

# IN5170 Oral Exam

Eduard Kamburjan

Einar Broch Johnsen

2021

## Exercise 1

- a) Explain the program

```
1 int x = 0;
2 bool flag = true;
3
4 co
5 <await x>2; > flag = false;
6 ||
7 while (flag) <x=x+1;>
8 oc
```

- b) Does the program terminate?

- c) Will the program terminate under some fairness conditions?

- d) What are safety and liveness properties?

## Exercise 2a

- a) Explain semaphores

- b) Which semaphores would you use for Producer/Consumer, and why?

- c) Is this an example of a split binary semaphore?

- d) Does the solution scale to multiple producers or multiple consumers?

```

1 T buf[n];
2 int front := 0, rear := 0;
3 sem empty := n; XXX
4 sem full := 0; XXX
5
6 process Producer {
7   while (true) {
8     P(empty); XXX
9     buff[rear] := data;
10    rear := (rear+1) % n;
11    V(full); XXX
12  }
13}
14
15 process Consumer {
16   while (true) {
17     P(full); XXX
18     result := buff[front];
19     front := (front +1) % n;
20     V(empty); XXX
21   }
22 }

```

Figure 1: Remove lines with XXX on the whiteboard

## Exercise 2b

How would you program Producer Consumer with monitors?

## Exercise 3

Asynchronous Programming

- How do actors communicate?
- How does that map to OO languages like C# ?
- What are the problems with a paradigm that uses only async. message sending? (call-back hell)
- What are solutions? (futures, channels, cooperative scheduling)
- Compare futures and promises.
- Compare futures and linear channels for call-backs.

## Exercise 4

Consider the following language

$$e ::= v \mid 1 \mid e * e \mid v + e$$

```

1 monitor Queue {
2   T buf[n];
3   int front := 0, rear := 0;
4   int filled := 0;
5
6   cond notempty; XXX
7   cond notfull; XXX
8
9   procedure Produce() {
10    while (filled = n) { wait (notfull) } ;XXX
11    filled := filled + 1;
12    signal (notempty) ;XXX
13  }
14
15  procedure Consume() {
16    while (filled = 0) { wait (notempty) } ;XXX
17    filled := filled - 1;
18    signal (notfull) ;XXX
19  }
20}

```

Figure 2: Remove on the whiteboard

Where  $e * *e$  executes its parameters in parallel and then multiplies them, and  $v ++$  is the usual incremental return with side-effect. Consider the types

$$T ::= \mathbf{int} \mid \mathbf{int}_1 \mid \mathbf{int}_0$$

Where the indexed types are linear. Consider the following rules.

$$\frac{\mathsf{un}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash 1 : \mathbf{int}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{un}(\Gamma[v \mapsto \mathbf{int}_0]) \quad \Gamma(v) = \mathbf{int}_1}{\Gamma \vdash v : \mathbf{int}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{un}(\Gamma[v \mapsto \mathbf{int}_0]) \quad \Gamma(v) = \mathbf{int}_1}{\Gamma \vdash v ++ : \mathbf{int}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{int} \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash e_2 : \mathbf{int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 * * e_2 : \mathbf{int}}$$

- What is the task of  $\mathsf{un}(\Gamma)$ ?
- Define  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$
- Explain how concurrency can introduce bugs (data race on  $v * * v ++$ )
- Explain how linearity solves this

- Explain how the type system enforces linearity
- How does linearity occur in Rust? How does it differ from the linearity in this system? How does it help with concurrency?
- What is the difference between a linear and a session type? What are the commonalities?