Risk Assessment Report

Site: Example Site Company: The Technology Studio Risk assessment by: Mark Short

Task:	Example Task
Report Identifier:	d8b91fab

Page 1/3

Report Identifier:			d8b91fab						
Site: Example S		(The Technology tudio)	Assessor:	Mark Short		Created Date:		5 M 201	
Hazaro		How might they be harmed	Existing Controls		Rating * S)		1000	Risk ing	
	Who might be harmed			L	s R	Further Controls/Actions	L	S	R
ENV- Gases/Fumes/Vapours	Working Party	Land	Medium Risk - Ensure existing controls are maintained and monitored Emission monitoring (e.g. CEMS) Stack height In stack heaters Electrostatic precipitators SO3 injection Ventilation of work area Venting of storage vessels Incineration Absorption Condensers Wet scrubbers Dry scrubbers BAT Flame arresters	5	5 25	Emission monitoring (e.g. CEMS) In stack heaters Low nox burners Ventilation of work area Incineration Adsorption Filtration Operating regime Metering to check levels	2	5	10
Flying Object (ejected)	Working Party	Objects discharged by stored energy	Controlled release of stored energy Plant washed down to control the build up of dust and debris PPE - Safety glasses to be worn (Standard BS EN 166, 1F grade) PPE - Safety goggles to be worn (Standard BS EN 166, 1B grade) Routine inspection and maintenance	4	3 12	PPE - Safety goggles to be worn (Standard BS EN 166, 1B grade) PPE - Safety visor to be worn (Standard BS EN 166, 1B grade) Robustness of guarding confirmed Routine inspection and maintenance Tolerable Risk - No further controls required	3	3	9
Chemical	Working Party	Absorption	Clean tools after use with COSHH assessed cleaning chemicals PPE - Chemical resistant overalls to be worn (Standard BS EN 465)	4	5 20	PPE - Safety glasses to be worn (Standard BS EN 166, 1F grade) PPE - Respiratory protective equipment to be worn	4	2	8

Site: Example Site Company: The Tec		Company: The Technol	ology Studio		Risk assessment by: Mark Short				2/3	
Hazarn		How might they be harmed	Existing Controls	Risk Rating (L * S)				New Risk Rating		
	Who might be harmed			L	S	R	Further Controls/Actions	L	S	R
ENV-Odour	Lone worker	Land	Use of air fresheners Tolerable Risk - No further controls required Process/waste/materials/sewage causing odour monitored	4	4	16	Process/waste/materials/sewage causing odour investigated Process/waste/materials/sewage causing odour monitored	4	2	8
Electricity	Working Party	Exposure to damaged electrical apparatus	Insulation of electrical supply PPE - Electrical Gloves (standard EN 60903) Use of insulated tools	2	3	6		2	3	6
ENV- Gases/Fumes/Vapours	Working Party	Land	Electrostatic precipitators Flue gas desulphurisation	5	1	5		5	1	5

Site: Example Site		Company: The Technology Studio		Risk assessment by: Mark Short				Page	3/3		
Hazaro		They be	Existing Controls	Risk Rating (L * S)					New Risk Rating		
	Who might be harmed			L	S	R	Further Controls/Actions	L	S	R	
ENV-Oil	Working Party	Water	Bunding of oil storage areas Installation of interceptor pits Maintenance of equipment Spill kits located locally	4	5	20	Bunding of oil storage areas Maintenance of equipment Tolerable Risk - No further controls required	1	2	2	
ENV-Fire	Working Party	Water	Site drains covered Shut off valves	1	2	2		1	2	2	
Flying Object (ejected)	Working Party	Ejected objects striking body	Emergency eye wash bottles to be carried PPE - Safety glasses to be worn (Standard BS EN 166, 1F grade)	1	1	1		1	1	1	
Kev.	Likelihood 1 = H	d 1 = Highly unlikely, 2 = Unlikely, 3 = Possible, 4 = Likely, 5 = Certain					Low = 1 to 4				
	Severity 1 = No in injury/Fatal	ijury, 2 = Minor injury, 3	= Medical treatment, 4 = Reportable, 5 = Major	Risk Ra (Likelih Severit	ood X		Medium = 5 to 11				
				High = 12 to 25							

iRisk Assess ©, www.iriskassess.co.uk