A Dynamic Dependent Type Theory with Type-in-Type and Recursion

February 25, 2021

1 Language

1.1 Surface Language

1.2 Cast Language

There is syntactic ambiguity at \star and Π which are both a Term Head and a Term. When rules apply equally to both forms they may not be restated. Similarly for A and e.

2 Definitions

2.1 Substitution

2.2 lookup

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A \uparrow &= A & \text{ apparent type} \\ e =_{l,o} A \uparrow &= A & \\ A \downarrow &= A & \text{raw type} \\ e =_{l,o} A \downarrow &= e \downarrow & \end{array}$$

2.3 Casts

Occasionally we will use the shorthand A :: e to inject additional casts into A,

$$(A :: B) :: (B' =_{l,o} e) = A :: B =_{l,o} e$$

 $(A :: e' =_{l,o} B) :: (B' =_{l,o} e) = A :: e' =_{l,o} e$

3 Judgments

```
H \vdash n Elab a
                                   Infer cast
                                   Check cast*
         H \vdash n Elab_{A,l} a
                         H \vdash
                                   well formed context (not presented)
                  H \vdash a : A
                                   apparent type
                   H \vdash e : \overline{\star}
                                   well formed casts
          H \vdash a \equiv a' : A
        H \vdash a \Longrightarrow_* a' : A
                                   typed transitive closure of par reductions
          H \vdash a \Rightarrow a' : A
                                   par reductions
            H \vdash e \sim e' : \overline{\star}
               H \vdash o \Rightarrow o'
           H \vdash b \sim b' : B
                                   same except for observations and evidence
            H \vdash e \sim e' : \overline{\star}
             H \vdash e \, Elim_{\star}
                                   concrete elimination
H \vdash e Elim_{\Pi} x : e_A.e_B
```

3.1 Judgment Variants

There are variants of judgments that reduce book keeping. All of these helper judgments could be expanded into the top level judgments above, but would make the presentation significantly messier.

3.1.1 Direct typing

```
H \vdash b : ! B direct typing
```

A type judgment without top level use of the conversion rule. It acts as a technical devise to recover the more verbose forms of typed judgments. For instance, given the following

```
\begin{split} H \vdash ((\operatorname{\mathsf{fun}} f. \, x.b) &:: e) \ a \ :! \, B \, [x \coloneqq a] \\ (\operatorname{\mathsf{fun}} f. \, x.b) &:: e \, a \Rrightarrow (b' \, [f \coloneqq (\operatorname{\mathsf{fun}} f. \, x.b') \, , x \coloneqq a' :: e_A'] :: e_B' \, [x \coloneqq a']) \\ \text{by inspection we can construct the typed version of the rule} \end{split}
```

$$\frac{H,f:\Pi x:A.B,x:B\vdash b\Rightarrow b':B\quad H\vdash a\Rightarrow a':A\quad e\:Elim_\Pi\:x:e_A.e_B\quad H\vdash e_A\Rightarrow e'_A:\overline{\star}\quad H,x:B\vdash e_B\Rightarrow e'_A:\overline{\star}\quad H,x:B\vdash e_B$$

which is ideal for induction, but hard to parse.

(TODO: make sure this "macro" works as expected, there may need to be slight changes for function elimination, since it inspects 2 layers of term syntax)

3.1.2 Head Judgments

It is helpful to present some judgments that only consider head form, this avoids some bookkeeping with casts.

$$H \vdash a_h : A$$
 head type $a_h \Rightarrow a$ $a_h \sim a'_h$

3.1.3 Untyped versions of Judgments

Some Judgments do not rely on type contexts, but are almost always used in a typed setting, so these compound judgments are used.

