

In youtube_extraction_english_61.json :

Title: Sexism in Harry Potter

- Table 1: Summary of the results per comment;
- [Table 2](#): Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable;

Table 1: Summary of the results per comment

Comment	KeyWords	Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	Hate Speech Frequency	Hate Speech Fre-quency(%)
It's written by a woman ...what did you expect?	Woman	Gender - General	1/8	12.5
Ok what YOU just said was sexism	Sexism	Gender - General	1/7	14.286
Has this woman ever read nancy drewThis woman has never read a book she likes	Woman	Gender - General	2/16	12.5
So it's not sexist because "it's always been like that" even though it is differentiating based on sex .	Sex	Gender - General	1/18	5.556
OOOKKKK, the fact is J.K Rowling portrays all the female characters in the series as unlikeable and worthless. There is nothing wrong with the male characters, just the lack of female representation is sexist because it's clearly a way of saying girl's a just burdens. Also, Harry and Ron ARE like bully's to Hermione! They never even say sorry for treating her like trash when they thought her cat killed Scrabbers. And they were so rude to her and made her cry on multiple occasions, forcing her to seek Hagrid's help. But after everthing is over they just act like it never happened.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/103	0.971

I don't think you watched this video."There is nothing wrong with the male characters"Except for the fact that they aren't trustworthy and stairs turn into a slide when they go up to girl's dorm yet that doesn't happen to the girls.Again, you can't come up with an example where girls were treated worse simply for being girls because it doesn't exists. All you can come up with is "oh Hermione was made to feel bad a couple times" That's because Ron and Harry didn't like the things she DID not because she was a girl. Get a clue.	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/101	0.99
--	---------	---------------------	-------	------

I never did understand the stairs thing. I mean, yes... if you are going to "protect" one **sex** from potentially harmful encounters then why not do it for both?Forgive me if I touch on uncomfortable subjects, but let's be honest.The stairs were put into place to prevent unwanted coupling at the school.The founders in their time (a thousand years ago according to the books) obviously knew that passions could flair at the school (which is filled with young people, because it's what boys and girls do) and you could have students coupling. Knowing that they could not possibly stop this, the the founders obviously felt that they should limit the responsibility of the school by preventing it INSIDE the school... at least in a most obvious place it could happen. In the bed chamber areas. (Because yes, most people would like to do it on something soft like a bed)Now yes, we know there are some aggressive boys out there who might want to press their advantage... and who is to say that the founders didn't catch people doing the nasty in the girl's dorms? But let us remember that we are talking about a world in which magic exists. As proven by the story of Voldemort, it is possible to misuse magic to supplant the will of a person. That's what Voldemort's mom did to his dad.So anyway, they place a magical barrier (which mind you would be rendered useless to a male student that knows how to fly like, oh... I don't know Voldemort) to prevent any coupling in the girl's dorm, yet they didn't think that the opposite could be true? That you could have some sexually aggressive girls? Or that perhaps you could have a female **sexual** predator? Does J.K. Rowling subscribe to the idea that you can't rape a man? Or a boy? That a girl or a **woman** couldn't do something like that, even though it happens in real life? Or that simply a nice girl could want to go have a good time with a boy in HIS room? (Barring that the guy doesn't have any cockblocking friends, you can start to see that this is pretty ridiculous and has most likely happened a lot over the centuries)Again, I never got it.Now, I love women and girls. I come from the **old** school and do believe that they should be respected and protected. And I will admit that I believe that they should be protected more than boys. But that's just how I was brought up. It's obviously a remnant of a by gone time in our history. An **age** where chivalry and good manners was the norm and expected from people. But now, the powers that be want everything to be equal. They want us to forget the **old** ways or modify them. Even my own beloved Emma Watson says that she can accept a man opening the door for her but would expect me to allow her to open the door for me. (And while I know in my heart that that's something called being polite, in my head I struggle to make myself accept that) But in an institution, like a school that needs to have rules for the it's student it is a given that those rules must be unbiased. So if you are going to protect one **sex** then you should protect both or not have it at all. Maybe in the 19 years after the school was basically destroyed, the rebuilt school did away with this head scratching rule. Or perhaps, they instituted it for both dorms. But who knows? Perhaps the ruling elite of the Wizarding World (in England) still hold on to chivalry?

Age, Old, Sex, Sexual, Woman

Age - General,
Age - Over
65s, Gender -
General

7/625

1.12

Malfoy called Hermione Muddblood. Ron retaliated on her behalf, and his broken wand backfired. That being said, I'd like to see you Vinny, discuss an example of sexism against women that will surprise your entire audience. Similar to how you've drawn a distinction between perceived sexism in character development as the article's author discusses, vs ACTUAL sexism in rules stacked deliberately against one of the sexes, as with the dormitory staircase issue.	Sexism	Gender - General	3/72	4.167
Good God. Here's why Harry's a male: pretend that there is a female version of him, named Harriet. Harriet was orphaned as a young girl, and became forcefully adopted by his abusive parents. See why it doesn't work? Then again, even if this was sexist to women, it's written by a woman .	Woman	Gender - General	1/52	1.923
LOL a faggot beta male trying to score feminazi points. An all to common site on the internet.	Beta male, Common, Faggot, Feminazi	Gender - Female intelligence, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality, Social Class - Working class	4/18	22.222
Muddblood..I really agree with your points. There will never be equality to be honest. Men and Women will always have that need to be superior to the other. It is stupid when feminists say they are fighting for "equality" but only fighting for their "rights". I'm a woman , and I find this thoroughly correct. Plus, those statements read by the narrator is just simple garbage. There was no sexism presented it was merely statements of ""inferiority"" without reading the books or without even watching the movies.	Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	2/87	2.299
There were two scenes in HBP in DH that bothered me. In HBP Hermione sends a flock of birds at Ron, and it is mentioned that he still had cuts several days later. In DH, after Ron returns, Hermione is so angry that she hits Ron several times. Imagine if that happened the other way around and Ron has physically hurt Hermione. I think he would have been called an abuser. But when Hermione did it, it was understandable and funny. Nobody would consider it harmful or sexist against men. Also, muddblood. You're right, I was typing while the video was still playing. As a girl I can get away with saying it more often than men can, but I see a lot of women who are determined to be the victim, even when no one is attacking them.	Nobody	Age - Youngsters	1/139	0.719

