

Peter Thiel & J.D. Vance's "Cleaner" Faction vs. Trump: Evidence and Analysis

Overview of the Theory: A developing narrative suggests billionaire Peter Thiel and Vice President J.D. Vance represent a "cleaner" conservative faction – untainted by Russian money – that is quietly positioning Vance to supersede Donald Trump. According to this theory, Thiel and Vance have little to lose if the ongoing **Jeffrey Epstein files scandal** politically cripples Trump. By stepping back and allowing Trump to absorb the fallout, they could present Vance as a more moderate, scandal-free GOP leader ready to carry out the ambitious **Project 2025** agenda (a Heritage Foundation-authored blueprint for the next Republican administration) in a steadier fashion. Below, we examine evidence from 2025 – especially the past 90 days – on how Vance and Thiel have navigated Trump's troubles, and whether they are indeed setting Vance up as the post-Trump standard-bearer for the 2026 midterms.

1. Factional Positioning: Vance's Statements vs. Silence & Thiel's Signals

J.D. Vance's Public Stance (2025 Timeline): Since taking office in January 2025 as Trump's vice president, J.D. Vance has largely projected loyalty in public – but notably, his tone varies depending on the controversy. Early in 2025, Vance gained a reputation as Trump's "*occasional attack dog*" on the world stage, sometimes even outdoing Trump's blunt rhetoric in criticizing U.S. allies ¹ ². For example, at the Munich Security Conference in February, Vance delivered "*blistering remarks*" about Europe's tech regulations, and in an Oval Office meeting with UK Prime Minister Keir Starmer, he pointedly criticized Britain's online censorship policies ³ ⁴. These instances showed Vance staunchly defending the administration's nationalist, free-speech stance.

However, when the **Epstein files scandal** erupted mid-2025, Vance's approach shifted to a *strategic quiet*. In July, the Justice Department (led by Attorney General Pam Bondi) concluded Epstein died by suicide and insisted "*no incriminating client list*" exists ⁵. This reversal from Trump's campaign promise of full Epstein transparency angered many in the MAGA base, causing a "*rare fracture*" between Trump and his supporters ⁵ ⁶. Trump's initial response was to defend Bondi and scold his own followers for falling for a "*hoax*", which only deepened the base's mistrust ⁷ ⁸. During this sensitive period, Vance was conspicuously low-profile on the Epstein issue. He reportedly convened a private White House meeting in early August with Bondi and FBI Director Kash Patel to craft a communications strategy, but after word leaked to the press, a planned follow-up dinner at the VP's residence was abruptly **canceled** ⁹ ¹⁰. Vance's spokesman even denied any such Epstein strategy meeting was ever scheduled ¹¹. This suggests Vance was working behind closed doors to manage the scandal but publicly keeping his distance, perhaps to avoid direct association with Trump's broken promise.

That relative silence ended only under pressure. On August 10, Vance finally addressed the Epstein furor in a Fox News interview – and it backfired. Vance tried to **deflect blame onto Democrats**, arguing that "*President Trump has demanded full transparency*" on Epstein while "*the Biden administration...did nothing for*

four years”¹²¹³. He even resurrected a debunked claim that Bill Clinton visited Epstein’s island “dozens of times”, insisting “Democrat billionaires and political leaders went to Epstein Island all the time”¹⁴. This effort to switch the narrative was widely panned. Within minutes, “**Release the files!**” began trending on social media, and even anti-Trump conservatives like Bill Kristol challenged Vance: “Fine. Release all the files...no redactions”¹⁵¹⁶. In trying to defend Trump, Vance only intensified public calls for transparency. The episode underscores Vance’s delicate balancing act – he spoke up to shield Trump, but only by *redirecting* the issue, not by clearing Trump’s name. Notably, Vance did **not** actually deny that Epstein’s records might implicate Trump; instead he implied Democrats were the guilty ones¹⁴. This cautious framing – defending Trump procedurally while sidestepping the substance – may indicate Vance’s unwillingness to stake his own credibility on Trump’s innocence.

Musk Feud – Vance’s Loyalty and Notable Omissions: An even more revealing moment came with the sudden June 2025 falling-out between Trump and Elon Musk. Musk, initially a key Trump ally and fundraiser, publicly blasted Trump’s signature tax-and-spending bill as a “*disgusting abomination*” that would balloon the deficit¹⁷¹⁸. Trump and Musk traded barbs in an extraordinary feud that saw Musk, in a fit of pique, go so far as to suggest on X (Twitter) that Trump “*should be impeached*” and replaced by “*future President*” J.D. Vance¹⁹²⁰. Musk even posted the incendiary claim that Trump was “*in the Epstein files*”, hinting Trump’s name appeared in Epstein’s documents²¹. This put Vance in an awkward position: his own name was being floated as Trump’s replacement by a tech titan he was friendly with, yet it came attached to the explosive Epstein insinuation.

Vance’s reaction was telling. He immediately **stood by Trump** while *saying nothing* about Musk’s specific accusations. “*President Trump has done more than anyone in my lifetime to earn the trust of the movement he leads. I am proud to stand beside him,*” Vance posted on June 5²²²³. The next day, he lauded Trump’s temperament under pressure and attacked the “corporate media” for painting Trump as impulsive²⁴. But Vance pointedly **avoided** mentioning Musk by name or addressing Musk’s Epstein-file claim. ABC News noted that Vance “*continued to stand by Trump... but [was] notably not criticizing the Tesla billionaire directly,*” remaining silent on Musk’s most damning charges²⁵²⁶. In short, Vance defended Trump’s character in broad strokes while **sidestepping the Epstein subject entirely**. This pattern – vocal loyalty on general matters, quiet avoidance of the ugliest allegations – aligns with the idea that Vance is protecting his own reputation. He would not echo Musk’s Epstein accusation, but neither did he refute it; instead he changed the subject. Such calculated silence could be read as letting Trump twist in the Epstein winds without tethering Vance to whatever revelations might emerge.

