people is supposed to be the "evidence". It's quite pathetic, really. Sociology needs to root out all the ideologues and stick to science.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/101	0.99

+HOLyPumpgun Gaming You are so right with these two statements: "No woman is prohibited by law to take on a stem job. . . . they just don't want to." But I don't think you understand why they often "just don't want to." It's because women are so discouraged SOCIALLY (not legally, as you said yourself) from a young age to do STEM-related work. We are supposed to be communicators, healers, nurturers, and parents, rather than heroes, risk-takers, or geniuses; those things are better suited for men, according to society. This is the main thing that keeps women from simply choosing stem jobs. I hope that answers your question, and no, I don't think you're a crazy misogynist pig for not understanding. I respect what you've said; your comments are intelligent and well thought-out, and the only thing that I see as a flaw in your reasoning is a lack of understanding of the socially-enforced rules on women.	Age, Crazy, Misogynist, Woman	Age - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/159	2.516
People are discouraged from doing a lot of things, but they do them anyway. Standing up in the face of discouragement sounds a hell of lot easier than standing up to institutionalized discrimination like MLK did. No one is going to turn fire hoses on your or send the dogs. Young women need role models. Not a bunch of catty gender studies majors complaining about a t-shirt.	Gender	Gender - General	1/67	1.493

+MrDpsc I do agree that, in a black-and-white sense, there is gender parity in regard to opportunity in STEM fields. And I also wholeheartedly believe that women in America and other developed nations like you mentioned are very privileged! However, while misogyny has vastly been eliminated from any legislation in the U.S., it still exists in everyday life, which has the most impact on girls, I think. That is my answer to your question, "where does it come from?"It is true that society both encourages and discourages girls from going into STEM fields at the same time. For example, growing up, I saw all these politically correct campaigns about how girls are just as smart and capable as boys, but then I would return to my everyday life and be reminded that I was to grow up and be a mother, a nurturer, etc. Look in the toy aisle at Wal-Mart if you do not believe that I was influenced to think this way. It is filled with soft, domestic things for girls, and tough, manly things for boys. And that's tragic for BOTH genders! Boys should not have to put up a masculine, unemotional front every day of their lives, just as girls shouldn't have to follow traditionally feminine roles.I'm just an 18-year-old girl; I'm not a follower of feminist blogs, and I am in no way a radical feminist. Likewise, I have no groundbreaking theory on how to fix sexism , either, but I do know that pointing out sexism when I see it is the right thing to do-whether it is sexism against women OR men! I do NOT hate men. Men are good, decent, wonderful people and have done amazing things for me in my life. It's mostly men who fought and died for this country, and for that I have the utmost respect.I also respect your response to my comment, because it was polite and thoughtful, and I hope you will respond to this as well.	Gender, Misogyny, Sexism	Gender - General	5/330	1.515
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Violet Ornelle I really appreciate the well thought-out reply. You come across very balanced and reasonable.You're right in pointing out sexism when you see it but often this can be a bit misleading. Let's take your example for the toy-collection in a local supermarket. The company that sells those toys isn't trying to be sexist, they try to make as much profit as possible. If they could sell the same toys that they make for boys to girls, they wouldn't hesitate for a second. The truth is, they can't and any attempt to do so results in a loss of profit.This phenomenon has been studied, take for example this study [LINK] . Granted, the sample size is pretty small (it is psychology after all...) but the same has been repeated for different primates with analogous results. This suggests that there may very well be differences between male and female brains on average.This is the problem with trying to point out sexism and hoping it will change things. When I point out that there are barely any black people in my country's government and that this is a sign of racism, I may be trying to do good but the truth is that there simply aren't that many black people in this country. When trying to do good by pointing out inequalities, it's important to find out where they come from instead of simply protesting them.This all isn't to say that there aren't any societal influences at play, there may very well be. It's important to never discriminate based on statistical averages, it's what a movement striving for equality should move towards (also, why feminism and not egalitarianism?). However, I think we're past this point.You say you're 18, if you're going to university or college next year, I hope you consider stem. I think I speak for most of my colleagues in saying that a more diverse group would liven up the place.</p>	Black, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/327	1.529
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

+MrDpsc I do agree that our brains are different—that has been scientifically proven over and over again, and there are definitely sound evolutionary explanations for it. However, I don't agree with the other examples you used. The toy companies can't sell "boyish" toys to girls because of reasons beyond simple biological differences. From birth, we treat girls differently. We handle them as if they are fragile and delicate, whereas we roughhouse boys. (I'm sure you've encountered this concept before. This is a well-known study: [LINK] We also play different games with boys than girls; we are more inclined to teach girls to play domestic games like house, and boys baseball, soccer, etc. Our brains are different, but the social influences in our lives augment these differences. The other example you use is pointing out that black people are a minority in our government. I would agree with what you said if you didn't grossly overlook that even though they are a minority, they are still disproportionately underrepresented in our country! Lastly, if you don't think that pointing out inequality and discussing it makes a difference, you can't understand how we've come as far as we have in the U.S. in regard to inequality. I'm sorry I that sounds rude, but I can't say it effectively in a nice-sounding way. The suffrage and Civil Rights movements have a foundation in peaceful protest, discussion, and pointing out inequality when one sees it.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/240	0.417
+MrDpsc Also, when it comes to distinguishing myself as either a feminist or an egalitarian, I say that I'm both, but I prefer the word egalitarian because it encompasses not only women's issues, but those of discrimination based on race , religion, etc. as well. But, as you said, this is a small point in a bigger issue.On a side note, I actually am in college right now, and (I know this doesn't make me look great) I'm an English/Literature major.	Race	Ethnicity - General	1/80	1.25

<p>Violet Ornelle I don't live in the US so a black minority in my gouvernement still makes sense. Furthermore, underrepresentation in the U.S. would still make sense as in general, black people have less money and politics is primarily a rich men's game. Protesting inequality in gouvernement would therefore be the wrong move (stupid at best, harmful at worst), you'd have to find out why there is a difference in earnings.And while there are reasons beyond biological differences, the source you cite even admits that it's difficult to distinguish between nurture and nature. If the differences are mostly nurture then surely the problem will be solved by having less sexist parents, not by forcing target to remove their gender-specific toys?If the main source of this societal pressure are the parents themselves then not only will this problem be solved by our generation, feminists should be informing parents. But that is not what they're doing, is it? They're lobbying for extra grants for female engineers, they're trying to close the paygap by artificially giving female-employees more money (sexism at it's finest). It's gotten so bad that in my field, a female with the same qualifications as me will have a 2 to 1 advantage when applying for a job.I don't think you sounded rude but you did misrepresent my point. I don't think pointing out inequality is harmful in itself. It's just that you need to know the cause before you can properly protest. To demonstrate this point, here's a bit of history.The country I live in is split into two parts (actually three but the third one is negligably small). The northern part speaks flemish, the southern part speaks french. Because of historical reasons, the northern part has more industry and is on average wealthier. More gouvernement grants should go to that part because there is a more pressing need for infrastructure. However, in the past people pointed out this inequality between the two halves. With their mindless lobbying they succeeded until relatively recently in creating the "wafelijzerpolitiek". When a big project needed money in the northern part, they'd seek out an equally expensive project in the south and fund them at the same time. This resulted in ineffective spending and a loss of a lot of taxpayer money.Mayoring in english literature? Not all hope is lost, you're still young.</p> <p>You can see the light, change mayors and study physics : p</p>	Black, Engineers, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Nationality - General	4/406	0.985
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

+HOLyPumpgun Gaming Sigh.... if only. Because you're not allowed to choose! A woman wanting to go into OBGYN just means they've been brainwashed and forced to go into that field, so we should brainwash and force them to go into one of our Tumblr-approved fields of medicine.My mom got a degree in civil engineering at one of the best universities around for that field. And eventually she chose to stop and raise a family with a big mess'o kids. And when she was told her life was "hurting women", I wanted to punch that bitch in her fucking teeth. My mom had the same opportunity to pursue a field in engineering as any men would, and she had the opportunity to choose to have a family. This horse shit that there are "invisible cultural blah blah blah" that is magically convincing people out of fields they want to be in is insulting for so many reasons. It's disingenuous nitpickery and fabricating some great social strife for a people who have none. I mean, they have to do something with those women's studies degrees. The loudest ones never actually get that STEM degree themselves. They keep screaming about STEM, but they won't actually do it themselves. But you can't talk about the reality of differences in people, it's gotta be an invisible force known as "the patriarchy " influencing everyone. Men can't possibly be more willing to build infrastructure or go into technical fields than women. They must be shoveling women into psychology and sociology courses and then locking the doors when class starts.I took both calculus courses and psychology courses, one was 90 female, one was 90 male. To pretend the only reason for this is social is just scientifically dishonest.	Patriarchy, Woman	Gender - General	2/293	0.683
+HOLyPumpgun Gaming you misunderstood feminazis and manginas, they dont want 50/50 split in every field, they want at least 50/50 split in good paying jobs, i havent heard any woman fighting for more woman in mining, roofing and various shitty jobs	Woman	Gender - General	2/42	4.762
+HOLyPumpgun Gaming May I just say that I have been catcalled several times and nearly raped before I even turned 15? Men don't get uncomfortably flirted by a woman on the street. It just doesn't happen. Whether you like it or not, misogyny is extremely common . The moment you leave the womb, you're taught to be careful around men. You're taught to look pretty and get a sugar daddy. I honestly want to be a serologist, but I'd get paid half of what my fiance could. So I decided programming /might pay better. I want to support myself, but I can't, regardless of my degree. So, I'm sorry, but gender gaps and sexism do exist.	Common, Gender, Misogyny, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	5/116	4.31

As I perceive it, the current goal in our social evolution is to create a perfect egalitarian society. Where every person no matter, sex , gender , race or birth has the same changes and opportunity's. Something which we have made many strives towards in the past decades but still haven't achieved. But then my question, would this be achieved by having both man and women being equally represented in all fields? Not only in beta professions. But also in for example art fields where the women make up a majority, for example. My point being is, should we aim in achieving certain gender male/female quotas in each professional fields? Because I don't think that is an obstacle in achieving an egalitarian society. More the equal pay, under representative in the political field and the infringement on woman rights being the real issue.	Gender, Race, Sex, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/140	3.571
From "one man's stupid fashion choices" to your "beating heart of the matter" was a bit of a Deus Ex Machina in your need to fill a non-issue with some sort of ultra philosophical deepness. No, "facts" and "statistics" are not the same thing. And no, you should not replace arguments with statistics, as blind as they are on their own. From your perspective, no matter what shirt this man would wear it would be sexist for the simple fact that he is a man. It seems to me that the real issue here is not one of sexism , but one of fundamentalism and hypocrisy. For instance, I find the shirts that you wear in your videos of very poor fashion choice, but I wouldn't judge the contents for that.	Blind, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/130	1.538
Thanks for addressing sexism . It is a hard topic to discuss because it is so entrenched in society's behaviours. Everyone wins when we are all equal.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/26	3.846

<p>So fucking touchy! If a shirt discourages you from STEM, then you never had a passion for it anyway. There was a great study on the effects of culture on job choice where several researchers tested kids from age 10, 6, 3 and 6 months old about what kind of things they would like to be in hundreds of different ways and found overwhelmingly the same results. Furthermore they also looked at the trends between education rates and gender equality and the types of jobs that those genders tend to go towards. As the equality divide lessened, there was a disparity on job wants. To put it simply, in countries like Sweden and UK, girls BY FAR, EMPIRICALLY SHOW that they want to lean towards more 'traditional' styles of jobs. I am so sick of everything being blamed on culture as if men and women have the same brains. Why is it so easy for people to think "men and womens bodys and hormones differ but in the brain they are the same." Equal opportunity does not mean equal results. I also find it hilariously ironic that they criticise this guy who landed stuff on a fucking comet for wearing a shirt, then go on and protest about letting women wear what they want without being judged and how it is harassment. The list goes on and on.</p>	Age, Gender, Old	Age - General, Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	3/228	1.316
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------	-------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>I think it's good for people to ask themselves why they are annoyed but it's dishonest, and borderline absurd, to frame things as "what are you afraid of" and implying that it's about losing the sadistic pleasure in dehumanising women. Sexism is a lot of things. I think a sober-minded view on the subject, that also illustrates the complexity of the phenomena we're talking about, is Susan Fiskes theory of ambivalent sexism.</p> <p>What she, and others, have noted is that so called "benevolent sexism" is cross-culturally correlated with so called "hostile sexism". Ideas about women being beautiful, innocent, precious, good, and kind often co-occur with ideas about women being weak, fragile, deceptive, things to own, things to control etc. (and if I recall correctly, correlated within cultures too).There is no dirty secret about sexism because sexism isn't one thing. It's a clusterfuck of different processes. Often it's simply about beliefs and assumptions. It's viewing women as very different creatures than men. Sometimes it's about motivation, like I imagine being heterosexual messes with your head and often prompts you to put all women into the same mental box. Sometimes it's about visibility. Seeing male as the default human being. Sometimes it's about power. Sometimes it's about ideology. Sometimes it's about religion.Why were people upset about shirt gate? here's my two cents: I think it varies from person to person. But I think for the most part that it wasn't about STEM males being afraid that girls would enter their boys club, but maybe sometimes. I think it often was people feeling like they've been equally sexist at other times and that thus the accusation was vicariously also about them. So they got defensive. So they went too far, like people always do when they get defensive. So they found an attraction in a narrative about how all the evil feminists have come to ruin science with their fuzzy bullshit, cause that narrative works well to extinguish their self-doubt about their own moral standing."They're saying I'm evil? I say they're the evil ones!"Anti-feminists are constantly waiting for feminists to say something overly sensitive so that they can say "look at how overly sensitive this is", "they're professional victims I tell you!"</p>	Fuzzy, Mental, Sexism	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	8/373	2.145
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>'Objectification' is a non-problem, and is in fact a regular facet of everyday social interactions that every human being has with the world at large. Do you consider the complex facets of what make up the human being who sweeps up the shit at the office you work at? No, you think of him as 'the janitor', and there's nothing wrong with that, because we can't possibly be expected to consider everybody as a complex human being outside of their usefulness to us with the exception of friends and family, because that simply is not possible. No one has the time and energy to devote to it. Yet, somehow, in the context of male sexuality, this has been turned into a pejorative idea, without any evidence of harm, through simple chorus repititon. This is more of the same vapid noise, peddling itself as erudition.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/144	0.694
<p>Sexism is pleasurable. Dominating another person is pretty much the foundation of any type of joy or humor. It's always at the expense of someone else. Sometimes it can be hard to see, but sometimes we enjoy it JUST because we know someone else isn't getting it. So that makes it special to us. Exclusivity. Humans are, and always will be...monkeys that wear clothing. Our sadism has kept us alive for millions of years. It's amazing how many aspects of our society RELY on sexism in order to function. We hate the system we have created.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/96	2.083

<p>This is just the regression into absurdity. The fact that this response run my point into a paradoxical well of sadness. When does this end? Are people going to get outraged by pink colored shirts too someday, because it obscurely resembles the color of female genitalia? What about the fact that he was wearing it to honor the FEMALE friend who made it? What if all the depictions were of He-man instead? You know what?</p> <p>Who the hell cares, besides anyone with regressive tendencies? The feat they accomplished with the landing supersedes whatever this guy was wearing. This is what happens when you give audience to professional victims and the result when disproportionately trivial events overshadow a major accomplishment. Forget the feminazi agenda for a moment and put some perspective on this. If a doctor were to perform some miraculous life saving procedure on someone, would it be worth the critical social effort to even make note, for the sake of being easily offensive, that the doctor had scrubs emblazoned with swastikas (or whatever rustles your butthurt jimmies). Is it fair to let something superficial to the outcome of something, overtake that moment? Does this give people a sense of power that no matter what good you do, you can be ostrasized over the most inconsequential things in humanity? I am not saying that there are no inequalities/improprieties that exist within humanity, and they are horrible; sexism, racism, ableism or whatever ”-ism” rustles your jimmies. The whole shirtgate hardly qualifies for this type of attention. Ironically it only shows how absurd people are and their willingness to jump on a bandwagon, just to be a part of something it seems. All of the liberal types pushing these professional perpetual victimhood agendas is just poisoning the well intellectually. I believe this cheapens what it means to be progressive. People need to empower themselves to be less offended instead of fighting perceived oppression with more oppression.</p>	<p>Ableism, Colored, Feminazi, Racism, Sexism</p>	<p>Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>5/324</p>	<p>1.543</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

I got to this video a bit late in the game, but you seem to be very actively responding to comments, so I don't feel like my input will be thrown into the wind here.I enjoyed the video, though I don't share your opinion. Ultimately, the main argument being used against this man is that while his shirt isn't inherently and single-handedly oppressing women out of STEM fields, it is a minor contribution to the force that keeps men so heavily in the majority within these fields.My issue with that argument is that I don't really see the other forces at hand. If this shirt is the snowflake to the avalanche of sexism keeping women out of STEM, I don't think it's snowing. I have seen no compelling evidence showing women actively or even passively being pushed out of these fields. Certainly, the statistics you cited about how women aren't getting as much recognition once they are trying to be published are troubling, and undoubtedly an issue, but even that to me doesn't feel like a particularly compelling argument to say why women aren't going into STEM.Further, I don't think it's at all unfair to say that women may just not be as interested in STEM as men are naturally. There's an extreme aversion nowadays about anything one says that even creates a minor amount of basic and general gender differences. I don't think that's healthy, and I think it only perpetuates the things it tries to prevent. The sense I get from many of my female friends isn't a longing for a job in these fields while being discouraged by the sexism , most of them just don't actually have any interest, which is totally fine. Likewise, while there may be forces at play keeping a man from being a Kindergarten teacher, I wouldn't say it's at all unfair to say that men are probably less likely to even want to be Kindergarten teachers in the first place out of the intrinsic gender differences leading females to pursue that job and men not to.Certainly, based on the actual objective information you presented here, there is a definite and preventable problem that could be keeping women out of STEM. Whether or not it is, it needs to be fixed. Regardless, I think that using a guy's shirt as a catalyst for that discussion makes the discussion sound trivial, and will only lead to further the divide between genders.Like you said, the most common reaction was annoyance. When I first heard about the story, I thought, "Ugh, Jesus, seriously?" and it actively made me less engaged to the topic. Like I said, I think picking something like this to jump off with is ridiculous, and the discussion surrounding this whole event is totally toxic.	Common, Gender, Sexism, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	6/467	1.285
Bunch of whiners making a big deal out of nothing in order to promote their flawed ideologies. I am sickened by the reactions to the shirt, but not particularly by the shirt itself.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/33	3.03

<p>is it morally wrong to, based on my (limited) personal experiences say that women generally take less of an interest in science compared to men? this "patriarchy" that I always hear about, isn't there perhaps a reason for it forming naturally over the course of thousands of years? is it really a ridiculous statement to say that women are generally better with things like empathy and caretaking, and men are generally better workers?why is it when a female embraces her sexuality, she's encouraged, but when a man does it, it's "oppressive"?I think people should be free to choose what they want to do in life, i'm a fan of the whole "color blind" approach to affirmative action. and a "gender blind " approach would be good too.hell, if I had it my way you wouldn't be obligated to fill out name/gender/sexual orientation/race whilst applying for jobs: this way the people that are best suited for the job get the job and aren't favoured for their gender or skin colour or "special snowflake status" if you will.every ethnicity in the entire world has faced specific and severe disadvantages at some point, I feel it's unfair to hide behind them as an excuse for not achieving what is perfectly possible for you to achieve with your life.</p>	Blind, Ethnicity, Gender, Patriarchy, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	7/219	3.196
<p>The fact is that his shirt was inappropriate for such an event. Maybe its a cultural bias from my side, but if you know you're appearing in front of an audience you should consider your clothing choices. If he had been wearing a doctor Who outfit with question marks everywhere nobody would've bat an eye but he'd still get a slap on the wrist for his presentation. There is a room for eccentricity but this was just the wrong object at the wrong time. It also seemed if the backlash is aimed oneven at the backlash of the shirt. Following gamergate it seems like people are becoming more annoyed at feminist issues and seeing it as some disease corrupting their favorite past time. The general message I seem to get is that "feminism is needed anymore".</p>	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/136	0.735
<p>I feel like your argument is posted up on a non sequitur. What you said was that it doesn't matter if a few women aren't offended by his shirt, what matters is statistics like that so few women are in STEM fields. Okay, I'm following you there, but then you don't bother to draw any kind of link between the shirt and the gender disparity in STEM as though it's just obvious that shirts depicting the female form are obviously an example of why that disparity exists. I don't buy that one follows from the other. The disparity is important, and worth thinking about. The shirt, unless you can draw some valid causal relationship, is not. That's the point. This guy would have went down in history as part of a team that accomplished something amazing, but now thanks to a ridiculous culture of victimhood, there will always be an asterisk next to his name. His wikipedia page will always have a section about sexism.</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/165	1.212

unsubed , you are dumb	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/5	20.0
Kyle Peterson I was hoping to get a response from the guy who made the video. I think a person's major does have something to do with the field they end up in. Nursing school tends to have more women and it makes sense that most nurses are women. Sure these fields have gender biases but they come from people's choices.	Gender	Gender - General	1/61	1.639
To bring something in to light of the origins of Matt Taylor's colorful shirt, it was made by his friend's wife, Elly Prizeman, who made that shirt for him. So it's not a personal fashion choice as so it is a gesture of friendship to Elly Prizeman to wear the shirt she made for him. Now there is very little that can be proven that just because this man is wearing the shirt would repulse women to seeking employment in scientific fields. I would even question the level of intelligence of a woman who is offended and gets deterred because of "misogynistic" undertones purveyed by men in the field. I don't understand why we must pay attention to women or men who seek to divert attention from one man's achievement to something as meaningless as his shirt. We are stunting women's growth by consistently lumping individual adversity to collective adversity and putting efforts to remove them both. Which this brings back the campaign Bossy, which was to promote to ban the word "bossy". It was made for the the benefit to women to not be called bossy and for the world to fall in line with it. Which is contrive because who really says bossy? I can think of another mainstream word that starts with a B that just as accurately defines what a woman is being when she is "bossy". This is an example of individual adversity. You as a person, man or woman , must struggle through adversity to ultimately climb the latter to better your career and to shape the person you dream to become. The video "Ban Bossy" contradicts itself because all these women testifying being called bossy are actual women who ARE successful.And if it's meant to empower women then why not focus on the individuality aspect of the campaign rather than the collective. Women are their own worst enemy, it's not the job for men to stroke their self-esteem, it's women who have to put more effort to praise women intelligence in the media, which you rarely see, and frankly I doubt we will ever see in the near future. Women need to fight through the stereotypes rather trying to arbiter what is appropriate to say or play the victim game.So what I am trying to say is: it's just a shirt man, get over it.	Woman	Gender - General	3/393	0.763

<p>If I understand correctly, your argument is that men derive some sort of pleasure from the dominance of sexism? Because the example that you are putting into the forefront is Matt Taylor’s shirt. Do you think he’s trying to exert the male dominance in wearing that shirt or do you think he thought his shirt was sick and he loves it? Do you think that everyone who is annoyed at people criticizing his shirt just feel threatened by the fact that someone is taking away their tool of sexist dominance or do you think that they are angry that someone who they consider a great man is being attacked for wearing a shirt he thought was neat?I believe that the reason there aren’t as many women in STEM fields is basically that graduate STEM degrees are pursued by nerds (not in a denigrating sense). STEM fields isn’t sexist or culturally acceptable shirts, it is grueling hours examining logic circuits, memorizing Bayesian statistics and quantum thermodynamics. It is wave probability equations and arrow pushing chemical reactions. It is nerds who pursue these fields and, I believe, there are a lot more male nerds than female nerds (look up any typical ’nerd’ activity, be it Magic: The Gathering tournaments, DOTA 2 International attendance, robot hobbyists etc... you will find that the gender ratios are skewed). I’m a graduate student in a science field and I’m a huge nerd and so is everyone else (men and women).Why are there more men nerds than women nerds is a completely different question and definitely deserves examination (who knows, there may be sexism in the roots of it). STEM fields aren’t about machismo, aggressiveness and assertiveness or a male culture (some report investment bankers are this way). STEM fields are about nerds studying nerdy things.</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	3/301	0.997
<p>As a person who loves science I’m annoyed. As a pinup artist I am threatened. Pinup art is and has mostly been made by women anyway, so I find it absurd that somehow women would be exploiting themselves, and I’m not a bit surprised that the author of the shirt is a pinup model. Sexualized women do not exploitation of women’s sexuality make. To get a feel for it, I asked my female friends what they thought of the pattern on the shirt, and they didn’t mind. One of them even said it would look good on a dress before I even explained to her the situation. The only one who thought it was sexist was my feminist friend. So, far as I can gather the shirt isn’t offensive to women, just some feminists who don’t like the aesthetics of scantily clad women.Perhaps Dr Taylor’s shirt was inappropriate, but there is nothing wrong with embracing human sexuality such as the babes on the shirt. Had they not made such a big deal out of this I’d find the shirt quirky much in how Richard Feynman wore Hawaiian shirts. While oversexualizing women in the media is a problem and I would like to see less of it, if this shirt in particular offends someone’s sensibilities I think they’re a prude.</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/220	0.455

