CS 3205-001 HCl in Software Development - Fall 2014

ENGR (20595)

INSTRUCTORS: Floryan, Mark (mrf8t)

Respondents: 38 / Enrollment: 73

Summary: CS 3205-001 HCl in Software Development - Fall 2014 (20595)

Overall Course Rating

CS-3205-001 Mean 4.23 CS-3205-001 Std Dev 0.88 CS-3205-001 Response Count 187

SEAS, 3000-level courses Mean 4.09 SEAS, 3000-level courses Std Dev 0.96 SEAS, 3000-level courses Response Count 10192

Overall Instructor Rating

INSTRUCTOR: Floryan, Mark Mean 4.54 Std Dev 0.65 Response Count 264

SEAS, 3000-level courses Mean 4.20 SEAS, 3000-level courses Std Dev 0.92 SEAS, 3000-level courses Response Count 16510

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

1. The course addressed technically rigorous subject matter consistent with the course objectives.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Results for CS-3205-001										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
37	4.30	0.91	20 (54.05%)	10 (27.03%)	5 (13.51%)	2 (5.41%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
2037	4.41	0.72	1031 (50.61%)	845 (41.48%)	97 (4.76%)	27 (1.33%)	16 (0.79%)	21 (1.03%)	

2. The instructor used methods other than/in addition to traditional lectures (for example, active learning, in-class problems, collaborative learning, inclass discussion) effectively in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-001, Floryan, Mark										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)		
38	4.53	0.60	22 (57.89%)	14 (36.84%)	2 (5.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)		

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
2365	3.96	1.05	824 (34.84%)	874 (36.96%)	335 (14.16%)	191 (8.08%)	69 (2.92%)	72 (3.04%)	

3. There was a reasonable level of effort expected for the credit hours received.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-001									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
38	4.47	0.65	21 (55.26%)	14 (36.84%)	3 (7.89%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
2043	4.14	0.95	828 (40.53%)	864 (42.29%)	185 (9.06%)	106 (5.19%)	49 (2.40%)	11 (0.54%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

102

(5.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(2.78%)

Not Applicable

(NA)

417

(20.46%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(2.21%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

(0.00%)

Applicable (NA)

(2.59%)

Not Applicable

(NA)

0

(0.00%)

Not

Applicable

(NA)

58

(2.47%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ 4. The homework assignments helped Results for CS-3205-001 me learn the subject matter. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (2)Disagree (3)Question Type: Likert (5) (1) 38 4.37 0.71 18 17 2 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (47.37%) (44.74%) $(5.\overline{26\%})$ (2.63%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Total Neutral Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) 29 2039 4.23 0.88 861 794 79 174 (1.42%)(38.94%)(8.53%)(3.87%)(42.23%)5. The textbook increased my Results for CS-3205-001 understanding of the material. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) Question Type: Likert 36 3.57 1.07 15 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (22.22%)(25.00%)(41.67%)(2.78%)(5.56%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Strongly Agree (5) Agree (4) Disagree (2) Mean Std Dev Strongly Disagree Total Neutral (3)(1) 2038 3.62 392 363 1.13 592 183 91 (19.23%) (29.05%)(17.81%)(8.98%)(4.47%)6. The course material was well Results for CS-3205-001, Floryan, Mark organized and developed. Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Agree (4) Neutral Disagree (2) Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 0 (0.00%) 37 4.49 0.65 21 13 3 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (56.76%) (35.14%) (8.11%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Agree (4) Std Dev Total Mean Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (5) (3) (2)Disagree (1) 2358 4.08 0.98 883 981 239 145 58 (37.45%)(41.60%)(10.14%)(6.15%)(2.46%)7. The instructor was knowledgeable Results for CS-3205-001, Floryan, Mark about the subject matter. Std Dev Strongly Disagree (2) Mean Total Agree (4) Neutral Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) (3) Question Type: Likert 38 4 76 0.49 30 n contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (78.95%) (18.42%) (2.63%)(0.00%) (0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses Disagree (2) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Strongly Agree (5) Disagree (1) (3) 2356 4.57 0.66 1460 721 76 (0.42%) (61.97%)(30.60%) (3.23%)(1.19%)8. The instructor was well prepared for Results for CS-3205-001, Floryan, Mark class. Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Neutral Disagree Strongly Agree (3) (2)Disagree Question Type: Likert (5) (1)38 4.61 0.64 26 3 0 0 contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering (7.89%)(68.42%)(23.68%)(0.00%)(0.00%)and Applied Science Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses

Std Dev

0.89

Strongly

Agree (5)

1144

(48.64%)

Agree (4)

853

(36.27%)

Neutral

(3)

184

(7.82%)

Disagree

(2)

(2.81%)

Strongly

Disagree

(1)

47

(2.00%)

Mean

4.30

Total

2352

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

9. I received adequate preparation from the prior courses in the curriculum to be successful in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-001									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
38	4.39	0.77	20 (52.63%)	10 (26.32%)	6 (15.79%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (5.26%)	

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
2035	3.97	0.94	609 (29.93%)	842 (41.38%)	315 (15.48%)	132 (6.49%)	29 (1.43%)	108 (5.31%)	

10. The grading policy was fair.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-001, Floryan, Mark									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
38	4.13	0.81	14 (36.84%)	16 (42.11%)	7 (18.42%)	1 (2.63%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
2364	4.12	0.92	884 (37.39%)	990 (41.88%)	276 (11.68%)	103 (4.36%)	44 (1.86%)	67 (2.83%)

11. The instructor responded adequately to in-class questions.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-001, Floryan, Mark											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)			
38	4.68	0.57	28 (73.68%)	8 (21.05%)	2 (5.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
2357	4.29	0.83	1076 (45.65%)	929 (39.41%)	187 (7.93%)	68 (2.89%)	27 (1.15%)	70 (2.97%)	

12. The instructor effectively used technology in support of the learning goals for this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for CS-3205-001, Floryan, Mark								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
37	4.59	0.60	24 (64.86%)	11 (29.73%)	2 (5.41%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses									
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)	
2358	4.08	0.95	844 (35.79%)	958 (40.63%)	285 (12.09%)	121 (5.13%)	48 (2.04%)	102 (4.33%)	

13. The average number of hours per week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for CS-3205-001								
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)			
38	3 (7.89%)	23 (60.53%)	11 (28.95%)	1 (2.63%)	0 (0.00%)			

Results for SEAS, 3000-level courses								
Total Less than 1 (NA)		1 - 3 (NA) 4 - 6 (NA)		7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)			
2044 111 (5.43%)		552 (27.01%)	825 (40.36%)	354 (17.32%)	202 (9.88%)			