Peter Thiel’s Public Signals (2024–25): Peter Thiel, for his part, has signaled a step back from Trump **financially** while still embracing an agenda of political disruption. In a *November 2023 Atlantic* interview, Thiel announced he “*wouldn’t be giving money to any politician, including Donald Trump, in the next presidential campaign.*” He even had this promise published to hold himself accountable²⁷. Thiel revealed that when he personally told Trump of his decision in April 2023 (after years of bankrolling Trump-aligned candidates), Trump was “*very sad*” and later angrily called Thiel a “**fing scumbag**” to others²⁸²⁹. **Thiel’s reasoning was striking: he complained that politicians had “failed to make the world conform to his vision” and professed that he “no longer thinks it matters very much who wins the next election.” In fact, Thiel told The Atlantic he had “lost interest in democracy”*** after so many disappointments³⁰³¹. **This disillusionment with electoral politics suggests Thiel had emotionally and financially distanced himself from Trump’s fate** by 2024.**

Yet Thiel did not retreat from the battle of ideas. Just days before Trump's January 2025 inauguration, Thiel authored a cryptic op-ed in the *Financial Times* titled **"A time for truth and reconciliation."** In it, he cast Trump's return to power as an *"apokálypsis"* (Greek for *"unveiling"*) of the old elite's secrets. *"Trump's return to the White House augurs the apokálypsis of the ancien régime's secrets,"* Thiel proclaimed, saying the new administration's revelations *"need not justify vengeance...reconstruction can go hand in hand with reconciliation. But for reconciliation to take place, there must first be truth."* ³² . He explicitly referenced the Epstein saga as part of this reckoning: Thiel wrote that the internet's exposure of skepticism around Jeffrey Epstein's 2019 death – *"almost half of Americans...mistrusted the official story that he died by suicide"* – marked the start of a great unveiling of hidden truths ³³ . In Thiel's view, *"the internet had already begun our liberation"* from the old establishment's narratives with the Epstein case as a catalyst ³³ . This esoteric language shows Thiel ideologically **encouraged** the airing of Epstein-related secrets, even as he withheld material support from Trump. It hints that Thiel sees value in Trump (or at least Trump's administration) exposing deep corruption – a theme congruent with Project 2025's promise to purge the Washington "deep state." However, Thiel's talk of *"truth before reconciliation"* could be interpreted as a willingness to let harsh truths (like Epstein's client list) come out, regardless of whom they harm politically, in service of a larger revolutionary reset ³² ³⁴ .

In sum, Thiel's public stance since 2024 suggests a **faction independent of Trump**: he pointedly refused to fund Trump's 2024 bid and spoke of being apathetic to Trump's personal success ²⁷ ³⁰ , even as he cheered on the disruptive potential of Trump's second term to expose entrenched elites ³² ³³ . This duality – moral support for Trumpism's goals but personal distance from Trump – aligns with the idea of a "cleaner" Thiel-Vance faction. They share Trump's populist-nationalist agenda but are not financially entangled with Trump's campaign or legacy scandals (e.g. Russian money or Epstein), giving them latitude to survive Trump's implosion.

Thiel-Vance vs. Trump-Musk: The relationships among these four men underscore two distinct factions. **Thiel and Vance** have a well-documented mentor-protégé bond that predates Trump's rise. Thiel effectively *"made"* J.D. Vance's political career: he hired Vance at his investment firm in 2017 and pumped \$15 million into Vance's 2022 Senate race ³⁵ ³⁶ . He even brought Vance to Mar-a-Lago in early 2021 to introduce him to Trump, cementing Vance's path into Trump's MAGA circle ³⁵ . Their connection is not just financial but intellectual – Vance has echoed Thiel's ideas about America's decline and the need for tech-driven renewal, calling a Thiel lecture *"the most significant moment"* of his Yale education ³⁷ ³⁸ . This long-term grooming suggests Thiel envisioned Vance as a vehicle for his vision of the GOP's future. By contrast, **Trump and Elon Musk's alliance** was newer and more transactional, and it has spectacularly disintegrated. Musk was a crucial booster during the 2024 campaign – spending nearly \$300 million to help elect Trump and even serving briefly in Trump's new administration – but their *"bromance"* soured within months ³⁹ ⁴⁰ . Musk's interests (tax credits for Tesla, Starlink contracts, a friend at NASA) clashed with Trump's agenda ⁴¹ ⁴² , and by June their relationship collapsed into public feuding and mutual threats ⁴³ ⁴⁴ . Unlike Thiel and Vance's quietly coordinated partnership, Trump and Musk's short-lived alliance ended in an ego-driven flameout – Musk even flirted with the notion of elevating Vance at Trump's expense ¹⁹ .

Notably, Musk was an early advocate for Vance's rise *alongside* Trump. In February 2024, as Trump was considering running mates, Musk posted that J.D. Vance would be the *"Best VP ever and our future President."* ⁴⁵ . It appears Musk lobbied Trump to pick Vance, seeing in Vance a kindred "outsider" with tech ties. Trump did tap Vance for VP, pleasing Musk at the time. But now with Musk estranged, Thiel remains as the behind-the-scenes ally for Vance. Unlike Musk, **Thiel has not openly turned on Trump**; he simply keeps an arms-length distance. The contrast could not be sharper: Musk's very public fallout with Trump has arguably *helped* create an opening for Vance (Musk's June outburst planted the idea of President Vance in

the public conversation ⁴⁶), while Thiel's quiet backing provides Vance steady support without the baggage of Trump's personal vendettas. In effect, the Thiel-Vance faction is positioning itself as the stable heir to Trump's movement, as the Musk-Trump partnership implodes in recrimination.

