




✓ Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item



1 / 1
point

1. Suppose you have trained an SVM classifier with a Gaussian kernel, and it learned the following decision boundary on the training set:



You suspect that the SVM is underfitting your dataset. Should you try increasing or decreasing C ? Increasing or decreasing σ^2 ?

- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **decreasing** C . It would also be reasonable to try **decreasing** σ^2 .
- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **decreasing** C . It would also be reasonable to try **increasing** σ^2 .
- ☒ It would be reasonable to try **increasing** C . It would also be reasonable to try **decreasing** σ^2 .

Correct

The figure shows a decision boundary that is underfit to the training set, so we'd like to lower the bias / increase the variance of the SVM. We can do so by either increasing the parameter C or decreasing σ^2 .


- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **increasing** C . It would also be reasonable to try **increasing** σ^2 .



1 / 1
point


2. The formula for the Gaussian kernel is given by $\text{similarity}(x, l^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - l^{(1)}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$.

The figure below shows a plot of $f_1 = \text{similarity}(x, l^{(1)})$ when $\sigma^2 = 1$.



Which of the following is a plot of f_1 when $\sigma^2 = 0.25$?





Correct

This figure shows a "narrower" Gaussian kernel centered at the same location which is the effect of decreasing σ^2 .




Figure 2.




Figure 4.




Figure 3.




3. The SVM solves

1 / 1
point

$$\min_{\theta} C \sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \text{cost}_0(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^2$$

where the functions $\text{cost}_0(z)$ and $\text{cost}_1(z)$ look like this:



The first term in the objective is:

$$C \sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \text{cost}_0(\theta^T x^{(i)}).$$

This first term will be zero if two of the following four conditions hold true. Which are the two conditions that would guarantee that this term equals zero?

☒ For every example with $y^{(i)} = 1$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \geq 1$.

Correct

For examples with $y^{(i)} = 1$, only the $\text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)})$ term is present. As you can see in the graph, this will be zero for all inputs greater than or equal to 1.

☐ For every example with $y^{(i)} = 1$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \geq 0$.

Un-selected is correct

☐ For every example with $y^{(i)} = 0$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \leq 0$.

Un-selected is correct

☒ For every example with $y^{(i)} = 0$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \leq -1$.

Correct

For examples with $y^{(i)} = 0$, only the $\text{cost}_0(\theta^T x^{(i)})$ term is present. As you can see in the graph, this will be zero for all inputs less than or equal to -1.



4. Suppose you have a dataset with $n = 10$ features and $m = 5000$ examples.

1 / 1
point

After training your logistic regression classifier with gradient descent, you find that it has underfit the training set and does not achieve the desired performance on the training or cross validation sets.

Which of the following might be promising steps to take? Check all that apply.

☐ Reduce the number of examples in the training set.

Un-selected is correct

☒ Try using a neural network with a large number of hidden units.

Correct

A neural network with many hidden units is a more complex (higher variance) model than logistic regression, so it is less likely to underfit the data.

☐ Use a different optimization method since using gradient descent to train logistic regression might result in a local minimum.

Un-selected is correct

☒ Create / add new polynomial features.

Correct

When you add more features, you increase the variance of your model, reducing the chances of underfitting.



0 / 1
point

5. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.



The maximum value of the Gaussian kernel (i.e., $\text{sim}(x, l^{(1)})$) is 1.

Correct

When $x = l^{(1)}$, the Gaussian kernel has value $\exp(0) = 1$, and it is less than 1 otherwise.



It is important to perform feature normalization before using the Gaussian kernel.

This should be selected



If the data are linearly separable, an SVM using a linear kernel will
return the same parameters θ regardless of the chosen value of
 C (i.e., the resulting value of θ does not depend on C).

This should not be selected

A linearly separable dataset can usually be separated by many different lines. Varying the parameter C will cause the SVM's decision boundary to vary among these possibilities. For example, for a very large value of C , it might learn larger values of θ in order to increase the margin on certain examples.



Suppose you are using SVMs to do multi-class classification and
would like to use the one-vs-all approach. If you have K different
classes, you will train $K - 1$ different SVMs.

Un-selected is correct