Lecture 2 - Density-independent deterministic growth

Announcements:
Today - Paper discussion until $\approx$ 10:30, then lecture.
Next class: Bring laptop with R, having read readings and problem set.
Will provide intro to R, then start problem set together.

Today's concepts:
Geometric vs. Exponential growth
Discrete vs. Continuous (Difference vs. Differential equations)
Population vs. Per capita rates of change

Overview [write out before lecture] :

<div align="center">

Discrete time
(Geometric growth)

Continuous time
(Exponential growth)

</div>

Difference eqn. $\quad N_{t+1} = (1 + r_d)N_t = \lambda N_t$

①

Projections $\qquad N_t = \lambda^t N_0 \qquad \xrightarrow[\substack{\text{\# of reproductive bouts} \\ \text{per time step}}]{②} \qquad N_t = N_0 e^{rt}$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} ③ \quad ④$$

Differential eqn. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \dfrac{dN}{dt} = rN$

---

① Simplest possible model: Discrete time difference equation:

$$N_{t+1} = N_t + B - D + I - E$$

B-Total births; D-Total deaths; I-Immigration; E-Emigration

Let: $\quad I = E,\ B = b_d N,\ D = d_d N$.

$$b_d \text{ - births per individual;}$$
$$d_d \text{ - deaths per individual (i.e. probability of each individual dying) per time step}$$

Thus:
$$N_{t+1} = N_t + (b_d - d_d)N_t = (1 + b_d - d_d)N_t = (1 + r_d)N_t = \lambda N_t$$

r_d - discrete growth factor/increment (Ted Case writes this as R).
λ - finite rate of increase - per capita rate of growth if population is growing geometrically.

Draw $N(t)$ vs. t on arithmetic scale on board in steps

$$N(0) = 1, \lambda = 2 \implies N(t) = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ... \implies \textbf{Geometric growth}$$

NOTE: Not just doubling! λ can be any number!
Then draw on log-scale.

Q: What happens if we start at different population size at same λ?

Add points for N(0)=2 on drawing. Plot in R

Q: Why linear on log-scale?

A: On log-scale, products become sums, ratios become differences:

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}
y = a \cdot b & y = \frac{a}{b} \\
log(y) = log(a \cdot b) = log(a) + log(b) & log(y) = log\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = log(a) - log(b)
\end{array}
$$

Q: Why do we call this density-independent population growth?

A: Density independence of *per capita* growth rate

Show plot of $\lambda = \frac{N_{t+1}}{N_t}$ vs. N_t

Want to predict $N(T)$: Analytical solution of $\lambda...(\lambda(\lambda N_0)) = \lambda^T N_0$

Q: What have we assumed?

A: List includes:

- synchronous discrete reproduction

- constant (non-stochastic = deterministic) growth rate

- no density-dependence

Note:
Will go back and forth between calculating per capita growth rate as either $\frac{N_{t+1}}{N_t}$ or $\frac{(N_{t+1} - N_t)}{N_t}$.
Why? Because:

$$N_{t+1} = \lambda N_t \implies \lambda = \frac{N_{t+1}}{N_t}$$

but also

$$N_{t+1} = \lambda N_t = (1 + r_d)N_t = N_t + r_d N_t \implies N_{t+1} - N_t = r_d N_t \implies \frac{N_{t+1} - N_t}{N_t} = r_d = \lambda - 1$$

② **Discrete vs. continuous growth**
Recovering the continuous from the discrete:

$$r_d = 0.5 \implies \lambda = 1.5$$
$$t = 1 \; year$$
$$N_1 = \lambda N_0 = (1 + 0.5)N_0$$

$$t = \frac{1}{2} year$$
$$N_1 = \lambda^2 N_0 = \left(1 + \frac{r_d}{2}\right)^2 N_0 = (1 + 0.25)^2 N_0$$

$$N_1 = \left(1 + \frac{r_d}{n}\right)^n N_0$$
$$\frac{N_1}{N_0} = \left(1 + \frac{r_d}{n}\right)^n$$

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{r_d}{n}\right)^n = e^r$$

r - instantaneous per capita growth rate

R-demonstration: Euler's constant
Let: $n = 1$, $N_0 = 1$, $r_d = 1$
True $e = exp(1) = 2.71828...$
Estimate e as $\frac{N_1}{N_0}$ over increasing values of n
e.g., $n = 1 \Rightarrow \quad \frac{N_1}{1} = \left(1 + \frac{r_d}{n}\right)^n$

$$n = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{N_1}{1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{1}\right)^1 = 2$$
$$n = 2 \Rightarrow \frac{N_1}{1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = 2.25$$
$$n = 3 \Rightarrow \frac{N_1}{1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right)^3 = 2.30707...$$
$$n \to \infty \Rightarrow \frac{N_1}{1} = \left(1 + \frac{r_d}{n}\right)^n = 2.71828...$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e^1 = e$$