$$H \vdash b \sim b' : B = b \sim b' \qquad H \vdash b : ! B$$

$$H \vdash e \sim e' : \overline{\star} = e \sim e' \qquad H \vdash e : \overline{\star}$$

$$H \vdash a \Rightarrow a' : A = a \Rightarrow a' \qquad H \vdash a : ! A$$

$$H \vdash e \Rightarrow e' : \overline{\star} = e \Rightarrow e' \qquad H \vdash e : \overline{\star}$$

$$H \vdash o \Rightarrow o' = o \Rightarrow o' \qquad H \vdash$$

$$H \vdash e E lim_{\star} = e E lim_{\star} \qquad H \vdash e : \overline{\star}$$

$$H \vdash e E lim_{\Pi} x : e_A . e_B = e E lim_{\Pi} x : e_A . e_b \qquad H \vdash e : \overline{\star} \qquad H \vdash e_B : \overline{\star}$$
and likewise for head judgments
$$H \vdash a_h \Rightarrow a : A = a_h \Rightarrow a \qquad H \vdash a_h : A$$

$$H \vdash a_h \sim a'_h : A = a_h \sim a'_h \qquad H \vdash a_h : A$$

3.1.4 Typed versions of Judgments

3.2 Elaboration

3.2.1 Infer

$$\frac{x:A\in H}{H\vdash x\:Elab\:x::A}$$

$$\frac{H\vdash M\:Elab_{\star,l}\:C\quad H\vdash m\:Elab_{C,l}\:a}{H\vdash m\:::_l\:M\:Elab\:a}$$

$$\frac{H\vdash}{H\vdash \star\:Elab\:\star}$$

$$\frac{H\vdash M\:Elab_{\star,l}\:A\quad H,x:A\vdash N\:Elab_{\star,l'}\:B}{H\vdash \Pi x:M_l.N_{l'}\:Elab\:\Pi x:A.B}$$

$$\frac{H\vdash m\:Elab\:b_h::e\quad \Pi x:A.B=e\uparrow\quad H\vdash n\:Elab_{A,l}\:a}{H\vdash m\:ln\:Elab\:(b_h::e)\:a}$$

3.2.2 Check

$$\begin{split} \frac{H \vdash}{H \vdash \star Elab_{\star,l} \star} \\ \frac{H, f: \Pi x: A.B, \ x: A \vdash m \ Elab_{B,l} \ b}{H \vdash \text{fun} \ f. \ x. \ m \ Elab_{\Pi x: A.B,l} \ \text{fun} \ f. \ x.b} \\ \frac{H \vdash m \ Elab \ a_h :: e}{H \vdash m \ Elab_{A,l} \ a_h :: e =_{l,.} \ A} \end{split}$$

3.3 Typing

$$\frac{H \vdash a : A \quad H \vdash A \equiv A' : \star}{H \vdash a : A'} conv$$
$$\frac{H \vdash a : !A}{H \vdash a : A} direct$$

3.3.1 Direct Term Typing

$$\begin{split} \frac{H \vdash}{H \vdash \star : ! \star} \star - ty \\ \frac{H \vdash A : \star \quad H, x : A \vdash B : \star}{H \vdash \Pi x : A.B : ! \star} \Pi - ty \\ \frac{H \vdash e : \overline{\star} \quad H \vdash a_h : B \downarrow}{H \vdash a_h : e \quad : ! \quad e \uparrow} apparent \end{split}$$

3.3.2 Direct Head Typing

$$\begin{split} \frac{x:A \in H}{H \vdash x:!A} var - ty \\ \frac{H,f:\Pi x:A.B,x:A \vdash b:B}{H \vdash \text{fun } f.x.b:!\Pi x:A.B} \Pi - \text{fun } - ty \\ \frac{H \vdash b:\Pi x:A.B \quad H \vdash a:A}{H \vdash b a:!B \left[x:=a\right]} \Pi - app - ty \end{split}$$

3.3.3 Direct Cast Typing

$$\begin{split} \frac{H \vdash A : \star}{H \vdash A : \overline{\star}} eq - ty - 1 \\ \frac{H \vdash e : \overline{\star} \quad H \vdash A : \star}{H \vdash e =_{l,o} A : \overline{\star}} eq - ty - 2 \end{split}$$

3.4 Definitional Equality

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* b : A \quad H \vdash a' \Rightarrow_* b' : A \quad H \vdash b \sim b' : A}{H \vdash a \equiv a' : A}$$

3.5 Consistent

A relation that equates terms except for source location and observation information

$$\begin{array}{c} \star \sim \star \\ A \sim A' \quad B \sim B' \\ \overline{\Pi x: A.B \sim \Pi x: A'.B'} \\ \frac{a_h \sim a_h' \quad e \sim e'}{a_h:: e \sim a_h':: e'} \\ e \sim e' \quad A \sim A' \\ \overline{e=_{l,o} A \sim e'=_{l',o'} A'} \\ \frac{a \sim a'}{\text{fun } f. \ x.a \sim \text{fun } f. \ x.a'} \\ \frac{b \sim b' \quad a \sim a'}{b \ a \sim b' \ a'} \end{array}$$

