
Probabilistic Counters

Joaquim Madeira

Version 0.5 – November 2024

Overview

- Motivation
- Counting with probability $1 / 2$
- Counting with probability $1 / 2^k$
- Counting with decreasing probability
- Other kinds of probabilistic counters

MOTIVATION

Motivation

- Is it possible to use a small counter to keep **approximate counts** of large numbers ?
- Use a large number of such counters to keep track of the number of occurrences of **many different events**
 - E.g., **8-bit counters**
- Morris, Approximate Count Algorithm, **1978**

Motivation

- But, nowadays memory is no longer scarce...
- Is such an approach still interesting ?
- Yes !!
- Massive data volumes !!
- Need fast and memory-efficient processing

Application areas

- Online social networks
- Large-scale scientific experiments
- Search engines
- Online content delivery
- Product and consumer tracking
-
- Data **too large to fit in memory** must be analyzed !!

Application areas

- System performance monitoring and diagnosis
 - ❑ Detecting **excessively high rates** of various system events
- **Statistics counters**
 - ❑ Used to **count events** that may occur with **high frequency**
 - ❑ BUT, counter values are **read infrequently**

Big-Data

- Medical data
 - Genetic sequences, time series, ...
- Activity data
 - GPS location, social network activity, ...
- Business data
 - Customer behavior tracking, ...
- ...

Big-Data – Scale up vs Downsize

- **Scale up** the computation
 - ❑ Replicate cheap hardware / devices
 - ❑ Build massive DBMSs and warehouses
 - ❑ ...
 - ❑ BUT, **expensive equipment / energy !!**
- **Downsize** the data
 - ❑ **Compact representations** of large data sets
 - ❑ Approximate answers
 - ❑ Probabilistic methods

Data streaming

- Data arrives in a **streaming** fashion
- Must be **processed on the fly**
- Packets in network traffic monitoring
- Queries arriving at a Web service
- ...
- Make just one pass over the data
 - Use memory that is **sublinear** on the amount of data

COUNTING WITH PROBABILITY $1/2$

Probabilistic Counters – Goal

- Avoid using “large” counters when dealing with large data volumes !!
- A counter with n bits counts up to 2^n events
- Can we use less bits ?
- What is the cost ?

1st Method

- For each event, increment the counter with probability $1 / 2$
 - Counting by **tossing a coin**
- Intuition: just **incrementing for half of the events!!**
- We can now count up to $2^n + 1$ **events**
 - Using just **n bits !!**
- Is that what happens ?
- Draw the **state diagram / binary tree diagram**

State Diagram

- Try drawing it !

Binary Tree Diagram

- Try drawing it !

EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

1st Method – Tasks

- Simulate such a counter for 10, 100, 1000 and 10000 events
 - Repeat the experiments several times !
 - What can you conclude ?
- How to evaluate the accuracy ?
 - Relative error or accuracy ratio
 - When knowing the exact value...

Counting 100 events – 10000 trials

counter value:	43	-	277	times	-	2.770%
counter value:	44	-	373	times	-	3.730%
counter value:	45	-	471	times	-	4.710%
counter value:	46	-	599	times	-	5.990%
counter value:	47	-	688	times	-	6.880%
counter value:	48	-	715	times	-	7.150%
counter value:	49	-	788	times	-	7.880%
counter value:	50	-	836	times	-	8.360%
counter value:	51	-	757	times	-	7.570%
counter value:	52	-	733	times	-	7.330%
counter value:	53	-	681	times	-	6.810%
counter value:	54	-	565	times	-	5.650%
counter value:	55	-	551	times	-	5.510%
counter value:	56	-	398	times	-	3.980%
counter value:	57	-	295	times	-	2.950%

SUMMARY STATISTICS

Classical Summary Statistics

- Provide a summary of the **essential features** of a **dataset**
- To enable answering **simple questions**
 - What are **typical values** ?
 - How much **variation** is in the data ?
 - ...
- Robustness against **outliers** !

