

## 0.1 Theoretical properties of the test

To start with, we introduce the auxiliary statistic

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \widehat{\Phi}_{ij,T}, \quad (0.1)$$

where

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{ij,T} = \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left\{ \left| \frac{\widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h)}{\{\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{1/2}} \right| - \lambda(h) \right\}$$

and  $\widehat{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) = \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \{(\varepsilon_{it} - \bar{\varepsilon}_i) + \beta_i^\top (\mathbf{X}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i) - (\varepsilon_{jt} - \bar{\varepsilon}_j) - \beta_j^\top (\mathbf{X}_{jt} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j)\}$  with  $\bar{\varepsilon}_i = \bar{\varepsilon}_{i,T} = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_{it}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i = \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{i,T} = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{X}_{it}$  respectively. Our first theoretical result characterizes the asymptotic behaviour of the statistic  $\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T}$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** *Suppose that the error processes  $\mathcal{E}_i = \{\varepsilon_{it} : 1 \leq t \leq T\}$  are independent across  $i$  and satisfy ??-?? for each  $i$ . Moreover, let ??-?? be fulfilled and assume that  $\widehat{\sigma}_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 + o_p(\rho_T)$  with  $\rho_T = o(1/\log T)$  for each  $i$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T} \leq q_{n,T}(\alpha) | \{\mathbf{X}_{it} : 1 \leq t \leq T, 1 \leq i \leq n\}) = (1 - \alpha) + o(1) \text{ a.s.}$$

## 1 Proof of the Theorem 0.1

In this section, we prove the theoretical results from Section ??. We use the following notation: The symbol  $C$  denotes a universal real constant which may take a different value on each occurrence. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $a_+ = \max\{0, a\}$  and  $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$ . For any set  $A$ , the symbol  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ . The notation  $X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} Y$  means that the two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same distribution. Finally,  $f_0(\cdot)$  and  $F_0(\cdot)$  denote the density and distribution function of the standard normal distribution, respectively.

### Auxiliary results using strong approximation theory

The main purpose of this section is to prove that there is a version of the multiscale statistic  $\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T}$  defined in (0.1) which is close to a Gaussian statistic whose distribution is known. More specifically, we prove the following result.

**Proposition A.1.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 0.1, there exist statistics  $\widetilde{\Phi}_{n,T}$  for  $T = 1, 2, \dots$  with the following two properties: (i)  $\widetilde{\Phi}_{n,T}$  has the same distribution as  $\widehat{\Phi}_{n,T}$  for any  $T$ , and (ii)*

$$|\widetilde{\Phi}_{n,T} - \Phi_{n,T}| = o_p\left(\frac{T^{1/q}}{\sqrt{Th_{\min}}} + \rho_T \sqrt{\log T}\right),$$

where  $\Phi_{n,T}$  is a Gaussian statistic as defined in (??).

**Proof of Proposition A.1.** For the proof, we draw on strong approximation theory for each stationary process  $\mathcal{E}_i = \{\varepsilon_{it} : 1 \leq t \leq T\}$  that fulfill the conditions ??-??. By Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 in Berkes et al. (2014), the following strong approximation result holds true: On a richer probability space, there exist a standard

Brownian motion  $\mathbb{B}$  and a sequence  $\{\tilde{\varepsilon}_t : t \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $[\tilde{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_T] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} [\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_T]$  for each  $T$  and

$$\max_{1 \leq t \leq T} \left| \sum_{s=1}^t \tilde{\varepsilon}_s - \sigma \mathbb{B}(t) \right| = o(T^{1/q}) \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\sigma^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Cov}(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_k)$  denotes the long-run error variance. To apply this result, we define

