Domain Analysis and FeatureIDE Extension Manual Evaluation

This form serves as an evaluation of an investigation on a domain analysis for a Historical Soundscape domain. Before answering the following questions please make sure you have read the proposed domain analysis and followed the steps in the FeatureIDE Extension Manual. The answers to this form will be used in the evaluation section of the student's investigation report.

	nank you fo Obrigatório	r your contribution.
	ser ontext	This section is to allow the author to understand the perspective of the user when using both the domain analysis and the FeatureIDE Extension Manual.
۱.		currently involved in a project that focuses on historical soundscapes? If n, and what role do you play in the project? *
2.	-	vour current job role? * penas uma oval.
		torical soundscapes expert tra:
3.		ave previous experience with Software Product Line engineering and Analysis? *
	Marcar a	penas uma oval.
	Yes No	

4.	4. Before reading the domain model, did you know how to interpret use-case diagrams? *		
	Marcar apenas uma oval.		
	Yes		
	No		
5.	Before reading the doma	in model, did you know how to interpret class diagrams?	
	Marcar apenas uma oval.		
	Yes		
	No		
6.	Before reading the doma * Marcar apenas uma oval. Yes No	in model, did you know how to interpret feature models?	
D	Oomain Analysis	Please answer the following questions to the best of your knowledge	
7.	What is the domain unde	r analysis? *	

8.	What are the target users of software developed in the domain? Do you agree with them and the limitations pointed out? *
9.	Were the defined concepts clear? If not, which should be more explicit? *
1(Were you able to understand the use-case diagram? If so, was it from previous knowledge or the explanation in the appendix? *
1	. Do you believe any use-cases are missing from the system? If so, which ones? *

Were you able to understand the class diagram? If so, was it from previous knowledge or the explanation in the appendix? * After reading the sub-section on class diagrams in section Domain Mode Issues, do you agree with the entity classes and their attributes present in diagram? If not, which ones and why? * After reading the sub-section on class diagrams in section Domain Mode Issues, do you agree with the relationships present in the diagram? If not, ones and why? *	WIII	there relationships present in the diagram you do not agree with? If so ch ones and why? *
After reading the sub-section on class diagrams in section Domain Mode Issues, do you agree with the entity classes and their attributes present in diagram? If not, which ones and why? * After reading the sub-section on class diagrams in section Domain Mode Issues, do you agree with the relationships present in the diagram? If not,		
Issues, do you agree with the entity classes and their attributes present in diagram? If not, which ones and why? * After reading the sub-section on class diagrams in section Domain Mode Issues, do you agree with the relationships present in the diagram? If not,		· ·
Issues, do you agree with the entity classes and their attributes present in diagram? If not, which ones and why? * After reading the sub-section on class diagrams in section Domain Mode Issues, do you agree with the relationships present in the diagram? If not,		
Issues, do you agree with the relationships present in the diagram? If not,	Issu	ies, do you agree with the entity classes and their attributes present in
Issues, do you agree with the relationships present in the diagram? If not,		
	۸.۲۰	

16.	Were you able to understand the feature models? If so, was it from previous knowledge or the explanation in the appendix? *
17.	Do you have any extra features you think should be added to either of the feature models? If so, which ones? *
18.	Overall do you believe the requirements of the domain are explicit and well explained? Marcar apenas uma oval. Yes No
19.	Do you agree with the choices done, and justified in the Domain Model Issues section? If not, which ones do you think should have been dealt with differently, and how?

20. Please select a value for each of the following statements indicating to which extent you agree with it. 1 represents completely disagree and 5 represents completely agree. *

Marcar apenas uma oval por linha.

	1 (Completely Disagree)	2	3	4	5 (Completely Agree)
The domain and its limits are clearly explained.					
The structure of the domain model is easy to follow.					
The terms relevant to the domain are clearly defined.					
The mandatory and optional requirements are well divided.					
The use case diagram covers all functionalities present in systems of the domain.					
The use case diagram helps the understanding of how certain requirements are to be enforced.					
The class diagram covers all entities required in systems of the domain.					
The class diagram relationships make sense and are correct (might need to read section Domain Model Issues to better understand).					
The back office platform					

	feature model is clear and contains all the necessary features.					
	The front office platform feature model is clear and contains all the necessary features.					
	Overall the domain model presented helps understand and clarifies the requirements of a system being developed in the domain.					
lnv	main Analysis - Currently olved in a Project in the main				answered 'Yes g to the doma	s' to currently ain in section
21.	Do you believe all requirement mandatory and optional recommendatory and optional recommendatory apenas uma oval. Yes No	•		are cover	ed by botl	n the
22.	Are there mandatory requirements and a val. Yes No	ements you	ı and your	team had	d not yet t	hought of?

23.	Do you think any of the mandatory requirements should not be mandatory? If so, which ones and why?
24.	Did any of the optional requirements make you and your team re-think if your project will want to include them?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes
	No
Fea	atureIDE Extension Manual
25.	Were you able to follow the instructions on the manual to install the Feature IDE Plugin extension and view the feature models with the eclipse plugin extension?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes No
	This section is only required if you answered 'Yes' to being able to follow the FeatureIDE Extension Manual in section 5

26.	for you to understand their purpose? If not, which ones?		
27.	Do you believe that the URL examples in some features aided the understanding of what that feature is meant to do?		
	Marcar apenas uma oval.		
	Yes No		
28.	Did you find the plugin difficult to understand and use? Marcar apenas uma oval.		
	Yes No More or less		
29.	Do you believe the URL extension of the plugin is too complicated to understand and use?		
	Marcar apenas uma oval.		
	Yes No More or less		

Please select a value for each of the following statements indicating to which extent you agree with it. 1 represents completely disagree and 5 represents completely agree.							
Marcar apenas uma oval po	1 (Completely Disagree)	2	3	4	5 (Compl Agre		
The manual and its structure are easy to follow.							
The plug-in (without considering the URL association functionalities) is easy to use once understood.							
The URL association to features is well incorporated into the plug-in.							
The URL association to features is easy to use once demonstrated.							
The URL association to features enriches and benefits feature models developed using the FeatureIDE plugin for							

FeatureIDE Extension

Manual - Unable to Use

This section is only required if you answered 'No' to being able to follow the FeatureIDE Extension Manual in section 5.

32.	Did you have previous experience with git hub repositories?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes
	No
33.	Did you manage to access the manual? If not, why? (if you answered no to this question, you can skip the rest of this section).
34.	Was the manual clear on how to install and run the plugin extension?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes
	◯ No
35.	What were the problems faced?
	Marcar tudo o que for aplicável.
	The plugin use is not intuitive
	Unable to download the front office and/or back office platforms' feature models Outra:

Extra comments

36.	If you have any further comments or observations on either the domain analysis					
	or the FeatureIDE URL Extension Manual, please write them below.					

Este conteúdo não foi criado nem aprovado pela Google.

Google Formulários