

BLUETOOTH and WIFI-ENABLED PANIC BUTTON for POTENTIAL RAPE VICTIMS

GUEVARRA, Alnair M. (11524855)
HERNANDEZ, Roy Stephen A. (11530731)

Y
Y

Participated in & did the coursework [Y/N]?

Alnair M. Guevarra

LAGMAN, Maria Josefa M. (11531029)
MOLINA, Adam M. (11539607)

Y
Y

Maria Josefa M. Lagsman



1 CONSPECTUS

1.1 What are the objectives of the coursework?

- 1) To assess any Digital Communications Technology (DCT) to be used in marginalized sector.
- 2) To modify the DCT appropriate to the selected marginalized sector.
- 3) To appraise the economic, societal, and environmental implications of the modified DCT.

1.2 How does the coursework fit with the course and previously done coursework?

By:

- 1) Involving the creation of a communication platform between caregivers and the elderly in case of emergencies.
- 2) Modifying a DCT to notify app users immediately when the panic button is pressed.

1.3 How were the objectives achieved?

By:

- 1) Targeting the marginalized sector of the DCT which is the elderly
- 2) Implementing an additional mode of addressing an emergency (via app) instead of just having a buzzer when a panic button is pressed

1.4 What are the key results and generalizations?

The key results are:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

By affixing my/our signature/s, I/we, the author/s, pledge that: I/we have completed this coursework on my/our own; I/we have not used any unauthorized material/assistance/help on this coursework; and I/we have not given directly or indirectly to any other student/unauthorized person/means any access to any part of the specified coursework. Coram Deo.

Coursework Starting Date: July 13, 2018
Submission Date: August 18, 2018

2 CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES

2.1 What are the necessary and relevant concepts and principles for understanding the coursework and for supporting the correct results?

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

Some concepts and principles involved for understanding the coursework and for supporting the correct results includes a good understanding of android application development and proper hardware input detection with bluetooth devices.

2.2 How does any new component, not covered in previous coursework, function?

By:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

Added function of online emergency notification to linked personal devices and automatic emergency hotline dialling on panic-button press.

2.3 What figures, equations, and/or tables could support your answers in Sec. 2.1 and Sec.2.2?

- 1) Up to two lines per item. Figure ... shows ...
- 2) Up to two lines per item. Table ... shows ...

2.4 Did you cite more than two publications in your answers in Sec. 2.1. and 2.2

No.

2.5 Did you cite any online source in your answers in Sec.2.1 and Sec.2.2?

No.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 How does your implementation in Sec. 3.5 achieve the objectives?

By:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

3.2 Why does your implementation in Sec. 3.5 achieve the objectives?

Because:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

3.3 How does your evaluation in Sec. 3.6 achieve the objectives?

By:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

3.4 Why does your evaluation in Sec. 3.6 achieve the objectives?

Because:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

3.5 Implementation

Rule of thumb: Implementation is how you made your work; (keywords: implemented, created, made, soldered, programmed, etc.).

3.5.1 What were the materials used?

If the presentation would be better and necessary, tabulate your answers here instead of enumeration.

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

3.5.2 What is the summary of the processes used to make the coursework?

If you wrote a program or made a simulation, you must add statements how the program or simulation functions in this section. A pseudocode as shown in Table 1 is a good example.

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

3.6 Evaluation

Rule of thumb: Evaluation is how you tested your work for correctness; (keywords: measured, tested, compared, simulated, etc.).

3.6.1 What were your procedures for evaluating the correct outcome of your coursework?

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

3.6.2 What quantities were gathered and how have you obtained them for testing the veracity of your results?

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 How do the results achieve the objectives?

By:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

4.2 Why do the results achieve the objectives?

Because:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

4.3 Are all your results correct in accordance to what you described in Sec. 3.6 evaluation process? Why?

Yes/no, because:

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

4.4 What is result X (briefly describe it here), what does it mean if it is correct, and how does it contribute in reaching the objectives?

- 1) Refer to the appropriate caption number of your result, i.e. Fig. X for figure X , Table X for table X , etc.
- 2) Interpret result X and the reasons why it was obtained.
- 3) Point out and explain apparent discrepancies from principles/concepts/theory if your result is incorrect.
- 4) Cite existing publication for comparison of your result.
- 5) Do not present your result as "It worked" without an appropriate explanation as such action does not show thorough understanding.
- 6) Repeat Sec. 4.4 for each major result X (i.e. $X=1$, $X=2$, etc.).

