

# Efficient Reinforcement Learning for Robots using Informative Simulated Priors -Additional Material-

Mark Cutler and Jonathan P. How

## I. ADDITIONAL MATERIAL

In this addition to the regular paper, we derive the required derivatives required to implement the informative prior from a simulator in PILCO [1]. First, for completeness, we repeat the derivation of the mean, covariance, and input-output covariance of the predictive mean of a Gaussian process (GP) when the prior mean is a radial basis function (RBF) network. Then, we detail the partial derivatives of the predictive distribution with respect to the input distribution.

### A. Predictive Distribution

Following the outline of the derivations in [1] and [2] the predictive mean of uncertain input  $\mathbf{x}_* \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_* &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*, f}[f(\mathbf{x}_*)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbb{E}_f[f(\mathbf{x}_*)]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta} + m(\mathbf{x}_*)].\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

We assume the prior mean function  $m(\mathbf{x}_*)$  is the mean of a GP that is trained using data from a simulator. Thus,

$$m(\mathbf{x}_*) = k_p(\mathbf{x}_*, X_p)\boldsymbol{\beta}_p$$

where  $\{X_p, \mathbf{y}_p\}$  are the simulated data,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_p = (K_p + \sigma_{n_p}^2 I)^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_p - m(X_p))$ ,  $K_p = k_p(X_p, X_p)$ , and  $\sigma_{n_p}^2$  is the noise variance parameter of the simulated data. Note that we assume that the prior mean is trained using a zero-prior GP. Substituting the form of the mean function into Eq. (1) yields

$$\mu_* = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{q} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_p^T \mathbf{q}_p, \quad (2)$$

where  $q_i = \alpha^2 |\Sigma \Lambda^{-1} + I|^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}_i^T (\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_i)$  with  $\boldsymbol{\nu}_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}$ . The corresponding prior terms are similar with  $q_{p_i} = \alpha_p^2 |\Sigma \Lambda_p^{-1} + I|^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{p_i}^T (\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{p_i})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{p_i} = \mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}$ .

Multi-output regression problems can be solved by training a separate GP for each output dimension. When the inputs are uncertain, these output dimensions covary. We now compute the covariance for different output dimensions  $a$  and  $b$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}_{\mathbf{x}_*, f}[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*), f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\text{Cov}_f[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*), f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)]] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbb{E}_f[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*)]\mathbb{E}_f[f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)]] \\ &- \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbb{E}_f[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*)]]\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbb{E}_f[f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)]].\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

Mark Cutler and Jonathan How are with the Laboratory of Information and Decision Systems, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA, USA {cutlerm, jhow}@mit.edu

As noted in [2], due to the independence assumptions of the GPs, the first term in Eq. (3) is zero when  $a \neq b$ . Also, for a given output dimension,  $\text{Cov}_f[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*), f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)]$  does not depend on the prior mean function. Therefore, using the results of [1], the first term in Eq. (3) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\text{Cov}_f[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*), f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)]] = \delta_{ab}(\alpha_a^2 - \text{tr}((K_a + \sigma_{\epsilon_a}^2 I)^{-1} Q)), \quad (4)$$

where  $\delta_{ab}$  is 1 when  $a = b$  and 0 otherwise, and

$$\begin{aligned}Q &= \int k_a(\mathbf{x}_*, X)^T k_b(\mathbf{x}_*, X) p(\mathbf{x}_*) d\mathbf{x}_* \\ Q_{ij} &= |R|^{-1/2} k_a(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\mu}) k_b(\mathbf{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}_{ij}^T T^{-1} \mathbf{z}_{ij}) \\ R &= \Sigma(\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1}) + I \\ T &= \Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1} \\ \mathbf{z}_{ij} &= \Lambda_a^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_i + \Lambda_b^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

The third term in Eq. (3) is computed using Eq. (2) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbb{E}_f[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*)]]\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbb{E}_f[f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)]] &= \\ (\boldsymbol{\beta}_a^T \mathbf{q}_a + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_a}^T \mathbf{q}_{p_a}) (\boldsymbol{\beta}_b^T \mathbf{q}_b + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_b}^T \mathbf{q}_{p_b}).\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