Awesome video as always, we need more youtubers like you.Anyway as for my response, I watched the video four times and still can't seem to grasp your argument, I am leaning towards being an anti-SJW but not all the way, I'm annoyed that people take any opportunity they can to degrade something into a debacle on sexism , they aren't doing it for any good reason, and they aren't doing it in a sensible , reasonable manner, maybe if these people did do it in a sensible way i wouldn't be so annoyed about it. And as for the "threatened bit" What am i threatened by i dont understand, I just want people to not make these internet public spaces the shitfest that it always becomes. How is that anything to do with my own fear of losing my privilege? or is that not what you mean.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/147	0.68
Thanks so much for posting this. I totally agree and I think this is what makes the idea of feminism so threatening to many men. Men who might not realize that patriarchy is actually keeping them oppressed as well, by prescribing gender roles that they have to live up to or they are "losers". But of course, men shouldn't have to be personally affected by sexism to realize how it needs to be stopped ASAP	Gender, Patriarchy, Sexism	Gender - General	3/75	4.0
+Emma Morgan To be honest, it seems like sometimes the patriarchy is code for heterosexuality. Men and women play into prescribed gender roles because those who don't end up "losers" in the only game that really matters—genetics. Sexual dimorphism is sexy to average members of the opposite sex , and your behaviors, your body, and your belongings all contribute to your public persona as a viable, worthy breeding partner. Freedom from conforming to standards of "fuckability" is freedom from a fundamental part of being alive, or at least freedom from being attractive to the opposite sex . You wouldn't say an amputee is free from limbs.	Gender, Patriarchy, Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	5/104	4.808

I'm Latino and I'm an animator. I don't know the exact number but I'm sure Latin animators are a minority. If someone where to wear a shirt saying something like "America for Americans" I wouldn't be offended. Well, maybe a bit but not so much I would give up on my hopes and dreams. My own parents didn't want me to be an animator, if they couldn't stop me a gosh darn shirt certainly won't stop me either.I know I can't speak for all minorities and women out there but I strongly believe people like me are the rule. Not the exception. If you love what you do, the last thing to stop you will be a shirt.I thought we were taught not to judge a person based on their clothing. Have we forgotten what feminism has been telling us? It's a free country and every man and women should be free to dress how they want. This shirt is not a big deal. This isn't the first time the media panicked over nothing .On a related note, have people been asking STEM field women and women currently studying to get into STEM jobs what they think. I think their opinions matter more than ours since they are the ones people are claiming to be most affected by the shirt.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/222	0.45
Now, there's a difference between Kim Kardashian's cover and a shirt like this (I belive that you actually weren't comparing them, but rather bringing into a conversation what has been said globally?! but I saw the confussion in the comments, so I felt like I need to address this issue). I belive that in an alternative universe where women would be as respected as men, a shirt like this would not have been problematic and hurtful, but unfortunately sexism is still alive and going strong. And why do I think that Kim Kardashian posing naked isn't a problem? because it's on her own terms! She has every right to present herself sexually, just as men do, I just wish that society could appreciate women's body/beauty without questioning them as valuable human-beings,Seeing women being sexual isn't a problem itself, the problem is that some men have trouble comprehending that a woman can be more than that. And considering how man created and uphold patriarchy and benefit from it strongly, they have no right to sexualize us further contributing to our oppression. (I'd like to point out that english isn't my native language so this may not be on point at all)	Patriarchy, Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	4/201	1.99
Thanks for writing this, Natalia. Let me repeat you: "In an alternative universe where women would be as respected as men, a shirt like this would not have been problematic and hurtful, but unfortunately sexism is still alive and going strong." P.S. Your English is incredible.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/46	2.174

<p>I think the main difference between your side and the anti-SJW side (stripping away all the emotion) is that SJWs treat people unequally based on their race, gender, and sexuality while the anti-SJW side mostly just wants everyone to be treated equally. The point that anti-SJWs try to make in regards to this shirt and many other issues is that if you are allowed to criticize a man for his tasteless shirt, then you should also be allowed to criticize a woman for her, let's say, overly sexy clothing. Or that if you are allowed to criticize someone for being white (I've lost count of how many anti- gamergate people I've seen on twitter calling for white men to be genocided) then it should also be okay to criticize someone for being black. Obviously criticizing blacks for the color of their skin is verboten and rightly so. And obviously criticizing women for wearing stuff that's too sexy is verboten and rightly so. What the anti-SJW side is protesting is the hypocrisy. It's sort of like "Okay, I agreed to treat everyone equally and I'm doing my best at it and it seems to be working and life seems to be better, but, what the hell, now you are attacking me because of my race, gender, and sexuality? WTF?" The whole idea behind the SJW mentality appears to be a mix of intersectionality, patriarchy theory, and the hierarchy of oppression. While the anti-SJW side is about treating everyone equally. Feminism seems to have this vocal minority with an SJW mentality, which is why we're seeing a lot of anti-feminists and men's rights advocates pop out of the woodwork. Anti-feminism is a reaction to this SJW stuff. It's basically saying "I don't want special privileges for women due to their gender. I want equality and equal treatment for everyone." shirtgate has spawned a lot of parodies and, for me, by far the most poignant one was a picture of naked angry feminists protesting something or other with the title "empowerment" and that same picture on a shirt with the title "oppression". I discussed this image with a feminist. She claimed that the shirt, when worn by a man, would objectify the women on the picture. So I asked her what if Kim Kardashian sold t-shirts with a photo of her butt. She claimed it would be empowering, because it's her decision and she can consent to this. So I asked what if Kim Kardashian drew a picture of her butt and sold that on a t-shirt. Same thing. Empowering. So I pointed out that the person who designed Matt Taylor's shirt was a woman. That was two days ago. No response. In the long term what I personally fear is that if we allow this hypocrisy of some genders and races being okay to mock and criticize, but others not, for the same exact thing, then this same logic will be carried over to other fields. E.g. "black people commit a disproportionate amount of crimes, therefore we must be prejudiced towards them." I don't want that. I want to treat everyone equally. Not based on some hierarchy of oppression.</p>	<p>Black, Gender, Patriarchy, Race, Woman</p>	<p>Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General</p>	<p>10/527</p>	<p>1.898</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

Hey Elver. I appreciate your dispassionate and well written analysis. I have to say, though, it seems to me that the "anti-SJW" movement fears something that's largely imaginary, this "some genders and races being okay to mock and criticize, but others not" thing you're talking about. Criticism based on race, gender, sexual orientation is never OK, and I rarely hear it from feminists I know or read or see. If I did hear someone calling for "white men to be genocided," what do you think I'd say? We all want people to be treated equally, but that is a /very complex thing to figure. It begins with understanding that we can't just start with today, we have to take into account the history of oppression, how certain groups got here at the expense of others. This is the idea behind, for example, affirmative action. Like it or not, we inherit the responsibilities of our parents and their parents. I think our time is best spent trying to learn what that history is.	Gender, Race, Sexual	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/172	1.744
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>If we're looking at the history of oppression, then we have to look at it with way more granularity than just the color of the skin. For example, I'm Estonian. Our people were first mentioned in an Ancient Roman scroll and starting with the earliest crusades, we were ruled by Germans, Danes, Swedes, and finally Russians. Under all these rulers we were slaves, for more than 700 years. If my memory serves me right, Estonians did not gain basic rights under Tsarist rule until a few years after the abolishment of slavery in the USA. It wasn't until the chaos at the end of WW1 that we finally declared independence, until uncle Stalin decided to grab our territory for himself. Our country did not exist again until 1991. Should I be angry at Germans, Danes, Swedes, or Russians? Should I bear some sort of ill will towards Slavic people in general? Should we ask for reparations? Would it make any sense to go up to a visiting Russian tourist and tell him to check his privilege?None of these options make any sense to me. Affirmative action i.e. discriminating against those whose grandparents discriminated against my grandparents does not make sense to me. If an ethnic Russian wants to work at my company, I'm not going to tell him to go away just because his grandfather was in the Red Army where he invaded and occupied my people, probably killing a few of my countrymen along the way. I'm not going to treat an Austrian differently just because the legendary baron Roman von Ungern-Sternberg tried to personally murder my great-great-grandfather for the crime of teaching reading and writing to his fellow Estonians.If we continue this contest of who has been oppressed the most, then we're not going to get anywhere. We have far more in common with each other than we think. Focusing on our differences and on whose great grandparents were oppressed the most is simply going to perpetuate the cycle of anger, hatred, and violence. There will always be nutcases on all sides who take things too far and I've already seen way too many nutcases on the SJW side. Because any time you start lumping people into groups and start privileging one group over another, based on whatever criteria, you're going to see nutcases living out their racist and sexist fantasies to the extreme.But, hey, if we are going to compete on who has been oppressed the most, then I'm pretty sure I'm gonna win. Because, arguably, in the context of being oppressed by other groups based on ethnicity, Estonians have had it worse and for far longer than even black slaves in USA. Hard to compete with 700+ years of slavery, including the local lord's right to deflower virgin daughters of his subjects.</p>	Black, Common, Ethnic, Ethnicity, Racist, Red	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Social Class - Working class	7/469	1.493
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Elver Loho Excellent points here. It always gets to me when people focus on skin color in reaction to racism, and then forget to do the painstaking history of ethnic study. Because there have been white-skinned people who have been enslaved, but they don't matter. The Barbary Pirates never existed in the greater discussion on these issues. The issue to me is not that I have my rights protected, the issue to me is that overlooking real history enables a different animal to take over from the pigs who took over from the humans. We're just changing clothes, not attacking the moral evils that are the root cause.</p>	Ethnic, PIGS, Racism	Ethnicity - General, Nationality - Southern European	3/108	2.778
<p>It has been so damn frustrating for me to try to explain this to people. I always get the same responses that feminists are crazy and feminism is about female dominance and not about equality. That women are inferior in any way and giving them the world would be a mistake, that social engineering doesn't work, direct criticism and hate towards women like Anita Sarkeesian. I don't support her in any way and I understand that nowadays defending the real feminism is usually invoking the "no true Scotsman" fallacy but in reality the issue still remains no matter how hard you try to derail the discussion. And it's videos and comments like this that gives me the feeling I am not alone. The patriarchy and misogyny are so ubiquitous in the modern world that even the kindest and smartest people can't see it. Thank you, sir for this video, thank you indeed.</p>	Crazy, Misogyny, Patriarchy	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/152	1.974
<p>Hey Carlos, I always find it weird, when people complain about something in general and never point to something concrete. What should we make of your critique? Are we supposed to agree with you? On what grounds? You didn't present one argument. Say what you disagree with and why.</p>	Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/49	2.041
<p>Carlos Miguel Ugalde the fact that it was made by a woman just proves the amount of internalize sexism with the creator. And it doesn't take away from the fact that it was not an appropriate shirt to wear for such an event. As he cited from Mark Rogneta(?) "it screamed "stay away!" (...) Particularity for women".</p>	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/56	3.571

miss1of2 the only people ACTUALLY screaming stay away, are the people who are too lazy to get into science and engineering, and looking for an innocuous inanimate object as their excuse, for not being interested enough. You're actually being sexist by saying just cuz a woman likes her comic book characters to be sexy (like normal women like their magazine models to be sexy) and just cuz ACTUAL friends like to give each other stuff, that it's both their fault that bitches around the world are too lazy and motivated to do something that is actually hard and near impossible. You're also sexist because you're judging this man by his appearance instead of the actions and accomplishments he's done, when you would never use that narrative to judge some dumb bitch model for dressing half naked instead of her actual actions and beliefs. And last but not least, you're sexist cuz you're claiming women are such lemmings and dumb zombies, that a shirt with "pretty" women on it is a motivator for them not to do something, instead of considering their actual interests and motivations for other things, or their laziness to perform near impossible things. I'm a guy, and I will never do what this guy did. But the hot chick shirt is not motivating me to get into that sort of thing, and if I were, I wouldn't be unmotivated by a fat girl wearing a hot guy shirt. I think you're just projecting your own dismay of women, instead of helping them in anyway, by superficially judging this guy. Fail.	Chick, Dumb, Fat, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features	5/263	1.901
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Idk... i think you are right with saying that we must challenge our views that we may have about gender as a society. That, when it comes to science, gender should not have an impact on your credibility.I think that there is still some "lag" when it comes to the evolution of sociological matters. The new generations, who grow up with the internet that teaches them to be critical about everything may have a lot of different views about this, but they are challenged to think about it when confronted with these issues, which i think is a important first step for a change to the better.Older folks(40+), i dont want to generalize, may have more of an average subconscious misogynistic tendency which is reflected in the data that you presented.But i think that while the female sience community is growing, these views will change over time and tumble the views that were normal 50 years ago. Or at least i hope so.Still to reflect all these issues on one guy wearing a t-shirt, is wrong. He may not have thought about it that way as there was no nudity on it and judging from his tatoos ect. i guess he is just used to this kind of art style and may not have looked at it from that perspective. Especially as it was a birthday gift from a female friend of his.I think his laid-back character, got into the way of him and caused something that he didn't expect. But to denounce him as the reason why females have a weaker postion in the sience community is wrong, but also shows from the reactions that we still have just another sociological issue to takle.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	2/290	0.69
<p>I think we should take a step back and realize that in the same way our cultural misogyny provides us pleasure, we also take pleasure in telling other people that their misogyny is wrong. The shirt may represent a larger anti-female bias in STEM fields, but at the same time, it's just a shirt, an easily rectified problem (as in, stop wearing it). Why is it that only we only express our outrage when we have a figurehead to direct our outrage at? There are bigger, institutional fish to fry. Why don't we pressure labs to hire female scientists somehow, either legally or with a petition? Why don't we take the time to talk to girls about the fact that women are underrepresented in these fields? I would say it's because we don't get the same visceral satisfaction as we got when this man admitted he was wrong.</p>	Misogyny	Gender - General	2/148	1.351
<p>"We also take pleasure in telling other people that their misogyny is wrong." Now that's properly psychoanalytical, and maybe not 100 incorrect either. Not quite a counter to the argument in the video, but worth thinking about. Institutions, like institutional problems, are made up of people.</p>	Misogyny	Gender - General	1/46	2.174

Noah Blank The shirt having artistic depictions of the female body. Your point? And no, not really. Auguste Renoir painted fat women because that's what he preferred, he even said if there were no female breast he wouldn't have gotten into painting. Then you have Amedeo Modigliani who's said to have slept with his models afterwards and is known for his erotic style. Then there's Maurilio Manara who's known for the exact same thing. Let's also add Robert Mapplethorpe to the mixt. Eroticism has been there since day one, I fail to see on what basis do you distinguish between "artistic nudity" and "ew sexist". It's not based on any philosophy I can think of and I am an art student. A lot of art is a direct expression from the artist, be it his views or his desires, including sexual ones.	Fat, Sexual	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	2/142	1.408
Aye man, look up the Gender Paradox, the documentary. Then talk again about social constructs and job preferences. Maybe there are not invisible social norms, but a biological reason...	Gender	Gender - General	1/29	3.448
1.2 Miligram Trying to take our clothes away through social pressure isn't a threat? This isn't about dominance nature or whatever, this is a demonstrable fact. Most poignant example to that effect is DOA Extreme Beach 3 who the publisher refused to release in the west because of, yet again, social pressure. Nothing short of sophistry can show otherwise.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/59	1.695
Turtoi Radu okay, i'm not sure if you understand what im saying or im not understand what you saying. let me try to explain it back. basically the answer 'for wearing a shirt celebrating the female body discourages women from joining Stem field because..' is the dominance of our human mind. we want to be strong and feel powerfull. hence comes the urges to start an argument at anything that we can see we trigger one. for example, if one saw that shirt he/she would try to force and argument and say that it is wrong. we human are dick btw, always try to find fault in others.that is maybe why it can affect the intakes because they had build a perception on it, they see that place as a place of sexism . was that thing is good or notis depend on your own morality...	Sexism	Gender - General	1/145	0.69
+Nerdwriter1 My problem with this is if your subjective feelings gives you the right to ignore someones objective scientific merits to harass them on internet. And i dont think you should ignore how bad something is because of your ideologies. In this case feminist. Asking the question is almost like " And the next time you feel angry at the police, is it because you are a criminal". You have an terrible sex negative point of view which paints women as these fragile humans that you have to walk on eggshels around, and it supports sexism .	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	2/96	2.083

+Nerdwriter1 It's a popular topic and Youtube has a billion users. And those who have the time to even comment to begin with, usually have time for a little mental discourse. :)	Mental	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/32	3.125
+Nerdwriter1 I'm not hating but you should've known that the shirt was a gift to Matt from a female friend. It WAS NOT his fashion choice. That reporter was a dumb bickering human being.	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/34	2.941
David Jonsved That is a red herring you have committed. Stay on topic, please. The argument is that said shirt is somehow "anti- woman " (replace it with a more appropriate term, I guess). Being gifted by a woman is evidence against that claim.	Red, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General	3/42	7.143

+Nerdwriter1 Feminism. An Honest (I promise), No-Swearing Diatribe. (And to protect against Ad Hominems: I am a 15 year old black male)From what I've gleaned,Feminism is based on the belief that women and "minorities" are automatically institutionally oppressed by all facets of society, regardless of individual situation. No matter how many examples that seem to prove the opposite in most of the western world, any anecdote of individual discrimination (fabricated or legitimate) mixed with the social coercion described in the above video.effectively silences all who point to the evidence of the contrary.I am a staunchly anti-authoritarian liberal. I believe in the values of of individualism and freedom (the ability to do anything as long as it doesn't harm others), in other words, classical liberalism. I don't think that any of the harms that feminists bring up (x-shaming, "rape culture", objectification, hurting others' feelings) warrants the limitation of the rights of others in society. But that's exactly what feminists fight for. They want a reversal of the presumption of innocence in cases of rape and sexual assault, they want to restrict "offensive" speech, they seek to discriminate against innocent "privileged" people to correct "historical wrongs". In essence, they wish to dismantle equality of opportunity in favor of equality of outcome.They seek power. The power to enact these measures. And the capitulation of the establishment who are meant to act as sentries of our personal rights deeply frightens me. They use western culture's bias towards change and righting perceived wrongs against the interests of freedom. Through this political and social extortion, the freedoms of the dissenting are slowly eroded day by day.And one day, without sound or fury, those freedoms vanish.	Black, Old, Sexual	Age - Over 65s, Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	3/284	1.056
+RihannaIsIlluminati I'm a 14 year old black male. What's your opinion on workplace bias due to race , sexuality, and gender ? Would you consider that as harming someone or not. If you already answered this, sorry. I can't read your whole comment because it won't open.	Black, Gender, Old, Race	Age - Over 65s, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	4/45	8.889

+Nerdwriter1 Only half way through the video and I've got to stop. You make a number of assumptions and take as a given what amount to ideological assertions that have little real evidence to support them. For example, if we're going to use the word "exploitative" in regards to the use of feminine imagery in the media then who, really is being exploited? The well paid and status accruing model? Or the viewers of that material? Other women that respond out of a competitive drive for the social power that comes with attractiveness? Or, perhaps, the men, who, like baby birds that instinctively react to the colored markings on their mother's beak, that have an involuntary response to specific stimuli, who, subsequently, may then be sucked into buying certain products because they've been associated with indicators that signal the availability of a very healthy and fertile female? Or, for example, you completely ignore the disparity between men and women in University degrees being earned and focus on what? The CHOICE women make to go into fields other than STEM. Worse, this seems to be predicated on the idea that, without external influence, men and women would choose to go into STEM fields at the same rates. You point out that assumptions of the reverse may be due to cultural biases. Certainly, that's very possible. However, so, too, may your apparent assumption that this is not the case. I realize this is an old video and an old thread and these points have probably been covered elsewhere here but, just in case they haven't, here's my take. Oh, and for the record, I'm a new subscriber and I've enjoyed your thoughtfulness on a variety of subjects so far, so please don't take this as an attack. It's just a friendly challenge.	Colored, Old	Age - Over 65s, Ethnicity - Black	3/300	1.0
what is there to feel threaten about? I'm annoyed that you have to post a video justifying the reasons why anyone would feel threaten about sexism .	Sexism	Gender - General	1/26	3.846
+Nerdwriter1 Sorry, I can't agree with you as far as your views on sexism . The reason there are far fewer women in stem fields is simply because they don't take these specialised subjects at university. If you believe there aren't any differences between women and men you only have to look at the number of women who hold patents for inventions. It is under 5. This isn't to say men are more intelligent, just that men and women have different strengths. Our brains are even constructed differently.Also, I cannot stand when the regressive left (extreme liberals) call all men sexist and women the victims. All you have to do is look at the statistics as a whole, and not those affecting women to see that men face just as many issues as women in society, and are actually discriminated against by law, whereas women aren't.I do not believe we live in a sexist, misogynystic society at all.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/159	0.629

+Turtoi Radu Just as a black guy saying "we should be slaves again because we are stupid" is suddenly not racist ?	Black, Racist	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General	2/21	9.524
Joachim Han no dude. That is very racist .	Racist	Ethnicity - General	1/8	12.5
+Nerdwriter1 See Evan, that's the thing that makes your channel great. You are right about how invisible is the origin of our believes, but wrong on the dualism surrounding this kind of debate. It's the same mistake Hesse makes in the Steppenwolf, you are ignoring that between two point exists the universe. Well, I have just started watching you channel and I'm in love. I wish we could talk about your ideas , but that's a common mistake about social media, it's easy to think that our celebrities are close to us. Keep up the good work!	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/97	1.031
yarpen800 You missed my point. I wan't defending the media outlash against this man, I was critizising it. Most girls would either not have known or not noticed the shirt until activists pointed it out and convinced girls that it is proof of sexism in the scientific community. It doesn't matter whether this one single incidence swayed anyone's opinion about scientists, it's part of a bigger picture that is drawn by the media portraying scientists in a negative light and then turning around and wondering why too few people (of either gender by the way) become scientists.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/97	2.062
haiggohThis was NOT sexism . This was PC culture run amok. Women DO have the same opportunities and any woman with common sense knows this.Scientists are not there to mollycoddle insecure people. A man would not let something so trivial get in his way of doing something he had a passion for, so why do you assume women do?Maybe it is just that fewer women than men gravitate towards science, ever thought of that?Women are choosing different vocations of their own free will, and the ones who really WANT to go into science already do. If a woman lets something as fucking trival as a shirt let it scare them away from their dreams then it couldn't have been very important to them, could it?Can you imagine anyone treating men in the way you are suggesting we treat women? Because I fucking can't.	Common, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	4/147	2.721

<p>SlothDance Party You haven't been reading my previous comments, have you? I completely agree that it was wrong to chastise this man for his fashion choice rather than focusing on his actual achievement. What I'm criticising is not him wearing the shirt in the first place, but the media completely blowing it out of proportion by portraying him as the worst misogynist to ever walk the earth to the point of him having a mental breakdown. It was madness. But it didn't just harm him it also harmed the entire scientific community in the process because it reinforced stereotypes that women may already have of scientists. So I was countering Nerdwriter in pointing out that his shirt is not the problem but the media. When feminists say his shirt turns women away from science then they gloss over the fact that they are the ones who turn women away from science by telling them all their life that scientists are sexist. That was the point I was making.</p>	Mental, Misogynist	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/168	1.19
<p>SlothDance Party And it's ironic, because the very people who claim to fight against sexism and inequality are ironically largely contributing the the very problems they talk about and don't even realize what a disservice they are doing to literally everyone.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/41	2.439
<p>+Nerdwriter1 Ok so, I agree that T-shirt may not be the most ethical or morally right. So, even though it might be sexist, the real problem is that many people didn't focus on the real point. A great person, making a breakthrough in science and people are talking about his T-shirt. It is just absurd.I don't want to get into detail about this, but there is a lot of misinformation and hypocrisy about the whole "gender equality" topic. Just to put an example, if a female scientist would use a "He Man" T-shirt, I assure you no one would bat an eye or care to complain about the "false body standards" and how the affect men. And I think that's the way it should be, because we are not talking about sexism, we are talking about science.In most developed countries they have already achieved equality at least legally. It is just a matter of time before we come to a social and mental gender equality, but this kind of behaviours are not going to help, I think they make everything worse focusing on problems we have almost overcome.</p>	Gender, Mental, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/190	2.105
<p>+Nerdwriter1 It's incredible that anyone can stand your pretentious, pseudo-intellectual drivél. If you're right - if shirts with scantily clad women actually dissuade some people from entering STEM fields - then more people should start wearing them to keep the lab a "safe-space" from sentimental anti-rationalists. People who think like you have nothing to contribute to science. We're all better off with this intellectual pollution safely contained by the humanities. :)</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/71	1.408

