READING ENDTES

[BROWN 2011] 19 MAY 2020	
Evidence for universal interactional - 11.	
specificity of interactional asti	utural
specificity of interactional patterns for language use cass culturally	specificity_
Triadic joint attention ~ interactional organization of attention	
Developmentalist (cognitive) perspective:	
-Before trisdic JA shifty develops; exegivers practice it anyway by attribution intentionality & response confingency lexamples from postindistrial societies. - Infant gaze is enviral evidence for common ground & mutual awareness leg switch bother cangiver & referent)	2
intentionality & response contingency lexamples from postindistrial societies	}
Intent gaze is enveral evidence for common ground & mutual swareness lea swifel	hes
blun congiver & referent) & sensitivity to have - Developmental progression: Sensitivity to have Sensiti	
Thelipmental progression sensitivity to have	
le havier toward others sharing a directing	
o susteness of the other es in intentional agent	
9 12 5 18	
Lo Support from cross-cultural research, including Yoruba and I King Son	
infants	
intentions) To some association alvocabulary growth	п.
intentions)	
Some association of vocabulary growth	
Second link to grounding (and/or affection monagement) - Clark Hurd link to audience design based on 'publicly available' into - Wootten	
third link to audience design based on 'publicly available' into - Wootlen	
40 leads to an early tracking of one's own observability and	
evidence thereof (Kidwell & Zimmerman)	
fourth link to achievable assumed states of attention, e.g. single is multiple	
foci among Salt Lake (US) vs. San l'edro (quaternala) infants - Rogoff	
D'more experience in large households - better after non division	
across invitible faci - social aftertion pattern differs cross-cultura	lleg
DOES THE 9-MO REVOLUTION APPER ROBUSTLY IN TWO NONINDUSTA SOCIETIES WHICH THEMSELVES DIFFER IN ATTITUDES	RIAL
ABOUT INFANT-DIRECTED/-CENTRIC TALK?	

DATASET: Activity annotations initiations for 5-min dip-level of 9 4D & 8 TS 9-15 mo infants - during recordings infants were dert & available for interaction DYD CLEAR & DRAMATIC DIFFERENCE IN INTERACTIONAL DISTRIBUTION CONSISTENT WETHNOGRAPHIC WORK 4D * slightly goinger mean (NIT/MIN) 3.4 Infant initiations/min 1.9 2.3 Other intistions/MIN 1.5 5.1 Do Rossel intents display TJA earlier then? In both places, some of the fine. -> Caregirers point for 9-15 mos -> 9-15 mis fellow others points/gestives to enter TJA -> Positing ises the indix-finger torm -> " withoute TIA w/ points/gestives w/others Lo so no no evidence for a difference . JA episodes are more frequent in the YD data \$ tend to be longer \$ more affectively arrived, but is similar to TS episodes in achievement of TA & display of knowledge of others' comm intentions.
Labelling not as common here. Instead? Acknowledging or getting the child to interact with it. · While not all intents point, all displayed some DA abilities Instead? Holding out, reaching I gesting toward, vocaliting, gazing · Age of emergence for pointing consistent of prior work Washing is. Pointing may be a condidate invasal but one that will be deployed differently cross-cultivally (Gaskins, 2006), some ul caregiver imputation of infant intention Despite differences in realization, the data do support emergence of TJA w/ the culturally specified norms ~ 12 months ~ universal propensity