A Comprehensive Framework and Call for Collaborative Validation: Hemp-Derived Carbon Nanosheets (HDCNS), HDCNS-Composites, 100% Organic "Diamond Composites" made from 100% Hemp (HDCNS, Hemp Oil and Hemp Lignin), and Potential Applications

Marie Seshat Landry*
Diamond Composites,
Marie Landry's Spy Shop (www.marielandryceo.com),
Spymaster Enterprises, Global Organic Solutions, Search For Organics
marielandryceo@gmail.com, ceo@marielandryceo.com
diamondcomposites.blogspot.com
Location: Moncton, N-B., Canada
(Assisted by AI Tools including Google Gemini and 500-Bot)

Conceptual Integration Date: September 8, 2024
Call for Collaboration Issued: April 6, 2025

*Role clarification: Diamond Composites leads core material R&D; other affiliations provide expertise in target application domains like defense and sustainable sourcing.

Abstract

This paper details the scientific basis and potential of Hemp-Derived Carbon Nanosheets (HDCNS) and introduces "Diamond Composites," a conceptual 100% hemp-derived material (HDCNS + Hemp Oil Epoxy + Hemp Lignin Resin) originated by the author, M. S. Landry [5]. Building upon the foundational work demonstrating HDCNS synthesis from hemp biomass and their high specific surface area for supercapacitor applications (e.g., Wang, Mitlin et al., ACS Nano, 2013 [1]), we propose a theoretical framework extending their use to advanced composites [4]. Potential applications explored herein include defense (lightweight armor, stealth), aerospace (structures, radiation shielding), energy storage, potentially quantum computing elements (HDCNS as qubits), waste sequestration capabilities (incorporating byproducts/processed waste), and materials for sustainable interplanetary colonization (ISRU). The core purpose of this document is to issue a call for collaborative, open-source experimental validation of these concepts. We present 54 specific, testable hypotheses organized into three tiers: Tier 1 (Foundational Validation), Tier 2 (Core Properties & Benchmarks), and Tier 3 (Advanced/Exploratory). These hypotheses cover material synthesis, mechanical, thermal, electrical, barrier, and environmental properties, military/armor/stealth characteristics, biological interactions, comparative performance benchmarks, processability, and ISRU potential. For each hypothesis, significantly detailed experimental approaches, characterization techniques, and validation metrics are outlined. Relevant theoretical background, including predictive models (e.g., Rule of Mixtures, Halpin-Tsai), is provided. This document invites the global scientific community—research institutions, universities, industry labs, and citizen scientists—to participate in generating the crucial foundational empirical data needed to assess the viability of these proposed sustainable materials. Submission guidelines and contact information (`feedback@marielandryceo.com`) are provided to foster this collaborative effort. The core original concepts presented by M. S. Landry are detailed in the cited Zenodo preprints [4, 5] and potentially forthcoming publications.

Keywords: Hemp-Derived Carbon Nanosheets, HDCNS, Diamond Composites, Hemp Graphene, Hemp Oil Epoxy, Hemp Lignin, Sustainable Composites, Organic Composites, Crowdsourced Science, Open Source Materials, Military Applications, Aerospace Materials, Energy Storage, Quantum Computing, Qubits, Waste Sequestration, Upcycling, Space Colonization, ISRU, Marie Seshat Landry.

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Global Imperative for Sustainable High-Performance Materials

The 21×10^0st century presents significant global challenges, including climate change mitigation, resource security, waste management, and evolving security requirements [7]. Addressing these necessitates innovation in materials science, moving away from reliance on finite, energy-intensive, or environmentally burdensome resources [8]. There is a pressing need for novel materials that offer high performance characteristics—such as high specific strength and stiffness, tailored conductivity, and durability—while being fundamentally sustainable through renewable sourcing, efficient processing, non-toxicity, and end-of-life circularity [9]. This paper proposes a framework based on advanced materials derived from industrial hemp to contribute solutions to this need.

1.2 Industrial Hemp: A Sustainable Feedstock Renaissance

Industrial hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.), a non-psychoactive cultivar, offers considerable potential as a sustainable feedstock [10]. Its cultivation generally requires fewer inputs than crops like cotton, it can grow in diverse climates, and its rapid biomass production leads to significant atmospheric CO2 sequestration during growth [11]. Historically used for fibers and seeds, modern biorefining approaches aim to utilize the entire plant—bast fibers, hurd (woody core), seeds, and even extracted phytochemicals—for a wide range of products, including advanced materials [12].

1.3 Hemp-Derived Carbon Nanosheets (HDCNS): State-of-the-Art

A pivotal development was the demonstration by Prof. David Mitlin's group that hemp bast fibers could be efficiently converted into porous carbon nanosheets (HDCNS) via hydrothermal carbonization or pyrolysis followed by chemical activation [1]. This groundbreaking work established HDCNS as a potentially low-cost, sustainable alternative to graphene for certain applications. Key experimentally verified properties of HDCNS include [1, 2]:

- Extremely high specific surface area (SSA), often exceeding 2000×10^0 m^2/g.
- Hierarchical porous structure (micro-, meso-, macropores).
- Electrical conductivity suitable for electrochemical applications (e.g., 211×10^0 S/m to 226×10^0 S/m reported for specific preparations [1]).
- Good thermal stability in inert atmospheres.

The initial research focus, spurred by the discovery work [1], centered on leveraging the high SSA for electrochemical double-layer capacitors (supercapacitors), where HDCNS demonstrated excellent performance, rivaling or exceeding graphene-based devices particularly in terms of power density and cost-effectiveness [1, 3].

1.4 Conceptual Leap: HDCNS Composites, Diamond Composites, and Expanded Horizons (M. S. Landry)

While the supercapacitor application is significant, the author (M. S. Landry) proposes that the potential of HDCNS extends far beyond, particularly when utilized as reinforcement in composite materials [4]. This framework introduces several original concepts developed by Landry, now detailed in accessible preprints:

- **HDCNS Composites (General):** Defined broadly as materials where HDCNS serve as a multifunctional reinforcing agent within various conventional or bio-based matrices to enhance performance [4].
- **Diamond Composites Theory:** A specific, novel composite class hypothesized by Landry to be manufacturable *entirely* from hemp derivatives [5]. This system integrates HDCNS reinforcement within a bio-matrix synthesized from chemically modified Hemp Seed Oil (via epoxidation [15]) and chemically modified Hemp Lignin (extracted from stalks/hurd [17]). This "Material Triforce" (Nanosheets, Oil, Lignin) aims for maximum sustainability and unique property combinations.
- **Programmability Concept:** The theoretical ability, inherent in the Diamond Composite formulation, to tune the final material properties by adjusting the relative ratios of the three core hemp components [5].
- **Waste/Byproduct Incorporation ("Fluff"):** The concept of using the Diamond Composite matrix as a sustainable binder for incorporating other hemp processing byproducts or potentially processed municipal/industrial waste and captured pollutants [5].
- **Expanded Applications:** Applying the enhanced properties anticipated from HDCNS composites and Diamond Composites to demanding sectors including defense (lightweight armor [22], stealth aircraft [23]), aerospace (structures, shielding), quantum information science (hypothesizing HDCNS as a potential organic qubit host [24]), and space exploration (ISRU using Martian resources, habitat construction [25, 26]).

1.5 Purpose of This Document: A Foundational Framework and Call for Collaborative Validation

This document serves two primary functions. First, it consolidates the theoretical framework, scientific rationale, and potential scope for HDCNS composites, with a focus on the novel Diamond Composite concept introduced by Landry [4, 5]. Second, and crucially, it transitions from these concepts to a **formal call for collaborative, open-source experimental validation**. Recognizing the breadth of the proposed concepts and the necessity of empirical data, we present 54 specific, testable scientific hypotheses (organized by Tiers) designed to probe the fundamental feasibility and properties of these materials. This paper outlines these hypotheses in significant detail, suggests experimental methodologies, and invites the global scientific community to participate in generating this data under an open science framework, coordinating through `feedback@marielandryceo.com`.

2 Scientific Foundation and Theoretical Framework

2.1 HDCNS Properties in Detail

The properties of HDCNS stem from their unique structure, resulting from the conversion of cellulosic hemp fibers into predominantly sp2-hybridized carbon sheets with inherent porosity and edge functionalities [1, 2]:

- **Electrical/Thermal Conductivity:** Mediated by π-electron transport and phonon propagation within the graphitic planes. Performance is influenced by sheet size, defect density, inter-sheet connections, and functional groups. Conductivity is generally lower than pristine graphene but potentially sufficient for percolation in composites at reasonable loadings. Thermal conductivity follows similar dependencies.

- **Mechanical Properties:** High intrinsic stiffness and strength are expected from the strong covalent C-C bonds within the graphitic planes, similar to graphene but likely reduced by defects and sheet size limitations. Effectiveness as reinforcement in a composite depends critically on achieving good dispersion (avoiding agglomeration), achieving a high effective aspect ratio, and ensuring efficient load transfer across the nanosheet-matrix interface [13].

- **Surface Area & Porosity:** Extremely high SSA ($> 2000 \times 10^0$ m^2/g) arises from the thin sheet morphology and the extensive porosity (micropores $< 2\times10^0$ nm, mesopores 2×10^0 nm to 50×10^0 nm) introduced during chemical activation. This is key for electrochemical applications (supercapacitors, batteries) and adsorption, and the surface topography could potentially enhance interfacial mechanical interlocking in composites.

- **Barrier Properties:** The high aspect ratio (lateral dimension / thickness) of individual nanosheets, combined with their impermeability to small molecules, can create highly tortuous diffusion pathways when well-dispersed and oriented within a polymer matrix. This can significantly reduce the permeability of gases (like oxygen, CO2) and liquids (like water) through the composite [14].

- **Other Properties:** HDCNS generally exhibit good thermal stability (stable $>600 \times 10^0$ °C inertly) and chemical resistance inherent to graphitic carbon. Potential for radiation shielding exists due to the low atomic number (low-Z) nature (Section 2.3.3). Biocompatibility is plausible given the biomass source but requires specific testing (H39).

2.2 Diamond Composites Theory (M. S. Landry - Original Concept [5])

2.2.1 The "Material Triforce": Components and Roles

The proposed Diamond Composite [5] relies on the synergy of three hemp-derived components:

1. **HDCNS (Reinforcement):** Serves as the primary structural reinforcement (imparting stiffness and strength), conductive filler (for electrical/thermal properties, EMI shielding), and potential contributor to barrier properties and radiation shielding. Its effectiveness depends on loading, dispersion (H2), and interfacial adhesion (H3).

2. **Hemp Seed Oil Bio-Epoxy (Matrix Binder):** Synthesized via epoxidation of hemp seed oil's unsaturated fatty acids (primarily linoleic, alpha-linolenic, oleic) [15, 16]. The resulting epoxide groups (-C-O-C- ring) provide reactive sites for crosslinking with suitable hardeners. This component forms the continuous phase, providing matrix cohesion, transferring stress to the HDCNS, and contributing toughness and flexibility. The degree of epoxidation (number of epoxide groups per triglyceride molecule), controlled during synthesis, dictates reactivity and potential crosslink density.

3. **Hemp Lignin Resin (Matrix Stiffener/Curing Agent):** Lignin is a complex, amorphous, three-dimensional network polymer rich in phenolic (aromatic hydroxyl) groups, along with aliphatic hydroxyls and other functionalities [17]. Extracted from hemp stalks/hurd (a major co-product), its inherent rigidity and thermal stability are expected to contribute stiffness and heat resistance to the matrix. Crucially, lignin's functional groups (especially phenolic -OH) can potentially react directly with epoxide rings (though often slowly without catalysts) or can be chemically modified (e.g., amination, glycidylation [18]) to act as an effective co-hardener or primary crosslinker for the EHSO, forming the thermoset network (H1).

The theoretical curing chemistry likely involves nucleophilic attack by lignin's hydroxyl or modified amine groups on the epoxide rings of the EHSO, leading to ring-opening and the formation of ether or amino-alcohol linkages, respectively. This builds the cross-linked network structure responsible for the thermoset properties. The feasibility and efficiency of this all-bio-based curing system require experimental validation (H1, H42).

2.2.2 The "Programmability" Concept

The central hypothesis [5] is that by precisely adjusting the relative weight or volume fractions of these three core components (HDCNS, EHSO, MHL), the final composite's properties can be deliberately tuned:

- **Mechanical Trade-offs:** Increasing MHL relative to EHSO should increase stiffness (modulus) and potentially strength but decrease flexibility and toughness. Increasing EHSO should have the opposite effect. Increasing HDCNS loading should generally increase both stiffness and strength up to limits imposed by dispersion and interfacial bonding, while potentially reducing ductility.

- **Conductivity Control:** Electrical and thermal conductivity are expected to be primarily dictated by the HDCNS loading and dispersion quality, following percolation theory (H10, H12).

- **Thermal Stability:** Both MHL (inherently more stable than fatty acids) and HDCNS should contribute to improved thermal stability compared to neat EHSO (H11).

- **Biodegradability:** The overall biodegradability (H16) is expected to depend complexly on the crosslink density and the inherent degradability of the EHSO and MHL components.

This concept offers the potential to design bespoke Diamond Composites optimized for specific application requirements.

2.2.3 Waste/Byproduct Incorporation ("Fluff") Theory

This concept [5] extends the sustainability goal by proposing the EHSO/MHL matrix acts as a binder for various fillers:

- **Hemp Byproducts (H43):** Ground hurd or short fibers. Aims for full plant utilization and cost reduction. Expected to act primarily as filler, potentially affecting mechanical properties positively or negatively depending on size, loading, and interface.
- **Processed Waste ("Trash") (H44):** Ground plastics (PET), waste cellulose. Aims for waste upcycling/sequestration. Compatibility and interface issues are major challenges; likely significant reduction in mechanical performance expected initially. Requires proof-of-concept validation.
- **Pollutant Encapsulation ("Pollution Bubbles") (H45):** Trapping processed/encapsulated pollutants (simulants tested initially). Highly speculative, tests physical incorporation feasibility first.