Mean value

- Mean value or **average** of a dataset

$$\mu(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

```
def mean(X): return sum(X) / len(X)
```

- If the x_i values are close together, the mean is a good representation of a typical sample

Deviation measures

- Deviation of individual samples from the mean value

- Maximal deviation

$$\text{maxdev}(X) = \max\{|x_i - \mu|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

- Mean absolute deviation

$$\text{mad}(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \mu|$$

Deviation measures

- Standard deviation

$$\text{stddev}(X) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}$$

- In general

$$\text{mad}(X) \leq \text{stddev}(X) \leq \text{maxdev}(X)$$

- Outliers heavily affect these deviation measures

RANDOM VARIABLE: EXPECTED VALUE & VARIANCE

1st Method – Expected value (mean)

- Counter is a **random variable**
 - Resulting from a succession of random events
- What is the **expected value** after **k events** ?
- X_i represents the i^{th} increment
 - $X_i = 1$: counter is incremented
 - $X_i = 0$: counter is not incremented
 - $P[X_i = 0] = P[X_i = 1] = 1 / 2$

1st Method – Expected value (mean)

- $E[X_i] = 0 \times P[X_i = 0] + 1 \times P[X_i = 1] = 1 / 2$

- Counter value after **k events** is

$$S = \sum X_i$$

- $E[S] = E[\sum X_i] = \sum E[X_i] = k / 2$

- **Number of events** can be estimated by **2 x S**

1st Method – Variance

- $\sigma^2(X_i) = E[X_i^2] - \{ E[X_i] \}^2 = E[X_i^2] - 1 / 4$
- $E[X_i^2] = 0^2 \times P[X_i = 0] + 1^2 \times P[X_i = 1] = 1 / 2$
- $\sigma^2(X_i) = 1 / 4$
- $\sigma^2(S) = \sigma^2(\sum X_i) = \sum \sigma^2(X_i) = k / 4$
- Standard deviation: $\sigma(S) = \sqrt{k / 4}$

EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

1st Method – Tasks

- Simulate such a counter for 10, 100, 1000 and 10000 events
 - Repeat the experiments many times !!
- For each counter, compute the mean, variance and standard deviation of the experimental results
- Compare with the theoretical results !

Counting 100 events – 10000 trials

Expected value: 50.000

Variance: 25.000

Standard deviation: 5.000

Mean Absolute Error: 3.914

Mean Relative Error: 7.828%

Mean Accuracy Ratio: 100.061%

Smallest counter value: 33

Largest counter value: 68

Mean counter value: 50.031

Mean absolute deviation: 3.917

Standard deviation: 4.905

Maximum deviation: 17.969

Variance: 24.055

PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

1st Method – Probability distribution

- After **n events**, what is the **probability** of the counter **value being k** ?
 - $p(n, k) = ?$
- Example for $n = 4$
 - More probable / Less probable counter values ?
 - $p(4, k) = ?$
- Binary table / Binary tree / Pascal-like triangle

Binary Tree Diagram

- Try drawing it !

Pascal-like Triangle

- Try drawing it !

1st Method – Probability distribution

- Probability of incrementing the counter : p
- Probability of not incrementing : $(1 - p)$
- Probability of the counter value being k after n events:

$$p(n, k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

- Bernstein-basis polynomials – Features ?
- Check the results of the previous example !

1st Method – Probability distribution

- What if we want to compute several $p(n,k)$?
- For **large values** of n and k ...
- Avoid computing factorial values...
- Avoid computing successive powers...
- We have already seen **how to do that** !!

