

Proposition A.1. *There exists a sequence of random numbers $\{\gamma_{n,T}\}_T$, that converges to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$, such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T} - \widehat{\Phi}_{n,T}\right| > \gamma_{n,T}\right) = o(1). \quad (1)$$

Proof of Proposition A.1. Straightforward calculations yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T} - \widehat{\Phi}_{n,T}\right| &\leq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left(\left| \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h)}{(\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h)}{(\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2)^{1/2}} \right| + \left| \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h)}{(\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h)}{\{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{1/2}} \right| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\left| \{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} - \{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \right| \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) \right| \right) + \\ &\quad + \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) - \widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

First, consider the maximum of the kernel averages $\left| \widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) \right|$:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) \right| &= \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \{(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) - (\varepsilon_{jt} - \bar{\varepsilon}_j)\} \right| \leq \\ &\leq 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Then, consider the difference of the kernel averages:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) - \widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) \right| &= \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \{(\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i)^\top (\mathbf{X}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i) - (\beta_j - \widehat{\beta}_j)^\top (\mathbf{X}_{jt} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j)\} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i)^\top (\mathbf{X}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i) \right| + \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\beta_j - \widehat{\beta}_j)^\top (\mathbf{X}_{jt} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j) \right| = \\ &= |\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i|^\top \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\mathbf{X}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i) \right| + |\beta_j - \widehat{\beta}_j|^\top \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\mathbf{X}_{jt} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j) \right| = \\ &= |\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i|^\top \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\mathbf{X}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i) \right| + |\beta_j - \widehat{\beta}_j|^\top \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\mathbf{X}_{jt} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j) \right| \leq \\ &\leq |\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i|^\top \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \mathbf{X}_{it} \right| + |(\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i)^\top \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i| \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \right| + \\ &\quad + |\beta_j - \widehat{\beta}_j|^\top \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \mathbf{X}_{jt} \right| + |(\beta_j - \widehat{\beta}_j)^\top \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j| \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T} - \widehat{\Phi}_{n,T}| \leq & 2 \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} - \{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2\}^{-1/2}| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h)(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) \right| + \\
& + 2 \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(|\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i|^\top \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \mathbf{X}_{it} \right| \right) + \\
& + 2 \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |(\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i)^\top \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i| \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \right|
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We start by evaluating the second summand in (2).

First, by our assumptions $\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 + o_P(\rho_T)$. Moreover, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we know $\sigma_i^2 \neq 0$. Hence, $\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} = O_P(1)$.

Then, by Theorem ??, we know that $|\beta_i - \widehat{\beta}_i| = O_P(1/\sqrt{T})$.

Now consider the term $\left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \mathbf{X}_{it} \right|$. Without loss of generality, we can regard the covariates \mathbf{X}_{it} to be scalars X_{it} , not vectors. The proof in case of vectors proceeds analogously.

By construction the weights $w_{t,T}(u,h)$ are not equal to 0 if and only if $T(u-h) \leq t \leq T(u+h)$. We can use this fact to rewrite

$$\left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) X_{it} \right| = \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u,h) X_{it} \right|.$$

We want to show that

$$\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) X_{it} \right| = \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u,h) X_{it} \right| = o_P(\sqrt{T}). \tag{3}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}^2(u,h) &= \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}^2(u,h) = \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{K^2 \left(\frac{t-u}{h} \right) \left[S_{T,2}(u,h) - \left(\frac{t-u}{h} \right) S_{T,1}(u,h) \right]^2}{\left\{ \sum_{s=1}^T K^2 \left(\frac{s-u}{h} \right) \left[S_{T,2}(u,h) - \left(\frac{s-u}{h} \right) S_{T,1}(u,h) \right]^2 \right\}} = \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting by $D_{T,u,h}$ the number of integers between $\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor$ and $\lceil T(u+h) \rceil$ incl. (with obvious bounds $2Th \leq D_{T,u,h} \leq 2Th + 2$), we can normalize the weights as follows:

$$\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} \left(\sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \cdot w_{t,T}(u,h) \right)^2 = D_{T,u,h}.$$