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~			
14. I learned a great deal in this course.	Results for	CS-3205-001						
Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	38	4.29	0.87	18 (47.37%)	16 (42.11%)	1 (2.63%)	3 (7.89%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2036	4.18	0.89	847 (41.60%)	853 (41.90%)	220 (10.81%)	90 (4.42%)	26 (1.28%)
15. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	CS-3205-001						
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	38	4.37	0.91	22 (57.89%)	11 (28.95%)	2 (5.26%)	3 (7.89%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS. 3000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2041	4.11	1.00	864 (42.33%)	766 (37.53%)	243 (11.91%)	113 (5.54%)	55 (2.69%)
6. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	CS-3205-001	, Floryan, Ma	rk				
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert	38	4.68	0.53	27 (71.05%)	10 (26.32%)	1 (2.63%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2360	4.29	0.76	1015 (43.01%)	1104 (46.78%)	172 (7.29%)	47 (1.99%)	22 (0.93%)
17. The instructor was approachable	Results for	CS-3205-001	, Floryan, Ma	rk				
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	38	4.53	0.73	24 (63.16%)	11 (28.95%)	2 (5.26%)	1 (2.63%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2358	4.28	0.83	1105 (46.86%)	900 (38.17%)	271 (11.49%)	62 (2.63%)	20 (0.85%)
18. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	CS-3205-0 <u>01</u>	, Floryan, Ma	rk				
effective teacher. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	38	4.63	0.59	26 (68.42%)	10 (26.32%)	2 (5.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	SEAS, 3000-	level courses					
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	2370	4.17	0.97	1063 (44.85%)	874 (36.88%)	257 (10.84%)	118 (4.98%)	58 (2.45%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~				
19. Please make any overall comments	Results for CS-3205-001					
or observations about this course:	Total Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	21	See below for Individual Results				
contributed by Office of the Provost						
	Floryan does a	great job with this course				
	understandable	little when OH are basically abandoned to people in algorithms, which is a since algo is hard. I appreciate how you were able to respond to my emails regarding I just explained to my friend tonight why she wasn't stupid when she used a stove, it of the stove.				
	toys/gadgets to	omb! Fun class, loved the videos, engaging material. Class projects were fun - new play with this semester, which I thought was pretty neat. Liked the in-class cool to see what other people were doing, learned a lot from them. Really liked not				
	let us know we	s an absolutely amazing professor and lecturer. The course was very structured and he ll in advance what was to be accomplished. He gave us adequate preparation and ned up remarkably well with lecture.				
	By far, Prof. Flo	oryan is my favorite CS professor at UVA.				
	I didn't seem to be great at answering the test questions. I would have appreciated some practice answering those sorts of questions with feedback so that I knew what what the TAs were looking for.					
	Prof. Floryan is the MAN, definitely the best teacher I've had at UVA. Always engaging, interesting, and responsive to students. Give this guy a raise!					
	Floryan was ex world. And eve	n this course! Loved the in class videos, they really helped break up the lecture. scited about the material and excited to teach which made all the difference in the en when our first exam grades didn't go so well he was really understanding and did all uickly. Overall, a great course!				
	love this class! started to get a	i learnt so much that i can't help apply the theories into daily life that my friends are innoyed lol				
	N/A					
	even beyond w regarding the T assignments a grading. Prof F cause for frustr especially cons	van is awesome. It is obvious that he cares and knows a great deal about the subject, what is strictly presented as course material. My only complaint about the class is TAS: It seemed that there was some disparity between Prof Floryan's expectations on and exams and the expectations of the TAS, which was reflected in seemingly arbitrary loryan corrected for TA mistakes in exam grading (by regrading them) but this was a ration for all parties. Even with the regrades, the grading seemed unnecessarily harsh, sidering the content is mostly non-technical and is less rigorous relative to other CS we that there must be some level of rigor, but taking points off just to avoid giving 100% juite fair.)				
	Thanks for a gi	reat semester!				
		enjoyed reading Norman's book. I'm glad it was required and threatened to be (and ut on the exams because otherwise I wouldn't have read it.				
	This course pro	ovides an interesting look at design, which is often overlooked in other classes.				
	It was a pretty assignments w	interesting course; however, I would've preferred if the expectations for the written ere more clearly stated.				
	material, not all and approache boring lectures	around. Professor Floryan was very approachable and expected understanding of sourd feats of memorization. Homework definitely reinforced all the design principles as we learned in class, plus it was good practice writing and working in a group. Few , a readable text, comfortable amounts of in-class discussion, interesting examples of learned and had fun; I recommend it wholeheartedly.				

You are consistently a wonderful professor! I'll never forget you! :D

I regret taking this class because of the following issues: 1. Group discussions. The same 6-10 people answered every question. I rarely felt that any of them made a point that actually contributed to my understanding. Often we would spend half an hour talking about the same thing and students would repeat the same point over and over, saying the same thing in slightly different ways. The professor needs to take better control over these discussions and end them earlier. 2. Group presentations. Some presentations would last 30 minutes and others would be cut short to 5 minutes. The 5 minute presentations were consistently more interesting to me. Again, the professor needs to take control and limit the time of presentations to give everyone a fair chance. 3. Tests/Grading. The tests required very little comprehension yet the averages were still in the 70's. I believe that most people knew the answers, but the graders felt they needed to take off points somewhere so they took off for qualified yet not word for word answers. Basically, if you want to give out give away tests that don't challenge me, fine. But if you do that and then grade the easy test such that it has the average of a hard test, that bugs me because you aren't getting an accurate distribution of competency - grades come down to who remembers exact definitions rather than who understands the concepts best. In contrast to my complaints, I believe Professor Floryan is a great young professor. He is relatable and clear in his explanations. I just felt that he was stuck with a class that by the nature of its material leads to this sort of atmosphere. Professor Floryan was an approachable and fair teacher and he taught this class in a way that was engaging and worthwhile. HCI videos = highlight of the day Awesome and fun course!