

\* Monoalphabetic substitution cipher: \_ total number of possible key. @ Simple drill cipher. Reguliversity = 25 (Pi+R) mod 26 et (Ci-k) mod 26 -> cipher Petter is a shifted version of the plain Petter with fixed shift value @ Random alphabet substitution cipher Rey diversity ~ 26! \* Cryptanalysis of Simple shift eigher Oknown plaintext attack @ my all shift values --- exhaustive key search attack I map the highest frequency better in plain ouglish to the highest frequency in ciphentext 3 statistical cryptocualysis \* Polyalphabetic substitution cipher · Large possible key diversity \* One-Time-key cipher [stream] · unbreakable cipher · True standon 4 c; (P; tk;) mod 26 \* Transposition cipher [block] Reydiversity = MI 43.12765 \* Data Encryption cipher: [DES] 3421765 1) 64 key bits => PCI -> 56 bit ~ the Part bit in each of 64 bits is not used 2) Divide into L&R=> 28 & 28 [46locks=76its] @ Rotate shift notate left notate night 16 key was well a (4) concatenate L+R E56 wit] € key => 64 bits into PC-2 - 16 different keys ⇒ Brute force attack \* play fair cipher Simple substitution cous: can be easily cracked

Okey generation.

-choose e 
$$1 < e < \emptyset(n) + gcd(e, \emptyset(n)) = 1 \implies e = 1$$
 for example
$$0 = (e, e) = (e, e)$$

$$12|e = ERROR$$

$$-\text{Rey}(e, n) = (7,21)$$

> publickey

$$C = u^e \mod u \implies C = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mod 21 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{128}{21} = 6.09$$
 $128 - 6.09$ 

private ~ decrypt

- secrether canthentication]

\* Block cipher \_ DES [symmetric] publishey

\* Stream cipher -> RSA [asymmetric]

Odigital signature

2) Encuption I decryption

They exchange

!Lecture4:
- Salty are / lilleralaitife:
① Cross-Site Scripting (XSS):  —> Evables attackers to inject client-side scripts into webspages viewed by other usors.
-> Enoples attackers to execute as commands on the versenthation mining an abo
(3) Reliance on untrusted inputs, cookies  — An attacker can change inputs using customized clients or other attacks
(1) Use of hard-coded credentials Garap-C)
C I The limit of the california was the control of the california and
=> Software doesnot perform any authentication for a functionality
that nequines a provable user identity
@ Missing encryption of sensitive data
Authentication: verify who someone is
Authorization. Verify what the user has access to
ato another password
* OTP: one time password $\Rightarrow 1-way$ * Nash is the same as mod $\Rightarrow 1-way$
* Softdelete -> UI disappoience
Augical delete _ DELETE

## Letture 5 \*Secure code review checklist (1) Design · Security is layered - each layer assumes other layers may have been compromised · CIA & AAA principles (2) Authentication & user management . Standard Security framework are used · Cookies are not persisted, but encrypted · Handles auspicious events (3) Authorization · Re-authoriticate for requests that have side-effects · Authorization cannot by passed by codice manipulation (4) <u>Session</u> management · Expire in a reasonably short time · Avoid excessive cookie use · Session ID is complex (5) <u>Input validation</u> · Data should be checked for special characters (e) andpodiabin · Restricted areas require Secure Socket Layer [SSL] (7) Exception handling · Error messages doud reveal sensitive information · Eystem entals are never shown to users (8) Auditing & Dogging · unusual activity · Logs have enough detail.

## Legiture 6 \* Prevention techniques 1) Encoding: which escapes the user input so that we can interpret it only as data, not as code. 2) Validation: which filters the user input so that we can interpret it as code without malicious commands \* Encoding examples: . SQLI: ' to ''

>689

- · XSS : < to \$11;
- · use Safe SqlLiteral (\_)
- · use eucode HTML
- · use Utulspecial charts (\$5th) ->ptp
- . use document. write (es cape (userlyput)) -> Js
- \* Validation

\* Tre with the

- 1) classification shategy:
  - . blacklisting or whitelisting
- 2) Validation outcome:
  - bestima ve betselen.