2.3 Predictive Models and Theoretical Calculations

2.3.1 Micromechanical Models (Initial Estimation)

- **Rule of Mixtures (ROM):** Useful for first-order estimates of density ($\rho_c = \sum V_i \rho_i$). Longitudinal modulus/strength estimates ($E_c \approx V_f E_f + V_m E_m$) are upper bounds assuming perfect alignment and bonding, generally inaccurate for randomly oriented nanosheets.
- **Halpin-Tsai Equations:** More suitable for discontinuous reinforcement. For modulus E_c: $E_c/E_m = (1 + \xi\eta V_f)/(1 - \eta V_f)$, where $\eta = [(E_f/E_m) - 1]/[(E_f/E_m) + \xi]$. The parameter ξ (related to aspect ratio and orientation) is often treated as an adjustable parameter for nanosheets. Requires estimates for E_f (HDCNS, potentially 100×10^0 GPa to 1000×10^0 GPa?) and E_m (EHSO/MHL matrix, likely low single-digit GPa?).
- **Interface/Dispersion:** Real performance is dominated by dispersion quality (H2) and interfacial strength (H3). Models often assume ideal conditions. Poor dispersion leads to ineffective reinforcement. Weak interfaces cause debonding under load. Surface treatments may be needed to improve η effectively [19].
- **Percolation Theory (for Conductivity H10):** Predicts conductivity (σ) follows a power law near the percolation threshold (p_c): $\sigma \propto (p - p_c)^t$ for $p > p_c$, where p is filler volume fraction and t is a critical exponent.
- **Validation Need:** These models guide formulation but cannot replace experimental validation (Section 3) due to uncertainties in input parameters and ideal assumptions.

2.3.2 Quantum Modeling Basis (Qubit Hypothesis)

The concept [24] involves exploring spin defects within the HDCNS carbon lattice as potential qubits. Viability hinges on identifying/creating defects with suitable electronic structure (spin state, energy levels) and quantum dynamics (long coherence times T1, T2). Analogies exist with NV centers in diamond or defects in silicon carbide [20]. DFT simulations can help:

- Predict stable defect structures (e.g., vacancies, Stone-Wales defects, N/O functional groups, adsorbed molecules).
- Calculate spin state energy levels and zero-field splitting.
- Estimate hyperfine interactions with nearby nuclear spins (potential decoherence source).
- Guide experimental EPR searches (H17) and interpretation of coherence time measurements (H18).

Challenges include the inherent structural heterogeneity of HDCNS compared to crystalline materials.

2.3.3 Radiation Shielding Basis

Space radiation includes high-energy protons and heavy ions (GCR), plus solar protons (SPE). Interaction with matter produces secondary particles (neutrons, lighter ions, gammas). Effective shielding materials should [21]:

- Maximize stopping power for primary charged particles (favors high electron density, efficient in low-Z materials).
- Effectively moderate and absorb secondary neutrons (favors high hydrogen content).
- Minimize production of harmful secondary radiation.

HDCNS composites, being rich in C, H, and O, are theoretically well-suited, particularly the hydrogen-rich polymer matrix. HDCNS might also contribute through high electron density. Performance needs quantification via simulation (GEANT4, etc.) and experiment (H19, H51) using relevant particle types and energies.

2.4 Theoretical Sustainability Advantages

The core sustainability arguments rest on:

- **Renewable Feedstock:** Hemp is annually renewable biomass.
- **Carbon Sequestration:** Hemp cultivation captures atmospheric CO2 [11]. Net lifecycle balance needs LCA.
- **Potential Biodegradability:** All-organic composition suggests potential end-of-life return to biosphere (H16), unlike persistent plastics/metals.
- **Petrochemical Avoidance:** EHSO/MHL matrix replaces fossil-derived resins [5].
- **Waste Valorization:** Potential to incorporate agricultural/other waste streams (H43, H44).

A full cradle-to-grave LCA comparing Diamond Composites to conventional materials (steel, aluminum, standard composites) is necessary for definitive proof but requires data from scaled-up production and validated properties.

3 Core Hypotheses and Proposed Experimental Validation (H1-H54)

This section presents 54 specific, foundational hypotheses requiring experimental validation through collaborative, open-source research. We invite the scientific community to contribute to testing these hypotheses, which form the basis for assessing the feasibility and potential of HDCNS composites, particularly the novel Diamond Composite concept. To guide initial efforts, hypotheses are organized into Tiers reflecting foundational priority, core characterization, and advanced exploration. For each hypothesis, we detail the statement, its rationale, a proposed experimental approach, suggested characterization techniques, and metrics for validation. Please communicate interest, requests for more detailed protocols (where available), and results to `feedback@marielandryceo.com`. Adherence to safety and cited standards (e.g., [28]) is critical.

Tier 1: Foundational Validation

(Priority: Highest. These hypotheses test if the core Diamond Composite concept is synthetically feasible and shows basic function.)

- **H1: Hemp-Derived Matrix Synthesis & Curing**
  - **Statement:** It is chemically feasible to synthesize a stable, processable, and curable bio-epoxy/resin system primarily from epoxidized hemp seed oil (EHSO) and chemically modified hemp lignin (MHL).
  - **Rationale:** This tests the fundamental viability of creating the proposed 100% hemp-derived matrix, the cornerstone of the Diamond Composite concept. Success depends on achieving sufficient reactivity and crosslinking between the two bio-derived components.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** (a) Synthesize EHSO via established methods (e.g., using peracetic acid generated in situ [15]), aiming for varying Epoxy Equivalent Weights (EEW). Characterize EEW. (b) Extract/obtain hemp lignin (e.g., via organosolv or alkali extraction [17]) and chemically modify it to enhance reactivity (e.g., amination, glycidylation [18]). Characterize functional groups. (c) Blend EHSO and MHL in various stoichiometric ratios (based on EEW and lignin functional group content, e.g., 1:1, 1:0.8, 0.8:1 epoxide:active hydrogen equivalent). (d) Attempt thermal curing (e.g., stepwise heating ramps up to 150×10^0 °C to 200×10^0 °C) or catalytic curing (if appropriate catalysts identified). Monitor viscosity/pot life during processing.
  - **Characterization:** FTIR/NMR (confirm EHSO epoxidation, MHL modification, monitor reaction progress during cure), DSC (determine cure onset, peak, enthalpy; measure final Glass Transition Temperature, Tg), Rheometry (viscosity, gel time), Titration (EEW, functional group content). Visual inspection of cured samples for homogeneity, cracks, voids.

- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Successful formation of a solid, visually homogeneous cured material. Measurable Tg via DSC (ideally above room temperature for structural potential). Processability demonstrated (manageable pot life/viscosity for lab scale). FTIR/NMR confirmation of expected chemical linkages formed during cure.

- **H2: HDCNS Dispersion (Hemp Matrix)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS can be dispersed with reasonable uniformity (target average agglomerate size $< 10 \times 10^0$ µm, minimal large clusters) within the synthesized EHSO/MHL matrix using standard laboratory dispersion techniques.
  - **Rationale:** Achieving good dispersion of nanofillers is critical for realizing their reinforcing potential and ensuring consistent composite properties. Agglomeration is a common challenge.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Select a promising matrix formulation from H1. Incorporate low wt% HDCNS (e.g., 1×10^0, 3×10^0, 5×10^0 wt%). Employ dispersion methods such as high-shear mixing, ultrasonication (probe or bath, controlling energy input/temperature), three-roll milling (calendering). Optimize dispersion time and energy. Cure small samples suitable for microscopy.
  - **Characterization:** SEM of cryo-fractured or polished cross-sections (essential for visualizing dispersion state). TEM can provide higher resolution insights if sample preparation allows. Optical microscopy (limited resolution for nanosheets but can reveal large agglomerates). Image analysis software can quantify agglomerate size distribution.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Qualitative assessment of uniformity via microscopy (e.g., "well-dispersed," "some small agglomerates," "large clusters present"). Quantitative average/maximum agglomerate size from SEM image analysis, aiming for target $< 10 \times 10^0$ µm. Consistent dispersion observed across multiple sample areas.

- **H3: Interfacial Adhesion (Hemp Matrix)**
  - **Statement:** Sufficient interfacial adhesion exists between HDCNS (potentially surface-treated) and the cured EHSO/MHL matrix to enable mechanical stress transfer from the matrix to the nanosheet reinforcement.
  - **Rationale:** Good interfacial bonding is crucial for composite strength. Poor adhesion leads to filler pull-out and ineffective reinforcement. This hypothesis qualitatively assesses this fundamental interaction.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare composite samples (e.g., 5×10^0 to 10×10^0 wt% HDCNS) with validated dispersion (from H2). Fracture samples (e.g., after tensile testing H6, or via dedicated fracture toughness test). Optionally, compare samples using pristine HDCNS vs. HDCNS surface-functionalized to promote bonding with the matrix (e.g., via oxidation or amination).
  - **Characterization:** High-resolution SEM examination of the fracture surfaces. Look for key features: matrix adhering to pulled-out nanosheets (indicates good adhesion), clean nanosheet surfaces (poor

adhesion), river patterns indicating crack deflection around nanosheets, matrix cracking vs. interfacial debonding.

- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Qualitative assessment based on SEM morphology. Predominance of matrix adhesion on nanosheets and evidence of crack deflection suggest good adhesion. Significant clean pull-out suggests poor adhesion. Comparison between pristine and functionalized HDCNS will indicate effectiveness of surface treatment.

- **H5: Density of Diamond Composites**
  - **Statement:** Diamond Composites (e.g., 20×10^0 to 40×10^0 wt% HDCNS in EHSO/MHL matrix) can achieve a bulk density significantly lower than conventional materials like aluminum, targeting < $1.3 \times 10^0\ \mathrm{g/cm^3}$.
  - **Rationale:** Low density is a key driver for applications in aerospace, defense, and automotive sectors, enabling weight savings. This hypothesis verifies if the all-hemp composite meets lightweight targets.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare well-consolidated (low void) composite samples with known HDCNS weight fraction (e.g., 20, 30, 40 wt%). Accurately measure sample dimensions (for regular shapes) and mass, or use gas pycnometry (for true material density) or the Archimedes method (for bulk density including voids, [27]). Ensure samples are dry.
  - **Characterization:** Analytical balance ($\pm 0.1 \times 10^0$ mg), precision calipers/micrometer, or gas pycnometer / density kit. Void content assessment (H32) is relevant context.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Bulk density value ($\mathrm{g/cm^3}$). Comparison against target ($< 1.3 \times 10^0\ \mathrm{g/cm^3}$) and reference materials (e.g., Aluminum $2.7 \times 10^0\ \mathrm{g/cm^3}$, Steel $7.8 \times 10^0\ \mathrm{g/cm^3}$). Density should decrease slightly with increasing HDCNS content if HDCNS density is lower than matrix, or increase if higher, modulated by void content.

- **H6: Tensile Reinforcement (Diamond Comp)**
  - **Statement:** Diamond Composites (incorporating HDCNS into the EHSO/MHL matrix) exhibit significantly enhanced tensile modulus (E) and ultimate tensile strength (UTS) compared to the unreinforced cured EHSO/MHL matrix.
  - **Rationale:** This is the fundamental test of mechanical reinforcement. If HDCNS doesn't improve stiffness and strength, its value as a structural reinforcement is questionable.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare standardized tensile test specimens (e.g., dog-bones per [28] Type I or V) of the neat cured matrix (from H1) and composites with varying HDCNS loadings (e.g., 1, 3, 5, 10 wt%) exhibiting good dispersion (verified via H2). Ensure consistent sample preparation and low void content. Perform tensile tests at a standard strain rate (e.g., 2×10^0 mm/min). Use an extensometer for accurate strain measurement. Test sufficient replicates (e.g., N=5) per condition.
  - **Characterization:** Universal Testing Machine (UTM) equipped with load cell, grips, and extensometer. Calibrated calipers/micrometer for cross-sectional area measurement.

- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean ± standard deviation for Young's Modulus (E, from initial linear slope), UTS (peak stress), and Elongation at Break (%) for neat matrix and each composite loading. Calculate the percentage increase in E and UTS relative to the neat matrix. A statistically significant increase (e.g., $p < 0.05$ via t-test/ANOVA) validates reinforcement. Compare results with theoretical predictions (e.g., Halpin-Tsai).

- **H10: Electrical Conductivity/Percolation**
  - **Statement:** Diamond Composites exhibit an electrical percolation threshold at a measurable HDCNS loading, with bulk DC electrical conductivity increasing by several orders of magnitude thereafter, achieving $> 1 \times 10^0\ \mathrm{S/m}$ at moderate loadings (target: 20 wt%).
  - **Rationale:** Tests the ability to create electrically conductive composites using HDCNS in the novel hemp matrix, essential for EMI shielding, static dissipation, sensor, and potential energy storage applications. Percolation theory predicts a sharp conductivity increase when conductive filler forms a connected network.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare composite samples (e.g., rectangular bars or discs) with a range of HDCNS loadings spanning the expected percolation threshold (e.g., 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25 wt%). Ensure good dispersion. Measure bulk DC conductivity using a four-point probe method (preferred for minimizing contact resistance) or a two-point probe method with conductive paint/electrodes for established contacts. Ensure good probe contact.
  - **Characterization:** Four-point probe station with source measure unit (SMU), or two-point setup with electrometer/SMU. Ohmmeter (less accurate for low conductivities). Measure sample dimensions accurately.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot log(Conductivity) vs. HDCNS loading (wt

- **H16: Biodegradability Potential (Composting)**
  - **Statement:** The 100% hemp-derived Diamond Composite exhibits significant mineralization (>60% relative to cellulose positive control) under standard controlled composting conditions within 180 days, indicating potential for aerobic biodegradability.
  - **Rationale:** Validates a key sustainability claim of the Diamond Composite concept – its potential to biodegrade at end-of-life, unlike conventional thermoset composites. Mineralization (conversion to CO2) is a key indicator of ultimate biodegradation.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Perform a standardized aerobic biodegradation test in a controlled composting environment according to [54] or [40]. This typically involves mixing powdered/small pieces of the test material (cured Diamond Composite) with mature compost inoculum and monitoring the cumulative CO2 evolution over time relative to a positive control (e.g., microcrystalline cellulose) and a negative control (blank compost). Maintain standard temperature (e.g., $58 \times 10^0\ ^\circ\mathrm{C}$), moisture, and aeration conditions. Run duration typically 180 days.

- **Characterization:** Respirometry system capable of continuously monitoring CO2 evolution from multiple test vessels (e.g., using gas chromatography, titration, or IR detection). Controlled temperature incubator/reactor. Analytical balance for sample preparation. Elemental analysis (CHN) of test material needed for ThCO2 calculation.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate percentage biodegradation based on cumulative CO2 evolved relative to the theoretical CO2 potential (ThCO2) of the sample. Compare mineralization percentage at 180 days (or earlier plateau) to the positive control and relevant standards/labels (e.g., >60% or >90

Tier 2: Core Properties & Benchmarks

(Builds out the essential material profile, compares against standards/alternatives, assesses durability.)