3.6 Parallel Reductions

$$\frac{H \vdash a : A}{H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* a : A}$$

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* b : A \quad H \vdash b \Rightarrow c : A}{H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* c : A}$$

3.7 Parallel Reduction

3.7.1 Term Par reduction

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow A' \quad B \Rightarrow B'}{\Pi x : A.B \Rightarrow \Pi x : A'.B'}$$

$$\frac{a_h \Rightarrow a'_h \quad e \Rightarrow e'}{a_h :: e \Rightarrow a'_h :: e'}$$

3.7.2 Head Par reduction

$$\begin{split} \frac{b \Rrightarrow b' \quad a \Rrightarrow a' \quad e \, E lim_\Pi \, x : e_A.e_B \quad e_A \Rrightarrow e'_A \quad e_B \Rrightarrow e'_B \\ (\text{fun} \, f. \, x.b) :: e \, a \Rrightarrow (b' \, [f \coloneqq (\text{fun} \, f. \, x.b') \, , x \coloneqq a' :: e'_A] :: e'_B \, [x \coloneqq a']) \\ \overline{x \Rrightarrow x} \\ \frac{b \Rrightarrow b'}{\text{fun} \, f. \, x.b \Rrightarrow \text{fun} \, f. \, x.b'} \Pi I \Rrightarrow \\ \frac{b \Rrightarrow b' \quad a \Rrightarrow a'}{b \, a \Rrightarrow b' \, a'} \Pi E \Rrightarrow \end{split}$$

3.7.3 Cast Par reduction

$$\frac{e \Rightarrow e' \quad A \Rightarrow A' \quad o \Rightarrow o'}{e =_{l,o} A \Rightarrow e' =_{l,o'} A'}$$

annoyingly need to support observation reductions, to allow a substitution lemma to simplify the proof

3.7.4 Observation Par reduction

$$. \Rightarrow .$$

$$o \Rightarrow o'$$

$$\overline{o.arg} \Rightarrow o'.arg$$

$$o \Rightarrow o' \quad a \Rightarrow a'$$

$$\overline{o.bod[a]} \Rightarrow o'.bod[a']$$

Dynamic Check 3.8

$$\frac{\star Elim_{\star}}{\star :: \star Elim_{\star}}$$

$$\frac{e Elim_{\star} \quad A Elim_{\star}}{e =_{l,o} A Elim_{\star}}$$

 $\overline{\Pi x:A.B\,Elim_\Pi\,x:A.B}$ $e\,Elim_{\star}$

 $\overline{\Pi x : A.B :: e \operatorname{Elim}_{\Pi} x : A.B}$

$$e E lim_{\Pi} x : e_{A}.e_{B}$$

$$\frac{e \, Elim_\Pi \, x : e_A.e_B}{\Pi x : A.B =_{l,o} e \, Elim_\Pi \, x : \left(A =_{l,o.arg} e_A\right).e_B \left[x \coloneqq x :: A =_{l,o.arg} A'\right] =_{l,o.bod[x]} B}$$

$$e \operatorname{Elim}_{\Pi} x : e_A.e_B \quad e'' \operatorname{Elim}_{\star}$$

$$\frac{e^{Btim_{\Pi} x : e_{A} \cdot e_{B}} e^{Btim_{\pi} x}}{(\Pi x : A.B :: e'') =_{l,o} e^{Btim_{\Pi} x : (A =_{l,o.arg} e_{A}) . e_{B}} [x := x :: A =_{l,o.arg} A'] =_{l,o.bod[x]} B}$$

4 Call-by-Value Small Step

$$\frac{v_{eq} \, Elim_\Pi \, x : e_A.e_B}{(\mathsf{fun} \, f. \, x.b) :: v_{eq} \, v :: v_{eq}' \leadsto (b \, [f \coloneqq (\mathsf{fun} \, f. \, x.b) \, , x \coloneqq v :: e_A] :: e_B' \, [x \coloneqq v])}$$

(this substitutes non-value casts into values, which is a little awkward but doesn't break anything)