Grading Checklist - PSP 0.1

Student		Maria Concepcion Gonzalez Texcahua		Program 2a		
Instructor				C 1:	Data Ester	
			Date	Grading 27/06/22	Data Entry	
	Lagand]	Start	27/00/22		
1	Legend		End			
1 - O.K. 0 - resubmit			Interrupt			
0 -	resublifit		Total	35/40		
			Total	33/40		
	Assignment	Package	Comments			
ξ	PSP0.1 Proj	iect Planning Summary				
ξ	PIP Form					
ξ	Time Record	ling Log				
ξ	Defect Reco	rding Log				
ξ	Source Prog	ram Listing for Programs 1 and 2				
ξ	Test Results					
ی	Size Countil	ng Standard				
ξ	Coding Star	ndard				
	Program an	d Test Results	Comments			
٤		appears to be workable.				
٤	<u> </u>	ests have been run.				
۶		utput is correct for each test.				
۶	_	ompatible with coding standard.				
٤	_	are consistent with the counting				
<u> </u>	Stariuaru.					
	Time Log		Comments			
	Time data ar	e entered for all process steps.				
٤	Process step	os are sequenced appropriately.				
		re entered against the appropriate process				
	step.	e is tracked appropriately.				
	<u> </u>	e complete and reasonable.				
	_	recorded as the work was done.				
	Times were	recorded as the work was done.				
	Defect Log		Comments			
ξ		has all required data.				
ξ	_	e injected before removed.				
ξ		has a fix time.				
ξ	_	cted in compile and test have fix numbers.				
ξ	_	adequately described.				
ξ		are consistent with description.				
		are consistent with phase injected.				
ξ	Defect types	are assigned consistently.				

Grading Checklist - PSP 0.1

PIP Form Comments

 ξ The PIP form is completed.

The entries show insight and thought.

Grading Checklist - PSP 0.1

Planning Summary Comments **X** Planned total time has been entered correctly. X Planned and actual size data are entered correctly. **Consistency Checks** Comments X Defects removed are consistent with compile and test phase time and program size. X Total compile defect fix times are less than compile time. X Total test defect fix times are less than test time. X Defect dates & phases are consistent with the time log. X Planning summary is consistent with the time log. X Planning summary is consistent with the defect log. General **Comments X** Followed the defined process. X Complete, consistent and accurate process data collected. X The student did his or her own work.