Homework 4 July 13th, 2021

1.

Based on the following properties we know:

$$\|y-XB\|_2^2=(y-XB)^T~(y-XB)=\left(y^T-B^TX^T
ight)(y-XB)=y^Ty-2B^TX^Ty$$
 $X^TX=I~~$ where I is the identity matrix $\hat{B}^{ols}=X^Ty$

a)

Therefore to prove that:

$$\widehat{\beta}^{ols} = X^T y$$

We start with the following above property:

$$= y^T y - 2\beta^T X^T y + \beta^T X^T X \beta$$

 X^TX simplies to the identity matrix and when multiplied by the $\beta = 1$

Which simplifies to: = $y^Ty - 2\beta^TX^Ty + \beta^T\beta$

Then we take the derivative $w.r.t \beta$ and set to = 0 to get the following:

$$\frac{df}{d\beta} = 0$$

$$-2X^{T}y + 2\beta = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}^{ols} = X^{T}y$$

b)

$$min(\beta) = ||y - x\beta||_2^2 + \lambda ||\beta||_2^2$$

When building of f of the previous answer but adding the lambda term for the L2 norm we get the following:

$$-2X^T y + 2(\lambda + 1)\widehat{\beta}^{ols} = 0$$

Then now we solve for $\hat{\beta}^{ols}$ and get the following:

$$\widehat{\beta}^{ols} = \frac{-2X^Ty}{2(\lambda+1)}$$

$$\widehat{\beta}^{ols} = \frac{-X^T y}{(\lambda + 1)}$$

$$\widehat{\beta}^{ols} = (\lambda + 1)^{-1} X^T y$$

c)

$$min(\beta) = ||y - x\beta||_2^2 + \lambda ||\beta||_1$$

When building of f of the previous answer but adding the lambda term for the L1 norm we get the fol

$$y^Ty - 2\beta^TX^Ty + \beta^T\beta + \lambda||\beta||_1$$

Now when taking the derivative and setting = 0 we get the following:

$$-2X^{T}y\beta + \beta^{T}\beta + \lambda||\beta||_{1} = 0$$

We can see from 1a that $\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = X^T y$ and $\beta^T \beta = ||\beta||^2$ which simplies to the following:

$$-2(\widehat{\beta}_{OLS})^T \beta + ||\beta||^2 + \lambda ||\beta||_1 = 0$$

Which simplies to the equation derived in the hint of the question when factoring out the summation for p:

$$min(\beta_j) \; \beta_j^2 - 2(\widehat{\beta}_{jOLS})\beta_j \; + \lambda |\beta_j| = 0$$

Now based on our formula we can derive the edge cases and show that Lasso regression is

a closed form solution:

$$min(\beta_j) \; \beta_j^2 - 2(\widehat{\beta}_{jOLS})\beta_j \; + \lambda |\beta_j| + \lambda \; \cdot \; sign(\beta_j) \cdot \beta_j$$

When taking the derivate of the equation and solving for $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{jOLS}$ we get the following:

$$2(\widehat{\beta}_{jLasso} - 2\widehat{\beta}_{jOLS} +)\lambda = 0$$

We can then use this equation for each inequality for $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ and solve for $\widehat{\beta}_{jLasso}$

$$2(\widehat{\beta}_{jLasso} - 2\widehat{\beta}_{jOLS} +)\lambda = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{jLasso} = \hat{\beta}_{jOLS} - \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

We can reformulate the constraints to the following in order to simplify the calculation and formulate the table showing the closed form solution and possible outcomes:

$0 < \hat{\beta}_{jOLS} \le \frac{\lambda}{2}$		$\hat{\beta}_{jOLS} > \frac{\lambda}{2}$
$\hat{\beta}_{jOLS} > 0$	$\hat{\beta}_{jOLS} < 0$	$\widehat{\beta}_{jLasso} = \widehat{\beta}_{jOLS} - \frac{\lambda}{2}$
$\hat{\beta}_{jOLS} > 0$	$\widehat{\beta}_{jLasso} = \widehat{\beta}_{jOLS} - \frac{\lambda}{2}$	Yields a positive amount
$=\widehat{\beta}_{jOLS} - \frac{\lambda}{2}$	$=-\hat{\beta}_{jOLS}-\frac{\lambda}{2}$	
Yield a negative amount but not less than $-\hat{\beta}_{jOLS} - \frac{\lambda}{2}$	ζ Δ	$\widehat{\beta}_{jOLS} = 0$ $\widehat{\beta}_{jLasso} = -\frac{\lambda}{2}$