- **H4: HDCNS Dispersion (Standard Matrix)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS can be dispersed with reasonable uniformity (target average agglomerate size < 10×10^0 µm) in standard matrices like conventional epoxy (e.g., Bisphenol A based) and polylactic acid (PLA) using established methods.
  - **Rationale:** Establishes baseline compatibility and dispersibility of HDCNS in widely used matrices, relevant for general composite applications beyond the Diamond Composite concept. Allows comparison with literature on other nanofillers.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Select standard commercial epoxy resin (e.g., DGEBA with amine hardener) and PLA pellets. For epoxy: Incorporate HDCNS (e.g., 1, 3, 5 wt%) using shear mixing, ultrasonication, or calendering. Cure according to manufacturer's recommendations. For PLA: Prepare HDCNS/PLA masterbatch via solvent casting or use twin-screw extruder for melt compounding (180×10^0 °C to 210×10^0 °C). Prepare samples via compression molding or injection molding.
  - **Characterization:** SEM of cryo-fractured or polished cross-sections. TEM (especially for PLA). Optical microscopy. Image analysis software for quantification.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Qualitative assessment of uniformity; Quantitative average/maximum agglomerate size distribution from SEM image analysis, aiming for target < 10×10^0 µm. Comparison of dispersion quality achieved via different methods.

- **H7: Tensile Reinforcement (Standard Matrix)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS dispersed (verified via H4) in a standard commercial epoxy or PLA at 5 wt% loading increases the tensile modulus by at least 25
  - **Rationale:** Quantifies the reinforcing efficiency of HDCNS in benchmark matrix systems, providing data directly comparable to literature on graphene, CNTs, etc.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare tensile specimens ([28]) of neat epoxy/PLA and 5 wt
  - **Characterization:** UTM, Extensometer. SEM of fracture surfaces can provide insight into failure mechanisms.

- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate mean Young's Modulus (E) for neat matrix and composite. Determine percentage increase in E for the composite. Validate if the increase is statistically significant and meets or exceeds the 25

- **H8: Impact Energy Absorption**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites (e.g., 10 wt
  - **Rationale:** Impact resistance is critical for armor, automotive, and aerospace applications. Nanofillers can improve toughness by introducing energy dissipation mechanisms (crack deflection, debonding, pull-out).
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare notched rectangular bar specimens of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10 wt
  - **Characterization:** Pendulum Impact Tester. SEM of fracture surfaces to observe failure modes and toughening mechanisms. High-speed camera footage during impact (optional).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean absorbed impact energy (Joules or J/m of notch width) ± standard deviation. Calculate percentage increase compared to the neat matrix. Validate if the increase is statistically significant and meets the >50

- **H9: Hardness Enhancement**
  - **Statement:** Incorporating HDCNS (e.g., 20 wt
  - **Rationale:** Surface hardness relates to scratch resistance and wear performance, relevant for many applications. Fillers often increase hardness. Linked to the "Diamond" name aspiration.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat, sufficiently thick (*per* standard) samples of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 20 wt
  - **Characterization:** Durometer or Rockwell hardness tester with appropriate indenter and load scale. Surface profilometer (optional, to check smoothness).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean hardness value ± standard deviation (specify scale). Compare composite hardness to neat matrix hardness using statistical test (e.g., t-test). Validate if the increase is statistically significant.

- **H11: Thermal Stability Enhancement**
  - **Statement:** Diamond Composites (or HDCNS/epoxy) exhibit an onset of thermal decomposition temperature (Td, e.g., at 5
  - **Rationale:** Thermal stability dictates the upper use temperature limit of materials. Carbon nanomaterials often enhance the thermal stability of polymers by hindering decomposition pathways or acting as heat sinks/barriers.

- **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare small samples (5×10^0 mg to 10×10^0 mg) of dried neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10 wt
- **Characterization:** TGA instrument. Software for analyzing mass loss vs. temperature curves. Elemental analysis (CHN) can help interpret residue amount.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Determine temperature at 5

- **H12: Thermal Conductivity Modification**
  - **Statement:** Incorporation of HDCNS (e.g., 10×10^0 to 20×10^0 wt%) measurably alters (increases or decreases) the thermal conductivity of the base matrix (hemp-derived or standard).
  - **Rationale:** Thermal conductivity is critical for thermal management applications (heat dissipation or insulation). Nanofillers can significantly alter matrix conductivity, but the effect depends on filler conductivity, loading, dispersion, and interfacial thermal resistance (Kapitza resistance).
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare well-consolidated samples (e.g., discs or squares of defined thickness) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10, 20 wt
  - **Characterization:** LFA or TPS apparatus, DSC instrument, Pycnometer/Density kit, Analytical balance. Ensure good sample surface preparation for LFA/TPS.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean thermal conductivity (k in $\mathrm{W/(m\,K)}$) ± standard deviation for neat matrix and composites. Determine if the change is statistically significant. Report percentage change relative to the matrix. Discuss direction of change (increase/decrease).

- **H13: Basic EMI Shielding**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites (e.g., 20 wt
  - **Rationale:** EMI shielding is required for electronic enclosures, stealth applications, and protecting sensitive equipment. Conductive fillers create pathways to reflect or absorb electromagnetic waves. 10×10^0 dB represents 90
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat panel samples (e.g., squares or discs fitting the test fixture) of composite material with sufficient conductivity (validated by H10, e.g., 20 wt
  - **Characterization:** VNA, appropriate test fixture (waveguide, coaxial line), calibration kit. Ensure good contact between sample and fixture.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot SE_T, SE_R (=-RL), SE_A (=-AL) in dB vs. frequency across the tested range. Report average or minimum SE_T in the X-band. Validate if SE_T consistently exceeds 10×10^0 dB. Identify dominant shielding mechanism (reflection vs. absorption).

- **H14: Basic Corrosion Resistance (Salt Spray)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites show no significant visual degradation (pitting, swelling, discoloration) or mass change ($<1 \times 10^0$ %) after exposure to standard salt spray conditions (e.g., 5% NaCl fog,

35×10^0 °C) for a defined period (e.g., 100 hours) ([38]).

- **Rationale:** Corrosion resistance is vital for materials used in marine environments, coastal infrastructure, or general outdoor applications. Polymer composites are generally more resistant than metals, but moisture ingress and filler interactions can still cause degradation.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat panel samples (e.g., 75×10^0 mm x 150×10^0 mm) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10-20 wt
- **Characterization:** Salt spray chamber, Analytical balance, Camera/Microscope. Optionally, measure mechanical properties before and after exposure.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Qualitative description of visual changes (blistering, pitting, color change, swelling) compared to control. Percentage mass change vs. exposure time. Validate if visual degradation is minimal and mass change remains < 1

- **H15: Basic UV Resistance**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites exhibit less surface degradation (e.g., color change - Delta E, chalking) compared to the neat matrix after exposure to a defined dose of UV radiation in an accelerated weathering chamber (e.g., QUV tester, [39], specific cycle).
  - **Rationale:** UV radiation degrades polymers, causing discoloration, embrittlement, and surface cracking, critical for outdoor and aerospace applications. Carbon fillers can act as UV absorbers, potentially protecting the matrix.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat panel samples of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 5-10 wt
  - **Characterization:** Accelerated weathering chamber (QUV or Xenon Arc), Colorimeter/Spectrophotometer, Gloss meter (optional), Microscope.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate total color change (Delta E 2000 or ΔE^*_{ab}) vs. exposure time. Report qualitative observations (chalking, cracking). Compare Delta E values and visual degradation of composite vs. neat matrix. Validate if composite shows significantly lower Delta E and less visual degradation.

- **H21: Specific Energy Absorption (SEA) Enhancement**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites exhibit a higher Specific Energy Absorption (SEA = Impact Energy Absorbed / Areal Density) compared to the neat matrix material during standardized impact testing (Charpy/Izod).
  - **Rationale:** SEA normalizes energy absorption by weight, providing a key metric for lightweight armor and crashworthiness applications, where maximizing energy absorption per unit mass is critical.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Perform standard notched impact tests (Charpy/Izod, as per H8) on neat matrix and composite samples (e.g., 10 wt
  - **Characterization:** Impact tester, Analytical balance, Calipers/Micrometer.

- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean SEA (J m^2/kg or kJ m^2/kg) ± standard deviation for composite and neat matrix. Validate if the composite SEA is statistically significantly higher than the matrix SEA. Report percentage increase in SEA.

- **H22: Penetration Resistance (Simplified Test)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composite panels (e.g., 5×10^0 mm thick, 20
  - **Rationale:** Provides a laboratory-scale indication of resistance to localized penetration (relevant to fragments, stabbing, tool impact) without requiring full ballistic testing. Tests combined effect of hardness, strength, and toughness under indentation.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat panels (e.g., 50×10^0 mm x 50×10^0 mm x 5×10^0 mm) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 20 wt
  - **Characterization:** UTM or Instrumented Drop Tower with load cell and displacement sensor. Standardized indenter. Fixture. Depth measurement tool (LVDT, profilometer, microscope).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Compare load-displacement curves. Report maximum penetration depth (mm) at a specific load/energy, OR load/energy required for full perforation. Validate if composite shows statistically significant reduction in penetration depth or increase in perforation resistance compared to neat matrix.

- **H23: Enhanced Shear Strength**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites exhibit significantly higher interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) or short-beam shear (SBS) strength compared to the neat matrix (where applicable, primarily for layered/laminated structures, but SBS tests bulk shear response too).
  - **Rationale:** Shear strength is critical for resisting delamination under bending or impact, and for load transfer in bolted/riveted joints. Nanofillers can potentially enhance shear properties by improving matrix strength or interface characteristics.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare rectangular bar specimens of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10-20 wt
  - **Characterization:** UTM with 3-point bend fixture (appropriate span). Calipers/Micrometer. Microscope (to examine failure mode).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean SBS strength (MPa) ± standard deviation. Validate if composite strength is statistically significantly higher than neat matrix strength (if measurable for neat matrix). Report percentage increase. Note failure modes observed (interlaminar shear, flexural failure, indentation).

- **H24: Improved Fatigue Life**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites demonstrate a longer fatigue life (number of cycles to failure, Nf)

compared to the neat matrix when subjected to cyclic loading (e.g., tension-tension or flexural) at a defined stress level (e.g., 50-70

- **Rationale:** Fatigue resistance is crucial for components subjected to repeated loading cycles (vehicles, aircraft structures, rotating machinery). Nanofillers can potentially impede crack initiation and propagation, extending life.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare fatigue test specimens (dog-bones for tension [43], bars for flexure [42]) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 5-10 wt
- **Characterization:** Servo-hydraulic or electrodynamic fatigue testing machine with appropriate grips/fixtures. Environmental chamber if testing at non-ambient temperature. Strain gauges (optional, monitor stiffness degradation).
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean or median Nf ± standard deviation (or confidence interval) at the tested stress level(s). Compare Nf for composite vs. neat matrix using appropriate statistical methods (e.g., Weibull analysis, t-test on log(Nf)). Validate if composite shows statistically significant increase in fatigue life. Report S-N curve parameters if applicable.

- **H25: Low Water Absorption**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites exhibit low water absorption (target $< 1 \times 10^0$ % weight gain by saturation) after prolonged immersion in distilled water at room temperature ([44]).
  - **Rationale:** Water absorption can degrade mechanical properties, dimensional stability, and electrical properties of polymer composites, especially bio-based ones. Assessing water uptake is vital for durability in humid or wet environments. HDCNS might affect absorption by altering matrix free volume or providing barrier effects.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare disc or square samples (e.g., 50×10^0 mm diameter/side, 3×10^0 mm thick) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 5, 10, 20 wt
  - **Characterization:** Analytical balance, Constant temperature water bath/container, Drying oven. Calipers (monitor dimensional changes - swelling).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate percentage weight gain at time t: %WG(t) = [(W_wet(t) - W_dry) / W_dry] * 100. Plot %WG vs. square root of time (often linear initially). Report %WG at saturation (M_sat) or after a long defined period (e.g., 30 days). Validate if M_sat (or value at end point) is below the 1

- **H26: Chemical Resistance (Specific Fluids)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites show minimal degradation (e.g., $< 2 \times 10^0$ % change in mass or key mechanical property like flexural strength) after immersion in relevant military/industrial fluids (specify fluids tested, e.g., hydraulic oil, cleaning solvent, fuel simulant) for a defined period (e.g., 7 days) at a specified temperature ([45]).

– **Rationale:** Materials in operational environments are exposed to various chemicals (fuels, lubricants, solvents, cleaning agents) that can cause swelling, plasticization, or chemical attack, degrading performance. Assessing resistance is crucial.

– **Proposed Experiment:** Select relevant test fluids based on target applications. Prepare samples (e.g., tensile bars H6, flexural bars [48]) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10 wt

– **Characterization:** Analytical balance, Containers for immersion, Constant temperature oven/bath, UTM. Visual inspection for swelling, discoloration, surface changes. FTIR (optional, check for chemical changes).

– **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate percentage change in mass and percentage change in the chosen mechanical property after exposure. Report visual observations. Validate if changes remain below the specified threshold (e.g., 2

- **H28: Tailored Thermal Conductivity (Revisited)**

  – **Statement:** HDCNS composites can be formulated (by varying HDCNS loading, type, or alignment) to achieve either significantly increased OR decreased thermal conductivity compared to the base matrix, demonstrating potential for thermal signature management (heat spreading OR insulation).

  – **Rationale:** This extends H12 by exploring the *range* of achievable thermal conductivity, crucial for thermal management design. High conductivity spreads heat (reducing hot spots), low conductivity insulates (masking heat sources). HDCNS alignment or type could influence this.

  – **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare composite samples (as for H12) with a wider range of HDCNS loadings (e.g., 1

  – **Characterization:** LFA or TPS apparatus, DSC, Density measurement. SEM/XRD (to assess alignment if attempted).

  – **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot thermal conductivity (k) vs. HDCNS loading/type/alignment factor. Determine the maximum and minimum achievable k values relative to the neat matrix. Demonstrate a statistically significant range of tunable conductivity.

- **H30: Specific Strength Benchmark vs. Aluminum**

  – **Statement:** Optimized HDCNS composites can achieve specific tensile strength (UTS/density) exceeding that of common high-strength aluminum alloys (target: $> 200 \times 10^0$ MPa$/(\mathrm{g/c^3m})$).