2. Strategic Positioning for 2026: "Adult Supervision" and an Heir Apparent

Building a Political Infrastructure: All signs indicate that J.D. Vance is busy assembling the machinery for his own political future, independent of Trump's cult of personality. In March 2025, the Republican National Committee took the unprecedented step of naming a sitting vice president – Vance – as its **national finance chair** for the 2026 election cycle ⁴⁷. This powerful role will have Vance courting and coordinating the party's top donors nationwide. *"No sitting vice president has ever served as the RNC's finance chair,"* Reuters noted, underscoring how unusual Vance's appointment is ⁴⁸. The move is widely seen as grooming Vance for a post-Trump run: it *"will give him valuable face time with top conservative donors"* and expand his donor network *"should he run to succeed President Trump atop the ticket in 2028."* ⁴⁹. In announcing the pick, Trump himself praised Vance's fundraising prowess and fighting spirit (*"JD will do a fantastic job...He knows how to fight and win tough races"* ⁵⁰), but significantly Trump *"declined to identify Vance as his heir apparent."* ⁵¹ Even so, the subtext was clear. Vance now has one foot in the party establishment via the RNC and one foot in the MAGA base via his vice presidency – a unique perch from which to build his own brand. By leading midterm fundraising, Vance can cultivate loyalty from down-ballot candidates and state party officials, essentially building a *Vance-aligned party infrastructure* ready for the moment Trump exits the stage.

Behind the scenes, Vance has leveraged his Silicon Valley ties (forged via Thiel) to create an alternative donor network for the GOP. During the 2024 campaign, he was *"instrumental"* in organizing a high-dollar tech donor fundraiser that brought in \$12 million for Trump, featuring venture capitalists like **Chamath Palihapitiya** and **David Sacks** ⁵². Sacks – a PayPal Mafia alumnus close to Thiel and Musk – had already given \$1 million to a pro-Vance PAC, illustrating how Vance can marshal Thiel's circle of *"deep-pocketed allies."* ⁵² This capacity to attract non-traditional Republican money (west coast tech libertarians) is part of Vance's appeal as *"the billionaire's candidate."* Indeed, one profile dubs Thiel a **"Republican kingmaker"** whose influence is rising through protégés like Vance ⁵³ ⁵⁴. By all accounts, Vance is actively consolidating a financing base separate from Trump's usual megadonors. This would position Vance to lead a *"post-Trump GOP"* with ample resources. If Trump's brand is damaged by scandal or simply by age (he'll be 80 in 2026), the party will be glad to have a fresh-faced fundraiser-in-chief ready to step up.

Portraying Vance as the "Adult in the Room": A clear media narrative has emerged in mainstream and even some conservative circles casting J.D. Vance as a more palatable, steady-handed figure compared to Trump. During Vance's early August diplomatic trip to the U.K., the **Washington Post** ran a telling headline: *"JD Vance mutes criticism, practices diplomacy in British visit – Sometimes, he's a bridge builder."* ⁵⁵ The article contrasted Vance's usual fire-breathing Trumpist persona with his surprisingly genial approach abroad. Vance went fishing and attended Mass with Britain's Foreign Secretary **David Lammy** (a Labour politician on the left), calling Lammy a *"good friend"* and bonding over their shared Catholic faith and humble roots ² ⁵⁶. The Post noted that Vance has *"sought to subtly occupy the bridge-building role in multiple contexts — among factions of Trump's ever-dueling political base, within the Catholic Church and in diplomatic situations."* ⁵⁷. In other words, Vance is consciously presenting himself as someone who can bring people together and provide *adult supervision* where Trump sows chaos. Even as he once derided the U.K. as *"an Islamist country"* and lambasted Europeans at Munich, Vance showed in London that he can pivot to

statesmanship when needed ⁵⁸ ³ . Such coverage implicitly positions Vance as the “sane,” moderating force next to Trump’s volatile style.

Conservative outlets and influencers have also begun talking up Vance as the logical successor to Trump. As mentioned, Elon **Musk** (hardly a liberal figure) openly called Vance “our future President” and saw him as the best VP pick ⁴⁵ . That tweet from February 2024 planted the seed that Vance could carry the MAGA torch forward. In the wake of the Trump-Musk feud, others echoed the sentiment. One viral post by a right-wing user (amplified by Musk) argued Trump should be impeached and replaced by Vance – a notion Musk concurred with by replying “Exactly.” ⁵⁹ ⁴⁶ . While this was in the heat of an online skirmish, it reflects an undercurrent in MAGA-land: some believe *Trump has become a liability* and that a younger figure like Vance could push the agenda without Trump’s baggage. There is evidence of donors and media voices probing a “post-Trump GOP”. For instance, the **Reuters** piece on Vance’s RNC role explicitly framed him as a leader of the GOP’s new direction – away from old-school interventionist, free-trade Republicanism and toward a populist, nationalist approach that worries some traditional donors ⁶⁰ ⁶¹ . It noted Vance will have to “assuage concerns” of those more establishment donors even as he champions the base’s anti-globalist instincts ⁶⁰ ⁶¹ . The subtext is that Vance is being packaged as a more disciplined version of Trump: *Trumpism with a human face*. This image would be useful heading into 2026, when the GOP will need to appeal to swing voters tired of Trump’s turmoil.

