BS2280 - Econometrics 1

Lecture 6 - Part 2: Multiple Regression Analysis II

Dr. Yichen Zhu

Structure of today's lecture

Review: Multiple Regression Model: F-Tests

- Testing the Joint Significance of A Group of Variables
- Testing Restrictions

Intended Learning Outcomes

- Testing the joint significance of a group of variables
- Testing restrictions

Multiple Regression Model: *F*-Tests

F-tests are extremely popular! We will use them to

- Testing the overall significance or overall fit of a model
- Testing the joint significance of a group of variables
- Testing restrictions

Testing the Overall Significance or Overall Fit of a model

Testing the overall significance or overall fit of a model

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + ... + \beta_k X_{ki} + u_i$$

- We will use F-Tests again to check the overall significance of the model
- There are two ways to write down the hypotheses:

1
$$H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3 = ... = \beta_k = 0; H_1: at least one $\beta \neq 0$$$

- ② $H_0: R^2 = 0$; $H_1: R^2 \neq 0$
- The F-test is identical to before
- Calculate F-statistic and compare with critical F-value
- Reject or do not reject null hypothesis

- There is a more general F test of goodness of fit.
- This is a test of the joint explanatory power of a group of variables when they are added to a regression model.
- For example,

Original model specification (Model 1): Y is a simple function of X_2

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i \tag{1}$$

Modified model specification (Model 2): we add X_3 and X_4

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i \tag{2}$$

 Question: Adding these two variables X₃ and X₄ improves the explanatory power of the model or not

- There is a more general F test of goodness of fit.
- This is a test of the joint explanatory power of a group of variables when they are added to a regression model.
- For example,

Original model specification (Model 1): Y is a simple function of X_2

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i \tag{1}$$

Modified model specification (Model 2): we add X_3 and X_4

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i \tag{2}$$

 Question: Adding these two variables X₃ and X₄ improves the explanatory power of the model or not

- There is a more general F test of goodness of fit.
- This is a test of the joint explanatory power of a group of variables when they are added to a regression model.
- For example,

Original model specification (Model 1): Y is a simple function of X_2

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i \tag{1}$$

Modified model specification (Model 2): we add X_3 and X_4

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i$$
 (2)

• Question: Adding these two variables X_3 and X_4 improves the explanatory power of the model or not

- There is a more general F test of goodness of fit.
- This is a test of the joint explanatory power of a group of variables when they are added to a regression model.
- For example,

Original model specification (Model 1): Y is a simple function of X_2

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i \tag{1}$$

Modified model specification (Model 2): we add X_3 and X_4

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i$$
 (2)

• **Question**: Adding these two variables X_3 and X_4 improves the explanatory power of the model or not

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i \tag{3}$$

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}X_{2i} + \beta_{3}X_{3i} + \beta_{4}X_{4i} + u_{i}$$
(4)

• Conduct hypothesis testing to determine whether the inclusion of these two variables X_3 and X_4 improves the explanatory power of the model

$$H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \text{ or both } \beta_3 \text{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0$$
 (6)

- The null hypothesis is that neither X_3 nor X_4 belongs in the model. Adding X_3 and X_4 will not improve the explanatory power of the model.
- The alternative hypothesis is that at least one of them does, perhaps both.

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i \tag{3}$$

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}X_{2i} + \beta_{3}X_{3i} + \beta_{4}X_{4i} + u_{i}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

• Conduct hypothesis testing to determine whether the inclusion of these two variables X_3 and X_4 improves the explanatory power of the model

$$H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \text{ or both } \beta_3 \text{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0$$
 (6)

- The null hypothesis is that neither X_3 nor X_4 belongs in the model. Adding X_3 and X_4 will not improve the explanatory power of the model.
- The alternative hypothesis is that at least one of them does, perhaps both.

