

Index theory of unbounded Fredholm operators

Marina Prokhorova

Technion – Israel Institute of Technology

February 22, 2023 / NYC Noncommutative Geometry Seminar

Classical results

Framework

- H — complex separable Hilbert space of infinite dimension
- Linear operator $A: H \rightarrow H$ is called Fredholm if $\text{Im}(A)$ is closed and $\text{Ker}(A)$ and $\text{Coker}(A) = \text{Ker}(A^*)$ are finite-dimensional.
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$ — space of bounded operators on H (with the norm topology)
- $\mathcal{B}_F(H)$ — subspace of bounded Fredholm operators

Index of Fredholm operators

- Single operator: $\text{ind}(A) = \dim \text{Ker}(A) - \dim \text{Coker}(A)$ determines isomorphism $\text{ind}: \pi_0(\mathcal{B}_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
- Family case: for X compact, there is natural isomorphism $\text{ind}: [X, \mathcal{B}_F] \rightarrow K^0(X)$, so \mathcal{B}_F is the classifying space for K^0 [Atiyah, Jänich]
- $K^0(X)$ is an Abelian group generated by isomorphism classes $[E]$ of vector bundles E over X , with relations $[E \oplus F] = [E] + [F]$
- For $\mathcal{A}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_F$ continuous, $\text{ind}(\mathcal{A}) \approx [\text{Ker}(\mathcal{A})] - [\text{Coker}(\mathcal{A})] \in K^0(X)$ (roughly speaking)

Self-adjoint Fredholm operators

- $\mathcal{B}_F^{\text{sa}}(H)$ — space of self-adjoint Fredholm operators
- $\text{Coker}(A) \cong \text{Ker}(A^*)$, so $\text{ind}(A) = 0$ for self-adjoint A
 Similarly for families: $\text{ind}(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \in K^0(X)$ for $\mathcal{A}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_F^{\text{sa}}(H)$
 However, self-adjoint operators have their own index theory,
 with values in $K^1(X)$ instead of $K^0(X)$.

Theorem [Atiyah and Singer; 1969]

- $\mathcal{B}_F^{\text{sa}} = \mathcal{B}_F^+ \sqcup \mathcal{B}_F^- \sqcup \mathcal{B}_F^*$ has three connected components:
 - ▶ \mathcal{B}_F^+ essentially positive operators
 - ▶ \mathcal{B}_F^- essentially negative operators
 - ▶ \mathcal{B}_F^* neither essentially positive, nor essentially negative
- \mathcal{B}_F^+ and \mathcal{B}_F^- are contractible
- \mathcal{B}_F^* is a classifying space for K^1

$$K^1(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [X, \mathcal{U}_n] = [X, \mathcal{U}_\infty], \text{ where } \mathcal{U}_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{U}_n$$

- $K^0(pt) = \mathbb{Z}$
- $K^1(pt) = 0, K^1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$

	operator/path	family
Fredholm	index: $\pi_0(\mathcal{B}_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$	index: $[X, \mathcal{B}_F] \rightarrow K^0(X)$
Self-adjoint Fredholm	spectral flow: $\pi_1(\mathcal{B}_F^{\text{sa}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$	index: $[X, \mathcal{B}_F^{\text{sa}}] \rightarrow K^1(X)$

Spectral flow: path $\mathcal{A}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_F^{\text{sa}} \rightsquigarrow \text{sp.flow}(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathbb{Z}$

- The spectral flow counts (with signs) the number of eigenvalues passing through zero from the start of the path to its end

Unbounded operators

- Unbounded operator $A: H \rightarrow H$ acts from $\text{dom}(A) \subset H$ to H
- A is called closed if its graph is closed
- A is called densely defined if its domain is dense in H
- $\mathcal{R}(H)$ – regular operators (= closed and densely defined)
- $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sa}}(H)$ – regular self-adjoint operators
- $\mathcal{R}_F(H)$ – regular Fredholm operators (the same definition as in bounded case)

Example: elliptic operators on closed manifolds

- E – vector bundle over a closed manifold M
- A – elliptic operator of order d acting on sections of E ; it is Fredholm
- If $d > 0$, then A is bounded as an operator $H_s(E) \rightarrow H_{s-d}(E)$, but unbounded as an operator $L^2(E) \rightarrow L^2(E)$.
- For K^0 -index, one can deal with bounded operators $H_s \rightarrow H_{s-d}$
- Another option: bounded transform $A \mapsto A(1 + A^*A)^{-1/2}$ provides 0-th order (i.e. bounded) elliptic operator; preserves self-adjointness; behaves well on symbols.

Bounded transform

- Fix a homeomorphism $\chi: \overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, $\chi(A) = A(1 + A^2)^{-1/2}$ (the **bounded transform** map).
- Extension to non-self-adjoint operators: $\chi(A) = A(1 + A^*A)^{-1/2}$.
- A regular $\implies \chi(A)$ bounded, of norm ≤ 1
 A self-adjoint $\iff \chi(A)$ self-adjoint
 A Fredholm $\iff \chi(A)$ Fredholm
- For elliptic operator A of positive order on a closed manifold, $\chi(A)$ is elliptic of order 0 and depends continuously on A

Riesz topology

Riesz topology on $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is induced by the inclusion $\chi: \mathcal{R}(H) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$

Elliptic operators

- Families of elliptic operators on closed manifolds are Riesz continuous
- Families of elliptic boundary value problems on compact manifolds, in general, are **not** Riesz continuous (or are not known to be Riesz continuous), so one needs weaker topology on $\mathcal{R}(H)$

Appropriate topology for boundary value problems is the **graph topology**

Graph topology

- Grassmanian $\text{Gr}(H)$ is the set of all closed subspaces of H with the topology induced by the inclusion $\text{Gr}(H) = \mathcal{P}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$
- *Graph topology* on $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{R}(H) \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(H \oplus H)$ taking operator to its graph

Two topologies on $\mathcal{R}(H)$

- Riesz topology is strictly stronger than the graph topology.
- On bounded operators all three topologies coincide: $\text{norm} = \text{Riesz} = \text{graph}$
- $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is dense and open both in ${}^r\mathcal{R}(H)$ and ${}^g\mathcal{R}(H)$
- ${}^r\mathcal{R}(H) / {}^g\mathcal{R}(H)$ – space of regular operators with Riesz / graph topology
- Riesz topology: elliptic operators on closed manifolds
- Graph topology: elliptic boundary value problems on compact manifolds

Index theory for unbounded operators

Subspaces of $\mathcal{R}(H)$

- $\mathcal{R}^{\text{sa}}(H)$ — self-adjoint operators
- $\mathcal{R}_F(H)$ — Fredholm operators
- $\mathcal{R}_K(H)$ — operators with compact resolvent
(both $(1 + A^*A)^{-1}$ and $(1 + AA^*)^{-1}$ are compact operators)
 - ▶ Example: elliptic operators of positive order

Riesz topology

- Natural inclusions ${}^r\mathcal{R}_K \hookrightarrow {}^r\mathcal{R}_F \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_F$ and ${}^r\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{sa}} \hookrightarrow {}^r\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_F^{\text{sa}}$ induce homotopy equivalences
- In particular, ${}^r\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}$ has three connected components

Families of elliptic operators on closed manifolds are Riesz continuous, so their index theory is the same as for operators of order 0 (i.e. bounded)

Graph topology

Theorem [Booss-Bavnbek, Lesch, Phillips; 2001]

- ${}^g\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}(H)$ is path connected
- Spectral flow homomorphism $\pi_1({}^g\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is surjective

Theorem [Joachim; 2003]

- ${}^g\mathcal{R}_K(H)$ and ${}^g\mathcal{R}_F(H)$ are classifying spaces for K^0
- ${}^g\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{sa}}(H)$ and ${}^g\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}(H)$ are classifying spaces for K^1

Joachim proved this theorem in a more general situation, for the Hilbert module $H_C = C \otimes H$ over a unital C^* -algebra C (the Hilbert space case corresponds to $C = \mathbb{C}$):

- ${}^g\mathcal{R}_K(H_C)$ and ${}^g\mathcal{R}_F(H_C)$ are classifying spaces for $K^0(-; C)$
- ${}^g\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{sa}}(H_C)$ and ${}^g\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}(H_C)$ are classifying spaces for $K^1(-; C)$

However, his proof is based on Kasparov KK-theory, even in the case $C = \mathbb{C}$

My results

Spaces of unbounded Fredholm operators. I. Homotopy equivalences,
arXiv:2110.14359 (2021), 24 pp.