Finally, we compute the second term in Eq. (3) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbb{E}_f[f_a(\mathbf{x}_*)]\mathbb{E}_f[f_b(\mathbf{x}_*)]] &= \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta}_a k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta}_b + m_a(\mathbf{x}_*)m_b(\mathbf{x}_*) + \\ m_a(\mathbf{x}_*)k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta}_b + k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta}_a m_b(\mathbf{x}_*)].\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

As above, we will compute each term separately. Using Eq. (5), the first term in Eq. (7) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta}_a k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta}_b] = \boldsymbol{\beta}_a^T Q \boldsymbol{\beta}_b. \quad (8)$$

Similarly, the second term in Eq. (7) is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[m_a(\mathbf{x}_*)m_b(\mathbf{x}_*)] &= \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[k_p(\mathbf{x}_*, X_p)\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_a} k_p(\mathbf{x}_*, X_p)\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_b}] &= \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_a}^T Q_p \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_b},\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

where  $Q_p$  is defined analogously to Eq. (5) but using the prior rather than the current data. The third term in Eq. (7) is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[m_a(\mathbf{x}_*)k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)\boldsymbol{\beta}_b] &= \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_a}^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[k_p(X_p, \mathbf{x}_*)k(\mathbf{x}_*, X)]\boldsymbol{\beta}_b &= \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p_a}^T ({}^p\hat{Q})\boldsymbol{\beta}_b,\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

where  ${}^p\hat{Q}$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\hat{Q} &= \int k_{p_a}(\mathbf{x}_*, X_p)^T k_b(\mathbf{x}_*, X) p(\mathbf{x}_*) d\mathbf{x}_* \\ {}^p\hat{Q}_{ij} &= |{}^p\hat{R}|^{-1/2} k_{p_a}(\mathbf{x}_{p_i}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) k_b(\mathbf{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \times \\ &\quad \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}({}^p\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ij})^T ({}^p\hat{T})^{-1} ({}^p\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ij})\right) \\ {}^p\hat{R} &= \Sigma(\Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1}) + I \\ {}^p\hat{T} &= \Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1} \\ {}^p\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ij} &= \Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{p_i} + \Lambda_b^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The forth term in Eq. (7) is analogously defined as  $\beta_a^T \hat{Q}^p \beta_{p_b}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}^p &= \int k_a(\mathbf{x}_*, X)^T k_{p_b}(\mathbf{x}_*, X_p) p(\mathbf{x}_*) d\mathbf{x}_* \\ \hat{Q}_{ij}^p &= |\hat{R}^p|^{-1/2} k_a(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\mu}) k_{p_b}(\mathbf{x}_{p_j}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \times \\ &\quad \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ij}^p)^T (\hat{T}^p)^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ij}^p\right) \\ \hat{R}^p &= \Sigma(\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1}) + I \\ \hat{T}^p &= \Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{ij}^p &= \Lambda_a^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_i + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{p_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Combining Eq. (4)-(12) we obtain the covariance for an uncertain input with multiple outputs. Writing this covariance element-wise we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ab}^2 &= \delta_{ab}(\alpha_a^2 - \text{tr}((K_a + \sigma_{\epsilon_a}^2 I)^{-1} Q)) + \beta_a^T Q \beta_b + \\ &\quad \beta_{p_a}^T Q_p \beta_{p_b} + \beta_{p_a}^T {}^p\hat{Q} \beta_b + \beta_a^T \hat{Q}^p \beta_{p_b} - \\ &\quad \left(\beta_a^T \mathbf{q}_a + \beta_{p_a}^T \mathbf{q}_{p_a}\right) \left(\beta_b^T \mathbf{q}_b + \beta_{p_b}^T \mathbf{q}_{p_b}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The final derivation needed for propagating uncertain inputs through the GP transition model in the PILCO algorithm is the covariance between the uncertain test input  $\mathbf{x}_* \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  and the predicted output  $f(\mathbf{x}_*) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_*, \Sigma_*)$ . This covariance is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_*} &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*, f}[\mathbf{x}_* f(\mathbf{x}_*)^T] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbf{x}_*] \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*, f}[f(\mathbf{x}_*)]^T \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*, f}[\mathbf{x}_* k(\mathbf{x}_*, X) \boldsymbol{\beta}] - \\ &\quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbf{x}_*] \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[k(\mathbf{x}_*, X) \boldsymbol{\beta}]^T + \\ &\quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*, f}[\mathbf{x}_* k_p(\mathbf{x}_*, X_p) \boldsymbol{\beta}_p] - \\ &\quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[\mathbf{x}_*] \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_*}[k_p(\mathbf{x}_*, X_p) \boldsymbol{\beta}_p]^T. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have separated the input-output covariance into a part that comes from the current data and a part that comes from the prior data. Therefore, we can directly apply the results from [1] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_*} &= \Sigma(\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i q_i (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \\ &\quad \Sigma(\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} q_{p_i} (\mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that in the derivation above we do not assume that there are the same number of data points in the prior GP and the current GP. Thus, the matrices  ${}^p\hat{Q}$  and  $\hat{Q}^p$  need not be square.

