Exercises Ordered Categorical Indicators

Exercise 6.1

Bartholomew, Steele, Galbraith, and Moustaki (2008) analyzed four items from the British Social Attitudes Survey concerning abortion. The item responses from 379 respondents are available in the Abortion data from the ltm package. The items wording is as follows:

- 1. The woman decides on her own that she does not wish to have a child.
- 2. The couple agree that they do not wish to have a child.
- 3. The woman is not married and does not wish to marry the man.
- 4. The couple cannot afford any more children.

For each item, respondents were to indicate yes (1) or no (0) on whether abortion should be allowed in that situation.

```
library("ltm")
summary(Abortion)
```

We first rename the variables, so their names do not contain spaces (this would be problematic for specifying the model in lavaan syntax):

```
names(Abortion) <- c(paste0("I", 1:4))</pre>
```

- a) Find the proportion of respondents who endorsed each item (i.e., the mean score). Which items are the most (least) difficult (i.e., for which items do you need the higher (lower) levels of the latent trait in order to endorse the item?)
- b) Fit a CFA for binary responses using the CFA function, assuming a single factor underlies all item responses. Think of an appropriate name for the common factor. Hint: use ordered = pasteO("I", 1:4) to declare the items as ordered categorical in using the cfa() function.
- c) Assess model fit by checking parameter estimates and the values of the fit indices.

Inspect the estimated thresholds and loadings to answer the following questions:

- d) If you would have to create a 1-item abortion attitude test, which item would you select?
- e) If the 1-item test has to be used to find persons with extremely liberal views on abortion, which item would you select?

Exercise 6.2

Beaujean and Sheng (2010) conducted an IRT analysis of the ten-item vocabulary test from the General Social Survey. Data from the respondents with responses to all 10 items (n = 2943) from the 2000 decade group are available as a space delimited file (gss2000.dat), and the items are named word.a-word.j. Get the file "gss2000.dat" from the github repository. To load it in \mathbf{R} , type:

```
gssdat <- read.table("gss2000.dat", header = TRUE)
summary(gssdat)</pre>
```

```
## word.a word.b word.c word.d ## Min. :0.0000 Min. :0.0000 Min. :0.0000
```

```
1st Qu.:1.0000
                       1st Qu.:1.0000
                                         1st Qu.:0.0000
                                                            1st Qu.:1.0000
##
                      Median :1.0000
                                                            Median :1.0000
##
    Median :1.0000
                                         Median :0.0000
            :0.8556
                              :0.9297
                                                                   :0.9504
##
    Mean
                      Mean
                                         Mean
                                                 :0.2695
                                                            Mean
                                                            3rd Qu.:1.0000
##
    3rd Qu.:1.0000
                       3rd Qu.:1.0000
                                         3rd Qu.:1.0000
##
    Max.
            :1.0000
                       Max.
                              :1.0000
                                         Max.
                                                 :1.0000
                                                            Max.
                                                                   :1.0000
        word.e
                           word.f
##
                                             word.g
                                                               word.h
##
    Min.
            :0.0000
                              :0.0000
                                                 :0.000
                                                                  :0.0000
                      Min.
                                         Min.
                                                          Min.
##
    1st Qu.:1.0000
                       1st Qu.:1.0000
                                         1st Qu.:0.000
                                                           1st Qu.:0.0000
##
    Median :1.0000
                      Median :1.0000
                                         Median : 0.000
                                                          Median :0.0000
##
    Mean
            :0.8345
                       Mean
                              :0.8488
                                         Mean
                                                 :0.388
                                                           Mean
                                                                  :0.3948
##
    3rd Qu.:1.0000
                       3rd Qu.:1.0000
                                         3rd Qu.:1.000
                                                           3rd Qu.:1.0000
##
    Max.
            :1.0000
                       Max.
                              :1.0000
                                         Max.
                                                 :1.000
                                                           Max.
                                                                  :1.0000
        word.i
##
                           word.j
            :0.0000
##
    Min.
                       Min.
                              :0.0000
##
    1st Qu.:1.0000
                       1st Qu.:0.0000
##
    Median :1.0000
                       Median :0.0000
##
    Mean
            :0.7689
                              :0.3136
                      Mean
##
    3rd Qu.:1.0000
                       3rd Qu.:1.0000
            :1.0000
##
    Max.
                              :1.0000
                      Max.
```