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,T} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \tilde{\Phi}_{ij,T}, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{ij,T} = \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left\{ \left| \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h)}{\{\tilde{\sigma}_i^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_j^2\}^{1/2}} \right| - \lambda(h) \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) = \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \{(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{it} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_i) + \beta_i^\top (\mathbf{X}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i) - (\tilde{\varepsilon}_{jt} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_j) - \beta_j^\top (\mathbf{X}_{jt} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j)\}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_i^2$  are the same estimators as  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$  with  $Y_{it} = (\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i)^\top \mathbf{X}_{it} + m_i(t/T) + (\alpha_i - \hat{\alpha}_i) + \varepsilon_{it}$  replaced by  $\tilde{Y}_{t,T} = (\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i)^\top \mathbf{X}_{it} + m_i(t/T) + (\alpha_i - \hat{\alpha}_i) + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{it}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq T$ . In addition, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n,T} &= \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Phi_{ij,T} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left\{ \left| \frac{\phi_{ij,T}(u,h)}{\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{1/2}} \right| - \lambda(h) \right\} \\ \Phi_{n,T}^\diamond &= \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Phi_{ij,T}^\diamond = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left\{ \left| \frac{\phi_{ij,T}(u,h)}{\{\tilde{\sigma}_i^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_j^2\}^{1/2}} \right| - \lambda(h) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with  $\phi_{ij,T}(u,h) = \sum_{t=1}^T w_{t,T}(u,h) \{\hat{\sigma}_i(Z_{it} - \bar{Z}_i) - \hat{\sigma}_j(Z_{jt} - \bar{Z}_j)\}$  and  $Z_{it} = \mathbb{B}_i(t) - \mathbb{B}_i(t-1)$ . With this notation, we can write

$$|\tilde{\Phi}_{n,T} - \Phi_{n,T}| \leq |\tilde{\Phi}_{n,T} - \Phi_{n,T}^\diamond| + |\Phi_{n,T}^\diamond - \Phi_{n,T}|. \quad (1.3)$$

First consider  $|\Phi_{n,T}^\diamond - \Phi_{n,T}|$ . Since  $\phi_{ij,T}(u,h) \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2)$  for all  $(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T$  and all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $|\mathcal{G}_T| = O(T^\theta)$  for some large but fixed constant  $\theta$  by Assumption (??),  $n$  is fixed and  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 + o_p(\rho_T)$  as well as  $\tilde{\sigma}_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 + o_p(\rho_T)$ , we can establish that

$$|\Phi_{n,T}^\diamond - \Phi_{n,T}| \leq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} \left| \frac{\phi_{ij,T}(u,h)}{\{\tilde{\sigma}_i^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_j^2\}^{1/2}} - \frac{\phi_{ij,T}(u,h)}{\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2 + \hat{\sigma}_j^2\}^{1/2}} \right| = o_P(\rho_T \sqrt{\log T}). \quad (1.4)$$

Plugging (1.4) in (1.3), we get

$$|\tilde{\Phi}_{n,T} - \Phi_{n,T}| \leq |\tilde{\Phi}_{n,T} - \Phi_{n,T}^\diamond| + o_P(\rho_T \sqrt{\log T}).$$

Now consider  $|\tilde{\Phi}_{n,T} - \Phi_{n,T}^\diamond|$ . Straightforward calculations yield that

$$|\tilde{\Phi}_{n,T} - \Phi_{n,T}^\diamond| \leq \{\tilde{\sigma}_i^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_j^2\}^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} |\tilde{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) - \phi_{ij,T}(u,h)|.$$

Using summation by parts,  $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i(b_i - b_{i+1}) + A_n b_n)$  with  $A_j = \sum_{j=1}^i a_j$  we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\phi}_{ij,T}(u,h) - \phi_{ij,T}(u,h)| &\leq W_T(u,h) \max_{1 \leq t \leq T} \left| \sum_{s=1}^t \tilde{\varepsilon}_s - \sigma \sum_{s=1}^t \{\mathbb{B}(s) - \mathbb{B}(s-1)\} \right| \\ &= W_T(u,h) \max_{1 \leq t \leq T} \left| \sum_{s=1}^t \tilde{\varepsilon}_s - \sigma \mathbb{B}(t) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_T(u, h) = \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} |w_{t+1,T}(u, h) - w_{t,T}(u, h)| + |w_{T,T}(u, h)|.$$

