

**Witness Statement of August Wilhelm Deiman**

1. I was born on 23 January 1970 and have since 1999 worked for Claimant in different functions. My last position was the COO of Volta Transformer after they had been purchased by Claimant. Before that, I had been the Head of Contracting at Claimant. In the latter function, I was involved as the main negotiator on GreenHydro's side in the conclusion of the Agreement.
2. Following the acquisition of Volta Transformer in November 2023 by GreenHydro and my promotion to the COO of the latter, I have moved to Equatoriana. As the Agreement with GreenHydro was the most important individual contract of Volta Transformer making up 70% of its production capacities, I had all the information potentially relevant for the contract on my laptop. That also included my personal notes and other documents in relation to the negotiations between Claimant and Respondent resulting in the conclusion of the Agreement.
3. On 28 April 2024, i.e., before the negotiations failed, I had a discussion with Mr. la Cour in which he raised serious allegations against me, Mr. Cavendish, and Ms. Faraday concerning the conclusion of the Agreement. He informed me that, should there be no amicable settlement, he would hand over that information to the prosecution office for an investigation.
4. I immediately reported that back to Mr. Cavendish to whom the message was objectively directed. He told me not to worry and promised to contact Mr. la Cour.
5. Two weeks later, the police raided my office, confiscated all my documents, and detained me for two days in prison, allegedly "to prevent me from interfering with their investigation". After my release, my passport was withdrawn, and I was requested to report daily to the police.
6. In the end, those investigations were terminated after 1 month without any result, and the documents were returned to me.
7. Considering that experience, I decided to leave Equatoriana until the end of this arbitration. I can assure you that I have never shown the document presented as Respondent's Exhibit R 3 to anyone from Respondent's side or their lawyers' team or have otherwise made it accessible to them. I can only speculate that they received it from the investigators directly. Already in our discussions, Mr. la Cour mentioned his very close contact with the prosecution office.
8. The only other, but less likely, option is that someone in my office had provided Respondent or the state authorities with confidential and privileged information.

Capital City, 12 August



August Wilhelm Deiman