Computing Bernstein Polynomials

$$B_{0,0}(t) = 1$$

$$B_{n,0}(t) = (1 - t) B_{n-1,0}(t)$$

$$B_{n,n}(t) = t B_{n-1,n-1}(t)$$

$$B_{n,j}(t) = (1 - t) B_{n-1,j}(t) + t B_{n-1,j-1}(t) ; j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$$

t in $[0,1]$

- Compute $B_{n,j}(t^*)$ using a 2D array

1st Method – Tasks

- For $n = 10, 100, \dots$ events compute the **probability distributions** for the possible counter values
- Compute the respective **mean** and **variance**
- Compare with
 - The **theoretical** values
 - The obtained **experimental** values

Probability Distribution – $p = 1 / 2$

$p($	10,	0)	=	0.097656250000	%
$p($	10,	1)	=	0.976562500000	%
$p($	10,	2)	=	4.394531250000	%
$p($	10,	3)	=	11.718750000000	%
$p($	10,	4)	=	20.507812500000	%
$p($	10,	5)	=	24.609375000000	%
$p($	10,	6)	=	20.507812500000	%
$p($	10,	7)	=	11.718750000000	%
$p($	10,	8)	=	4.394531250000	%
$p($	10,	9)	=	0.976562500000	%
$p($	10,	10)	=	0.097656250000	%

Probability Distribution – $p = 1 / 2$

$p(100, 40)$	$=$	1.084386671164 %
$p(100, 41)$	$=$	1.586907323654 %
$p(100, 42)$	$=$	2.229226954657 %
$p(100, 43)$	$=$	3.006864264421 %
$p(100, 44)$	$=$	3.895255978910 %
$p(100, 45)$	$=$	4.847429662643 %
$p(100, 46)$	$=$	5.795839814030 %
$p(100, 47)$	$=$	6.659049999098 %
$p(100, 48)$	$=$	7.352701040671 %
$p(100, 49)$	$=$	7.802866410508 %
$p(100, 50)$	$=$	7.958923738718 %
$p(100, 51)$	$=$	7.802866410508 %
$p(100, 52)$	$=$	7.352701040671 %
$p(100, 53)$	$=$	6.659049999098 %
$p(100, 54)$	$=$	5.795839814030 %
$p(100, 55)$	$=$	4.847429662643 %
$p(100, 56)$	$=$	3.895255978910 %
$p(100, 57)$	$=$	3.006864264421 %
$p(100, 58)$	$=$	2.229226954657 %
$p(100, 59)$	$=$	1.586907323654 %
$p(100, 60)$	$=$	1.084386671164 %

COUNTING WITH PROBABILITY $1/2^K$

Generalization

- Can we approx. count the same number of events using **less bits** ?
- **Or** approx. count **more events** using the same number of bits ?
- **Yes !** Increment the counter with lesser probability
- **Increment with probability $1 / 2^k$**

State Diagram

- Try drawing it !

Binary Tree Diagram

- Try drawing it !

Pascal-like Triangle

- Try drawing it !

Generalization – Tasks

- Incrementing with probability $1 / 2^k$
- Obtain an expression for the **mean**, the **variance** and the **std. deviation** after n events
 - $k = 2, 3, \dots, 6, \dots$
- Analyze the corresponding **probability distributions**
 - Pascal-like triangle

Generalization – Mean and Variance

- Probability of incrementing the counter: p
- $q = (1 - p)$
- It is not difficult to check that, after n events:
- $E[S] = n \times p$
- $\sigma^2(S) = n \times p \times q$

EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

Generalization – Tasks

- Set the counting probability to $1 / 32$
- Simulate such a counter for 10, 100, 1000 and 10000 events
- Compute the **mean**, **variance** and **standard deviation** of the experimental results
- Compare with the theoretical results !

Counting 100 events – 10000 trials

counter value:	0	-	391	times	-	3.910%
counter value:	1	-	1256	times	-	12.560%
counter value:	2	-	2215	times	-	22.150%
counter value:	3	-	2282	times	-	22.820%
counter value:	4	-	1817	times	-	18.170%
counter value:	5	-	1118	times	-	11.180%
counter value:	6	-	551	times	-	5.510%
counter value:	7	-	237	times	-	2.370%
counter value:	8	-	91	times	-	0.910%
counter value:	9	-	23	times	-	0.230%
counter value:	10	-	17	times	-	0.170%
counter value:	11	-	2	times	-	0.020%

