

# In youtube\_extraction\_english\_48.json :

Title: I am a Sexist?! | Sexism in Art

- Table 1: Summary of the results per comment;
- [Table 2](#): Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable;

Table 1: Summary of the results per comment

Comment	KeyWords	Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	Hate Speech Frequency	Hate Speech Fre-quency(%)
Most erotic art is sexist...you are so full of shit. And you claim we should emphasize more bodies but you only paint hot <b>thin</b> white women...such bullshit.	Thin	Physical Identity - Physical Features	1/27	3.704
I don't give a fuck what those <b>gay</b> asses people think we are real men who love titties and ass!	Gay	Sexual Identity - General, Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	2/20	10.0

Just because something appeals to a particular demographic it doesn't automatically make it "sexist." <b>Sexism</b> is exclusionary by definition. Not catering to someone in particular isn't excluding, it is simply not catering. There is a very important difference here.If I were to create something I enjoyed specifically for me to enjoy, does that make me sexist/racist/homophobic/transphobic/whatever-ism-ic because I didn't go out of my way to please people that aren't me? No, of course it doesn't. If I make a ham sandwich because I like ham sandwiches, it doesn't make me anti chicken sandwich. I wasn't thumbing my nose at people who prefer chicken sandwiches. It wasn't a secret dig at vegans. It's just a man making a ham sandwich because he likes ham sandwiches.If you're going to make negative assumptions about me and my motives simply because I didn't personally consult you before making a decision, then it is you who is in the wrong, not me.So some people enjoy idealised depictions of the human body. Who cares? What harm does it do? And what's wrong with an idealised body anyway? Shouldn't it be something to aspire to, or at very least admire?If you don't personally like idealised depictions of the human body then that's great. You're entitled to your opinion. However, that doesn't give you the right to go around insulting and attacking people who do like them.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/233	0.429
If you do not depict men as <b>sexual</b> objects as well, you are a sell out and a sexist as well. Gee, do naked women paintings sell?	Sexual	Gender - General	1/27	3.704
theu're no many women in erotic art. and your approach is alway funny details, maybe a <b>woman</b> touch, but so different from classic erotics stuff. And how you play with stereotype is interesting.	Woman	Gender - General	1/33	3.03
I really do think that ART cannot be SEXIST. Does anyone categorize their imagination or fantasies? It's not even a discussion. The 'artistic expression' doesn't belong in <b>sexual</b> , religious or legal trials.	Sexual	Gender - General	1/32	3.125

I definitely don't think Kinky Karrot's art is sexist, but I also think that some of the criteria used to determine whether something is sexist is <b>fuzzy</b> . For example, I don't think there's necessarily anything wrong with an artist only being interested in drawing sexualized women who don't have any real depth of character. What matters most are the ideas behind it; if an artist draws sexualized women as an expression of his/her ideas that women are MERELY <b>sexual</b> beings, or aren't worthy of respect, or are only useful to a <b>sexual</b> capacity, then yeah, I would characterize the artist as sexist, but I don't know how that would be expressed in their art, since the art itself could be identical to that by another artist who has liberal, progressive, feminist views on women. It's a bit of a case of "judging a book by its cover". But I definitely wouldn't characterize Karrot's art as sexist, because (as she explains in the video), a lot of the features of her art show a deeper, richer view on women than would otherwise be shown by most artists who may ACTUALLY be sexist.	Fuzzy, Sexual	Ethnicity - Black, Gender - General	3/191	1.571
I think <b>sexism</b> in art is when the artist fails to depict a character as a person with agency and instead creates a soulless object for their or other's pleasure. It's more than just idealized feminine features. Many sexist artists fail to depict their characters, especially females, as unique individuals. They see a collection of body parts before they picture a person in their mind. Many of their characters have the same facial features, poses, and bodies. Many of their characters aren't expressive or enthusiastic. I think it's important for us as artists to try to replicate reality, especially in fantasy, so that the immersion is stronger and the people we depict are respected.	Sexism	Gender - General	1/114	0.877
Sexualizing doesn't sound to me like <b>sexism</b> . If drawing something that please to the opposite <b>sex</b> is <b>sexism</b> , here ends the possibility of seduction games. The real <b>sexism</b> starts when drawing gives up to add some kind of humor, romanticism or innocence, naivety in sexualized content. When drawings are shameful, spiteful or vicious, then we can call it <b>sexism</b> . Humiliating is <b>sexism</b> , showing sexiness is not. Here were my 2 cents.	Sex, Sexism	Gender - General	6/71	8.451
Hey! Vincent. Thanx for sharing your opinion.I guess everybody has another definition where exactly <b>sexual</b> content turns into something that's over sexualized or <b>sexism</b> . That's what makes this topic so complicated. :)	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	2/33	6.061

