

Modelling Epidemic Trends

Statistical problem

Suppose we observe a sample of data $\mathcal{N}_i = \{N_{it} : 1 \leq t \leq T\}$ for n different countries i , where N_{it} is the number of new infections on day t in country i .¹ We assume that $N_{it} \sim \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_i(t/T)}$, that is, N_{it} is Poisson distributed with (time-varying) intensity parameter $\lambda_i(t/T)$. For simplicity, we suppose that the random variables N_{it} are independent both across countries i and time t . In the current Covid-19 crisis, independence across countries i seems justified as borders between countries are effectively closed. Independence across t is more debatable, but may be justified as follows: Since $\lambda_i(t/T) = \mathbb{E}[N_{it}]$, we obtain the nonparametric regression model

$$N_{it} = \lambda_i\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) + u_{it}, \quad (1)$$

where $u_{it} = N_{it} - \mathbb{E}[N_{it}]$ with $\mathbb{E}[u_{it}] = 0$. The persistence in the time series process \mathcal{N}_i is generated by the time-varying trend $\lambda_i(t/T)$ in model (1). In the simplest version of the model, one may assume the noise terms u_{it} to be independent across t , thus neglecting potential dependencies that may cause further persistence.

Our aim is to test whether the dynamics of the Poisson process $\mathcal{N}_i = \{N_{it} : 1 \leq t \leq T\}$ are the same across countries i , that is, whether the time-varying intensity function λ_i has the same shape across i . Suppose we are particularly interested in comparing a specific country i with all other countries j in our sample. In this case, the null hypothesis to be tested can be formulated as

$$H_0^{(i)} : \lambda_i(\cdot) = \lambda_j(\cdot) \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } j \neq i.$$

If we are interested in comparing all countries with each other, the null hypothesis becomes

$$H_0 : \lambda_i(\cdot) = \lambda_j(\cdot) \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } j \neq i.$$

In what follows, we focus on the null hypothesis $H_0^{(i)}$ because it requires a bit less notation, but our approach immediately carries over to the hypothesis H_0 .

¹We can also work with other kinds of count data, e.g., with the accumulated number of infections and the number of deaths (per day or accumulated).

Testing $H_0^{(i)}$

Construction of the test statistic:

- (1) Let $\{\mathcal{I}_k : 1 \leq k \leq K\}$ be a family of subintervals of $[0, 1]$. We first define a statistic to test the hypothesis $H_0^{(ijk)}$ that λ_i is equal to λ_j on the subinterval \mathcal{I}_k , that is,

$$H_0^{(ijk)} : \lambda_i(u) = \lambda_j(u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{I}_k.$$

To do so, we first introduce the expression

$$\hat{s}_{ijk,T} = \sum_{t=1}^T w_k\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)(N_{it} - N_{jt}),$$

where $w_k(t/T)$ is a (rectangular) kernel weight defined by

$$w_k\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) = \frac{\mathbf{1}(\frac{t}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k)}{\{\sum_{s=1}^T \mathbf{1}(\frac{s}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k)\}^{1/2}}.$$

Since N_{it} is Poisson distributed with intensity $\lambda_i(t/T)$, it holds that $\mathbb{E}[N_{it}] = \text{Var}(N_{it}) = \lambda_i(t/T)$ and thus $\text{Var}(u_{it}) = \lambda_i(t/T)$. This implies the following: If $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ on \mathcal{I}_k , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ijk,T}^2 &:= \text{Var}(\hat{s}_{ijk,T}) = \sum_{t=1}^T w_k^2\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathbb{E}[(u_{it} - u_{jt})^2] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T w_k^2\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \left\{ \lambda_i\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) + \lambda_j\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \right\} \\ &\approx h_k^{-1} \int_{u \in \mathcal{I}_k} \{ \lambda_i(u) + \lambda_j(u) \} du, \end{aligned}$$

where h_k is the length of the interval \mathcal{I}_k . In order to normalize the variance of the statistic $\hat{s}_{ijk,T}$, we scale it by an estimator of $\sigma_{ijk,T}$. In particular, we use the expression $\hat{\psi}_{ijk,T} := \hat{s}_{ijk,T} / \hat{\sigma}_{ijk,T}$ as a test statistic, where

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ijk,T}^2 = \sum_{t=1}^T w_k^2\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \{N_{it} + N_{jt}\}.$$

The overall test statistic thus has the form

$$\hat{\psi}_{ijk,T} := \frac{\hat{s}_{ijk,T}}{\hat{\sigma}_{ijk,T}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}(\frac{t}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k)(N_{it} - N_{jt})}{\{\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}(\frac{t}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k)(N_{it} + N_{jt})\}^{1/2}}.$$

- (2) We next combine the test statistics $\hat{\psi}_{ijk,T}$ for all intervals $\{\mathcal{I}_k : 1 \leq k \leq K\}$ and all countries j to obtain the following multiscale test statistic of the hypothesis $H_0^{(i)}$:

$$\hat{\Psi}_{n,T}^{(i)} = \max_{j \neq i} \max_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left\{ |\hat{\psi}_{ijk,T}| - p(h_k) \right\},$$

where as above h_k is the length of the interval \mathcal{I}_k and $p(h) = \sqrt{2 \log(1/h)}$.

Computation of the critical value:

- (1) Under $H_0^{(i)}$ and appropriate regularity conditions, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}_{ijk,T} &= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}\left(\frac{t}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k\right)(u_{it} - u_{jt})}{\left\{ \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}\left(\frac{t}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k\right)(N_{it} + N_{jt}) \right\}^{1/2}} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}\left(\frac{t}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k\right) \left\{ \frac{u_{it}}{\sqrt{\lambda_i(t/T)}} - \frac{u_{jt}}{\sqrt{\lambda_j(t/T)}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the variables $u_{it}/\sqrt{\lambda_i(t/T)}$ and $u_{jt}/\sqrt{\lambda_j(t/T)}$ have zero mean and unit variance.

- (2) We now define a Gaussian version of the statistic displayed in the last line above. In particular, we let

$$\hat{\phi}_{ijk,T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}\left(\frac{t}{T} \in \mathcal{I}_k\right) \{Z_{it} - Z_{jt}\},$$

where Z_{it} are i.i.d. standard normal random variables for $1 \leq t \leq T$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. With this, we define the statistic

$$\Phi_{n,T}^{(i)} = \max_{j \neq i} \max_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left\{ |\hat{\phi}_{ijk,T}| - p(\ell_k) \right\}$$

and denote its $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile by $q_{n,T}^{(i)}(\alpha)$.

Our multiscale test of the hypothesis $H_0^{(i)}$ is now carried out as follows: For a given significance level $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, reject $H_0^{(i)}$ if $\hat{\Psi}_{n,T}^{(i)} > q_{n,T}^{(i)}(\alpha)$.