Counting 10000 events – 10000 trials

counter value:	305	-	210	times	-	2.100%
counter value:	306	-	204	times	-	2.040%
counter value:	307	-	215	times	-	2.150%
counter value:	308	-	221	times	-	2.210%
counter value:	309	-	237	times	-	2.370%
counter value:	310	-	211	times	-	2.110%
counter value:	311	-	225	times	-	2.250%
counter value:	312	-	197	times	-	1.970%
counter value:	313	-	234	times	-	2.340%
counter value:	314	-	249	times	-	2.490%
counter value:	315	-	242	times	-	2.420%
counter value:	316	-	244	times	-	2.440%
counter value:	317	-	230	times	-	2.300%
counter value:	318	-	220	times	-	2.200%
counter value:	319	-	217	times	-	2.170%
counter value:	320	-	190	times	-	1.900%
counter value:	321	-	189	times	-	1.890%
counter value:	322	-	203	times	-	2.030%
counter value:	323	-	190	times	-	1.900%
counter value:	324	-	158	times	-	1.580%

Generalization – Tasks

- For $n = 10, 100, 1000, \dots$ events compute the **probability distributions** for the possible counter values
- Compute the respective **mean** and **variance**
- Compare with the obtained experimental results

Probability Distribution – $p = 1 / 32$

$p(100, 0)$	=	4.179954471660 %
$p(100, 1)$	=	13.483724102130 %
$p(100, 2)$	=	21.530462679208 %
$p(100, 3)$	=	22.688014436154 %
$p(100, 4)$	=	17.747882260540 %
$p(100, 5)$	=	10.992236754915 %
$p(100, 6)$	=	5.614314471596 %
$p(100, 7)$	=	2.432007190461 %
$p(100, 8)$	=	0.912002696423 %
$p(100, 9)$	=	0.300732071939 %
$p(100, 10)$	=	0.088279414666 %
$p(100, 11)$	=	0.023299552258 %
$p(100, 12)$	=	0.005574355244 %
$p(100, 13)$	=	0.001217228937 %
$p(100, 14)$	=	0.000244006722 %
$p(100, 15)$	=	0.000045128125 %
$p(100, 16)$	=	0.000007733650 %
$p(100, 17)$	=	0.000001232688 %

Probability Distribution – $p = 1 / 32$

$p(10000, 305)$	=	2.112048727272	%
$p(10000, 306)$	=	2.158582375174	%
$p(10000, 307)$	=	2.198728332976	%
$p(10000, 308)$	=	2.232119159148	%
$p(10000, 309)$	=	2.258450661912	%
$p(10000, 310)$	=	2.277486510363	%
$p(10000, 311)$	=	2.289061745195	%
$p(10000, 312)$	=	2.293085116748	%
$p(10000, 313)$	=	2.289540205200	%
$p(10000, 314)$	=	2.278485305915	%
$p(10000, 315)$	=	2.260052091458	%
$p(10000, 316)$	=	2.234443089605	%
$p(10000, 317)$	=	2.201928043120	%
$p(10000, 318)$	=	2.162839241381	%
$p(10000, 319)$	=	2.117565935387	%
$p(10000, 320)$	=	2.066547965775	%
$p(10000, 321)$	=	2.010268747734	%
$p(10000, 322)$	=	1.949247766912	%
$p(10000, 323)$	=	1.884032746247	%
$p(10000, 324)$	=	1.815191645304	%

RECAP

Fixed Probability Counters – Recap

- For each event, increment the counter with probability $1 / 2^k$, for $k \geq 1$
- On average, just incrementing for $1 / 2^k$ of the events !!
- Number of events estimated by $2^k \times \text{Counter}$
- We can now count up to 2^{n+k} events
 - Using just n bits !!

Issues

- What happens when counting a **small number of events** with probability $1 / 32$?
- For much larger numbers of events, can we be more **economical** ?

COUNTING WITH DECREASING PROBABILITY – BINARY BASE

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- Morris, 1978 – For an arbitrary counting base
- As the counter **value increases**, it will be incremented with **lesser probability**
- If counter has value **k**
 - Increment it with probability **$1 / 2^k$**
 - Do not increment it with probability **$(1 - 1 / 2^k)$**
- Draw the **state diagram** !

