

## Case for

Who	Why listen	Argument(s)
Nick Bostrom	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Professor U. of Oxford</li> <li>* writes extensively on machine intelligence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ ASI acquires resources and eliminates threats to fulfill goal</li> <li>→ very hard to control ASI</li> </ul>
Stephen Omohundro	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* pioneer in AI lip reading, image recognition</li> <li>* one of the inventors of Wolfram Mathematica</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ not enough to have ASI without harmless goals</li> <li>→ most ASI drives: protect itself, preserve goals, self-improvement and acquire resources</li> </ul>
Anders Sandberg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* researcher, futurist, science debater</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ Whole Brain Emulation without careful choosing of the brain could create next Adolf Hitler, 1000 smarter than the historic titan</li> </ul>

## Case against

Who	Why listen	Argument(s)
Vernor Vinge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Professor of CS</li> <li>* coined the "Singularity" term</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ humans greatly increase their cognitive abilities → they become able to understand how ASI behaves</li> </ul>
Eric Drexler	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* one of the founding fathers of nanotechnology</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ confine ASI using physical rules so they can be examined by humans</li> </ul>
David Chalmers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Professor of Philosophy</li> <li>* writes extensively on consciousness, extended mind</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>→ deploy the ASI in a virtual world and observe its behaviour, deploy in real world only when is safe</li> </ul>