Mudblood, if the sexes were switched, it's obvious what would happen. There would be articles on how sexist it is that Hermes is so much smarter than Harriet and Rona. And there would definitely be an uproar about the fact that Lady Voldemorta is the most flawed person in the series, and how most of her supporters are female, and how one of her only male supporters is also the most powerful. People would also talk about how Nevilla Longbottom has such a poor memory, and how Severa Snape is incapable of putting an letting go of an old school grudge.	Old	Age - Over 65s	1/101	0.99
I really want to give you a high-five right now. I mean, really! high five That was so true. In my opinion, nothing can be sexist if you only say it on behalf of one gender . Things can be sexist against both genders, not just the one. And I also laughed at that article you read. She didn't make any good points (that I could see at least) at all! It was just random, thoughtless little jibes and complaints at female characters. Complaining about them not being perfect, likeable and charming at all times. God forbid the story can have a small sense of realisim from time to time. :)	Gender, Nothing	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	2/110	1.818
I wish they did gender reversed versions of the most popular movies. It would be a good experiment. However, they should change only one variable: females become males and males become females. everything else, the story, the dialogue, everything else stays exactly the same.I bet ghostbusters is not gonna be like that. I bet they're gonna glorify the women treating men badly in the movie, with the implication of "see how it feels?" [when the genders are reversed]. but they will not have simply reversed the genders. they will have changed many variables instead of just one (like a real scientific experiment, or a real logical argument about the effect of a specific variable). they will have the women shit all over the men all through the movie and call it equality, but really, it will have been a very different movie, not just a reversal of the genders. mudblood	Gender	Gender - General	1/151	0.662

<p>”but in the book we see four consecutive male Ministers and a male pronoun referring to a Prime Minister”Seriously? This is the best you can come up with? So just the mere fact these particular characters are male is evidence of sexism because...?The job did not exclude women. You just said yourself that Rowling herself said half of the ministers have been female. So what if 4 of them in a row were male? Again, nobody can point out actual sexism against women in this book because it does not exist. By the way,</p> <p>The position of Minister of Magic was extremely dangerous at that time since Voldemort was back. Half those men were not ministers for very long because they were either under the imperius curse or were killed.So once again, you cannot give an example of where women or girls were excluded from something simply for being female because it doesn’t exist. But as I’ve shown there are examples where it happens to males. The best anyone can do is say ”uh well these characters were male” Uh news flash! it isn’t sexist simply to be male.</p>	Nobody, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/192	1.562
<p>I’m glad that you pointed out the sexism against male characters, but I still think the book contains some things that are directed at women. For example, Rowling claims that fifty percent of the Ministers for Magic have been female, but in the book we see four consecutive male Ministers and a male pronoun referring to a Prime Minister who would have in fact been Margaret Thatcher. There was no reason not to use the female pronoun other than Rowling’s assumption that the previous PM would have been a man, and Thatcher definitely wasn’t.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/94	1.064
<p>The author used initials instead of her name. She also created a male protagonist. Women writers should stop using initials and use their names. Women writers should not be afraid that their books will not sale because of their gender. This idea is dated and silly. I hope.</p>	Gender	Gender - General	1/48	2.083
<p>I didn’t say it was Rowling’s idea. That’s irrelevant to the point and doesn’t change anything. It’s still an example of actual discrimination based on sex that was in the book.</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/31	3.226

<p>This video is so ridiculous it's actually physically painful. You have no understanding of basic theories, paradigms etc. of gender inequality. Patriarchal logic can go against some men because men are not a homogeneous group, nothing new there. All feminism is claiming is that designing social relations and social orders towards the rule of men over women will hurt women on a-v-e-r-a-g-e more than men. And it's that same logic of gender stereotypes and male dominance/rule that leads to men being seen as potential rapists until proven not guilty (therefore the staircase thing) or overemphasizes gender straits such as emotional intelligence which guys allegedly don't have. But it's also the logic according to which Harry must be male, it can't be otherwise because most heroes are male (and girls learn from young age to identify with male protagonists, but it mostly doesn't work vice versa). This perpetuates the idea of male agency. And HP is not a perfect case to show all this, although these straits def exist, as the character develop a lot after the article was written...</p>	Age, Gender, Nothing	Age - General, Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	5/179	2.793
<p>Mudblood: If every role was gender reversed. Then the feminist writer would still find sexism. She would say: why are so many women dying, why is Harry so angry in the fifth book, JK Rolling is giving women so many horrible traits. and why is Hermionoman given such intelligence when it comes to books but Harry is so dumb.</p>	Dumb, Gender, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/59	5.085
<p>Mudblood. The only way to not right a story with sexism, is to have it star characters from a species where sex is not an applicable trait. Like slugs.</p>	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	2/29	6.897
<p>I didn't define the word to mean whatever I want, I defined it the way feminist theorists whose work I follow have defined it. You can read up on the distinction I mentioned here if you're interested: [LINK] And, again, the issues men face should absolutely be discussed – just not at the expence of women. So I think it's great that you brought up the staircases and the unicorns. I just don't believe they qualify as sexism (if you do, you should argue why and be convincing) and I don't understand why you have to tear apart an analysis of gender representations in the book. It doesn't support your examples.</p>	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/111	1.802

<p>Oh the feminist definition. Can you link to any more of a bias source about sexism?</p> <p>Of course feminists are going to define it that way. They love to believe that only women can be the victims of sexism and men are to blame. But if you're going to go with that definition then of course I can't convince you that any of this is sexism against boys. Because according to that bias and ridiculously incorrect definition sexism against men and boys can't exist no matter what. "I just don't believe they qualify as sexism (if you do, you should argue why and be convincing)" You have to kidding me. That's what I did in the video. Girls are allowed to go into the boys dormitories but if a boy ever went to the girls dorm the stairs will turn into a slide. And why is that? As Hermione said girls are trusted more than boys You can't get any more blatantly sexist than that. I can't believe I really have to convince you that a scene where boys are being discriminated against and not trusted is sexist against boys. The moments I talked about are sexist because one sex is allowed to do something the other one is not. These are the only moments in the series where actual sex discrimination occurs. The fact that I still have to convince you that scenes where boys are clearly discriminated against are sexist against boys is really a testament to the point I was making in the video. No matter how clear cut the sexism against boys is there will always be people who don't see (or choose not to see) the obvious sexism against boys.</p>	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	9/285	3.158
<p>"women lack the institutional power that men have "what fucking power? the power to go to prison at the mere word of a woman? the power to lose all your money to your ex wife and pay child support the price of your mortgage while not even getting to see your kids? the power to get circumcised against your will? or how about the power to get murdered, mutilated, or get the shit kicked out of you by a sadistic woman you're not allowed to fight back against? what about the power to get arrested for being A VICTIM of domestic violence? need i go on? take your feminist definition and shove it up your cunt</p>	Woman	Gender - General	2/117	1.709