## B. Partial Derivatives

Given the predictive distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_*, \Sigma_*)$  from Section I-A, we first compute the partial derivative of the predictive mean  $\mu_*$  with respect to the input mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ . Using the mean derived in Eq. (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mu_*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} \frac{\partial q_{p_i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i q_i (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T (\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1} + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} q_{p_i} (\mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T (\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The derivative of the predictive mean with respect to the input covariance is written as

$$\frac{\partial \mu_*}{\partial \Sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial \Sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} \frac{\partial q_{p_i}}{\partial \Sigma}, \quad (16)$$

where, as in Eq. (15), the derivative consists of two distinct parts, one from the current data and one from the prior data. Using results from [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mu_*}{\partial \Sigma} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i q_i \left( -\frac{1}{2} ((\Lambda^{-1} \Sigma + I)^{-1} \Lambda^{-1})^T \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \frac{\partial (\Lambda + \Sigma)^{-1}}{\partial \Sigma} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} q_{p_i} \left( -\frac{1}{2} ((\Lambda_p^{-1} \Sigma + I)^{-1} \Lambda_p^{-1})^T \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \frac{\partial (\Lambda_p + \Sigma)^{-1}}{\partial \Sigma} (\mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where, for  $D$  input dimensions and  $E$  output dimensions and  $u, v = 1, \dots, D + E$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\Lambda + \Sigma)^{-1}}{\partial \Sigma_{(uv)}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( (\Lambda + \Sigma)_{(:,u)}^{-1} (\Lambda + \Sigma)_{(v,:)}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\Lambda + \Sigma)_{(:,v)}^{-1} (\Lambda + \Sigma)_{(u,:)}^{-1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and the corresponding prior term

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\Lambda_p + \Sigma)^{-1}}{\partial \Sigma_{(uv)}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( (\Lambda_p + \Sigma)_{(:,u)}^{-1} (\Lambda_p + \Sigma)_{(v,:)}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\Lambda_p + \Sigma)_{(:,v)}^{-1} (\Lambda_p + \Sigma)_{(u,:)}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Next, we derive the partial derivatives of the predictive covariance  $\Sigma_*$  with respect to the input mean and covariance. We take these derivatives element-wise for output dimensions  $a$  and  $b$  using Eq. (13). For the derivative with respect to the