+Nerdwriter1 I subscribed today but since I see no correction to the blatantly wrong arguments in this video I will unsubscribe. You make good points on other topics but for whatever reason you just can't understand how men and women are different. How a woman trying to be an engineer wouldn't stop because of a fucking shirt and how if a shirt discouraged you in the first place you were never serious about it. You think that diversity is such an important thing but the fact is that people CHOOSE what they want to do and most of the time it is shaped by their biology. That's why women dominate pediatrics and men dominate engineering. Not because sexism but because that is what they are drawn to. Nobody forced them to and you can't force them to either.	Nobody, Sexism, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/138	2.174
haiggoh Ever seen the boy who's penis was burnt off? If you haven't it's interesting because it counteracts what you just said: A baby boy has his penis burnt off at birth during circumcision. His parents are approached by a psychologist who says they could just raise him like a girl so not even a month into life they start dressing him in pink and have him play with dolls but he starts later on in life to be more interested in what his twin brother is playing with and becomes more and more like a tomboy until his parents finally have to tell him because he starts having a crazy identity crisis. Gender goes deeper than you think, after all it's in our DNA, that psychologist thought that gender was a social construct and published many papers on the boy and how he successfully turned him into a girl. Those papers became pretty famous and nobody ever had to know that he actually FAILED because you can't change biology.	Crazy, Gender, Nobody, Tomboy	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	5/170	2.941
PilltechreOr women choosing different fields of their own volition.In more liberal and "feminist" societies, women choose to go into engineering, physics, mathematics and other similar fields LESS than they do in real patriarchal societies like Africa, Middle East etc. You cannot blame it on sexism when the more liberal a society is the fewer women choose these subjects.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/60	1.667
As request by you Nerdwriter1, here's the word count for your youtube video 'The Secret of Sexism ':1793 women999 shirt982 people847 men622 stem402 sexism 299 woman 282 man279 gender 273 fieldsIt is far from perfect but should give you an idea of the top words.I made a script that scrapes Youtube Videos for comments and spits out a top 10 word count.If you'd like to know how it works: [LINK]	Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	3/79	3.797

Well then take 60 seconds to ask yourself if you agree or disagree with the witch-hunt he went through, reducing him into tears. And if it is the former, ask yourself, "What is it that I'm in danger of losing?"Don't get me wrong, I'm not being facetious here; I am very serious. This gets to be beating heart of the matter. The dirty secret of righteous indignation is that it's pleasurable. It's pleasurable to assert your dominance on a hierarchy you create. It's pleasurable in the sense that wearing your Sunday best is pleasurable, the feeling of being a winner at bettering someone.So when we're thinking about issues like this, we have to keep in mind that were talking about is people's pleasures, about taking it away from them. Rightly so, and it will come as no surprise that they'll be reluctant to let it go here, as in any other instance of gratification.In the active sense righteous indignation is easy to condemn. But in the passive sense, it's far more insidious; to tell otherwise rational and level headed people, that by doing nothing at all that they're perpetuating Puritanism, subjective reasoning, and virtue signalling. To require them to think about their actions and their inactions, may feel incredibly annoying.That's what it feels to take someone's pleasure away, a pleasure that is so normalised, so baked into our lives, it's removal feels a lot like pain.I, for one, think that it's possible to hold two lines of thought in mind at once; to marvel at the unbelievable magic of the suffragettes movements, and to lament the real contribution, however small, of one woman's lamentation at one man's fashion choice at a community of scientists, who began at a loss.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/294	0.34
+haiggohThis kind of horseshit, Heir Haiggoh, only inspires me to think I'd never want a female to have my back on the battlefield. Dear god, we'll have to attend Marriage Counseling every time someone says holy fucking cuntfuck. Because she's so distracted by her upset feelings about words.You people are the fucking sexists, because you perpetually infantilize women, and legitimize the triumph of distraction by bullshit. If anyone is impeding their development of agency, it's your manner of coddlers.Toy Companies are not fucking charities. You know how much RESEARCH(amazing, I know) they put into designing toys to appeal to certain genders?So tell me Haiggoh, are those transgender kids that take an interest in Femininetoy. Are they all just confused and programed too?It's perfectly plain. The opportunity is there, take it. Rosanne Barr has this shitright all along. Equality isn't something given as an outcome. The outcome istaken. So one needs to either take, or stfu about it. Unless, you don't think women are capable? You sexist you.	Transgender	Sexual Identity - Transexuality	1/176	0.568

<p>”maybe...Cultural norms so invisible they seem like insights of gender.”Well, isn’t that convenient. You don’t even have to prove that.We just have to take your word for it.What came first? Culture or biology?It doesn’t take much to logically conclude that culture is based onbiology.Further, why do you think that all men of all ages and civilizations across the entire earth just hate women?Or what is your elaborate explanation for this ”oppressive” way women were treated throughout all times by men, men that are undeniably biologically made to love women?Have you ever even asked this question?Also, do you know that women were happier 35 years ago than now, that they are taking anti depressants like its a fashion statement and that they are converting to Islam disproportionately to men?Why would that be?Why would they possibly convert to such an oppressive religion?Why would they possibly read ”50 shades of grey”?Why is a woman happier the more conservative she is?Don’t tell me that that’s ”invisible cultural norms” OUT OF DAMN THINAIR.I don’t like it when people behave like you.”Why?” is the most powerful question and you don’t seem to ask it very often.”Why?” is the difference between the eternally confident fool and the doubtful wise.</p>	Gender, Thin, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	3/220	1.364
<p>Arthur Dent I just realized that you were actually talking to me.Gotta mention my name to avoid confusion.”...,most societies swing back and forth.”Societies rise with right wing values.Societies fall through left wing deprivation.Logical really when you throw away what made you great in the first place.If you call that ”swinging” you’re right.But let me give you an insight on how it actually is.The real conservative is a man trying to climb a ladder.The leftist is a man on that same ladder cutting away at it.That’s not how you make progress.And there is no ”swinging back”. When all the things that were once obvious and natural become dubious there is no going back historically alone.And another truth.Trump was leftist just 25 years ago.You will be fanatically rightwing according to the public eye earlier than 25 years from now.There is a reason that the old, wise and experienced aren’t falling for leftism.</p>	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/165	0.606
<p>Trent LobdellThe left has become more of a problem than the right, even in Europe. They have created the migrant crisis, are mass importing Islamic men, are creating all kinds of authoritarian ”hate speech” laws, and engaged in the madness of identity politics.As far as the right wing goes, they are mostly just nationalists and capitalists.</p>	Migrant	Nationality - General	1/57	1.754

The only legitimacy to the left being the problem are that laws against hate speech are worse than useless. The left is not your problem and they were not why the refugee crisis happened. The right has contributed less than nothing to a workable solution in a modern, mostly peaceful world that trades on a massive scale. Your prosperity is from trade, which happens in related ways to culture sharing and open boarders.Mechantilism did not do anyone any favors, neither has nationalism and extremism bordering on fascism.	Nationalism, Nothing, Refugee	Age - Youngsters, Nationality - General	3/88	3.409
Trent LobdellYou do not need open borders for free trade. There are no open borders between the USA China, and a vast amount of trade goes through the borders. Nationalism contributes to peaceful and law abiding countries. Free movement and mass migration of Islamic men, leads to shit getting blown up, Islamic slums in our capitals and cities which never integrate, and the abuse of the welfare state by millions of unskilled migrants.When European countries were run in a more conservative way, people were happier, related to each other better, and the streets were safer.Liberals have destroyed the nation state, and this is why there is a worldwide backlash going on.	Nation, Nationalism	Nationality - General	2/114	1.754
Trent Lobdell I swear I have already responded to this.Regardless you are the one simplyfing the matter here.As you in your simplistic mind have completely ignored all my points.You are the one who has reduced it to a matter of "left vs right".Left, regressive, progressive, degenerates, right,...For me those are just words.You will not debunk my standpoint with your strawman. I can't stand your kind of "reasoning".The only one childlike here is you. Sexism is natural.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/83	1.205
I think those commentators reacted to another "threat" . That is the fact that a voice they (assuming they watched the video since they are subscribed) admire , and respect ... Even when clearly explaining the emotion that brings about these insecurities still cant do it without , what I and (i imagine) those chaps perceive , is ass kissing to the feminist section of the public. To an individual with the previously explained mindset thats what stands out and nothing short of shier judgment , much alike the judgment of those feminists, can satisfy. I understood everything he said. Was a good video, but he never condemned the nonsense in what a triggered male would consider a satisfying response. There is always gonna be someone on the internet whos displeased...	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/133	0.752

<p>M.D. Geist I'm fairly certain it takes multiple, deep conversations with a person by a professional psychotherapist in order to BEGIN understanding someone's character...but....in an effort to further this convo...I think any "question" like yours is some form of logic trap. No one can say what ANYONE but themselves think...And even THAT can be distorted. One assumption I'll make is that MANY people, many men, feel threatened when someone wants to get a bigger piece of a pie, especially a pie we're told we need and are TOLD is scarce. EVERYTHING is natural, which means sexism and its opposite are natural. Many cultures revere women more than the modern western civilizations, I don't know of any which collapsed due to that fact. I know native American culture was changed from one which exalted women to one which demeaned them. You can't say any of the bigger civilizations/empires gave women much respect, therefore you fully conclude they were better or worse off having done so. You purport that women are happier as they become more conservative, that they are taking anti depressants now because of the lack of being conservative enough. Have you considered that the double standards, say, of beauty and sexuality, are causing women to have DEEP internal struggles with themselves? Same with gay and trans people, could it be societies roles are causing an internal struggle that manifests itself in a breakdown that causes what you're saying is happening? Let alone, that you are generalizing to the nTH degree :/Ask YOURSELF why...</p>	Gay, Sexism	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/256	1.172
<p>I guess we're all just going to ignore the fact that the shirt was given to him by a woman.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/20	5.0

<p>erikbarrett85 Of course you are unable to answer my question and deflect with emotion. There is a difference between cultures and civilizations. There is no "matriarchal civilization". As soon as success sets in, all those things happen that we see right now. Then every civilization falls. As it has always done. Read Joseph Watson's book "Of the rise and fall of ancient civilizations" and look at J.D. Unwin. "EVERYTHING is natural, which means sexism and its opposite are natural." That is saying that nothing is natural. Which is wrong. Feminism only arises in an unnatural "safe space" created by success or luck with resources. "You can't say any of the bigger civilizations/empires gave women much respect, therefore you fully conclude they were better or worse off having done so. "What a pathetic strawman. Fact is all civilizations ever were patriarchies." Have you considered that the double standards, say, of beauty and sexuality, are causing women to have DEEP internal struggles with themselves? "Complete emotional bullshit. This is something that you have to prove anyway. There are no double standards in nature in the first place. Everyone has his natural role. The only struggle that arises here is in feminists as their inherent nature is being tormented by denial. Depression is a way of the body to tell you that you are being foolish. True longterm happiness is found by realizing and living according to one's own nature." Let alone, that you are generalizing to the nth degree. "So what? There is only one way for men and women to be. That is natural. Anything else is unnatural and broken. Answer my question.</p>	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/274	0.73
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	------

<p>M.D. Geist what question? Pretty sure I asserted I did not believe that it's possible to think for anyone, but in an effort to, of course not every man feels the same about women, or ANY thing....we're disagreeing now.Straw man could be applied to every thing you've said...And a good rule for logical fallacies is to NOT point at it directly but to learn how to combat it. You don't seem to want to have a discussion so much as assert dominance....Where have I heard THAT before...I'm vehemently anti feminist. I'm also anti patriarchal. Every time is different, and nature is everything, therefore every role must be natural whether it goes a paradigm or not. Cultures that relegate roles without a focus on one gender's being more or less important can be interpreted as more happy and fulfilled than ones where gender roles are assigned greater merit. I don't see any argument to support that male roles such as hunting and building are greater than cooking and child rearing as ALL are necessary to further the species. The rest of jobs are ancillary and could be deemed as "mating rituals," in essence. One could argue that all civilizations that were patriarchies have eventually fallen, and that something different could be enacted. You'll think I mean matriarchy, which let me assure you, I mean some form of compromise. Nothing is just A and B, not even our own minds.Are you putting forth that there's only ONE role for a person? I'm a little confused about your "natural role" comments...</p>	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/261	0.766
<p>"I don't see any argument to support that male roles such as hunting and building are greater than cooking and child rearing" So why are chefs predominantly male? Also does this mean technology is making men obsolete? Hunting is no longer necessary and has been replaced by animal rearing which is closer to a female endeavor, likewise most building has been computerized & robotized. "Anything else is unnatural and broken." Srsly, your entire life is completely unnatural. Youtube is unnatural, computers are unnatural, 80 year lifespan is unnatural, less than 50 infant mortality is unnatural, clothing is unnatural, indoor plumbing is unnatural, refrigeration is unnatural, electricity is unnatural, cars are unnatural, guns are unnatural, etc.....If something being "unnatural" makes it bad then we should all be aspiring to live like African nomadic hunter-gatherers. And frankly feminism is the least of our problems - eating strawberries in January is far far far more unnatural than women wanting to participate in male-dominated activities.</p>	African	Ethnicity - Black	1/162	0.617

Agilemind Are you talking to me?"Srsly, your entire life in completely unnatural. Youtube is unnatural, computers are unnatural,..."What a pathetic strawman. So what?"If something being "unnatural" makes it bad..."Milking it does not make a strawman true.It is a fact that disregarding the contact to nature, as you propose, makes you depressive and mentally ill.There is nothing wrong being healthy and doing what it entails.Women were happier 40 years ago, are now twice as likely to be mentally ill then men, take antidepressants like a fashion statement, consume 50 shades of grey bdsm filth to satisfy their urges, convert to islam way disproportionally then men and so on and so forth with tendencies only increasing.But you would just let this cruel insanity go on.You would let them screw their lives to soothe your ego.What a hateful, wretched being you are. You can't be bothered to actually care about women and do what that entails, can you?You truly need an agile mind to go to the wretched places you went.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/179	0.559
"Women were happier 40 years ago" - I doubt it, it is much more likely medical science is better at diagnosing depression has increased and women's willingness to admit they are unhappy has increased. After all if there is a chance your husband will hit you if you irritate him by telling him you are unhappy (and you are 100 financially dependent on him) you aren't going to admit you're unhappy are you? There is tons of research showing the less autonomy women have over their lives the more they lie to appease men.BTW men are 4-times more likely to be psychotic than women and diagnoses of psychosis have increased enormously over the past 40 years, same for autism. So maybe you should be worrying about what is making men go psycho .PS It is interesting that you seem to be offended by my username, that is some deep-seated insecurity/paranoia if you think a username is a threat to you... something I have noticed in only the most extreme misogynists/racists I've encountered on Youtube.	Psycho	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/175	0.571

<p>erikbarrett85 Spare me your deflections.” I don’t even think men consciously want to oppress!”What even is this? We just oppress women for no damn reason? Without even noticing?Isn’t it getting ever more elaborate?Don’t you just hate men to suggest such baseless thing.”Where do your ”natural roles” come from, eh?”From the way we have always lived to what lifestyle factually makes the sexes happy.”Women couldn’t exercise or be horny 40 years ago, you’re telling me they were more happy??? You clearly don’t know many women....”Meaningless personal experience fallacy.Facts, studies and common sense easily dismantle this. [LINK] [LINK] [LINK] ”I never said anyone is ”equal,” it’s a ridiculous concept””you start to treat people the same.”What hypocritical bullshit. The concept of equality is cruel.Equal treatment is cruel.Your ego is worth more to you then the lives of women. [LINK] It is part of a woman to not be the same as a man.You reject reality by ignoring that.It is a fact that the more successful a woman is the more depressive she is.All you got to counter this are baseless, emotional deflections.However I have presented data that works in conjunction with eachother. It is a net of truth that cannot be denied.Shows how shallow you are.”No one has said ANYTHING to indicate they hate women. ”Aren’t you the one to dany the inherent female nature? To force them into depression?By ignoring my points you do not debunk them”You can’t be surprised then that letting women play a game categorically rigged against them,...”Sure, by men who just for no reason hate women. How utterly despicable to think that.The game is rigged against men.It is men who go to jail majorily.It is men who are divorce raped.It is men who are homeless majorily.It is men who make cash whilst women are a net burden on society. As they waste the majority (85) of cash regardless. It is men who make up 95 of work deaths and accidents.It is men who work harder and longer then women. Taking care of the home is relaxing in comparison.Who are the majority on campuses?Your emotional assertion is yet again meaningless.</p>	Common, Woman	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/382	0.785
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	---------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>M.D. Geist why is it that you want my stance to be "all men do X?" I have never said that, you're just been inferring that. I told you this several times. I answered your question, which to me was nearly impossible seeing as I had never purported that men actively say "I hate women, I want to oppress them."I feel that you have no interest in seeing things from any other perspective. I've fallen into your little rhetoric traps (I.e. Defending myself against your inferences)... I made my argument in my original response, which, isn't too hard to find considering that it's just US and that other guy here since. I wondered how you got to asking jovan why he was so hateful, and in doing so, I opened the door for you to put ALL of his stances onto my name...I said you can't know someone's character from some YouTube comments, and you asked if I thought all men across all ages hate women...I said I can't speak for anyone else, but determined it felt IMPOSSIBLE to say "yes," which, isn't good enough for you?I said if all civilizations have crumbled, and all civilizations were patriarchal, then MAYBE that's NOT the best way???You asked me to prove something about double standards of beauty and sexuality... You should also have to prove it wrong, but... Men being allowed to be freely sexual while women need be virginal is harmful to BOTH sexes. Sex is emotional, and both genders should approach it carefully. But making women pretend they don't have a special appetite is dangerous, it's ,as you like to say, a "natural thing," and going against is, I believe, creates a dissonance that is harmful. You are right, tho. There are no double standards in nature...Women shouldn't have to wear makeup, it's unnatural. (Addressed because I believe if beauty standards and such didn't exist, the workplace would be less hostile towards women. Not all women WANT to be home makers)Do you suggest MEN give women their "role?" What IS that role, you're YET to say, unless I missed it WAY earlier in the thread...Going to reiterate that I DON'T believe that most men HATE women, and you saying that THAT is my mindset is erroneous. The post article addresses being conservative, but does NOT identify what they mean by that. I think that extreme liberalism or extreme "conservativeness" are harmful. I never said women SHOULDN'T be somewhat conservative. I believe in gender roles, I simply think that if a woman wanted a job add a cancer researcher, she should be allowed to have it, and NOT be hassled in the workplace, or looked at as a "guaranteed failure," as many men believe a woman in a STEM position will be. However....And this related to the article about Dutch women...I think EVERYONE should work part time. If women were happier in the 50's when they had a good husband and weren't a part of the "race to the top" rat race one should HARDLY be surprised. I think the goal of modern life, to accumulate wealth to receive power, respect, and happiness, is causing depression. You mashed up my words about equality. I said, very CLEARLY, that I meant equal in terms of expectations to fulfill their role, regardless of what they believe their role is.</p> <p>Saying "NO you can't do that, it's not the right role for you," will be harmful, ESPECIALLY if you're RIGHT and your mandate pushes a person further to prove you wrong and makes them stay longer in their false, non fulfilling role. I've never once said</p>	Gender, Race, Sex, Sexual, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	7/685	1.022
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>erikbarrett85 How often do I have to explain this to you?"why is it that you want my stance to be "all men do X?" "Do not put words in my mouth. Let's go through this step by step.It is a fact that all men of all civilizations in history have always treated women differently from men.I want you to face this fact.Men love women and they have always treated them differently from other men.What is the conclusion out of this?Do you actually think that all men of all civilizatuions ever just "subconsiously oppress women"? For no reason?You who you are against any sentiment of natural sex roles?It is either ingrained into men, by nature, to be sexist as it is the way it's supposed to be or men chose consciously to treat women differently because they love them or both, In this scenario there is no other option.You will not cop out of it by saying "can't speak for everyone".You and everyone will always treat what you love differently from your own kind.Your arguments are completely dismantled by this.This is the point that I have been trying to make,It was not good enough for me because you never thought one centimeter towards a conclusion."...ALL of his stances onto my name."Spare me. If you'd have any different view you could have just said so.That you're "antifeminist" is meaningless in that regard anyhow. Labels are just labels and as you push against sex roles you are being hypocritical."...then MAYBE that's NOT the best way???"And I would like to fly.But this is the only way for us humans. To make something else up is fantasy.As I have said before all civilizations fell whilst showing the same symptoms we expierence now.As long as there are sexes, and there will always be, there are two forms of goverment for humans.The natural patriarchy, as the way it has always been and as the way we naturally are, and the anti-civilization matriarchy that only arises in an unnatural envrironment. "You should also have to prove it wrong, but..."I actually don't but I will. A double standard presupposes that both involved parties are equal. They are not. Sleeping around only harms women. [LINK] It is also a fact that men may never get over a break up. [LINK] As you can see the sexes are vastly different.The truth is that for the best of humanity sex roles should be upheld.It may be that a woman says she wants a job however that is like a child asking for a gun.It is harmful for them and unlike the child maybe, women don't find satisfaction in being men or vice versa.I believe in ideology above everything. To function a nation has to uphold ideals.If you don't agree with these ideals you do not have a place in that nation naturally.Women should have the choice to follow a job SOMEWHERE ELSE.I suggest that we try to realize our natural sex roles as much as possible not just for our own benefit but for the benefit of everyone involved.Sex roles cannot be given.Also, I really thought that it would be obvious what the sex role of a man is.He should protect and sustain his family as he bears responsibilty for them, be strong of mind and body, be loyal and so on.You may say now: "Shouldn't a woman be loyal too?" And yes, she should. However being loyal differs in the challenges faced and in the way it's expressed according to the nature of the respective sex."...but does NOT identify..."We all know what being conservative means.Those people try to conserve the sense of what natural</p>	<p>Nation, Nothing, Patriarchy, Sex, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Nationality - General</p>	<p>15/881</p>	<p>1.703</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>I really love your channel, but this time I have to say: you absolutely didn't get the point of this whole "affair". As others pointed out already, this shirt was designed by a woman, deliberately tounge-in-cheek. Now some pseudo-feminists raged about Taylor wearing this shirt as a clear prove that he was misogynistic bastard, just by ASSUMING he is. Because, hell, it couldn't be that he wore this shirt as a geeky tounge-in-cheek insider joke, right? I find it a little disturbing that some of the loudest "feminist" voices out there can't stop emphasizing that women have the right to wear whatever they want, but no one should be allowed to judge them as a "slut" or "sexually available" only by looking at their outfit (in fact, I totally agree with this one). But men cannot do that. As soon as they wear a shirt like that, feel free to get those torches and pitchforks and run them down. This is a severe case of double standards, period. This is one of these cases that shed a really bad light on feminism, and a severe case of utter bullshit to begin with.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/192	0.521
<p>M.D. Geist I mostly DID say so, mate. You forced me to respond to your question, which I wasn't interested in debating. No one should just "sleep around," and I think full time soul sucking work is bad for EVERYONE. The work week should be shortened, we aren't hunting and gathering, and robots should be doing the heavy lifting ana eventually taking us over, or until we upload consciousness into tints.The thing you fail to realize, in my opinion, is that not every woman WANTS children at all times. Some NEVER do, some don't until later. Women shouldn't have to shy away from things because md geist wants to baby his lady. Read my comments, please. I said I'm against feminism, the rat race for men OR women, and that I'm PRO gender roles. I think that patriarchy is based on the false notion that male roles are most important. And in regard to the statement that women need to be "protected." Yes, they do....from men.</p> <p>Men who think they own the world...because of patriarchy. I'll say it again....civilizations.Where.Women.have.respect.are.more.happy.than ours.</p>	Gender, Patriarchy, Race, Woman	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	5/180	2.778