Even within the chaotic Trump White House, Vance is sometimes portrayed as the organized, intellectual counterweight. Axios reported that despite Vance’s fiery public rhetoric, Trump views him as a “highly capable” deputy who adds credibility with big donors and policy wonks ⁶² ⁶³ . Vance’s ability to “put an intellectual gloss on...raw MAGA populism” is precisely what reassures elites ⁶⁴ . Think tank conservatives have taken note too – the Heritage Foundation’s **Project 2025** (which outlines how to systematically implement conservative policies) meshes well with Vance’s technocratic streak. Vance has been a vocal proponent of using the federal bureaucracy to advance nationalist ends (he’s endorsed ideas like moving agencies out of D.C. and clamping down on deregulation that hurts workers). His speeches often invoke restoring American greatness through technology and efficiency, sounding more wonkish than Trump’s rallies. This all feeds the narrative that *if* Trump is faltering (due to legal troubles like Epstein or simply infighting), Vance is ready to step in as the “adult in the room” who can actually execute the agenda. Notably, Vance has **distanced himself from Trump’s most radioactive positions** on occasion. He has not, for example, persisted in Trump’s 2020 election denial conspiracies. During his 2022 Senate run, Vance acknowledged **Joe Biden’s victory** (albeit while voicing concern about Big Tech influence), and in office he has focused on policy rather than relitigating 2020. He also hasn’t echoed Trump’s personal vendettas – for instance, when Trump attacked judges or prosecutors, Vance generally stayed quiet or spoke about “reforming” the Justice Department in broader terms rather than smearing individuals. These nuances help Vance appear *more moderate* by comparison, even though he is solidly conservative.

Perhaps the clearest example of Vance’s moderated tone is his approach to the Russia-Ukraine issue. Trump has openly admired Putin and hinted at forcing Ukraine into a deal; Vance, while sharing skepticism of blank-check aid to Ukraine, has taken a somewhat more measured line in public. In August, Vance met with European allies and even with Ukrainian officials ahead of Trump’s planned summit with Putin ⁶⁵ . He told Fox News that the U.S. was working to broker talks between Putin, Zelenskyy, and Trump, though he frankly admitted any peace would likely “leave both sides dissatisfied.” ⁶⁶ . This pragmatic tone – *acknowledging complexities rather than just praising Putin* – won Vance some credit as a realist. It suggests that on controversial foreign policy matters, Vance is careful not to be as inflammatory as Trump. All these signals

enhance Vance's positioning as a *competent, less scandal-prone heir* to lead Republicans in the 2026 midterms and beyond.

Vice President J.D. Vance (center) visits the U.S.-Mexico border in Eagle Pass, Texas, in mid-2025. Vance's emergence as a front-line spokesman on issues like border security has bolstered his image as a serious GOP leader. Meanwhile, he often strikes a conciliatory tone with U.S. allies and swing voters, aiming to broaden the appeal of the "MAGA" agenda beyond Donald Trump's base. ⁶⁷ ²

3. Business & Financial Separation: Thiel and Vance Unentangled from Trump's Web

A key pillar of the "cleaner faction" theory is that Thiel and Vance are **financially disentangled** from Trump's personal businesses and any dubious funding sources, making it easier for them to chart a separate course. The evidence strongly supports this. Peter Thiel pointedly cut off **all political donations in 2024**, including to Trump, despite Trump's personal pleas for mega-donor support ²⁸ ⁶⁸. Thiel had been one of Trump's largest Silicon Valley backers in 2016 (famously donating \$1.25 million when Trump's campaign was reeling after the Access Hollywood tape) ⁶⁹. But by late 2023, Thiel was so disillusioned that he vowed to "*lock himself out*" of the 2024 cycle financially ²⁷ ⁷⁰. According to CBS News, when Trump phoned in spring 2024 seeking \$10 million, Thiel turned him down flat; Trump was "*very sad to hear that*," and Thiel's money indeed stayed on the sidelines ⁷¹ ²⁸. Instead of donating, Thiel has expressed his influence through ideas (like his FT op-ed) and by **funding proteges** like Vance earlier on. The result is that, as of 2025, Thiel has **no financial stake in Trump's campaign or businesses**. He doesn't need Trump's favor, and conversely, any damage to Trump's fundraising (e.g. wealthy donors fleeing over scandals) doesn't directly harm Thiel or Vance. This arms-length financial stance gives Thiel and Vance freedom to maneuver if Trump's empire collapses under legal or public pressures.

It's also worth noting that *no known Russian or foreign money* is involved in Thiel and Vance's political endeavors – their funding is overwhelmingly from domestic sources (tech fortunes, conservative billionaires, and grassroots GOP donors). This contrasts with the longstanding allegations (and in some cases findings) that Trump's orbit benefited from foreign influence. Thiel's philosophy is staunchly nationalist; he has been critical of China and other foreign powers, and his wealth is self-made from American tech ventures. Vance's campaign funding was transparent and U.S.-based: aside from Thiel's \$15 million, his top donors included mainstream conservative donor families like the Mercers and Uihleins ⁷². Thus, the "*cleaner*" label holds in the sense that, unlike some Trump associates, Vance isn't under investigation for shady foreign loans or oligarch-linked deals. There is **no evidence** Vance has any entanglements with the Trump Organization or Trump family businesses either – he didn't come up through Trump's real estate world; he came up through Thiel's venture capital patronage. His personal fortune stems from his best-selling memoir *Hillbilly Elegy* and investments, not Trump-branded projects. In fact, one of Vance's first acts after winning office was to put his investments (including any Thiel-funded venture capital interests) into a blind trust to avoid conflicts ⁶². All this distances Vance from the ethical mire that often surrounds Trump's financial dealings.

