

Dime							
Nielsen's Heuristic	Pros	Cons					
	Description	Description	Severity	Frequency	Impact	Average	Ranking
1	Great visual feedback when the user adds expenses or does a settlement	No loading indications. No friendship cofirmed, no notifications	1	2	2	1.666666667	2
2	The name association and user profile images are really good. Helps to make the process feel realistic of payin somebody back.	Little to no preset options available for budgeting and expenses	1	2	1	1.333333333	1
3	Everything is within two clicks, the save and done buttons allow users to verify before having made a permant decision	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
4	Fluid Desing: Design is fluid across the entire platform, is smart and sharp	Unexciting Colour theme, could be slightly more exciting	2	5	2	3	4
5	Appropriate field entry: Fields vary between dropdown and select tabs where appropriate.	Numeric field lacks validation: Unsure if numeric fields have validation	3	2.5	3	2.833333333	3
6	Uses prompting systems and fields contain dropdowns to help with this selection state. Symbols help a lot	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
7	Plenty of shorcuts, with the main task bar being present on every single page at hte bottom is an excellent feature.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
8	Clean and minimalistic, most pages are simple and intuitive to use.	Complex Group budgeting	3	2	3	2.666666667	3
9	Fields have basic validation in the form of selection tools.	Numeric fields lack validation options	2	2	2	2	2
10	N/A	No help section, no example error messages	5	5	5	5	5
Jet Finance							
Nielsen's Heuristic	Pros	Cons					
	Description	Description	Severity	Frequency	Impact	Average	Ranking
1	N/A	Little to no visual feedback	5	5	2	4	4
2	Colours are great, and preset categories are really helpful, the narrow scope of budgeting design pays off	No interaction with I owe yous etc, no income handling	2	2	2	2	2
3	Good navigation, Can get to everywhere from the hamburger	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
4	Design is consistant across all screens	Income section is shown but not accessbale	5	2	5	4	4
5	Field validation looks good, dropdowns help to ensure incorrect fields can't be added. Field validation with password confirmation is an excellent feature	No way to undo	3	2	4	3	3
6	Symbol intuition is excellent for usability.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
7	Quick finance feature is awesome for quickly doing finance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
8	Design is consistant throught	Poor designed Text boxes and buttons: Text boxes can lyook a little janky. Buttons look bland	2	2	1	1.666666667	2
9	Good field validation with dropdowns	Some lack field validation - eg monetary	2	2	2	2	2
10	N/A	No help sections	5	5	5	5	5
Young and Broke							
Nielsen's Heuristic	Pros	Cons					
	Description	Description	Severity	Frequency	Impact	Average	Ranking
1	Directional slideshow effectively removes the need for users to learn app navigation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
2	Real world Monetary examples such as education and flatting etc are all excellent.	Limited examples, and lumping costs all together as "other costs" feels poorly designed when users may have many different types of expenses that t they don't want to list under one title.	3	5	4	4	4
3	Design between personal and flatting is good, additionally being able to see previously recorded information is good	No way to edit specific values	2	2	3	2.333333333	2
4	Design is consistent once logged in.	First splash screen is inconsistent with the rest of the app	2	1	1	1.333333333	1
5	Clear number validation	Inconsistent selection tools with numbers	2	2	2	2	2
6	Clear questions make for easy reminding. Titles are excellent throughout the app design	Forward and back buttons are positioned awkwardly, are forgettable	2	4	1	2.333333333	2

7	N/A	All fields must be filled out for calculations. requires the user to have a lot of time dedicated at once, as they have to enter all their expenses each time.	5	4	4	4.333333333	4
8	Minimalistic 100%	Lack of features feels to compromise the usability and purpose of the app	3	3	3	3	3
9	Basic form validation	No error handling messages	3	2	2	2.333333333	2
10	Questions are relatively intuitive to display what the page wants you to answer	No help section	5	5	5	5	5

Nielsen's heuristics	
1	Visibility of system status
2	Match between system and the real world
3	User control and freedom
4	Consistency and standards
5	Error prevention
6	Recognition rather than recall
7	Flexibility and efficiency of use
8	Aesthetic and minimalist design
9	Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors
10	Help and documentation

Key	
Severity	How good/bad is the issue?
Frequency	How much of the system is affected
Impact	How much of the system's core functionality is affected?

Ratings	
1	Lowest
2	Low
3	Moderate
4	High
5	Severe