Goals

- Transparent proof of Joachim's results for Hilbert spaces (i.e. for $C = \mathbb{C}$) based on topology and operator theory, without use of KK-theory
- Simple definitions of K^0 and K^1 family index for graph continuous families (convenient for applications; without use of KK-theory) works also for non-compact spaces of parameters
- Relate spaces of unbounded operators with graph topology to classical spaces of bounded operators representing K -theory

Relation to classical spaces

- $\mathcal{B}(H) \hookrightarrow {}^r\mathcal{R}(H) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} {}^g\mathcal{R}(H) \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(H \oplus H)$
- Zero operator $\mapsto H \oplus 0$
- “Infinite operator” $\mapsto 0 \oplus H$
- Restricted Grassmanian $\text{Gr}_K(H \oplus H) = \{L \in \text{Gr} \mid L \text{ is compact def. of } 0 \oplus H\}$
 $= \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p - p_{0 \oplus H} \text{ is compact}\}$
- Fredholm Grassmanian $\text{Gr}_F(H \oplus H) = \{L \in \text{Gr} \mid (L, H \oplus 0) \text{ is Fredholm pair}\}$
 $= \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p - p_{H \oplus 0} \text{ is Fredholm}\}$

Theorem 1 [P; 2021]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & {}^r\mathcal{R}_K & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & {}^g\mathcal{R}_K & \hookrightarrow & \text{Gr}_K \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{B}_F & \hookrightarrow & {}^r\mathcal{R}_F & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & {}^g\mathcal{R}_F & \hookrightarrow & \text{Gr}_F
 \end{array}$$

All these maps are homotopy equivalences, so all spaces represent K^0 .

Self-adjoint operators

Relation to classical spaces

- Lagrangian Grassmanian instead of Grassmanian: ${}^g\mathcal{R}^{\text{sa}}(H) \hookrightarrow \text{LGr}(H \oplus H)$
- Cayley transform $\kappa: {}^g\mathcal{R}^{\text{sa}}(H) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$,
 $\kappa(A) = (A - i)(A + i)^{-1} = 1 - 2i(A + i)^{-1}$
 (so graph convergence \iff convergence in the norm resolvent sense)
- Zero operator $\mapsto -1$, “infinite operator” $\mapsto +1$
- Restricted unitary group $\mathcal{U}_K = \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid u - 1 \text{ is compact}\} \cong \text{LGr}_K$
- “Fredholm unitary group” $\mathcal{U}_F = \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid u + 1 \text{ is Fredholm}\} \cong \text{LGr}_F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{LGr} \\ & \searrow \kappa & \\ & & \mathcal{U}(H) \end{array}$$

Theorem 2 [P; 2021]

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^r\mathcal{R}_K^* & \longrightarrow & {}^g\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{sa}} & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \mathcal{U}_K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B}_F^* & \hookrightarrow & {}^r\mathcal{R}_F^* & \longrightarrow & {}^g\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{U}_F \end{array}$$

All these maps are homotopy equivalences, so all spaces represent K^1 .

Essentially positive / negative operators

Question [Booss-Bavnbek, Lesch, and Phillips; 2001]

Are \mathcal{R}_F^- and \mathcal{R}_F^+ (two “trivial” parts of $\mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}$) contractible in the graph topology?

The answer is negative:

Theorem 3 [P; 2021]

- Each of the spaces ${}^{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{R}_F^+$, ${}^{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{R}_F^-$, and ${}^{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{R}_F^*$ represents K^1
- Embeddings $\mathcal{R}_F^+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}$, $\mathcal{R}_F^- \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}$, and $\mathcal{R}_F^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_F^{\text{sa}}$ are homotopy equivalences in the graph topology
- The same holds for operators with compact resolvent

The following example demonstrates that

- ${}^g\mathcal{R}_K^+$ is not contractible
- Family of elliptic boundary value problems may fail to be Riesz continuous

Rellich's example (extended from \mathbb{R} to $\mathbb{R}P^1$)

- $A = -d^2/dt^2$ acts on functions $\psi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- Family of boundary value problems for A parametrized by $\mathbb{R}P^1 \cong S^1$
- For $x = [x_0 : x_1] \in \mathbb{R}P^1$, the domain of \mathcal{A}_x is

$$\{\psi \in H^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{C}) \mid \psi(0) = 0 \text{ and } x_0\psi(1) = x_1\psi'(1)\}$$

Then we have:

- Each \mathcal{A}_x is essentially positive operator with compact resolvent
- \mathcal{A} is a graph continuous loop with non-trivial spectral flow = 1
- Spectral graph of this family is a single curve;
for positive eigenvalues it is an infinite spiral line making an infinite number of rotations in the upward direction over the circle $\mathbb{R}P^1$;
for negative eigenvalues it goes to $-\infty$ as x goes to $[1 : +0]$

Proof of Theorems 1–3

Our proof handles all the maps on diagrams at once.