+Brian Robles No one is saying that men don't have to deal with injustice because of their gender . However, it is not women who made the system the way it is but men. Men have held the highest positions of power everywhere. It is men who decided that women should remain in the private sphere at home, which is why they get the kids and why the man is supposed to be the "breadwinner" etc. It is men who have justified the exclusion of women by arguing that they are weaker, which is why they are seen as someone to be protected and men as either the protectors or the threat. It is men who have held the power in the military who have decided to send other men to die in wars while not allowing women to fight even if they wanted to. So be mad at these injustices all you want – I am too – but be mad at the men in power who are responsible, not at women who may have benefitted by their gender roles sometimes but have definitely suffered for them more than they gained.	Gender	Gender - General	2/191	1.047
Once again, inequality that is harmful to men can and does exist. No one is trying to dispute that. I'm only saying that men's gender -based issues shouldn't necessarily be labelled ' sexism ' because sexism isn't just discrimination based on an individual's gender , it is systematic and pervasive othering where people who belong in the social minority are seen and treated as lesser than the ones in the dominant group. I think the use of the term sexism is appropriate in certain domains and for certain injustices such as being pressured to join the military etc. The examples that you mentioned though? The staircases were designed to protect women and the boys couldn't participate in the unicorn class not because of the teacher's misandrist views but because of the subject matter itself. Unfair, sure, but not really sexist. And you can discuss these things but why must you also put down a review of the harmful representations of gender in the book?As I was saying in my previous comment (before YouTube cut me off,) speaking out for men and boys is a good thing so, by all means, continue. Just don't do it as criticism against feminism or to silence women's voices.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	4/201	1.99

<p>It doesn't work that way. You can't just define the word sexism to be whatever you want. It's a cop put to say that when it's against men it doesn't count as sexism.</p> <p>"the boys couldn't participate in the unicorn class not because of the teacher's misandrist views but because of the subject matter itself"Where did I say it had anything to do with the teacher's misandric views? I never said that so stop putting words in my mouth. You obviously missed the point of this entire video."The staircases were designed to protect women"Protect them from what? From boys? Why would they need protection from them? Oh that's right, we automatically assume boys are guilty. Oh yes, nothing sexist about that at all."why must you also put down a review of the harmful representations of gender in the book?"Kind of like what you're doing right now. I'm showing the actual sexist moments against boys that appear in the book (which were conveniently left out of an article that was about sexism in Harry Potter) and you're just dismissing them by saying they shouldn't even be counted as sexism."Just don't do it as criticism against feminism or to silence women's voices."So the almighty ideology feminism is untouchable and shouldn't be criticized. And how am I "silencing women's voices"? I'm not going around flagging feminist videos or pulling fire alarms to interrept women's meetings (though feminists have done these things to silence men). All I'm doing is my making my own videos on my own channel giving attention to the other side of the story that gets ignored.</p>	Gender, Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	6/273	2.198
<p>Mudblood.You know, it's common knowledge in feminism and other human rights movements that there is no such thing as 'reverse sexism' or 'reverse racism'. A minority group cannot discriminate against the dominant group in the same sense that for example men have oppressed and marginalized women. It's not the same thing and shouting out about the unequal treatment of men, when it is done at the expence of women's concerns, is grossly offensive.I'm not saying that men do not face injustices that women don't. That's not sexism, however. That is not about women discriminating against men. That is men violating the rights of other men and it has nothing to do with gender. It is the men that have enforced the norms that some men now find so unfair. Women are not the enemy!So let women and their allies keep the space they need to talk about the important issues they have to deal with every day. If you are disruptive, you're a part of the problem. Speaking out for men and boys is a good thing so, by all means, continue. Just don't do it as criticism against feminism or to silence women's voices.</p>	Common, Gender, Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	4/198	2.02

Nice cop out to say that sexism against men can't exist. You are part of the problem. You know what's grossly offensive? People like you saying sexism against men can't exist.And I agree that there is no "reverse sexism " or "reverse racism " Those terms undermine sexism against men and racism against whites. It's just sexism/racism period.	Racism, Sexism	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	6/57	10.526
I don't give a fuck what is common knowledge in feminism and human rights circles. You don't get to arbitrarily decide that words have new meanings and that you need power to be racist and sexist.	Common, Racist	Ethnicity - General, Social Class - Working class	2/36	5.556
The statement at 5:04 really pisses me off. Not only is it objectively incorrect, it makes me mad as a huge fan of Harry Potter.1. So writing a story in which the main characters are male is somehow misogynist ? What, so every single story (or at least every popular one because this article probably wouldn't exist if Harry Potter wasn't popular) has to have a female lead? And that's not sexist? We hate boys that much in our society that we can't have any stories with a male lead? Fuck you.2. "Girls are helpers, enablers, and instruments" which is a perfect description for many many characters in the series both male and female. Ron and Hermione help Harry in his quest for the Horcruxes and the many teachers and students help fight in the battle of Hogwarts, again both male and female. The idea that only female characters help is absurd. And the idea that only male characters are in the lead is absurd.3. "No girl is brilliantly heroic the way Harry is" Ok so this person just didn't bother to read the bloody books did she? This was clearly a clickbait article written by someone who was pretending to be oppressed. Hermione, Ginny, Molly, McGonagall and many more.4. "No woman is experienced and wise like Dumbledore," Yeah. I'm falling for trolling here. It's got to be trolling. Seriously. She just didn't read the bloody books. McGonagall has always been one of my favorite characters. She's such a solid rock in the face of everything. She's such an incredible and wise leader. She stands up to Umbridge and Snape and even Voldemort and battles heroically in the Battle of Hogwarts. If you're going to just ignore that cherished character, we really have nothing to say to each other.5. "Neither women nor girls play on the side of evil," Bwhahaha. Yeah. This person didn't read the books at all. I'm guessing she didn't even read past the first page of book one. So Umbridge and Bellatrix are not evil then? What sort of morality have you got there where you think they're good characters? Fuck you. And fuck your stupid ass ideology.	Misogynist, Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/366	0.82