According to Theorem ?? (Theorem 2(ii) in ?), if we denote the weights from the theorem as $a_t = \sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \cdot w_{t,T}(u, h)$, we can bound the following probability:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} \sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \cdot w_{t,T}(u, h) X_{it} \right| \geq x \right) &\leq \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |\sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \cdot w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'}) \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{q',\alpha}^{q'}}{x^{q'}} + C_2 \exp \left(-\frac{C_3 x^2}{D_{T,u,h} \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) = \\ &= C_1 \frac{(\sqrt{D_{T,u,h}})^{q'} (\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'}) \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{q',\alpha}^{q'}}{x^{q'}} + C_2 \exp \left(-\frac{C_3 x^2}{D_{T,u,h} \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove (3). For that, take any $\delta > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h) X_{it} \right|}{\sqrt{T}} \geq \delta \right) &= \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h) X_{it} \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{T} \right) \leq \\ &\stackrel{\text{Boole's inequality}}{\leq} \sum_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h) X_{it} \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{T} \right) = \\ &\stackrel{\text{"normalisation"}}{=} \sum_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} \sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \cdot w_{t,T}(u, h) X_{it} \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{D_{T,u,h} T} \right) \leq \\ &\stackrel{\text{Wu's Theorem}}{\leq} \sum_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left[C_1 \frac{(\sqrt{D_{T,u,h}})^{q'} (\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'}) \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{q',\alpha}^{q'}}{(\delta \sqrt{D_{T,u,h} T})^{q'}} + C_2 \exp \left(-\frac{C_3 (\delta \sqrt{D_{T,u,h} T})^2}{D_{T,u,h} \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \right] = \\ &\stackrel{\text{simplification}}{=} \sum_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left[C_1 \frac{(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'}) \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{q',\alpha}^{q'}}{(\delta \sqrt{T})^{q'}} + C_2 \exp \left(-\frac{C_3 \delta^2 T}{\|X_{i\cdot}\|_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) \right] \leq \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{T^\theta \|X_{i\cdot}\|_{q',\alpha}^{q'}}{T^{q'/2} \cdot \delta^{q'}} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'} \right) + C_2 T^\theta \exp \left(-\frac{C_3 \delta^2 T}{\|X_{i\cdot}\|_{2,\alpha}^2} \right) = \\ &= C' \frac{T^{\theta-q'/2}}{\delta^{q'}} + CT^\theta \exp(-CT\delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol C denotes a universal real constant that does not depend neither on T nor on δ and that takes a different value on each occurrence. Here in the last equality we used the following facts:

1. $\|X_{i\cdot}\|_{q',\alpha}^{q'} = \sup_{t \geq 0} (t+1)^\alpha \sum_{s=t}^\infty \delta_{q'}(H_i, s) < \infty$ holds true since $\sum_{s=t}^\infty \delta_{q'}(H_i, s) = O(t^{-\alpha})$ by Assumption ??;
2. $\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'} \right) < \infty$ because for every $x \in [0, 1]$ we have $0 \leq |x|^{q'/2} \leq x \leq 1$. Thus, since $\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}^2(u, h) = 1$, we have

$0 \leq w_{t,T}^2(u, h) \leq 1$ for all t and

$$0 \leq |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'} = |w_{t,T}^2(u, h)|^{q'/2} \leq w_{t,T}^2(u, h) \leq 1.$$

This leads us to a bound:

$$\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^{q'} \right) \leq \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^2 \right) = 1 < \infty.$$

3. $\|X_i\|_{2,\alpha}^2 < \infty$ (follows from 1).

By Assumption ??, $\theta - q'/2 < 0$ and the term on the RHS of the above inequality is converging to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed $\delta > 0$. Hence,

$$\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h) X_{it} \right| = o_P(\sqrt{T}).$$

Combining the results above, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(|\beta_i - \hat{\beta}_i|^\top \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h) \mathbf{X}_{it} \right| \right) = \\ & = O_P(1) \cdot O_P(1/\sqrt{T}) \cdot o_P(\sqrt{T}) = o_P(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Now, consider the third summand in (2).

Similarly as before, $\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} = O_P(1)$ and $|\beta_i - \hat{\beta}_i| = O_P(1/\sqrt{T})$.

Then, by Proposition ?? $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i = o_P(1)$.

Finally, consider the local linear kernel weights $w_{t,T}(u, h)$ defined in (??). Again, by construction the weights $w_{t,T}(u, h)$ are not equal to 0 if and only if $T(u-h) \leq t \leq T(u+h)$. We can use this fact to bound $\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h) \right|$ using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h) \right| &= \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h) \right| = \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h) \cdot 1 \right| \leq \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}^2(u, h)} \sqrt{\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} 1^2} = \\ &= \sqrt{1} \cdot \sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \leq \sqrt{2Th + 2} \leq \sqrt{2Th_{\max} + 2} \leq \sqrt{T + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h) \right| = O(\sqrt{T})$.

