Tutorial: Normal Forms and Normalisation

- 1. Consider $R = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ with $\Sigma = \{\{A\} \rightarrow \{A, B, C\}, \{A, B\} \rightarrow \{A\}, \{B, C\} \rightarrow \{A, D\}, \{B\} \rightarrow \{A, B\}, \{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}\}$
 - (a) What preliminary work is needed to study the normalisation of R with Σ ?

```
Solution: We need to prepare the work by doing the following.
We compute the attribute closure.
{A}^+ = {A, B, C, D}
{B}^+ = {A, B, C, D}
\{C\}^+ = \{C, D\}
{D}^+ = {D}
{E}^+ = {E}
{A,B}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
{A,C}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
{A, D}^+ = {A, B, C, D}
{A,E}^+ = {A,B,C,D,E}
{B,C}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
{B,D}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
{B,E}^+ = {A,B,C,D,E}
\{C, D\}^+ = \{C, D\}
\{C, E\}^+ = \{C, D, E\}
{D,E}^+ = {D,E}
Other attribute closures need not be computed
The candidate keys are \{A, E\} and \{B, E\}.
The prime attributes are A, B and E.
```

Solution: At this point or later (for 3NF synthesis) we should also compute a minmal cover and a compact minimal cover

```
We start from \Sigma:
```

$$\{A\} \rightarrow \{A, B, C\}$$

 $\{A, B\} \rightarrow \{A\}$

$$\{B,C\} \rightarrow \{A,D\}$$

$$\{B\} \to \{A,B\}$$

$$\{C\} \to \{D\}$$

Step 1, we simplify the right-hand sides:

$$\{A\} \rightarrow \{A\}$$

$$\{A\} \to \{B\}$$

$$\{A\} \to \{C\}$$

$$\{A,B\} \to \{A\}$$

$$\{B,C\} \rightarrow \{A\}$$

$$\{B,C\} \rightarrow \{D\}$$

$$\{B\} \to \{A\}$$

$$\{B\} \to \{B\}$$

$$\{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}$$

Step 2, we simplify the left-hand sides:

$$\{A\} \rightarrow \{A\}.$$

$$\{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}.$$

$$\{A\} \to \{C\}.$$

```
\{A, \cancel{B}\} \rightarrow \{A\} because \{A\} \rightarrow \{A\}.
\{B, \emptyset\} \to \{A\} because \{B\} \to \{A\}.
\{B, \mathcal{L}\} \to \{D\} because \{B\} \to \{D\} (we could also do \{\mathcal{L}, C\} \to \{D\} because \{C\} \to \{D\}).
Note that we know that \{B\} \to \{D\} because \{B\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}.
\{B\} \rightarrow \{A\}.
\{B\} \rightarrow \{B\}.
\{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}.
Step 3, we simplify the set:
\{A\} \rightarrow \{A\} because it is trivial.
\{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}.
\{A\} \rightarrow \{C\}.
\{B\} \rightarrow \{A\}.
\{B\} \rightarrow \{D\} because it can be derived from the others.
\{B\} \rightarrow \{B\} \{A\} \rightarrow \{A\}
\{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}.
The result is:
\{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}
\{A\} \to \{C\}
\{B\} \rightarrow \{A\}
\{C\} \to \{D\}
Note that there can be other minimal covers that the algorithm can compute by considering
the functional dependencies in a different order at each step of the algorithm. This is not
the case in the example.
However, there is a minimal cover that the algorithm cannot compute:
\{A\} \rightarrow \{B\}
\{B\} \rightarrow \{A\}
\{B\} \rightarrow \{C\}
\{C\} \to \{D\}
If the algorithm starts from \Sigma^+, then it can find all minimal covers.
A compact minimal cover is:
\{A\} \rightarrow \{B,C\}
\{B\} \to \{A\}
```

(b) Is R with Σ in 3NF?

 $\{C\} \to \{D\}$

Solution: Let us look at the non-trivial functional dependencies of the form $X \to \{A\}$ derived from Σ . Namely after removing the trivial functional dependencies after step 1 of the minimal cover algorithm. Equivalently, we could use a minimal cover.

 $\{A\} \to \{C\}$ is non-trivial, $\{A\}$ is not a superkey and $\{C\}$ is not a prime attribute. This functional dependency violates the three conditions of the 3NF definition. R with Σ is not in 3NF.

Incidentally, several other functional dependencies also violate the 3NF definition:

- $\{A\} \to \{B\}$ is non-trivial, $\{A\}$ is not a superkey and $\{B\}$ is not a prime attribute.
- $\{B,C\} \to \{D\}$ is non-trivial, $\{B,C\}$ is not a superkey and $\{D\}$ is not a prime attribute.
- $\{B\} \to \{C\}$ is non-trivial, $\{B\}$ is not a superkey and $\{C\}$ is not a prime attribute.
- $\{C\} \to \{D\}$ is non-trivial, $\{C\}$ is not a superkey and $\{D\}$ is not a prime attribute.

