

Tweet Sentiment Analysis: Comparing SVM, CNN/RNN, and BERT Models

30562 - Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence

Mark Maci

`mark.maci@studbocconi.it`

3296048

Cedric Bellens

email

id

Katarina Litricin

email

id

Anil Egin

email

id

May 28, 2024

Abstract

This paper presents a comparative study of tweet sentiment analysis using three different types of models: Support Vector Machine (SVM), Convolutional/Recurrent Neural Networks (CNN/RNN), and Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT). Each model represents a different era of technological advancement in machine learning. The study employs various feature extraction techniques, including TF-IDF and GloVe embeddings for SVM, and more advanced methods for CNN/RNN and BERT models. By evaluating the performance of these models on a standardized dataset, we aim to highlight the progression and effectiveness of different machine learning approaches in sentiment analysis.

1 Introduction

Sentiment analysis, also known as opinion mining, is a crucial task in the language understanding realm of natural language processing (NLP) that involves determining the sentiment expressed in a piece of text. With the rise of social media platforms like Twitter, sentiment analysis has become an invaluable tool for understanding public opinion, tracking market trends, and monitoring social phenomena.

The applications of sentiment analysis are extensive. Businesses utilize it to gauge customer satisfaction and manage brand reputation by analyzing feedback from social media, reviews, and surveys. Governments and political analysts monitor public sentiment to assess the impact of policies and public statements. In the financial sector, sentiment analysis helps predict stock market trends by analyzing news and social media sentiment. Healthcare providers use it to monitor patient feedback and improve services.

Given the variety of models and techniques available for sentiment analysis, this study aims to compare the performance of three distinct types of models: Support Vector Machine (SVM), Convolutional/Recurrent Neural Networks (CNN/RNN), and Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT). These models represent different stages of advancement in machine learning, somewhat analogous to comparing different generations of cars - from classic models to modern high-performance vehicles.

The focus of this study is not only on the models themselves but also on the feature extraction techniques used across them to gain a better understanding on how these models leverage different types of data representations. Specifically, we will compare the use of TF-IDF and GloVe embeddings, tokenization and lemmatization techniques, and other preprocessing steps that are essential for effective sentiment analysis.

2 Related Work

Sentiment analysis has been a prominent area of research in natural language processing (NLP) for several years. Various approaches have been developed, ranging from traditional machine learning methods to advanced deep learning techniques.

2.1 Traditional Machine Learning Approaches

Traditional machine learning techniques, such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Naive Bayes, have been widely used for sentiment analysis. Pang et al. (2002) employed SVMs for sentiment classification of movie reviews and demonstrated the effectiveness of SVMs in handling high-dimensional feature spaces typical of text data. These models often rely on feature extraction techniques like Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) to transform text into numerical vectors.

2.2 Deep Learning Approaches

In recent years, deep learning methods have significantly advanced the field of sentiment analysis. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) have been particularly successful. Kim (2014) introduced a CNN architecture for sentence classification that achieved remarkable results by leveraging word embeddings and convolutional layers to capture local and global features of text. Similarly, RNNs, especially Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, have been effective in modeling sequential data and capturing long-term dependencies in text, as shown by Tang et al. (2015) in their work on sentiment analysis.

2.3 Transformers and Pre-trained Models

The advent of transformer models and pre-trained language representations has revolutionized sentiment analysis. The Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) model, introduced by Devlin et al. (2019), has set new benchmarks in various NLP tasks, including sentiment analysis. BERT’s ability to understand context from both directions in a sentence allows it to capture nuanced sentiment information that traditional and deep learning models might miss.

2.4 Feature Extraction Techniques

Feature extraction is a critical step in sentiment analysis, impacting the performance of the model significantly. Traditional methods like TF-IDF and Bag of Words (BoW) are still relevant and widely used for simpler models like SVMs. However, with the rise of deep learning, word embeddings such as Word2Vec, introduced by Mikolov et al., GloVe, introduced by Pennington et al. (2014), and contextual embeddings like those used in BERT have become the standard for representing text in a more meaningful way.