<p>erikbarrett85 You don't seem to have red my comment. Which I can understand for it's lenght."I think full time soul sucking work is bad for EVERYONE."No shit.However there is a difference between a soul-sucking and simply a hard job.Men themselves seek out hard jobs when those offer the opportunity of gain down the line.That also makes men happy whilst success makes women depressive."I said I'm against feminism, the rat race for men OR women, and that I'm PRO gender roles. I think that patriarchy is based on the false notion that male roles are most important."First, there is a reason that the patriarchy brought about gentlemannerism.Because they valued women more than men.That the role of men in a patriarchy.is more important is just your baseless assertion."...PRO gender roles..."As I have said before you can't have both.Our sex roles include the man being dominant and the woman being submissive.That is our nature as highlighted by the fact that people that live by it are happier."Where.Women.have.respect.are.more.happy.than ours."In a weird and unintended way you are ironically right. Feminism has led us to disregard the role and idea of anything that makes a woman a woman.Indeed countries that promote and respect the natural sex roles have also happier women.However you are a little bastard if you suggest that I don't respect women.It is simply that your view of what that entails is skewed.It is simple. Proper natural values have to be upheld and unnatural and destructive behaviour has to be demoted.I believe in ideology above everything. If you don't agree with the values of a nation or group you can't expect to be part of that nation or group.People should be able to follow destructive behaviour SOMEWHERE ELSE.Without influencing anyone else.</p>	Gender, Nation, Patriarchy, Race, Red, Sex, Weird, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Ethnicity - General, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General, Nationality - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	16/309	5.178
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	-------

M.D. Geist a woman with a buzz cut and a power suit attempting to bash her way to the top of the corporate ladder will undoubtedly be unhappy. There is very few jobs that provide real competition for men. I can't think of any personally that would make me feel fulfilled. I played Sports and that's plenty enough competition for me. I think you are stuck with the Stone Age mentality that we no longer need. As I have said I agree that feminism blur the edges of roles to the point that women want to compete with men in roles that, I don't even believe are FOR males...jobs in offices, trading stock, that end up paying ZERO fulfillment for anyone. It isn't that work is only for males. As I have said before there's cultures were both the man and the female have jobs and both are equally respected as a important jobs.I don't know ANY woman who gets depressed from success. Maybe you do, and maybe they should get a horse, or take up knitting, something creative...singing Idk. Women being "expected" to be subversive is why women end up subjected to male cruelty, patriarchy makes men feel entitled to women, which is wholly incorrect. It's a dumb assertion that a woman who get fully taken care of will be happier...No shit eh? So will a man who doesn't need to work, so long as he finds paths to fulfillment. Those paths are different for everyone. Women don't exist to "serve men." A real man should be able to take care of himself, and if you find a partner, to help them grow into the best person they can be.	Age, Dumb, Patriarchy, Woman, Zero	Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	7/282	2.482
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

erikbarrett85 "A woman with a buzz cut..."Don't try to blur it. Fact is that the more power and success a woman has the more depressive she will be."I think you are stuck..."Well, I don't think so. Just because you don't have something to get behind and to be competitive about doesn't mean it wouldn't deeply satisfy you according to your nature."As I have said before there is cultures were both...are equally respected as..."Don't insult me. How often do I have to explain this to you?There is a reason the patriarchy brought about gentlemannerism.Don't give me that the patriarchy doesn't respect women in their role."I know no..."I don't care about your personal experience; it doesn't negate facts.Also, acting the part is what troubled leaders do and have to do". patriarchy makes men feel entitled to women,"What ironic nonsense. Read "the privileged sex " and think what gentlemannerism is about.Women were always the privileged and protected sex .And yes being submissive gives power to the dominant counter part.Power can always be misused for harm.However such outliers are no reason to systematically drive all of womankind into depression..."taken care pf will be happier..."..."serve men..."..."A real man should..."You aren't even making any sense anymore.You don't notice those contradictions? Woman should take care of children and manage the household.A real man should not only take care of himself and but also provide for his family.The sexes are made to be complementary.That is the way we naturally are and it's the best way.Your suggestions are unnatural and inane.	Patriarchy, Sex, Woman	Gender - General	8/274	2.92
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	------------------	-------	------

<p>M.D. Geist not trying to blur anything. The corporate world seems to help NO one...executives piss in their hotel beds from the pressure, people drink excessively.... You don't know me, so don't assume I have nothing to be competitive about. I meant I can't think of jobs that are competitive that would be fulfilling, as alluded to MANY times, I have no interest in climbing the corporate ladder, and to accumulate wealth for the sake of the act. I get my respect for the things I do like music, hockey, stand up comedy...Not trading fake money for some corporation or fucking off behind a computer all day... You can feel insulted if you allow yourself to. I didn't give you that "patriarchy doesn't respect women in their role," I said it forces women INTO a role, one which not everyone wants. It puts men atop a non-existent hierarchy, when no natural hierarchy exists. Not one I which one gender is "better," they're just "different."You don't care about my personal experience? Cool, I don't care about yours. I haven't negated facts, only YOUR opinion on other opinions.I don't see any contradictions. You're mis-ordering my sentences. Do YOU have any children? Let me guess...No. Because if you DID, you'd know that providing goods is NOT what makes for a well developed child...It's love from parents. You're stuck in a thought process that is DYING, courts and psychologists realize the importance of fathers in children's lives, it's NOT up to the mothers. You don't happen to have noticed it's MEN that are destroying the world? Most of those angry violent men had fathers that paid them no mind. I truly will be shocked to find out you have (happy) children... Women are creative, nurturing, exploring....any job that fulfills those qualities should be able to be pursued. BOTH sexes need to be available to help nurture the child, and manage the home. Providing a home is more than proving walls and a roof... Are you married?My assertion was that partners should complement each other...You're incapable of seeing outside your head, clearly. Are you married? Or with a partner? Do you have any children?</p>	Gender, Nothing, Patriarchy	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/362	0.829
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

erikbarrett85 Yes, bureaucracy is unnatural and depression inducing.However that is not the point.The point is that if achieved success the sexes react completely different to it."when no natural hierarchy exists."Nature is sexist. You can't just make shit up."Not one gender is better..."You are just trying me now. How pathetic.Guess what gentlemanism is there for?No one said that any of the sexes is better.That is just your mind.Also, you haven't negated anything.What baseless rambling. When did I say that parents aren't supposed to give love to their child? When I talked about what a woman's direct "job" in the world is, I meant the physical care for the child. No basis to spin your ridiculous assertion that I actually argue children shouldn't be loved by their parents or it isn't important.Love isn't a job but obviously it should be given. Goes to show how your brain works."Do you have any children?"Just mere sentences before you said that you don't care about my personal experience.Saying so doesn't make it so.Also, I am the guy who said that the sexes should complement eachother. Are you trolling me?You are the guy arguing that there are no natural sex roles.And yes, saying that there is no natural hierarchy is exactly that.Aside from your ridiculous statement that "everything is natural".	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	2/233	0.858
erikbarrett85 When did I say I don't have one?I simply outlined your hypocrisy again.You said you didn't care about my personal experience."must hate women""And this is your problem. My comments are so lengthy because you don't have any factual substance to yours.All you have are emotional, baseless assertions far from trying to understand what I say. No matter from what angle I say it.Last try.Women are women because it is their nature to be. I have plentifully evidenced by facts what this includes.To truly love women can now only mean accepting and supporting their nature.To treat them equally to men means that you disregard both the idea of them and generally women.But "being complementary" is just some emotional, feel-good bullshit like all other things to you, isn't it? You wouldn't ever care about your woman enough to back that up."you're a word twister and a stance assumer, then you force that view in everything I say."Spare me your ad hominem. I am the one with the facts and I am trying to make sense of your inane ravings.You said "everything is natural" and you say, despite that, there are natural sex roles.What complete bullshit is this?You won't sweep it under the carpet with your ad hominem and I will not forget it by you not answering to it.Fact is that nature is sexist and foolish behavior should be halted for the better of all involved.That is the way we have always been and your emotional fantasies won't change that.	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/266	0.752

M.D. Geist theguardian.comnature has GENDER ROLES, which you're keen to point out, for procreation. That's IT...Not for what "jobs" women can do. These jobs were have aren't found in nature, aside from, as I've mentioned, anything that can exist is technically from nature...I.e.natural. Women can work in science, engineering, tech, etc etc, so long as the work is fulfilling. Looking at them as tho they should be home cooking, or not working in a lab, is sexist. Women are PLENTY capable. There are societies that exist today that are similar to how Hunter gatherer tribes would have been, and rape is rare. [LINK] [LINK] I have read books, and stored the info in my mind. These are not even the studies that led me to think about this how I do, it's just other evidence supporting it. I read your stuff, EVERY word, I expect the same treatment, frankly.	Gender	Gender - General	1/150	0.667
M.D. Geist you piss me off, also, so, perhaps it's negated. I told you I didn't ARGUE those points, or if I did, that it was a different interpretation. Women are unhappy, from what I can deduce, because the workforce today is so unfulfilling. They're also more likely to SAY the unhappy compared to years ago, while men still can't say they are unhappy themselves. I believe patriarchy , the belief that men are atop a (man made...) hierarchy has led to the problems women need to be "protected from." Sleeping around is bad for EVERYONE, but there's NOTHING wrong with sexual experimentation...assuming you don't like the "sexual deviance" on 50 shades? Idk why you bring that up. Converting to Islam seems, to me, like being in any religion.....it's for people who are scared of death and can't face the problems in their life because no one is giving them the help to find the necessary tools themselves.	Nothing, Patriarchy, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	4/157	2.548

erikbarrett85 You don't answer at all. How often have I told you that those things I mentioned work in conjunction?" Women are unhappy, from what I can deduce, because the workforce today is so unfulfilling."And why are men that are successful less liklely to be depressive? Despite working in that same workforce?Whilst women being the opposite?Think. "They're also more likely to SAY the unhappy compared to years ago,..." <p>Of course because you say so."...while men still can't say they are unhappy themselves."Of course."...assuming you don't like the "sexual deviance" on 50 shades? Idk why you bring that up."Yeah, I can imagine that. Lets see...A feminine woman that submits to a masculine provider alpha.What could I possibly bring it and its astonishing mainstream success (especially as something niche) up for?How could I possibly link that to the disproportionate conversion of women to islam?"... like being in any religion..." Except equality. How dense can you be?I ask you why would western women find allure, above the allure men find in it, in islam?What could islam possibly have that is lacking in the western world of equality? All of what I said is connected but clearly I overestimated you with your emotions above reason mannerisms.Also, patriarchy made the world we live in.No civilization without patriarchy.Get back in your desert.You should really read "Of the rise and fall of ancient civilizations".So, what exactly did I run from anyway?</p>	Patriarchy, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	4/253	1.581
+Special Agent of the Patriarchy If you think all STEM fields are... as you say.... "maeticulous".... you obviously aren't a software developer (although I am meticulous enough to make sure my grammar and spelling doesn't give people internet cancer, so you win that round I suppose). I definitely love being a programmer and I know my fellow female colleagues would agree. You either don't work in STEM or you're working in a company that specifically doesn't hire women...? Either way, check yourself before you wreak yourself, my man.	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/88	1.136
The real issues are weighed down by people arguing about whether a t-shirt is morally correct, domestic violence is a real issue not a t-shirt. In the want of perfect equality people complain about the most minute things and this just makes the whole subject of gender equality be taken as a joke by many. When you complain about a t-shirt and somebody getting abused in the same light it demeans the issue of abuse rather than help your cause, it is counter productive. Stop judging what need not be judged and let's actually make some positive change for everybody.	Gender	Gender - General	1/100	1.0

<p>"If people are using language to have a moral argument about it, they're being stupid because they should both just go read the research about it." Research on whether (for example) pornography or sexualization in video games is hugely weighed down by prejudices though - I've read some of them and they're full of loaded moral assumptions (i.e. "caring about how you look" = "self-objectification" = bad)It's like how research "objectively proving" male bisexuality doesn't exist is extremely hole-filled and often conducted by homophobic straight men or biphobic gay men.Also, why call yourself "pro-life" instead of "anti-abortion"?. It just sounds smug as hell to call yourself "pro-life" - as if those who think abortion should be allowed are fundamentally "anti-life".</p>	Gay, Homophobic	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/122	2.459
<p>We don't need to watch what he said. He's a man doing a video blog about sexism which implies a minority dynamic he couldn't understand in his lifetime. Don't expect it to be humorous or insightful.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/36	2.778
<p>I LOVE your discussions and you started off so good but then at 3:23 you go from talking about how people are reacting to the shirt to just straight up "this gets to the beating heart of sexism, racism, ect. is because its pleasurable" you skipped the discussion of IF the people that have a problem with this shirt are infact sexist or maybe they have their own reasons. your implying "the reason these racist sexist people are reluctant to tell men what they can or cant wear is because they enjoy being dominant over wemon" Like you see what im saying? you didnt provide a aposing view you just went straight to "your sexist if you DONT agree with the people that dont like this shirt. I know this is from over 2 years ago but its sadly still a heated discussion and relevant to this day.</p>	Racism, Racist, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/148	2.027
<p>so because we cant prove if there is or is not equal opportunity and we can not prove that women are less or equally interested in STEM, then can we look at it in a artistic fashion? I know the art isnt good on the shirt but its still art, and expression of what the artist thinks is sexy or beautiful. I'm a all or nothing kind of guy, I dont like grey exceptions so I personally think he should have been dressed "professionally" in the first place, either allow casual cloths or dont but if you do allow casual, then what right do we have to say that its not "real" art and that its causing more harm than good because others got offended. Its easier to see why some women are upset about this shirt than it is to see how this type of "power" can negate and make fearful any artist in the future to draw/paint a girl that they themselves find attractive</p>	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/166	0.602

<p>Nerdwriter1 I'm sorry, but all I got from your video is that people are overreacting to something incredibly insignificant. Then you lose me when you imply that I somehow take pleasure in demeaning everyone I perceive as lesser, at least that's what I understood. It felt like you were trying to cause some sort of guilty emotional response in me rather than bringing an actual argument to the table, because you never really elaborate on this point you deem so crucial. Also, your bringing up of statistics really make me cringe. They can be so easily manipulated that simply bringing them up isn't helping your argument in any way. I would've liked further elaboration on that as well.</p> <p>I must agree with the mayor of London, just because something offends someone, something so meaningless and almost non-existent as a man's preference in shirts, doesn't mean there isn't room for it in this world. I think the problem with a lot of people nowadays isn't that they're sexist pigs, but that they are overly sensitive and self-entitled in the most disgusting of ways. It comes off as spoiled and whiny, like they can't deal with the reality that not everything is going to please them and their ideals. This is why so many of us watching this shit-storm as it unfolds only shake our heads in annoyance.</p>	PIGS	Nationality - Southern European	1/225	0.444
<p>I'm not a fan of shirt. However, I think your commentary suggests that there is some connection between him wearing that shirt and fewer women in STEM. (I assume you meant that shirt to be representative of sexism in the field, not this particular instance.) This is something I am not sure of. People are offensively sexist in every field. I would like to see a study that shows whether sexism in professional life is dominant force that leads women to make particular career choices or not. If I were to hypothesize, I think the sexism that leads to fewer female STEM careers is happening at the educational level and even at home. We have a culture that leads women to identify with certain type of careers and abilities.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	3/129	2.326
<p>STEM is not the only relevant things women can do. In fact, they are the only areas they are not majority. Why find sexism against women in STEM but not sexism against men in every other field where women dominate? That's very hypocritical and sexist... even if you use it for appealing to women.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/54	3.704
<p>Sexism against men is certainly real. I believe it's the vast minority, but real nonetheless. What fields did you have in mind?</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/22	4.545

Nerdwriter1 Many jobs relating to care-taking. I once applied for a job in a kindergarten, and the woman hiring straight up said "men are not fit for caring for children". i was shocked, i love children but i was blatantly demonized, men are often perceived as perverted, mindless, tough hunks of unemotional machinery. and it's becoming more and more accepted to think like this, feminism has great qualities but a sad byproduct of it's achievements is this stereotyping of men.	Woman	Gender - General	1/80	1.25
I'm glad you're trying to point out the basis of the backlash against feminism and feminist action, but I'm afraid that in mentioning taking away men's 'pleasure' you may be giving anti-feminists a weapon to use against feminism, in that that 'pleasure' was theirs to own and enjoy and we are 'taking it away from them'. It should be mentioned that that pleasure comes at the cost of everyone else's pleasure - women, POC, gay people, disabled people. I'm a woman in science - I'm studying to be a medical doctor - and that shirt didn't anger me so much as it depressed me. I was incredibly excited about the rosetta landing, but that shirt made it obvious to me that despite my interest in the sciences, and my having loved astronomy as a hobby since childhood, this man viewed me in the same way as the old men who would feel me up on the subway when I was 14. My interest and passion in the subject means nothing to people who only see women as objects to be consumed. It's important to remember that the reaction to the shirt isn't just a reaction to the one instance, but the lifetime of instances of people telling women that they aren't good enough, that they are only worth how attractive men find them. I believe this constitutes a systematic denial of 'pleasure' for women and other marginalised people, who constantly have to work against society in order to achieve their goals and to stay safe. For example, I would love to travel alone, but I cannot due to the high chances of being raped. A black person would love to be able to call the police without being afraid for their own lives. This 'pleasure' that white straight men get out of bigotry comes from a denial of the pleasure of so many others, and It's important to recognise that, especially when pointing out why so many people are hesitant to let that go.I just finished a fantastic book on global sexism called 'half the sky'. It's a tough read but hopeful and incredibly pragmatic and largely free from dissertation-level doublespeak, which works in its favour. Check it out: [LINK]	Black, Disabled, Gay, Nothing, Old, Sexism, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	8/371	2.156

American privlge is what this is. Ok, facts. Nobody is stopping women from going into STEM. Nobody stops men from going into the creative arts or womens studies fields. Yes it is annoying hearing about a shirt with boobs on it for the first time since the 5th grade. Please do not tell me that I take pleasure in womens struggles because I disagree with your well groomed opinion. Here's why I don't like it. DISPITE THE INTENTIONS OF ACTIVISTS, THIS MAN, THIS TOTAL DORK WAS BULLIED AND HARRASED UNTIL HE CRIED APPOLOGIZING TO THE PUBLIC. The people behind shirtstorm have a lot in common with the people behind gamergate This kind of public humiliation and forced morality is childish and pathetic. On both sides.	Common, Nobody	Age - Youngsters, Social Class - Working class	3/125	2.4
It would be amazing if you did a video/vlog on homosexuality. That would be very interesting! I am not "anti- gay " or anything like that, I love gays! But I find it interesting, and I cannot be the only one who has noticed this, that the gay agenda or propaganda has two different sides. First, the right for gays to marry, that it is natural. etc. I see that the first is obvious but the second is somewhat overlooked. The second side is that others are wrong and are haters. I mean that by condemning all who disagree with gays, and calling them haters. Yea it would be great to see your side on the issue! Love the vids! Keep them coming!	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/121	1.653
You lost me at "the gay agenda or propaganda."	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/9	22.222
Nerdwriter1 Cute video, but isn't it sexist to assume that a man wearing a shirt of sexily clad women, is limiting women from choosing the field of science and mathematics? That's essentially saying women are not strong willed enough nor logical enough to look past a shirt for their own self worth. If images define a gender , then isn't that implying that gender is too weak to stand up and create their own image without complaining about their preexisting image. This argument is so ridiculous, I fail to see how you can begin to even the frustration over one shirt.	Gender	Gender - General	2/100	2.0

Adam Eliassen Except it would be the same the other way around. Women wear shirts of muscle clad dudes all the time, and yet I don't hear this same argument. Also, if women can't get past this "oppression" of opinion then they are not a strong minded as they pretend and really are fucking lame ? Opinions shouldn't mean shit. And women aren't a hive mind. They shouldn't feel degraded because of a t shirt being worn around people. That's fucking nonsensical.	Lame	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/81	1.235
Not threatened, just annoyed. I don't like his shirt. I HATE his shirt, and he should burn it. But it's a tacky shirt and his boss should've called him out on his dress code violation when he saw him. If he had, this could've all been avoided. Basically, this dude made a stupid choice and his boss said "meh, whatever" and now one of the most interesting and historic missions of my lifetime is forever marred and inseparable from the actions of two dolts who didn't think. Basically, I can't talk about this with anyone without bringing up his stupid ugly shirt. And I KNOW it'll have a very real impact, and I know it's lamentable, but I would much rather people take actions to stop more prominent sexism in media and business than tweet about some dumb scientist's wardrobe. There. I'm annoyed.	Dumb, Sexism, Tacky	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Working class	3/143	2.098

<p>First off, I'm a big fan of your channel. I always get excited when I see something new get uploaded. You've done a great job at helping me look at things from a different perspective.Hate that this is the first time I'm posting on your channel but I've spent the entire day reading about several cultural occurrences very similar to this one and you uploading this today leads me feeling that I need to say this: I was entirely on board until around 3:05. This line of argument is what I find most annoying about these discussions. To keep in theme with your video- I feel like I'm in danger of not getting to have an opinion.When you bring up privilege or the patriarchy (both things that, I admit, do exist in our culture in one way or another) a card is being played that effectively invalidates anything I have to say. By saying I'm so entrenched in the patriarchy and entitlement that I'm not even aware of my own actions not only belittles my opinion but effectively removes me from the conversation entirely. I must bow out of any discussion or else I am somehow supporting the patriarchy and/or abusing my privilege. Have I been on the receiving end of some advantages that have not been made available to others? Probably. Should this mean that I don't get to have an opinion or even have the ability to engage in a debate in regards to anything involving gender or race? I certainly hope not. Even if I am in fact speaking from a privileged position. I personally would not wear that man's shirt nor would I ever want to purchase something like it. That shit is tacky. But if it's how he chooses to express or identify himself- then I believe he does not deserve to be shamed for this. And effectively that is what's happening- maybe not a crucifixion but it is certainly attempted character assassination. We both know that there is a difference between sexism and misogyny but the general public doesn't. And labeling someone's attire or attitude as sexist places that person with some pretty unscrupulous company.So I can understand why people would be offended by X and I can understand why people would fight against X. But what I can't understand is why if I disagree with your stance then I must also defend ALL oppression of women and/or non-white people.Any way, thanks for looking at all these comments. As I'm sure you're aware- you've opened a pretty big can of worms. Best of luck getting through it all...and keep up the good work.</p>	Gender, Misogyny, Patriarchy, Race, Sexism, Tacky	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	8/443	1.806
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>You quote statistics concerning the lack of women in stem fields. This is undoubtedly true, however due to the ages of the people involved, it will be a long time for the statistics to change due to how out of proportion they've been. If you look at younger age groups within large Stem companies, women are a much higher percentage of people. The point I'm making is that it takes awhile for the efforts of today to show themselves in percentages of the scale, and using it as an indicator isn't particularly helpful. I'd be more interested to know about 20-30 year olds, and what the percentages are there, and perhaps how it's changed. I think there's a real discussion that's interesting and fulfilling along the lines of women in Stem. I see in companies that are global that even the region can really dictate how these percentages work out. Clearly different biases and social pressures are occurring in each place which is interesting to consider. I think having a dispassionate discussion as opposed to an enflamed passionate one is where we can find value. - When it comes to the shirt, it's in poor taste, but it doesn't speak to a broader issue in my mind, it speaks to one individual's poor decision making. No company would allow them to wear that shirt in the workplace, and that speaks volumes for the fact this isn't even allowed in "Stem" fields. It feels like everyone else is having a discussion that is very distant from the workplace. Perhaps I'm wrong, and biased by working in a professional environment.</p>	Age	Age - General	1/268	0.373
<p>You're not wrong, and this is a wonderful comment. Obviously I do think the shirt has an effect. But, wow, the level of thoughtfulness in these comments never ceases to amaze me. Your point on stats for younger age groups is well taken. I regret flame wars as well. Thanks for leading by example.</p>	Age	Age - General	1/54	1.852
<p>Excellent comment concerning the statistics, thanks <3 Plus, I am really confused that in 2014, we are still using a symbol (the shirt) to draw conclusions about a phenomenon as a whole (sexism). It's a logical fallacy, because we just can't link directly a one man's clothing decisions to the general state of women's place in science : we would first need to be sure that the decision was sexist, that the scientific community approved the sexist decision, etc.In any case, the rational way to think just tells us : "don't use symbols to draw conclusions.", and it is surprising that so much intelligent people got in a fight or took positions regarding this case, while totally forgetting this simple logical advice...TL;DR : Don't use shirts to acquire knowledge on the state of anti-sexism, use statistics :-P</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/139	0.719