This one does not (one condition is met):

 $\{B,C\} \to \{A\} \{A\}$ is a prime attribute.

(c) Is R with Σ in BCNF?

Solution: R with Σ is not in 3NF, then it cannot be in BCNF.

Let us look at the non-trivial functional dependencies of the form $X \to \{A\}$ derived from Σ . Namely after removing the trivial functional dependencies after step 1 of the minimal cover algorithm. Equivalently, we could use a minimal cover.

 $\{A\} \to \{C\}$ is non-trivial and $\{A\}$ is not a superkey . This functional dependency violates the two conditions of the BCNF definition. R with Σ is not in BCNF.

Incidentally, all the other functional dependencies also violate the BCNF definition:

 $\{A\} \to \{B\}$ is non-trivial and $\{A\}$ is not a superkey.

 $\{B,C\} \to \{D\}$ is non-trivial and $\{B,C\}$ is not a superkey.

 $\{B\} \to \{C\}$ is non-trivial and $\{B\}$ is not a superkey.

 $\{C\} \to \{D\}$ is non-trivial and $\{C\}$ is not a superkey .

 $\{B,C\} \to \{A\}$ $\{A\}$ is non-trivial and $\{B,C\}$ is not a superkey.

(d) Decompose R with Σ into a BCNF decomposition using the algorithm from the lecture.

Solution: We decompose R with its compact minimal cover $\{\{A\} \rightarrow \{B,C\}, \{B\} \rightarrow \{A\}, \{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}\}$. The candidate keys are $\{A,E\}$ and $\{B,E\}$.

All functional dependencies violate BCNF. We can decompose according to any one.

We use $\{\{A\} \rightarrow \{B,C\}$

We get $R_1 = \{A, B, C, D\}$ with $\Sigma_1 = \{\{A\} \to \{B, C\}, \{B\} \to \{A\}, \{C\} \to \{D\}\}$. The candidate keys of R_1 with Σ_1 are $\{A\}$ and $\{B\}$. It is not in BCNF.

We get $R_2 = \{A, E\}$ with $\Sigma_2 = \emptyset$. It is in BCNF.

We decompose $R_1 = \{A, B, C, D\}$ with $\Sigma_1 = \{\{A\} \to \{B, C\}, \{B\} \to \{A\}, \{C\} \to \{D\}\}$.

 $\{C\} \to \{D\}$ violates BCNF. We use it to decompose.

We get $R_{1.1} = \{C, D\}$ with $\Sigma_{1.1} = \{\{C\} \to \{D\}\}$. It is in BCNF.

We get $R_{1.2} = \{A, B, C\}$ with $\Sigma_{1.2} = \{\{A\} \to \{B, C\}, \{B\} \to \{A\}\}$. It is in BCNF.

The decomposition is R_2 , $R_{1.1}$ and $R_{1.2}$. There are other possible decompositions using the same algorithm.

(e) Is the results lossless?

Solution: Yes, the algorithm guarantees that the result is lossless.

(f) Is the results dependency preserving?

Solution: The decomposition R_2 , $R_{1.1}$ and $R_{1.2}$ is dependency preserving.

(g) Synthesise R with Σ into a 3NF decomposition using the algorithm from the lecture.

Solution: First compute a compact minimal cover:

 $\{A\} \rightarrow \{B,C\}$

 $\{B\} \to \{A\}$

 $\{C\} \rightarrow \{D\}$

For each functional dependency create a fragment:

 $R_1 = \{A, B, C\}$

 $R_2 = \{B, A\}$, this fragment is not kept: it is subsumed by R_1 .

 $R_3 = \{C, D\}.$

None of the fragments contain a candidate key. We choose one: say $\{A, E\}$, and add it as fragment:

 $R_4 = \{A, E\}.$

The result is: $R_1 = \{\underline{A}, \underline{B}, C\}$ $R_3 = \{\underline{C}, D\}$. $R_4 = \{A, E\}$.

The algorithm always works. It is guaranteed to find a decomposition in 3NF. Note that using a different minimal cover or compact minimal cover may give a different (but equally correct) result.

(h) Is the results lossless?

Solution: Yes, the algorithm guarantees that the result is lossless.

(i) Is the results dependency preserving?

Solution: Yes, the algorithm guarantees that the result is dependency preserving.

(j) Is the results in BCNF?

Solution: The algorithm guarantees that the result is in 3NF. In this case, it is also in BCNF! (you can check). It is not always but often the case.