



Marie Farrell

REFINEMENT

WHAT IS REFINEMENT?

- Refinement provides a way for us to model software at different levels of abstraction
- We often start with a high level abstract specification and through a series of "Refinement steps" we develop a concrete implementation of the system at hand

MORGAN - "ON THE REFINEMENT CALCULUS"

- Notation and rules for deriving programs from their specifications
- Within a single formalism
- Based on weakest precondition: For a program P and predicate R over the program variables the "weakest precondition" is written
 - \times wp(P,R)
 - + This is intended to describe exactly those states from which execution of P is guaranteed to establish R.

SPECIFICATIONS

We specify a program P by giving both a precondition (pre) and a post-condition (post):

```
\times pre \Rightarrow wp(P, post)
```

If 'pre' is true, then execution of 'P' must establish 'post'

REFINEMENT

× We write:

$$[pre, post] \sqsubseteq P$$
 to denote "the specification $[pre, post]$ is refined by P "

Definition:

For programs P and Q, we say that P is refined by Q written $P \sqsubseteq Q$, iff for all post-conditions post:

$$wp(P, post) \Rightarrow wp(Q, post)$$

- $f \times$ Operationally P $\sqsubseteq Q$ whenever Q resolves non-determinism in P, or terminates when P might not
- Therefore an NFA is refined by a DFA.

EXAMPLE

$$if \ a \leq b \rightarrow a \coloneqq a - b$$

$$\Box \ b \geq a \rightarrow b \coloneqq b - a$$

$$fi$$

$$if \ a \le b \to a \coloneqq a - b$$

$$\Box \ a \le b \to b \coloneqq b - a$$

$$fi$$

LAWS OF REFINEMENT

- Weakening the precondition
 - More robust than previous
- 2. Strengthening the postcondition
 - Allows less choice than previous
- 3. Restricting change
 - Can change fewer variables than previous
- 4. Introducing fresh local variables
- 5. Introducing abort
- Introducing skip
- 7. Introducing assignment
- 8. Introducing sequential composition
- Introducing alternation
- 10. Introducing iteration

MORRIS - "STEPWISE REFINEMENT"

- Views programming as constructing a sequence of specifications, each one better defined than, but preserving the meaning of its predecessors; the final specification is a program in the language
- Note: the specifications arising in the construction of a program form a monotonic sequence

PRESCRIPTIONS

 \mathbf{x} A prescription P||Q specifies a mechanism that when executed in a state satisfying P will terminate in a state satisfying Q

⋆ P and Q are predicates

REFINEMENT

* We proceed from the initial prescription P||Q through a sequence of specifications s_i such that:

$$P||Q \sqsubseteq S_1 \sqsubseteq S_2 \sqsubseteq \ldots \sqsubseteq S_i$$

RULES OF REFINEMENT

 \star Given P||Q there are 6 ways of choosing s such that

$$P||Q \sqsubseteq S$$

- 1. Skip
- 2. Assignment
- 3. Prescription
- 4. If statement
- 5. Composition
- 6. Block

EXAMPLES - IS THIS REFINEMENT

Context Free Grammar

- Morgan yes because moving through a CFG reduces non-determinism
- Morris yes because it follows a less-defined, better-defined structure

Compiler

- + Morgan yes because no check is made against specifying a specification too much and therefore unproductive refinement steps may go unnoticed
- + Morris not sure