CS 3205-002 HCl in Software Development - Fall 2014

ENGR (20631)

INSTRUCTORS: Floryan, Mark (mrf8t)

Respondents: 31 / Enrollment: 73

Summary: CS 3205-002 HCI in Software Development - Fall 2014 (20631)

Overall Course Rating

CS-3205-002 Mean 4.20 CS-3205-002 Std Dev 0.81 CS-3205-002 Response Count 153

SEAS, 3000-level courses Mean 4.09 SEAS, 3000-level courses Std Dev 0.96 SEAS, 3000-level courses Response Count 10192

Overall Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR: Floryan, Mark Mean 4.63 Std Dev 0.63 Response Count 214

SEAS, 3000-level courses Mean 4.20 SEAS, 3000-level courses Std Dev 0.92 SEAS, 3000-level courses Response Count 16510

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

1. The course addressed technically rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

~ ANSWER MATRIC	CES ~
-----------------	-------

Results for CS-3205-002								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
31	4.23	0.88	13 (41.94%)	14 (45.16%)	3 (9.68%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (3.23%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2037	4.41	0.72	1031 (50.61%)	845 (41.48%)	97 (4.76%)	27 (1.33%)	16 (0.79%)	21 (1.03%)

2. The instructor used methods other than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, inclass discussion) effectively in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

R	esults for	r CS-3205-002, Floryan, Mark								
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
	30	4.63	0.49	19 (63.33%)	11 (36.67%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	2365	3.96	1.05	824 (34.84%)	874 (36.96%)	335 (14.16%)	191 (8.08%)	69 (2.92%)	72 (3.04%)

3. There was a reasonable level of effort expected for the credit hours received.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for	Results for CS-3205-002										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
31	4.42	0.67	16 (51.61%)	12 (38.71%)	3 (9.68%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			

ı	Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
	2043	4.14	0.95	828 (40.53%)	864 (42.29%)	185 (9.06%)	106 (5.19%)	49 (2.40%)	11 (0.54%)	

Not

Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

102

(5.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(9.68%)

Not Applicable

(NA)

417

(20.46%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(2.21%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(0.00%)

Applicable (NA)

(2.59%)

Not Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

58

(2.47%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ 4. The homework assignments helped Results for CS-3205-002 me learn the subject matter. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (2)Disagree (3)Question Type: Likert (5) (1) 31 4.13 0.76 13 0 0 11 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (35.48%) (41.94%) (22.58%) (0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Total Neutral Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) 29 2039 4.23 0.88 861 794 79 174 (1.42%)(38.94%)(8.53%)(3.87%)(42.23%)5. The textbook increased my Results for CS-3205-002 understanding of the material. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (3) (2)Question Type: Likert (5) 31 3.75 0.84 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (12.90%)(51.61%)(16.13%)(9.68%) (0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Strongly Agree (5) Agree (4) Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Disagree Total Neutral (3)(1) 2038 3.62 392 363 1.13 592 183 91 (19.23%)(29.05%)(17.81%)(8.98%)(4.47%)6. The course material was well Results for CS-3205-002, Floryan, Mark organized and developed. Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Neutral Disagree (2) Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 0 (0.00%) 31 4.61 0.56 20 10 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (32.26<u>%)</u> (64.52%) (3.23%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Agree (4) Std Dev Total Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) (3) (2)Disagree (1) 2358 4.08 0.98 883 981 239 145 58 (37.45%)(41.60%)(10.14%)(6.15%)(2.46%)7. The instructor was knowledgeable Results for CS-3205-002, Floryan, Mark about the subject matter. Std Dev Disagree (2) Mean Strongly Total Agree (4) Neutral Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 5 (16.13%) 4 71 0.59 24 n contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering 31 (77.42%) (6.45%) (0.00%) (0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Disagree (2) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) 2356 4.57 0.66 1460 721 76 (0.42%) (61.97%)(30.60%) (3.23%)(1.19%)8. The instructor was well prepared for Results for CS-3205-002, Floryan, Mark class. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (3) (2)Disagree Question Type: Likert (5) (1)30 4.70 0.53 22 1 0 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (23.33%)(73.33%)(3.33%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science

Std Dev

0.89

Strongly

Agree (5)

1144

(48.64%)

Agree (4)

853

(36.27%)

Neutral

(3)

184

(7.82%)

Disagree

(2)

(2.81%)

Strongly

Disagree

(1)

47

(2.00%)

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses

Mean

4.30

Total

2352

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

9. I received adequate preparation from the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-002								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
29	4.48	0.71	15 (51.72%)	7 (24.14%)	3 (10.34%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (13.79%)

Results for								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
2035	3.97	0.94	609 (29.93%)	842 (41.38%)	315 (15.48%)	132 (6.49%)	29 (1.43%)	108 (5.31%)

10. The grading policy was fair.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-002, Floryan, Mark									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	31	4.19	1.01	16 (51.61%)	8 (25.81%)	4 (12.90%)	3 (9.68%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
2364	4.12	0.92	884 (37.39%)	990 (41.88%)	276 (11.68%)	103 (4.36%)	44 (1.86%)	67 (2.83%)

11. The instructor responded adequately to in-class questions.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-002, Floryan, Mark									
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
	31	4.77	0.43	24 (77.42%)	7 (22.58%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for	Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
2357	4.29	0.83	1076 (45.65%)	929 (39.41%)	187 (7.93%)	68 (2.89%)	27 (1.15%)	70 (2.97%)		

12. The instructor effectively used technology in support of the learning goals for this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-002, Floryan, Mark									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
30	4.77	0.43	23 (76.67%)	7 (23.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
2358	4.08	0.95	844 (35.79%)	958 (40.63%)	285 (12.09%)	121 (5.13%)	48 (2.04%)	102 (4.33%)		

13. The average number of hours per week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for CS-3205-002										
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)					
31	0 (0.00%)	13 (41.94%)	17 (54.84%)	1 (3.23%)	0 (0.00%)					

Results for SEA	S, 3000-level cours	ses			
Total	Less than 1	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 or more
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
2044	111	552	825	354	202
	(5.43%)	(27.01%)	(40.36%)	(17.32%)	(9.88%)

14. I learned a great deal in this course.	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~								
8	Results for	CS-3205-002							
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
contributed by Office of the Provost	31	4.29	0.82	15 (48.39%)	11 (35.48%)	4 (12.90%)	1 (3.23%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for	SEAS. 3000-	level courses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
	2036	4.18	0.89	847 (41.60%)	853 (41.90%)	220 (10.81%)	90 (4.42%)	26 (1.28%)	
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-3205-002							
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
contributed by Office of the Provost	31	4.42	0.72	17 (54.84%)	10 (32.26%)	4 (12.90%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for	SEAS. 3000-	level courses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
	2041	4.11	1.00	864 (42.33%)	766 (37.53%)	243 (11.91%)	113 (5.54%)	55 (2.69%)	
6. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-3205-002	, Floryan, Ma	rk					
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	31	4.71	0.46	22 (70.97%)	9 (29.03%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-	level courses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
	2360	4.29	0.76	1015 (43.01%)	1104 (46.78%)	172 (7.29%)	47 (1.99%)	22 (0.93%)	
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-3205-002	, Floryan, Ma	rk					
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	31	4.71	0.46	22 (70.97%)	9 (29.03%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-	level courses						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
			0.83	1105	900	271	60	20	
	2358	4.28	0.00	(46.86%)	(38.17%)	(11.49%)	62 (2.63%)	(0.85%)	
18. Overall, the instructor was an			2, Floryan, Ma	(46.86%)	(38.17%)			(0.85%)	
18. Overall, the instructor was an effective teacher. Question Type: Likert				(46.86%)	(38.17%) Agree (4)			(0.85%) Strongly	
effective teacher.	Results for	CS-3205-002	, Floryan, Ma	rk Strongly Agree	(38.17%) Agree	(11.49%) Neutral	(2.63%) Disagree	Strongly Disagree (1)	
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Results for Total	CS-3205-002 Mean 4.81	s, Floryan, Ma Std Dev	rk Strongly Agree (5) 25	(38.17%) Agree (4)	(11.49%) Neutral (3)	(2.63%) Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Results for Total	CS-3205-002 Mean 4.81	Std Dev	rk Strongly Agree (5) 25	(38.17%) Agree (4)	(11.49%) Neutral (3)	(2.63%) Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	