Standard arguments show that  $\max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} W_T(u, h) = O(1/\sqrt{Th_{\min}})$ . Applying the strong approximation result (1.1), we can thus infer that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\Phi}_T - \Phi_T^\diamond| &\leq \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} |\tilde{\phi}_T(u, h) - \phi_T(u, h)| \\ &\leq \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \max_{(u,h) \in \mathcal{G}_T} W_T(u, h) \max_{1 \leq t \leq T} \left| \sum_{s=1}^t \tilde{\varepsilon}_s - \sigma \mathbb{B}(t) \right| = o_p\left(\frac{T^{1/q}}{\sqrt{Th_{\min}}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Plugging (1.5) into (1.3) completes the proof.  $\square$

## Auxiliary results using anti-concentration bounds

In this section, we establish some properties of the Gaussian statistic  $\Phi_T$  defined in (??). We in particular show that  $\Phi_T$  does not concentrate too strongly in small regions of the form  $[x - \delta_T, x + \delta_T]$  with  $\delta_T$  converging to zero.

**Proposition A.2.** *Under the conditions of Theorem ??, it holds that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(|\Phi_T - x| \leq \delta_T\right) = o(1),$$

where  $\delta_T = T^{1/q}/\sqrt{Th_{\min}} + \rho_T \sqrt{\log T}$ .

**Proof of Proposition A.2.** The main technical tool for proving Proposition A.2 are anti-concentration bounds for Gaussian random vectors. The following proposition slightly generalizes anti-concentration results derived in Chernozhukov et al. (2015), in particular Theorem 3 therein.

**Proposition A.3.** *Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_p)^\top$  be a Gaussian random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  with  $\mathbb{E}[X_j] = \mu_j$  and  $\text{Var}(X_j) = \sigma_j^2 > 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq p$ . Define  $\bar{\mu} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |\mu_j|$  together with  $\underline{\sigma} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \sigma_j$  and  $\bar{\sigma} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \sigma_j$ . Moreover, set  $a_p = \mathbb{E}[\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} (X_j - \mu_j)/\sigma_j]$  and  $b_p = \mathbb{E}[\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} (X_j - \mu_j)]$ . For every  $\delta > 0$ , it holds that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left| \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} X_j - x \right| \leq \delta\right) \leq C\delta\{\bar{\mu} + a_p + b_p + \sqrt{1 \vee \log(\underline{\sigma}/\delta)}\},$$

where  $C > 0$  depends only on  $\underline{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$ .

The proof of Proposition A.3 is provided at the end of this section for completeness. To apply Proposition A.3 to our setting at hand, we introduce the following notation: We write  $x = (u, h)$  along with  $\mathcal{G}_T = \{x : x \in \mathcal{G}_T\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ , where  $p := |\mathcal{G}_T| \leq O(T^\theta)$  for some large but fixed  $\theta > 0$  by our assumptions. Moreover, for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2j-1} &= \frac{\phi_T(x_{j1}, x_{j2})}{\sigma} - \lambda(x_{j2}) \\ X_{2j} &= -\frac{\phi_T(x_{j1}, x_{j2})}{\sigma} - \lambda(x_{j2}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $x_j = (x_{j1}, x_{j2})$ . This notation allows us to write

$$\Phi_T = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2p} X_j,$$

where  $(X_1, \dots, X_{2p})^\top$  is a Gaussian random vector with the following properties: (i)  $\mu_j := \mathbb{E}[X_j] = -\lambda(x_{j2})$  and thus  $\bar{\mu} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2p} |\mu_j| \leq C\sqrt{\log T}$ , and (ii)  $\sigma_j^2 := \text{Var}(X_j) = 1$  for all  $j$ . Since  $\sigma_j = 1$  for all  $j$ , it holds that  $a_{2p} = b_{2p}$ . Moreover, as the variables  $(X_j - \mu_j)/\sigma_j$  are standard normal, we have that  $a_{2p} = b_{2p} \leq \sqrt{2\log(2p)} \leq C\sqrt{\log T}$ . With this notation at hand, we can apply Proposition A.3 to obtain that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(|\Phi_T - x| \leq \delta_T\right) \leq C\delta_T \left[\sqrt{\log T} + \sqrt{\log(1/\delta_T)}\right] = o(1)$$

