

Solutions of Homework 1

Q1. Rule efq is not derivable in $G3\text{ch}$.

This is because there are no rules which allow us to derive sequent $\Rightarrow A$ from $\Rightarrow \perp$.

Rule efq is admissible in $G3\text{ch}$. This is because there is no derivation of $\Rightarrow \perp$ in $G3\text{ch}$, and thus the following condition is vacuously satisfied:

if $\Rightarrow \perp$ is derivable, then $\Rightarrow A$ is derivable

Q2.

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A \quad \frac{}{\perp, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \perp_L}{A \rightarrow \perp, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \rightarrow_L$$

$$\frac{\frac{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp} \text{wk}_R}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A \rightarrow \perp} \rightarrow_R$$

Q3. We show that:

if $\not\models \Gamma, \Box \Sigma \Rightarrow \Box A, \Delta$ then $\not\models \Sigma \Rightarrow A$

Assume $\not\models \Gamma, \Box \Sigma \Rightarrow \Box A, \Delta$. Then, there is a model \mathcal{M} and a world x s.t.

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, v \rangle \quad \mathcal{M}, x \not\models \Gamma, \Box \Sigma \Rightarrow \Box A, \Delta$$

that is: [continues on next page]

- 1) $\mathcal{M}, x \models G$, for all $G \in \Gamma$; and
- 2) $\mathcal{M}, x \models \Box S$, for all $\Box S \in \Box \Sigma$; and
- 3) $\mathcal{M}, x \not\models \Box A$; and
- 4) $\mathcal{M}, x \not\models D$, for all $D \in \Delta$.

From 3), we have that there is a world $y \in W$ s.t. $x R y$ and $y \not\models A$.

Moreover, since $\mathcal{M}, x \models \Box S$, we have that $\mathcal{M}, y \models S$. This holds for all $\Box S \in \Box \Sigma$.

Therefore, we have that

$$5) \mathcal{M}, y \models S, \text{ for all } S \in \Sigma$$

$$6) \mathcal{M}, y \not\models A$$

We can thus conclude that $\mathcal{M}, y \models \Sigma \Rightarrow A$, whence $\not\models \Sigma \Rightarrow A$.

Q6. By induction on the height of the derivation of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp$.

$h = 0$. Then $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp$ is an initial sequent.

There are two cases:

▷ $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp$ is of the form

$$\underbrace{\Gamma', \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta', \varphi, \perp}_{\Gamma} \quad (\varphi \in \Gamma \cap \Delta)$$

then, also $\Gamma', \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta', \varphi$ is an initial sequent.

▷ $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp$ is of the form

$$\underbrace{\perp, \Gamma'}_{\Gamma} \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp$$

then, also $\perp, \Gamma' \Rightarrow \Delta$ is an initial sequent.

$h = n+1$. All the cases immediately follow by applying IH to the premiss(es) of the last rule R applied in the derivation of $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp$

This is because \perp is never principal in any rule application.

The case distinction is as follows:

▷ R is a one-premiss rule. Then our derivation is:

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Gamma' \Rightarrow \Delta', \perp}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp} R \quad \xrightarrow{\text{IH}} \quad \boxed{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}^*}{\Gamma' \Rightarrow \Delta'}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} R} \quad \text{This is the deriv. of } \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \text{ we wanted!}$$

▷ R is a two-premisses rule:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Delta_1, \perp} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2}{\Gamma_2 \Rightarrow \Delta_2, \perp}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp} R \quad \xrightarrow{\text{IH}} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_1^*}{\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Delta_1}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}_2^*}{\Gamma_2 \Rightarrow \Delta_2}}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta} R$$

□

observe that the following rule is admissible but not derivable in G3 cp:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \perp}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}$$