Meanwhile, **Thiel's shifting portfolio** and public roles reflect his estrangement from Trump's inner circle. In 2022, Thiel stepped down from the board of Facebook (Meta) explicitly to spend more time influencing conservative politics – which he did by backing candidates like Vance and Blake Masters. But after midterms, he largely bowed out. He did not join the Trump 2024 campaign in any formal capacity, nor did

he seek a position in Trump's administration (contrast that to 2016, when Thiel sat on the transition team and secured a Trump Tower office to vet hires) ⁶⁹ . Instead, Thiel has focused on his businesses and advocacy from the outside. Notably, **Thiel's biggest business, Palantir Technologies, has continued to thrive via government contracts** – including under the Biden administration and now Trump's second term – without Thiel himself needing to be publicly involved in Trumpism. In fact, Palantir is deeply enmeshed in federal operations (especially defense and immigration). Under Trump's renewed presidency, Palantir quickly netted **over \$113 million in federal contracts** in 2025 alone ⁷³ . The company is reportedly working with Trump officials on an ambitious project to create a **"super-database"** aggregating data across agencies, and powering ICE surveillance of migrant movements in real time ⁷⁴ ⁷⁵ . This is essentially the Heritage Project 2025 vision in action – and Palantir is benefiting financially – yet Peter Thiel can claim a degree of separation. He profits from Trump administration policies (as a shareholder) but *personally* remains out of the spotlight and off any official payroll. Thiel's approach could be summarized as: **influence without entanglement**. He gets his policy goals advanced and his companies enriched, but if Trump goes down, Thiel's name isn't on the campaign finance filings or pardon lists.

For J.D. Vance, the financial separation from Trump's world is even starker. Vance did not rely on Trump for funding; if anything it was the opposite – Vance helped bring new money into Trump's coffers from tech moguls ⁵² . And Vance's own political war chest is bolstered by PACs originally built in his Senate run which remain loyal to him (the Protect Ohio Values PAC, for example, could be repurposed to support others he endorses). There is no indication Vance ever had business dealings with, say, the Trump Hotel or Truth Social or any Trump venture. His relationship with Trump is political and personal, not financial. This gives Vance more credibility when presenting himself as a *"clean" Republican alternative* – he can say he's *for* Trump's policies but has *no part* in Trump's checkered business history. Should the Epstein files or other scandals reveal corrupt finances or foreign money flowing to Trump, Vance can credibly claim, **"That's not me or my donor network."** In fact, Vance's most prominent patron, Peter Thiel, is one of the few top Trump-aligned figures who **never had ties to Russia** – Thiel's support for Trump in 2016 was ideological. (He was motivated by revanchism against coastal elites and a desire to disrupt establishment politics, not by any Kremlin calculus – indeed Thiel has called Chinese influence a greater threat). By contrast, some in Trump's camp (Paul Manafort, Michael Flynn, etc.) were tarnished by foreign money. None of that rubs off on Thiel or Vance. This firewall could make it easier for Thiel/Vance to distance themselves if, say, the Epstein files or related investigations were to expose any illicit foreign funding of Trump or others.

To illustrate the stark break: **Thiel ended up on Trump's enemies list in 2024** after refusing to fund him. Trump even discouraged Thiel's ally Blake Masters from running again and privately fumed about Thiel, according to reporting ²⁸ ²⁹ . Yet at the very same time, Thiel was publishing op-eds praising the *"revelations"* of Trump's second term ³² . This suggests Thiel was strategically keeping Trump at arm's length – free to be sacrificed if necessary – while cheering on the broader agenda that a post-Trump leader (like Vance) could also carry forward. It's a fine line, but one Thiel is adept at walking. For Project 2025's purposes (reshaping government with or without Trump), Thiel and Vance's financial independence from Trump is a feature, not a bug.

4. Counter-Evidence and Alternative Explanations

While the above points bolster the idea of a Thiel/Vance faction poised to overtake Trump, there are also **facts that complicate or counter this narrative**:

