

Induction, Recursion, and Algorithmic Analysis

Exercise 1. Prove by induction that

$$1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + n \cdot n! = (n+1)! - 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + n \cdot n! = (n+1)! - 1$. We will prove that $P(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 1$ by induction on n .

Base case $n = 1$. $1 \cdot 1! = 1 = 2! - 1 = (1+1)! - 1$ so $P(1)$ holds.

Inductive case. Assume $P(k)$ holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. That is $1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + k \cdot k! = (k+1)! - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + k \cdot k! + (k+1) \cdot (k+1)! &= (k+1)! - 1 + (k+1) \cdot (k+1)! && \text{(Induction hypothesis)} \\ &= (1+k+1)(k+1)! - 1 \\ &= ((k+1)+1)(k+1)! - 1 \end{aligned}$$

so $P(k+1)$ holds.

Therefore, by the Principle of Induction, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 1$.

Exercise 2. Let $\Sigma = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

- (a) Give a recursive definition for the function $\text{sum} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which, when given a word over Σ returns the sum of the digits. For example $\text{sum}(1232) = 8$, $\text{sum}(222) = 6$, and $\text{sum}(1) = 1$. You should assume $\text{sum}(\lambda) = 0$.
- (b) For $w \in \Sigma^*$, let $P(w)$ be the proposition that for all words $v \in \Sigma^*$, $\text{sum}(wv) = \text{sum}(w) + \text{sum}(v)$. Prove that $P(w)$ holds for all $w \in \Sigma^*$.
- (c) Consider the function $\text{rev} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ defined recursively as follows:
 - $\text{rev}(\lambda) = \lambda$
 - For $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $a \in \Sigma$, $\text{rev}(aw) = \text{rev}(w)a$

Prove that for all words $w \in \Sigma^*$, $\text{sum}(\text{rev}(w)) = \text{sum}(w)$

Solution:

(a) We give a definition using the recursive nature of Σ^* :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sum}(\lambda) &= 0 \\ \text{sum}(a.w) &= a + \text{sum}(w).\end{aligned}$$

(b) We first need the recursive definition of concatenation:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda.v &= v \\ (aw).v &= a(w.v)\end{aligned}$$

We will now prove $P(w)$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$ by structural induction on w .

Base case ($w = \lambda$).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sum}(wv) &= \text{sum}(\lambda.v) \\ &= \text{sum}(v) && \text{Definition of concatenation} \\ &= 0 + \text{sum}(v) \\ &= \text{sum}(\lambda) + \text{sum}(v) && \text{Definition of sum} \\ &= \text{sum}(w) + \text{sum}(v)\end{aligned}$$

So $P(\lambda)$ holds.

Inductive case ($w = aw'$). Assume $P(w')$ holds, that is for all $v \in \Sigma^*$, $\text{sum}(w'v) = \text{sum}(w') + \text{sum}(v)$. Then for all $v \in \Sigma^*$ and all $a \in \Sigma$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sum}((aw')v) &= \text{sum}(a(w'v)) && \text{Definition of concatenation} \\ &= a + \text{sum}(w'v) && \text{Definition of sum} \\ &= a + \text{sum}(w') + \text{sum}(v) && \text{Inductive hypothesis} \\ &= \text{sum}(aw') + \text{sum}(v) && \text{Definition of sum}\end{aligned}$$

So $P(w')$ implies $P(aw')$ for all $a \in \Sigma$.

Therefore, by the Principle of Structural Induction, $P(w)$ holds for all $w \in \Sigma^*$.

(c) Let $P(w)$ be the proposition that $\text{sum}(\text{rev}(w)) = \text{sum}(w)$. We will show that $P(w)$ holds for all words $w \in \Sigma^*$ by structural induction on w .

Base case ($w = \lambda$). From the definition of rev we have: $\text{sum}(\text{rev}(\lambda)) = \text{sum}(\lambda)$. So $P(\lambda)$ holds.