<p>”(Disclaimer: I am male)”Congratulations want a cookie?”For starters, men and women are NOT exactly the same.”No shit?”This is likely the reason why the unicorns would prefer the touch of a female. It’s not anything racist”Of course it’s not racist at all considering that has nothing to do with race. It is sexist though. One sex is allowed to touch the unicorns the other sex is not. Again, flip the sexes and that would definitely be included the feminist articles.”In fact, many people could use your own argument against you, saying that the fact that women like unicorns being a sexual stereotype.”Actually no they can’t. In the books it’s the unicorns that only like females, not ”only females like unicorns” Nice try though.”The books never mentioned that any other girl ever went into the boy’s dormitory.”So what if the books never mentioned that? What does that matter?And even if Hermione could have countered the spell (which didn’t happen anyway) the fact remains that the spell was there in the first place because only boys were not trusted”two examples do not make for an entire series of NINE BOOKS”ffs, you clearly missed the point. I said in the beginning of the video that I like this series. I never claimed that the entire series of books was saturated with sexism or anything of the sort. This is my response to any feminist who claims that this series of books is sexist to females. I’m saying that the only sexist moments that are in Harry Potter (yes, which are few) are sexist to boys. So my point is if you are going to claim that Harry Potter is sexist (which I’m not even doing by the way) it would be more valid to say it’s sexist to boys because those are the only examples of actual sexism that are even there. My other point was that feminists would rather talk about something they interpret as sexist to females but overlook any actual sexism against males. Yes these were only 2 examples but they were 2 good examples of actual sexism that feminists will not bother to mention when they talk about sexism in Harry Potter And btw, LMAO Nine books? You can’t even get that right.”You could have gone through lists of them, and chose one with obvious flaws”I chose the first article that I got in the search results. It’s not like I intentionally picked a weak one to tear down out of a whole bunch of better ones like you seem to implying. Any article that claims Harry Potter is sexist to females is severely flawed anyway.</p>	<p>Nothing, Race, Racist, Sex, Sexism, Sexual</p>	<p>Age - Youngsters, Ethnicity - General, Gender - General</p>	<p>12/450</p>	<p>2.667</p>
---	---	--	---------------	--------------

<p>(Disclaimer: I am male.)You are completely wrong.For starters, men and women are NOT exactly the same. You have to accept that. For instance, females give off different hormones than men. This is likely the reason why the unicorns would prefer the touch of a female. It's not anything racist. In fact, many people could use your own argument against you, saying that the fact that women like unicorns being a sexual stereotype. I will not, as there are other equally likely reasons for this, and I do not write before weighing all possibilities. There would be no real way for another animal to tell if a human was male or female; it would be as hard as for us trying to tell fish apart.Also, you noted the stairs. What you did not take into account was the character of Hermione herself. She knew much about the ways Hogwarts worked, and because she was very smart, no doubt she could have found a way to counter the spells. Throughout the books, she was doing that around Hogwarts. The books never mentioned that any other girl ever went into the boy's dormitory. Even if these were true, two examples do not make for an entire series of NINE BOOKS! As your entire argument is based on these two examples, almost every point you make afterwards regarding racism against boys is false.Now I will focus on the one part I agree partially with. That article had many flaws; for example, there are many strong female characters. In a sense, the author was making fun of sexist stereotypes. The main male characters, such as Harry, Ron, and Dumbledore, all had the traditional heroic traits; but it was the women who actually could do things, who actually moved the pieces.Of course, one problem with your argument is that you only used one article as an example. You could have gone through lists of them, and chose one with obvious flaws. Since you choose to leave out other articles, I cannot trust you at all.And if these arguments are not enough proof, mudblood</p>	Racism, Racist, Sexual	Ethnicity - General, Gender - General	3/353	0.85
<p>Mudblood. This is another fine example of feminists cherry-picking. They have to scrape of minutiae, that isn't really even there for that matter, in order to "find" sexism. This is the most they have to complain about, and the rub is it doesn't even exist anyway. They have to INVENT issues to complain about because there ISN'T anything to complain about.Of course, as per usual most feminists don't acknowledge that sexism occurs against men as well, and those few who do say it's actually because of "the patriarchy".I think it's fine to do a video on this because it serves to highlight the more prevalent societal attitudes regarding sexism, misandry, and a misapplication of feminism.</p>	Misandry, Patriarchy, Sexism	Gender - General	5/117	4.274

ok muggblood, I agree with most of your coments, but here is something we can agree.. sexism is not the real image we have of the books, and feminism is not the way, but I (and most men) consider mostly women driving cars dangerous, because we see bad situations, and the image of hermione talking harrys brain in to shutdown is the usual idea we get around us	Sexism	Gender - General	1/68	1.471
Mudblood. I always thought it was a bit condescending how Harry and Ron were always portrayed as not understanding emotions at all. Having "the emotional range of a teaspoon". Though I don't really see it as sexism , more as J.K. Rowling, as a woman , misunderstanding the way men's minds work. I find that a lot with both genders when they are trying to write a character of the opposite sex .	Sex, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General	3/70	4.286
...and here we have another feminist being very selective with her examples of sexism . Why am I not surprised?Also, muddblood.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/21	4.762
MrAnthonyIII well how long do you expect her to wait? Every book stands as a piece of art on its own and commenting after the third one: "hey folks, I love the books but the gender identities portrayed are quite static" sounds acceptable to me. The existence of one pivotal female character in the first three books and some other ones on the sideline doesn't change the fact that masculinity dominates and that characters - male and female - are stuck in heteronormative, static, binary gender roles for most of the three books. Emancipation from those roles mainly happens in later books. That's the simple statement of the article abd while one may disagree with details that message is not so far out there. Your Hermione statement is dealt with in the beginning of her article btw...	Gender	Gender - General	2/137	1.46
Christoph Sorg How long do I expect her to wait? Maybe till once the series is actually complete. In this article she's addressing the Harry Potter series, not just certain individual books. And if someone's going to critique a series, she should do so after reading the whole thing. 'Emancipation from those roles mainly happens in later books.' which is true in most series; as the story progresses, so does the character development. Which is exactly why one shouldn't critique a book series on static characters until the series is completedBesides that, why is it even something to take issue with that a story's more masculine? Many popular books series (Mortal Instruments, Divergent, Twilight, House of Night, Vampire Academy, Miss Peregrine's, etc.) are equally as female-centric as Harry Potter is male-centric, but do they ever get any shit for it? If people are going to pretend to have a problem with there being less prominent characters of one gender than another it should be consistent which genders and series those apply to	Gender	Gender - General	1/173	0.578