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i \tag{3}$$

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}X_{2i} + \beta_{3}X_{3i} + \beta_{4}X_{4i} + u_{i}$$
(4)

• Conduct hypothesis testing to determine whether the inclusion of these two variables X_3 and X_4 improves the explanatory power of the model

$$H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \text{ or both } \beta_3 \text{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0$$
 (6)

- The null hypothesis is that neither X_3 nor X_4 belongs in the model. Adding X_3 and X_4 will not improve the explanatory power of the model.
- The alternative hypothesis is that at least one of them does, perhaps both.

$$F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining}$$
(7)

Note: dof is degree of freedom

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} \qquad X_{i} + u_{i}$$
Total variations, variations in Y
Total Sum of Squares, TSS
$$TSS = \sum (Y_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2}$$
Explained variations, variations in X
Explained sum of squares, ESS
$$ESS = \sum (\hat{Y}_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2}$$

$$ESS = \sum (\hat{Y}_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2} = \sum u_{i}^{2}$$
(8)

- When new X variables are added, RSS cannot rise. In general, it will fall. Because we would expect our model can explain more variations, the unexplained variations will get smaller.
- If the new X variables are irrelevant, they will fall only by a random amount, a pure coincidence
- Rationale of the test: when we add new X variables in the model, is the fall in RSS bigger enough that we
 can reject the claim that it is just a pure coincidence

$$F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining}$$
(7)

Note: dof is degree of freedom

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} \qquad X_{i} + u_{i}$$
Total variations, variations in Y
Total Sum of Squares, TSS
$$TSS = \sum (Y_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2} \qquad Esplained sum of squares, ESS$$

$$ESS = \sum (\hat{Y}_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2} \qquad ESS = \sum (\hat{Y}_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2} \qquad ESS = \sum (Y_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2} = \sum u_{i}^{2}$$
(8)

- When new X variables are added, RSS cannot rise. In general, it will fall. Because we would expect our model can explain more variations, the unexplained variations will get smaller.
- If the new X variables are irrelevant, they will fall only by a random amount, a pure coincidence.
- Rationale of the test: when we add new X variables in the model, is the fall in RSS bigger enough that we
 can reject the claim that it is just a pure coincidence

$$F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining}$$
(7)

Note: dof is degree of freedom

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} \qquad X_{i} + u_{i}$$
Total variations, variations in Y
Total Sum of Squares, TSS
$$TSS = \sum (Y_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2}$$
Explained variations, variations in X
Explained sum of squares, ESS
$$ESS = \sum (\hat{Y}_{i} - \bar{Y})^{2}$$

$$ESS = \sum (\hat{Y}_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2} = \sum u_{i}^{2}$$
(8)

- When new X variables are added, RSS cannot rise. In general, it will fall. Because we would expect our model can explain more variations, the unexplained variations will get smaller.
- If the new X variables are irrelevant, they will fall only by a random amount, a pure coincidence.
- Rationale of the test: when we add new X variables in the model, is the fall in RSS bigger enough that we can reject the claim that it is just a pure coincidence

Original model specification (Model 1): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$ RSS₁ Modified model specification (Model 2): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i$ RSS₂

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{9}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ RSS₂
- cost in dof: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added. It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add X₃ and X₄, so it is 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, RSS
- dof remaining: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added n - k

Original model specification (Model 1): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$ RSS₁ Modified model specification (Model 2): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i$ RSS₂

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{9}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ RSS₂
- **cost in dof**: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new *X* variables is added. It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add *X*₃ and *X*₄, so it is 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, RSS;
- dof remaining: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added n - k

Original model specification (Model 1): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$ RSS₁ Modified model specification (Model 2): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i$ RSS₂

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{9}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ RSS₂
- **cost in dof**: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new *X* variables is added. It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add *X*₃ and *X*₄, so it is 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₂
- dof remaining: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added n - k

Original model specification (Model 1): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + u_i$ RSS₁ Modified model specification (Model 2): $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + u_i$ RSS₂

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{9}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ RSS₂
- **cost in dof**: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new *X* variables is added. It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add *X*₃ and *X*₄, so it is 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₂
- dof remaining: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added,
 n k

Original model specification (Model 1):

$$S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + u_i \tag{10}$$

Modified model specification (Model 2):

$$S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_3 SM_i + \beta_4 SF_i + u_i$$
(11)

Note:

 S_i : schooling years of the i^{th} respondent

 $ASVABC_i$: the ability score of the i^{th} respondent

 SM_i : the highest grade completed by the mother of the i^{th} respondent

 SF_i : the highest grade completed by the father of the i^{th} respondent

Step 1. State the null and alternative hypotheses

Null Hypothesis $H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$ Alternative Hypothesis $H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \text{ or both } \beta_3 \text{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0$