		CS 3205-002 HCI in Software Development - Fall 207					
~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~						
19. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-3205-002						
or observations about this course:	Total	Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	17	See below for Individual Results					
contributed by Office of the Provost							
	my own (wasn' a nice laid-bacl	at guy and a good lecturer but a lot of the subject matter I felt I could have learned on t super complicated/didn't really need someone to explain it to me). Still, the class was k elective especially compared to some of the other class in the department. The ct was pretty cool and not super demanding since it was split up so I'm glad I had the do that.					
	One of the mos professor	st interesting and fun classes I've ever taken. Really interesting, funny and engaging					
	Great professo	r, extremely enthusiastic about the course.					
		ked this class, but it was very effective in changing my state of mind. I now think of designed on a regular basis, how to make them better, etc. and I doubt that will					
	Great class for	learning HCI. Excellently organized teaching method.					
	Floryan was an excellent professor; he was knowledgeable, informative, and funny, bringing in really cool professional stories to the class. However, the class itself, despite dealing with the really important topic of HCI, justdidn't quite live up to my expectations. The homeworks were all massive write-ups - and I suppose that that's just the nature of the beast with a class like this - but I still thought that incorporating something besides just pure writing would be nice. The grading was also a bit uneven, but this was always rectified with re-grades. All in all, this was still a pretty worthwhile course, I just didn't enjoy it as much as I thought I would.						
	Best young professor I've ever had						
	Tests did not test what i learned or knew. They tested what I was able to memorize. The people who were genuinely interested in the conceptual idea behind the subject perfor compared to people who had no interest but memorized everything do well.						
	I think that this class was great - but I think that the project moved a bit slow. It would have be to have been able to test our design assumptions before the last assignment. Perhaps in the the class could take on a more iterative format? Further, it would have been ideal if office ho not mixed with office hours for algorithms students. As the more technical class, it makes set they get priority, but it would have been nice to have HCI-specific time to chat. Thank you for enjoyable learning experience though, Floryan! I gained a lot of really practical knowledge all development in this class.						
	are pertinent to prolific. That be when you know	ofessor Floryan's efforts to teach a rather subjective topic. The concepts we discussed of many jobs, and are more important than ever as devices get more complex and being said, the grading was also somewhat subjective, making it frustrating at times with what you're talking about but cannot articulate it in a manner that the professor is ecent class overall.					
	Professor Floryan is awesome! He really cares about his students, and does a great job strik balance between maintaining a casual, friendly relationship with the class, but also maintainin respect of his students. The assignments were fun and useful, and I have already drawn on r learned in this class in job interviews! I look forward to incorporating the final project into my put to have on hand to show potential employers. Overall, this was a great class!						
	This was a very courses.	y enjoyable course. It was very refreshing and nicely contrasted with the other CS					
	definitely appre	van made the class very interesting and the discussions we had were engaging. I eciate the user side of things after taking this class. I would definitely recommend it to a useful CS elective course.					
	assignments th	s really good. Timing of lecture material didn't always line up with homework lough, e.g., we didn't finish with the evaluation slides until days before the last due, which was a very substantial assignment. Getting through that sooner would be					

Great class, great professor, fair grading. If you lose Mark Floryan, you're losing a great resource. Worth the effort to keep him around.

need more emphasis on the technical part instead of just writing papers and evaluating prototypes.

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ The lecture material was very interesting, and the exams were fair. The goal of the project was good, but in practice it was a disaster. Getting stuck with a terrible group ensured that not only would I be doing far more work than I should have, but also that the homework assignments were not helpful in learning the concepts discussed in lecture. There were evaluations, which should help correct for useless teammates from a grading perspective, but that doesn't rectify the fact that my teammates put such little thought and effort into the course that the homeworks were essentially a waste of time. If I could take this class again, preferably with a group that was more involved, I feel I might have gotten more out of it. But as it stands, the structure of the course is such that the choice of project in the first week essentially entirely dictates how the semester will be.