# an Intensional Dependent Type Theory with Type-in-Type and Recursion

February 23, 2021

# 1 type soundness or blame

The proof follows the standard structure:

- All judgments respect weakening and well typed substitution
- Most judgments are marked with types to make subject reduction obvious (assuming the substitution lemma)
- definitional equality is defined in terms par-reductions, which (via confluence)
  - gives transitivity to equality
  - means that type constructors are unique
- for preservation, function elimination is the only interesting case
  - the stack of casts is inspected, all casts are values (usually either  $\star$  or  $\Pi$  )
    - \* if all casts are  $\Pi$  then coersions can be calculated and a reduction can step
    - \* if any casts are not  $\Pi$  there is a specific source location and observation to blame

# 1.1 Structural Properties

# 1.1.1 Weakening

For any derivation of  $H \vdash A : \star$  the following rules are admissible:

$$\frac{H, H' \vdash}{H, x : A, H' \vdash}$$

$$\frac{H, H' \vdash b : B}{H, x : A, H' \vdash b : B}$$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{H,H'\vdash e:\overline{\star}}{H,x:A,H'\vdash e:\overline{\star}}\\ &\frac{H,H'\vdash b\equiv b':B}{H,x:A,H'\vdash b\equiv b':B}\\ &\frac{H,H'\vdash b\Rrightarrow_*b':B}{H,x:A,H'\vdash b\Rrightarrow_*b':B}\\ &\frac{H,H'\vdash b\Rrightarrow_*b':B}{H,x:A,H'\vdash b\Rrightarrow_*b':B}\\ &\frac{H,H'\vdash b\Rrightarrow_*b':B}{H,x:A,H'\vdash e\Rrightarrow_*b':\overline{\star}}\\ &\frac{H,H'\vdash e\Rrightarrow_*c':\overline{\star}}{H,x:A,H'\vdash e\Rrightarrow_*c':\overline{\star}} \end{split}$$

### 1.2 Substitution

#### 1.2.1 $\Rightarrow$ is reflexive

The following rules are admissible:

$$\begin{split} \frac{H \vdash a : A}{H \vdash a \Rightarrow a : A} \\ \frac{H \vdash e : \overline{\star}}{H \vdash e \Rightarrow e' : \overline{\star}} \\ \frac{H \vdash}{H \vdash o \Rightarrow o} \end{split}$$

by mutual induction

#### 1.2.2 $\sim$ is reflexive

The following rule is admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash}{H \vdash a \sim a} \sim \text{-refl}$$

by induction

# 1.2.3 $\equiv$ is reflexive

The following rule is admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a : A}{H \vdash a \equiv a : A} \equiv \text{-refl}$$

by  $\Rightarrow *-refl$ 

#### 1.2.4 Context substitution

For any derivation of  $H \vdash a : A$  the following rules are admissible:

$$\frac{H,x:A,H'\vdash}{H,H'[x:=A]\vdash}$$

$$\frac{H,x:A,H'\vdash b:B}{H,H'[x:=a]\vdash b[x:=a]:B[x:=a]}$$

$$\frac{H,x:A,H'\vdash e:\overline{\star}}{H,H'[x:=a]\vdash e[x:=a]:\overline{\star}}$$

$$\frac{H,x:A,H'\vdash e:\overline{\star}}{H,H'[x:=a]\vdash e[x:=a]:\overline{\star}}$$

$$\frac{H,x:A,H'\vdash b\equiv b':B}{H,H'[x:=a]\vdash b[x:=a]\equiv b'[x:=a]:B[x:=a]}$$

$$\frac{H,x:A,H'\vdash b\Rightarrow_{\star}b':B}{H,H'[x:=a]\vdash b[x:=a]\Rightarrow_{\star}b'[x:=a]:B[x:=a]}$$

$$\frac{h}{h}=\frac{h}{h$$

by mutual induction on the derivations with reflexivity lemmas.

# 1.3 Computation

#### 1.3.1 $\Rightarrow$ preserves type of source

The following rules are admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rightarrow a' : A}{H \vdash a : A}$$

$$\frac{H \vdash e \Rrightarrow e' : \overline{\star}}{H \vdash e : \overline{\star}}$$

by induction

### 1.3.2 $\Rightarrow Elim_{\star}$

if  $e E lim_{\star}$  and  $e \Rightarrow e'$  then  $e' E lim_{\star}$  by induction on  $E lim_{\star}$ 

### **1.3.3** $\Rightarrow$ $Elim_{\Pi}$

if  $e E lim_{\Pi} x : e_A.e_B$  and  $e \Rightarrow e'$  then  $e' E lim_{\Pi} x : e'_A.e'_B$ ,  $e_A \Rightarrow e'_A$ ,  $e_B \Rightarrow e'_B$  by induction on  $E lim_{\Pi}$ 

#### 1.3.4 $\Rightarrow$ -substitution

The following rules are admissible:

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{H,x:A,H'\vdash b \Rrightarrow b':B\quad H\vdash a \Rrightarrow a':A}_{H,H'\left[x\coloneqq a\right]\vdash b\left[x\coloneqq a\right] \Rrightarrow b'\left[x\coloneqq a'\right]:B\left[x\coloneqq a\right] \\ & \underbrace{H,x:A,H'\vdash e \Rrightarrow e':\overline{\star}\quad H\vdash a \Rrightarrow a':A}_{H,H'\left[x\coloneqq a\right]\vdash e\left[x\coloneqq a\right] \Rrightarrow e'\left[x\coloneqq a'\right]:\overline{\star}} \\ & \underbrace{e\left[x\coloneqq a\right]Elim_{\Pi}x:e_{A}\left[x\coloneqq a\right].e_{B}\left[x\coloneqq a\right]\quad H\vdash a \Rrightarrow a':A}_{e\left[x\coloneqq a'\right]Elim_{\Pi}x:e_{A}\left[x\coloneqq a'\right].e_{B}\left[x\coloneqq a'\right]} \end{split}$$

by mutual induction on the  $\Rightarrow$  derivations

#### 1.3.5 def of -\*

there is a maximal par-reduction step that can be computed for every syntactic form defined:

### 1.3.6 -\* Elim\*

if  $e E lim_{\star}$  then  $e^* E lim_{\star}$  by induction on  $E lim_{\star}$ 

# **1.3.7** $-^*$ $Elim_{\Pi}$

if  $e E lim_{\Pi} x : e_A.e_B$  then  $e^* E lim_{\Pi} x : e_A^*.e_B^*$  by induction on  $E lim_{\Pi}$ 

#### 1.3.8 -\* is maximal

- if  $a \Rightarrow a'$  then  $a' \Rightarrow a^*$
- if  $e \Rightarrow e'$  then  $e' \Rightarrow e^*$
- if  $o \Rightarrow o'$  then  $o' \Rightarrow o^*$

by mutual induction on  $\Rightarrow$  relations, interesting cases include

- $\Pi C \Rightarrow \text{ since if } e E \lim_{\Pi} x : e_A.e_B \text{ then } e^* E \lim_{\Pi} x : e_A^*.e_B^*$
- $\Pi E \Rightarrow , b a \Rightarrow b' a'$ 
  - if the elimination is not possible with b, follows from induction
  - if the elimination is possible with b, it will still be possible with b' since, by induction  $b \Rrightarrow b'$

#### 1.3.9 $\Rightarrow$ is confluent

if  $H \vdash a \Rightarrow b : A$  and  $H \vdash a \Rightarrow b' : A$  then there exists c such that  $H \vdash b \Rightarrow c : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash b' \Rightarrow c : A$  by the maximality of  $-^*$ 

# 1.3.10 $\Rightarrow_*$ is transitive

The following rule is admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* b : A \quad H \vdash b \Rightarrow_* c : A}{H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* c : A} \Rrightarrow *\text{-trans}$$

by induction

# 1.3.11 $\Rightarrow$ preserves type in destination

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rrightarrow a' : A}{H \vdash a' : A}$$

Since the apparent type of a will at most  $H \vdash A \Rrightarrow A' : \star$  so  $H \vdash A \equiv A' : \star$  , and it follows from conversion

# 1.3.12 $\Rightarrow_*$ preserves type

The following rule is admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rrightarrow_* a' : A}{H \vdash a : A}$$

by induction

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rrightarrow_* a' : A}{H \vdash a' : A}$$

by induction

# 1.3.13 $\Rightarrow_*$ is confluent

if  $H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* b : A$  and  $H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* b' : A$  then there exists c such that

 $H \vdash b \Rightarrow_* c : A \text{ and } H \vdash b' \Rightarrow_* c : A$ 

Follows from  $\Rrightarrow$  \*-trans and the confluence of  $\Rrightarrow$  using standard techniques

