"Spaghetti" Earthquake Rubric

Student name:	Individual score:	/140	
Team members:			

	16 – 20 points	11 – 15 points	6 – 10 points	0 – 5 points
Architectural	Diagram drawn extremely well.	Diagram drawn Satisfactorily.	Diagram messy.	No diagram turned in.
	Professional look.	Drawing neat.	Not labeled.	Poor or sloppy drawing.
Drawing (Blueprint) &	Highly detailed. Parts labeled.	Good detail. Most parts labeled.	Some detail. Difficult to read or	Very difficult to read or understand. Poorly written, incomplete or no building permit report
Building Permit Report	Easy to understand. Exceptionally well-written building permit	Understandable Satisfactorily written building permit report.	understand. Incomplete building permit report	
Use of Materials / Clean-up	report. Materials properly used and cared for. No materials wasted. Area cleaned up thoroughly by the bell.	Materials used properly but some wasted material. Area reasonably cleaned up by the bell. Materials being put away at bell.	Some or most materials wasted damaged or lost. Area not clean by the time bell rings. Materials put away after bell.	Most materials lost, damaged or wasted. Work area left a mess.
Team Cooperation	Group works very well together. Ideas from all members listened to and respected. Excellent participation. Appropriate discussion of topic.	Group works adequately well. For the most part ideas respected and listened to by all members. 1 or 2 warnings given for lack of cooperation or participation.	Group not cooperating. Not respecting or listening to others. 3 or more times warned for lack of cooperation or not participating.	Group not attempting to work or cooperate. Inappropriate discussions not related to project. Total lack of participation.
		Some arguing or inappropriate discussion.	Arguing or inappropriate	participation.

			discussion.	
Group Presentati on / Internet Research	Well presented. Presentation shows evidence of planning	Presentation good. Some evidence of planning.	Presentation weak. Little planning.	Presentation poor - little or no planning shown.
	Two or more examples of research given.	One or two examples of research	One example of research given.	No examples of research given.
	Excellent description of how research applies to project.	Good description of how research applies.	Weak description of how research applies.	Poor description of how research applies.
	All online sources provided.	Some of the online sources provided.	Few online sources provided.	No online sources provided.
Building of Structure / Design	Very well built. Supports egg easily without leaning or	Structure stands on own but leans or wobbles. Will	Structure stands but will not support egg.	Structure will not stand on own. Will not support egg.
	swaying. Project requirements	support egg. Project requirements are	Most project requirements are met.	Project requirements are not met.
	are met and exceeded. Project completed on time.	met. Completed on time.	Requires extra time to complete project.	Structure not finished even with extra time.
	Final structure closely matches the proposed blue prints and building permit report.	Final structure matches the proposed blue prints and building permit report only with minor changes.	Final structure has several changes from the proposed blue prints and building permit report.	Final structure does not match the proposed blue prints and building permit report.
Earthquake Test	Egg does not fall and structure remains intact for 10 sec.	Egg falls but structure remains intact and standing for 10 seconds	Egg falls.	No test / No structure.
			Structure breaks or falls within 10 sec.	Structure so poorly built it cannot be tested.
Analytical Report	Exceptionally well- written	Well-written	Mediocre quality	Unacceptable quality or no report submitted
	Using all English conventions with no spelling/grammatical errors	Using most English conventions with 1-2 spelling/grammatical errors	Using some English conventions with several spelling/grammatical errors	Using none/few English conventions with many spelling/grammatical
	Proper technical terminology	Mostly technical vocabulary	Little technical vocabulary	No technical vocabulary

Points can be deducted on an individual basis. These deductions will only affect the individual and not the entire team. Examples of individual deductions are listed in the special instructions.

Category	Deduction
Over budget (10% deduction for every \$100 over budget)	
Horseplay/messing around/too loud (-20)	
Not participating with team (-10)	
Disturbing or interfering with other teams (-20)	

Student disqualified (zero mark).
Explanation: