## Problem Set 3

### Applied Stats II

Due: March 28, 2022

### Instructions

- Please show your work! You may lose points by simply writing in the answer. If the problem requires you to execute commands in R, please include the code you used to get your answers. Please also include the .R file that contains your code. If you are not sure if work needs to be shown for a particular problem, please ask.
- Your homework should be submitted electronically on GitHub in .pdf form.
- This problem set is due before class on Monday March 28, 2022. No late assignments will be accepted.
- Total available points for this homework is 80.

# Question 1

We are interested in how governments' management of public resources impacts economic prosperity. Our data come from Alvarez, Cheibub, Limongi, and Przeworski (1996) and is labelled gdpChange.csv on GitHub. The dataset covers 135 countries observed between 1950 or the year of independence or the first year forwhich data on economic growth are available ("entry year"), and 1990 or the last year for which data on economic growth are available ("exit year"). The unit of analysis is a particular country during a particular year, for a total > 3,500 observations.

- Response variable:
  - GDPWdiff: Difference in GDP between year t and t-1. Possible categories include: "positive", "negative", or "no change"
- Explanatory variables:
  - REG: 1=Democracy; 0=Non-Democracy
  - OIL: 1=if the average ratio of fuel exports to total exports in 1984-86 exceeded 50%; 0= otherwise

Please answer the following questions:

1. Construct and interpret an unordered multinomial logit with GDPWdiff as the output and "no change" as the reference category, including the estimated cutoff points and coefficients.

Firstly, run descriptive statistics on the dataset using the summary() function. Then create a table consisting of the X variables OIL and REG to get a more immediate understanding on this data. As you can see below, the vast majority of fuel exports do not exceed 50 percent. By comparison, there is a similar sample of democratic and nn-democratic countries.

Now, manipulate the outcome variable to make relevant character categories out of the numeric values. Then, turn it into a factor and set 'no change' as the reference category. With this complete, create two dummy variables out of both the democratic and OIL predictors. After this, create a new smaller dataset containing the cleaned outcome and predictor variables. This dataset is then ready for unordered multinomil logit.

```
# Manipulate GDPWdiff variable to make categories
# Assign all negative values to 'negative' category, all positives to 'positive', and all
# zeroes to 'no change' category

data$GDPWdiff[data$GDPWdiff > 0] <- 'positive'
data$GDPWdiff[data$GDPWdiff < 0] <- 'negative'
data$GDPWdiff[data$GDPWdiff == 0] <- 'no change'
data
# Set reference category to no change
data$GDPWdiff <- as.factor(data$GDPWdiff)
data$GDPWdiff <- relevel(data$GDPWdiff, ref = 'no change')

# Create two dummy variables out of categories for REG
# Name them Democracy and Non-Democracy
democracy <- ifelse(data$REG == 1, 1, 0)
```

```
non_democracy \leftarrow ifelse (data$REG == 0, 1, 0)
17 # Create two dummy variables out of categories for OIL exports
18 # Name them large_oilex and small_oilex
19 large_oilex \leftarrow ifelse (data$OIL = 1, 1, 0)
small_oilex \leftarrow ifelse (data$OIL = 0, 1, 0)
21
22 final_data <- data.frame(GDPWdiff = data$GDPWdiff,
                             large_oilex = large_oilex,
23
                             small_oilex = small_oilex,
24
                             democracy = democracy,
25
                             non_democracy = non_democracy)
27 final_data
28 # Run multinomial unorderd logit
unorder_reg <- multinom(GDPWdiff ~ large_oilex + small_oilex + democracy
     + non_democracy, data = final_data)
30 summary (unorder_reg)
```

Table 1: The Unordered Multinomial Regression Output

#### Coefficients:

```
(Intercept) large_oilex small_oilex democracy non_democracy negative 5.145122 8.385181 -3.240059 3.248796 1.896326 positive 5.554894 8.486243 -2.931349 3.648636 1.906258
```

#### Std. Errors:

```
(Intercept) large_oilex small_oilex democracy non_democracy negative 0.1522334 0.0350539 0.1534428 0.4389436 0.3265688 positive 0.1519580 0.0350539 0.1529560 0.4380432 0.3257614
```

Residual Deviance: 4678.726

AIC: 4690.726

Now, interpret the coefficients and cutoff points (i.e. the intercept).