Do you realize what a completely ridiculous statement that is? It took ten years for the serious to be completed. Commenting on the first three books is completely acceptable because they were they were out there, had a real social impact, and some real flaws despite their many virtues. Using the term the "Harry Potter series" then means the books so far; anybody being stuck on that term is just looking for something with her article due to personal dislike that she dares to criticize masculine dominance. And then complaining about the article being outdated more than a decade later is just not being able to read something in its context.The problem is that by far the majority is male-centric; a couple of examples otherwise doesn't change that. It's not a problem to have books focusing on certain identities, but it's a problem if it's a structural social imbalance. Yet the main problem is static identities no matter which static gender they focus on (you haven't addressed that...).	Gender	Gender - General	1/169	0.592
Christoph Sorg How is the statement ridiculous? She's criticizing an incomplete work and making conclusions about the characters prematurely. It would be no better than for someone to say "the Lord of the Rings characters are static. Sam just tags along and is never actually useful; Merry and Pippin are just for comic relief; Legolas is an arrogant, ethnocentric elf who doesn't care about anyone else; Frodo is an unrealistically perfect hero who never gets corrupted by the ring like everyone else does' and so on, after only watching the first movieYou could say 'well she's only critiquing the one movie that came out,' but it doesn't change that it would be inaccurate to say such things about the characters when so much significant character development happens in the later filmsBy far the majority is male-centric? Do you even read books? These aren't just 'a couple' of examples otherwise, these are some of the most popular books out there. What you're displaying is exactly why there are so many feminists and SJW who believe in this patriarchy , androcentric nonsense: they selective look at every book/movie/TV series that can be twisted in some hair-splitting way into being sexist and claim it's evidence of a social imbalance, yet any and every female dominated series is downplayed or selectively ignored	Patriarchy	Gender - General	1/219	0.457
You're so right! Bellatrix is the most badass of the death eaters, and mcgonnagol is pretty much as smart as dumbledore and you meet her properly before you meet dumbledore properly. And hermione is still a main character with Harry it wouldn't be the same if she wasn't it and she's cleverer then harry and Ron. So all this sexism people are writing articles about is just something there exaggerating to allow them to make an article!!Oh and mudblood xD	Sexism	Gender - General	1/81	1.235

MUDBLOOD sexism in todays music industry. :)	Sexism	Gender - General	1/7	14.286
SEXISM IN HARRY POTTER DISGRACEFUL MUDBLOOD wait mudblood why not severous snape xD	Sexism	Gender - General	1/13	7.692
JK Rowling is an evil lesbian man-hating psychopath	Lesbian	Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	1/8	12.5
It's clear that the point of this video spoilers, was to address how most feminists don't actually point out real sexism anymore due to their rampant search for something to complain about today, and instead just say when something doesn't have an equal or more of females in it, it's sexist. Which it's not at all. TIG actually points out the legitimate claims of sexism that most modern day sheep just shrug off and don't acknowledge it at all. Learn what the definition of " sexism " means, before spewing feminist bullcrap out of your asshole, dumbasses.	Sexism	Gender - General	3/96	3.125
Yeah. You know how some bosses deny their female employees health care? That is sexism . That is something you should rant about.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/22	4.545
Hah, mudblood ;)As for me, i don't see a sexism there, including unicorns and dorm rules. Maybe a small bit of it, but not that much worth mentioning.Of course, it's also true that most of that article's arguments are bullshit, though.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/43	2.326
Matt1599r1.I may be wrong, but it wasn't said that dorms had that regime from the foundation of Hogwarts. Maybe certain headmasters had permitted some (or even any) kind of dorm trespassing before. But who knows why and how many incidents led to that restriction? Given there were just enough of those boys incidents in girl's dorms right before the events written in the books, any competent administration would make logical measures like that, and quite possibly doing the same to girls if there were as many incidents with their participation in boy's dorms. In place of one who's responsibility is to regulate stuff, i wouldn't stop myself from taking those measures, (for the time being at least) despite the fact that it would somewhat seem sexist. Because, you know, in a world of magic even some pranks may unintentionally become more... lethal than what was originally planned.2.So what, teacher should skip on bringing a rare animal to students only because of some gender nonsense? Its purpose is study, not amusement.	Gender	Gender - General	1/172	0.581

Mudblood this is probably the most intelligent and well-focused piece about HP I've ever seen. You've gained one more subscriber. I think as a female, it's really hard to recognize sexism against boys & men, because of the stigma we grew up with, being told "girls can't do that," so when we see it happen to boys, it feels like just desserts, but really, its equally unfair	Sexism	Gender - General	1/67	1.493
Search sexism in mario games. People say it is sexist because peach doesn't have any character. Because you know, MARIO AND LUIGI HAVE PERSONALITY	Sexism	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
Mudblood you have a point sexism is bouth ways	Sexism	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
Mudblood.I just wrote a 1500 word rant in response to someone in my class who accused HP of being sexist. I found the same article that you found, and I brought up so many of the same points.You're 100 right as well. If the sexes in the book were switched, you'd have people complaining that Henrietta Potter is a bumbling bimbo who can't get anywhere without her brainiac male friend, Mr. Granger, to show her how to be a heroine. There's no winning when people cherry-pick to support their arguments.	Bimbo	Gender - Female intelligence	1/92	1.087
Please do the Microsoft Misandry commercial that is the "His and Hers" ad.XBOX ONE TV Commercial : The Perfect Girlfriend ?P.S. Mudblood, forgot to put it in.	Misandry	Gender - General	1/29	3.448
In the next Misandry in the Media. I was looking at this and wondered how it would be if the sexes were switched.	Misandry	Gender - General	1/23	4.348
Vinny Macdon't know if you've seen this. just passing it forward. do with it what you will: Woman drugs and castrates her husband and an ENTIRE audience of women LAUGH about it on NATIONAL television.Catherine Kieu Castrates Husband, WOMEN LAUGH	Woman	Gender - General	1/42	2.381
You should take a look at comic strips...One in particular, Free Range, has been doing a few too many tired old jokes making the same old stereotypes that portray men as inferior to women...	Old	Age - Over 65s	2/34	5.882
As I said to the advert videos. Women do tend to be portrayed as goodie goodies who are sticklers for the rules (as this author says) but that's only because feminists would throw a hissy fit if they were portrayed as dumb but fun the way men often are.Mudblood	Dumb	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/50	2.0

