Exercise 1

Load the Boston Housing data:

```
library("MASS")
data(Boston)
```

Set up a cubic spline basis for variable lstat:

```
library("splines")
basis <- bs(Boston$lstat, df = 5)</pre>
```

- (a) Where are the knots located? (you can see this if you print basis)
- (b) How many basis functions were generated?
- (c) Create a plot with the value of each basis function on the y-axis and the lstat variable on the x-axis. Hint: First reorder the observations in the dataset Boston <- Boston[order(Boston\$lstat),] and then use function matplot.

Exercise 1 - Continued

(d) Regress response variable medy on the cubic spline just created, e.g.:

```
library("gam") mod_df5 <- gam(medv \sim bs(lstat, df = 5), data = Boston)
```

Also fit a cubic spline model with 8 df.

Compare the two fitted models, using functions summary(), plot() (or plot.Gam(); also make sure to specify residuals = TRUE) and BIC(). Which of the two models fits best?

(e) Fit two natural cubic splines, one with 3 df and one with 5 df to the data from Exercise 1. Hint: Use function ns() instead of bs() to set up the spline basis; use function gam() to fit the model as before.

Inspect, interpret and compare the fitted models using summary (), plot () and BIC(). Which model fits best? Is the natural spline an improvement over the cubic spline?

Exercise 2

- Fit smoothing splines to the data from Exercise 1. Again use function gam to fit the model, but use function s() instead of bs or ns to fit a smoothing spline. Specify a high (> 100) once, and then a low value (between 3 and 8) for the df argument.
- Inspect, interpret and compare the fitted models using summary(), plot(), BIC(). Do the smoothing splines provide an improvement over the natural and cubic splines fitted earlier?

Exercise 3: Multiple predictor variables, binary outcome

- Download the file MASQ.txt from the GitHub repo. Read it into R: MASQ <- read.table("MASQ.txt", header=TRUE)
- The dataset (N = 3597) contains the following variables:
 - D_TOT: the total number of diagnoses present (ignore for today)
 - D_DEPDYS: Response variable of interest; an indicator for whether a depressive or dysthymic disorder is present, or not. Make sure it is coded as a factor.
 - leeftijd (age)
 - geslacht (gender; make sure it is coded as a factor)
 - Subscale scores from the Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire:
 - * AD: Anhedonic Depression (22 item scale score)
 - * AA: Anxious Arousal items (17 item scale score)
 - * GDD: General Distress Depression (12 item scale score)
 - * GDA: General Distress Anxiety (11 item scale score)

- * GDM: General Distress Mixed (15 item scale score)
- Select 80% training, 20% test observations, e.g.:

```
set.seed(1)
train <- sample(1:nrow(MASQ), size = nrow(MASQ) * .8)</pre>
```

• Fit a GAM on the training observations, to predict D_DEPDYS using all other variables (exclude D_TOT, it would make the prediction problem too easy). Use function gam from package mgcv:

```
detach("package:gam", unload=TRUE)
library("mgcv")
GAM <- gam(D_DEPDYS ~ s(AD) + s(AA) + s(GDD) + s(GDA) + s(GDM)
, data = MASQ[train, ])</pre>
```

• Use the summary and plot functions to evaluate the fitted model and curves. Which predictor variables seem to be most important? Which variables' effects deviate from a linear effect?

Exercise 4 - Fit SVMs to MASQ data

Fit SVMs on the training observations of the MASQ data and compare performance with the GAMs using the test observations.

- Use functions tune () and svm() from package e1071.
- Predict D_DEPDYS using all other variables, except D_TOT as predictors. Probably easiest from now on to simply remove that column from the dataset:

```
MASQ \leftarrow MASQ[, -9]
```

• Fit a support vector classifier (i.e., SVM with linear kernel). First tune the cost parameter using function tune ():

```
cost <- c(.001, .01, .1, 1, 5, 10, 100) tune.out <- tune(svm, D_DEPDYS \sim ., data = MASQ[train, ], kernel = "linear", ranges = list(cost = cost))
```

• With the optimal budget (cost), now fit the SVM:

```
svmfit <- svm(D_DEPDYS \sim ., data = MASQ[train,], kernel = "linear", cost = ...)
```

- Compute the misclassification rate on the test observations using function predict.
- Now fit a support vector machine with radial basis kernel. First obtain optimal values for the gamma and cost parameters:

```
gamma <- c(0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4))

tune.out <- tune(svm, D_DEPDYS \sim ., data = MASQ[train, ],

kernel = "radial", ranges = list(

cost = cost, gamma = gamma)
```

- Now fit the SVM with optimal cost and gamma values (use function sym like before and specify kernel = "radial")
- Compute the misclassification rate on the test observations using function predict.

Exercise 5 - Fit conditional inference tree to MASQ data

Fit a ctree to the MASQ training data using the partykit library:

```
library("partykit")

ct <- ctree(D_DEPDYS ~ ., data = MASQ[train, ])</pre>
```

Compute predicted probabilities for the test observations, and evaluate the misclassification rate and brier score.

Exercise 5 - Fit random forest to MASQ data

Fit a bagged ensemble and a random forest to the D_DEPDYS outcome. Use the randomForest () function (from package with the same name):

- Make sure to set the random seed, first. Make sure to exclude D_TOT as a possible predictor. Make sure to specify importance = TRUE in the call to randomForest().
- For the bagged ensemble, set argument mtry to p, for the random forest, set mtry to \sqrt{p} .
- For both (bagged and RF) ensembles, use plot () to see how the OOB error decreases as a function of the number of trees in the ensemble.
- For both ensembles, use functions varImpPlot() and importance() to inspect variable importances.
- Use function partialPlot() to inspect the effect of each predictor variable. Check the documentation of this function to see what arguments pred.data and x.var do.

• Compute predicted probabilities using function predict. Compare performance with the models fitted earlier.

Exercise 5 - Fit boostied tree ensemble to MASQ data

- Use function gbm () (from package of same name).
- Make sure to set the random seed, first.
- Type ?gbm to inspect the possible and default settings. Check out the meaning of arguments distribution, n.trees, interaction.depth, bag.fraction and shrinkage.
- Fit 1,000 trees, specify a learning rate (shrinkage) of .01 and an interaction depth of 4, and specify a Bernoulli distribution. Make sure you code the response variable as 0-1.
- Use function predict () to evaluate predictive accuracy on test observations.
- Use function plot(), summary() and gbm.perf() to inspect and interpret the result. Compute predicted probabilities using function predict. Compare performance with the models fitted earlier.

Exercise 5 - Tuning boosting parameters

Optimize the parameter settings for the boosted ensemble using the training data, and function train() from package **caret** (short for classification and regression training):

Specify a grid of tuning parameter values like:

```
grid <- expand.grid(
shrinkage = c(.1, .01, .001),
n.trees = c(10, 100, 1000),
interaction.depth = 1:4,
n.minobsinnode = 10)
grid</pre>
```

• Remember to set the random seed before the analysis.

Exercise 5 - Tuning boosting parameters

• Perform the cross-validation like:

```
gbmFit <- train(D DEPDYS ~ .,
data = MASQ[-train, ], tuneGrid = grid,
distribution = "bernoulli", method = "gbm",
verbose = FALSE)
gbmFit
gbmFit$bestTune</pre>
```

- Note that train() wants a binary factor, not a 0-1 coded response variable.
- Use the best-performing parameter values to fit a new boosted ensemble. Compare the performance on the test data with the earlier boosted ensemble.
- Note that you could optimize the parameters of function randomForest() in a similar fashion. Check out ?train and the method and metric arguments.