# $1.3.14 \sim Equivalence$

The following rules are admissible:

$$\overline{a \sim a'}$$

$$\frac{a \sim a'}{a' \sim a}$$

$$\frac{a \sim a' \quad a' \sim a''}{a' \sim a''}$$

each by induction

# 1.3.15 $\sim$ preserves type

The following rules are admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a : A \quad a \sim a'}{H \vdash a' : A}$$

by induction

# 1.3.16 $\sim$ commutes with $\Rightarrow$ , $\Rightarrow$ \*

The following rules are admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rrightarrow a' : A \quad a \sim b}{H \vdash b \Rrightarrow b' : A \quad a' \sim b'}$$

$$\frac{H \vdash a \Rightarrow_* a' : A \quad a \sim b}{H \vdash b \Rightarrow_* b' : A \quad a' \sim b'}$$

both by induction

# $1.3.17 \equiv is symmetric$

The following rule is admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a \equiv a' : A}{H \vdash a' \equiv a : A} \equiv \text{-sym}$$

by  $\sim$ Equivalence

# 1.3.18 $\equiv$ is transitive

$$\frac{H \vdash a \equiv b : A \qquad H \vdash b \equiv c : A}{H \vdash a \equiv c : A} \equiv \text{-trans}$$

by the confluence of  $\Rightarrow_*$  and  $\sim$  commutativity

# $1.3.19 \equiv \text{preserves type}$

The following rules are admissible:

$$\frac{H \vdash a \equiv \, a' : A}{H \vdash a : A}$$

$$\frac{H \vdash a \equiv a' : A}{H \vdash a' : A}$$

by the def of  $\Rightarrow_*$ 

# $1.3.20 \rightarrow \text{preserves type}$

For any derivations of  $H \vdash a : A, a \leadsto a'$ ,

$$H \vdash a' : A$$

since  $\rightsquigarrow$  implies  $\Rightarrow$  and  $\Rightarrow$  preserves types

# 1.4 Type constructors

## 1.4.1 Type constructors are stable

- if  $H \vdash * \Rightarrow A : B$  then A is \*
- if  $H \vdash * :: e \Rightarrow A_h :: e' : B$  then  $A_h$  is \*
- if  $H \vdash * \Rightarrow_* A : B$  then  $A_h$  is \*
- if  $H \vdash * :: e \Rightarrow_* A_h :: e' : B$  then  $A_h$  is \*
- if  $H \vdash \Pi x : A.B \Rightarrow C : D$  then C is  $\Pi x : A'.B'$  for some A', B'
- if  $H \vdash \Pi x : A.B :: e \Rightarrow C_h :: e' : D$  then  $C_h$  is  $\Pi x : A'.B'$  for some A', B'
- if  $H \vdash \Pi x : A.B \Rightarrow_* C : D$  then C is  $\Pi x : A'.B'$  for some A', B'
- if  $H \vdash \Pi x : A.B :: e \Rightarrow_* C_h :: e' : D$  then  $C_h$  is  $\Pi x : A'.B'$  for some A', B'

by induction on the respective relations

# 1.4.2 Type constructors definitionaly unique

There are no derivations of

- $H \vdash * \sim \Pi x : A.B : C$
- $H \vdash * :: e \sim \Pi x : A.B : C$
- $H \vdash * \sim \Pi x : A.B :: e : C$
- $H \vdash * :: e \sim \Pi x : A.B :: e' : C$
- $H \vdash * \equiv \Pi x : A.B : C$
- $H \vdash * :: e \equiv \Pi x : A.B : C$
- $H \vdash * \equiv \Pi x : A.B :: e : C$
- $H \vdash * :: e \equiv \Pi x : A.B :: e' : C$

for any H, A, B, C, e, e'

from constructor stability

#### 1.5 Canonical forms

if  $\Diamond \vdash v_h : \Pi x : A.B$ , then  $v_h$  is fun f. x.b, since it is the only applicable rule

# 1.6 Type simplification

To minimize bookkeeping, when  $\Diamond \vdash v_{eq} : \overline{\star}$ 

- $\star :: v_{eq}$  can be said to simplify to  $\star$  if each  $v_{eq}$  simplifies to  $\star$  (if it does not simplify there is a source of blame)
- $\Pi x : A.B :: v_{eq}$  can be said to simplify to  $\Pi x : A.B$  if each  $v_{eq}$  simplifies to  $\star$  (if it does not simplify there is a source of blame)

# 1.7 Progress

 $\Diamond \vdash c : A$  implies that c is a value, there exists c' such that  $c \leadsto c'$ , or a static location can be blamed. and  $\Diamond \vdash e : \overline{\star}$  implies that e is a value, there exists e' such that  $e \leadsto e'$ , or a static location can be blamed

By mutual induction on the typing derivations with the help of the canonical forms lemma