  – **Rationale:** Directly compares the strength-to-weight ratio against a primary lightweight structural metal, indicating potential for significant weight savings in structural applications if the benchmark is met.

  – **Proposed Experiment:** Based on results from H6/H7, prepare tensile specimens ([28]) using the formulation (HDCNS type, loading, matrix, processing) that yielded the highest UTS. Accurately measure UTS using UTM with extensometer. Accurately measure bulk density (H5) of the same batch of samples. Calculate specific strength. Test N=5+ replicates.

- **Characterization:** UTM, Extensometer, Density measurement setup.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean Specific Strength ($\mathrm{MPa/(g/c^3m)}$ or $\mathrm{kN\,m/kg}$) ± standard deviation. Compare the mean value against the benchmark for high-strength aluminum alloys (e.g., Al 7075-T6 $200 \times 10^{0}\,\mathrm{MPa/(g/c^3m)}$ to $210 \times 10^{0}\,\mathrm{MPa/(g/c^3m)}$). Validate if the composite meets or exceeds the target.

• **H31: Specific Modulus Benchmark vs. Aluminum**

- **Statement:** Optimized HDCNS composites can achieve specific tensile modulus (E/density) comparable to or exceeding that of aluminum alloys (target: $> 25 \times 10^{0}\,\mathrm{GPa/(g/c^3m)}$).
- **Rationale:** Compares stiffness-to-weight ratio against aluminum, relevant for stiffness-critical applications where deflection under load must be minimized at low weight.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Using the same optimized samples as H30, calculate specific modulus from the measured Young's Modulus (E from tensile test H6/H7) and measured bulk density (H5).
- **Characterization:** UTM, Extensometer, Density measurement setup.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean Specific Modulus ($\mathrm{GPa/(g/c^3m)}$ or $\mathrm{MN\,m/kg}$) ± standard deviation. Compare the mean value against the benchmark for aluminum alloys (e.g., Al alloys $26 \times 10^{0}\,\mathrm{GPa/(g/c^3m)}$ to $27 \times 10^{0}\,\mathrm{GPa/(g/c^3m)}$). Validate if the composite meets or exceeds the target.

• **H32: Moldability/Formability**

- **Statement:** HDCNS composite prepregs or formulations (e.g., Bulk Molding Compound - BMC, Sheet Molding Compound - SMC variants) demonstrate the ability to be formed into basic 3D shapes (e.g., angles, curves, ribs) using standard composite processing techniques (e.g., compression molding, vacuum bagging) while maintaining structural integrity and achieving relatively low void content (target <5%).
- **Rationale:** Tests the practical processability of the material beyond simple flat panels. Essential for manufacturing real-world components with complex geometries. Low void content is critical for optimal mechanical performance.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Develop a processable formulation (e.g., control viscosity of resin, potentially create pre-impregnated sheets or a moldable dough/BMC). Use a heated press with appropriate molds (e.g., L-bracket, curved panel, ribbed panel) to form parts using defined temperature, pressure, and time cycles. Evaluate the quality of the formed parts.
- **Characterization:** Visual inspection (surface finish, consolidation in corners/radii, defects). Optical microscopy of polished cross-sections (to look for voids, especially in thick sections or corners). Density measurement combined with theoretical density calculation based on constituent densities and weight fractions to estimate void content (e.g., per [46]).
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Successful formation of the target shape without major defects (cracks,

delamination, dry spots). Qualitative assessment of consolidation quality. Quantitative void content percentage. Validate if void content is below the 5

- **H33: Thermal Cycling Resistance**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites maintain >90
  - **Rationale:** Tests durability under temperature fluctuations common in aerospace, automotive, and outdoor applications. Mismatched thermal expansion between filler and matrix can induce stresses and micro-damage over repeated cycles, degrading properties.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flexural test specimens ([48]) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10 wt
  - **Characterization:** Environmental cycling chamber, UTM with 3-point bend fixture. Microscope (optional, examine surface/edges for microcracks after cycling).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate the percentage of initial flexural strength (and modulus) retained after cycling. Report mean retention ± standard deviation. Validate if retention is statistically significantly above 90

- **H35: Performance vs. Graphene Composites**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites (in standard epoxy) achieve a comparable percentage enhancement (target >50-70%) in key properties (tensile modulus, electrical conductivity) over the neat matrix, relative to typical values reported for well-dispersed graphene composites at similar loadings (e.g., 1-5 wt%).
  - **Rationale:** Directly benchmarks HDCNS against the most well-known carbon nanosheet material in a standard matrix, assessing its viability as a potentially more sustainable/cost-effective alternative. Focuses on relative enhancement to account for matrix differences in literature.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** (a) Prepare standard epoxy (e.g., DGEBA/amine) and HDCNS/epoxy composites at low-moderate loadings (e.g., 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5 wt%) using best practice dispersion (verify via H4). (b) Perform tensile tests (H7) to determine modulus enhancement factor (E_{comp}/E_{matrix}). (c) Measure electrical conductivity (similar to H10) to determine conductivity enhancement or percolation threshold. (d) Conduct a systematic literature search (Scopus, Web of Science) for peer-reviewed studies on *graphene* (specify type: e.g., GNP, rGO, few-layer graphene) composites using *similar epoxy matrices* and *similar weight percentages*. Extract reported modulus enhancement factors and conductivity values/percolation thresholds. Account for variability in literature data (average, range).
  - **Characterization:** UTM, Extensometer, Conductivity setup (4-probe preferred), SEM (dispersion check), Literature search databases.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** For modulus: Calculate Ratio = ($E_{HDCNS\_comp}/E_{matrix}$ - 1) / ($E_{Graphene\_comp}/E_{matrix}$ - 1) using literature average for graphene at each loading. For conductivity: Compare percolation thresholds or ratio of conductivities achieved at a specific loading above

percolation. Validate if the calculated ratios consistently meet or exceed the 0.5-0.7 target range across the tested loadings. Acknowledge limitations due to variability in literature graphene types and dispersion quality.

- **H36: Water Vapor Barrier Improvement**
  - **Statement:** Incorporating HDCNS (e.g., 5×10^0 to 10×10^0 wt%) into a polymer matrix (e.g., the hemp-derived matrix or PLA) significantly reduces the Water Vapor Transmission Rate (WVTR) compared to the neat matrix, indicating improved barrier properties.
  - **Rationale:** Reducing water vapor permeation is critical for packaging (food shelf-life), protective coatings, and electronic enclosures. The high aspect ratio of nanosheets can create a more tortuous path for diffusing water molecules, improving barrier performance.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare thin, uniform film samples (e.g., 50×10^0 µm to 200×10^0 µm thick) of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 5, 10 wt
  - **Characterization:** WVTR measurement apparatus (instrumental or controlled humidity chamber with cups/balance), Film thickness gauge (micrometer or optical). SEM of cross-section (optional, to check dispersion/orientation).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean WVTR value (typically $\mathrm{g/(m^2\,d)}$) ± standard deviation, normalized by thickness if comparing different thicknesses. Calculate percentage reduction in WVTR for composite compared to neat matrix. Validate if the reduction is statistically significant. Compare performance to known barrier materials or literature values for other nanocomposites.

- **H37: Gas Barrier Improvement (Oxygen)**
  - **Statement:** Incorporating HDCNS (e.g., 5×10^0 to 10×10^0 wt%) into a polymer matrix significantly reduces the Oxygen Transmission Rate (OTR) compared to the neat matrix.
  - **Rationale:** Similar to WVTR, reducing oxygen permeation is vital for food/beverage packaging, medical packaging, and potentially for controlled atmosphere environments (e.g., space habitats - though total leak rate is complex).
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare thin, uniform film samples (as for H36) of neat matrix and composite. Measure OTR using a coulometric sensor instrument ([51]) or potentially other sensor types. Control temperature, relative humidity, and oxygen partial pressure gradient (typically 100% O2 on one side, Nitrogen carrier gas on the other). Measure film thickness. Test N=3+ replicates.
  - **Characterization:** OTR measurement instrument (e.g., MOCON OX-TRAN), Film thickness gauge.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean OTR value (typically $\mathrm{cm^3/(m^2\,d\,atm)}$) ± standard deviation, normalized by thickness. Calculate percentage reduction in OTR for composite compared to neat matrix. Validate if the reduction is statistically significant.

- **H38: Surface Wettability Modification**

- **Statement:** The incorporation of HDCNS into the hemp-derived matrix (or standard matrix) measurably alters the surface wettability, as quantified by the static water contact angle.
- **Rationale:** Surface wettability (hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity) affects adhesion, printability, self-cleaning properties, and interactions with biological systems. Nanofillers, especially if near the surface, can significantly alter surface energy and topography. The direction of change depends on the filler/matrix chemistry.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare smooth, flat, clean samples of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 5 wt
- **Characterization:** Contact Angle Goniometer with camera and image analysis software. Ensure consistent droplet volume and measurement time. Surface roughness measurement (AFM or profilometer) can help interpret results.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean static water contact angle (degrees) ± standard deviation. Compare composite angle to neat matrix angle. Validate if the difference is statistically significant. Classify surface as hydrophobic (>90°), hydrophilic (<90°), or superhydrophobic (>150°).

• **H42: Low Temperature Curability**

- **Statement:** The hemp oil epoxy / hemp lignin resin matrix system (EHSO/MHL) can be formulated (e.g., by adjusting stoichiometry, catalyst type/amount, MHL modification) to achieve full cure and optimal properties (e.g., >95% of max achievable Tg and mechanical strength) at relatively low temperatures (target: $< 120 \times 10^0$ °C).
- **Rationale:** Lower cure temperatures reduce energy consumption during manufacturing, enable use with temperature-sensitive components or substrates, and potentially reduce residual stresses. This tests if the novel bio-matrix can be effectively cured without high heat input.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Select promising EHSO/MHL formulations from H1. Prepare batches and cure them isothermally at different temperatures (e.g., 80, 100, 120, 140, 160×10^0 °C) for sufficient time (determined by DSC scans or rheometry). After cure, measure the Tg using DSC (look for maximum Tg value indicating full cure) and a key mechanical property like flexural strength ([48]) or tensile modulus (H6).
- **Characterization:** DSC (for Tg and monitoring cure completeness), UTM (for mechanical property). Controlled temperature ovens. Rheometer (optional, to study cure kinetics).
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot Tg and the chosen mechanical property vs. cure temperature. Identify the minimum cure temperature required to reach a plateau (e.g., >95

• **H49: Oxidation Resistance (Elevated Temp)**

- **Statement:** HDCNS composites exhibit significantly less mass loss due to oxidation compared to the neat polymer matrix (hemp-derived or standard epoxy/PLA) when held at a moderately elevated temperature (e.g., 150×10^0 °C to 200×10^0 °C) in an air atmosphere for an extended period (e.g., 24-100 hours).

- **Rationale:** Assesses long-term stability in air at temperatures relevant to some electronic, automotive under-hood, or industrial applications, but below rapid decomposition. Oxidation degrades polymers. HDCNS might act as a radical scavenger or barrier.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare samples (5×10^0 mg to 10×10^0 mg) of dried neat matrix and composite (e.g., 10 wt
- **Characterization:** TGA instrument capable of long isothermal holds in an oxidative atmosphere.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot percentage mass loss vs. time at the isothermal temperature. Report total mass loss after the defined period. Compare mass loss and rate of mass loss (slope) for composite vs. neat matrix. Validate if composite shows statistically significantly lower mass loss.

- **H50: Cryogenic Performance Retention**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites retain or even slightly enhance key mechanical properties (e.g., tensile strength, impact toughness) when tested at cryogenic temperatures (e.g., 77 K / -196×10^0 °C) compared to their room temperature performance.
  - **Rationale:** Essential for materials used in space, superconducting magnet structures, or other cryogenic applications. Polymers typically become much more brittle at low temperatures; this tests if HDCNS mitigates embrittlement or if the composite remains functional.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare tensile (H6) and impact (H8) specimens of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 5-10 wt
  - **Characterization:** UTM and/or Impact Tester equipped with cryogenic chamber/cooling system. Thermocouples for temperature monitoring.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean UTS, Modulus, Elongation at Break, and Impact Energy at 77×10^0 K and Room Temperature (RT). Calculate the ratio Property@77K / Property@RT for each metric. Validate if strength/modulus/impact energy are retained (ratio ≥ 1) or if reduction is minimal. Pay attention to changes in ductility (elongation at break). Compare composite vs. neat matrix behavior at cryogenic temperatures.

- **H52: Creep Resistance Improvement**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites exhibit significantly reduced creep strain (time-dependent deformation under sustained load) compared to the neat polymer matrix when subjected to a constant stress level (e.g., 25
  - **Rationale:** Creep resistance is important for structural components under constant load (e.g., bolts, pressure vessels, building elements), especially at elevated temperatures where polymers soften. Nanofillers can hinder polymer chain mobility, potentially reducing creep.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare tensile or flexural specimens of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 5-10 wt

– **Characterization:** Creep testing rig or adapted UTM, accurate strain measurement system (extensometer, DIC), environmental chamber (if needed).

– **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot creep strain vs. time (or log time). Calculate creep compliance (Strain(t) / Constant Stress) or creep modulus (Constant Stress / Strain(t)). Compare total creep strain or creep rate (slope of strain vs. log time in secondary creep) for composite vs. neat matrix after a defined time. Validate if composite shows statistically significantly lower creep strain/rate.

- **H53: Performance vs. Conventional Bio-Composites**

  – **Statement:** HDCNS composites (e.g., 10×10^0 to 20×10^0 wt

  – **Rationale:** Positions HDCNS composites against established 'green' composite alternatives, assessing if the nanomaterial reinforcement offers a significant performance advantage (per unit weight) over traditional natural fibers.

  – **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare optimized HDCNS composite samples (tensile specimens, H6/H7). Obtain or prepare well-characterized conventional bio-composite samples using standard techniques (e.g., vacuum infusion or compression molding of woven flax/epoxy or non-woven hemp/PLA) with known fiber weight/volume fraction (target 30×10^0 to 50×10^0 wt

  – **Characterization:** UTM, Extensometer, Density measurement setup. Fiber volume fraction determination for conventional composites (e.g., matrix digestion [47]).

  – **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate Specific Strength (UTS/density) and Specific Modulus (E/density) for both material types. Compare mean values. Validate if HDCNS composites show statistically significantly higher specific properties than the conventional bio-composites tested.

