Spaghetti Earthquake Rubric

Student name:	Individual score:	/140
Team members:		
Mass of structure (grams):		
leight of structure (cm, min 40cm):		
otal money spent (budget \$4875):		
nsurance?	Yes / No	
ime recorded before structure collapse (sec, 10 sec ninimum):		
arthquake magnitude:		

	16 – 20 points	11 – 15 points	6 – 10 points	0 – 5 points
	Diagram drawn extremely well.	Diagram drawn Satisfactorily.	Diagram messy.	No diagram turned in.
Architectural	Professional look.	Drawing neat.	Not labeled.	Poor or sloppy drawing.
Drawing (Blueprint)	Highly detailed.	Good detail.	Some detail.	Very difficult to read or
& Building Permit	Parts labeled.	Most parts labeled.	Difficult to read or understand.	understand.
Report	Easy to understand. Exceptionally well-written building permit report.	Understandable Satisfactorily written building permit report.	Incomplete building permit report	Poorly written, incomplete or no building permit report
Use of Materials / Clean-up	Materials properly used and cared for. No materials wasted.	Materials used properly but some wasted material. Area reasonably cleaned up by the bell.	Some or most materials wasted damaged or lost. Area not clean by the time bell rings.	Most materials lost, damaged or wasted.
	Area cleaned up thoroughly by the bell.	Materials being put away at bell.	Materials put away after bell.	Work area left a mess.
Team Cooperation	Group works very well together. Ideas from all members listened to and	Group works adequately well. For the most part ideas respected and listened to by all	Group not cooperating. Not respecting or listening to others.	Group not attempting to work or cooperate.
	respected. Excellent participation.	members. 1 or 2 warnings given for lack of	3 or more times warned for lack of cooperation or not	Inappropriate discussions not related to project.

		cooperation or participation.	participating.	
	Appropriate discussion of topic.	Some arguing or inappropriate discussion.	Arguing or inappropriate discussion.	Total lack of participation.
	Well presented. Presentation shows evidence of planning	Presentation good. Some evidence of planning.	Presentation weak. Little planning.	Presentation poor - little or no planning shown.
Group Presentation /	Two or more examples of research given.	One or two examples of research	One example of research given.	No examples of research given.
Research	Excellent description of how research applies to project.	Good description of how research applies.	Weak description of how research applies.	Poor description of how research applies.
	All online sources provided.	Some of the online sources provided.	Few online sources provided.	No online sources provided.
	Very well built. Supports egg easily without leaning or swaying.	Structure stands on own but leans or wobbles. Will support	Structure stands but will not support egg.	Structure will not stand on own. Will not support egg.
Building of Structure	Project requirements are met and exceeded.	egg. Project requirements are met.	Most project requirements are met.	Project requirements are not met.
/ Design	Project completed on time.	Completed on time.	Requires extra time to complete project.	Structure not finished even with extra time.
	Final structure closely matches the proposed blue prints and building permit report.	Final structure matches the proposed blue prints and building permit report only with minor changes.	Final structure has several changes from the proposed blue prints and building permit report.	Final structure does not match the proposed blue prints and building permit report.
Earthquake	Egg does not fall and	Egg falls but structure remains	Egg falls.	No test / No structure.
Test structu	structure remains intact for 10 sec.	intact and standing for 10 seconds	Structure breaks or falls within 10 sec.	Structure so poorly built it cannot be tested.
	Exceptionally well-written	Well-written	Mediocre quality	Unacceptable quality or no report submitted
Analytical Report	Using all English conventions with no spelling/grammatical errors	Using most English conventions with 1-2 spelling/grammatical errors	Using some English conventions with several spelling/grammatical errors	Using none/few English conventions with many spelling/grammatical errors
	Proper technical terminology	Mostly technical vocabulary	Little technical vocabulary	No technical vocabulary

Points can be deducted on an individual basis. These deductions will only affect the individual and not the entire team. Examples of individual deductions are listed in the special instructions.

Category	Deduction
Over budget (10% point deduction for every \$100 over budget)	
Horseplay/messing around/too loud (-10 points)	
Not participating with team and/or ostracizing team members (-10 points)	
Disturbing/interfering with other teams (incl. touching other team's construction materials) (-20 points)	
Ctudent disquelified (zero merl)	

Student disqualified (zero mark).		
Explanation:		