Mudblood, though it'd be kind of obvious to anyone why everyone's saying that.Anyway, I wish some novelist would write a story in which all the male characters represent every negative male stereotype and get punched in the face in every scene, while all the female characters are brilliant, funny, perfect heroes who never make a mistake.The point of course, is to see how feminists still find a way to cry about sexism toward women and only women.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/79	1.266
Mudblood: This is one of my problems with feminism, no matter how rational or intelligent they seem to be, they all think that women are oppressed and must be saved. Then even the "smart" ones learn from the less intelligent as to what sexism is, and turn up as interpreters who shouldn't be allowed to use the word ' sexism '.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/59	1.695
Mudblood. Don't read Harry Potter so I can't really critique it but I liked it anyway due to presenting both sides as you always do.As for the blogger she seems to uphold the overcompensating "WOMEN MUST BE PERFECT" bullshit. As if being human is beneath women and we must one up men by showing them we're the divine gender . Anything but takes away the power of being instantly right.It reminds me a bit of dictatorship. Dictators hate flaws being seen so they deny them and push out propaganda that they are always right and perfect to maintain control. Its honestly not that much of a hyperbole.	Gender	Gender - General	1/108	0.926
Mudblood. I don't think that nigga author even read the books at all, not even one because it looked like she is pulling bullshit out of her ass.	Nigga	Ethnicity - Black	1/28	3.571
During an interview with mudbloods, Rowlings stated that she wrote Dumbledore as gay . He mourned his lost love who had died some years in the past. This aspect of Dumbledore was cut from the series to make it more palatable to the mainstream.Also, I'm surprised there was no mention in the item you quoted about the aunt and uncle that Harry was left to grow up with as a child. Surely there was some sexism there, what with the aunt going into hysterics when their son was attacked in the tunnel and the uncle being the 'lord of the castle' when his boss was over for dinner, giving orders and setting the stage instead of letting everyone be themselves.Meanwhile, Ron's mother was quite the strong female. In charge of everything while giving the illusion of allowing her husband and family to be themselves. She was one of the shining characters, in my opinion.	Gay, Sexism	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	3/155	1.935

Dumbledore being gay was strongly hinted but left out because it was a children's novel and she knew the reaction to sexuality would be outrage.	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/25	8.0
Mudblood?-Then again, (to be fair) women are sometimes discriminated against in many Disney stories for example. The girl always has to fall in love for "what's inside" a man, even if he's butt ugly on the outside and broke. (Like in Beauty and The Beast) Not that it's a bad thing, but you NEVER see it the other way around where the guy falls in love with a butt ugly woman who's also broke for "what's inside her heart".	Woman	Gender - General	1/79	1.266
That's because men are often portrayed as shallow, as if they only care about the way a woman looks and nothing else. Whereas a woman , unlike the shallow man, is able to see beyond the superficial.	Nothing, Woman	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/36	8.333
Sadie Mae The only one I can think of is beauty and the beast, and in that he is only a beast because he has been cursed. In the end the curse is lifted and he's handsome again. In the Hunchback of Notre Dame Quasimodo falls in love with Esmeralda, but she doesn't love him because he is ugly.	Dame, Hunchback	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/59	3.39
mudblood, men chase women who are sexually attractive to them, women are attracted to power, charisma, and money ex. any "gold digger" who marries someone twice their age , simply for the money and to be a "trophy wife"	Age	Age - General	1/38	2.632
dan b I love it when men think they are bigger experts than women on what women are attracted to. I only heard that comment made from men about women being attracted to power. Im a woman , and would rather earn my own way and instead be with a man I LOVE for who he is, not what I can get from him.	Woman	Gender - General	1/63	1.587
Azmarith There is also many men who'd prefer a rich woman to a good looking one, however, it's less socially acceptable for a man to do it. Golddiggers come in both sexes, and both are just parasites that anyone with half a brain should avoid.	Woman	Gender - General	1/45	2.222
Wait, is this the ignored gender ? I know this voice, but don't recognize this subscription. Thanks google - !!	Gender	Gender - General	1/19	5.263

I might get a lot of hate for saying this, BUT:Even though Gundam SEED was was, it was (on retrospect) a better story than Harry Potter. It would have added A LOT to the story to have made Voldemort's death occur halfway book 7. Harry Potter having defeated Voldemort, gets to internalize Voldemort's REAL plan in conquering the world and defeating death (maybe even attaining "godhood", for the purpose of salvaging Humanity or some transcendental thing). In deciphering Voldemort's true intentions, Harry himself becomes a successor to Voldemort (so that Snape, and many more DON'T die) and quickly picks up most of the Death Eaters.Ironically, Lestrangle refuses (along some other few Death Eaters) to join Harry, they are however (through Harry's influence) killed immediately by aurors. Soon HP climbs to power (Ginny and Snape as right his right hand), and during this time the Wizard World becomes known to muggles. It should be noted that this causes a majority of muggles to be "ok with it", BUT a certain minority to become radicals in the extermination of wizards, like the Death Eaters were to extermination of muggles.The plot escalates to having 4 distinct bands: HP's Neo-Death Eaters (wizard extremists), wizard moderates, muggles moderates, and some muggle terrorist group. War soon becomes unavoidable. Harry Potter gets a lot of people into his side, wizard moderates only have a weak auror army (Ron, Hermione, Malfoy, and most of the DA), muggle moderates have (after formation of world government) a decimated army (HP recruited "gifted" muggles), and the muggle extremists will consist of a battalion of hardcore "gifted" and armed individuals.The curtain comes to a close, as the decimation of moderates (wizards and muggles) takes place. Humanity's population diminishes in this war. Finally, the muggle extremists put out a good fight but also lose (at this point the planet is MOSTLY inhospitable). Ginny kills Hermione, Snape kills Ron and Malfoy. And HP tries to attained whatever transcendental plan he has in mind. The curtain closes with Humanity having being wiped out through war, and the ambiguous ending on whether or not HP achieved his goal to preserved Humanity for all eternity.	Terrorist	Religious Identity - Muslim	1/362	0.276
What do you expect a woman wrote the story	Woman	Gender - General	1/9	11.111
Why are there 2 thumbs down? This is one of the greatest videos I've ever seen. I'm very happy that you uploaded it, Vinny Mac. I've been saying things like this for the longest, but every time I do, I get accused of being a misogynist . Mud blood.	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/48	2.083