- **Continued Public Loyalty from Vance:** J.D. Vance has never openly broken from Trump on any major issue in 2025. Despite the Epstein turmoil and Musk's provocations, Vance remains Trump's dutiful VP in public. He has defended Trump time and again on controversies **other than Epstein**. For instance, during Trump's early 2025 push to pass a sweeping tax cut (the bill that later sparked Musk's ire), Vance was a vocal supporter, stumping for the bill as necessary to boost growth despite deficit concerns. When courts blocked some of Trump's more extreme executive orders, Vance blasted the judges as activists, fully backing Trump's agenda ⁷⁶. And in the face of Trump's frequent clashes with the media and Democrats, Vance routinely echoes Trump's talking points. In June's Musk fiasco, Vance's tweet "*I am proud to stand beside [Trump]*" showed personal **fealty at the very moment Musk was dangling the idea of President Vance** ²² ²³. Rather than capitalize on Musk's suggestion to replace Trump, Vance rebuffed it (albeit tacitly) by reaffirming loyalty. This suggests Vance is **not actively undermining Trump**; if anything, he's trying to have it both ways – remain Trump's ally while quietly preparing for a post-Trump era. There's a risk that interpreting Vance's silence on Epstein as malicious intent is reading too much into nothing. It could simply be standard political caution during an ongoing investigation.
- **Thiel's Interests Aligning with Trump's Success:** Although Thiel has kept a personal remove, his fortunes are still tied to Trump's in important ways. As noted, Palantir and other Thiel-backed ventures (like defense startup Anduril) are profiting from Trump's policy agenda ⁷³ ⁷⁷. If Trump were "destroyed" by scandal prematurely, those projects could be jeopardized. Thiel certainly wouldn't want Project 2025's implementation derailed by a crippled Trump presidency before 2026. It's more likely Thiel hopes Trump can limp through his term enacting the agenda, after which Vance (or another figure) could take over. In other words, Thiel has incentives to keep the **MAGA ship afloat** through 2025 – he gains nothing if Trump is forced to resign or is removed by impeachment over Epstein. Indeed, when Elon Musk lobbed the grenade about Trump in Epstein's files, **Trump quickly produced a statement from Epstein's attorney David Schoen to rebut the claim** ("*unequivocally...[Epstein] had no information to hurt President Trump*" ⁷⁸). This damage control was likely welcomed by all in Trump's camp, Thiel included. There's no sign Thiel secretly fueled Musk's rebellion; rather, reporting indicates Musk acted out of self-interest (tax credits, contracts) and personal pique ⁷⁹ ⁸⁰. Thiel, if anything, might have preferred Musk remained on board helping Trump. So it may be a stretch to say Thiel is *allowing* Trump to be destroyed – he benefits from Trump surviving, at least until a orderly succession to Vance can occur.
- **Musk's Anti-Trump Turn: Personal Motives vs. Master Plan:** Elon Musk's break with Trump can be explained without invoking a Thiel/Vance faction at all. Axios and Reuters both detail very practical reasons for Musk's anger. First, Trump's "big beautiful" spending bill would have **cut the EV tax credit**, directly harming Tesla – Musk lobbied hard (unsuccessfully) to save that credit ⁸¹ ⁴¹. Second, Musk wanted to extend his White House advisory role beyond legal limits and was told no ⁸². Third, he pitched having the FAA adopt SpaceX's Starlink system for air traffic control, and the administration *balked* on conflict-of-interest and technical grounds (since you "*can't have air traffic control just run off satellites,*" an official quipped) ⁸³. Fourth, Trump abruptly yanked the nomination of Musk's friend **Jared Isaacman** for NASA chief, reportedly after aides highlighted Isaacman's

Democratic donations ⁴² ⁸⁴ . That seems to have been the “*final straw*” for Musk ⁴² . All of these slights paint Musk as a billionaire CEO protecting his business interests, not a schemer plotting Vance’s rise. Musk’s subsequent social media rampage – calling Trump’s bill a “*disgusting abomination*”, accusing Trump of being in Epstein’s files, and even musing about a new political party for the “*80% in the middle*” ⁸⁵ ⁸⁶ – looked more like impulsive venting than a calculated strategy. In fact, Musk’s **own allies were disturbed**: one investor ally publicly urged Musk to apologize to Trump, calling Musk’s behavior “*disappointing and...disturbing.*” ⁸⁷ . Musk quickly **softened his stance** after the worst of the feud; he “*signaled an about-face*” on decommissioning SpaceX’s Dragon capsules (a threat he’d made), and he replied to a call for Trump-Musk peace by offering an olive branch ⁸⁸ ⁸⁹ . This suggests Musk realized his outburst was hurting Tesla (indeed, Tesla stock plummeted 14% amid the feud, erasing \$150+ billion in value) ⁹⁰ . In short, Musk’s anti-Trump turn was *idiosyncratic and self-interested*, not obviously in service of elevating Vance. While Musk did boost Vance in one angry tweet, it’s hard to argue Musk – a famously mercurial figure – was coordinating with Thiel on a grand plan. Musk’s motivations (money, ego, perhaps even some reported “*drug use*” per Trump’s private jabs ⁹¹) are a story unto themselves, separate from Thiel’s disciplined long game.

- **Vance’s Epstein Silence May Be Just Caution (Not Conspiracy):** J.D. Vance’s reluctance to address the Epstein files can be explained by simple political prudence. As a former lawyer, Vance knows better than to comment on potential evidence in an ongoing investigation, especially one as toxic as Epstein’s client list. There could be legal reasons too: any **Epstein-related documents** might be under court seal or pending release through proper channels, so Vance *shouldn’t* opine on them publicly. In his Fox interview, when pressed, Vance even **denied discussing Epstein** in the leaked strategy meeting (though few believed him) ⁹² . That indicates he’s wary of being caught in a lie or admitting the White House is strategizing on a legal case. His strategy of blaming Democrats for inaction was clumsy, but it was likely the only talking point agreed upon by Trump’s team that wouldn’t incriminate anyone ¹² ¹⁴ . Furthermore, from a purely political standpoint, Vance might have calculated that saying less is more. The base screaming “release the files” is largely Trump’s hardcore supporters – Vance gains nothing by arguing with them or defending Epstein secrecy. By staying mostly silent, he avoids alienating Trump’s QAnon-tinged base who are obsessed with Epstein conspiracies, while also avoiding making false claims that could haunt him if the files ever do come out. In other controversies (e.g. Trump’s impeachment over January 6 or the classified documents case), Vance similarly treaded carefully, issuing generalized statements about “witch hunts” but not over-specific defenses of Trump’s actions. This pattern suggests Vance’s primary motive is **self-preservation** and future viability. It doesn’t necessarily prove he is scheming for Trump’s downfall – only that he’s hedging against it. Many ambitious vice presidents in history have kept some distance from their president’s scandals (think Al Gore during Clinton’s Lewinsky scandal, or George H.W. Bush during Iran-Contra) just in case they had to assume the mantle. Vance’s behavior could be viewed in that traditional vein, rather than as an anti-Trump conspiracy with Thiel.
- **Thiel and Trump: No Open War Between Them:** Another counterpoint is that despite Thiel not funding Trump, there’s been no open rupture in their relationship since Trump took office again. Trump has not publicly attacked Thiel in 2025, nor has Thiel criticized Trump. In fact, Thiel’s January op-ed reads as **pro-Trump** (if floridly so), arguing that Trump’s presidency will unveil truths and potentially bring reconciliation ³² . Far from undermining Trump, Thiel wrote that “*the internet had begun our liberation*” via events like Epstein’s exposure, implying Trump’s government should continue that liberation ³³ . This is more supportive than not. The lack of any *Thiel vs. Trump* drama