Combining the results above, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |(\beta_i - \hat{\beta}_i)^\top \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i| \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h) \right| = \\ & = O_P(1) \cdot O_P(1/\sqrt{T}) \cdot o_P(1) \cdot O(\sqrt{T}) = o_P(1). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Lastly, we look at the first summand in (2). Since $\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 + o_P(\rho_T)$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 + o_P(\rho_T)$ by our assumptions, we have that

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| \{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} - \{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2\}^{-1/2} \right| = o_P(\rho_T).$$

Then since $\left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h)(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h)\varepsilon_{it} \right| + \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h)\bar{\varepsilon}_i \right|$ we evaluate

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u, h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h)\varepsilon_{it} \right| = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u, h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h)\varepsilon_{it} \right|.$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u, h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u, h)\bar{\varepsilon}_i \right| = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u, h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \bar{\varepsilon}_i \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h) \right|.$$

separately. We proceed in the same way as before.

According to Theorem ?? (Theorem 2(ii) in ?), if we denote the weights from the theorem as $a_t = \sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \cdot w_{t,T}(u, h)$, we can bound the following probability:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} \sqrt{D_{T,u,h}} \cdot w_{t,T}(u, h)\varepsilon_{it} \right| \geq x \right) &\leq \\ &\leq C_4 \frac{(\sqrt{D_{T,u,h}})^q \left(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^q \right) \|\varepsilon_i\|_{q, \tau_q}^q}{x^q} + C_5 \exp \left(-\frac{C_6 x^2}{D_{T,u,h} \|\varepsilon_i\|_{2, \tau_q}^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and for any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\max_{(u, h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u, h)\varepsilon_{it} \right|}{\sqrt{T}} \geq \delta \right) &\leq \\ &\leq C_4 \frac{T^\theta \|\varepsilon_i\|_{q, \tau_q}^q}{T^{q/2} \cdot \delta^q} \max_{(u, h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^q \right) + C_5 T^\theta \exp \left(-\frac{C_6 \delta^2 T}{\|\varepsilon_i\|_{2, \tau_q}^2} \right) = \\ &= C \frac{T^{\theta-q/2}}{\delta^q} + CT^\theta \exp(-CT\delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol C denotes a universal real constant that does not depend neither on T nor on δ and that takes a different value on each occurrence. Here in the last equality we used the following facts:

1. $\|\varepsilon_i\|_{q, \tau_q}^q = \sup_{t \geq 0} (t+1)^{\tau_q} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \delta_q(G_i, s) = \sup_{t \geq 0} (t+1)^{\tau_q} \Theta_{i,t,q} < \infty$ holds true since $\Theta_{i,t,q} = O(t^{-\tau_q} (\log t)^{-A})$ and $\tau_q = \{q^2 - 4 + (q-2)\sqrt{q^2 + 20q + 4}\}/8q > 1/2 - 1/q$ by Assumption ??;
2. $\max_{(u, h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left(\sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} |w_{t,T}(u, h)|^q \right) \leq 1 < \infty$ as before.

3. $\|\varepsilon_i\|_{2,\tau_q}^2 < \infty$ (follows from 1).

By Assumption ??, $\theta - q/2 < 0$ and the term on the RHS of the above inequality is converging to 0 as $T \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed $\delta > 0$. Hence,

$$\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u,h) \varepsilon_{it} \right| = o_P(\sqrt{T}). \quad (6)$$

Now, consider

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \bar{\varepsilon}_i \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\bar{\varepsilon}_i| \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=\lfloor T(u-h) \rfloor}^{\lceil T(u+h) \rceil} w_{t,T}(u,h) \right|.$$

Similarly as before, $\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \right| = O(\sqrt{T})$. Then, by Proposition ?? $\bar{\varepsilon}_i = o_P(1)$.

Combining (6) and (??), we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\left| \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} - \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \right| \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) (\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) \right| \right) \leq \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} - \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \right| \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \varepsilon_{it} \right| + \\ & + \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} - \{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \right| \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\bar{\varepsilon}_i| \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \right| = \\ & = o_P(\rho_T) \cdot o_P(\sqrt{T}) + o_P(\rho_T) \cdot o_P(1) \cdot O(\sqrt{T}) = \\ & = o_P(\rho_T \sqrt{T}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Plugging (4), (5) and (7) in (2), we get that $|\hat{\Phi}_{n,T} - \hat{\Phi}_{n,T}| = o_P(1)$ and the statement of the theorem follows. \square