input mean we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ab}^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = & \delta_{ab} \left( -(K_a + \sigma_{\epsilon_a}^2 I)^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) + \\ & \beta_a^T \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{q}_b^T - \mathbf{q}_a \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_b^T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) \beta_b + \\ & \beta_{p_a}^T \left( \frac{\partial Q_p}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_a}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T - \mathbf{q}_{p_a} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) \beta_{p_b} + \\ & \beta_a^T \left( \frac{\partial \hat{Q}^p}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T - \mathbf{q}_a \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) \beta_{p_b} + \\ & \beta_{p_a}^T \left( \frac{\partial ({}^p \hat{Q})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_a}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{q}_b^T - \mathbf{q}_{p_a} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_b^T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) \beta_b, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where, from [1],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = & Q_{ij} ((\Lambda_a + \Lambda_b)^{-1} (\Lambda_b \mathbf{x}_i + \Lambda_a \mathbf{x}_j) - \\ & \boldsymbol{\mu}) ((\Lambda_a + \Lambda_b)^{-1} + \Sigma)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_{p_{ij}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = & Q_{p_{ij}} ((\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} (\Lambda_{p_b} \mathbf{x}_{p_i} + \Lambda_{p_a} \mathbf{x}_{p_j}) - \\ & \boldsymbol{\mu}) ((\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} + \Sigma)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial ({}^p \hat{Q}_{ij})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = & {}^p \hat{Q}_{ij} ((\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_b)^{-1} (\Lambda_b \mathbf{x}_{p_i} + \Lambda_{p_a} \mathbf{x}_j) - \\ & \boldsymbol{\mu}) ((\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_b)^{-1} + \Sigma)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{Q}_{ij}^p}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = & \hat{Q}_{ij}^p ((\Lambda_a + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} (\Lambda_{p_b} \mathbf{x}_i + \Lambda_a \mathbf{x}_{p_j}) - \\ & \boldsymbol{\mu}) ((\Lambda_a + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} + \Sigma)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Note that  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}}$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_p}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}}$  are given in Eq. (15).

The derivative of the predictive covariance with respect to the input covariance is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ab}^2}{\partial \Sigma} = & \delta_{ab} \left( -(K_a + \sigma_{\epsilon_a}^2 I)^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \Sigma} \right) + \\ & \beta_a^T \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_a}{\partial \Sigma} \mathbf{q}_b^T - \mathbf{q}_a \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_b^T}{\partial \Sigma} \right) \beta_b + \\ & \beta_{p_a}^T \left( \frac{\partial Q_p}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_a}}{\partial \Sigma} \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T - \mathbf{q}_{p_a} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T}{\partial \Sigma} \right) \beta_{p_b} + \\ & \beta_a^T \left( \frac{\partial \hat{Q}^p}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_a}{\partial \Sigma} \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T - \mathbf{q}_a \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_b}^T}{\partial \Sigma} \right) \beta_{p_b} + \\ & \beta_{p_a}^T \left( \frac{\partial ({}^p \hat{Q})}{\partial \Sigma} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{p_a}}{\partial \Sigma} \mathbf{q}_b^T - \mathbf{q}_{p_a} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_b^T}{\partial \Sigma} \right) \beta_b, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where, from [1],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial \Sigma} = & -\frac{1}{2} Q_{ij} [(\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1}) R^{-1} - \mathbf{y}_{ij}^T \Xi \mathbf{y}_{ij}] \quad (26) \\ \mathbf{y}_{ij} = & \Lambda_b (\Lambda_a + \Lambda_b)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i + \\ & \Lambda_a (\Lambda_a + \Lambda_b)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_j - \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \Xi_{(uv)} = & \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{(uv)} + \Phi_{(vu)}) \\ \Phi_{(uv)} = & \left( ((\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(:,u)}^{-1} \times \right. \\ & \left. ((\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(v,:)}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As before, the terms containing the prior data are similar as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_{p_{ij}}}{\partial \Sigma} = & -\frac{1}{2} Q_{p_{ij}} \times \\ & [(\Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1}) R_p^{-1} - \mathbf{y}_{p_{ij}}^T \Xi_p \mathbf{y}_{p_{ij}}] \quad (27) \\ \mathbf{y}_{p_{ij}} = & \Lambda_{p_b} (\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{p_i} + \\ & \Lambda_{p_a} (\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{p_j} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \Xi_{p(uv)} = & \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{p(uv)} + \Phi_{p(vu)}) \\ \Phi_{p(uv)} = & \left( ((\Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(:,u)}^{-1} \times \right. \\ & \left. ((\Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(v,:)}^{-1} \right) \\ \frac{\partial ({}^p \hat{Q}_{ij})}{\partial \Sigma} = & -\frac{1}{2} ({}^p \hat{Q}_{ij}) \times \\ & [(\Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1}) ({}^p R)^{-1} - ({}^p \mathbf{y}_{ij})^T ({}^p \Xi) ({}^p \mathbf{y}_{ij})] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p \mathbf{y}_{ij} = & \Lambda_b (\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_b)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{p_i} + \\ & \Lambda_{p_a} (\Lambda_{p_a} + \Lambda_b)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_j - \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ {}^p \Xi_{(uv)} = & \frac{1}{2} ({}^p \Phi_{(uv)} + {}^p \Phi_{(vu)}) \\ {}^p \Phi_{(uv)} = & \left( ((\Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(:,u)}^{-1} \times \right. \\ & \left. ((\Lambda_{p_a}^{-1} + \Lambda_b^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(v,:)}^{-1} \right) \\ \frac{\partial \hat{Q}_{ij}^p}{\partial \Sigma} = & -\frac{1}{2} \hat{Q}_{ij}^p \times \\ & [(\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1}) (R^p)^{-1} - (\mathbf{y}_{ij}^p)^T \Xi^p \mathbf{y}_{ij}^p] \quad (29) \\ \mathbf{y}_{ij}^p = & \Lambda_{p_b} (\Lambda_a + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i + \\ & \Lambda_a (\Lambda_a + \Lambda_{p_b})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{p_j} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \Xi_{(uv)}^p = & \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{(uv)}^p + \Phi_{(vu)}^p) \\ \Phi_{(uv)}^p = & \left( ((\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(:,u)}^{-1} \times \right. \\ & \left. ((\Lambda_a^{-1} + \Lambda_{p_b}^{-1})^{-1} + \Sigma)_{(v,:)}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial \Sigma}$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_p}{\partial \Sigma}$  are given in Eq. (17).

