

Grating Efficiency Analysis

REIXS Spectrometer, CLS

Mark Boots
December 2007




UNIVERSITY OF
SASKATCHEWAN

Background


- Project 2005: optical design of REIXS spectrometer
 - David: resolving power
 - Mark: efficiency (photon out / photons in)
- Why concern with efficiency?
 - 2X increase in efficiency means twice as many experiments per shift
 - Allows measurement of low-concentration samples

Where are we now...



- Nov/Dec 2007: gratings delivered (Bach Research)
- Mechanical design... Looks beautiful on paper!

Grating efficiency



- Dec: Measured actual efficiency using diffractometer on BL 6 (ALS)


Design Summary: 6 gratings

- LEG: low energy use: 30-300eV
(Au coating)
- IMP: high-efficiency over large range, up to 800eV
(Ni coating)
- MEG: good resolution + efficiency up to 800eV
(Ni coating)
- HEG: high energy use, high resolution (400eV+)
(Pt coating)
- HRMEG, HRHEG: ultra-high resolution 3rd-order gratings

Results:


- All gratings measured lower efficiencies than the calculations predicted
- Some factor lower (0.9, 0.85, etc.) expected due to simple surface roughness
- Deeper analysis shows manufacturing problems...

LEG: Predicted




- Very efficient: up to 40% @ Si edge

LEG: Measured




- What happened? Peaks shifted, 2nd order messed

LEG: Hypothesis




- Hypothesis: manufacturer missed blaze angle target of 1.85 degrees. Closer to 2.26 (estimated).

LEG: Hypothesis




- Hypothesis: manufacturer missed blaze angle target of 1.85 degrees. Closer to 2.26 (estimated).

IMP: Predicted




- Wide high-efficiency range

IMP: Measured




- What happened? Shifted again, but also... Drop-off near 520eV... Hmm... O-edge?

IMP: Hypothesis




- Fitted: modeled as Nickel Oxide, 1.38deg blaze angle.
- Conclusion: Missed target blaze; Grating is oxidized.

MEG: Predicted




- Same story as IMP...

MEG: Measured




- What happened? Drop-off near 520eV... Hmm... O-edge?

MEG: Hypothesis



- Fitted: modeled as Nickel Oxide, 1.86deg blaze angle.
- Conclusion: Missed target blaze; Grating is oxidized.

HEG: a total dud...



- Hypothesis: ???
(Did they mark the blaze direction backwards?)
- Even high-res gratings in 3rd order get better efficiency

HEG: a total dud...


- Very disappointing; HEG is a critical grating.
 - Pt-coated: crucial for measuring above Ni-edge.
 - Covers entire range from 400 - 1300eV
- Even high-res gratings in 3rd order get better efficiency
- Best scenario: Direction of blaze was marked backwards, so simply rotating the grating 180deg. will fix it.
- If not... ???
 - AFM scan will reveal if this is the case.

Next steps...

- Conduct AFM scans of all gratings to actually measure the groove profile / blaze angle
- Fitting estimates show *all blaze angles* are 0.3deg to 0.4deg too large.
- If so, gratings are off-spec ($\pm 10\%$) and should be fixed by Bernie and co.
- Find a chemical that will dissolve nickelous oxides but not nickel...
- Find out what happened with HEG.

HR gratings

- Still working on analyzing data from HR gratings.
- Beamline 6 normalizing software had bug with offset points (apparent only near absorption edges)
- Need to normalize manually, or fix software:



Conclusions:

- 2 problems with gratings apparent in measurements
 - Calculations can match measurements, only if:
 - Assume blaze angles too large (consistently +0.35deg)
 - Nickel gratings are oxidized
- Todo:
 - Reverse oxidization? Is it possible?
 - Confirm blaze angles with AFM scan.