<p>It all just seems hypocritical coming from feminists to me. The same people who will say that they want to be able to wear whatever they want without being objectified will then condemn a man for doing the same thing. Never-mind the fact that the shirt was made by his girlfriend and that she thinks it looks awesome. So in that regard, I don't think I'm annoyed or threatened, it's just that as a woman I can only see this response to what is effectively a non-issue as diminishing real sexism.I can understand why the outrage exists, but what this boils down to me is that there is a collective mentality within feminism (and to varying degrees, against feminism) that is unable to see men or people accused of sexism as individuals who possess their own individual rights. Once they are labelled as sexist they seem to have their individuality removed and all reasonable dialogue goes out the window with it. It's something that I think that Martin Luther King, Jr. was more than aware of within the civil rights movement. I know it was a different time with a different context, but I think there is something haunting about how applicable his words are when applied the modern feminist movement. And in that respect, I think he said it best:"We must not seek to use our emerging freedom and our growing power to do the same thing to the white minority that has been done to us for so many centuries. Our aim must never be to defeat or humiliate the white man. We must not become victimized with a philosophy of black supremacy. God is not interested merely in freeing black men and brown men and yellow men, but God is interested in freeing the whole human race. We must work with determination to create a society, not where black men are superior and other men are inferior and vice versa, but a society in which all men will live together as brothers and respect the dignity and worth of human personality."</p>	Black, Race, Sexism, Woman, Yellow	Ethnicity - Asian (East-China, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam), Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Nationality - Chinese, Nationality - Japanese	10/346	2.89
<p>File "diminishing real sexism" under things we flat out disagree on. This idea that feminists are "unable to see men or people accused of sexism as individuals who possess their own individual rights" is somewhat odd to me. Why do you think that? Is that what you think I'm doing here?</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	2/51	3.922

Nerdwriter1I guess I'll have to settle on that disagreement, and no I don't think this is what you are doing. I just think that it's something that happens far too often with the various issues that spring up around feminism. Whether that might be people unable to recognize that the majority of people in GamerGate aren't trying to harass anyone or individuals like Gail Dines refusing to accept that women entering the pornography industry do so of their own volition and that what they do for a living is not rape - once you remove their individuality it's easy to dismiss them or use them for your own agenda. In the case of the latter with Gail Dines, she pushes the idea that said women are helplessly pushed towards pornography by men and are then put through horrific experiences beyond imagining and as a result all pornography should be banned. What she doesn't accept is that those women choose to go into pornography of their own volition, actually enjoy the sex , and that they are the ones who are in control and have the most power and say when on the set. But she's allowed to get away with making up this narrative because she doesn't treat those women as individuals. If she accepted that they made their own decisions this narrative of hers would crumble.	Sex	Gender - General	1/227	0.441
Do you mean far left? What do you think a feminist is? Gender equality is not as extreme of an idea as you think.	Gender	Gender - General	1/24	4.167

<p>I am having a lot of difficulty with this. We often focus on only one type of objectification when we talk about it - the sexual objectification of women. Unfortunately, this is not the only type of objectification that exists. For example, I would argue that a man wearing a nice suit and watch in an ad could be financially objectified (and this could also be sexual, depending on what a person finds attractive). We also choose to objectify ourselves at times, and this is not necessarily a bad thing, as I'm sure a model's bank account will indicate. But the point of speaking out against it is that objectification treats people as only having one defining characteristic that makes them desirable, and not a fully-developed human, as they truly are. It is bad because it takes away the agency of that person, unwillingly. (There is a interesting side note here about campaigning against judging women by how they dress, but not standing by that campaign for a male).The question then becomes this: is a scantily clad woman inherently sexual? and if there is some kind of image of that woman, is it inherently objectification? At this point we start getting into the messy grey area of motivations and intent, and whether or not those matter when someone else is offended. And, to a lesser extent, how people allow themselves to react and behave when offended.This is where I think that it makes a transition into the subject of women in STEM fields. If we are going to ask, "Is this shirt indicative of an attitude in STEM fields?" then we first must figure out what the shirt indicates. I don't think many people paused to ask that question. I cannot say whether or not women are kept out of STEM research by one method or another, but I do not think that this shirt is an indicator of any particular culture than his tattoos might be.The point is this- we must broaden our definitions of objectification, and realize that it is something we all do, on a regular basis. This will help us identify and tackle the seriously detrimental objectification (and be rightfully offended and reactionary), while leaning to let the lesser, non-detrimental act that we all do just fade away into a brightly colored, obnoxious, tacky background.</p>	Colored, Sexual, Tacky, Transition, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Transexuality, Social Class - Working class	8/392	2.041
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Well said, dbrockop. Worth a read for anyone scrolling through these comments. "We first must figure out what the shirt indicates." An interesting thought experiment might be to ask: How would you feel about the shirt if it was full of totally nude women? Or just one giant nude woman across the front? Would it still just be obnoxious and tacky? Or would you say it's disrespectful to women to wear that on a day when you know you'll be on a webcast watched by thousands of women and girls? Would it be disrespectful to men if you had naked men on a shirt and wore it in the same circumstances? I think it would be disrespectful in both circumstances. But it feels a little funny to ask about the naked men, right? I think that feeling tells us something important. It tells us these things don't exist in a vacuum. Like it or not, women have experienced real, unforgivable, often silent oppression for a very long time. It doesn't just go away, it's systematized in our culture. So I think that, though the women are not nude on Taylor's shirt, the effect of scantily clad women is not much different. It indicates a similar thing, that this is a boy's club. Not by itself, but along with the many other things that act as puzzle pieces to make that larger message. Maybe you disagree, and I wouldn't hold it against you, as I can see you've really thought about this. To quote you: "I am having a lot of difficulty with this." These are subtle things we're talking about.</p>	Tacky, Woman	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	2/270	0.741
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	---------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Nudity and FCC regulations aside, we're still making a fundamental assumption here: visual depiction of certain areas women's skin is, by nature, inherently (a) sexual and (b) objectification (which is assumed to be bad). I'm not saying this shirt wasn't sexual, and I'm unclear on whether or not it objectifies women, as this is a fairly poorly defined concept (are these real women depicted? what if it was wonder woman?). But that's my point - vast assumptions about a nebulous topic. My point here is that we should probably have the same attitude in social situations as judicial. We usually call it the benefit of the doubt. (It should be worth noting I'm not really defending the shirt here, but rather the idea that we can determine all of these things from one action and somehow use it to shape our view of not only academia but also society)I have a hard time agreeing with the idea that this shirt is an indicator of general sentiment in STEM fields, with relation to both fashion and women. I would be tempted to ask why it is that women are under-represented in STEM fields when women have also done overwhelmingly well in other fields. Certainly the concept of a boy's club (or another kind of restriction) would be an easy, and viable answer. Even though it may have merit, I think it is a lazy answer, and is too quick to pin the problem on an external force. As noted by other commenters, the age of the sample group is quite telling. Simply looking at the end result (or progress thus far) cannot indicate equality of opportunity, nor lack thereof. If this were the case, we can conclude that nursing is a "girl's club" and excludes men. Is it?It actually doesn't feel funny to ask about men, at least for me. You're right that society rarely does, though. But I'd be willing to bet that if he was wearing a shirt with objectified men on it, there would be more outcry that it harms women than men because of its aggressive take on male sexuality.</p>	Age, Sexual, Woman	Age - General, Gender - General	4/355	1.127
<p>Why is Kim Kardashian choosing to show her skin toxic? It's clearly her choice. I don't think the images have anything to do with misogyny (except for the reactions to the images), but they do have racist overtones. It's better than having someone leak a sex tape of yourself against your consent.</p>	Misogyny, Racist, Sex	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/52	5.769
<p>I am only using the same kind of language "the other side" is using. They're using expressions like "misogynistic" to describe his shirt.I like your videos, I've been watching for over a year but I don't think this shirt is a problem. I think it's a very dumb decision to wear it considering the current social climate is all.I've just heard that the reason women aren't getting into STEM fields is because it's filled with nerds two are socially inept and like to tinker with stuff by themselves. That is of course a thing said for comedic effect but whoever said it might have some kind of point.</p>	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/110	0.909

I understand the notion that hate can be pleasurable, but I think the other side has a problem with how others are dealing with sexism in the first place. Not talking about sexism is a sin, but not talking about how sexism in context and how it has changed and been fought against historically is also a sin. Criticism is necessary for change, but so is a woman with the graduate degree saying fuck all of that bullshit, I'm going to get the job by any means necessary. The implicit nature of sexism is always going to exist no matter what. By all means, criticize a man's shirt, but you can't stop people from being assholes. In the end, what's better? Showing a billion different examples of how American culture objectifies women and how these people should stop contributing to this culture when you wear a shirt, show an ad of scantily clad women, Kim Kardashian shows her ass, etc. Or empowering our children and women to be aware of this cultural pattern and teach them that you can tell the establishment to fuck off by becoming the first female president as one example. The latter to me seems like more significant progress than simply pointing out a symptom.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	5/209	2.392
Hey, just want to say thank you. It's hard to get two words in edgewise about this topic as a woman on the internet, and you have really covered all the bases in a thoughtful and articulate way. Thank you ! Short, Sweet, Factual and to the point!	Woman	Gender - General	1/48	2.083
+Nerdwriter1 Don't you think transgender surgery is vastly imposed by norms of conservative cultures? what is wrong with have a female mind in a male body, you just act as you want except forbid by social pressure. The only use is for sexual pleasure as i see, but does it value surgery, is not it better to treat psychology of the persons and remove the social barriers than using a firing squad to kill a mosquito?	Sexual, Transgender	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Transexuality	2/76	2.632
your theorem has one flaw , how does it take into account women who are annoyed by feminists , by your theorem their annoyance is actually them feeling threatened wouldn't that be against the common logic that ,if they are in fact the bottom of the social ladder they will feel happy and not threatened when feminists speak out .	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/60	1.667
Dougie Bell ah okay, so the women anti feminists basically don't consider it an actual issue, so they feel like feminists are people who whine about nothing or people who feel like they should just deal with it instead of complaining	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/41	2.439
Dougie Bell 18, Indian , liberal, nerd	Indian	Ethnicity - Native-American	1/6	16.667

<p>you may not think that you yourself are not crucifying Matt Taylor, but others were definitely on that bandwagon. I agree that yea, it was inappropriate, but it did not warrant the degree of criticism, or more specifically, the reactions it garnered. The internet has become a giant hive mind. I do not believe at all that most people who've come to criticize Dr. Taylor on the tweets or whatnot fully thought about the problem, not as much as you have. Not everyone, especially on the internet, approaches problems in an academic sense. It was pure and simple mob attack by an inquisition of social justice warriors on the internet despite on whether their side was right or not.If the whole internet cared that deeply about the subject of sexism, as they seemed to have been in the case of Dr. Taylor, then they would be up in arms against every misogynistic imagery in Hollywood, mainstream media, etc. and even in their own background, the internet. We would have seen the same degree of reaction, but we haven't.It's clear to me then that this particular instance has only come to this point simply because it's gotten a lot of coverage and exposure, and everyone decided to mindlessly jump into the scene</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/213	0.469
<p>My whole thoughts on this video...I'd like to preface this by saying I am a feminist and advocate for equality. But I don't think that shirt was degrading. I think it would be degrading if people saw women as purely sexual objects, and I can see how many people could misinterpret that shirt as enforcing that cultural standard. But I think we're looking in the wrong place, I don't think the problem lies in that action. The problem lies in the fact that people THINK this is degrading. Why is wearing a shirt with a woman showing off her body degrading? If a woman actually wanted to do that, then I say go ahead, they are allowed to make their own choices. There's this belief that being very open with your body or sexuality is "bad" for women, and in my opinion, we should work to destroy that notion. It's okay to work in a STEM field if you want, it's also okay to be a pornstar if you want, it's also okay to be a musician, or whatever you want to do with your life. But enforcing the idea that a woman showing off her body is shameful will ultimately make close-minded individuals who will also end up thinking that showing off your body is degrading. I say woman should have free choice deciding on what they want to be, and that this shirt isn't degrading because if some people want to be strippers, or sex-workers, or pornstars, or models, and show off their body then that is okay. I think the mindset of "woman are only good for sex" is disgusting, but I don't think the way to solve it is to label something like that is degrading. Thanks for reading, and if you see this Nerdwriter, I look forward to your response :)</p>	Sex, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	7/307	2.28

"You say you believe in equality but you don't mean that, you're only speaking for one side of the spectrum." Can you explain to me what you mean by that? I don't think you understood what I was trying to convey. Men should be allowed to do what they want, and so should woman , assuming it doesn't negatively affect other people. I never said anything about men having things women don't have, I'm just confused by your statement, can you clarify it a bit for me?	Woman	Gender - General	1/86	1.163
"maybe...Cultural norms so invisible they seem like insights of gender ."Well, isn't that convenient. You don't even have to prove that. We just have to take your opinion on it. What came first, you intellectual? Culture or biology? It doesn't take much to logically conclude that culture is based on biology. Further, do you think that all men of all ages and civilizations across the entire earth just hate women? What is your elaborate explanation for this "oppressive" way women were ALWAYS treated by men that are undeniably biologically engineered to love women? Have you ever even asked this question? Also, do you know that women were happier 35 years ago then now, that they are taking antidepressants like it's a fashion statement and that they are converting to Islam disproportionately to men? Why would they possibly convert to such an oppressive religion? Why would they possibly read "50 shades of grey"? Don't tell me that that's "invisible cultural norms" OUT OF DAMN THIN AIR.	Gender, Thin	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical Features	2/166	1.205
Lots of jibber-jabber and pussyfooting in the videoThe presence or absence of women in STEM fields shouldn't be a bigger concern than choosing the right person for the job irrespective of gender, race or religionPS: If you're pissing off radical feminists and SJWs, you must be doing something right	Gender, Race	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	2/51	3.922
Feminism may have started out that way Zane but it very much doesn't now. words change, times change, people evolve and see past the bullshit that comes with the crazies in any movement. it has been tainted by the rage and triggered "oppressed" feminazi mad women who have a vendetta against anyone who disagrees or dare object to their supposed (but mostly ill informed and non statistically backed up)"facts" of why men must die and patriarchy is just men oppressing women more like some its some big conspiracy amongst all men. i 100 want equality for EVERYONE across the board. beating others down until they sink to your level of rude, satirical and sadistic narcissism is not equality in my eyes. equality is everyone bettering themselves and helping others, no matter what the gender , colour or religion someone chooses. i also don't want it forced on me. i am atheist but i don't feel the need to go around telling every person of faith that they are oppressing me and need to kill themselves for their opinion!(yes i am saying that crazy "feminists" do this not ALL!)	Crazy, Feminazi, Gender, Patriarchy	Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	4/187	2.139

Newton most famous law. "For every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction". The nature was unbalanced, the shirt didn't even have enough mass to cause that much gravitational force in the first place, but SJWs and feminists have to made a big deal out of it so we have to reduce it to the normal status, otherwise, some day... some day with enough BS gravity created out of thin-air, it will eventually developed into a black hole and destroy everything we built.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/84	1.19
can you do a video about that google document that floated around the company? or maybe the science of sexual harrasement??	Sexual	Gender - General	1/21	4.762

<p>1. Matt Taylor’s shirt was made BY A WOMAN fashion designer, and friend, and was given to him as a present.2. If a woman’s ambition for a STEM career is put off by a man wearing a shirt with sexy ladies on it, then she was never than passionate about STEM to begin with, or simply has a mental health issues (sexy woman phobia).3. You assert that sexy women is denigrating and exploitative of women, and that it asserts male dominance over women. The reality is that women who dress alluringly and provocatively (like the women on his shirt) are asserting their sexual power over men, as well as other women.4. To claim that images of sexy women is denigrating women is ITSELF denigrating women.4. Every day millions of women exploit men’s hard wired attraction to women’s bodies, by dressing provocatively and putting on make up which mimics female sexual arousal and ovulation. This literally affects men’s brains and physiology in ways men have no control over, and is thus a form of mind control over men. Therefore to frame women as the passive objects in this equation and men as the active exploiters is not only inaccurate, it is stripping the agency from women, which is itself a form of objectification of women.5. Men and women are mammals and sexual objectification manipulation flirtation admiration exhibitionism is a natural component of social behaviour for adults. This is a scientific fact. Anyone working in STEM who cannot cope with basic biological realities of male and female sexuality and sexual expression is obviously not mature enough for a STEM career. 6. What feminists did to Matt Taylor was despicable. They reduced him to tears in front of the whole world on what should have been the highlight of his career and his life. That was nasty, vindictive, cunty behaviour on their part.7. The hypocrisy and utter lunacy of feminism is astounding. One day they are parading topless demanding ’free the nipple’ because flaunting your female sexuality and nude body is empowering to women..... and the next day they are trying to prevent women from posing topless for The Sun newspaper because flaunting your female sexuality and nude body is degrading to women. So which is it?8. If the shirt had said ”Beyonce Official World Tour 2015” on it nobody would have said it was sexist or offensive. They would say it was empowering and free expression.9. Whole science departments have had to close down after feminists cajoled young women to signup to STEM courses (pushing men out to make way for them), and then these women all dropped out during the course to do gender studies or psychology or journalism.... which is what they wanted to do all along before being arm twisted into STEM.10. Men and women are wired differently and have different career and lifestyle ambitions and skills. It is just a fact that men are more drawn towards STEM careers. Young women especially have so much advantage when it comes to extrovert jobs which rely heavily on image, socialising, networking and ’selling yourself’ plus young women like jobs which offer social networking so they can look for a husband before their eggs go bad, Being stuck in a lab surrounded by nerds is just not appealing for most young women.11. The main deterrent for women getting into STEM is feminists FALSELY claiming the field is full of sexist, misogynist pigs. The opposite is</p>	<p>Gender, Mental, Misogynist, Nobody, PIGS, Sexual, Woman</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Nationality - Southern European, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>11/600</p>	<p>1.833</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>Your argument doesn't hold any weight. Simply stating "well what are you afraid of" is condescending and ignorant.What I'm afraid of are Feminists who start with the conclusion "The World Is Sexist Toward Women" and then look for facts to back up their biases. I'm afraid that these people are going to push women away from STEM, because they keep stating how sexist they think it is. I'm afraid that gender quotas will force people to hire under qualified people (as any quota always does).In this whole debate no Feminist actually talked to any women in STEM and actually asked them questions, because Feminist don't really care about women in STEM. I know many women in STEM and they are the hardest working lest entitled women I've every meet. They are the opposite of Feminist.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/137	0.73
<p>Nerdwriter1 Your argument "That people are annoyed by Feminist backlash, because they secretly enjoy the favouritism that they (men) get in STEM" (If this is wrong please clarify). I work in STEM, people in STEM care very little about peoples gender, they are far to focused on publishing papers and getting new grants. Women leaving STEM is usually because of a change in priorities. Women are far more liking then men to choose to put their careers on hold to raise children. To look at the rates of women leaving research and attributing it to sexism and that alone, is ignorant.In my field (Science) the biggest fear is that people are enrolling in it less and less over all. Science is desperate for talent, and headlines that state that STEM has a problem with sexism is pushing talent away.</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	3/140	2.143
<p>scotty18192 "Women leaving STEM is usually because of a change in priorities. Women are far more liking then men to choose to put their careers on hold to raise children. To look at the rates of women leaving research and attributing it to sexism and that alone, is ignorant."Women being more likely to leave their careers and raise children is a contributing factor, albeit a sexist societal expectation.I'd argue that STEM not being as welcoming to women as it is to men is also a factor, and the factor that's the main point of this discussion.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/98	1.02

<p>As people are already starting to question, I find it hard to believe & frankly intellectually dishonest to try to connect female statics of STEM to ONE sole man's choice of T-shirt. Is this the NORM for THEM? Is this really what women in the field are exposed to on a regular basis? Is Matt Taylor just one of many men that have that kind of fashion sense? It sure would be helpful if we actually asked, ya know, ACTUAL WOMEN IN STEM about what they thought about all of this...But no, everyone's too busy participating on a baseless witchhunt against an innocent man for the sole reason of pushing their own agenda. The only thing anyone is "threatened" by is Female Sexuality. That's the most common cause behind all of these "You Blew That Way Outta Proportion" thinkpieces that clutter far too many terrible clickbait blogsites. Any female body that shows more than an "acceptable" amount of skin is immediately slut-shammed, and any male that may take a fancy in said female is deemed to be some deep-rooted sexist that should feel ashamed for it. For whatever reason society seems to be slipping back into this Puritan Virgin/Whore, Saint/Sexist paradigm, and all that's going to encourage people to do is try and police/restrict free thought and expression. To form an angry mob and demand he conforms to what THEY find suitable because some people had their "feelings" hurt about it. Ignoring the fact that that alone gives the finger intellectualism (mah feels > logic and reasoning, apparently), but that goes against the entire idea behind art to BEGIN with. To use an Eriq La Salle quote: "Art should offend people because it should challenge people." Art SHOULDN'T be made just to appease every little Special Snowflake out there, and this guy's T-shirt should be no different. Sam Pepper committed an ACTUAL CRIME so HE should be expected to apologize. Matt Taylor should not have been needlessly slandered in order to get one out of him for exercising his right as an American citizen to express himself the way he chose to. It doesn't matter if people weren't offended? Well it doesn't matter if people WERE offended, either. Freedom of expression means that you aren't going to agree with SOME people's expression. If you feel like presenting logical/reasonable arguments to PERSUADE them to your line of thinking, that's fine, but rallying up an Internet mob to bully people until they submit to your line of thinking is where I draw the line and where you yourself are killing free expression. You might as well hand out handbooks on how we're all "supposed" to act so no one will ever get offended again. I mean it's not like thinking like a hivemind would bring annnny negative consequences to society or anything.</p>	Common, Snowflake	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Social Class - Working class	2/472	0.424
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

I'm a little glad that I disagree with you on something. I greatly appreciate your thought process and the discourse you've started. However, I must say that making the jump from the issue of the shirt, to sexism , to women in STEM was a bit uhm...forced, for lack of a better term. Also, HOW does the Kim K magazine cover hurt women???? I was a little surprised to hear that was your opinion on the whole thing.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/77	1.299
+pineapple1709 You would get a response that in essence would sound like this, "Cause women don't have control over their bodies, silly. Women can't be sexual , they lack the agency, they are not capable of determining what they want." And this would be said by someone who thinks they are pro women and likely a feminist. But honestly, their counter argument might sound like this, "Cause Kim is a product of a society that has taught her that her body is the only valuable thing she has, so she unknowingly does this, cause it's the only thing she thinks gives her value." Basically saying Kim, due to society, can't have power, agency, or control over her life, but yet again, is a victim, like every other woman , and incapable of true free will. Now maybe there's a little bit of truth in that, but holy shit, they are now just trying to replace religion in saying what people can and can not do, which religion has been doing for thousands of years to us. They are still bossing people around, telling them what they can/can't wear, show, say, write, and behave, just like it has always been. This is not progressive in my mind, this is archaic, and this is ironically sexist. In fact, in the past, women weren't allowed to show much, and in parts of the world today, they still aren't. Maybe some parts of feminism aren't so pro women after all. " Satan disguises himself as an angel of light" and yeah, kinda funny using a bible quote.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/261	0.766
Apparently this has been agree upon. That sexualization = denigration. I don't agree. How is whatever Kim did hurting women? How are women the victim of Kim Kardashian because she did something sexual . Every lady I know makes fun or doesn't care. Every time I see Ryan gosling with his chest and abs out I don't feel less in result. So as a tangent how does this shirt = woman hate " misogyny ". No-one has yet to prove the concept to me.	Misogyny, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	3/81	3.704
Sex negativity has never made much sense to me either.	Sex	Gender - General	1/10	10.0

Sexualization isn't always negative. Women can express themselves sexually without being objectified or shamed (see slut-shaming). This sexual expression is seen as empowering by third-wave feminists.I'd argue that that the negative impact of sexual expression on a public platform happens when the way that image is perceived moves beyond imagining a person complexly (as an actual human with feelings and aspirations), and merely as a sexual object. In the case of Taylor's shirt, the woman was obviously objectified as a grotesque decoration, but maybe a cartoonish depiction of a scantily clad female character, as art, isn't objectifying women and driving them away from STEM? Maybe it's just an innocent decoration with no hierarchical dominance being asserted, which Evan argues. I'm not sure yet.The real question may not be if the situation is sexist (the true morality of the issue) or not, but if the shirt will drive women away from STEM by making STEM "look" sexist. So, a societal reaction of perceived sexism , someone getting offended.	Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	5/168	2.976
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Ray I would like to say, what is this big hate of objectification then. Every one is objectified, just walking past stranger they will never meet. It's natural human nature to judge a personal on there looks before engaging with them even if we don't want it to do it. Many are objectified as a job and not necessarily a job about there looks to do with looks, like a sports player is an asset for there physical attributes. Even when it is about there looks like when a model will objectify themselves as a walking mannequin and that isn't sexist, he/she serves the purpose of showing off the cloths.The harm in objectification is when some-one who doesn't what to be, is, when a normal person is stripped down to their looks even while trying to present what he/she is as a person. For example a sexist boss hiring a person because he/she thinks that person is hot and wants them in the office, but not because of the person qualification for the position.This shirt though isn't sexist. The woman on it (which I think is the woman who made it) isn't trying to present her personality or who she is, shes presenting her human form as beautiful and sexual. No-one is being wrongly presented and hurt by it so no-one is sexist.This next bit is my perspective form a STEM centered town could be different based on someone else's personal experience.Your telling me that a shirt is important enough to make a woman not want to join stem, that is a bit ridiculous. I that is her passion this one guy's shirt has no effect. There is no stereotype of sexism in STEM I have ever heard about. they're just is more men in STEM. The reason for there being less women is I DON'T KNOW I'M NOT A GOD. I have never heard that STEM is sexist till now. I know a lot of people in STEM.There is no barrier to entry for women at least where I am (A very STEM centered town, mostly engineering). In my former high school less of the women chose to be in engineering I don't know why I am not them (though about equal amounts in AP science, anecdote doesn't prove much proof). The women who were there didn't care that there where more men. We where people in a STEM class not men and women. Everyone was there because interested in the topic. If you made it this far, sorry about the grammar, dyslexic is my bad excuse.LET THE PARAGRAPH COMMENTING COMMENCE</p>	Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	5/438	1.142
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------	-------	-------