### 1) The Coefficients

A one-unit increase in the variable large\_oilex is associated with a large increase

A one-unit increase in the variable large\_oilex is associated with a large increase

A one-unit increase in the variable small\_oilex is associated with a decrease in lo

A one-unit increase in the variable small\_oilex is associated with a decrease in lo

A one-unit increase in the variable democracy is associated with an increase in log

A one-unit increase in the variable democracy is associated with an increase in lo in the amount of 3.649

A one-unit increase in the variable non\_democracy is associated with a slight incr

A one-unit increase in the variable non\_democracy is associated with a slight incr

#### 2) The Estimated Cutoff Points:

The intercept for negative GDP diff: when all predictors are at 0, the log odds of The intercept for positive GDP diff: when all predictors are at 0, the log odds of

Now, run a z-test and get a p-value to look for significance. Drop any predictor from model that is not significant. Call this model the final model. Then run a final unordered multinomial regression and reinterpret the output.

```
1 # Run Z-test to get p-value.
2 # This is needed to see whether these coefficents are statistically
     significant within
3 # the model.
4 z <- summary(unorder_reg)$coefficients/summary(unorder_reg)$standard.
     errors
6 # Pvalue
_{7} p \leftarrow (1 - pnorm(abs(z), 0, 1)) * 2
8 p
9
              (Intercept) large_oilex small_oilex democracy non_
10 #
     democracy
11 # negative
                  0
                                            0
                                                      1.347811e - 13 6.367129e
                 0
                              0
                                           0
                                                     0.0000000e+00 4.865686e
12 # positive
     -09
14 # From this table, we can see that the coefficients for oil exports (
     large and small) and one coefficient for democracy are
15 # statistically significant
16 # We can also infer that the coefficients for non-democracy appear to not
17 # The intercept is also below 0.05 at 0. It is therefore statistically
     significant
18 # Therefore, under this model, only the variables democracy, large_oilex
  and small_oilex should be kept for further analyses
```

```
20 # We can therefore run a final more refined model
final_model <- multinom(GDPWdiff ~ large_oilex + small_oilex + democracy
     - non_democracy, data = final_data) # subtract non_democracy from
     model
22 summary (final_model)
23 # Covert coefficients to odds through exponation to make further
     interpretation on non_democracy
24 # and oil predictors
exp(coef(final_model))
27 ## Interpret Coefficients
29 # For Democracy Variable:
30 # There is an increase in the reference category odds that there will be
     a negative GDP difference year—on—year by 3.867 times when a country
     is a democracy
31 # There is an increase in the reference category odds that there will be
     a positive GDP difference year-on-year by 5.710 times when a country
     is a democracy
33 # For large_oilex variable:
34 # There is an increase in the reference category odds that there will be
     a negative GDP difference year-on-year by 3518.108 times when fuel
     exports
35 # exceed more than 50% of total exports
36 # There is an increase in the reference category odds that there will be
     a positive GDP difference year-on-year by 3905.215 times when fuel
     exports
_{37} # exceed more than 50\% of total exports
39 # For large_oilex variable:
40 # There is an increase in the reference category odds that there will be
     a negative GDP difference year-on-year by 0.113 times when fuel
     exports
41 # are less than 50% of total exports
42 # There is an increase in the reference category odds that there will be
     a positive GDP difference year-on-year by 0.154 times when fuel
43 # are less than 50% of total exports
```

2. Construct and interpret an ordered multinomial logit with GDPWdiff as the outcome variable, including the estimated cutoff points and coefficients.

## Question 2

Consider the data set MexicoMuniData.csv, which includes municipal-level information from Mexico. The outcome of interest is the number of times the winning PAN presidential candidate in 2006 (PAN.visits.06) visited a district leading up to the 2009 federal elections,

which is a count. Our main predictor of interest is whether the district was highly contested, or whether it was not (the PAN or their opponents have electoral security) in the previous federal elections during 2000 (competitive.district), which is binary (1=close/swing district, 0="safe seat"). We also include marginality.06 (a measure of poverty) and PAN.governor.06 (a dummy for whether the state has a PAN-affiliated governor) as additional control variables.

- (a) Run a Poisson regression because the outcome is a count variable. Is there evidence that PAN presidential candidates visit swing districts more? Provide a test statistic and p-value.
- (b) Interpret the marginality.06 and PAN.governor.06 coefficients.
- (c) Provide the estimated mean number of visits from the winning PAN presidential candidate for a hypothetical district that was competitive (competitive.district=1), had an average poverty level (marginality.06 = 0), and a PAN governor (PAN.governor.06=1).