State Diagram

- Try drawing it !

Tree-Like Diagram

- Try drawing it !

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- On average, how many events, n , are needed to reach a counter value of k ?
- What does k represent ?

Events	Counter value	Number of events
X	1	1
X
...

- Let's do it on the board !

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- Counter is a **random variable**
- What is the **expected value** after **n events** ?
- X_i represents the i^{th} increment
 - $X_i = 1$: counter is incremented
 - $X_i = 0$: counter is not incremented
 - $P[X_i = 0] = 1 - 1 / 2^{i-1}$
 - $P[X_i = 1] = 1 / 2^{i-1}$

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- $E[X_i] = 1 / 2^{i-1}$
- Counter value after **n events** is

$$S = \sum X_i$$

- **$E[S] = E[\sum X_i] = \sum E[X_i]$**

$$**E[S] = 1 + 1 / 2 + 1 / 2 + 1 / 4 + 1 / 4 + \dots**$$

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- BUT, we only store integer values !!

Number of events	$E[S]$	Expected counter value
1	1	1
3	$1 + 1/2 + 1/2$	2
7	$1 + 2 \times 1/2 + 4 \times 1/4$	3
15	$1 + 2 \times 1/2 + 4 \times 1/4 + 8 \times 1/8$	4
...

- How to **estimate the number of events** from the counter value ?

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- After $n = 2^k - 1$ events the expected counter value is k
- $k = \log_2 (n + 1) = \text{floor}(\log_2 n) + 1$
- Generalize !
- After n events the expected counter value is $\text{floor}(\log_2 (n + 1))$
- **Logarithmic counter !!**
 - For **larger values**, it counts “**slower**”

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- After n probabilistic updates, the counter contains an approximation of $\log n$
- That value is stored in $\log \log n$ bits !!

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- How to **estimate the number of events** from the counter value k ?
 - Compute $2^k - 1$
- How to evaluate the counter's **accuracy** ?
 - Compare with $\text{floor}(\log_2 (n + 1))$
- What is the **largest value** that we can count with a **4-bit** or **8-bit** or **16-bit** counter ?

Tasks

- Simulate such a counter for 10, 50, 100, 500, 1000, 10000 events
 - Repeat the experiments many times !
- For each counter, compute the mean, variance and standard deviation of the experimental results
- What can you conclude ?

Counting 10000 events – 10000 trials

Smallest counter value: 10

Largest counter value: 16

Mean counter value: 13.009

Mean absolute deviation: 0.622

Standard deviation: 0.875

Maximum deviation: 3.009

Variance: 0.766

counter value:	10 -	2 times -	0.020%
counter value:	11 -	260 times -	2.600%
counter value:	12 -	2518 times -	25.180%
counter value:	13 -	4539 times -	45.390%
counter value:	14 -	2247 times -	22.470%
counter value:	15 -	413 times -	4.130%
counter value:	16 -	21 times -	0.210%

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

- After n events, what is the probability of the counter value being k ?
 - $p(n, k) = ?$
- Example for $n = 4$
 - More probable / Less probable counter values ?
 - $p(3, k) = ?$
 - $p(4, k) = ?$
- Binary tree / Pascal-like triangle

Pascal-like Triangle

- Try drawing it !

Approximate Counting – Binary Base

■ Recurrence

- $p(1, 1) = 1$ and $p(1, 0) = 0$

- $p(n, 1) = 1 / 2 \times p(n - 1, 1)$

- $p(n, n) = 1 / 2^{n-1} \times p(n - 1, n - 1)$

- $p(n, k) = 1 / 2^{k-1} \times p(n - 1, k - 1) + (1 - 1 / 2^k) \times p(n - 1, k)$

Tasks

- For $n = 10, 50, 100, \dots$ events compute the **probability distributions** for the possible counter values
- Compute the respective **mean** and **variance**
- Analyze the obtained results

COUNTING WITH DECREASING PROBABILITY – ARBITRARY BASE

Approx. Counting – Arbitrary Base

- For some applications the expected **error** of the previous method might be too **large** !
- How to improve the counter performance ?
- If counter has value **k**
 - Increment it with probability $1 / a^k$
 - Do not increment it with probability $(1 - 1 / a^k)$
- **a** is now the counter **base**

Approx. Counting – Arbitrary Base

- Take $a < 2$
- The counter value after m increments will be **larger** than with the binary base
- Giving a better accuracy !!
- **Probabilities** can be stored in a **table**
 - No need to be recomputing !!