Someone simplified the Bellatrix vs Molly battle to be 'Good Women vs Bad Women implying that it was basically: quiet housewife vs sex positive straight talking character. They were basically saying that Molly winning was sexism . As though Molly wasn't a badass fierce tiger mama who was an all around wonderful person and as though Bellatrix wasn't a crazed murderous fanatical Pureblood Supremacist who literally tortured two people to insanity (and tortured Hermione) and killed Dobby. Like, how dumb do you have to be to reach that conclusion?	Dumb, Sex, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/88	3.409
It amazes me that women can see sexism everywhere but only when its against women. When its against men they wouldnt think twice about it. And then they go out of their way to Find something, anything that perpetuates a negative light on the female gender .	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	2/46	4.348
With regards to the first point, surely it wouldn't be hard to have them enchanted by a spell if you go up either stairs with bad intentions it turns into a slide, regardless of gender . I mean, this is a series with magic in it, you can do anything.	Gender	Gender - General	1/49	2.041
Mudblood. I'm surprised that author didn't bring up the houses. The two main houses talked about in the books were both male-founded. Which is just obvious sexism no, wait, I'm meant choice of the author that held no sexist meaning whatsoever. Sorry, I get confused sometimes.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/46	2.174
mudblood lolwith the sheer volume of events and creatures in the series, finding only 2 instances of sexism is actually surprising. That blogger you picked apart must be way up her own ass to ignore Bellatrix, I thought she was one of the most memorable characters. Also Hermine was the one who made all the significant plans and most of the preparation, I always felt Harry and (especially) Ron where portrayed as the kind of kids who would have been killed in traffic if mommy didn't remind them to look both ways EVERY time. Thou come to think of it Ron had exactly that kind of mom and Harry was kept shut inside most of the time.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/118	0.847
(mudblood)To me, the most sexist thing about the whole "unicorns like girls better" is that, through the whole series,unicorns are said to be the purest creatures in the world. So of course they would like girls better, don't you know. Men are violent rapey child abusers, women are always nice peaceful angels.And when unicorns are foals, it is said that they don't mind boys as much. Of course. Subtext: They're not mature enough to recognize the dangers of the male gender !	Gender	Gender - General	1/83	1.205
Abcat1337 It seems my sarcasm was not clear enough? Of course men are not violent rapey child abusers most of the time, women are not always nice and peaceful angels. I was talking against the inherent sexism in that part of Harry Potter.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/43	2.326

5:37 describes societies look at the past and now. Ignoring mens disadvantages with gender rules and ignoring any positives of the rules females had with gender rules	Gender	Gender - General	2/27	7.407
MudBloodYou forgot to mention that Professor McGonagall became headmisstress after Professor Snape dies. I can't remember who the head of Ravenclaw and Hufflepuff were, but I think at least one of them was female, making a 50/50 divide between the heads of the houses.I don't agree with you about sexism and the house elves. Although sexism is there, compared to house elves being enslaved, it's pretty trivial. True, the house elves say that they want to be enslaved, but that could be due to some kind of Stockholm syndrome. If all the house elves were freed, there would be nothing to stop them from working for the families that they previously worked for anyway.As a whole, I think the Harry Potter books are sexist. True, the points you pointed out are sexist, but overall they aren't major parts of the series. You could probably find parts of any novel that showed some sort of bias.	Nothing, Sexism	Age - Youngsters, Gender - General	3/158	1.899
the sexism that the review your read was talking about, was not sexism in the harry potter univ' but sexism of the writer her self. His (her?) problem was that the female writer should have written a more gender balanced book. Or ever, a little more female favored, to counter the male dominated literature we currently have (I don't know, i don't read books).or at least, that's how a mudblood such as my self sees it.	Gender, Sexism	Gender - General	4/77	5.195
Correct me if I'm wrong, but weren't the Harry Potter books written by a woman ? So, according to the writer of the thought provoking article women themselves can't write female characters, without making them seem imperfect and flawed in some way. Something that is an absolutely criminal representation of a woman 's globally accepted god like status. Thus, proving all authors should just omit female characters from all the stories the pen completely. Oh, and..... MUD BLOOD	Woman	Gender - General	1/76	1.316
How dare you say that! No, it's really THE PATRIARCHY THAT DID IT!!!! BLAME MEN!!!! MISOGYNIST! MALE PRIVILEGE!!!! RAPE CULTURE!!!!	Misogynist, Patriarchy	Gender - General	2/20	10.0
VeXeR ShObOxYou forgot sexual objectification.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/6	16.667
I really like your videos because you are fair, you do see if there is a legit sexism against women but you also see if there is for men. You are not a dumb mud-blood like those feminists who find everything about the female characters bad and then complain even if it is their strengths and vice versa for the male characters...I have not read the books or seen the movies but I could still enjoy the video because it's factual, there is no way to argue that these things do not lean on men being unable to behave whilst women can but as you said, it's fiction.	Dumb, Sexism	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	2/109	1.835

you know NOTHING of harry potter :-ppure blood refers to wizards that have pure wiz' blood (never read the books, not sure what started it). human magic users that don't have pure blood parents are called (derogatorily) "mudbloods".	Nothing	Age - Youngsters	1/39	2.564
Eyal Lev As I am not a child, a homosexual or a woman , I do not know, nor do I care to know about "Harry Potter"	Homosexual, Woman	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - General	2/26	7.692
Why do you bother making a video in response to what some obvious fat miserable bitch typed up online? I feel dumb just listening to this shit.	Dumb, Fat	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments, Physical Identity - Physical Features	2/27	7.407
Mudblood. (Though, I'd have preferred: Caput Draconis.) WHERE YOU BEEN, MAN!? Dang, scare me thinking you stopped this channel. Any rate, yea I'll admit that first starting off when you were talking about the girls dorm (Which I can't believe I forgot about, but only read the series once) and the unicorn deal, I didn't think that was too big a thing. But, you addressed it and I continued to listen. Yea, stupid feminist "wah wah want it my way" cunts will always be a pain in the ass. Eh, that's why I don't take them seriously anymore. Being called a misogynist pretty much has the same affect as calling me a cynic now.	Misogynist	Gender - General	1/114	0.877
Actually, they usually either claim women have it worse then start spouting femanazi gospel, even if they claim to not be "those kind" of "feminists"(female chauvenists are still called feminists by "not those kind", ironically). Or they call you a loser who can't get laid, despite lacking any information of your sex life or it being irrelevant (and would be offensive if you reference their sex life, even if you were quoting them).	Sex	Gender - General	2/73	2.74
MudBloodNice video. Though I don't care much for the HP books or the series. Did you hear that some feminist centered countries are attacking the HP movies? I swear, this planet is fucking crazy .	Crazy	Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	1/35	2.857