Step 2. Select the significance level. Significance level $\alpha = 5\%$

$$F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost in dof}{RSS_2/dof remaining}$$
(12)

Original model specification (Model 1):

$$S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + u_i \tag{13}$$

Note:

 S_i : schooling years of the i^{th} respondent $ASVABC_i$: the ability score of the i^{th} respondent

· We estimate first a simple model

```
Call:
Imformula = S ~ ASVABC, data = EAWE21)
Coefficients:
(Intercept) ASVABC
14.437 1.581
```

Then we use the anova command again to get information on RSS

```
> anova(educfit1)
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: S

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

ASVABC 1 1007 1007.00 182.56 < 2.2e-16 ***
Residuals 498 2747 5.52

Signif. codes: 0 \***' 0.001 \**' 0.01 \*' 0.05 \' 0.1 \' 1
```

Modified model specification (Model 2):

$$S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_3 SM_i + \beta_4 SF_i + u_i$$
(14)

Note:

 S_i : schooling years of the i^{th} respondent

ASVABC_i: the ability score of the *i*th respondent

 SM_i : the highest grade completed by the mother of the i^{th} respondent SF_i : the highest grade completed by the father of the i^{th} respondent

 Now we estimate the modified model: Call:

And use the anova command again to get the RSS

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: S

Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)

ASVABC 1 1007.00 1007.00 198.283 < 2.2e-16 ***

SM 1 112.38 112.38 22.128 0.000003312 ***

FF 1 115.68 115.68 22.778 0.000002396 ***

Residuals 496 2218.39 5.08

--
Signif. codes: 0 **** 0.001 *** 0.01 ** 0.05 *. 0.1 * 1

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Null Hypothesis} & H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ \mbox{Alternative Hypothesis} & H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \mbox{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \mbox{ or both } \beta_3 \mbox{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0 \end{array}$

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{15}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ - RSS₂ = 2747 - 2518.97
- cost in dof: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added.
 It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add X₃ and X₄, so cost in dof = 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, $RSS_2 = 2518.97$
- dof remaining: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added n - k = 500 - 4 = 496

$$F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining} = \frac{(2747 - 2518.97)/2}{2518.97/496} = 22.45$$
 (16)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Null Hypothesis} & H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ \mbox{Alternative Hypothesis} & H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \mbox{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \mbox{ or both } \beta_3 \mbox{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0 \end{array}$

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{15}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ - RSS₂ = 2747 - 2518.97
- cost in dof: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added.
 It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add X₃ and X₄, so cost in dof = 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, $RSS_2 = 2518.97$
- dof remaining: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added n - k = 500 - 4 = 496

$$F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining} = \frac{(2747 - 2518.97)/2}{2518.97/496} = 22.45$$
 (16)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Null Hypothesis} & H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ \mbox{Alternative Hypothesis} & H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \mbox{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \mbox{ or both } \beta_3 \mbox{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0 \end{array}$

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{15}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ - RSS₂ = 2747 - 2518.97
- cost in dof: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added.
 It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add X₃ and X₄, so cost in dof = 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, $RSS_2 = 2518.97$
- **dof remaining**: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added n k = 500 4 = 496

$$F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining} = \frac{(2747 - 2518.97)/2}{2518.97/496} = 22.45$$
 (16)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Null Hypothesis} & H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ \mbox{Alternative Hypothesis} & H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \mbox{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \mbox{ or both } \beta_3 \mbox{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0 \end{array}$

Step 3. Select and calculate the test statistics

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{15}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added, RSS₁ - RSS₂ = 2747 - 2518.97
- cost in dof: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added.
 It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add X₃ and X₄, so cost in dof = 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, $RSS_2 = 2518.97$
- dof remaining: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added, n-k=500-4=496

 $F(cost in dof, dof remaining) = \frac{reduction in RSS/cost in dof}{RSS remaining/dof remaining} = \frac{(2747 - 2518.97)/2}{2518.97/496} = 22.45$ (16)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Null Hypothesis} & H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ \mbox{Alternative Hypothesis} & H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \mbox{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \mbox{ or both } \beta_3 \mbox{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0 \end{array}$