The final derivatives are the partial derivatives of the input-output covariance with respect to the input mean and covariance. From Eq. (14) we see that  $\Sigma_{x^*, f^*}$  consists of two distinct but similar parts, one from the current data and one

from the prior data. Thus, applying results from [1], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} &= \\
&\Sigma(\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \left( (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - q_i I \right) + \\
&\Sigma(\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} \left( (\mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{\partial q_{p_i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - q_{p_i} I \right) \quad (30) \\
\frac{\partial \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_*, f_*}}{\partial \Sigma} &= \\
&\left( (\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1} + \Sigma \frac{\partial (\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i q_i (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \\
&\Sigma(\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial \Sigma} + \\
&\left( (\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1} + \Sigma \frac{\partial (\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1}}{\partial \Sigma} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} q_{p_i} (\mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \\
&\Sigma(\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \beta_{p_i} (\mathbf{x}_{p_i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{\partial q_{p_i}}{\partial \Sigma}, \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\partial(\Sigma + \Lambda)^{-1}/\partial \Sigma$  and  $\partial(\Sigma + \Lambda_p)^{-1}/\partial \Sigma$  are defined in Eq. (18) and Eq. (19), respectively.

This concludes the derivation of the partial derivatives needed to implement PILCO with a prior mean function that is an RBF network.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Deisenroth, D. Fox, and C. Rasmussen, “Gaussian processes for data-efficient learning in robotics and control,” *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. PP, no. 99, 2014.
- [2] B. Bischoff, D. Nguyen-Tuong, H. van Hoof, A. McHutchon, C. Rasmussen, A. Knoll, J. Peters, and M. Deisenroth, “Policy search for learning robot control using sparse data,” in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. Hong Kong: IEEE, June 2014.