Now define natural logarithm as the anti-exponential.
Euler's constant e is the anti-log: $log(e^x) = x$.
Note that $log = log_e = ln$.
The same is true for logarithms of other bases:

$$log_{10}(10^x) = x \;\; (\text{e.g., } 1, 10, 100, 1000, ...)$$
$$log_2(2^x) = x \;\; (\text{e.g., } log_2(2) = 1, \; log_2(4) = 2, \; log_2(8) = 3, ...)$$

Summarize r vs. r_d vs. λ
$$(1 + r_d) = \lambda = e^r$$

And since ln is the anti-exponential (i.e. $ln(e^x) = x$), we equivalently have

$$ln(1 + r_d) = ln(\lambda) = r$$

Thus another way to write population growth is...

$$N_t = \lambda^t N_0 = N_0 e^{rt}$$

...which is now ***exponential growth*** / *continuous reproduction*

3

Reproduction

Time

Why emphasize this? Empirical measurements of real populations are intrinsically discrete (we measure $N_0, N_1, N_2, ...$). Many empiricists therefore (inappropriately) default to discrete time models to estimate parameters like λ, even when biology of species exhibits continuous growth on time-scales being considered (for which estimating parameters like r is appropriate for subsequent inferences).

---

(3) How to get instantaneous population-level growth rate from projection equation, $N_0 e^{rt}$?
That is, how do we show that:

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \left(\frac{\Delta N_t}{\Delta t} \right) = \frac{dN}{dt}$$

Need to take the derivative of $N_0 e^{rt}$ with respect to time t. Use Product Rule:

$$\frac{d(XY)}{dt} = \frac{d(X)}{dt} \cdot Y + X \cdot \frac{d(Y)}{dt}$$

"The derivative of a product is the sum of the product of the derivative of each term multiplied by the other term."

Thus:

$$\frac{d(N_0 \cdot e^{rt})}{dt} = \frac{d(N_0)}{dt} \cdot (e^r)^t + N_0 \cdot \frac{d((e^r)^t)}{dt}$$

Recall that derivative of a constant $= 0$ & derivative of $a^x = ln(a) \cdot a^x$.
Thus:

$$\frac{d(N_0 e^{rt})}{dt} = 0 \cdot (e^r)^t + N_0 \cdot ln(e^r) \cdot (e^r)^t$$

$$= N_0 \cdot r \cdot (e^r)^t$$

$$= N_0 \cdot r \cdot e^{rt}$$

$$= r \cdot N_0 e^{rt}$$

Since $N = N_0 e^{rt}$ for any time t...

$$= rN = \frac{dN}{dt}$$

---

(4) Could also go in opposite direction from $\frac{dN}{dt} \to N_0 e^{rt}$:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = rN$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dt} = r$$

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dt} \, dt = \int_0^T r \, dt \quad \text{(Think of } T \text{ as a constant, and } t \text{ in } dt \text{ as a variable)}$$

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dt} = rt|_0^T = r \cdot T - r \cdot 0 = rT$$

Using $\int \frac{1}{x} \, dx = ln(x)$...

$$ln(N_T) - ln(N_0) = rT$$

$$ln \left(\frac{N_T}{N_0} \right) = rT$$

$$\frac{N_T}{N_0} = e^{rT}$$

$$N_T = N_0 e^{rT}$$

4

Q for class: Qualitative analysis of population *growth vs. decline*

Population change	λ	r_d	r
No change	1	0	0
Growth	> 1	> 0	> 0
Decline	< 1	< 0	< 0

Q: What are the units of r? A: 'Individuals *per individual* per time'

Q: What are the units of population growth rate, $\frac{dN}{dt}$? A: 'Individuals per time'

Q: What are the units of per capita growth rate, $\frac{1}{N}\frac{dN}{dt}$? A: 'Individuals *per individual* per time'

Polynomial representation of $\frac{dN}{dt}$

Recall from class 1:

Population size as function of time expressed using polynomial:

$$N(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n t^n$$
$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 t^2 + ...$$

Thus, could also think of exponential growth as

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = f(N) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n N^n$$

with

$$\beta_0 = 0, \quad \beta_1 = r, \quad \beta_{n>1} = 0.$$

Exponential growth is nothing more than a 'first-order' approximation!