For example, result $X = 1$ (on the accuracy performance of the enhanced bi-directive method):

- 1) Figure ... shows that the performance of the system is satisfactory up to 90%.
- 2) The result in Figure ... was obtained because of the bi-directive mechanism of the proposed architecture.

Table 1
Pseudocode for the calculation of $y = x^n$

Input(s):	
n	: n th power; $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
x	: base value; $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$
Output(s):	
y	: result; $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$

Require: $n \geq 0 \vee x \neq 0$

Ensure: $y = x^n$

```

1:  $y \leftarrow 1$ 
2: if  $n < 0$  then
3:    $X \leftarrow 1/x$ 
4:    $N \leftarrow -n$ 
5: else
6:    $X \leftarrow x$ 
7:    $N \leftarrow n$ 
8: end if
9: while  $N \neq 0$  do
10:  if  $N$  is even then
11:     $X \leftarrow X \times X$ 
12:     $N \leftarrow N/2$ 
13:  else { $N$  is odd}
14:     $y \leftarrow y \times X$ 
15:     $N \leftarrow N - 1$ 
16:  end if
17: end while

```

- 3) The remaining 10% accuracy loss is due to the failure of the bi-directive mechanism to ... and supported by
- 4) Reference [?] also achieved similar range of results from 85% to 93%.
- 5) ...

4.5 Did you cite more than two publications in your answers above (yes/no)?

Yes.

5 CONCLUSIONS

5.1 What are the main points that should be known, remembered, and learned about the coursework?

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

5.2 What are the gists of the inferences drawn from your results?

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

5.3 Briefly, what are your comments on (1) your results, and (2) future coursework if any?

- 1) Up to two lines per item.
- 2) Up to two lines per item.

GRADING RUBRIC FOR THE PROJECT

CRITERIA	EXEMPLARY 4 (Exceeds Expectations) (all checked boxes → exemplary)	SATISFAC- TORY 3 (Meets Expectations)	DEVELO- PING 2 (Below Expectations)	BEGIN- NING 1 (Not Acceptable)	RATING
Concrete understanding	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Are key points that should be known, remembered, and learned about the coursework correct? <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Is the gist of the inferences drawn from the results accurate? <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Are the necessary and relevant concepts and principles for understanding the coursework and for supporting the correct results accurate and structured with logical reasoning? <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Does the coursework show that it is (or are the answers) on target with sound rationale, backed by strong comprehension, and defended well?	More than half of the exemplary checklist has been met	Half of the exemplary checklist has been met	Less than half of exemplary checklist has been met	_____
Articulateness in communications	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Does the coursework show that it has not committed plagiarism? <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Is the presentation of information logically structured, mutually exclusive, and/or collectively exhaustive (should it be necessary)? <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Are data, figures, tables, equations, abbreviations, and notations properly labelled and neatly presented? <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Is the report (written and/or oral) made according to suitable and/or technical standards and set format? <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Are literature or source references properly cited? <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Is there absence of verbosity?	More than half of the exemplary checklist has been met	Half of the exemplary checklist has been met	Less than half of exemplary checklist has been met	_____
Competence in applying principles	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Are methods for achieving the coursework objectives properly selected? <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Is the implementation of the methods successful? <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Is the evaluation for testing the correctness of the results appropriate? <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Are the required output and deliverables met according to the set requirements? <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Do the results show evidences of all the project objectives being attained? <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Does the documentation discuss how the topic developed historically from the past until now? <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Does the documentation discuss how the topic developed technically from the past until now? <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Did the proponent(s) define their project entirety into a manageable structure? <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Did the proponent(s) sequence their project activities correctly? <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Did the proponent(s) estimated the project duration properly? <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Did the proponent(s) develop their schedule well? <input type="checkbox"/> 8. Did the proponent(s) perform schedule control? <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Are encountered problems, like source of errors, clearly identified and addressed by sharp and logical investigative and observational skills? <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Does the submitted coursework match academic honesty standards?	More than half of the exemplary checklist has been met	Half of the exemplary checklist has been met	Less than half of exemplary checklist has been met	_____
Remarks:				Grade:	= _____ / 12 = _____ %