with  $\delta_T = T^{1/q}/\sqrt{Th_{\min}} + \rho_T\sqrt{\log T}$ , which is the statement of Proposition A.2.  $\square$

## Proof of Theorem ??

To prove Theorem ??, we make use of the two auxiliary results derived above. By Proposition A.1, there exist statistics  $\tilde{\Phi}_T$  for  $T = 1, 2, \dots$  which are distributed as  $\hat{\Phi}_T$  for any  $T \geq 1$  and which have the property that

$$|\tilde{\Phi}_T - \Phi_T| = o_p\left(\frac{T^{1/q}}{\sqrt{Th_{\min}}} + \rho_T\sqrt{\log T}\right), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\Phi_T$  is a Gaussian statistic as defined in (?). The approximation result (1.6) allows us to replace the multiscale statistic  $\hat{\Phi}_T$  by an identically distributed version  $\tilde{\Phi}_T$  which is close to the Gaussian statistic  $\Phi_T$ . In the next step, we show that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\Phi}_T \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(\Phi_T \leq x)| = o(1), \quad (1.7)$$

which immediately implies the statement of Theorem ?. For the proof of (1.7), we use the following simple lemma:

**Lemma A.4.** *Let  $V_T$  and  $W_T$  be real-valued random variables for  $T = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $V_T - W_T = o_p(\delta_T)$  with some  $\delta_T = o(1)$ . If*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(|V_T - x| \leq \delta_T) = o(1), \quad (1.8)$$

then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(V_T \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(W_T \leq x)| = o(1). \quad (1.9)$$

The statement of Lemma A.4 can be summarized as follows: If  $W_T$  can be approximated by  $V_T$  in the sense that  $V_T - W_T = o_p(\delta_T)$  and if  $V_T$  does not concentrate too strongly in small regions of the form  $[x - \delta_T, x + \delta_T]$  as assumed in (1.8), then the distribution of  $W_T$  can be approximated by that of  $V_T$  in the sense of (1.9).

**Proof of Lemma A.4.** It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{P}(V_T \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(W_T \leq x)| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}[1(V_T \leq x) - 1(W_T \leq x)]| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}[\{1(V_T \leq x) - 1(W_T \leq x)\}1(|V_T - W_T| \leq \delta_T)]| + |\mathbb{E}[1(|V_T - W_T| > \delta_T)]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[1(|V_T - x| \leq \delta_T, |V_T - W_T| \leq \delta_T)] + o(1) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(|V_T - x| \leq \delta_T) + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We now apply this lemma with  $V_T = \Phi_T$ ,  $W_T = \tilde{\Phi}_T$  and  $\delta_T = T^{1/q}/\sqrt{Th_{\min}} + \rho_T\sqrt{\log T}$ : From (1.6), we already know that  $\tilde{\Phi}_T - \Phi_T = o_p(\delta_T)$ . Moreover, by Proposition A.2, it holds that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(|\Phi_T - x| \leq \delta_T\right) = o(1). \quad (1.10)$$

Hence, the conditions of Lemma A.4 are satisfied. Applying the lemma, we obtain (1.7), which completes the proof of Theorem ??.

## References

- BERKES, I., LIU, W. and WU, W. B. (2014). Komlós-Major-Tusnády approximation under dependence. *Annals of Probability*, **42** 794–817.
- CHERNOZHUKOV, V., CHETVERIKOV, D. and KATO, K. (2015). Comparison and anti-concentration bounds for maxima of Gaussian random vectors. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **162** 47–70.