Step 3. Select and calculate the test statistics

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} \tag{15}$$

- reduction in RSS: reduction in RSS after the group of new X variables is added,
 RSS₁ RSS₂ = 2747 2518.97
- cost in dof: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added.
 It is equal to the number of new variables added (here we add X₃ and X₄, so cost in dof = 2)
- RSS remaining: RSS of the model after the group of new X variables is added, $RSS_2 = 2518.97$
- **dof remaining**: number of degrees of freedom remaining after the group of new X variables is added, n k = 500 4 = 496

•

$$F(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(2747-2518.97)/2}{2518.97/496} = 22.45 \quad (16)$$

Step 4. Set the decision rule.

cost in dof = number of new variables added = 2
$$k = 4, n = 500, dof remaining = n - k = 500 - 4 = 496$$

$$F_{crit,5\%}(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ remaining) = F_{crit,5\%}(2,496) = 3.01$$

Step 5. Make statistical decisions.

$$F = 22.45 > F_{crit,5\%}(2,496) = 3.01$$

We can reject the null H_0 : $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$.

We conclude that the parental education variables *SM* and *SF* do have significant joint explanatory power.

- We can use anova command in R studio to undertake F-test for testing groups of explanatory variables:
- Use both models as arguments of anova command

```
Original model (Model 1)

> anova (educfit1, educfit2)
Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: S ~ ASVABC

Model 2: S ~ ASVABC + SH + SF

Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)

1 498 2747

2 496 2519 2 228.06 22.453 4.627e-10 ***

---
Signif, codes: 0 ***** 0.001 **** 0.01 *** 0.05 *** 0.1 *** 1
```

- Use *F* test: We get the same *F* statistic = $22.45 > F_{crit,5\%}(2,496) = 3.01$ We can reject the null $H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$.
- Use p-value: p-value = 4.627e-10 < 1%, variable is very significant (i.e. at the 1% level), reject null H₀: β₃ = β₄ = 0.
 We conclude that the parental education variables SM and SF do have significant joint explanatory power.

Student Task

- Using the regression results from the following slides, undertake an F-test to identify whether adding per capita income (pcinc) and population (pop) as independent variables improves the explanatory power of the model significantly.
- Original model specification (Model 1):

$$officers_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 crimes_i + u_i \tag{17}$$

Modified model specification (Model 2):

officers_i =
$$\beta_1 + \beta_2 crimes_i + \beta_3 pcinc_i + \beta_4 pop_i + u_i$$
 (18)

Hypothesis test

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Null Hypothesis} & H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0 \\ \mbox{Alternative Hypothesis} & H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0 \mbox{ or } \beta_4 \neq 0 \mbox{ or both } \beta_3 \mbox{ and } \beta_4 \neq 0 \end{array}$$