The proof is based on the following theorem:

Theorem [tom Dieck; 1971]

A map is a homotopy equivalence if it is locally a homotopy equivalence.

More precisely, let

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ continuous map
- (X_λ) and (Y_λ) numerable coverings of X and Y , indexed by $\lambda \in \Lambda$
- $f(X_\lambda) \subset Y_\lambda$ and $f: X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\lambda$ is a homotopy equivalence
- Moreover, $f: \bigcap_{\lambda \in F} X_\lambda \rightarrow \bigcap_{\lambda \in F} Y_\lambda$ is a homotopy equivalence for every finite non-empty $F \subset \Lambda$

Then f itself is a homotopy equivalence

Theorem 4

Let X be one of the spaces of unbounded operators on the diagrams.
Then the subspace $X_0 = \{A \in X \mid A \text{ invertible}\}$ is contractible.

Proof of Theorem 2 for the map ${}^r\mathcal{R}_K^* \rightarrow {}^g\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{sa}}$

Let $X = {}^r\mathcal{R}_K^*$, $Y = {}^g\mathcal{R}_K^{\text{sa}}$, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ the identity map

- ① We take open coverings parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $X_\lambda = \{A \in X \mid A - \lambda \text{ invertible}\}$ and $Y_\lambda = \{A \in Y \mid A - \lambda \text{ invertible}\}$
- ② Each X_λ and Y_λ is contractible, so $X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\lambda$ is a homotopy equivalence
- ③ For finite intersections, the map is also homotopy equivalence
 (they both are fibered over the same base space with contractible fibers)

Thus tom Dieck's theorem implies that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence

The proof for all other arrows on diagrams is completely similar

M. Prokhorova. *From graph to Riesz continuity*. arXiv:2202.03337 (2022), 20 pp.

Theorem 5 [P; 2022], homotopy inverse map to ${}^r\mathcal{R}_K \hookrightarrow {}^g\mathcal{R}_K$

There is a map $v: \mathcal{R}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$ such that

- ① v is both Riesz-to-norm and graph-to-strong continuous
- ② $\Phi: {}^g\mathcal{R}(H) \rightarrow {}^r\mathcal{R}(H)$, $A \mapsto A \cdot v(A)$ is graph-to-Riesz continuous
- ③ Restriction of Φ to \mathcal{R}_K is homotopy inverse to the identity map ${}^r\mathcal{R}_K \rightarrow {}^g\mathcal{R}_K$
- ④ Restriction of Φ to \mathcal{R}_F is homotopy inverse to the identity map ${}^r\mathcal{R}_F \rightarrow {}^g\mathcal{R}_F$

Theorem 6 [P; 2022]

- ① X arbitrary topological space
- ② $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$ numerable Hilbert bundles over X
- ③ $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_x)$ **graph** continuous, $\mathcal{A}_x \in \mathcal{R}_K(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}'_x)$

Then there are global trivializations of $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$ taking \mathcal{A} to a **Riesz** continuous map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K(H, H')$.

Theorem 6 [P; 2022]

- ① X arbitrary topological space
- ② $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$ numerable Hilbert bundles over X
- ③ $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_x)$ **graph** continuous, $\mathcal{A}_x \in \mathcal{R}_K(\mathcal{H}_x, \mathcal{H}'_x)$

Then there are global trivializations of $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$ taking \mathcal{A} to a **Riesz** continuous map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K(H, H')$.

Theorem 7: self-adjoint case [P; 2022]

- ① X metrizable space of finite covering dimension
- ② \mathcal{H} Hilbert bundle over X
- ③ $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_x)$ **graph** continuous, $\mathcal{A}_x \in \mathcal{R}_K^*(\mathcal{H}_x)$
(self-adjoint operators with compact resolvent,
neither essentially positive nor essentially negative)

Then there is a global trivializations of \mathcal{H} taking \mathcal{A} to a **Riesz** continuous map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K^*(H)$.

In Rellich example operators are essentially positive, so Thm. 7 is not applicable

Alternative approach to the index of unbounded operators

Recently Ivanov developed a new approach to the index of Fredholm families, which works even under weaker continuity assumptions. His approach is based on Segal's theory of classifying spaces.

- ① N.V. Ivanov. *Topological categories related to Fredholm operators: I. Classifying spaces*. arXiv:2111.14313 (2021), 106 pp.
- ② N.V. Ivanov. *Topological categories related to Fredholm operators: II. The analytic index*. arXiv:2111.15081 (2021), 53 pp.