- a) Conduct a CFA on these items, assuming one underlying latent factor. Hint: Add the following in the call to the cfa() function: ordered = paste0("word.", letters[1:10])
- b) What are the easiest and most difficult items?
- c) What are the best and worst indicators of the latent trait?
- d) Fit an IRT model on the same data using function ltm(). Check if the same items are most easy (difficult), and whether the same items are the best and worst indicators of the latent trait.
- e) Does the Rasch, or the 2pl model fit the 4 vocabulary items better? Evaluate using DWLS estimation (function cfa()), as well as using ML estimation (functions ltm() and rasch()).

Additional exercise: HADS

Get the "HADS.sav" file from github and load it in R by typing:

```
library("foreign")
HADS <- read.spss("HADS.sav", to.data.frame = TRUE)
head(HADS)</pre>
```

```
Respondentnummer leeftijd
                                   geslacht
                                                    HADS1
                                                                 HADS2
                                                                               HADS3
##
## 1
                500002
                              30
                                 een vrouw
                                             bijna altijd bijna nooit bijna altijd
                500003
## 2
                              55
                                                     soms
                                    een man
                                                                  soms
## 3
                500004
                              37
                                    een man
                                                     vaak
                                                                  soms bijna altijd
## 4
                500005
                              43
                                                     vaak bijna nooit bijna altijd
                                    een man
## 5
                              55
                500006
                                                     vaak bijna nooit
                                    een man
                                                     soms bijna nooit bijna nooit
## 6
                500007
                              66
                                    een man
##
           HADS4
                        HADS5
                                      HADS6
                                                   HADS7
## 1 bijna nooit bijna nooit bijna altijd
                                                    soms
## 2
            vaak
                         soms
                                                    vaak
## 3 bijna nooit
                         vaak
                                       vaak
                                                    vaak
## 4 bijna nooit
                                       vaak bijna nooit
                         vaak
## 5
            soms bijna nooit
                                       vaak bijna nooit
            vaak bijna nooit
                                       soms bijna nooit
## 6
```

The file contains item responses of 502 respondents and the anxiety items of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS).

The wording of these items is as follows:

- 1. I feel tense or wound up
- 2. I get a sort of frightened feeling as if something awful is about to happen
- 3. Worrying thoughts go through my mind
- 4. I can sit at ease and feel relaxed
- 5. I get a sort of frightened feeling like 'butterflies' in the stomach
- 6. I feel restless as I have to be on the move
- 7. I get sudden feelings of panic
- a) Using the cfa() function, fit the graded response model to these items responses.
- b) Which category from which item is the 'easiest'?
- c) What do we mean by 'easiest' in this case?
- d) Are all category thresholds ordered similarly across items?
- e) Using the cfa() function, fit a partial credit model to the item responses.
- f) Compare the parameter estimates and fit of the GRM and PCM and decide which model you prefer.
- g) Let's treat the HADS items as continuous indicators now. We first convert the items responses to class numeric:

```
HADS2 <- sapply(HADS[ , 4:10], as.numeric)
head(HADS2)</pre>
```

Now fit a CFA model to the items using ML estimation (i.e., apply the cfa() function but do NOT declare the items as ordered).

Evaluate model fit by looking at fit measures and parameter estimates.

h) Now fit a CFA model using robust ML estimation. You can do this by adding estimator = "MLR" to the call to function cfa(). Compare the parameter estimates between the ML and robust ML model. Compare the fit measures between the ML and robust ML model.

Note: The fit of the unidimensional model may nont be optimal. With the following two-factor model you will likely obtain better fit:

```
HADS.mod2 <- '
PAG =~ HADS1 + HADS4 + HADS6
ANX =~ HADS2 + HADS3 + HADS5 + HADS7
```