Approx. Counting – Arbitrary Base

- Possible values ?

- $a = 2^{1/2}, 2^{1/4}, \dots$

- How to estimate the number of events from the counter value k ?

- Compute $(a^k - a + 1) / (a - 1)$

- What is the largest value that we can count with a 4-bit or 8-bit or 16-bit counter ?

Tasks

- Simulate such a counter, with $a = 2^{1/2}$, for 10, 50, 100, 500, 1000, 10000 events
 - Repeat the experiments many times !
- For each counter, compute the mean, variance and standard deviation of the experimental results
- What can you conclude ?

Counting 10000 events – 10000 trials

Smallest counter value: 20

Largest counter value: 29

Mean counter value: 23.781

Mean absolute deviation: 0.985

Standard deviation: 1.229

Maximum deviation: 5.219

Variance: 1.510


counter value:	20	-	18 times	-	0.180%
counter value:	21	-	212 times	-	2.120%
counter value:	22	-	1178 times	-	11.780%
counter value:	23	-	2780 times	-	27.800%
counter value:	24	-	3104 times	-	31.040%
counter value:	25	-	1925 times	-	19.250%
counter value:	26	-	643 times	-	6.430%
counter value:	27	-	122 times	-	1.220%
counter value:	28	-	17 times	-	0.170%
counter value:	29	-	1 times	-	0.010%

CSURÖS' APPROXIMATE COUNTER

Approximate Floating-point Counter

- Csurös, 2010
- Binary floating-point counter
- d -bit significand and a binary exponent
- $d + \log \log n$ bits

Approximate Floating-point Counter



[Csuros, 2010]

Approximate Floating-point Counter

- First M steps are deterministic !!
 - Accurate count for smaller values
- For $d = 0$, we have $M = 1$ and it is Morris' counter !!

Approximate Floating-point Counter

- $M = 2^d$, d is a non-negative integer
- Counter X , initialized to $X = 0$

```
FP-Increment( $X$ )                                // returns new value of  $X$   
  set  $t \leftarrow \lfloor X/M \rfloor$                 // bitwise right shift by  $d$  positions  
  while  $t > 0$  do  
    if RandomBit() = 1 then return  $X$   
    set  $t \leftarrow t - 1$   
  return  $X + 1$ 
```

Approximate Floating-point Counter

- Counter value $X = 2^d \times t + u$ is used to estimate the actual count
 - u denotes value of the lower d bits
- Estimate is $(M + u) \times 2^t - M$

Tasks

- Simulate such a counter for 10, 50, 100, 500, 1000, 10000 events
 - Repeat the experiments many times !
- What can you conclude ?

Other approaches

- Flajolet & Martin, 1985
- Approximate counting the **number of different elements** in a multi-set
- Analyze the **tail bits** of **hash values**
- ...

SOME RECENT PAPERS

Adding Approximate Counters

Guy L. Steele Jr.

Oracle Labs
guy.steele@oracle.com

Jean-Baptiste Tristan

Oracle Labs
jean.baptiste.tristan@oracle.com

Abstract

We describe a general framework for adding the values of two approximate counters to produce a new approximate counter value whose expected estimated value is equal to the sum of the expected estimated values of the given approximate counters. (To the best of our knowledge, this is the first published description of any algorithm for adding two approximate counters.) We then work out implementation details for five different kinds of approximate counter and provide optimized pseudocode. For three of them, we

and a *read* operation that observes a counter value k returns $2^k - 1$ as a statistical estimate of the actual number of times the *increment* operation has been performed.