- **H54: Inherent Flame Retardancy Contribution**

  – **Statement:** The incorporation of HDCNS (e.g., 10×10^0 to 20×10^0 wt

  – **Rationale:** Fire safety is critical in construction, transportation, electronics. Carbon materials, especially graphitic ones, can promote char formation during burning. This char layer acts as a thermal barrier and restricts the flow of flammable volatiles and oxygen, potentially improving fire performance.

  – **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare samples suitable for specific fire tests: e.g., bars for LOI ([53]) and UL 94, plaques for Cone Calorimeter ([57]). Test neat matrix and composite samples (e.g., 10, 20 wt

  – **Characterization:** LOI apparatus, UL 94 test chamber, Cone Calorimeter. Analysis of char residue (SEM, Raman) can provide insight into mechanism.

  – **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean LOI value (

Tier 3: Advanced / Exploratory

(Probes specific applications or concepts; often requires specialized capabilities.)

- **H17: Detectable Electron Spin Resonance (Qubit Precursor)**
  - **Statement:** Purified HDCNS powder exhibits a detectable Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) signal at room and/or cryogenic temperatures, indicating the presence of unpaired electron spins.
  - **Rationale:** Unpaired electron spins are the fundamental basis for solid-state spin qubits. Detecting an EPR signal is the first prerequisite for investigating HDCNS potential in quantum applications. Signal characteristics provide initial clues about the spin environment.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Obtain or prepare purified HDCNS powder (minimal paramagnetic impurities desired). Pack sample into standard EPR tube (e.g., quartz). Perform continuous-wave (CW) EPR spectroscopy using a standard spectrometer (typically X-band, ~9.5×10^{0} GHz). Run scans at room temperature (~300×10^{0} K) and potentially at cryogenic temperatures (e.g., 77×10^{0} K using liquid nitrogen dewar, or lower temps using helium cryostat if available). Record signal intensity vs. magnetic field.
  - **Characterization:** CW-EPR Spectrometer, cryogenic accessories (optional), sample tubes. Magnetic field calibration (e.g., using DPPH standard).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Detection of an EPR signal significantly above noise level. Report presence/absence of signal at tested temperatures. If detected, determine g-factor(s) (from resonant field position) and peak-to-peak or integral linewidth(s). Compare signal intensity at different temperatures. *(Specialized equipment required).*

- **H18: Spin Coherence Time (Qubit Exploratory)**
  - **Statement:** The EPR signal detected in HDCNS (H17) exhibits measurable spin coherence times (phase memory time T2 or inhomogeneous dephasing time T2*) at cryogenic temperatures, potentially exceeding microseconds.
  - **Rationale:** Long coherence times are essential for performing quantum operations. T2 measures how long a quantum superposition state can be maintained. Microsecond-scale coherence is a typical minimum benchmark for promising qubit candidates.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Using the HDCNS sample from H17, perform pulsed EPR measurements at low temperatures (e.g., $<10 \times 10^{0}$ K using a helium cryostat). Use standard pulse sequences: e.g., Hahn echo sequence ($\pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau - echo$) to measure T2 by varying τ, or Ramsey fringe experiment ($\pi/2 - \tau - \pi/2$) to potentially infer T2*. Optimize pulse lengths and power.
  - **Characterization:** Pulsed EPR Spectrometer with arbitrary waveform generator, low-temperature cryostat, microwave amplifier. Data analysis software to fit echo decay curves.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report measured T2 value (typically from exponential decay fit $e^{-2\tau/T2}$) and/or T2* value at the measurement temperature. Validate if coherence times exceed 1×10^{0} µs. Compare with known solid-state spin qubit systems. *(Highly specialized equipment and expertise required).*

- **H19: Basic Radiation Attenuation (Beta/Gamma)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites demonstrate measurable attenuation (>10
  - **Rationale:** Tests fundamental interaction with common types of ionizing radiation relevant to space shielding, nuclear environments, or medical shielding, although not fully representative of complex GCR environment.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat panel samples of neat matrix and composite (e.g., 20 wt
  - **Characterization:** Calibrated radiation sources, Detectors (GM counter, Scintillator+MCA), Lead shielding/collimators as needed, Sample holder, Calipers/Micrometer.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report percentage attenuation for each source/material/thickness. Validate if composite shows statistically significantly higher attenuation than neat matrix and if it meets the >10

- **H20: Acoustic Damping Potential**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites exhibit increased acoustic/vibrational damping properties (e.g., higher loss factor, tan delta) compared to the neat matrix, particularly near the composite's glass transition temperature (Tg) or other relaxation peaks.
  - **Rationale:** Enhanced damping is desirable for reducing noise and vibration transmission in automotive, aerospace, and building applications. Nanofillers can increase damping through mechanisms like interfacial friction and restricted polymer chain mobility.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare rectangular bar specimens suitable for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA). Perform DMA scans in a suitable mode (e.g., single/dual cantilever, 3-point bend) over a temperature range encompassing the matrix Tg (e.g., -50×10^0 °C to 150×10^0 °C) at one or more frequencies (e.g., 1, 10 Hz). Measure storage modulus (E'), loss modulus (E"), and calculate loss factor (tan δ = E"/E'). Compare tan δ peak height, width, and temperature for composite (e.g., 5 wt
  - **Characterization:** DMA instrument with appropriate clamping fixture. Impedance tube setup (for acoustic absorption).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot tan δ vs. temperature. Report peak tan δ value, temperature at peak tan δ (often close to Tg), and potentially peak width (indicator of damping range). Validate if composite shows statistically significantly higher peak tan δ than neat matrix. Report sound absorption coefficient vs. frequency if impedance tube used.

- **H27: Radar Absorption/Reflection (Basic)**
  - **Statement:** HDCNS composites (e.g., 20 wt
  - **Rationale:** Tests basic potential for radar signature reduction (stealth). Absorption converts EM energy to heat; low reflection means less signal returns to the radar source. Requires sufficient conductivity (H10) and impedance matching.

- **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat panel samples (fit fixture, 1×10^0 mm to 3×10^0 mm thick) of conductive composite (e.g., 20 wt
- **Characterization:** VNA, Waveguide/coaxial fixture, Calibration kit. Sample preparation with parallel faces is critical.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Plot RL and Absorption (A, often expressed as $10\log_{10}(1-A)$ for SE_A) in dB vs. frequency. Validate if absorption exceeds 5×10^0 dB OR if RL exceeds 10×10^0 dB (i.e., reflected signal is below -10 dB) in the target frequency band (e.g., X-band average). *(Requires RF measurement expertise/equipment).*

- **H29: Reduced Infrared Emissivity**
  - **Statement:** The surface of HDCNS composites exhibits a lower infrared (IR) emissivity value (target e.g., < 0.8) in the relevant thermal imaging bands (e.g., 8×10^0 µm to 14×10^0 µm) compared to the neat matrix or a standard high-emissivity coating (e.g., black paint 0.95) ([52]).
  - **Rationale:** Lower emissivity reduces heat radiated from a surface at a given temperature, potentially helping to mask thermal signatures (relevant for stealth) or improve thermal insulation performance. Conductive fillers can sometimes lower emissivity.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare flat samples with a smooth surface finish (neat matrix and composite, e.g., 10-20 wt
  - **Characterization:** Calibrated IR camera or Emissometer, Temperature-controlled stage, High-emissivity reference surface. Ensure surfaces are clean and viewing angle/distance are controlled.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report calculated mean emissivity value (dimensionless, 0 to 1) ± standard deviation for composite and neat matrix/reference. Validate if composite emissivity is statistically significantly lower than the matrix and below the target threshold (< 0.8).

- **H34: HDCNS from Simulated Martian Hemp**
  - **Statement:** Carbon nanosheets with properties comparable (SSA > 1000×10^0 m^2/g, Raman D/G ratio < 1.5) to Earth-derived HDCNS can be synthesized via pyrolysis/activation from hemp biomass grown under simulated Martian habitat conditions.
  - **Rationale:** Critical ISRU feasibility check. Tests if biomass grown with Martian resources (simulated regolith nutrients, controlled atmosphere) yields suitable precursor material for producing functional HDCNS needed for Diamond Composites on Mars.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Requires collaboration with astrobotany/controlled environment agriculture experts. Grow industrial hemp using Martian regolith simulant (e.g., MGS-1 or JSC MARS-1A) as nutrient source substrate, under controlled atmospheric conditions (pressure, CO2 level mimicking potential habitat), lighting (LED spectrum), and water recycling. Harvest biomass (bast fibers). Pyrolyze and activate the biomass using optimized parameters based on Earth hemp. Characterize the resulting carbon material.

- **Characterization:** BET surface area analysis, Raman spectroscopy, SEM (morphology). Elemental analysis (CHN) of biomass and carbon. ICP-MS (optional, check for elemental uptake from simulant). Compare results to HDCNS produced from Earth-grown hemp baseline.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report mean SSA, D/G ratio, and qualitative morphology for Mars-simulant HDCNS. Validate if SSA > 1000×10^0 m^2/g and D/G ratio < 1.5 are achieved. Compare overall properties to Earth-HDCNS baseline. *(Requires specialized plant growth facilities and collaboration).*

- **H39: Non-Cytotoxicity Screen (Bio-Safety)**
  - **Statement:** Extracts from cured Diamond Composites (or HDCNS-epoxy composites) do not exhibit significant cytotoxicity towards a standard fibroblast cell line (e.g., L929) in vitro, according to [58] standards.
  - **Rationale:** Foundational biocompatibility test required before considering any material for applications involving human contact, especially medical devices. Assesses if leachable substances from the material kill cells.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare extracts of the cured composite material (e.g., Diamond Composite with 5
  - **Characterization:** Cell culture laboratory (BSL-1 or BSL-2), Incubator, Microscope, Plate reader (for colorimetric/fluorometric assays) or Flow cytometer. Materials extraction setup.
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Calculate percentage cell viability relative to the negative control for each extract concentration. According to [58], a reduction of cell viability by more than 30

- **H41: Low Ecotoxicity of Leachate**
  - **Statement:** Leachate generated from Diamond Composites decomposing under simulated environmental conditions (e.g., soil contact, water immersion) shows low toxicity (meets defined criteria) to relevant indicator organisms (e.g., daphnia, algae, earthworms) in standardized ecotoxicity tests.
  - **Rationale:** Complements biodegradability (H16) by assessing if the breakdown products or unreacted residuals leaching from the material are harmful to ecosystems. Important for validating environmental safety claims.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare leachate from the composite material under conditions simulating environmental exposure (e.g., standardized soil burial and extraction, or prolonged water immersion with agitation). Use this leachate (at various dilutions) as the test medium in standardized acute or chronic ecotoxicity tests following OECD guidelines: e.g., Daphnia sp. immobilization ([62]), Algal growth inhibition ([61]), Earthworm acute toxicity ([63]). Include appropriate controls.
  - **Characterization:** Ecotoxicology laboratory setup for culturing and testing indicator organisms. Analytical chemistry (optional, to characterize leachate composition).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Determine key toxicity endpoints: e.g., EC50 (concentration causing 50

- **H43: Hemp Byproduct Filler Incorporation**
  - **Statement:** Non-nanosheet hemp byproducts (ground hurd or short bast fibers) can be incorporated as low-cost fillers (target up to 30 wt
  - **Rationale:** Tests the feasibility of using the Diamond Composite matrix as a binder for abundant, low-cost agricultural co-products, enhancing resource utilization and potentially lowering cost, creating 'eco-composites'.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare baseline Diamond Composite matrix (fixed HDCNS/Oil/Lignin ratio). Prepare hemp hurd filler (grind and sieve to defined particle size range, e.g., $<1 \times 10^0$ mm) and/or short hemp fibers (chopped to e.g., 1×10^0 mm to 5×10^0 mm length). Dry fillers thoroughly. Incorporate fillers into matrix at increasing loadings (e.g., 10, 20, 30 wt
  - **Characterization:** Mixer, Rheometer (optional), Oven/Press for curing, UTM, Impact Tester, SEM (examine filler particle/fiber dispersion and interface with matrix on fracture surfaces).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Qualitative assessment of processability (e.g., "mixable," "too viscous," "poor wetting"). Report mean UTS and Impact Energy vs. filler loading. Calculate percentage change relative to the unfilled baseline Diamond Composite. Validate if incorporation is feasible and if property reduction remains below the 50

- **H44: Processed Waste Filler Incorporation (Proof-of-Concept)**
  - **Statement:** Pre-processed, cleaned, and size-reduced common waste materials (e.g., specific types of ground plastic like PET, or cellulose derived from waste paper/cardboard) can be incorporated as fillers (e.g., up to 10×10^0 to 20×10^0 wt
  - **Rationale:** Explores the radical idea of using the composite matrix as a binder for upcycled waste, potentially sequestering problematic materials. This is a proof-of-concept for compatibility, not high performance initially.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Select specific waste streams (e.g., cleaned, ground PET bottles; processed waste cellulose fiber). Characterize the filler (size distribution, basic composition via FTIR/TGA). Incorporate low-to-moderate percentages (e.g., 5, 10, 20 wt
  - **Characterization:** Filler characterization (Microscopy, FTIR, TGA). Mixing equipment. Curing setup. SEM of composite cross-section/fracture surface (critical for interface assessment). UTM (flexural test).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Confirmation of successful curing into a solid, handleable composite. Qualitative assessment of filler-matrix interface via SEM (wetting, debonding, voids). Basic flexural strength/modulus compared to unfilled baseline (significant reduction expected, focus is on integrity).

- **H45: 'Pollution Bubble' Simulant Incorporation**
  - **Statement:** Micro-/Nano-particulate simulants for encapsulated pollutants (e.g., surface-treated porous silica spheres, or inert carbon black particles) can be physically dispersed (at 1×10^0 to 5×10^0 wt

- **Rationale:** Tests the basic physical feasibility of incorporating representative particle types (simulating encapsulated pollutants) into the matrix. Does not test actual pollution capture, only dispersion compatibility.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Select a stable, non-reactive micro/nano-particulate simulant (e.g., commercially available porous silica spheres, specify size/porosity; or well-characterized carbon black, specify type/size). Surface treat simulants if needed to improve compatibility with the organic matrix (e.g., silane treatment for silica). Attempt to disperse low percentages (e.g., 1, 3, 5 wt
- **Characterization:** Simulant characterization (size, surface area). Dispersion techniques. SEM/TEM (critical for visualizing dispersion of nanoparticles). Basic mechanical tests (e.g., flexural H44).
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Confirmation of successful curing into a solid composite. Qualitative/quantitative assessment of simulant dispersion state via microscopy (uniformity, agglomeration). Report effect (increase/decrease) on basic mechanical properties compared to baseline.