in the press suggests Thiel is playing a *long game within Trump's shadow*, not actively trying to topple him in the short term. Also, Trump did endorse Vance's elevation (choosing him as VP, giving him the RNC finance role). If Trump suspected Vance and Thiel were plotting behind his back, would he empower Vance so? Unlikely. It's more plausible that Trump views Vance as a loyal lieutenant and Thiel as a useful ally when convenient. Trump is known to value loyalty highly; Vance has given him little reason to doubt it so far (unlike, say, Mike Pence, who refused to overturn the 2020 election). So an alternate interpretation is that **Trump, Thiel, and Vance are all still on the same team** nominally – with Vance simply being shrewd about positioning himself for *whenever* Trump exits. This is a subtle difference from actively hastening Trump's exit.

- **Alternate Explanations for Elon Musk's Behavior:** While it's tempting to fit Musk's feud into a Thiel vs. Trump narrative (given Musk and Thiel's shared PayPal origins and occasional alignment in tech-libertarian circles), Musk's actions might have **other political motives**. One possibility: Musk has ideological differences with Trump on economics. Musk slammed Trump's bill for adding \$2.4 trillion debt and pork-barrel spending, warning it could "*hurt Republicans politically*." ⁸⁵ It's conceivable Musk genuinely favors a more centrist, deficit-conscious approach – hence his call for a new party for the "*80% in the middle*." ⁹³ He might see himself as leading a **moderate libertarian** faction distinct from both Trump and Democrats. In that scenario, Musk's boosting of Vance could be because he perceives Vance (erroneously or not) as more open to innovation-friendly, less populist economics despite his MAGA ties. Musk also might have personal pique: being sidelined after serving Trump diligently for 130 days might have simply bruised his ego. Axios reported Musk was "*butthurt*" and that despite remaining friendly with Trump, he blindsided Trump's team with his harsh post ⁷⁹ ⁹⁴ . That suggests a lack of larger strategy – more a temper tantrum. Finally, consider Musk's business context: he faces pressure to keep Tesla and SpaceX viewed favorably by a broad public and investors. By distancing from an increasingly extreme Trump, Musk could be attempting to rehabilitate his own image. The feud's timing (right after Musk left his White House role) points to a dispute over credit and control, not necessarily an intentional boost for Vance. In short, **Musk's actions were overdetermined by multiple factors** that don't require coordination with Thiel or Vance. Indeed, Thiel told journalist Bari Weiss last year that Musk's initial support of Trump "*provided cover*" for other tech leaders, implying Thiel saw Musk as a fellow traveler in Trump's camp, not a rival faction ⁹⁵ . The falling-out likely surprised Thiel as much as anyone.

In conclusion, there is a compelling case that Peter Thiel and J.D. Vance have carved out a somewhat independent power center within MAGA world – one that stands to gain if Trump is weakened by scandal. Their words and actions in 2025 show careful distancing from Trump's personal liabilities and a focus on the future. **Vance's selective silence** on the Epstein files, **Thiel's withholding of funds** and talk of an "apocalypse" of secrets, and the steady cultivation of donors and allies all point to preparation for a *post-Trump Republican movement* ¹² ⁶⁷ . By the 2026 midterms, we may well see Vance pitched as the *responsible MAGA figure* who can accomplish Project 2025's goals without Trump's chaos. However, it's also clear that neither Thiel nor Vance is openly sabotaging Trump – they benefit from Trump's successes and are navigating his scandals pragmatically. **Alternate explanations**, like Musk's personal motives and Vance simply hedging his bets, cannot be ignored. What we can say is that the groundwork is being laid for J.D. Vance to take the reins should Trump falter. Whether by design or by happenstance, Vance is increasingly viewed as *the heir apparent of Trumpism*, and Peter Thiel's influence has helped put him in that position ⁵¹ ⁶³ . How deliberately they are "allowing" Trump to be "politically destroyed" is debatable – but they are undeniably positioning themselves to pick up the pieces and carry the mantle forward into 2026 and beyond.

