

?

EXPERIMENT 1.

- Responses to log versions came at turn-end, not at turn-internal completion point

So you're a student?

(short)

Yeah

You came here by bike this morning

(Long)

Yup


→ Acoustic analysis of early (F0 scaling, speech rate) and late (F0 scaling, PF syll dur, intensity)
↳ only late cues (F0 & syll dur) were significantly diff on early syntactic completion point btwn short and long versions

EXPERIMENT 2

EARLY CUES:
short v. long
LATE CUES
long

so you're a student?

← chopped from long

 ← chopped from long, spliced into short

EARLY CUES
short v. long

MIDDLE CUES

Short
LATE CUES
long

at the Radboud University?

← increment from long

← early coes & increment from long

originals



--	--	--

→ no early cue effects

→ short version boundary cut \Rightarrow shorter RTs & false alarms for short & long versions

- ↳ responses to short versions (manip) w/ long-version boundary cue $> 400\text{ms}$ so probably a reaction to silence

→ Turn-final cues are used ~ Prosodic ones in this case (f0, PFL)

→ Doesn't preclude early prediction, nor early planning

→ Happens in other domains too (e.g. phonetics)

For max

Good part

normal syll dur