- **H46: ISRU-Simulated Matrix Precursor Quality**
  - **Statement:** Hemp oil epoxy (EHSO) and modified hemp lignin (MHL) precursors, synthesized using chemistries and simulated process constraints representative of potential Martian ISRU chemical plants (e.g., limited water, specific catalysts, defined energy budget), meet the quality specifications required for successful Diamond Composite matrix formation.
  - **Rationale:** Addresses the practical feasibility of producing the *matrix* components on Mars, considering resource limitations and likely process differences compared to Earth-based synthesis. Focuses on chemical product quality.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Requires collaboration with process chemists/engineers. Design lab-scale synthesis routes for EHSO and MHL modification mimicking potential Mars constraints: e.g., use catalysts derivable from regolith; minimize water usage/maximize recycling; use solvents producible via ISRU (e.g., from atmospheric CO2/H2O); estimate energy inputs. Perform synthesis. Characterize the resulting EHSO (EEW, viscosity) and MHL (functional group content, molecular weight distribution if possible).
  - **Characterization:** Chemical synthesis setup. Analytical techniques: Titration (EEW), FTIR/NMR (functional groups, purity), GPC (for MHL MWD), Rheometry (viscosity). Process modeling (optional, for energy/mass balance).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Compare key chemical/physical properties (EEW, functional group content, viscosity) of ISRU-simulated precursors against target specifications derived from successful Earth-based synthesis (H1). Validate if precursors meet minimum quality needed for subsequent matrix curing. *(Requires process chemistry expertise/simulation).*

- **H47: Full ISRU-Simulated Diamond Composite Feasibility**
  - **Statement:** Diamond Composites formulated using HDCNS derived from simulated Mars-grown hemp

(per H34 results) and matrix precursors synthesized under simulated Martian ISRU constraints (per H46 results) can be successfully mixed and cured into solid materials exhibiting basic structural integrity and measurable mechanical properties (target: flexural strength > 50×10^0 MPa).

- **Rationale:** Integrates the key ISRU-derived material streams (filler + matrix) to test end-to-end material synthesis feasibility under Mars conditions proxy. Validates if components produced under constraints can still form a functional composite.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Obtain/produce the simulant-derived HDCNS (from H34 work) and matrix precursors (from H46 work). Formulate Diamond Composite using these components (e.g., 10-20 wt
- **Characterization:** Mixing/curing equipment. UTM (flexural test). SEM/Optical Microscopy.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Successful formation of a solid, handleable composite material. Report mean flexural strength and modulus. Validate if flexural strength exceeds the minimum target (50×10^0 MPa). Qualitative assessment of microstructure quality.

- **H48: Additive Manufacturing Potential (ISRU-Sim)**
  - **Statement:** Formulations based on ISRU-simulated Diamond Composite components (meeting H47 feasibility) can be adapted for representative additive manufacturing (AM) processes suitable for large-scale construction on Mars (e.g., material extrusion of composite pellets/filaments, direct ink writing of paste) to produce simple, structurally sound test structures.
  - **Rationale:** Addresses the critical manufacturing step for building large structures on Mars, likely relying on robotics and AM. Tests if the ISRU-derived material can be formulated into printable feedstock and processed successfully.
  - **Proposed Experiment:** Based on the ISRU-simulated composite (H47), develop AM-suitable formulations. Examples: (a) Blend with a thermoplastic binder (e.g., PLA, potentially also ISRU-derived) to create filament/pellets for material extrusion (FDM/FGF). (b) Formulate a high-viscosity, shear-thinning paste suitable for Direct Ink Writing (DIW) using the thermosetting EHSO/MHL matrix (potentially B-staged). Attempt printing simple test geometries (cubes, bars, lattices) using appropriate AM hardware (potentially modified for environment). Evaluate print quality (dimensional accuracy, surface finish, defects) and interlayer adhesion (microscopy, mechanical testing perpendicular to layers). Test basic mechanical properties of printed parts.
  - **Characterization:** Rheometer (for paste development). AM Printer (FDM/FGF or DIW). Microscope (print quality, interlayer bonding). UTM (testing printed specimens).
  - **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Successful printing of defined geometries without major failures (collapse, clogging). Qualitative assessment of print fidelity and interlayer adhesion. Report basic mechanical properties (e.g., tensile/flexural strength) of printed parts and compare to molded ISRU-composite (H47) and Earth-baseline composite (H6). *(Requires AM expertise/equipment).*

- **H51: Combined Structural & Radiation Shielding Performance**

- **Statement:** HDCNS composites formulated for significant radiation attenuation (e.g., >20 wt
- **Rationale:** Tests the trade-off for multi-functionality, specifically for combined shielding/structural applications (e.g., spacecraft hulls, habitats). Very high filler loadings needed for optimal shielding can negatively impact matrix continuity and mechanical properties. This checks if a useful balance exists.
- **Proposed Experiment:** Prepare composite samples at HDCNS loadings identified as potentially effective for radiation shielding (e.g., 20 wt
- **Characterization:** Radiation test setup (Source, Detector). UTM, Extensometer. Density measurement.
- **Metrics/Validation Criteria:** Report both radiation attenuation performance (%) and mechanical properties (UTS, E) for the high-loading samples. Calculate the ratio (UTS@HighLoading / PeakUTS@OptimalLoading). Validate if this ratio exceeds the 0.75 target, indicating acceptable retention of mechanical performance while providing shielding function.

4 Methodology for Crowdsourced Collaboration

4.1 Open Invitation & Philosophy

This project is founded on open science principles. We invite global participation to accelerate the understanding and development of sustainable HDCNS-based materials. By sharing hypotheses and inviting collaborative validation, we aim to build a collective knowledge base accessible to all. The intent is to foster rapid, parallelized research efforts, leveraging diverse expertise and resources to address the foundational questions outlined in Section 3.

4.2 Getting Started

Potential collaborators are encouraged to:

1) Identify hypothesis(es) from Section 3 (considering Tiers and required capabilities) that align with their research interests and resources. Tier 1 hypotheses are the highest initial priority, as they establish the fundamental feasibility of the Diamond Composite concept.

2) Notify the coordinating author (Marie Seshat Landry) via `feedback@marielandryceo.com`, indicating intended hypothesis(es), name, and affiliation. This helps track efforts, avoid excessive overlap on highly specialized tests (though replication is valuable), and facilitate potential direct collaborations between interested groups.

3) Review the suggested experimental approaches and consider necessary refinements based on available equipment and local safety regulations.

4.3 Protocols & Best Practices

- Baseline experimental approaches are suggested under each hypothesis in Section 3. More detailed standard operating procedures (SOPs) for key baseline experiments (e.g., matrix synthesis, basic composite preparation, primary mechanical/electrical tests) may be developed and shared upon request or via a future project repository.

- Use of standardized testing methods (ASTM, ISO, etc.) as cited is strongly encouraged to ensure data comparability and rigor. Report the specific standard version used.

- Rigorous adherence to laboratory safety protocols is essential. This includes proper handling of chemicals (solvents, acids, bases, epoxides), nanomaterials (appropriate ventilation/containment to minimize inhalation exposure), high-temperature equipment, mechanical testing hazards, electrical safety, and appropriate procedures for specialized tests (radiation sources, biological materials, fire testing). Participants are solely responsible for compliance with all local regulations and institutional safety policies. A risk assessment should be performed before undertaking experiments.

- Document specific procedures meticulously, including reagent sources/purities, equipment models, specific parameters (temperatures, times, loading rates, frequencies, etc.), calibration details, and any deviations from baseline or standard methods. This metadata is critical for interpreting results.

4.4 Material Sourcing & Characterization (Acknowledging Variability)

- **Challenge:** Material variability (HDCNS, hemp oil, lignin) is a significant challenge in crowdsourced work. Consistent sourcing may be difficult.

- **Requirement:** Participants *must* meticulously report the source, processing details (if known/controlled), and any available specifications/characterization data for all starting materials:

  - HDCNS: Supplier/batch, precursor details, synthesis method summary (if known), ideally key characterization data (SSA via BET, Raman D/G ratio, SEM morphology, elemental analysis).
  - Hemp Seed Oil: Supplier, cold-pressed vs. refined, fatty acid profile (if known). For EHSO: Epoxidation method details, measured EEW.
  - Hemp Lignin: Source (e.g., Kraft, Organosolv), supplier/extraction details, any chemical modifications performed, functional group analysis (e.g., hydroxyl number).
  - Standard Matrices/Other Materials: Supplier, grade, relevant specifications.

- **Recommendation:** If possible, perform basic characterization (e.g., SEM, Raman for HDCNS; FTIR, DSC for matrix components) on the specific batches used for the experiments and include this data with results. Centralized distribution of standardized baseline materials could be explored if funding becomes available, but initially, detailed reporting is key.

4.5 Data Submission Guidelines (Template to be Provided)

Submit results and supporting information electronically to `feedback@marielandryceo.com`. To aid consistency and analysis, a standardized data reporting template (likely a spreadsheet format with defined fields) will be provided to confirmed collaborators upon expression of interest. Key information required includes:

- Hypothesis number(s) addressed.
- Contributor details (Name(s), Affiliation(s), Contact Info, preferred attribution).
- Full material details as per Section 4.4.
- Detailed experimental procedures, including sample preparation methods (mixing, curing cycles, molding), equipment models used, specific test parameters, number of replicates (N), environmental conditions (temp, humidity), standards referenced.
- Raw data files where feasible and appropriate (e.g., UTM load-displacement files, TGA/DSC data files, VNA S-parameter files, spectroscopy files, original microscope images).
- Processed results corresponding directly to the metrics defined under the relevant hypothesis(es). Include calculated mean values, standard deviations, and units. Use SI units preferentially.
- High-quality images (SEM, TEM, optical micrographs, photos) with clear scale bars and annotations where applicable. Common formats (TIFF, PNG, JPG) preferred.
- Graphs/plots illustrating key results (e.g., stress-strain curves, conductivity vs. loading, TGA curves, property vs. time/temperature). Include error bars where appropriate.
- Statistical analysis performed (e.g., t-tests, ANOVA) to support claims of significance.
- Any qualitative observations, unexpected results, challenges encountered, or suggestions for improving the experimental approach.

4.6 Data Aggregation, Attribution, and Sharing

- Data will be systematically organized by the coordinating author/team. Acknowledgment of receipt will be provided.
- Challenges related to comparing data from potentially variable materials will be explicitly considered during analysis and reporting (e.g., grouping results by material source/characterization, focusing on trends and relative changes rather than absolute values where variability is high).
- Contributors will be acknowledged based on their stated preference (e.g., individual name(s), laboratory/institution name, anonymous contribution).

- Significant intellectual contributions (e.g., developing novel experimental modifications, extensive data analysis leading to key insights) may warrant offers of co-authorship on subsequent publications, following standard scientific authorship guidelines (e.g., ICMJE criteria).
- Aggregated findings, validated results, identified trends, and potentially anonymized datasets (respecting contributor preferences and any IP considerations if applicable) will be shared publicly through project updates (e.g., `diamondcomposites.blogspot.com`), open data repositories (e.g., Zenodo, Figshare), preprints (e.g., arXiv), and ultimately aimed towards peer-reviewed publications. Transparency and open access are core principles.

4.7 Communication & Community Building (Targeting Specialized Labs)

- The primary initial point of contact is `feedback@marielandryceo.com`.
- Depending on the level of participation and interest, dedicated communication channels (e.g., a project mailing list, a shared online workspace, a code repository on GitHub/GitLab for protocols or analysis scripts) may be established to facilitate discussion, share progress, and foster a collaborative community.
- We particularly encourage participation from laboratories possessing specialized equipment and expertise necessary for validating Tier 2 and Tier 3 hypotheses, such as those related to fatigue testing, advanced fire testing (Cone Calorimeter), RF/EMI measurements, radiation testing, low-temperature mechanical testing, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), cell culture assays, ecotoxicology testing, advanced microscopy (TEM), additive manufacturing, and ISRU process simulation/testing.

5 Expected Outcomes and Future Directions

5.1 Validation Status of Core Hypotheses

The primary, tangible outcome of this collaborative initiative will be the accumulation of experimental evidence addressing the 54 foundational hypotheses outlined in Section 3. This will provide a data-driven assessment, validating or refuting the core scientific and technical claims regarding the synthesis, properties, and feasibility of HDCNS composites, especially the novel Diamond Composite system. Understanding which hypotheses hold true, under which conditions, and which present significant challenges is crucial first-step knowledge.

5.2 Establishment of Foundational Property Database

The systematically collected and aggregated data (quantitative metrics, qualitative observations, characterization data) will form a unique, publicly accessible database. This resource will document the measured properties of these novel materials, potentially correlating properties with material sources, processing parameters, and HDCNS characteristics. Such a database will be invaluable for subsequent modeling, materials selection, comparative analysis, and identifying knowledge gaps.

5.3 Identification of Promising Formulations and Processing Routes

By analyzing data across different contributors and experimental variations (where documented), patterns should emerge indicating which Diamond Composite formulations (e.g., specific HDCNS loadings, EHSO/MHL ratios) and processing techniques (dispersion methods, curing cycles) yield the most favorable combinations of properties for specific target metrics (e.g., strength, conductivity, barrier performance). Conversely, critical challenges, such as achieving consistent dispersion, ensuring adequate interfacial adhesion, or controlling biodegradability rate, will be highlighted, directing future research efforts.

5.4 Guidance for Future Research

The results obtained through this collaborative validation will directly inform and prioritize subsequent research and development phases:

- **Optimization:** Focus R&D on optimizing the most promising formulations and processing parameters identified for specific target applications. This includes fine-tuning component ratios, exploring HDCNS surface functionalization strategies (if H3 suggests poor adhesion), and refining cure cycles for efficiency and performance (H42).

- **Scaling:** Address the challenges of scaling up production of consistent, high-quality HDCNS and the EHSO/MHL matrix components, as well as developing scalable composite manufacturing processes (e.g., moving from lab-scale mixing/molding towards continuous or semi-continuous methods like extrusion, pultrusion, large-area additive manufacturing, or automated tape laying).

- **Life Cycle Assessment (LCA):** Provide the necessary experimentally validated material property data (density, composition, energy inputs for lab-scale synthesis) and preliminary processing insights required as inputs for rigorous cradle-to-grave LCAs. These LCAs are essential to definitively quantify the environmental footprint compared to conventional materials and validate the overarching sustainability claims (Section 2.4).

