Question 1)

```
mario8th@DESKTOP-94RT39N:/mnt/c/Users/mario/Documents/cs_college/cs499/assignments/a5$ ./crazy_scientist_v1

Elapsed
```

Paralyses the loop by splitting it into two parallel sections with the outer loop ending or starting at SIZE/2

Average Execution Time: 27.2928894 seconds

Question 2)

```
mario8th@DESKTOP-94RT39N:/mnt/c/Users/mario/Documents/cs_college/cs499/assignments/a5$ ./crazy_scientist_v2

Total Time (sanity check): 27.160718

Time Thread1: 4.724290

Load imbalance:: 22.436379

mario8th@DESKTOP-94RT39N:/mnt/c/Users/mario/Documents/cs_college/cs499/assignments/a5$
```

Same as v1, but times when each section finishes it's portion of the contained loop

Average Load Imbalance: 22.6426029 Average Execution Time: 27.4305211

Question 3)

```
mario8th@DESKTOP-94RT39N:/mnt/c/Users/mario/Documents/cs_college/cs499/assignments/a5$ ./crazy_scientist_v3

Total Time (sanity check): 17.304703
Time Thread1: 17.304698
Time Thread2: 15.880902
Load imbalance: 1.423796
mario8th@DESKTOP-94RT39N:/mnt/c/Users/mario/Documents/cs_college/cs499/assignments/a5$ __
```

Creates a dynamic for parallel section with a nowait clause so the times are saved when each thread is done with all available loop iterations.

Average Load Imbalance: 1.4276278 Average Execution Time: 17.1351614

Both the load balance and execution time are improved significantly. Due to the rows being selected dynamically, each thread grabs the next available iteration. As such, when the iterations get longer later in the cycle, both threads continue working. This is contrary to in v2, where thread 1 received the shortest threads in the first half while thread 2 received the longest the latter half. Now both threads receive both long and short iterations. This also improves the load imbalance.

Question 4)

```
mario8th@OESKTOP-94RT39N:/mnt/c/Users/mario/Documents/cs_college/cs499/assignments/a5$ ./crazy_scientist_v4

Total Time (sanity check): 16.831133

Number of iteratsion for thread1: 24

Number of iteratsion for thread2: 26

Time Thread1: 16.830903

Time Thread2: 14.879746

Load imbalance: 1.951157
```

A do_work function is called by two threads. Each thread enters a while loop, then waits at a mutex lock. In the mutex lock, each thread checks if the total number of iterations has met the total number, if so it unlocks and breaks from the while loop, else it grabs the current iteration and increment it for the next thread. Once past the lock it loops through the inner j loop while doing the crazy computation. Once done it goes back to the lock. After the max iterations has been met, each thread saves the time it exited and returns.

Average Load Imbalance: 1.6690599 Average Execution Time: 16.7471131

While the load imbalance is better than in v2, it is slightly worse than in v3. This is most likely due to how Pthreads decide which thread is able to access the lock. However, the average execution time is better than voth v2 and v3. This is most likely due to the amount of overhead. In omp, there may be more overhead that is abstracted from the user, but with pthreads I controlled all the overhead of choosing the next iteration, and was able to optimize it slightly. This slight optimization only resulted in a small improvement.