

# an Intensional Dependent Type Theory with Type-in-Type and Recursion

February 18, 2021

## 1 type soundness or blame

### 1.1 well formed

### 1.2 weakening

### 1.3 substitution

### 1.4 preservation

### 1.5 Canonical forms

### 1.6 Progress

$\Diamond \vdash c : A$  implies that  $a$  is a value, there exists  $c'$  such that  $c \rightsquigarrow c'$ , or a static location can be blamed. and  $\Diamond \vdash e : \bar{\kappa}$  implies that  $e$  is a value, there exists  $e'$  such that  $e \rightsquigarrow e'$ , or a static location can be blamed

By mutual induction on the typing derivations with the help of the canonical forms lemma

Explicitly:

- cast typing
  - $eq - ty - 1$  by **induction**
  - $eq - ty - 2$  by **induction**
- head typing
  - $c$  cannot be typed by the variable rule in the empty context
  - $c$  is typed by type-in-type.  $a$  is  $\star$ , a value
  - $c$  is typed by  $\Pi - ty$ .  $a$  is a value
  - $c$  is typed by  $\Pi - \text{fun} - ty$ .  $a$  is a value

- $c$  is typed by  $\Pi - app - ty$ . Then  $c$  is  $ba$ , and there are derivations of  $\Diamond \vdash b : \Pi x : A.B$ , and  $\Diamond \vdash a : A$  for some  $A$  and  $B$ . By **induction**  $a$  is a value, there exists  $a'$  such that  $a \rightsquigarrow a'$ , or blame and  $b$  is a value or there exists  $b'$  such that  $b \rightsquigarrow b'$  or blame. (TODO jumping from one syntactic form to another)
  - \* if  $b$  is a value and  $a$  is a value, then  $b$  is  $b_h :: v_{eq}$ .
    - If all  $A \in v_{eq}$  are in the form  $\Pi x : A.B$  then  $v_{eq} Elim_{\Pi}$  and  $v_{eq} \downarrow$  is  $\Pi x : A.B$  so  $b_h$  is  $(\text{fun } f.x.b')$  and the step is  $((\text{fun } f.x.b) :: v_{eq} v) :: v'_{eq} \rightsquigarrow (b :: e_B) [f := (\text{fun } f.x.b) :: v_{eq}, x := v] :: v'_{eq}$
    - otherwise,  $v_{eq} \uparrow$  is  $\Pi x : A.B$  but there is some  $[\star =_{l,o} \Pi x : A.B] \in v$  and  $l, o$  can be blamed
  - \* if  $b$  or  $a$  can construct blame then  $ba$  can be used to construct blame
  - \* if  $b$  is a value and  $a \rightsquigarrow a'$  then  $ba \rightsquigarrow ba'$
  - \* if  $b \rightsquigarrow b'$  then  $ba \rightsquigarrow b'a$
- cast term typing
  - $a$  is typed by type-in-type.  $a$  is  $\star$ , a value
  - $a$  is typed by  $\Pi - ty$ .  $a$  is a value
  - $a$  is typed by the conversion rule, then by **induction**
  - $a$  is typed by the *apparent* rule, then by **induction**
- $M$  is typed by  $\Pi$ -E.  $M$  is  $PN$  then exist some  $\sigma, \tau$  for  $\Diamond \vdash P : \Pi x : \sigma.\tau$  and  $\Diamond \vdash N : \sigma$ . By **induction** (on the  $P$  branch of the derivation)  $P$  is a value or there exists  $P'$  such that  $P \rightsquigarrow P'$ . By **induction** (on the  $N$  branch of the derivation)  $N$  is a value or there exists  $N'$  such that  $N \rightsquigarrow N'$ 
  - if  $P$  is a value then by **canonical forms**,  $P$  is  $\text{fun } f : (x.\tau).x : \sigma.P'$  and
    - \* if  $N$  is a value then the one step reduction is  $(\text{fun } f : (x.\tau).x : \sigma.P') N \rightsquigarrow P' [x := N, f := \text{fun } f : (x.\tau).x : \sigma.M]$
    - \* otherwise there exists  $N'$  such that  $N \rightsquigarrow N'$ , and the one step reduction is  $(\text{fun } f : (x.\tau).x : \sigma.P') N \rightsquigarrow (\text{fun } f : (x.\tau).x : \sigma.P') N'$
  - otherwise, there exists  $P'$  such that  $P \rightsquigarrow P'$  and the one step reduction is  $PN \rightsquigarrow P'N$

## 1.7 Type Soundness

For any well typed term in an empty context, no sequence of small step reductions will cause result in a computation to “get stuck” without blame. Either a final value will be reached, further reductions can be taken, or blame is omitted. This follows by iterating the progress and preservation lemmas.

## 2 elaboration embeds typing

1.  $\vdash e : M$ ,  $\text{elab}(M, *) = M'$ , and  $\text{elab}(e, M') = e'$  then  $\vdash_c e' : M'$ .

## 3 computation resulting in blame cannot be typed in the surface lang

1.  $\vdash_c e' : M'$  and  $e' \downarrow \text{blame}$  then there is no  $\vdash e : M$  such that  $\text{elab}(M, *) = M'$ ,  $\text{elab}(e, M') = e'$

## 4 computation in the cast lang respects computation in the surface lang

1.  $\vdash_c e' : *$  and  $\text{elab}(e, *) = e'$  then
  - (a) if  $e' \downarrow *$  then  $e \downarrow *$
  - (b) if  $e' \downarrow (x : M') \rightarrow N'$  then  $e \downarrow (x : M) \rightarrow N$
  - (c) if  $e' \downarrow TCon \overline{M'}$  then  $e \downarrow TCon \overline{M}$