My old university in the UK has an entire module in gender studies (formerly known as women's studies) dedicated to sexism in books, they covered harry potter and the films, they also covered Tolkien's works. Basically the entire course was about analysing anything with men in it and finding sexism (even if it doesn't exist). These classes are insane. If they had a drinking game for every time the words patriarchy or privilege then people would have alcohol poisoning from just one class.	Gender, Old, Patriarchy, Sexism, alcohol	Age - Over 65s, Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol, Gender - General	6/83	7.229
andell1 As a swede I just want to give y'all my two cents; 1: Things like these are often GREATLY exaguratted when it comes to this country, people seem to really want to believe everybody here is hardcore femenists with no balls (It's not the case).2: From the articles I read (since I saw these comments) it seems it's only very small indie cinemas that are doing this "Bio Rio is one of four Swedish cinemas that launched the new rating", notice that I am almost 20 with all those years being here in Gothenburg, Sweden (our second biggest town) and I have never even heard of Bio Rio. Appearantly it is a small indie cinema in Stockholm... What places like that do really shouldn't be seen as a big national thing...Sources: [LINK] and [LINK] PS: Also their both biased as fuck: "We'd rather stick with tried-and-true classics like "The Heat" and "Juno."" both of which are chick flicks...	Chick	Gender - General	1/162	0.617
Heh I didn't try to infer what you think, obviously you know that better than me. I was just talking about my general feeling about how many people think. However I do agree that no matter where these kinds of "anti-man" ideas should not be welcome likewise actual sexism against women should not be welcomed.Trust me these kinds of thing do bother me even if it's on a small scale and I can see why my response was immature in some ways. I'd like to apologize if I was being rude.PS: What kind of stupidity is the word feminism itself anyways? It implies that women should have more rights (not equal rights). Isn't that basically sexist? I mean if somebody in, say, Saudi Arabia would call them selves a female-activist it'd be one thing, heck even feminist would make kind of sense there since they actually are repressed and I'd understand somebody who's pissed but still the word itself doesn't really say "We want equality", does it?	Sexism	Gender - General	1/169	0.592

<p>KazzerothThese kind of ideas are far more common in modern feminism then people like or are willing to admit. In my old university I had to take a women's studies course and we had several radical feminist talks that were women only and the stuff discussed was blatant supremacy stuff. From the power of lobbying, to control of the narrative to ensure that the benefits of women are looked after before men (even to the detriment of men). The SCUM manifesto was like the bible to these speakers and they openly (in these classes) expressed that men were aberrations, natures mistakes and to be a true human being is to be a woman. Open discussions about aborting male foetuses, what kind of diets would lessen testosterone in male children so they wouldn't rape when they were older and a whole array of other disgusting things were covered in such classes. This stuff was taught at third level (they can call it women' studies, gender studies or whatever), most of the girls in my class were studying to work in social oriented jobs, HR, community stuff and the public sector. As a woman I know that most women do not buy into this sickening madness but those that do are in positions to do harm to the men they interact with or have influence over in their everyday work lives. There are those who claim feminism is about equality but not anymore, it may have been in the past but in its current form it is about gaining more rights and privileges and it does more harm then good (in my opinion)</p>	Common, Gender, Old, Woman	Age - Over 65s, Gender - General, Social Class - Working class	5/272	1.838
<p>Nualaboala Yeah that stuff is just sad, what bothers me the most about things like feminism is when it manages to get a hold of otherwise rational people and we do see the same with different races where people are bombarded with the idea that they are some kind of victim to society.The fact that somebody in that class might turn around and say; " Maybe it's because I am a woman!" or whatever. If I heard that twenty million times a day and I had some inconveniences in my day to day life I might start to consider it too, sadly that's just how people work.</p>	Woman	Gender - General	1/108	0.926
<p>That's the thing though. I see it now almost every day at work and in university when I went back for up skilling. The truly scary thing is that I see other women, a lot of other women, embrace this victimhood mentality and start to blame men/find sexism where none exists (and these women in turn behave sexist towards men as if it is a pre-emptive strike). I read a book called the Lucifer Effect a while ago and in one of the footnotes it (briefly) mentions how feminism uses the groupthink to create uniformed bias among crowds of women in a test study where the issue could not have been men's fault but a sequence of coincidence and yet 99 of the women blamed men simply because one plant in the study coerced the narrative that it was likely the men discriminating women. I don't know if it is cultural or biological but that is scary.</p>	Sexism	Gender - General	1/157	0.637

Even if she did read them, it doesn't make a difference. I'm sure she makes a living out of sexism against women, so she needs to keep the story running to avoid getting a real job.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/36	2.778
I hate it when people act like sexism can only exist against women. Any person with a brain could figure out why that's untrue.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/24	4.167
Sexism against men is in EVERYTHING it seems like. If you watched TV you would probably be able to find sexist moments in all of them. It sucks.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/28	3.571

Table 2: Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable

Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	KeyWords	Number of occurrences	Frequency	Frequency(%)
Gender - General	Woman, Sexism, Sex, Sexual, Gender, Misogynist, Patriarchy, Misandry, Dame, Chick	153	153/16682	0.9199999999999999
Age - Youngsters	Nothing, Nobody	13	13/16682	0.08
Age - General	Age	3	3/16682	0.02
Age - Over 65s	Old	6	6/16682	0.04
Gender - Female intelligence	Feminazi, Bimbo	2	2/16682	0.01
Social Class - Working class	Common	4	4/16682	0.02
Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	Beta male, Faggot, Gay	4	4/16682	0.02
Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	Dumb, Hunchback, Crazy	7	7/16682	0.04
Ethnicity - General	Racism, Racist, Race	7	7/16682	0.04
Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	Lesbian	1	1/16682	0.01
Ethnicity - Black	Nigga	1	1/16682	0.01
Sexual Identity - General	Gay, Homosexual	3	3/16682	0.02
Religious Identity - Muslim	Terrorist	1	1/16682	0.01
Physical Identity - Physical Features	Fat	1	1/16682	0.01
Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol	alcohol	1	1/16682	0.01

Result analysis:

- Taking into account the words that were detected, we can reach the conclusion these comments are associated with : : Gender - General;Age - Youngsters;Age - General;Age - Over 65s;Gender - Female intelligence;Social Class - Working class;Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality;Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments;Ethnicity - General;Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality;Ethnicity - Black;Sexual Identity - General;Religious Identity - Muslim;Physical Identity - Physical Features;Behavioural Addiction - Alcohol;
- The percentage of hate speech related words is 1.2409.
- Considering that the variable **Gender - General** has the most occurrences in the post, we can interpret that this is the predominant hate speech.
- Overall there were 209/294 occurrences of hate speech related comments.