

PROBLEM SET 2

MARK DITSWORTH

1. PROBLEM 2.1

Given graph $G = (V, E, W)$ consider a random walk on V with transition probabilities $M_{ij} = PX(t+1) = j|X(t) = i = \frac{w_{ij}}{\deg(i)}$.

Partition the vertex set as $V = V_+ \cup V_- \cup V_*$. Suppose that every node in V_* is connected to at least one node in either V_+ or V_- . Given a node $i \in V$ let $g(i)$ be the probability that a random walker starting at i reaches a node in V_+ before reaching one in V_- . If $i \in V_+$, then $g(i) = 1$ and if $i \in V_-$, then $g(i) = 0$. Find $g(i)$ for $i \in V_*$.

Solution: There are two scenarios in which the random walker will reach a node in V_+ before one in V_- : Moving from V_* to V_+ immediately, or moving from V_* to V_- repeatedly until moving to V_+ . We essentially view leaving V_* as an absorbing barrier, and want the probability that we end in V_+ , which is the sum of all probabilities that will end in that scenario:

$$\sum_{j \in V_+} M_{ij} + \sum_{j \in V_*} \sum_{k \in V_+} M_{ij} M_{jk} + \sum_{j \in V_*} \sum_{k \in V_*} \sum_{l \in V_+} M_{ij} M_{jk} M_{kl} + \dots$$

Define $\nu_* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = |V|$ where the j th element is 1 if $j \in V_*$, and 0 otherwise. Similarly define $\nu_+ \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for the partition V_+ . Let $\Psi_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = |V|$ have elements everywhere equal 0 except for the i th node that is the starting node. The probability that a random walker starting at node i is absorbed in the V_+ partition at time-step k is expressed as

$$\Psi_i^T [M \text{diag}(V_*)]^{(k-1)} M V_+$$

The $[M \text{diag}(V_*)]^{(k-1)}$ matrix expresses the probability of starting at node i , and ending at node j after $k-1$ steps, discounting the intermediate nodes that would absorb the random walker earlier (nodes belonging to V_+ or V_-). For convenience, we will denote this matrix as M_* , as in only accounting for transitions within partition V_* . The resulting matrix is dotted with M for the last transition time step, and then dotted with V_+ to attain the vector of probabilities end in partition V_+ . Ψ_i^T dotted with this vector selects the probability stemming from starting at node i . Thus, summing the probabilities across all k yields

$$\Psi_i^T \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (M_*)^k \right] M V_+$$

With each element of M_* less than 0, and the summation across each row bounded above by 1, it is clear that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (M_*)^n = \mathbf{0}$. Thus, the infinite sum converges to

$(I - M_*)^{-1}$. In conclusion, the probability that a random walker starting at node $i \in V_*$ will reach a node in V_+ before a node in V_- is calculated by

$$g(i) = \Psi_i^T (I - M_*)^{-1} M V_+.$$

Note that for graphs with large $|V|$, the inverse operation can be quite expensive. Thus $g(i)$ should be approximated either via pseudo-inverse operations or monte carlo simulations. See the attached notebook `ps2.ipynb` for justification of this closed-form expression for $g(i)$.

2. PROBLEM 2.2

For a graph G let $h(G)$ denote its Cheeger constant and $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)$ the second-smallest eigenvalue of its normalized graph Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}_G = D - W$). The Cheeger inequality guarantees that

$$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) \leq h_G \leq \sqrt{2 \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)}$$

This exercise shows that this inequality is tight (at least up to constants).

1. Construct a family of graphs \mathcal{G} for which $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) \rightarrow 0$ and for which there exists a constant $C > 0$ for which

$$\forall G \in \mathcal{G}, h_G \leq C \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)$$

2. Construct a family of graphs \mathcal{G} for which $\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G) \rightarrow 0$ and for which there exists a constant $c > 0$ for which

$$\forall G \in \mathcal{G}, h_G \geq c \sqrt{\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)}$$

3. PROBLEM 2.3

Given a graph G show that the dimension of the nullspace of \mathcal{L}_G corresponds to the number of connected components of G .

Solution: The nullspace of \mathcal{L}_G is the set of linearly independent vectors \mathcal{X} such that $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}_G \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. By expanding \mathcal{L}_G we can see that both D and W transform each \mathbf{x} to equal vectors.

$$\mathcal{L}_G \mathbf{x} = (D - W) \mathbf{x} = D \mathbf{x} - W \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$D \mathbf{x} = W \mathbf{x}$$

Consider that graph $G = (V, E, W)$, ($|V| = n$) has k connected components. Let \mathbf{x}^i be a vector where

$$x_j^i = \begin{cases} 1 & j \in \text{component } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, without loss of generality, $D\mathbf{x}^i$ is equal to a vector containing the degree of each node in G that is in component i . $W\mathbf{x}^i$ is clearly the same since for each node, it will sum all weights of edges that connect to nodes in component i . Thus, there will be k linearly independent vectors that are transformed to $\mathbf{0}$ by \mathcal{L}_G . All other vectors that do this will be linear combinations of $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^k\}$. Therefore, the cardinality of the nullspace of \mathcal{L}_G is equal to the number of connected components in graph G .

4. PROBLEM 2.4

Given a connected unweighted graph $G = (V, E)$, its diameter is equal to

$$\text{diam}(G) = \max_{u,v \in V} \min_{\text{path } p, u \rightarrow v} \text{len}(p)$$

Show that

$$\text{diam}(G) \geq \frac{1}{\text{vol}(G)\lambda_2(\mathcal{L}_G)}$$

5. PROBLEM 2.5

Prove the Courant Fisher Theorem: Given a symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, for $k \leq n$,

$$\lambda_k(A) = \min_{U: \dim(U)=k} \left[\max_{x \in U} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \right].$$

Also show that

$$\lambda_2(A) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x \perp y} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \right]$$

6. PROBLEM 2.6

Given a set of points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and a partition of them in k clusters S_1, \dots, S_k recall the k-means objective

$$\min_{S_1, \dots, S_k} \min_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i \in S_l} \|x_i - \mu_l\|^2.$$

Show that this is equivalent to

$$\min_{S_1, \dots, S_k} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{|S_l|} \sum_{i,j \in S_l} \|x_i - x_j\|^2.$$

Solution:

$$\min_{S_1, \dots, S_k} \min_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i \in S_l} \|x_i - \mu_l\|^2 =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_{S_1, \dots, S_k} \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i \in S_l} \left\| x_i - \frac{1}{|S_l|} \sum_{j \in S_l} x_j \right\|^2 = \\
& \min_{S_1, \dots, S_k} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{|S_l|} \sum_{i \in S_l} \left\| |S_l| x_i - \sum_{j \in S_l} x_j \right\|^2 = \\
& \min_{S_1, \dots, S_k} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{|S_l|} \sum_{i \in S_l} \sum_{j \in S_l} \|x_i - x_j\|^2 = \\
& \min_{S_1, \dots, S_k} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{|S_l|} \sum_{i, j \in S_l} \|x_i - x_j\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$