Explicitly: cast typing

- eq ty 1 by **induction**
- eq ty 2 by **induction**

term typing

- c is typed by type-in-type. c is  $\star$ , a value
- c is typed by  $\Pi ty$ . a is a value
- c is typed by the conversion rule, then by **induction**
- c is typed by the apparent rule, then c is  $a_h :: e$  by each head typing. By induction e is a value, there exists e' such that  $e \leadsto e'$ . If there is blame that blame can be used, if  $e \leadsto e'$  preform the step. otherwise e is a value:
  - $-a_h$  cannot be typed by the variable rule in the empty context
  - $-a_h$  is typed by type-in-type. a is  $\star$ .
  - $a_h$  is typed by  $\Pi ty$ . a is a value
  - $-a_h$  is typed by  $\Pi \text{fun} ty$ . a is a value
  - $-a_h$  is typed by  $\Pi app ty$ . Then  $a_h$  is ba, and there are derivations of  $\Diamond \vdash b : \Pi x : A.B$ , and  $\Diamond \vdash a : A$  for some A and B. By **induction** a is a value, there exists a' such that  $a \leadsto a'$ , or blame and b is a value or there exists b' such that  $b \leadsto b'$  or blame.

- \* if b and a are values, then b is  $b_h :: v_{eq}$ , where  $v_{eq} \uparrow$  is  $\Pi x : A_{\uparrow}.B_{\uparrow}$  (or  $v_{eq} \uparrow$  is  $\Pi x : A_{\uparrow}.B_{\uparrow}$  :: e, and by simplification  $\Pi x : A_{\uparrow}.B_{\uparrow}$  or blame can be produced) (by **stability**)
  - · if  $v_{eq} \, Elim_{\Pi} \, x : e_A.e_B$  then  $v_{eq} \downarrow$  is  $\Pi x : A_{\downarrow}.B_{\downarrow}$  (or  $\Pi x : A_{\downarrow}.B_{\downarrow} :: e$ , and by simplification  $\Pi x : A_{\downarrow}.B_{\downarrow}$  or blame can be produced) by **Canonical forms**  $b_h$  is (fun f.x.b') and the step is ((fun f.x.b) ::  $v_{eq} \, v$ ) ::  $v'_{eq} \rightsquigarrow (b \, [f \coloneqq (\text{fun } f.x.b) \, , x \coloneqq v :: e_A] :: e'_B \, [x \coloneqq v]$ ) (implicitly uses that  $Elim_{\Pi}$  is deterministic in its first argument)
  - · if  $v_{eq}$  Elim<sub>H</sub> then there must exist  $[\mathbb{N} =_{l,o} \Pi x : A''.B''] \in v_{eq}$  (with simplification) and l,o can be blamed
- st if b or a can construct blame then  $b\,a$  can use that blame
- \* if b is a value and  $a \leadsto a'$  then  $b a \leadsto b a'$
- \* if  $b \leadsto b'$  then  $b a \leadsto b' a$

# 1.8 Type Soundness

For any well typed term in an empty context, no sequence of small step reductions will cause a computation to "get stuck" without blame. Either a final value will be reached, further reductions can be taken, or blame is omitted. This follows by iterating the progress and preservation lemmas.

# 2 Elaboration Embeds Typing

 $\vdash m: M, \vdash M \ Elab_{\star,l} \ A, \ \text{and} \ \vdash m \ Elab_{A,l} \ a \ \text{then} \ \vdash a: A$ 

Sketch (the Surface type system has slight but pervasive changes to the language described in the "baselanguage" folder),

- strengthen the hypothesis to  $\Gamma Elab H$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash m : M$ ,  $H \vdash M Elab_{\star,l} A$ , and  $H \vdash m Elab_{A,l} a$  then  $H \vdash a : A$
- follows by mutual induction

# 3 Computation resulting in blame cannot be typed in the surface language

 $\vdash a: A \text{ and } a \text{ blame then there is no } \vdash m: M \text{ such that } \vdash M Elab_{\star,l} A, \text{ and } \vdash m Elab_{A,l'} a$ 

Sketch: if  $\vdash m : M$  then  $\vdash a : A$  are elaborated without source labels (l, l') are superfluous) therefore blame is impossible to construct

# 4 Computation in the cast language respects computation in the surface language

```
\vdash A : * \text{ and } \vdash M \ Elab_{\star,l} \ A \text{ then}
```

- 1. if  $A \leadsto_* * \text{then } M \leadsto_* *$
- 2. if  $A \leadsto_* \Pi x : B.C$  then  $M \leadsto_* \Pi x : N.P$

Sketch: evaluation is designed to be "correct by construction" . Casts and cast evaluation steps can be completely removed, resulting in exactly the small steps of the surface language