Sources:

- Reuters – “What you need to know about Trump, Epstein and the MAGA fracture” (Jul. 29, 2025) ⁵ ⁶
- Reuters – “VP Vance meeting to discuss Epstein fallout canceled, source says” (Aug. 6, 2025) ⁹ ¹⁰
- The Guardian – “JD Vance’s attempt to link Democrats to Epstein renews calls to ‘release the files’” (Aug. 10, 2025) ¹² ¹⁴
- ABC News – “Vance stands by Trump amid feud with Musk but silent on Musk’s accusations” (Jun. 6, 2025) ²² ²¹
- The Atlantic – “Peter Thiel Is Taking a Break From Democracy” (Nov. 9, 2023) ²⁷ ²⁸
- FT (via Unpopular Front) – Analysis of Thiel’s op-ed “A time for truth and reconciliation.” (Jan. 14, 2025) ³² ³³
- Axios – “Four reasons Musk attacked Trump’s ‘big beautiful bill’” (Jun. 3, 2025) ⁸¹ ⁴²
- Reuters – “Trump says he has no plans to speak to Musk as feud persists” (Jun. 7, 2025) ¹⁷ ⁵⁹
- The Guardian – “Donald Trump says Elon Musk has ‘lost his mind’ and dismisses peace offering” (Jun. 6, 2025) ⁴³ ⁹¹
- Washington Post – “JD Vance mutes criticism, practices diplomacy in British visit” (Aug. 8, 2025) ⁵⁵ ²
- Reuters – “JD Vance tapped to lead Republican Party fundraising ahead of 2026 midterms” (Mar. 18, 2025) ⁴⁹ ⁵¹
- CBS News – “The billionaire who fueled JD Vance’s rapid rise to the Trump VP spot” (analysis, Jul. 16, 2024) ³⁵ ⁷¹
- University of Queensland News – “Libertarian tech titan Peter Thiel helped make JD Vance... influence is growing” (Aug. 1, 2025) ⁵³ ⁷³
- Reuters – “JD Vance’s attempt to schedule talks for Ukraine peace” (Aug. 10, 2025) ⁶⁵ (context on Vance’s foreign policy stance)
- Reuters – Photo/Reporting on Vance’s border visit and RNC role ⁶⁷ ² (imagery and quotes)

¹ ² ³ ⁴ ⁵⁵ ⁵⁶ ⁵⁷ ⁵⁸ JD Vance practices diplomatic skills as he bonds with top UK official - The Washington Post

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/08/08/vance-uk-lammy/>

⁵ ⁶ ⁷ ⁸ What you need to know about Trump, Epstein and the MAGA fracture | Reuters

<https://www.reuters.com/world/us/what-you-need-know-about-trump-epstein-maga-fracture-2025-07-22/>

⁹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ VP Vance meeting to discuss Epstein fallout canceled, source says | Reuters

<https://www.reuters.com/world/us/vp-vance-meeting-discuss-epstein-fallout-canceled-source-says-2025-08-06/>

¹² ¹³ ¹⁴ ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ⁹² JD Vance’s attempt to link Democrats to Epstein renews calls to ‘release the files’ | Trump administration | The Guardian

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/aug/10/jd-vance-democrats-jeffrey-epstein-files>

¹⁷ ¹⁸ ³⁹ ⁴⁰ ⁵⁹ ⁸⁵ ⁸⁷ ⁹³ Trump says he has no plans to speak to Musk as feud persists | Reuters

<https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-musk-bromance-descends-into-brawl-over-contracts-impeachment-2025-06-06/>

¹⁹ ²⁰ ²¹ ²² ²³ ²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁶ ⁴⁵ ⁴⁶ Vance stands by Trump amid feud with Musk but silent on Musk’s accusations - ABC News

<https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/vance-stands-trump-amid-feud-musk-silent-musks/story?id=122573065>

²⁷ ²⁸ ²⁹ ³⁰ ³¹ ⁷⁰ Peter Thiel Is Taking a Break From Democracy - The Atlantic

<https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2023/11/peter-thiel-2024-election-politics-investing-life-views/675946/>

32 33 34 Reading Thiel's Op-Ed - by John Ganz - Unpopular Front

<https://www.unpopularfront.news/p/reading-thiels-op-ed>

35 36 37 38 52 62 63 64 68 69 71 72 The billionaire who fueled JD Vance's rapid rise to the Trump VP spot — analysis - CBS News

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/jd-vance-trump-vp-peter-thiel-billionaire/>

41 42 79 80 81 82 83 84 94 Four reasons Musk attacked Trump's "big beautiful bill"

<https://www.axios.com/2025/06/03/elon-musk-trump-white-house-relationship>

43 44 78 86 88 89 90 91 Donald Trump says Elon Musk has 'lost his mind' and dismisses peace offering | Donald Trump | The Guardian

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2025/jun/06/elon-musk-signals-he-may-back-down-in-public-row-with-donald-trump>

47 48 49 50 51 60 61 67 JD Vance tapped to lead Republican Party fundraising ahead of 2026 midterm elections | Reuters

<https://www.reuters.com/world/us/jd-vance-tapped-lead-republican-party-fundraising-ahead-2026-midterm-elections-2025-03-18/>

53 54 73 74 75 77 Libertarian tech titan Peter Thiel helped make JD Vance. The Republican kingmaker's influence is growing - News - The University of Queensland

<https://news.uq.edu.au/2025-08-libertarian-tech-titan-peter-thiel-helped-make-jd-vance-republican-kingmakers-influence-growing>

65 Russians cheer Putin's Alaska invitation, envision no concessions ...

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/2025/08/10/putin-trump-russia-ukraine-summit/>

66 Vance says Ukraine peace deal unlikely to satisfy either side - Reuters

<https://www.reuters.com/world/china/vance-says-ukraine-peace-deal-unlikely-satisfy-either-side-2025-08-10/>

76 Vance and Musk attack judicial authority as Trump's agenda gets ...

<https://www.pbs.org/newshour/politics/vance-and-musk-attack-judicial-authority-as-trumps-agenda-gets-pushback-from-courts>

95 Peter Thiel says Elon Musk's embrace of Donald Trump helped ...

<https://news.yahoo.com/peter-thiel-says-elon-musks-035801764.html>