- **Specific Application Prototyping:** Justify and guide the design, fabrication, and testing of functional prototypes for the most viable near-term applications identified based on validated Tier 1 and Tier 2 properties (e.g., lightweight structural panels, EMI shielding enclosures, biodegradable packaging films, small armor test coupons, sensor substrates). Performance testing relevant to the application (e.g., ballistic tests for armor) would be the next step beyond basic material characterization.

- **Advanced Concepts:** Provide crucial grounding (or reason for significant reconsideration) for the more ambitious Tier 3 concepts. For example, confirmed EPR signals and promising coherence times (H17, H18) would strongly motivate further quantum materials research; successful incorporation of waste fillers (H43, H44) would encourage work on waste stream processing and interface compatibilization; validated ISRU composite feasibility (H47, H48) would significantly bolster engineering plans for sustainable space resource utilization and habitat construction. Negative results would necessitate re-evaluation or redirection of these long-term goals.

5.5 Strengthening the Case for Investment and Development

Demonstrating positive results for key performance metrics and feasibility hypotheses (especially Tier 1 and relevant Tier 2 benchmarks) through this open, multi-participant validation process will significantly enhance the credibility of the HDCNS composite concepts. This validated data package will be crucial for attracting further research grants, venture capital, industry partnerships, and government support needed for the substantial investment required for scaling, prototyping, regulatory approval processes, and eventual commercialization efforts.

5.6 Long-Term Vision Revisited

Ultimately, the success of this foundational validation phase paves the way towards the long-term vision motivating this work. This includes the potential development of:

- Ultra-lightweight, high-strength, sustainable materials for defense and aerospace, enhancing platform efficiency, payload capacity, and survivability while reducing lifecycle environmental impact.
- Efficient, low-cost energy storage solutions (supercapacitors, potentially batteries) derived from renewable biomass.
- Novel functional materials platforms enabling breakthroughs in quantum information science, advanced sensors, or thermal management systems.
- Circular economy solutions where durable goods are produced incorporating significant fractions of agricultural or post-consumer waste, reducing landfill burden and resource extraction.
- Enabling technologies for establishing a sustainable and self-sufficient human presence beyond Earth, utilizing locally sourced materials derived from atmospheric CO2 and cultivated biomass for construction and manufacturing.

This collaborative effort aims to lay the necessary scientific groundwork upon which these ambitious future possibilities might be realized.

6 Conclusion

This paper has presented a detailed framework for exploring the potential of Hemp-Derived Carbon Nanosheets (HDCNS) in advanced composites, including the novel, conceptual "Diamond Composites" originated by M. S. Landry and described in recent preprints [4, 5]. Moving beyond prior work focused mainly on supercapacitors [1], we propose a broad application space enabled by tailored composite properties. The core contribution here is the formulation of 54 specific, tiered hypotheses, detailed with experimental rationale and validation criteria, designed to systematically validate the foundational science and engineering principles underlying these concepts.

This document constitutes an open call for collaboration (`feedback@marielandryceo.com`) to the global scientific community. By crowdsourcing the experimental investigation of these hypotheses, we aim to collectively generate the empirical data needed to rigorously evaluate these promising sustainable materials. Successful validation would represent a significant step towards developing a new generation of sustainable, high-performance materials with the potential for widespread impact. We invite participation in this open scientific endeavor to build the foundation for future innovation.

References

[1] Wang, H.; Xu, Z.; Kohandehghan, A.; Li, Z.; Cui, K.; Tan, X.; Stephenson, T. J.; King'ondu, C. K.; Holt, C. M. B.; Olsen, B. C.; Tak, J. K.; Harfield, D.; Anyia, A. O.; Mitlin, D. Interconnected Carbon Nanosheets Derived from Hemp for Ultrafast Supercapacitors with High Energy. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7* (6), 5131–5141. DOI: 10.1021/nn400883g.

[2] Salvo, P.; Calogero, G.; Castriota, M.; Cazzanelli, E.; La Corte, F. C.; Melissari, C.; Policicchio, A.; Agostino, R. G. Di Recent Advances in Hemp-Derived Carbon Nanomaterials for Energy Applications. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11* (11), 3048. DOI: 10.3390/nano11113048.

[3] Ma, G.; Li, Y.; Sun, Z.; Peng, H. Review of Advanced Carbon Materials for Supercapacitors. *Energy Storage Materials* **2015**, *1*, 129–143. DOI: 10.1016/j.ensm.2015.09.002.

[4] Landry, M. S. (2024a). HDCNS-Composites: Properties and Applications. *Zenodo*. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15084103.

[5] Landry, M. S. (2024b). Seshat's 100% Organic Hemp Bionanocomposites: The Diamond Composite Theory. *Zenodo*. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15091234.

[6] Landry, M. S. (Forthcoming). Diamond Composites Waste Integration Concepts ("Fluff" Theory). *Preprint*.

[7] United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. A/RES/70/1. **2015**. Accessed April 6, 2025. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda.

[8] Ashby, M. F. Materials and sustainable development. *Materials & Design* **2013**, *47*, 1006–1016. DOI: 10.1016/j.matdes.2012.10.035.

[9] Mohanty, A. K.; Vivekanandhan, S.; Pin, J.-M.; Misra, M. Composites from renewable and sustainable resources: Challenges and innovations. *Science* **2018**, *362* (6414), 536–542. DOI: 10.1126/science.aat9072.

[10] Johnson, R. Hemp as an agricultural commodity. Congressional Research Service Report RL32725. **2018**. Accessed April 6, 2025. https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/RL/RL32725.

[11] Pervais, M.; Sain, M. Carbon storage potential in natural fiber composites. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* **2003**, *39* (4), 325–340. DOI: 10.1016/S0921-3449(02)00193-4.

[12] Hughes, M.; Beck, K.; Carlier, J.; et al. Hemp Fibres and Their Composites: Processing, Properties and Applications. In *Biofiber Reinforcements in Composite Materials*; Elsevier, **2020**; pp 205–242. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-08-102960-4.00007-3.

[13] Papageorgiou, D. G.; Kinloch, I. A.; Young, R. J. Mechanical properties of graphene and graphene-based nanocomposites. *Progress in Materials Science* **2017**, *90*, 75–127. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.07.004.

[14] Kim, H.; Abdala, A. A.; Macosko, C. W. Graphene/polymer nanocomposites. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (16), 6515–6530. DOI: 10.1021/ma100572e.

[15] Miao, S.; Wang, P.; Su, Z.; Zhang, S. Kinetics study of hemp oil epoxidation by peroxyacetic acid formed in situ. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society* **2014**, *91* (5), 839–846. DOI: 10.1007/s11746-014-2427-0.

[16] Campanella, A.; Bonnaillie, L. M.; Wool, R. P. Development and characterization of epoxidized soybean oil based resins reacted with diamines. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* **2009**, *112* (4), 2066–2077. DOI: 10.1002/app.29788.

[17] Sadeghifar, H.; Venditti, R.; Jur, J.; et al. Carbon fibers from fractionated and technical hemp lignins. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* **2011**, *121* (6), 3581–3589. DOI: 10.1002/app.34083.

[18] Figueiredo, P.; Lintinen, K.; Kiriazis, F.; et al. In Situ Lignin Functionalization with Vanillin towards High-Performance Lignin/Epoxy Thermosets. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2018**, *6* (4), 5604–5616. DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b00480.

[19] Gojny, F. H.; Wichmann, M. H. G.; Fiedler, B.; Schulte, K. Influence of different carbon nanotubes on the mechanical properties of epoxy matrix composites – A comparative study. *Composites Science and Technology* **2005**, *65* (15–16), 2300–2313. DOI: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2005.04.021.

[20] Wolfowicz, G.; Heremans, F. J.; Anderson, C. P.; et al. Quantum guidelines for solid-state spins. *Nature Reviews Materials* **2021**, *6*, 906–925. DOI: 10.1038/s41578-021-00306-y.

[21] Wilson, J. W.; Townsend, L. W.; Schimmerling, W.; et al. Transport methods and interactions for space radiations. NASA Reference Publication 1257. **1991**. Accessed April 6, 2025. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/19910018148.

[22] Landry, M. S. et al. (Concept Date 2024a). The First HDCNS Armored Tank: A Strategic Proposal. *Internal Proposal Document*. Diamond Composites / Marie Landry's Spy Shop.

[23] Landry, M. S. et al. (Concept Date 2024b). Framework for the Development of the First HDCNS Armored Stealth Aircraft. *Internal Proposal Document*. Diamond Composites / Marie Landry's Spy Shop.

[24] Landry, M. S. (Conceptual framework 2024c). Carbon as the Ultimate Qubit: Hemp-Derived Nanosheets and the Quantum Basis of Life. *Book Manuscript Concept*.

[25] Landry, M. S. & 500-Bot (AI). (Conceptual framework 2024). The HDCNS Experiments: A Blueprint for the Organic Terraformation... *Book Manuscript Concept*.

[26] Landry, M. S. (Conceptual framework 2025). Space Plan Framework for Solar System Industrialization Using HDCNS-Composites... *Internal Framework Document*. Diamond Composites / Astral Ventures.

[27] ASTM D792-20, Standard Test Methods for Density and Specific Gravity (Relative Density) of Plastics by Displacement, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2020.

[28] ASTM D638-22, Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2022.

[29] ASTM D3039/D3039M-17, Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2017.

[30] ASTM D6110-18, Standard Test Method for Determining the Charpy Impact Resistance of Notched Specimens of Plastics, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2018.

[31] ASTM D256-10(2018)e1, Standard Test Methods for Determining the Izod Pendulum Impact Resistance of Plastics, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2018.

[32] ASTM D2240-15(2021), Standard Test Method for Rubber Property—Durometer Hardness, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2021.

[33] ASTM D785-08(2015), Standard Test Method for Rockwell Hardness of Plastics and Electrical Insulating Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2015.

[34] ASTM E1131-20, Standard Test Method for Compositional Analysis by Thermogravimetry, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2020.

[35] ASTM E1461-13(2020), Standard Test Method for Thermal Diffusivity by the Flash Method, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2020.

[36] ASTM E1269-11(2018), Standard Test Method for Determining Specific Heat Capacity by Differential Scanning Calorimetry, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2018.

[37] ASTM D4935-18, Standard Test Method for Measuring the Electromagnetic Shielding Effectiveness of Planar Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2018.

[38] ASTM B117-19, Standard Practice for Operating Salt Spray (Fog) Apparatus, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2019.

[39] ASTM G154-23, Standard Practice for Operating Fluorescent Ultraviolet (UV) Lamp Apparatus for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2023.

[40] ASTM D5338-21, Standard Test Method for Determining Aerobic Biodegradation of Plastic Materials Under Controlled Composting Conditions, Incorporating Thermophilic Temperatures, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2021.

[41] ASTM D2344/D2344M-16, Standard Test Method for Short-Beam Strength of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials and Their Laminates, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2016.

[42] ASTM D7791-17, Standard Test Method for Uniaxial Fatigue Properties of Plastics Under Load Control, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2017.

[43] ASTM D3479/D3479M-19, Standard Test Method for Tension-Tension Fatigue of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2019.

[44] ASTM D570-98(2018), Standard Test Method for Water Absorption of Plastics, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2018.

[45] ASTM D543-14, Standard Practices for Evaluating the Resistance of Plastics to Chemical Reagents, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2014.

[46] ASTM D2734-23, Standard Test Methods for Void Content of Reinforced Plastics, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2023.

[47] ASTM D3171-22, Standard Test Methods for Constituent Content of Composite Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2022.

[48] ASTM D790-17, Standard Test Methods for Flexural Properties of Unreinforced and Reinforced Plastics and Electrical Insulating Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2017.

[49] ASTM E96/E96M-16, Standard Test Methods for Water Vapor Transmission of Materials, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2016.

[50] ASTM F1249-20, Standard Test Method for Water Vapor Transmission Rate Through Plastic Film and Sheeting Using a Modulated Infrared Sensor, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2020.

[51] ASTM D3985-17, Standard Test Method for Oxygen Gas Transmission Rate Through Plastic Film and Sheeting Using a Coulometric Sensor, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2017.

[52] ASTM E1933-19, Standard Practice for Measuring and Compensating for Emissivity Using Infrared Imaging Radiometers, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2019.

[53] ASTM D2863-19, Standard Test Method for Measuring the Minimum Oxygen Concentration to Support Candle-Like Combustion of Plastics (Oxygen Index), ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2019.

[54] ISO 14855-1:2012, Determination of the ultimate aerobic biodegradability of plastic materials under controlled composting conditions — Method by analysis of evolved carbon dioxide — Part 1: General method, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2012.

[55] ISO 22007-2:2015, Plastics — Determination of thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity — Part 2: Transient plane heat source (hot disc) method, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2015.

[56] ISO 20200:2015, Plastics — Determination of the degree of disintegration of plastic materials under simulated composting conditions in a laboratory-scale test, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2015.

[57] ISO 5660-1:2015, Reaction-to-fire tests — Heat release, smoke production and mass loss rate — Part 1: Heat release rate (cone calorimeter method) and smoke production rate (dynamic measurement), International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2015.

[58] ISO 10993-5:2009, Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 5: Tests for in vitro cytotoxicity, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2009.

[59] ISO 10993-12:2021, Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 12: Sample preparation and reference materials, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2021.

[60] ISO 10534-2:1998, Acoustics — Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in impedance tubes — Part 2: Transfer-function method, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1998.

[61] OECD Guideline 201, Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria, Growth Inhibition Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2011 (Adopted version). DOI: 10.1787/9789264069923-en.

[62] OECD Guideline 202, Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2004. DOI: 10.1787/9789264069947-en.

[63] OECD Guideline 207, Earthworm, Acute Toxicity Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2016. DOI: 10.1787/9789264264258-en.

[64] UL 94, Standard for Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances, 7th Edition, Underwriters Laboratories, Northbrook, IL, 2018 (or latest valid edition).

A Glossary of Key Terms

AM (Additive Manufacturing): Processes used to build 3D objects by adding layer-upon-layer of material, e.g., 3D printing. Relevant for ISRU (H48).

ASTM: American Society for Testing and Materials. Organization developing technical standards.

BET Surface Area: Method (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller theory) using gas adsorption to measure material surface area, crucial for HDCNS characterization (H34, Appendix B).