<p>Very very important discussion and i think it needs much more space and time to discuss. I absolutely love your work and adore a lot of other art when it comes to womens body, erotic and nudity. It does not mean i am a <b>lesbian</b> or sexist. I enjoy and celebrate the body of a <b>woman</b>... in every shape. I see art and another point of view. In my opionion it has to do a lot with the costumers/viewers personal view about life. When is see art, a human being, curves, sensuality ... others may see <b>sexism</b>. Too many people feel offended by everthing today that they don't know or understand. Years ago i was a Burlesque Performer. From my point of view: I was a performer, an artist, an actress, it was a show, an illusion. I was celebrating being a <b>woman</b>. I was playful, charming, entertaining and made people smile (and sometimes blush). But some men were thinking "i am a slut, easy to get, have no brain, just need attention". So who is the sexist? Lots of Love from Berlin.</p>	Lesbian, Sexism, Woman	Gender - General, Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	4/183	2.186
<p>You changed my mind and heart ever since I saw your collaboration with whyt manga. Now I want to write and draw my own erotica. This is going to seem <b>weird</b> to a lot of people, but I am also a christian and I am a <b>woman</b> too. I was always a <b>weird</b> christian to boot. there are a lot of things my family don't approve of what I say and draw. Like horror and psychological stuff. sigh Now they are really up my butt now that I have told them my thoughts about stuff like this.</p>	Weird, Woman	Gender - General, Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	3/97	3.093
<p>Sexualizing a body isn't <b>sexism</b>, at least in the context of your art, since it depicts these characters as <b>sexual</b> beings, but it doesn't say that women are <b>sexual</b> beings, it says that this characters in particular were created and design to be sexy</p>	Sexism, Sexual	Gender - General	3/44	6.818

<p>The short answer is not in my opinion. You are creating characters that are consenting and reveling in their own sexuality and <b>sexual</b> power. Hardly a basis for any definition of <b>sexism</b>. The longer answer. If we take the definition of <b>sexism</b> for the Oxford English dictionary as "prejudice, stereotyping, or discrimination on the basis of <b>sex</b>" I don't find your characters prejudicial, none are saying they are of less (or greater) worth than others simply because if there <b>gender</b>. Are you discriminating by drawing the female form? If it is a form you like and have a desire to draw, then know. Was Van Gogh discriminating against roses because he liked to draw sunflowers? I doubt many would say so. So are you stereotyping? I think there is enough variety within your work to make the argument this is not the case. I suppose one could make the case that your "Superheroines" ("Harlequin", "Amazon Goddess" &amp; "Lois &amp; Superman") are more stereotypical images. However, that argument would be based on the long narrative history of those characters and not their <b>gender</b>. Was the work of Archie Dickens sexist? I don't believe so. His characters always had a playful/impish quality that showed their ownership of their sexuality. Was the work of Irving Klaw sexist. A topic of great debate, given some of the most famous Bettie Page bondage photos where done by Klaw. On the surface and looking at a particular photo, I have had people tell me that it is. "How is a <b>woman</b> in bondage and peril not sexist!" But, must not one look at a body of work to make a claim. Show that same person the female dominatrix photos he took, and they often change their tune on those photos. Add to that the myriad of specific costume and shoe fetish photos he did. Which are sexist and which aren't. Is is body of work sexist? When looking at it as a totality, I would have to say no. If anything, relative to its time, it was the antithesis of <b>sexism</b>. Are the main characters in the Story of O by Pauline Réage and the Beauty series by Ann Rice sexist, even though we have female authors writing about main characters often in the position of being female <b>sexual</b> submissives? Since in both cases, the characters are choosing to do what they do ONLY because they OWN their sexuality. People/characters who truly own their own sexuality are still far from the norm (at least here in the US, perhaps Berlin in is different, although I doubt it) People/characters who truly own their own sexuality are those who truly understand their own power and freedom. When understanding one's own power and freedom becomes the norm, perhaps than it can be stereotyped. (Although I have my doubts, sadly, that day will ever come.) Your works don't meet any of the criteria for being sexist. Please keep doing what you love. You are so very talented and your subject matter is worthy of the fine creations you bring to life.</p>	Gender, Sex, Sexism, Sexual, Woman	Gender - General	9/511	1.761
---	------------------------------------	------------------	-------	-------