3 Methodology

3.1 Data Collection and Preprocessing

For this study, we use the Sentiment140 dataset, which consists of 1.6 million tweets. This dataset is particularly valuable for sentiment analysis as it provides a large volume of data that is crucial for training machine learning models. The Sentiment140 dataset was introduced by Go et al. (2009) in their paper "Twitter Sentiment Classification using Distant Supervision."

The dataset was created using a distant supervision approach, which leverages emoticons as noisy labels to automatically classify the sentiment of tweets. Emoticons such as "😊" and "😞" were used to identify positive and negative sentiments, respectively. This method allows for the collection of a large, labeled dataset without manual annotation. The dataset includes tweets labeled as positive (4), negative (0), or neutral (2).

The dataset can be conveniently accessed and loaded into our analysis environment using the Huggingface `datasets` module.

3.2 Feature Extraction

Various feature extraction techniques are employed to convert the raw text data into numerical vectors that can be used as input to the machine learning models. These techniques include:

- **TF-IDF:** A numerical statistic intended to reflect the importance of a word in a document relative to a corpus.
- **GloVe:** Global Vectors for Word Representation, which creates word embeddings by aggregating global word-word co-occurrence statistics from a corpus.
- **Tokenization and Lemmatization:** The process of breaking down text into individual words (tokens) and reducing them to their base or root form (lemmas).
- **Preprocessing:** Steps such as removing stopwords, punctuation, and special characters, as well as lowercasing the text.

3.3 Support Vector Machine (SVM)

SVM is a supervised learning model used for classification tasks. It works by finding the hyperplane that best separates the classes in the feature space.

3.3.1 Mathematical Formulation

The SVM optimization problem can be formulated as follows:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, b} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i + b)) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad \xi_i \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

corresponding to the following code snippet:

```
for batch_start in range(0, n_samples, self.batch_size):
    for j in range(batch_start, min(batch_start + self.batch_size, n_samples)):
        idx = ids[j]
        condition = Y[idx] * (np.dot(X[idx], self.w) + self.b) >= 1
        if not condition:
            grad_w += self.C * Y[idx] * X[idx]
            grad_b += self.C * Y[idx]

    self.w -= self.lr * (2 * self.w - grad_w)
    self.b += self.lr * grad_b
```

3.3.2 Implementation Details

The SVM implementation includes a custom SVM class with methods for fitting the model and making predictions. The hinge loss function is defined as:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, b) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \max(0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i + b)) \quad (3)$$

```
def hingeloss(self, w, b, X, Y):  
    loss = 0.5 * np.dot(w, w.T)  
    for i in range(X.shape[0]):  
        ti = Y[i] * (np.dot(X[i], w.T) + b)  
        loss += self.C * max(0, 1 - ti)  
    return loss
```

The training process uses gradient descent with batch processing. The hyperparameters are set as follows: $C = 1.0$, learning rate = 0.001, epochs = 1000, batch size = 100.

4 Experimental Results

4.1 Training and Validation

The SVM model was trained on the preprocessed tweet dataset. The performance metrics used for evaluation include accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score.

4.2 Results and Analysis

The results show the comparison of performance between TF-IDF and GloVe features. The SVM model's effectiveness in tweet sentiment classification is discussed.

Figure 1: Accuracy of SVM with TF-IDF and GloVe features

5 Discussion

The SVM model's performance is interpreted in the context of tweet sentiment classification. A brief comparison with CNN/RNN and BERT models is provided, highlighting the strengths and limitations of the SVM model.

6 Conclusion

The SVM model, when combined with TF-IDF and GloVe features, shows effective performance in tweet sentiment analysis. Future work could explore the use of more advanced embeddings and hyperparameter tuning to improve results.

References

A Code Snippets

Additional code snippets and detailed tables can be found [here](#).