Nick LaPole No, male celebs and models do this all the time what are you talking about. There is nothing wrong with a person presenting that body as beautiful and sexual . What do you hate the Greek's because the misogynist sculptors made objectified women (Loaded question fallacy i realize). Is it wrong for a person to willingly share there body to the world? No, anything meaning positive or negative is your interpretation. Everyone wants to gain a hot guy or girl as a mate and be a hot guy or girl, both are true not one for one sex and one for another, I always see mancruhsomedayoftheweek (never have seen ladycrush) Men can be objectified just as much as women, nothing is wrong with that. Everyone can objectify themselves and there nothing wrong with that. (see other reply comment)STEM is a boy's club in the same way nursing is a girls club. This has no effect on that and I wouldn't think would drive away a woman away. STEM doesn't have a stereotype of sexism that I ever heard of and my mother was in a STEM field.Though I think we can all agree that the shirt was a unprofessional.But offensive to women. No because context matters and that shirt was made for him by a woman , her chose to objectify, so not hurting anyone.	Misogynist, Nothing, Sex, Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	9/228	3.947
nicolastheposh Alrighty, then.I've already mentioned how sexuality isn't the problem (how it is constructed for the male gaze is) and how men and women are represented differently. I'd suggest researching the topic, if you're interested.Not sure what the comparison to nursing is supposed to achieve here; men can be nurses as well, and the only reason that it is considered odd is because of prevalent societal notions surrounding the occupation. "Men are doctors , women are nurses". "Men go into STEM fields, women just aren't interested". No matter the exceptions, these perceptions are obstacles that are being continually perpetuated. The shirt, given the STEM-related context in which it was presented, is an example of such an obstacle.	doctors	Nationality - General	1/118	0.847

<p>nicolastheposh "STEM is a boy's club in the same way nursing is a girls club. This has no effect on that and I wouldn't think would drive away a woman away. STEM doesn't have a stereotype of sexism that I ever heard of and my mother was in a STEM field."Side note, you are kind of making the, "I'm not racist I have a black friend" argument. Just because your experience doesn't involve sexism, doesn't mean it isn't happening. And you don't get to say whether or not it is affecting women's choices and motivations, because you're not every woman. Neither are you really at liberty to judge if it is happening around you. Sexism is everywhere in every field, and most of the time harassment is not reported so don't be surprised if you don't know about it. Woman don't often pursue justice, especially in a professional workplace because the backlash can be worse than the harassment. It's just not worth it.Not trying to attack you but this is a common problem with the perpetuation of sexism in our society. Because you are a good person and take things one way, or don't mean harm by things, doesn't mean others feel the same.</p>	Black, Common, Racist, Sexism, Woman	Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	10/206	4.854
<p>pri0n Your making a few of assumptions. Of course sexism exists, I never said it didn't. Around here though that isn't the thing driving women out. Sexism doesn't exist more here than in any other field. Show me the statistics that i can find the source of. My experience doesn't involve sexism, i gave a disclaimer saying this is based on my experience right at the top of my opinion section of my first reply.Even with that there is no stereotype of sexism, or much less a stereotype of institutionalized sexism. The stereotype that doesn't exist cant really effect someone. The example of nurses vs STEM is fair in my mind. is there a stereotype of huge sexism in nursing, no, same for STEM.Wouldn't A better solution be, report sexism. Be strong, stop letting assholes control or harass you. People act like these people are powerless. What sense is there some huge backlash, that statement is out of left field for me. So why not encourage reporting, and discourage backlash against a person standing up for themselves. Make it worth it for this fight. Also how do you know most of it's unreported if it's well... unreported.You cant paint the issue of sexism in the work place will a wide paint brush. It need to be a case by case.Not trying to attack you ether.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	8/229	3.493

<p>You can't just treat it case by case because that doesn't solve the root of the problem which is overall sexism in society and how people should be taught to treat women.I know it's unreported because of I've talked about this to over a dozen friends. And they don't report harassment because I don't think you realize how difficult that can be. First off, it's a your word against mine scenario most of the time and nothing can be proved. Second, even if you prove it, a slap on the wrist is usually all the punishment that gets dealt. Third, you have to put yourself in the spotlight as a victim, everyone will know and think about you differently. It's unfortunate and one of the problems that we need to address as society as a whole, but people do treat you differently after you've gone through that process. For most people, unless it is a more serious harassment, it's just not worth it to go through all of that. It's easier to just ignore it, and deal with it as something that "just happens." It's heartbreaking but I've heard those words from so many women that I've talked to about this. "It's OK this is just something that happens."It's easy to just say be strong and don't let people harass you, but this is the problem with that- A, that is a sort of victim blaming. We shouldn't have to teach women how to deal with harassment, we should be teaching men not harass. That's a deep cultural problem that will take generations to fix, but yeah, it exists. B, people tend to view harassment as something that comes from boogeymen on the street or the scummy guy at work that everyone agrees is scummy. Truth is it comes more often from friends or colleagues that are otherwise good people. So when they cross the line it can be difficult to press charges against them. Example- You're in line for a promotion and your boss makes it obvious what he wants you to do to get it. Obviously giving in to that is no solution. If you press charges, everyone will treat you differently and it could make the whole workplace uncomfortable. So most just choose to brush it off. This sounds like a movie scenario but this kind of shit or similar happens all the time.It would be great if reporting sexism and being strong would work in our current society, but it's really not that simple. I recommend the book Lucky by Alice Sebold if you want to learn more about how skewed against women the justice system really is when it comes to harassment, or in the case of this book, rape. And it will reveal to you better than I can in a comment what the backlash is from society and what that can do to a person. You're right in that we need to encourage women to report it and discourage backlash, but in order to actually do that will involve changing the way society views women from it's core. Not so easy.Anyway, I'm not really arguing about this in the context of just STEM. If anything STEM is a better environment than most. I'm talking more generally. It's a larger issue that affects women everywhere and filters down to include women going into STEM.</p>	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/564	0.532
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>pri0n I'm just happy you aren't saying STEM is more sexist than other industries which is what has been implied a few times.Anyway, real talk time. I'm not blaming the victim, i'm just saying that often times they're the only one who can do it. You can make "Culture" fix a sexist asshole, because "Culture" isn't the person harassed and has no way to know about it if someone doesn't report it.I was bullied in a middle school I went to. I was the only one that could stop it by telling the teacher. There was a backlash, but not as bad a continued bullying.Men are already educated constantly about harassment in the work place and in general. Heck I've seen guy's sent to a sensitivity lecture for a joke someone didn't find funny. I wouldn't call that harassment unless it's constant and actually meant to be hurtful. Before I continue our debate, what is you specific definition of harassment? What friends and colleagues are harassing. Pressuring for sex from a boss isn't something any friend does. Please give an example.</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/184	0.543
<p>The key difference here is that Women in media tend to be objectified (just look up Robin Thicke's "Give it Up 2 U" where women are literally dressed as objects like money) whereas men tend to be idealized. Women are championed for their sexualized objectification, whereas men are idealized as powerful and influential. There isn't balance there. Another great example is Magic Mike, where women are portrayed as sexual objects, while the male strippers are shown (and filmed) as being powerful and dynamic. As for the scientists shirt, it at worst shows intentional sexism, at best sheer ignorance of real sexism dominating our media and society.</p>	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	3/106	2.83

BeaverHose Nerdwriter1 How is it sexist? His girlfriend made him the shirt and he wore it. Has it ever ocured to you that women and men feel sexy in different ways? Believe it or not someone could write a book where a woman is a helpless, stupid, unsure mess who has a boyfriend who is rich, powerful, good-looking, and otherwise downright abusive and it would drive women wild. That book would be called Fifty Shades of Gray (even Opera Winfrey approves of that shit book) and it sucks on many many more levels like the grammar and typos and plot holes and other stupidity. Also if you ever bothered to check some romance novels you would find women and men suxualized in many of the same ways there as well. Of course this is not all women as everyone has different tastes, some men like taller more assertive women and some women like more androgynous guys. But to simply say that there isn't a balance because how the genders are sexualized is simple ignorance of what most females want in a dream guy. But people who get offended by this tend to not care about what women want and more about what makes them feel better as a not sexist guy. People are so hyper aware that they are giving this stupid ugly shirt more power and attention than it needs. Meanwhile, the fact that women don't get cited and are possibly not encouraged to enter STEM subjects during their education barely gets mentioned because solving those problems would actually be more complex and difficult than complaining about some shirt and saying people should wear different shirts as though that would somehow change all of the other problems mentioned. Simply but women and men find different things sexy in the opposite gender . To suggest that changing how that is portrayed in the media would somehow change the problems they face in the workplace and in STEM fields at large just seems childish and reactionary to me.	Gender, Woman	Gender - General	2/336	0.595
Cynical Alien He's getting his thoughts together.	Alien	Nationality - General	1/7	14.286
Cynical Alien That's how long it takes to realize you're wrong. ;)	Alien	Nationality - General	1/12	8.333

+pri0n +nicolastheposh was dead on with the nursing vs STEM analogy. "According to 2010 BLS data, the following jobs contain 1 percent or less female workers: boilermakers, brick masonry, stonemasonry, septic tank servicing, sewer pipe cleaners and trash collectors. By contrast, women are 97 percent of preschool and kindergarten teachers, 80 percent of social workers, 82 percent of librarians and 92 percent of dietitians and nutritionists and registered nurses." The fact is, women and men make many different choices due to biological reasons, though culture obviously does have an effect, albeit less than the media portrays. In my opinion, equality is having equal freedom to choose, not equality forced upon by a lack of freedom. [LINK]	Septic	Nationality - American	1/116	0.862
+FujibearGames how exactly make my ovaries that i cant do masonry, septic bS (well..if you look at clinic laboratories and elderly care.. you will see a LOOOOt of shit. literally.. so its surely not about shit or piss or puke.. which you have a lot in care. same for lifting.. you know, people weigh a lot and most nursses have to stop at 50 to 55 because their back is fucked.. a friend of mine got problems with 27 after working in elderly care.. problems means her leg became numb because of nerve damage..)so.. women work in shit jobs too, in unhealthy jobs. but they are a different.. and its not biological.. like there is no "beton-mixing" gene for us or a "I get a (lady)boner from guns-gene"if your society would say that its a woman thing to build something but a man thing to care about someone.. you had men doing the care work and women working in construction. because now it doesnt really is important because most shit is done by machines anyway and everyone whos a bit capable can learn to use and control big machines. so its not that my ovaries would stop me from working in construction...(like they also dont lead e to working in some careshit or get children. I hate children. why do people want those? they are loud)	Elderly, Septic, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General, Nationality - American	4/229	1.747
Firmicute s Let me preface by saying, if you learn to write intelligibly, it would make you seem like a bit less of a moron. So you blame society? You are claiming that "society" makes you do things? Society is just the overarching beliefs of many individual's culture. If you are so weak willed that you choose to do something for no other reason than other people of your gender like to do it, you are beyond hope. It has been proven in several scientific studies that from a very young age , children have different toy preferences based on their gender . Free from societal influence. Which you might know if you researched anything before spouting nonsense about it.	Age, Gender	Age - General, Gender - General	3/118	2.542

<p>writin in non native language is haaarrrrrd nah, I say society makes US all do shit.. like siting around watching youtubevideos..all what we do is somewhat influenced by society. Its pretty naive to think all you do is shit you come up with yourself.I remember..there was once an celebration here in the area and there was also police, just running around looking that nothing happened worse than someone puking into the shrubbery.One officer was a young woman, child from turkish immigrants.. I saw her running around and then after a while heard a young kid behind me saying ” I didnt knew we could be officers too”So.. that broke my heart. there was a young child born here, living here who never ever thought she could become a policewoman..because she has never seen that anyone like her could do.. And thats not the first time I heard such statement, like that one astronaut only became one because she watches star trek and saw Uhura.So what they saw and experiences very much formed their ideas about what they could become or not.. or the fact that.. well the kid of a friend someoday stopped wanting to have stuff with dinosaurs because no other gils had them and she got teased.. because ”gilds dont ”or that young boy that got bullied because he dared to be a MLP fan.. the children bullying him dont catch the ”you are wrong for liking because of your gender!” out of thin air.they see nobody of their gender to play with a barbie and no cool hero to be caring, maybe care for children or such stuff.. Its nly plays for lulz in stuff like kindergarten cop.. a lot of comedies hinge on.. lol dumb man can no emotion but will learn.. so it wouldnt be funny if we wouldnt assume that men are shit with kids and or emotions..so.. yeah. society doenst makes us do shit in a communist ”you have to do job because of reasons” sense.. but it shows us examples of people similar to us doing shit thats seen as okay or normal.. but the normal also comes from us. every time someon says ”I am so (therefore) I am (not) good with .. thats noted. our brain saves in clusters, repeat stuff often enough and you brain WILL save it, even if you dont believe.. (thats where the words bias comes in. make a math test and ask people to fill in their gender and women will do worse as if they hadnt. make them fill in their race and asian people will do better.. and I am rather sure that if you ask them, no one will say :w well I am , and so I am better/worse in math.. we know thats a dumb stereotype.. but it still has an effect big enough to measure it statistically...thats the effect I mean.</p>	<p>Communist, Dumb, Gender, Nobody, Nothing, Race, Thin, Woman</p>	<p>Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features</p>	<p>11/489</p>	<p>2.249</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>Firmicute s I actually wrote a piece Called Predestination Theory about this very subject . I am completely aware that no one is responsible for their own actions. It goes like this: Predestination Theory:> It is commonly believed that life is about the consequences of the choices we make.> But choices are determined by your personality> Your personality is determined by genetics and developmental circumstance.> Genetics is selectively random based on random variation of two successfully reproducing lifeforms>The circumstances for your development are even more random>Therefore; your choices are based on a combination of complete randomness of which you have no control.Since our choices revolve around who we are, our choices are, in a sense, predetermined and unavoidable & even somewhat random based on the genetics and circumstances of development.In a way, nobody is responsible for any of their own actions. So what are you trying to prove anyhow? Try throwing in a thesis in the beginning before you jump into 3 long paragraphs so that it doesn't seem like you are just rambling.</p>	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/183	0.546
<p>+Emma Morgan "This guy's shirt sexualized women without their active participation."It's illustrations of women -not actual women, in an idolized form.Male idolization of the female form is an instinctive trait that perpetuates the species..... The only "obvious" thing is that your a dumb ass.</p>	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/44	2.273
<p>+billshackles You must take in to account how society is organised. It is a patriarchal society where men are endeared to be intelligent and women physically attractive. Think of these words: successful, intelligent, CEO, authority, sporty. You are more likely to conjure up an image of a male. Now think of beautiful, attractive, sexy. You get the idea. You may be able to think of some words that cross this gender boundary, but these are exceptions.Now, imagine if you are a women living in this society. You easily internalise these ideals and, maybe without even knowing, you are discouraged from pursuing STEM careers, as well as careers that demand authority.How do we know this is not just speculation? Well just look at the numbers of women in authority, the house of commons for example here in the UK. Look at how science, technology, engineering, and mathematical subjects are male dominated. It is not the result of innate characteristics of men and women, it is culturally constructed.It's not hard to see images like this shirt, where women are sexualised, absolutely everywhere. These images propagate the gendered ideals aforementioned, and therefore is harmful to women.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/196	0.51

+allcatsaregrey Yeah, I still think that's BS. It's harmful to women even if a woman designed it? How about you start telling women who dress up like that ire that what they are doing is hurting women. No skirts, bikinis, or long hair allowed because patriarchy .	Patriarchy, Woman	Gender - General	2/46	4.348
+billshackles Any part in particular you think is BS? Maybe I can help clear it up.Skirts, bikinis, makeup, are all objects, and it is up to us to make of them what we will. They are not innately sexual (unless they explicitly display sexual content like this guy's shirt), we make them so.For example we see African tribes where women are almost fully exposed. This shows nudity is not intrinsically sexual , and neither is revealing clothing.Rather it is the attitude that is the issue; how we bring up children and the gendered roles that they are told to abide to, both by the mediasphere and by their parents who don't know better.If you can't accept the reason why less women are in places of authority are because of the reasons stated, what do you believe is the reason?	African, Sexual	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	4/142	2.817
+allcatsaregrey It's not because of a shirt, which in your opinion, is sexual .	Sexual	Gender - General	1/13	7.692
+billshackles This is a non sequitur, but I'll bite.Child custody laws may be backed up with statistics, but what do you mean by "half your shit?" Do you mean literal physical objects or something else? Physical objects need to be decided by couples in case-by-case basis. If some psychotic bitch wants half your possessions, you need to set more limits, but if you're talking about divorce settlements, it's a complicated issue. And money? That's also complicated.—Also, you're assuming that marriages will end in divorce. The statistics are stacked against married couples, but this doesn't account for the people that choose to stay together.—Also, also, what about gay marriages? There are too many exceptions and different perspectives in your blanket statements, which already began from no basis.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/129	1.55

+allcatsaregrey + Snowflake Productions Her argument: "Do me a favour; find the most intelligent person you know and tell them you think that boys are the most oppressed "class" of people today. They will burst out laughing..." Then you go on to tell them that men are 4 to 1 more likely to commit suicide. Make up most of the homeless population. Make up most of the prison population and spend more time in prison, on average for the same crimes. Unconsented genital mutilation still legal. Is there any case where a woman made more than a man; and the man was given half her assets in a divorce settlement? Good luck finding that unicorn. "what about gay marriages?" Yeah what about 3 of the population. Don't care.	Gay, Snowflake, Woman	Ideological and Political Identity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	4/127	3.15
+Anthony Thelwell You're right, I wouldn't want to trade with black , asian, or latino men who are truly more oppressed than their female counterparts. Look up TFM's Guide to Feminism on Youtube.	Black	Ethnicity - Black	1/32	3.125
Skill-point there is a distinct difference between someone who embraces their sensuality and is confident with their body as opposed to someone who is attention starved and uses sex so gain any kind of notoriety they can get.	Sex	Gender - General	1/38	2.632
+Valengw I did a little research and found I was in err. Feminist organizations only oppose changing the laws to equal custody, but you're right, they didn't put them in place. It's still a problem. Usually, the woman is given full custody in courts by default and the man has to prove that she is unfit in order to win. Over 80 of child custody court cases are won by women.	Woman	Gender - General	1/71	1.408
+billshackles What feminist organizations? Every feminist I've ever talked to wants equal opportunities for every gender . And from what I heard, men usually don't get custody because they don't ask for it. Do you have statistics or something?	Gender	Gender - General	1/38	2.632
Skill-point haha I'm 22yrs old ... I dont have any kids yet lol. I said I WOULD want her to and most Kardashian & Kylie Jenner fans are teenagers... I was speaking on the teenager demographic...which as a teen, my friends and I understood the difference.	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/45	2.222

+nicolastheposh +nicolastheposh When I notice people on the street I think things like "I wonder what made that guy so grumpy this morning, I wonder if he's always this grumpy" or "She seemed nice, and pretty too, I wonder if I should ask for her number". Everyone is not physically attractive and unattractive in the same way, and how somebody looks tells a story. Their clothes, piercings, tattoos, hair, makeup, lack thereof, facial expressions etc. It makes us ponder their profession, lifestyle, income or personal interests. We may not know anything about these people but we still look upon them as people.Also sports figures are not really objectified, they are idealized. They are not things you want to own, they are people you want to be. Women throughout a lot of media are presented as literal or metaphorical trophies for men. Watching a show like Always Sunny In Philadelphia (which I love) there are many scenes where they bring women in so they can stand there and puff out their chest and generally act as objects of sexual desire for the men. You're not meant to want to be some dumb bimbo who has accomplished nothing other than being an object of sexual desire, and who says or does nothing except whatever the men happen to want them to do at any given time, you are supposed to want to have her, for a party, or for your own sexual pleasure or whatever.This is not to say that men are never objectified or that women are always objectified. It is just much more common for women to be objectified in this manner. Any one given photo or video objectifying women is not going to do anything on it's own. The theory is that all of them combined together colors societies perception of women into a "trophy wife" type of mentality, where men are the ones who accomplish everything and women are basically a reward to men.I don't know how much weight this theory holds, and I don't give a shit about that guys shirt or Kims photoshoot. I also don't think art should be censored based on it's moral implications, but I do think it is good for us to have a discussion about this. As a cis-gendered white male there are a lot of atrocities that could easily fly past my radar otherwise. I would also say that while not irrelevant, your own experience in your community is anecdotal. It would be just as false to say all STEM communities reject women as it would be to say that all STEM communities are entirely free of sexist prejudices. Kind of like how just because my personal community of gamers welcomes women, does not mean that all gamer communities welcome women. Statistical evidence showing that female scientists are less likely to be acknowledge for their work is more compelling to me than "but in my town we're not like that". Although I would have to verify the study to make sure it's not a bunch of baloney.	Bimbo, Common, Dumb, Nothing, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female intelligence, Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Social Class - Working class	8/510	1.569
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

+nicolastheposh Old-ass comment thread and I'm sure someone's already made this point, but the distinction to me is in the specific ways in which women and men are sexualised in media representations. When Ryan Gosling has his chest out, its typically showing him as dominant, strong and in-control sexually. Women on the other hand, are more often than not represented in more submissive, passive positions and roles. Considering that this forced submission and passivity has been the central fixture of the oppression of women for literally millennia, these representations can have the effect of "keeping women in their place", or ingraining the idea that women are to be taken, chosen, dominated, etc.This is not to say that all sexual representations of women are objectifying or denigrating, in fact I would argue that many are empowering. Its in the subtleties though, and I think it only takes a common-sense approach to thinking critically about how these images communicate meaning to differentiate between them.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/163	0.613
billshackles Exactly.. because.. people.. like playing various roles... at various times... sexually... by different people.Oh no :0 ... Its almost as if... sexual preference and roles.... can vary by case.* gasp *	Sexual	Gender - General	1/34	2.941
Bree K. In general, women like to be dominated by men. Sure, that can vary, but when the roles are switched around, it's normally seen as comical. Some men might get off on being dominated by a woman , but it's seen as taboo. You can bring in sexual preference all you want, but the media directs this stuff to a broad and general audience, not to a minority group like homosexuals.	Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	2/71	2.817
billshackles 50 shades of grey caters to a niche audience, most of which are 18-22yr old ex-Twilight fans. I assure you, most women that bought the book were probably too young to know if they like being beaten with objects during sex .That book was about BDSM in general, the main guy was "dominant" in that particular relationship but was very vocal that he had been submissive in other relationships, had been fucked with a strap-on and had been beaten as well.Soo your argument is that women like guys that are dominant sometimes and submissive at other times... and likes to be fucked with dildos every now and then...	Old, Sex	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General	2/110	1.818

billshackles Yes, selling sex to horny young people that don't know anything about sex is big business... no one will argue with that. Why do you think talentless One Direction and Justin Bieber are so popular?Millions of teen girls hump their pillows to the thought of Harry Styles' sexy hair everyday.But fantasy is just that... fantasy. What stimulates humans visually is often a very exaggerated version (or sometimes completely different) from what stimulates us in a real life sexual setting. 80 of the most popular female pornstars have either fake boobs, fake lips, fake tans and lots of make-up... sometimes all of the above. But most surveys report that men prefer natural breast on their sexual partners and prefer women without heavy make-up....Why do all the most popular pornstars have very obvious fake breasts? Are they catering to the snowflakes that prefer fake tits, bad spray tans and heavy, facial plastic surgery in real life? Or could it be that, what illicit a visual response, can be very different form what men like to have sex with in everyday, real life...?	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	5/183	2.732
Bree K. Now you are kind of going off the rails here. I was originally responding to Ryan Gosling's dominant pose and women in submissive poses. In which case, those are what most heterosexuals respond positively to. I'm sure some women would be into Ryan Gosling in a more submissive pose with a gag in his mouth or something. Some men may be into a woman (as Henry Hill put it) with her chest out, showing herself as dominant, strong and in-control sexually. Maybe there's a lot more people into this than I think, but this is what is believed by "the media" aka magazines and advertisements and what not, on what is going to make the most sales.	Woman	Gender - General	1/118	0.847
+billshackles well... Was responding to your statement that girls like to be sexually dominated by men... I shudder to think there are people out there that believe that notion. I hope you aren't having sex with women... Because of you actually believe that statement you can't be a good sexual partner for women	Sex, Sexual	Gender - General	2/53	3.774
Bree K. If it's any consolation though, I haven't been with a woman in a year and a half. I had been in 2 back to back relationships which spanned for 10 years, so I think I might just enjoy being single for a good long while. So your fellow women are safe from my oh so terrible dominant nature for now.	Woman	Gender - General	1/62	1.613