<p>Hi Marie,ich schreibe einfachheitshalber mal auf Deutsch... :-)Sexismus in der Kunst... hmhhh. Kein einfaches Thema, denn natürlich könnte man wieder mit dem Argument der Kunstfreiheit kommen, die ja auch ein Grundrecht ist. Ebenso könnte man sagen "das liegt im Auge des Betrachters". Das wäre mir persönlich aber zu einfach. Natürlich "darf" Kunst sexistisch sein, wenn man danach geht. Kunst "darf" auch provozieren oder politisch sein... Dass dies allerdings schon öfter nach hinten losging, ist nichts neues und ich weiß nicht, wo man da die imaginäre Linie ziehen sollte. Sexismus in der Kunst würde für mich persönlich da anfangen, wo dargestellter <b>Sex</b> nur plakativ ist und bewusst provokant eingesetzt wird. Wenn Darstellungen herabwürdigend sind und es nur Mittel zum Zweck ist, ist eine unschöne Grenze erreicht, die mit der Absicht überschritten wird, um anzuecken und zu provozieren. Ist es dann noch Kunst oder ist es dann Pornographie? Wie gesagt, ein schwieriges Thema...Anders verhält es sich mit erotischer Kunst, die in meinen Augen in ihrer Darstellung auch viel ansprechender sein kann. Es kann ein Blick, eine Geste sein, die das Knistern in einem Bild vermittelt. Das Spiel von Licht- und Schattenwurf auf den dargestellten Charakteren. Zeichnerische Andeutungen, die das nicht sichtbare erahnen lassen, können viel ansprechender und inspirierender sein, als das Offensichtliche. ;-)Herzliche Grüße-Marcel</p>	Sex	Gender - General	1/217	0.461
---	-----	------------------	-------	-------

Table 2: Summary of the results per sociolinguistic variable

Sociolinguistic variables (Hiper - Hipo)	KeyWords	Number of occurrences	Frequency	Frequency(%)
Physical Identity - Physical Features	Thin	1	1/3523	0.03
Sexual Identity - General	Gay	1	1/3523	0.03
Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality	Gay	1	1/3523	0.03
Gender - General	Sexism, Sexual, Woman, Sex, Gender	32	32/3523	0.91
Ethnicity - Black	Fuzzy	1	1/3523	0.03
Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality	Lesbian	1	1/3523	0.03
Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments	Weird	1	1/3523	0.03

Result analysis:

- Taking into account the words that were detected, we can reach the conclusion these comments are associated with : : Physical Identity - Physical Features;Sexual Identity -

General;Sexual Identity - Male homosexuality;Gender - General;Ethnicity - Black;Sexual Identity - Female homosexuality;Physical Identity - Physical (and Mental) Impairments;

- The percentage of hate speech related words is 1.0786.
- Considering that the variable **Gender - General** has the most occurrences in the post, we can interpret that this is the predominant hate speech.
- Overall there were 39/52 occurrences of hate speech related comments.