Biodegradability: Capability of a material to be decomposed by bacteria or other living organisms, assessed via mineralization (H16).

Bio-epoxy: Epoxy resin derived from biological sources (like hemp oil), specifically EHSO in this context (H1).

BSL (Biosafety Level): Level of biocontainment precautions required, relevant for cytotoxicity testing (H39).

Charpy Test: Standardized high strain-rate test measuring impact energy absorbed by a notched specimen ([30], H8, H21).

Composite: Material made from two or more distinct constituent materials (e.g., HDCNS reinforcement in a matrix).

Composting: Process of decomposing organic solid wastes, used for biodegradability testing (H16).

Cone Calorimeter: Instrument measuring fire reaction properties like Heat Release Rate ([57], H54).

Contact Angle: Angle where a liquid interface meets a solid surface, indicating wettability (H38).

Creep: Time-dependent deformation under constant stress (H52).

Cryogenic: Relating to very low temperatures (typically below 123×10^0 K or -150×10^0 °C), relevant for space applications (H50).

Curing: Chemical process hardening a polymer (thermoset) via cross-linking (H1, H42).

Cytotoxicity: Quality of being toxic to cells, assessed via in vitro tests ([58], H39).

Delta E (ΔE): Metric quantifying total color difference, used in UV resistance testing (H15).

DFT (Density Functional Theory): Quantum mechanical modeling method used to investigate electronic structure, relevant for qubit hypothesis (Section 2.3.2).

Diamond Composites: Conceptual 100% hemp-derived composite (HDCNS + EHSO + MHL) originated by M.S. Landry [5].

DIW (Direct Ink Writing): Additive manufacturing technique extruding a paste-like material (ink) layer by layer (H48).

DMA (Dynamic Mechanical Analysis): Technique measuring viscoelastic properties (storage modulus, loss modulus, tan delta) vs. temperature/frequency (H20).

DSC (Differential Scanning Calorimetry): Measures heat flow vs. temperature, used for Tg, melting, curing analysis (H1, H12, H42).

EC50 (Median Effective Concentration): Concentration causing 50% maximal effect in a toxicity test (H41).

Ecotoxicity: Harmful effects of a substance on ecosystems, assessed via standardized tests ([61, 62, 63], H41).

EEW (Epoxy Equivalent Weight): Mass of resin containing one mole equivalent of epoxide groups, crucial for stoichiometry (H1).

EHSO (Epoxidized Hemp Seed Oil): Proposed flexible binder component of the Diamond Composite matrix (H1).

EMI Shielding (SE - Shielding Effectiveness): Attenuation of electromagnetic fields by a material barrier (H13, H27).

Emissivity: Measure of thermal radiation emission efficiency relative to a blackbody (H29).

Epoxidation: Chemical reaction converting C=C double bond to an epoxide (Section 2.2.1).

EPR (Electron Paramagnetic Resonance): Spectroscopy detecting species with unpaired electrons (H17, H18). Also ESR.

Fatigue Life (Nf): Number of cycles to failure under cyclic load (H24).

FDM (Fused Deposition Modeling): Material extrusion AM process using filament feedstock (H48).

FEA (Finite Element Analysis): Computational stress/thermal/etc. analysis method.

Fluff: Conceptual term by M.S. Landry for low-cost/waste fillers incorporated into Diamond Composites (H43, H44, H45).

FTIR (Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy): Identifies chemical bonds/functional groups (H1).

GCR (Galactic Cosmic Rays): High-energy space radiation (Section 2.3.3).

GEANT4/HZETRN/OLTARIS: Software codes for simulating particle transport through matter, used for radiation shielding analysis.

Glass Transition Temperature (Tg): Temperature region of amorphous polymer softening (H1, H42).

GPC (Gel Permeation Chromatography): Technique for determining molecular weight distribution of polymers (relevant for MHL in H46).

Halpin-Tsai Equations: Predict composite stiffness considering filler geometry (Section 2.3.1).

HDCNS (Hemp-Derived Carbon Nanosheets): Ultra-thin, graphene-like carbon sheets produced from hemp biomass [1].

Hemp Hurd (Shiv): Woody inner core of the hemp stalk, potential filler (H43).

Hemp Lignin Resin (MHL - Modified Hemp Lignin): Chemically modified lignin from hemp, proposed rigid/curing component (H1).

Hemp Oil Epoxy (EHSO): See EHSO.

HRR (Heat Release Rate): Key metric in fire testing (Cone Calorimetry), rate at which heat is generated during combustion (H54).

Hydrothermal Carbonization (HTC): Process converting biomass to carbonaceous materials using hot water under pressure. Alternative first step for HDCNS synthesis.

Impact Strength: Energy absorption capacity under sudden load (H8, H21).

Interfacial Adhesion: Degree of bonding between reinforcement (HDCNS) and matrix (H3).

ISRU (In-Situ Resource Utilization): Using local resources on celestial bodies (Moon, Mars) (H34, H46-H48).

ISO: International Organization for Standardization.

Izod Test: Standardized pendulum impact test, usually on notched specimen ([31], H8, H21).

Kapitza Resistance: Thermal resistance at the interface between two materials. Affects composite thermal conductivity (H12).

LCA (Life Cycle Assessment): Environmental impact assessment over product life (Section 2.4).

LC50 (Median Lethal Concentration): Concentration causing death in 50

Leachate: Liquid containing substances leached from a solid material (H41).

LFA (Laser Flash Analysis): Measures thermal diffusivity ([35], H12).

Lignin: Class of complex organic polymers that form key structural materials in the support tissues of most plants.

LOI (Limiting Oxygen Index): Min O2 concentration for combustion ([53], H54).

Material Triforce: Term by M.S. Landry for the HDCNS + EHSO + MHL core of Diamond Composites (Section 2.2.1).

MHL (Modified Hemp Lignin): See Hemp Lignin Resin.

Modulus (Young's Modulus, E): Material stiffness (stress/strain) (H6, H7, H31, H52, H53).

NATO: North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy): Determines molecular structure (H1).

NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration): Highest concentration in a toxicity test with no significant adverse effect (H41).

OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Develops test guidelines.

OTR (Oxygen Transmission Rate): Rate of oxygen permeation through a material ([51], H37).

Percolation Threshold: Filler concentration for abrupt conductivity increase (H10).

Pycnometry: Method for measuring true volume/density of solids (H5).

Pyrolysis: Thermal decomposition in inert atmosphere, step in HDCNS production.

Qubit (Quantum Bit): Basic unit of quantum information (H17, H18).

QUV Tester: Accelerated weathering tester using UV lamps and condensation ([39], H15).

Radiation Shielding: Attenuation of ionizing radiation (Section 2.3.3, H19, H51).

Raman Spectroscopy: Characterizes molecular vibrations (used for HDCNS quality via D/G ratio) (H34).

Regolith: Loose surface material on planetary bodies, source for ISRU nutrients (H34).

Respirometry: Measurement of respiration rate (CO2 evolution or O2 consumption), used in biodegradation tests (H16).

Rheometry: Study of material flow and deformation (viscosity, gel time) (H1).

Rule of Mixtures (ROM): Simple composite property prediction model (Section 2.3.1).

SBS (Short-Beam Shear): Test method estimating interlaminar shear strength ([41], H23).

SEA (Specific Energy Absorption): Impact energy absorbed per areal density (H21).

SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy): High-magnification surface imaging (H2, H3, H4, etc.).

Shiv (Hemp Hurd): Woody inner core of hemp stalk.

Specific Modulus: Young's Modulus / density (H31, H53).

Specific Strength: Ultimate Tensile Strength / density (H30, H53).

SSA (Specific Surface Area): Total surface area per unit mass (Section 1.3, Appendix B).

Supercapacitor: High-capacity electrochemical capacitor (Section 1.3).

Tan Delta (tan δ): Loss factor (Loss Modulus / Storage Modulus), measure of damping in DMA (H20).

TEM (Transmission Electron Microscopy): High-resolution internal structure imaging (H2, H4, H45).

Tensile Strength (UTS): Maximum stress before failure in tension (H6, H7, H30, H51, H53).

Terraformation: Hypothetical modification of planetary environment (Section 1.4).

TGA (Thermogravimetric Analysis): Measures mass change vs. temperature (H11, H49).

THR (Total Heat Released): Total heat evolved during Cone Calorimetry test (H54).

TPS (Transient Plane Source): Method for measuring thermal conductivity/diffusivity ([55], H12).

TTI (Time To Ignition): Time until sustained flaming occurs in Cone Calorimetry test (H54).

UL 94: Underwriters Laboratories standard for flammability of plastics ([64], H54).

Ultrasonication: Use of ultrasound energy for dispersion (H2).

UTS (Ultimate Tensile Strength): See Tensile Strength.

UV (Ultraviolet) Radiation: Electromagnetic radiation causing polymer degradation (H15).

VNA (Vector Network Analyzer): Instrument measuring RF network parameters (for EMI SE) (H13, H27).

Void Content: Percentage of voids/empty spaces within a composite material (H32).

WVTR (Water Vapor Transmission Rate): Rate of water vapor permeation ([49, 50], H36).

XRD (X-ray Diffraction): Technique for analyzing crystal structure and potentially filler orientation.

B Detailed HDCNS Material Specifications (Reported/Target)

(Values are indicative targets or ranges based on literature [1, 2] and project goals, requiring experimental verification for specific batches used.)

- **Source Material:** Industrial Hemp (*Cannabis sativa*) Bast Fibers (preferred).
- **Production Method:** Typically Pyrolysis (e.g., 600×10^0 °C to 800×10^0 °C, inert atm) followed by Chemical Activation (e.g., KOH activation at 700×10^0 °C to 800×10^0 °C). Hydrothermal Carbonization (HTC) followed by activation is an alternative. Process parameters significantly influence properties.

- **Structure:** Interconnected 2D carbon nanosheets, potentially with amorphous carbon phases. Hierarchical porosity (micro-, meso-, macropores).
- **Thickness:** Typically few-layer, 1×10^0 nm to 30×10^0 nm.
- **Lateral Dimensions:** Highly variable, from sub-micron to several microns, depending on processing. Aspect ratio (ξ) critical for reinforcement modeling.
- **Density (Intrinsic):** Estimated $\sim 1.4 \times 10^0$ g/cm^3 to 2.0×10^0 g/cm^3, lower than graphite ($\sim 2.2 \times 10^0$ g/cm^3) due to porosity and potential disorder. Needs experimental determination.
- **Specific Surface Area (SSA):** Typically reported > 1500×10^0 m^2/g via BET N2 adsorption. Values exceeding 2000×10^0 m^2/g common [1]. Target > 1000×10^0 m^2/g for ISRU-simulated HDCNS (H34).
- **Raman Spectroscopy:** Characteristic D band ($\sim 1350 \times 10^0$/cm, disorder) and G band ($\sim 1580 \times 10^0$/cm, graphitic sp2). D/G intensity ratio (I_D/I_G) indicates defect level; Target < 1.5 desirable for higher quality sheets (H34). 2D band ($\sim 2700 \times 10^0$/cm) shape indicates number of layers.
- **Elemental Composition:** Primarily Carbon (>90 at%). Oxygen content typically 1×10^0 at% to 10×10^0 at% (from surface functional groups). Low levels of Hydrogen, Nitrogen. Trace inorganics possible from feedstock/activation.
- **Electrical Conductivity (Bulk/Powder):** Reported 200×10^0 S/m to 1000×10^0 S/m typical range [1, 2]. Varies significantly with processing and measurement method.
- **Thermal Stability (Inert):** Generally stable up to at least 600×10^0 °C in Nitrogen/Argon (based on TGA). Oxidation in air starts at lower temperatures ($\sim 400 \times 10^0$ °C).
- **Mechanical Properties (Intrinsic):** Intrinsic modulus and strength of individual sheets are difficult to measure directly and often inferred. Expected to be lower than pristine graphene but significantly higher than polymer matrices.

C Overview of Potential Application Areas

(Brief summary linking selected applications to relevant hypotheses for validation. This list is not exhaustive.)

- **Defense/Security:** Lightweight body/vehicle armor (H5, H6, H8, H21, H22, H23, H50, H51), stealth materials (H10, H13, H27, H28, H29), durable equipment components (H9, H24, H26, H33, H49, H52), fire resistant materials (H54).
- **Aerospace/Space:** Lightweight structures (H5, H6, H30, H31, H33, H50, H52, H53), thermal management systems (H12, H28, H49), radiation shielding (H19, H51), satellite components, ISRU-based habitat/infrastructure construction (H34, H46-H48).

- **Energy Storage/Systems:** Supercapacitor electrodes (implicitly linked to high SSA - Appendix B, [1]), potential battery materials (H10), lightweight conductive casings (H5, H10).
- **Automotive/Transportation:** Lightweighting (H5, H6, H30, H31, H53), crashworthiness (H8, H21), durability (H24, H33, H49, H52), fire safety (H54), conductive components (H10).
- **Construction/Infrastructure:** Sustainable composites (H1, H5, H6, H9, H16), durability (H14, H15, H25, H33, H52), fire safety (H54), waste integration/upcycling (H43, H44).
- **Electronics:** EMI shielding (H13, H27), thermal management (H12, H28), conductive pathways (H10).
- **Sustainable Packaging:** Biodegradable options (H16), enhanced barrier films (H36, H37).
- **Medical:** Potential based on initial biocompatibility screening (H39) – requires extensive further validation.
- **Quantum Computing:** Foundational exploration based on detecting spin signals and coherence (H17, H18).

D Statement of Originality and AI Collaboration

I, Marie Seshat Landry, declare that the core concepts of HDCNS Composites [4], Diamond Composites (including the "Material Triforce" formulation, "Programmability", and "Fluff" / waste integration theory) [5], the hypothesis of Carbon/HDCNS as a potential organic Qubit host [24], and the application of these concepts to space colonization/ISRU are my original conceptualizations and theoretical work, building upon established prior art including the foundational discovery of HDCNS by Mitlin et al. [1]. The generation of initial experimental protocol ideas was assisted by the 500-Bot AI (developed by M. S. Landry). The specific selection, refinement, tiering, and formulation of the 54 hypotheses presented herein involved my direct scientific judgment. AI tools (including 500-Bot and Google Gemini) assisted in literature synthesis, structuring, and drafting under my direction. Information derived from external sources is cited to the best of my ability. This work is released under an open-source philosophy via `feedback@marielandryceo.com`. The core original concepts developed by M. S. Landry are detailed further in the cited Zenodo preprints [4, 5] and potentially forthcoming publications.