+nicolastheposh In my opinion, it's not obviously outrageous to some because sexualization is ingrained in our culture. If women are measured by their waist-to-hip ratio, they will value that over finding a prominent career—in this case, it's STEM field. It doesn't just discourage women, but it also sends a message to men, who don't see women in the STEM field. And some people truly believe women just aren't as smart as men. How does this matter? Women go into lower-paying careers. The pay gay is 78 cents on the dollar because of, yes, denigration. There are less women in the STEM field, which of course means the average would make less than the average men. The little things matter.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/119	1.681
+nicolastheposh Kim Kardashian and her entire family harm women by perpetuating a sexualised female body type when they're fake. It's wonderful to celebrate your body but they are invoking idolisation of something that isn't real. They've had multiple surgeries and implants and fillers and this and that. They are not real and that's the real issue that society celebrates and tries to attain things that aren't real and average men, and women themselves, hold average women at ridiculously high, unattainable standards. It IS different for women than it is for men and that's what most men don't see because they just can't understand. Not too long ago, women were property of their fathers who could be sold to their husbands. They weren't entitled to higher education, they couldn't own property or vote. Their brains were even thought of as smaller than men. They were treated and thought of nothing but an object for male pleasure. Embracing sexuality is great but sexualisation of women where human beings are reduced to breasts, vaginas, a hot body, a pretty face, a score etc. are harmful to women and do take away from what they can offer to society in an intellectual capacity and to appreciate them for just who they are as people.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/210	0.476

+nicolastheposh i agree sexualization does not necessarily equate to denigration. However, when you essentialize a women as a sexual object that is where the harm comes in. There is nothing wrong with being sexual and embracing being sexy and nudity but it does not end there in most cases. Women get the idea that their worth as a person depends on their sexual appeal ...as if that all they have to offer. And it goes both ways, our society will objectify men as well, but historically, women are the more likely targets. And while some women may not be affected by this, the demographic that is most concerning is young girls who do not know better and are learning about the world they live in through these means. So I think the point is that there is potential for harm in self concept and in how it limits girls. Also we live is a hypocritical society where these images are everywhere and we are expected to be sexy but not 'too' sexy, or we are ridiculed and shamed (slut, hoe, thot , ect)	Nothing, Sexual, THOT	Age - Youngsters, Gender - Female sexuality, Gender - General	5/182	2.747
+Madison Healey Thot ? Is that like east coast slang or something? I've never heard it before.	THOT	Gender - Female sexuality	1/16	6.25
+Modus Pwnens I don't think I've ever heard a "risqué" male model called a "businessman"First impressions are important, they're usually shallow and crude. But important none the less.I agree that calling someone a slut after seeing one image is ignorant, but speaking for an entire gender is equally ignorant.Please, don't end your sentences with "educate yourself" it comes off as needlessly aggressive, we don't need more aggression on the Internet.	Gender	Gender - General	1/73	1.37
+allcatsaregrey stopped reading after "it's a patriarchy society" fucking lol	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/10	10.0
Madison Healey I just think it's just a bunch of bs. If a man sees a woman as merely as sex object, it is because he is a psychopath, not because the media influenced him.	Sex, Woman	Gender - General	2/35	5.714
yarpen800 yeah, yeah, dismiss it as you will. This is my life passion, I believe we all have ideas of gender that hold as back as individuals and as a society. I don't think I'm making any difference here, but I do enjoy to learn from both sides of the conversation.	Gender	Gender - General	1/51	1.961

<p>Madison Healey You don't get to decide what other people enjoy and respect.You ever hear men complain about how they are nice to women but never get a girlfriend?There is usually some reply about you not owning someone just because you are nice to them.This is no different, just because you are intelligent, or witty, or nice, doesn't mean we have to be interested in you. If we don't care about your political viewpoint then that is our business, you don't have the right to go and tell me what I can and cannot look for in a woman.If I, me, me as as individual, only care about a woman based on her looks, or don't want any sort of relationship with one (friendly or otherwise) outside of a sexual one, then that is my business and no one elses.There is a difference between saying "I only care about womens looks", and saying "the only thing that matters is what a woman looks like". One is a statement about ME, the other about an entire gender.Example, lets say there is a famous football player whose real interests lay in classic ballet. Now the fact that I don't care about the fact that he does ballet, the fact that the only thing that I care about concerning this person is his football skills, in no way invalidates the rest of his existence. I am not saying a football player is all he is, or that his love for classic ballet is useless, I am only saying that MY interest are elsewhere. And if that football player defines himself through what I think about him, then that is just pathetic and weak, and he's making an object out of himself. The exact same thing goes for women and their apparent constant need to be respected. Here is a hint, we don't respect men either, we barely notice their existence. For most people, the amount of time or energy spent "respecting" any single man is about as much time as is spend on acknowledging the individuality or "personhood" of the dude taking my order at macdonalds.You know why we sometimes tend to care more about what an actress looks like than we care about how she acts? When we don't do the same for men?Because on the scale of how much I care about certain aspects in life, the quality of actors rates no higher than a 3 out of 10.While millions of years of evolution have made sex and beautiful women score a solid 10/10.So yeah, if you don't like having your physical appearance appreciated, tough luck, evolution screwed you over again, just like it did with periods. Personally, I work out every day and I fucking WISHED women would look at men the same way we looked at them, it would be a privilege.</p>	Gender, Sex, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	6/483	1.242
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>DutchDread ...let me try to be more clear,1) Of course looks have a evolutionary function, and most women have no problem with their appearance being appreciated (notice this word connotes respect at some level). And when it comes down to your individual decision on what to pursue in someone - whether it be looks, sex, money, etc - I agree that is your prerogative. My point lies in the fact that:2) Everyone desires to be respected and valued for who they really are. And in order to have a real love, meaningful connection, and healthy relationship with anyone it is necessary to at least understand this. If you are not interested in someone in their totality or their own unique essence, thats fine. Sometimes we just want sex, or whatever, and we all end up using people as a means to our ends at some point in our lives. My concern here would be with honesty.3)The real issue I have with this topic is gender portrayal in media. Media has a very real and observable impact on us and our culture, it is therefore worth critical evaluation. Is it telling us helpful things? Or teaching us harmful things?Thank you for such a long and thoughtful response.</p>	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	3/210	1.429
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Madison HealeyThe problem is that even the media is simply following human behavior. Why do companies use sex to sell products? Because it works.The same freedoms that apply to my actions also apply to theirs, and are in a way a reflection of my own. I am free to want what I want, and they are free to oblige, anything else would be tyranny. It would be the same morality policing that religions have so often tried to force onto the populace.It is insulting as well, by saying that the media (too broad a term for this conversation btw) can't portray something in a certain way you are not only engaging in censorship, but you are also implying that it is somehow wrong to be that thing.I study game design, and once again, it is entirely up to me what I create. And what we create is a combination of what we enjoy and want to create, and what sells.If I want to create for instance a fighting game where large breasted women fight in bikinis, and someone else wants to buy that game, that interactions is entirely between us.Telling me I have to create something else just because you want me to is the epitome of entitled. No one is entitled to having the content they want. I wish there was more metal on the mainstream media, but I don't get to force eminem to make metal, or force a radiostation to broadcast it. I just have to accept that I can't always get what I want, and that if I really want it, I should go out and create it myself. That is what an agent does, an object just complains about it and assumes others will fix it for him/her.There is also a problem with the term "portrayal", it implies that the product is pretending that a certain character reflects what we think a certain gender is in real life, or "should" be. But this is almost never true, take princess peach. Some people like to complain about how this portrays women as damsels in distress. The problem with that argument is that princess peach wasn't designed to reflect a female stereotype, but instead to appeal to a male stereotype fantasy.The fantasy of being a hero who risks his life to help women. No one designed princess peach and went "well, what should she act like, well, she's a woman, so let's reduce her to a damsel in distress".No, what happened is that the game was created and the designers needed a goal for the target audience. And what motivates a bunch of men most? Seeing a woman in distress. The trope directly reflects, utilizes, and perpetuates a (potentially harmful) MALE gender identity stereotype, not a female one.However, I don't think complaining about this does anyone any good, because it's insulting. It's basically saying "you are such a weak object that you can't make decisions for yourself. Instead you are a slave to the media, you are so weak that being called "bossy" will prevent you from becoming an engineer".The only really negative portrayal of women is by people who act as if "women are not strong enough to handle a world where strong male agents have desires and preferences."I also dislike this notion of society as an outside construct, enforcing their will on women from above. it obfuscates the issues and relieves women from blame, which ironically plays into and enforces the "women are objects" stereotypes. Why are women portrayed as beautiful in the media? Because WOMEN want to be beautiful. Why are men portrayed as strong? Because MEN want to be strong. Hell, more than</p>	<p>Dumb, Fat, Gender, Sex, Weird, Woman</p>	<p>Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features</p>	<p>10/988</p>	<p>1.012</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>DutchDread wow, straw man game strong AF... I am absolutely not advocating for tyranny or censorship and my comment in no way even went near those ideas. While I do think its important to have high expectations of all forms of media in terms of a moral compass, this is not something to be imposed and I understand how and why that would not work (and why it is not desirable). I only raised questions that I think everyone should think about. This includes creators and consumers, because I understand it is a two way street. Being critical and mindful of the messages we send and receive is a very important thing we should be doing to improve our world.... for example, conventional knowledge says sex sells, but does it really? Here's some homework: [LINK] It's cool that you are a game creator that must be fun. I am a media studies student so I think I am looking at the issue from a different, more philosophical lens. Of course we are not victims to the 'media' or 'society', but no man is an island. I think we have to have these conversations and push against the ideas that we find issue with. It's more than just complaining, it's about creating a better world.</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/214	0.467
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Madison Healey It's not a straw man, I am not saying that you are consciously advocating for tyranny or censorship. Or that your argument is that those things are good. I am not trying to misrepresent your argument, but show how this line of reasoning either leads to those things, or shows an underlying system of thinking that is unintentionally oppressive.For instance, there are currently people who think salary negotiations should be removed because men tend to hold out for more money, which is unfair towards women.Now in no way are they directly arguing that women can't compete with men, but the belief that women can't compete with men is a direct requirement for that argument to make sense.I have noticed that this gender stereotype of women being weak and in need of protection is a fundamental aspect of nearly all the arguments of modern day regressives.One obvious place this underlying belief emerges is when we talk about representations of men and women in media.If men and women are free to make content, and represent themselves and each other in whatever way they want. Then the only reason to regulate in any way how one gender is portrayed is because you believe this gender has a natural disadvantage. Why would your gender need a leg up if they are fundamentally equal?You say you don't want to impose certain standards and that's great. But by going out and perpetuating a culture where there is currently a sort of social morality police that cracks down on things they find offensive, those standards are certainly being imposed.You yourself talk about how women are "portrayed", the underlying belief there being that these portrayals impose on women that they should act in a certain way. So if you accept that rules can be imposed that easily, then surely you must accept that this social morality policing also imposed on the freedoms of the individuals who just want to create content.So the big picture I see here, condensed into a few sentences, is this.1: It is wrong to tell people how to live their lives.2: Other people living their lives influences me, and thus tells me how I should live my life.3: Therefore, I should tell them how to live their lives.I agree with the first part. But when we get to the second part, I have problems with it. It is technically true, but it is the attitude of an object, people who do this fail to hold themselves accountable for their own actions. This does not encourage them to better themselves, which encourages mediocrity, which in turn causes them to do less well in life, which they can then in turn blame on others, creating a vicious circle.But mostly I object to it because it leads to point 3, and most people don't see that. You can't stop society from telling people how to act, by telling people how to act.There are two ways in which society can tell you what to do. As an example, take hair length.Society can tell you directly "women should have this hair, period" like in north korea. People can tell you directly, "you shouldn't be allowed to do this because I don't like it".This is direct oppression and should not be tolerated.Then there is the secondary or indirect form. Which comes in the shape of "Women feel like they should have long hair because other women tend to have long hair" or because "men are more attracted to long hair".The reason this should be accepted is that fighting it means enacted the first type, direct control of what is and</p>	Gender	Gender - General	4/662	0.604
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>+nicolastheposh I know I'm a year late and you've probably forgotten all about this issue, but I just wanted to add something. I'm a woman, and I work in a STEM field (Software Engineering). I never used to think there was any sexism in science. I couldn't see it. It used to seem clear that the problem lay with girls who were not used to being outnumbered by guys.I thought that even after the first few times I was told that my experiences weren't valid because I was a girl. I now have to wonder at every problem and every promotion whether the problems would still be there if my gender was different. More than once I've thought I had a good working relationship with someone and then, when I voice a view that is valid but out of the ordinary, I get told that I don't know anything about that subject; or that most people (meaning the men they know) don't do things that way.I have fought for a long time to be seen as a good programmer. And then I see young male grads come in already believing they're the best and getting validated for that belief. I get questioned on my authenticity as a programmer regularly: "Do you know this framework", "Have you ever programmed this obscure language", "Have you even used this operating system". Mostly the answer is yes. But the men surrounding me are never grilled in such a way. My experience should be able to be inferred from my position in the company.These are just personal views and experiences. And that is the big problem with discussions about sexuality and sexism. Is that none of these situations would be remarkable on their own. But when it's continuous (and I know many women with the same stories) it seems remarkable to people who can see the sexism that it's not noticed more. That shirt is frustrating because I fight every day to be seen as a programmer before people notice I'm a pretty girl. Shirts like that show me that men want to look at pretty girls rather than work with them.(Again, sorry for being far too late to this conversation. I just needed to get these thoughts out of my head)</p>	Gender, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	5/381	1.312
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------	-------	-------

Emma Personal anecdotes don't matter and you don't get to decide what men like.You are acting as if "working with someone" is something we should have positive feelings about. We don't, we are neutral on the subject, working with someone does not inspire happiness or enthusiasm. It's just work, it's part of living, I spend an entire zero minutes per week thinking about my coworkers. This applies to men and women.I do care about pretty women. Do you expect me not to? Because any amount of liking women means caring more about looking at them than working with them, you are comparing apples and oranges.And about the questions, that's what happens when you work in a field where you are a rarity, I've had the exact same "issue" when working in a female dominated field. It's not that they expect you can't do it, it's a courtesy because they don't want to be presumptuous. This is the definition of a first world problem.	Zero	Age - Youngsters	1/166	0.602
+Mimmi Kingdon Sorry for the late response.Really? George Orwell was an SJW? Did he say that? No? Then I'm just going to assume that's YOUR opinion about a person I ASSUME you've never met.and your right about 1984 being about dehumanizing oppression, I just find it strange you don't see that bullying and shaming people who have different views than you is dehumanizing and oppressive.And lastly was it really the man's goal to reinforce power by wearing that shirt? No!We know that. It's public knowledge that that shirt was bought for him by a female coworker for his achievement. That's all. And I'm sure if he knew beforehand the drama it would start he never would have worn it.Stop creating boogeyman that don't exist.If it's YOUR opinion that something is offensive guess what that's YOUR opinion. Just because you feel it's offensive doesn't necessarily mean it is, it may mean your just a weakling. Not every woman is so weak that a t-shirt makes them cringe and go weak with fear. Some actually step up and fight REAL inequality not fake first world feminist issues.	Woman	Gender - General	1/191	0.524
Will Oliveira It feels like you are trying to use the false equivalency argument as an argument against his point, which makes no sense.Yes, men and women are objectified in different ways, SO WHY DO PEOPLE LIKE YOU ALWAYS COMPLAIN THAT WOMEN ARE OBJECTIFIED SEXUALLY AND MEN ARE NOT!?Also, if you don't care about his opinion just because of his gender , then you are a sexist, congrats.	Gender	Gender - General	1/69	1.449

<p>Vince SC You don't know he hasn't been, not that it matters, whether or not you are raped has no bearing on whether or not you can have an informed opinion on the existence of a rape culture.Hell, I could make the argument that ONLY people who haven't been raped can have an informed opinion, because they don't have a personal investment, and are likely to be less biased on the subject.Remember that rape culture is not a culture where "people get raped". Pointing out that people get raped does not prove the existence of a rape culture, only the existence of rapists.What determines whether or not there is a rape culture is how we as a society look at the act of rape. Do we condone it? Act as if it's ok? Do we encourage it?Absolutely not, we as a society seem to be oversensitive when it comes to rape, as soon as it happens, all rational discourse goes out the window.You want to do the slightest examination of how the act came to be, or even give advice on how to protect yourself? "VICTIM BLAMING!".Even joking about rape or portraying it in the media can apparently cause mass hysteria.It's gone so far that the mere accusation of rape can ruin a persons life. NO ONE is saying rape is ok.Now compare this to for instance murder. You could say we live in a murder society, half our movies are about people killing other people. Half our games are about us killing each other. It's an act that is arguably glorified.We even sometimes deal it out as a punishment. Everywhere you look online people go "they should shoot this son of a bitch" or "I would kill the person who did that to me".Hell people dying is a common subject of laughter.Look at game of thrones, half the cast gets murdered or mutilated, with penises being cut off everywhere. But as soon as one castmember gets raped there are cries of misogyny.This is not a rape culture, it's a rape hysteria culture, and it's getting worse by the day.There is one area where you could argue that there is a rape culture btw. Against men.Who, when they get raped, often get a chorus of "nice". People act as if it's no big deal, as if he should be happy. There have been definitions of rape that even exclude male victims. Male victims have been made to pay child support to female abusers. When men go to prison, "don't drop the soap" is a common warning outed with glee at the prospect of them getting RAPED!And in movies, men getting raped is most usually depicted as comical. Now if despite all that, you want to pretend that women live in a rape culture, go ahead. But don't expect people to take you seriously when you do.</p>	Common, Misogyny	Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	3/491	0.611
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------	------------------------------------------------	-------	-------

DutchDread If you think there is no rape culture, then you are not informed. There are plenty of people who rape and who celebrate rape. In 2010 alone, there were 84767 reported rapes in the united states. 1 in 100 rapes don't get reported. That's a literal SHIT TONNE of rapes.Now I'm not saying the way rape is treated socially isn't dangerously off, of course there is a whole lot of crap around the whole issue, but to act like there is no issue at all is ABSURD and you should seriously rethink your approach to it. If there isn't a rape culture, there's still a fucking crazy amount of rapes happening	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/113	0.885
Vince SC I've already explained this to you, I am not doing it again. There is not a rape culture, if you think there is one, then you don't know what you are talking about."1 in 100 rapes don't get reported", let's assume you meant 99 in 100.That's conjecture at best. You sound like the type of person who also believes that 1 in 5 or 1 in 4 (what is it this week) college students experience rape. This simply isn't true, there is not a " crazy amount of rape" going on, you sound like you've been brainwashed, rape is at an all time low.84767, lets be extremely generous and assume that that figure is correct AND that each of those was an actual rape, and not "I once had drunken sex and regretted it afterwards". Then that's still only a small fraction of the population. "act like there is no issue at all is ABSURD"There is no issue at all, sorry. There are rapes, and that's bad, but there is not some sort of epidemic. We as a society are doing everything we can to prevent rape (well, most of us are, some of you who shout "victim blaming" when we are trying to give helpful tips in order to prevent rape certainly aren't doing their best). We hate rapists, rape is illegal, and we do our very best to prosecute rapists.There literally isn't anything more we could do as a society apart from making 1984 a reality and monitor everyone at all times.We've already gone so far into crazy land that the mere accusation of rape is a social death sentence. There are already people STUPID enough to advocate "listen and believe" policies, there are people deranged enough that they want to change the fabric of our justice system in order to have presumption of guilt when it comes to rape.This is insanity, pure undiluted insanity, and it's the result of fear mongering, of a society that has a rape hysteria culture, one that you are CLEARLY participating in. [LINK] apparently it's about 29 rapes in 100.000 people. Forgive me if I don't join you in taking out my torch and pitchfork. I want women (and men) to feel safe, and the first step towards doing that is to stop lying to them and filling their heads with these propagandist horror stories.	Crazy, Sex	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/401	0.748

Vince SC People I know and love have gone through worse ordeals than you can ever imagine, and it doesn't help them to tell them it's likely to happen again any time. And according to some of the definitions going around these days, I'd be a victim of rape as well. Your research indicates nothing , you said 80.000, I said that's about 29 in 100.000 people. Both of those are true, but mine puts it in perspective, while yours is fear mongering.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/82	1.22
aren't the women on his shirt fictional? again, it's a t-shirt. why don't we ban alcohol because some people can't use it with out abusing it? you see where i'm getting at. this sort of mentality your peddling only serves to transform people into mindless infants who can't play with adult toys because they may endanger the whole of society	alcohol	Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol	1/60	1.667

<p>Vince SC On top of not knowing what a rape culture is, you apparently also don't know what the term "high horse" means."you don't grasp the self protecting system that systemic rape does" That sentence is incoherent, just like your opinion. You have not clearly defined anything, saying something is a subculture is not a definition. You are not telling me what a rape culture is, you are telling me where I can find one. Let's sum up this conversation. You claimed that there was a rape culture. I assumed you used the standard definition of a culture that normalized and condones rape. I clearly showed how that example does not apply to modern western nations. Then you made a complete non sequitur by ignoring the classic definition of a rape culture and instead trying to prove rape culture exists by stating the amount of rape that occurs. Moving the goalposts from "does culture condone rape" to "do rapes occur". When I proved that stating numbers of rape with no context makes no sense, and proved that the number of rapes in our culture is extremely low (using YOUR number mind you). You ignored the question I posed you about how low the number of rapes in 100.000 has to be before you admit that there is no rape culture. Instead you suddenly and conveniently tried to switch back to claiming that our culture does promote and condone rape, but since you lost the culture argument, you now switched to sub-culture. Now we are getting somewhere I thought, you are already starting to understand that our culture hates rape, now you just need to put the last few pieces together in your fragile little mind and understand WHY these people have to hide away. But no, you completely threw up a mental roadblock to avoid having to admit that you were wrong. Now, in all this I have repeatedly asked you to define "rape culture" and you have not done so. You have gotten no further than to claim that this rape culture (whatever that means to you) exists in some sort of subculture. A claim so vague and broad that it's even less specific or relevant than saying "some rapes occur". "there is a subculture in our society that is a rape culture". If there were literally 2 people in our society who say to each other "you know what, rape aint that bad", then that sentence could still apply. Congrats, you've made such a mockery of this conversation that it's lost all meaning. "Go see some people who are victims and ask them" Don't you fucking dare, I've spoken more about this to victims than you will ever know. There are women I love who were raped repeatedly together with their sisters from when they were 4 until they were 14 by a family member. Don't you dare tell me I should just talk to victims. I know better than you ever will what kind of effect something like that can have on a person. I refuse to let sexist assholes like you denigrate their experiences by pretending that what happened to them is just part of the female experience, or that it is somehow comparable to someone who had sex and regretted it afterwards. By some of the bullshit definitions I've heard people use I was raped, hell I was raped by every girlfriend I've ever had if I had to use feminist standards. I will not use those standards, I will not compare real victims of horrible crimes to entitled bitches why refuse to take responsibility for their own actions. How dare you. I refuse to continue this discussion with you, it's clear that I can't</p>	<p>Mental, Sex</p>	<p>Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>2/656</p>	<p>0.305</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	--------------