Morris furthermore provided a generalization of this algorithm as well as a statistical analysis. The probabilistic decision made by the *increment* operation can rely on the output of a random (or pseudorandom) number generator, and as Morris observes, “The random number generator can be of the simplest sort and no great demands are made on its properties.” Flajolet [5] provided a de-

2018 – ACM Trans. Sensor Networks

ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks, Vol. 14, No. 2, Article 8. Publication date: March 2018.

Average Counting via Approximate Histograms

JACEK CICHON[†] and KAROL GOTFRYD, Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology,
Wrocław University of Science and Technology

We propose a new algorithm for the classical averaging problem for distributed wireless sensors networks. This subject has been studied extensively and there are many clever algorithms in the literature. These algo-

Our solution is different. In order to calculate the average, we first build an approximate histogram of observed data; then, from this histogram, we estimate the average. In our solution, we use the extreme propagation technique and probabilistic counters. It allows us to find the approximation of the average of a set of measurements done by sensor network with arbitrary precision, controlled by two parameters. Our method requires $O(D)$ rounds, where D is the diameter of the network. We study the message complexity of this

ICE Buckets: Improved Counter Estimation for Network Measurement

Gil Einziger, Benny Fellman, Roy Friedman^{Id}, and Yaron Kassner^{Id}

Abstract—Measurement capabilities are essential for a variety of network applications, such as load balancing, routing, fairness, and intrusion detection. These capabilities require large counter arrays in order to monitor the traffic of all network flows. While commodity SRAM memories are capable of operating at line

2020 – IEEE INFOCOM

A Deep Analysis on General Approximate Counters

Tong Yun, Bin Liu

Dept. of Computer Science and Technology, Tsinghua University, China
yunt19@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, lmyujie@gmail.com

Abstract—Approximate counters play an important role in many computer domains like network measurement, parallel computing and machine learning because they can reduce the required memory cost. With the emergence of new application needs in these domains like flow counting and parallel measuring, simple Morris counters fail to solve them. Therefore, a more general Morris counter is required. However, there has been a lack of complete theoretical research on the statistical properties of this new approximate counter so far.

Memory-Efficient Hardware Performance Counters with Approximate-Counting Algorithms

Jingyi Xu, Sehoon Kim, Borivoje Nikolic, Yakun Sophia Shao

University of California, Berkeley

Abstract—Hardware performance counters are special registers on processors that track the hardware activities. While the performance counter data are useful for many applications, there are challenges in efficiently collecting many event statistics simultaneously, due to the limited number of performance counters on chip. We propose an efficient hardware performance counter design that uses approximate-counting algorithms to improve the number of events tracked on-chip without incurring significant memory overhead. These counters are more memory efficient because they increment counts according to a dynamic probability and approximate the exact counts. Compared with

Optimal Bounds for Approximate Counting

Jelani Nelson

minilek@berkeley.edu

UC Berkeley

Berkeley, California, USA

Huacheng Yu

yuhch123@gmail.com

Princeton

Princeton, New Jersey, USA

ABSTRACT

Storing a counter incremented N times would naively consume $O(\log N)$ bits of memory. In 1978 Morris described the very first streaming algorithm: the “Morris Counter” [15]. His algorithm’s space bound is a random variable, and it has been shown to be $O(\log \log N + \log(1/\epsilon) + \log(1/\delta))$ bits in expectation to provide a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation with probability $1 - \delta$ to the counter’s value. We provide a new simple algorithm with a simple analysis showing that randomized space $O(\log \log N + \log(1/\epsilon) + \log \log(1/\delta))$ bits

REFERENCES

References

- R. Morris, Counting Large Numbers of Events in Small Registers, *Commun. ACM*, Vol. 21, N. 10, October 1978
- P. Flajolet, Approximate Counting: A Detailed Analysis, *Bit*, Vol. 25, 1985
- M. Csurös. Approximate counting with a floating-point counter. In *COCOON*, LNCS vol. 6196, p. 358-367, Springer, 2010