Inductive case ($w = aw'$). Suppose $P(w')$ holds, that is $\text{sum}(\text{rev}(w')) = \text{sum}(w')$. For any $a \in \Sigma$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sum}(\text{rev}(aw')) &= \text{sum}(w'a) && \text{Definition of rev} \\ &= \text{sum}(w') + \text{sum}(a) && \text{From (b)} \\ &= \text{sum}(w') + a + \text{sum}(\lambda) && \text{Definition of sum} \\ &= a + \text{sum}(w') + 0 && \text{Definition of sum} \\ &= \text{sum}(aw') && \text{Definition of sum}\end{aligned}$$

So $P(w')$ implies $P(aw')$ for all $a \in \Sigma$.

Therefore, by the Principle of Structural Induction, $P(w)$ holds for all $w \in \Sigma^*$.

Exercise 3. Define $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ recursively as follows: $f(m, 0) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(m, n + 1) = m + f(m, n)$.

(a) Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $f(0, n) = f(n, 0)$. Prove that $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

* (b) Let $Q(m)$ be the proposition $\forall n, f(m, n) = f(n, m)$. Prove that $Q(m)$ holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution:

1. We show that $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction.

Base case: $n = 0$. Since $f(0, 0) = f(0, 0)$, $P(0)$ holds.

Inductive case. Now suppose $P(n)$ holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, n + 1) &= 0 + f(0, n) && \text{(Def)} \\ &= 0 + f(n, 0) && \text{(IH)} \\ &= 0 && \text{(Def)} \\ &= f(n + 1, 0). && \text{(Def)} \end{aligned}$$

So $P(n) \rightarrow P(n + 1)$, and thus $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. We will prove by induction that $f(m, n) = mn$, from which it follows that $f(m, n) = mn = nm = f(n, m)$. Let $R(n)$ be the proposition that: for all m , $f(m, n) = mn$.

Base case: $n = 0$. From the definition of f , $f(m, 0) = 0 = 0.m$ for all m . So $R(0)$ holds.

Inductive case. Suppose that $R(n)$ holds. That is, for all m , $f(m, n) = mn$. Then, for all m ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(m, n + 1) &= m + f(m, n) && \text{Definition of } f \\ &= m + mn && \text{Induction hypothesis} \\ &= m(n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

So $R(n + 1)$ holds. Thus, $R(n)$ implies $R(n + 1)$, so by the Principle of Induction $f(m, n) = mn$ for all m and n . Therefore $f(m, n) = f(n, m)$.

Exercise 4. Analyse the complexity of the following algorithms to compute the n -th Fibonacci number

(a) **FibOne**(n):

```
if  $n \leq 2$  then return 1
else return FibOne( $n - 1$ ) + FibOne( $n - 2$ )
```

(b) **FibTwo**(n):

```
 $x = 1, y = 0, i = 1$ 
While  $i < n$ :
     $t = x$ 
     $x = x + y$ 
```

```

    y = t
    i = i + 1
return x

```

Solution:

- (a) Let $T(n)$ be the running time of **FibOne**(n). Then in the worst case, there are two recursive calls to smaller instances of **FibOne**, taking time $T(n-1)$ and $T(n-2)$ respectively. All other operations are constant time, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= O(1) + T(n-1) + T(n-2) \\
 &\leq O(1) + 2.T(n-1).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the lectures, this means that $T(n) \in O(2^n)$.

- (b) Let $T(n)$ be the running time of **FibTwo**(n). We have a while-loop which runs $O(n)$ times, and within the while loop there are several operations taking $O(1)$ time. All other operations are constant time, so the overall running time is $O(1) + O(n) \times O(1) = O(n)$.

Exercise 5. Analyse the complexity of the following recursive algorithm to test whether a number x occurs in an *ordered* list $L = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ of size n . Take the cost to be the number of list element comparison operations.

BinarySearch($x, L = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$):

if $n = 0$ then return no

else

if $x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} > x$ then return **BinarySearch**($x, [x_1, \dots, x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1}]$)

else if $x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} < x$ return **BinarySearch**($x, [x_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}, \dots, x_n]$)

else return yes

Solution: Let $T(n)$ be the cost of running **BinarySearch** on a list of length n . In the worst case, we make $2 = O(1)$ element comparisons and recursively call **BinarySearch** on a list of length $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. So we have:

$$T(n) = O(1) + T(n/2).$$

The Master Theorem applies to this recurrence: we have $d = 2$, $\alpha = \beta = 0$, so we are in Case 2. This tells us that $T(n) \in O(n^\alpha \log n) = O(\log n)$.