

Sets and Infinity

Miroslav Stankovic

Week 3

1 Naive Set Theory

The idea of infinity has always been part of mathematics and philosophy. It has played a huge role in famous Zeno's paradoxes, infinite lines in geometry, or basis of analysis in the times of Leibnitz and Newton. However, until late 18th century, the infinity was viewed as something indefinite - as a limit, towards which a variable goes.

Definition 1. *By a set we understand any collection into a whole M of definite and separate objects m of our intuition or our thought. These objects are called the elements of M .*

The definition above was used by George Cantor to establish the foundations of set theory which allowed, for the first time, to directly work with infinity. As you may notice, the Cantor's definition lack the precision and rigour we are used to. In 1901, Bertrand Russel came up with a paradox in the Cantor's set theory. It goes like this: $S = \{x|x \notin x\}$.

Exercise 1. *What is the Russel's paradox about?*

Cantor's set theory was later 'fixed' using mathematical logic and rigorous axiomatic approach to study sets. For the time being, the naive set theory will be sufficient to demonstrate basic concepts in infinities.

2 Infinities

In set theory, Cantor's diagonal argument was published in 1891 as a mathematical proof that there are infinite sets which cannot be put into one-to-one correspondence with the infinite set of natural numbers. Such sets are

now known as uncountable sets, and the size of infinite sets is now treated by the theory of cardinal numbers which Cantor began.

s_1	=	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
s_2	=	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...
s_3	=	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...
s_4	=	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	...
s_5	=	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	...
s_6	=	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	...
s_7	=	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	...
s_8	=	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	...
s_9	=	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	...
s_{10}	=	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	...
s_{11}	=	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	...
\vdots		\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

s	=	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	...
-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----

Exercise 2. Let $S = \{x|x \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Show that there is no bijection from \mathbb{N} to S .

Corollary. There are infinities of different sizes.

Problem 1. Can Cantor's diagonal argument be used to prove existence of sets bigger than \mathbb{R} ?

Comparing infinities

So how to compare two infinite sets? We can try to pair the elements, and if we are successful, the sets are of the same size; if some elements are left unpaired in a set, that set is 'bigger'. Based on this idea we use following definition:

Definition 2.

$A \preceq B$ if there is an injective function from A to B ;

$A \approx B$ if there is a bijection from A to B ;

$A \prec B$ if $A \preceq B$ and $A \not\approx B$.

There are several obvious properties of \preceq, \approx, \prec .

1. $A \approx A$
2. $A \approx B \Rightarrow B \approx A$
3. If $A \approx B$ and $B \approx C$ then $A \approx C$
4. If $A \preceq B$ and $B \preceq C$ then $A \preceq C$
5. If $A \approx B$ then $A \preceq B$ and $B \preceq A$

You might be surprised, that following (very plausible) property is missing: $A \preceq B$ and $B \preceq A$ then $A \approx B$. Even though it is true, it is not at all obvious! This theorem is known as Cantor-Bernstein theorem.

Problem 2. *Prove the Cantor-Bernstein theorem.*

Exercise 3. *Show that $(0, 1) \approx \mathbb{R}$.*

Finite vs. infinite

Let's look at the following properties of finite sets.

1. If A is finite and $a \notin A$ then $A \prec (A \cup \{a\})$.
2. If A is finite and $B \subset A$ then $B \prec A$.
3. If A is finite with at least two elements then $A \prec A \times A$.

However, none of these 'obvious' statements are true for infinite sets. Take $A \approx \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ - we can take bijection $f(x) = x + 1$. If B is set of even natural numbers, then $B \subset A$ but $B \approx A$ thanks to bijection $f(x) = x/2$. And finally:

Exercise 4. *Show that $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.*

So even if cartesian product creates, in some sense, much bigger set, it is still the same countable infinity. In fact, $A \approx A \times A$ for any infinite set A . As a consequence, $A \approx A^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Another way how to create 'bigger' sets is using union - and since today it is all about infinity, let it be an infinite union!

Theorem 1. *Countable union of countable sets is countable.*

Proof. Consider the countable sets S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots where $S = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i$. Now we write the elements of S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots in the form of a table:

a_{00}	a_{01}	a_{02}	\cdots
a_{10}	a_{11}	a_{12}	\cdots
a_{20}	a_{21}	a_{22}	\cdots
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

where a_{ij} is the j th element of set S_i . This table clearly contains all the elements of $S = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i$, so we have $S \approx \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{N}$. \square

Exercise 5. Using Cantor-Bernstein theorem, show that $\mathbb{Q} \approx \mathbb{N}$.

Problem 3. Show that $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 4. Show that $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 5. Show that set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} is countable.

Problem 6. Show that set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} is countable.

So far we have looked at the sets as some abstract structures. However, we can represent \mathbb{R} simply as a line, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ as a plane, infinite square grid as a $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, and so on. In the following examples, try to use intuitive, geometrical approach.

1. Show that $(0, \pi) \approx \mathbb{R}$
2. Show that 'unit square' $\approx \mathbb{R}^2$.
3. How many lines do we need to cover a plane?
4. Can we color all squares in infinite square grid with two colors (green and blue) such that every column contains finitely many green squares, and every row finitely many blue squares?

3 Cantor's theorem

The natural question that one may ask is how many infinities are actually there? This is something that many find controversial and there is great amount of disagreement about this - resulting in numerous set theories. Let us look at Cantor's theorem which provides an answer for this - there is (of course) infinitely many infinities.

Theorem 2 (Cantor's theorem). *For any set A , $A \prec \mathbb{P}(A)$, where $\mathbb{P}(A)$ denotes a power set of A - the set of all subsets of A .*

Problem 7. *Prove the Cantor's theorem by showing that no function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A)$ can be surjective.*

For the purpose, try to use Cantor's diagonal set of f :

$$B = \{x \in A : x \notin f(x)\}$$

A direct consequence of the above theorem is what's called Cantor's paradox - it states that there is no greatest size of the infinite set. This can be rephrased into a very surprising result: There is no set containing all sets (so-called *universal set*)!

4 Axiom of choice

Informally put, the axiom of choice, or simply AC, says that given any collection of bins, each containing at least one object, it is possible to make a selection of exactly one object from each bin.

More formally: For any set X of nonempty sets, there exists a choice function f defined on X .

The Axiom of Choice is necessary to select a set from an infinite number of pairs of socks, but not an infinite number of pairs of shoes.

– Bertrand Russell, *Introduction to mathematical philosophy*

Axiom of choice, or simply AC, is one of most controversial axioms in mathematics. Although it seems perfectly obvious, it allows us to do some really weird stuff. With AC, it is possible to decompose a ball into a finite number of point sets and reassemble it into two balls identical to the original (Banach–Tarski paradox).

Problem 8. *In a room, there is countably many envelopes, each containing a real number. One by one, 100 set theorists visit the room and each can open countably many of the envelopes. Then he or she has to pick an unopened envelope and guess what number is inside (after each visit, envelopes are returned to the original state). Can we guarantee that at least one of them guesses right? What about 99 correct guesses?*

References

- [1] Matematický korespondenční seminář. Knihovna. [ONLINE] Available at: <https://mks.mff.cuni.cz/library/library.php>. [Accessed February 4 2016].