<p>I believe that the key point in this argument that in most first world countries, it is the legacy of patriarchal attitudes that live on, not the attitudes in their entirety.</p> <p>Traditional portrayals of women in stories like Cinderella and Snow White have had immense impacts on today's culture, as seeing or reading the stories even once as a child has the potential to cement in that young mind what their gender makes them "inclined" to do. Stories like these make up a sort of mythos that is supplemented later by news stories and advertisements and eventually real world examples that suggest to us that those stories had an element of truth in them. We grow older, unknowingly carrying on these values, and eventually pass them on to our children, starting with the fairy tales. This is where the distinction between institutionalized sexism and de facto, everyday sexism becomes clear. Our roots as a society are so deeply grounded in sexist attitudes that nearly everything we do or touch serves to in some way affirm what we were predisposed to believe. It is in this context that I believe that this shirt is insignificant. Attacking a man for what he wears is not going to change the fact that nearly everyone in the United States received their first bit of education about gender from fairy tales, or from their parents directly (see Leave it to Beaver). I honestly have no idea how to fix such a problem as this, where the issue has become so intertwined with the society that it seems to be an integral part of it. As a final aside, I would like to point out that, as a race, humans have often tended towards distinctions based on gender. As hunter-gatherers, sexual dimorphism made it exceedingly common for men to hunt and women to gather. Nearly every society I can think of thought of women as lesser or fundamentally different from men. My question to you is this: why? The fact that this sort of distinction between men and women is so common, even in societies that never made outside contact, suggests something, but for the life of me I don't know what to gather from it. Are we simply predisposed to sexism as a species?</p>	Common, Gender, Race, Sexism, Sexual	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	10/379	2.639
<p>+jo jim While only occurring in more or less isolated civilisations, women being the "dominant" gender is entirely plausible. You'd need more explicit evidence for your point and an explanation against these civilisations before concluding that male to female sexism is an inherent aspect of our nature. [LINK]</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/48	4.167
<p>+That Thing That Wikipedia article states that a strictly matriarchal society has never been proven to have existed, and egalitarian nonpatriarchal societies can hardly be considered the same thing. It seems that the only people claiming that a "matriarchal" society has existed are doing so using a distorted sense of the word. That only goes to strengthen my point: patriarchal tendencies may well be inherent in humans. I know it sounds warped, but it changes the way the problem of sexism would be approached.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/84	1.19

The problem with Kim Kardashian is that she is an empty suit. She is literally a hot ass and a pitchwomen. Her entire identity is that of a sexual figure Ryan Gosing is a hunk, but he's more then a hunk. The man has been acting for twenty years. Even in movies where he is mostly objectified. He's still an actor in a movie. If Gosing gained 30 pounds ans started smoking, he would still bring value as an actor. Not as a much, but you could still find productive work. Where is Kardasian's value as a pitchwomen without her sexuality?	Sexual	Gender - General	1/101	0.99
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------------------	-------	------

<p>Sexual attraction is a realm for living organisms. Human sexual attraction is a realm of humans, humanity. It is essential for our continued existence. Objectification is treating someone like an object. Seeing as how objects are not sexually attracted to anything and how sexual attraction of humans is a human exclusive thing, how exactly does one 'objectify' a human if they are engaging in a dimension exclusive to humans? This is like the ignorance behind asinine buzz words like 'homophobia'. Do you know what a phobia is? It's a psychological condition-anxiety disorder....do you have anxiety disorder because you don't support suicide or pedophilia? But I digress, 'sexual objectification' is an asinine, nonsensical term with zero application used purely by moral busy bodies to feed their pathological desire to attack others and feel righteous in doing so.Your example is comparing apples to oranges and even then is deeply flawed. If aliens exist, and it's been DOCUMENTED that 60 of blue aliens eat humans, 0.1 of green aliens eat humans and 0 of red aliens eat humans, which alien population would you feel the least threatened by? Which alien species would you end your 3 year old daughter alone to? Yes there is 40 of blue aliens that do not eat humans, but to claim that someone who says 'I don't feel comfortable being around something that eats my species' is unreasonable shows a remarkable detachment from reason. Not to mention, if I were a woman I would be offended. Moral busy bodies assume women are no more intellectually or emotionally capable than infants, unable to use reason. Why is it that romance novels and female fantasies can be created with impossible 'standards of masculinity' and men have the mental capacity to understand fiction and are not affected...but poor dumb women are as helpless children, unable to deal with male fantasies? I thought your big thing was women and men are 'equal'?Your statistics seem like bullshit. Citation needed.Even this biased paper claimed 20 of the STEM degrees are held by women....a VERY different story than the nonsense you claimed-that women hold the majority of ALL STEM degrees. [LINK] If women already have most of the STEM degrees there wouldn't be a need for STEM push in educational institutions because...they....already....have....degrees....in....STEM. So your picture is this:'We need to ENCOURAGE more women to get into STEM!!!'"Most of STEM already ARE women, but because they have the EQUAL working conditions as a man they run away!'- -I hope at some point you realize the hypocrisy in your line of thinking. The mere fact you want maternity leave shows that there cannot be the sort of 'equality' you lot claim to clamor for between men and women. Know why? Men do not have child pay leave. If men do not have it, then why do women? Answer? Because men and women are different-they are not the same. Yet you want to (when it suits you) force 'equality' in everything. Men and women are not 'equal'. 'Equal' means the same. One side cannot have ANY differences to the other.....biology already proves me right, but you people like to ignore reason and reality. If women have maternity leave, men should get paternity leave. You get paid leave for a number of weeks when you are expecting a child, regardless of what genitals you have between your legs. THAT is equality. I do</p>	<p>Alien, Black, Dumb, Mental, Old, Red, Sexual, Woman, Zero</p>	<p>Ideological and Political Identity - General, Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - Native-American, Gender - General, Nationality - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments</p>	<p>15/828</p>	<p>1.812</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	--------------

<p>ah, but do we create culture or does culture create us? what gave rise to said male and female ideals in the first place? it must stem from biology somewhere along the line. society's standards are based on that - what men desire in women and what women desire in men. if you are ever wondering why men and women are the way they are - we made each other that way through millions of years of sexual selection. it's why monkeys and even rodents show many of the same gender differences that humans do. a society with standards will always have individuals who dont fit the stereotypes. this was never previously an issue when we all lived hand to mouth in hunter-gatherer and agrarian societies and male-female division of tasks were a virtual necessity, but now is as we have the luxury of time and ability to pursue our own personal interests. there are many women who reject beauty standards, but there is a reason that industry is stronger than ever even as women have become more free to reject it. women want to look pretty because men like them that way, men are preoccupied with success and winning and achievement because women like them that way. the best we can do is allow people to be free. they will never be free of the stereotypes since that is hard-wired into our DNA until some time in the distant future, but they can be free to buck the trend, as they now are. since the second wave of feminism there has been equalization in many fields. are we really to believe that STEM is that much more sexist than the rest? and it's not even all of STEM. why is veterinary work less sexist than math or physics? or pharmacology less so than engineering? what of countries that are supposedly further ahead in their question for gender-equality? do they show "improvement" in the chosen metrics of gender representation in traditional male fields? they do not. if anything they are "worse". shall we continue pushing failed public policy and group identity politics that pit the sexes against one other and set up dubious and unscientific ideals of what gender equality looks like? or should we preach individualism and let the chips fall where they may?</p>	Buck, Gender, Sexual	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	5/385	1.299
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------------------	-------	-------

<p>Decades of anthropology and scientific research contradict this. None of the gender differences we have studied today existed before agriculture, and many of them didn't exist until very recently. Before Victorian times, men were stereotypically emotional and women were sterotypically logical and rational. Talking about feelings was considered masculine before the Wild West movies of the 50's popularized the strong silent type. The behaviour of animals varies so wildly from species to species that you can't trace any real sex difference trend across them, though some people certainly like to cherrypick events that look similar to modern gender differences. Most gender differences in our culture can be traced back to the division of labour that occurred when agriculture began 10,000 years ago. Before agriculture, men and women traveled together, hunting, trading, raising children, and there was no division of labour. Agriculture required that some people go out to hunt and trade while others stay behind to tend the home and care for the children. Since women get pregnant, they were relegated to the latter. Evolution occurs over millions of years, not a few thousand, so this cannot have made anything hard-wired in our brains. As for STEM, considering all the studies that keep showing that people discriminate enormously against women in stereotypically male fields, and all the companies who have reported that their female and minority hires shot up once they started blacking out names on resumes, it's clear that we aren't free. We are following unwritten rules that were made up thousands of years ago, which are obsolete now and cost us enormous talent. It's not individualism to constantly blindly follow obsolete rules. Think how far we could have come as a society if we were utilizing all of our talent!</p>	Gender, Sex	Gender - General	4/292	1.37
<p>"Kim is owning her body. It is her choice. That guy is wearing ours." - A drawing is literally not your body, though. You're basically accusing the dude of sexually abusing a drawing ("living women have free will, drawings don't!"), which doesn't even begin to make sense - it's not like he took creepshots of women and then made a shirt design out of them. That's where the contradiction lies - if a guy wearing a shirt negatively impacts women, then a woman making the choice of sexualizing herself then becoming a public figure and a a role model for women is ABSOLUTELY harmful.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/104	0.962

And clearly people think "nasty shit" about men. The "degraded for (literally) hundreds of years" argument does not hold, as it would be claiming some sort of genetic change through a natural selection or breeding of a certain thought... not shown to be biologically possible, nor is any serious evolutionary biologist supporting that (I don't count sociologists as masters of the biological, or else they would be commenting on the nature, not the nurture). I was raised by women. I am a man. I feel emotions stronger than my very masculine gay brother. You want to hear what " sexual dominance" really is, listen to him talk about some of the men he's slept with. And if you want to hear about what women can think of men, I have a few women in my circle who would love to tell you... and it would sound quite a bit like the " sexism " this guy's shirt, or others have done. Sexism exists. And I consider myself a feminist (equal rights for all). However, I am a scientifically minded and data driven individual. There is nothing , and I mean nothing , that shows some form of data about how this shirt turned women from science, or anything of the like. In the real world no data is code for "not real."	Gay, Nothing, Sexism, Sexual	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	7/217	3.226
certainly, but we can't have a standard based on the lowest common denominator of offense taken. that's not practical. some people are just plain ridiculous.	Common	Social Class - Working class	1/25	4.0
For the feminazi all expression of mle desire is rape.... I'm not joking. They are the brownshirts of today, bashing and spewing hatred at non female supremacists, including people like Erin pizzey who got death threats from feminists.	Feminazi	Gender - Female intelligence	1/38	2.632
The Labor Department, under Obama administration, already concluded that the wage gap is entirely explained by choice, not sexism . There have been laws in place since the 60's that prevent compensating women unequally for same work. If corporations could pay women less, then they would only be hiring women. Now, let's talk about some real examples of sexism : Men are forced to register for selective services and potentially be drafted for a war, as they were in Vietnam, where men were forcefully flown into a foreign country to die in a rain of napalm and bullets. That's a possibility men have to contend with every minute of their lives. While women are assured that, in case of war, they will be allowed to stay home. Also, men get their genitals mutilated at birth, occasionally resulting in infant death.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/138	0.725

<p>The "Truthful" Channel (really need quotes around "truthful" there) "The Labor Department, under Obama administration, already concluded that the wage gap is entirely explained by choice, not sexism."Um... no. Here's the dept of labor under the Obama administration officially saying the opposite. [LINK] "...choices aren't enough to explain away the gender pay gap." –Dept of Labor. Read as many times as necessary."There have been laws in place since the 60's that prevent compensating women unequally for same work. "There are also laws against theft, fraud, and child porn. Glad those things are completely gone in our society, because making laws against things makes them magically disappear! Dur duh durrr. Police and everybody can go home we got it all taken care of. Maybe you think laws are like physical laws of nature or something. Men are forced to register for selective services and potentially be drafted for a war Whose fault is the draft? Women? they couldn't even vote when the draft was made so it's not them. Feminists? Feminists have been fighting to get women into combat roles since there was feminism. MRAs haven't been doing shit to change that. Yes patriarchy hurts men too. Just like feminists say! go figure. If you want to bitch about the sexism of the all male draft, bitch at conservative Republicans in congress, not feminists. [LINK] "While women are assured that, in case of war, they will be allowed to stay home" Haha yeah in a patriarchy, women sure are "allowed to stay at home" a lot. Oh now the circumcision thing... again men being shitty to men so you complain on a video about feminism. Makes no sense at all. [LINK]</p>	Gender, Patriarchy, Sexism	Gender - General	5/283	1.767
<p>Wow. I guess because all women don't share a single hive-mind and maybe there's a place for porn and a place for not porn and the world has a teenie bit more nuance than you seem to be capable of grasping? Would you be super comfortable in an office with tons of hardcore gay porn everywhere? If not, why not? gay hardcore porn stars aren't scared away from doing gay porn. Wait are you being sarcastic? If so it's very subtle.</p>	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	6/81	7.407

I love the amateur evolutionary biologist anthropologist telling us why all our stereotypes are genetically determined for everyone. Very likely you have no real idea what women or men are "evolved to do." or not. The more "equality" women get, the more depressed they are as a whole. Citation please. Quite a few women were constantly drunk or high on amphetamines and depressed as shit in the 50s You have nothing what so ever to back up anything you're saying. They grow up being told they're rapists, they get no financial assistance for anything, nobody will hire them, and when they hurt they're told society drinks their tears. Some equality.Hahah Yeah that's why we still have by far most of the political and economic power in every level of politics and finance. And we have plenty of financial assistance. Nobody every called me a rapists so I wonder wtf you're talking about. Sounds like you're just mad we don't have as much to whine about. Waaaah.	Nobody, Nothing	Age - Youngsters	3/168	1.786
That's why you rarely see ugly people (male or female) in movies.Oh really? [LINK] [LINK] [LINK] [LINK] Guy actor can walk into the oscars with a raging neck beard and blonde dreadlocks and braid-able ear hair and no one makes a peep... woman has a single hair anywhere on her body and it's a big "statement." for the tabloids. It's not "equal."	Neck beard, Woman	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/63	3.175
sinistar99 I confused Viola Davis with Leslie Jones. But anyway, Hollywood consists of large, publicly-traded, for-profit corporations. 1. If they do sexualize women, they do so because it makes money, not because of sexism . 2. Why aren't the women who accept those roles just as culpable as Hollywood? They are choosing to monetize their beauty. You seem to think there's something wrong with that. 3. If you really care so much about seeing ugly women in films, why don't you find other people who agree with you and you can start a kickstarter page and make a low-budget movie with lots of ugly women. If people like it, and it becomes a box office success, Hollywood will surely emulate it. 4. (and most important) You can just choose not to watch movies that sexualize women. MOVIES ARE NOT A PUBLIC GOOD. They are made BY PRIVATE COMPANIES for PRIVATE, PAYING AUDIENCES. Are you saying people who want to see hot women on film should not be allowed to?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/168	0.595
You should not wear naked picture of anyone anywhere you yourself can't go naked. If this amazing scientist got caught wearing it on Instagram or some weird party no one would care. He wore it on news-related, scientific, borderline educational event. That was dumb	Dumb, Weird	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/44	4.545

<p>The shirt might be in poor taste, but it isn't actually sexist itself. Everyone who is outraged is essentially assuming that the person wearing it must have a sexist world view. An analogy might be that all people with tattoos are violent. Yes many violent criminals have tattoos but there are many more people with them that are not. We judge people with tattoos by their actions and what they say, not by how they look. Surely we can extend the same to the scientist. There is a different discussion to be had though about the impression the shirt gives of STEM generally. but like i said, surely that falls into it being bad taste rather than anything more insidious. Similar to wearing sandals to a business meeting. It gives the wrong impression, not that the person is a beach bum.</p>	Bum	Social Class - Destitute	1/141	0.709
<p>Nerdwriter1 Personally, I felt that the criticism of the shirt by feminists, Mike and you was a legitimate critique. The massive backlash against the critique was what disturbed me the most - the backlash of people arguing against feminism. I feel like the hypersensitive response to a feminist critique of the shirt is indicative of a deeper sexist culture. We've seen this before with Gamergate and the prevalence of sexism and hate against feminists on Reddit.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/76	1.316
<p>Nobody Lurker Really? I'm going to make a guess and say you are a man. Do you ever have to walk really fast and hold your head down as some pervey old men catcall you? Are you ever terrified of walking down alleyways at night? And is it because you are afraid of being raped? Have half of your male friends admitted to being assaulted by a woman? If not then you do not have less rights than women. You say there's no need for feminism now. Tell that to the girls who were raped, sexually assaulted and molested- and believe me there are SO MANY you'll never see the world the same again because right now you're in a comfortable bubble of ignorance that has NO IDEA how bad this problem is. Tell that to the boys who were raped, sexually assaulted and molested and were never believed and/or were shamed into silence because being victims of abuse made them seem 'feminine'. Bloody hell, WEAKNESS in itself is considered feminine I suggest you take your "feminism is a dead ideology no longer needed in western society" and find the nerve to say it to the lgbt+ community and to black men and women who not only have to deal with sexism but racism too. Because that's what 3rd wave feminism acknowledges. I don't particularly blame you for not knowing this stuff. : It's much easier to dismiss it when you haven't personally been affected by something like this I guess. But I hope you read this in full and rethink your comment.</p>	Black, LGBT, Nobody, Old, Racism, Sexism, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - Black, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality, Sexual Identity - Transsexuality	9/264	3.409

Yeah i pretty much agree. Like, if i was younger and very excited about science and had not known anything about that shirt besides what i can see for myself, it would make me want to stay a little farther away from the scientific community. If scientists making important discoveries are also walking around with a shirt that objectifies my gender , regardless of if that was the original intention of the person wearing it, as a young girl watching it it would feel like a small attack on my potential presence as a future scientist. Alone, its not the worst thing ever, but with the added context of all the shit women get in STEM fields already, it has a deeper impact.What mike said sums it up. "It screams 'stay away'..."Cool video :)	Gender	Gender - General	1/135	0.741
Yes, sexism comes from the feeling of being threatened. But as much as I support equality and feminist issues, I don't think we should be pushing a 50 in all fields, it's alright for different people to have different interests as long as everybody is doing what they want. I'm more into science stuffs than all my female friends, in the group I'm the weird nerdy one, it's alright, they aren't victims of society, and I'm not the free thinker. We're just different people with different interests, and those interests don't need to be perfectly distributed. The important thing is that a woman who wants to pursue a career in science can do it without being held to higher standards than men, what those men are wearing is irrelevant. Honestly I don't care. Everybody is free to wear whatever they want, offensive message, sexist imagery, it's their body, their clothes. I don't want someone telling me how to dress, I will not tell someone how to dress.	Sexism, Weird, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/167	1.796
I think what this really shows us is that the Internet is global, but our individual culture is local. The borderline for sexism is different country to country, institution to institution, and wearing this shirt in lets say Tehran would have signaled would do I know, maybe free speech and gender equality and when he inter the global stage on the video stream, he becomes part of something that haven't even crossed his mind.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/74	2.703
i disagree with what you're saying man, you can't justify a comparison between winning a sporting event and racism , sexism , homophobia and prejudice! Totally different arguments.....I can't even begin to explain, it's just.....no.	Homophobia, Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	3/33	9.091

I've spent the needed years at uni, and i'm quite certain in saying,. that if a shirt is enough to keep you away from a certain study, you are SO(!) far from being strong enough to actually make it through the needed education anyways. One piece of statistics caught my attention. The "Women earn 60 of all graduate degrees, yet hold less than 25 of STEM jobs." First of all that seems like the kind of statistics that kills off a lot of sports programs across the country, because schools have trouble getting as many female students to go for some sort of sports, and some morons have decided that true equality is that both sexes share the same interests. Ergo the female whatever team needs to be as big as the male team. The lack of reason and logic behind that thought is amazing. What if... What if women tend to be drawn towards other things than STEM? ..and again: Why would you think that this man's not so great looking shirt, would be worth fighting against? If it keeps women away, they had no business in STEM in the first place. They have no business in the real world tbh.and.. How come we only hear moaning when it comes to lines of work/study/whatever, that's dominated by men? How come noone speaks out against the areas where men aren't as represented? Basically the answert is: Sexism , disguised as feminism.Honestly.. Woman aren't weak little fragile things, unable to make it through the day if they see a few cartoon women on a shirt. Not real women that is. This hooplah is bullshit.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/274	0.73
Incredibly right my good sir, right you are. I am disgusted by ideas of male sexism , when most of the time it is either false statistics, biased "facts", or ignorance. This feminist movement has gone too far, now it is a monster that's sole purpose is to destroy men. As a man myself, I used to get angry at feminism for taking blinded throws at what is "equality", but now I am just disappointed that people could be so ignorant and not even try to come to the light that they are simply uneducated on what is really happening with all that they believe is wrong with the world. Whenever inequality towards men is brought up it is quickly shot down and spoken over by feminists who think that anything a man does is evil. They feed on statistics "against them" because it "proves that they are oppressed" and it is disgusting to see the world succumb to this kind of nonsense.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/162	0.617

Table 2: Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable

Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	KeyWords	Number of occurrences	Frequency	Frequency(%)
------------------------------------------	----------	-----------------------	-----------	--------------

Gender - General	Gender, Sexism, Sex, Woman, Chick, Misogyny, Misogynist, Sexual, Patriarchy, Misandry, Chauvinist	2533	2533/368052	0.69
Nationality - Chinese	pussy, Slope, Yellow, ABC	18	18/368052	0.0
Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	Dumb, Mental, Crazy, Lame, Weird, Deaf, Blind, Midget, Disabled, Ableism, Psycho, Dwarf, Freak, Bonkers	208	208/368052	0.06
Ethnicity - General	Racism, Racist, Race, Ethnic, Ethnicity	195	195/368052	0.05
Ideological and Political Identity - General	Snowflake, Red, Nazi, Communist, Imperialist, Fundie	63	63/368052	0.02
Ethnicity - Black	Black, coloured, Colored, Teapot, Nigga, African, Spade, Shiner, Monkey, Fuzzy, Buck	122	122/368052	0.03
Age - Youngsters	Nothing, Nobody, Zero, Brat, Morsel	377	377/368052	0.1
Sexual Identity - General	Queer, Gay, Homosexual, Homophobic, Homophobia, LGBT	78	78/368052	0.02
Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	Queer, Gay, LGBT, Fag, Faggot, Effeminate, Beta male, Poof, Fruit, Neck beard	69	69/368052	0.02
Sexual Identity - Transexuality	Transgender, LGBT, Transition	10	10/368052	0.0
Age - Over 65s	Old, Senior, Elderly	65	65/368052	0.02
Ethnicity - Native-American	Red, Apple, Indian	25	25/368052	0.01
Gender - Female age and physical appearance	Witch	14	14/368052	0.0
Social Class - Working class	Common, Cheesy, Tacky	74	74/368052	0.02
Social Class - General	Classism	1	1/368052	0.0
Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	Lesbian, Tomboy	15	15/368052	0.0
Nationality - French	Frog	4	4/368052	0.0
Social Class - Destitute	Underdog, Bum	3	3/368052	0.0
Physical Identity - Physical Features	Skinny, Thin, Obese, Fat, Lump, Fatty	30	30/368052	0.01
Religious Identity - Jewish	Oven	2	2/368052	0.0
Age - General	Age	43	43/368052	0.01
Ethnicity - Asian (East- China, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam)	Yellow, Banana	5	5/368052	0.0
Nationality - Japanese	Yellow	2	2/368052	0.0
Nationality - Southern European	PIGS	5	5/368052	0.0
Gender - Female intelligence	Feminazi, Make me a sandwich, Bimbo	13	13/368052	0.0

Nationality - General	Engineers, doctors, Nationality, Nationalism, Nation, Alien, Migrant, Refugee	87	87/368052	0.02
Gender - Female sexuality	Promiscuous, Tart, Hooker, THOT	9	9/368052	0.0
Ethnicity - Aboriginal	Gin	2	2/368052	0.0
Physical Identity - General	Body Shaming	1	1/368052	0.0
Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol	alcohol	3	3/368052	0.0
Religious Identity - Christian	Fundie	1	1/368052	0.0
Nationality - American	Septic	2	2/368052	0.0

Result analysis:

- Taking into account the words that were detected, we can reach the conclusion these comments are associated with : : Gender - General;Nationality - Chinese;Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments;Ethnicity - General; Ideological and Political Identity - General;Ethnicity - Black;Age - Youngsters;Sexual Identity - General;Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality;Sexual Identity - Transexuality;Age - Over 65s;Ethnicity - Native-American;Gender - Female age and physical appearance;Social Class - Working class;Social Class - General;Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality;Nationality - French;Social Class - Destitute;Physical Identity - Physical Features;Religious Identity - Jewish;Age - General;Ethnicity - Asian (East- China, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam);Nationality - Japanese;Nationality - Southern European;Gender - Female intelligence;Nationality - General;Gender - Female sexuality;Ethnicity - Aboriginal;Physical Identity - General;Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol;Religious Identity - Christian;Nationality - American;
- The percentage of hate speech related words is 1.1083.
- Considering that the variable **Gender - General** has the most occurences in the post, we can interpret that this is the predominant hate speech.
- Overall there were 4080/4663 occurences of hate speech related comments.