- Sample size n = 45
- $F_{crit, 5\%}(2, 42) = 3.23$

Student Task

```
> anova(crimefit1)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: officers
              Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                         Pr (>F)
          1 19508997 19508997 218.37 < 2.2e-16 ***
Residuals 44 3930948
                        89340
Signif, codes: 0 \***' 0.001 \**' 0.01 \*' 0.05 \' 0.1 \' 1
> anova(crimefit2)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: officers
              Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
          1 19508997 19508997 267.0256 < 2e-16 ***
crimes
              338322
pcinc
                       338322
                               4.6307 0.03720 *
              524088
                       524088
                                7.1734 0.01052 *
Residuals 42 3068537
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

$$F(cost\ in\ dof, dof\ remaining) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ remaining} = \frac{(RSS_1 - RSS_2)/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS_2/dof\ remaining} = ? \tag{19}$$

Testing Restrictions

- We can also test restrictions
- For example:

$$S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_3 SM_i + \beta_4 SF_i + u_i$$
 (20)

Is it possible that the coefficients of SM and SF are the same?

• Restriction is $\beta_3 = \beta_4$

Null Hypothesis $H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4$ Alternative Hypothesis $H_1: \beta_3 \neq \beta_4$

Testing Restrictions

- To test this restriction, we estimate two models:
- The unrestricted model:

$$S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_3 SM_i + \beta_4 SF_i + u_i$$
 (21)

Add restriction $\beta_3 = \beta_4$

• The restricted model:

$$S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_c (SM_i + SF_i) + u_i$$
 (22)

 The rationale of the test is the same as when testing the joint significance of a group of variables.

The unrestricted model: $S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_3 SM_i + \beta_4 SF_i + u_i$ RSS_{UR}

The restricted model: $S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_c (SM_i + SF_i) + u_i$ RSS_F

$$F(cost\ in\ dof, dof\ of\ unrestricted\ model) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ of\ unrestricted\ model} \\ = \frac{(RSS_R - RSS_{UR})/number\ of\ restrictions}{RSS_{UR}/(n-k_{UR})}$$

- cost in dof: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added. It is equal to the number of restrictions (here we just have one restriction $\beta_3 = \beta_4$, so it is 1)
- dof of unrestricted model: number of degrees of freedom of the unrestricted model, $n-k_{UB}$

The unrestricted model: $S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_3 SM_i + \beta_4 SF_i + u_i$ RSS_{UR}

The restricted model: $S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_c (SM_i + SF_i) + u_i$ RSS_F

$$F(cost\ in\ dof, dof\ of\ unrestricted\ model) = \frac{reduction\ in\ RSS/cost\ in\ dof}{RSS\ remaining/dof\ of\ unrestricted\ model} \\ = \frac{(RSS_R - RSS_{UR})/number\ of\ restrictions}{RSS_{UR}/(n-k_{UR})}$$

- **cost in dof**: reduction in the number of degrees of freedom after the group of new X variables is added. It is equal to the number of restrictions (here we just have one restriction $\beta_3 = \beta_4$, so it is 1)
- dof of unrestricted model: number of degrees of freedom of the unrestricted model, $n k_{UR}$

The unrestricted model: $S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_3 SM_i + \beta_4 SF_i + u_i$ RSS_{UR}

The restricted model: $S_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ASVABC_i + \beta_c (SM_i + SF_i) + u_i$ RSS_R

Note:

 S_i : schooling years of the i^{th} respondent

 $ASVABC_i$: the ability score of the i^{th} respondent

 SM_i : the highest grade completed by the mother of the i^{th} respondent SF_i : the highest grade completed by the father of the i^{th} respondent

Step 1. State the null and alternative hypotheses

Null Hypothesis	$H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_4$
Alternative Hypothesis	$H_1: \beta_3 \neq \beta_4$

Step 2. Select the significance level. Significance level $\alpha = 5\%$

Step 3. Select and calculate the test statistics

Unrestricted Model

```
Response: S
           Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
ASVARC
           1 1007.00 1007.00 198.283 < 2.2e-16 ***
            1 112.38 112.38 22.128 0.000003312 ***
           1 115.68 115.68 22.778 0.000002396 ***
Residuals 496 2518.97 5.08
 ___
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1
Restricted Model
 Response: S
           Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
 ASVARC
        1 1007.00 1007.00 197.814 < 2.2e-16 ***
            1 216.99 216.99 42.625 1.64e-10 ***
 SMSE
 Residuals 497 2530.04 5.09
 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

 $RSS_{UB} = 2518.97$ $RSS_{B} = 2530.04$ one restriction $\beta_{3} = \beta_{4}$ $n - k_{UB} = 500 - 4 = 496$

$$F(\textit{cost in dof}, \textit{dof of unrestricted model}) = \frac{(RSS_R - RSS_{UR})/\textit{number of restrictions}}{RSS_{UR}/(\textit{n} - \textit{k}_{UR})} = \frac{(2530.04 - 2518.97)/1}{2518.97/(500 - 4)} = 2.176 \times 10^{-10}$$

Step 4. Set the decision rule.

cost in dof = number of restrictions = 1 For unrestricted model: $k_{UR} = 4$, n = 500, dof of unrestricted model = $n - k_{UR} = 500 - 4 = 496$

 $F_{crit,5\%}(cost\ in\ dof,\ dof\ of\ unrestricted\ model) = F_{crit,5\%}(1,496) = 3.86$

Step 5. Make statistical decisions.

$$F = 2.17 < F_{crit,5\%}(1,496) = 3.86$$

We cannot reject the null H_0 : $\beta_3 = \beta_4$.

We conclude that the impact of mother (*SM*) and father's (*SF*) educational attainment on child's educational attainment could be the same.

What to do next:

- Attempt homework 6
- Read chapter 3.3 and 3.5 of Dougherty