

# STAT 212 Problem Set 1.

Due: Friday, February 7th at 11:59PM

**Instructions:** Collaboration with your classmates is encouraged. Please identify everyone you worked with at the beginning of your solution PDF (e.g. Collaborators: Alice, Bob). Your solutions should be *written* entirely by you, even if you collaborated to *solve* the problems. The first person to report each typo in this problem set (by emailing me and Somak) will receive 1 extra point; more serious mistakes will earn more points.

1. Let  $C([0, 1]) = \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}\}$ . For  $f, g \in C([0, 1])$ , define

$$d_{\text{sup}}(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

- Prove that  $d_{\text{sup}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  defines a metric on  $C([0, 1])$ .
- A metric space  $(X, d)$  is defined to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence is convergent. Prove that  $(C([0, 1]), d_{\text{sup}})$  is a complete metric space.
- A metric space  $(X, d)$  is defined to be *separable* if there exists a *countable* set  $S \subseteq X$  which is *dense* in  $(X, d)$ . (A subset  $S$  is dense if for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $y \in S$  such that  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ ). Prove that  $(C([0, 1]), d_{\text{sup}})$  is separable.

**Hint:** Construct functions with rational values at points of the form  $i/n$ , and interpolate linearly otherwise.

A metric space that is both *complete* and *separable* is called a *Polish space*. For the purposes of probability theory, Polish spaces enjoy many of the nice properties of  $\mathbb{R}$ . This will be very useful in our later study of *Brownian Motion*, which can be defined as a “ $C([0, 1])$ -valued random variable”.

2. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space.

- Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be any collection of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Prove that  $\cap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- Let  $X$  be a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . By definition,  $X$  is measurable with respect to a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  if  $\{X \in A\} \in \mathcal{G}$  for all Borel subsets  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra, } X \text{ is } \mathcal{G}\text{-measurable}\}.$$

It follows from the previous part that  $\cap_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. It is called the ‘ $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X$ ’, and is often denoted  $\sigma(X)$ . By definition, it is the *smallest* sigma algebra with respect to which  $X$  is measurable.

Prove that  $\sigma(X)$  consists **exactly** of the sets  $\{X \in A\}$  for Borel  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

3. Let  $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ ,  $X$  be random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Prove that  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  if and only if any subsequence of  $X_n$  has a further subsequence converging to  $X$  almost surely.

4. We showed in class that if  $\nu, \mu$  are finite measures on the same sigma-algebra such that

$$0 \leq \nu(S) \leq \mu(S) \quad (1)$$

for all measurable sets  $S$ , then there exists a  $[0, 1]$ -valued measurable function  $f$  such that  $\nu(S) = \int_S f d\mu$  for all  $S$ . Note that given a general pair  $(\nu, \mu)$  of finite measures, the pair  $(\nu, \nu + \mu)$  always obeys (1). By applying the result from class to this setup, show the following stronger forms of the Radon–Nikodym theorem:

- (a) If  $\nu \ll \mu$  (i.e.  $\nu(S) = 0$  whenever  $\mu(S) = 0$ ), then there exists a non-negative integrable function  $f$  such that  $\nu(S) = \int_S f d\mu$  for all  $S$ .
- (b) In complete generality, there exists a non-negative integrable function  $f$  and finite measure  $\theta$  such that

$$\nu(S) = \theta(S) + \int_S f d\mu \quad (2)$$

for all measurable sets  $S$ . Furthermore, one can arrange that  $\theta$  and  $\mu$  are *mutually singular*: there exists a measurable set  $S_*$  with  $\theta(S_*) = 0$  and  $\mu(S_*^c) = 0$ . (Hint: consider the set where the function  $f$  coming from  $(\nu, \nu + \mu)$  equals 1.)

- (c) Recall that in class, we showed  $f$  is unique in the absolutely continuous case. Show the decomposition (2) is also unique, i.e. if  $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{f})$  is another such decomposition then  $\theta = \tilde{\theta}$  as measures, and  $f = \tilde{f}$  holds almost everywhere.

5. Let  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an adapted process of real-valued random variables. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function such that for some fixed constants  $A, B$  we have

$$|f(x)| \leq A|x| + B, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3)$$

Show that:

- If  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a martingale then  $(f(X_i), \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a submartingale.
  - If  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a submartingale and if  $f$  is non-decreasing then  $(f(X_i), \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a submartingale.
  - Both of the previous statements may be false, if the assumption (3) is dropped.
6. A *Galton-Watson* branching process models the growth of a population, and can be formally described as follows. Let  $\{X(i, t) : i \geq 1, t \geq 1\}$  be an array of iid  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  valued random variables satisfying  $m := \mathbb{E}[X(1, 1)] \in (0, \infty)$ . Define  $Z_0 = 1$  and

$$Z_{t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_t} X(i, t+1).$$

- Prove that  $M_t = Z_t/m^t$  is a martingale with respect to the natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(Z_0, \dots, Z_t)$ . Further, prove that  $\mathbb{E}[Z_t] = m^t$ .
- Conclude that  $M_t$  converges to a non-negative random variable  $M_\infty$  almost surely, with  $\mathbb{E}[M_\infty] \leq 1$ .
- Show by example that both  $\mathbb{E}[M_\infty] = 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}[M_\infty] < 1$  are possible.

### Optional questions (not graded)

- Let  $A, B$  be two events such that  $P(B > 0)$ . Denote  $\mathcal{G}$  to be the sigma-algebra generated by  $B$ . Prove that

$$P(A|\mathcal{G})(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} & \omega \in B \\ \frac{P(A \cap B^c)}{P(B^c)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Let  $X$  be a square-integrable random variable. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sub-algebra. Prove that

$$\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(\text{Var}(X|\mathcal{F})) + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F})).$$

- If  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel set, prove that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subseteq S$  such that  $\mu(K) \geq \mu(S) - \epsilon$ . (Hint: consider the family of  $S$  such that both  $S$  and its complement have this property. Prove this family itself forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra.)
- A related proof of the Radon–Nikodym theorem goes by considering the quadratic objective

$$V(f) = 2 \int f d\nu - \int f^2 d\mu.$$

As in class, let's assume  $\nu(S) \leq \mu(S)$  for all measurable sets  $S$ , and aim to find a  $[0, 1]$ -valued Radon–Nikodym derivative.

1. Explain why if one **assumes** the Radon–Nikodym theorem, then  $V$  is maximized by the Radon–Nikodym derivative  $f = d\nu/d\mu$ .
2. Show that  $\sup_{f:\Omega \rightarrow [0,1]} V(f)$  is attained, by considering a rapidly convergent sequence of approximate maximizers. (Hint: it may help to prove that if  $V(f_n) \approx V(f_m)$  are near-maximal, then  $f_n \approx f_m$  in  $L^2$ , by considering  $V(\frac{f_n + f_m}{2})$ . The intuition here is that  $V$  is strictly concave.)
3. Letting  $f_*$  attain the maximum value of  $V$ , show that  $f_*$  yields a Radon–Nikodym derivative.