

Contents

Summary	ii
Introduction	1
Human Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cells	2
Multiple Myeloma	3
Myeloma-hMSC Interactions	3
Myeloma Bone Disease	3
Dissemination of Myeloma Cells	4
Multidimensional Data in Biomedical Research	5
Nontransparencies in Biomedical Data Analyses	6
Semi-Big Data: Big Enough to Cause Problems	7
Nontransparencies And Shortcomings of Common Biomedical Analysis Tools	7
Modern Standards of Software Development	9
What makes Python an “Easy” Programming Language?	11
The Potential of Python Data Science Packages for Biomedicine	15
Aims	19
Chapter 1: Modelling Myeloma Dissemination <i>in vitro</i>	19
Abstract	19
Introduction	20
Materials and Methods	22
Results	26
Discussion	41
Chapter 2: Semi-Automating Data Analysis with <i>plotastic</i>	45
Abstract	45
Introduction	46
Statement of Need	48
Example	50
Overview	52
Discussion	54
Summarising Discussion	56
Time Lapse	56
Myeloma	56
Semi-Automated Analysis Improves Agility During Establishing new <i>in vitro</i> Methods	56
Plotastic and Jupyter Notebooks as a Standard for Research Documentation	57
How Biosciences can Benefit from Standards of Software Development	57
References	58
Appendices	70
A Supplementary Data & Methods	70
A.1 Figures	70
A.2 Tables	87
A.3 Materials & Methods	95
B Documentation of <i>plotastic</i>	112

B.1	Class Diagram	113
B.2	Readme	115
B.3	Example Analysis “qpcr”	128
C	Submission Forms & Documents	135
C.1	Author Contributions	135
C.2	Affidavit	142
C.3	Curriculum Vitae	144

Introduction

To provide a comprehensive background for the following chapters that focus on the interaction of human mesenchymal stromal cells (hMSCs) with multiple myeloma (MM) cells, this

Multidimensional Data in Biomedical Research

As modern biosciences advance, researchers increasingly encounter datasets that are influenced by a variety of independent variables, such as time, dosage, and environmental conditions. These variables introduce multidimensional complexity into datasets, challenging traditional analysis methods. For instance, cell adhesion studies, which are crucial for understanding cellular interactions and cancer metastasis, often require analyses across multiple time points and varying adhesion molecule concentrations, demonstrating a time-dependent variability that significantly impacts biological interpretations (Rebl et al., 2010; McKay et al., 1997; Bolado-Carrancio et al., 2020).

Multidimensional data encompass datasets where multiple independent variables (factors), such as experimental conditions or measurement modalities, interact to influence one or more dependent variables (outcomes). These interactions often address multiple hypotheses, making it crucial to design analysis strategies that can reveal the true structure and value of the data (Krzywinski & Savig, 2013).

(put the following examples into discussion? Use one example, rest into discussion?)

A primary example of multidimensional data is multiplex RT-qPCR, where the expression levels of various genes are measured across different samples under varying conditions. Here, the dependent variable is typically the fold change expression values derived from $\Delta\Delta C_t$ calculations (Brankatschk et al., 2012). The independent variables include the genes being measured and the experimental conditions under which the samples are processed.

Microscopy data further illustrate the complexity of multidimensional datasets (Rueden et al., 2017). In this context, the dependent variable might be a quantifiable feature, such as cell count or morphological metrics extracted from image analyses. The independent variables can expand immensely to include factors such as well-plate coordinates in a 96-well plate, Z-positions in confocal microscopy, and time points in time-lapse studies.

Lastly, big-data aggregation tools like Metascape provide a rich source of multidimensional data by integrating various dependent variables, such as gene expression fold changes and associated p-values, with independent variables spanning gene identifiers, gene ontology terms, and ontology classes derived from multiple databases (Y. Zhou et al., 2019). Despite the provision of summarized graphical outputs, the raw data often remain in complex, nested formats within Excel sheets, posing significant challenges for hypothesis-driven research.

This extensive integration of multiple dimensions requires sophisticated visualization and analysis techniques. While basic statistical visualizations suffice for one- or two-dimensional data, more complex data sets necessitate advanced techniques such as heatmaps, parallel coor-

dinates, and dynamic pivot tables, which allow researchers to visualize and interact with data in ways that elucidate the underlying patterns and relationships (Dunn et al., 2017). However, the gap between available visualization tools and the needs of clinicians or biologists without extensive bioinformatics training remains wide, emphasizing the need for intuitive, user-friendly tools that bridge this knowledge gap and enhance the accessibility of complex data analyses (Dunn et al., 2017).

Nontransparencies in Biomedical Data Analyses

The advent of advanced technologies in biosciences has ushered in an era of Big Data, characterized by unprecedented volumes and complexities of data (Yang et al., 2017; Ekmekci et al., 2016). This rise has been paralleled by significant challenges in data analysis, particularly impacting the reproducibility of scientific research. Studies such as the Baker (2016) survey revealed that more than 70 % of researchers have tried and failed to reproduce another scientist’s experiments, highlighting a reproducibility crisis that questions the reliability of scientific findings (Begley & Ioannidis, 2015; Ioannidis, 2005).

Reproducibility is considered foundational to scientific research, ensuring that findings are reliable and verifiable. Still, its meaning requires precise definition (Goodman et al., 2016). The common understanding of scientific reproduction implies not only that detailed information is provided to enable independent repetition (*transparency*), but also that time and effort is invested into repeating the experiments (*corroboration*). However, since modern biomedical journals are demanding novelty research, and since experiments have become highly specialized and time-intensive, repeating someone else’s work is considered neither interesting¹ nor possible for most publications (Flier, 2022; Peng, 2011). Hence, the meaning of reproducibility is confined to *transparency*, a concept that has been applied to many fields, including clinical trials (Goodman et al., 2016; Committee on Strategies for Responsible Sharing of Clinical Trial Data et al., 2015).

Nevertheless, there is a surprising amount of evidence for nontransparencies in biomedical data analyses: For Microarray-based miRNA profiling, raw data was not reported in more than 40 % of 127 articles, making independent verification impossible (Witwer, 2013). The same study also found that re-analysis of data often times did not support the original conclusions. Furthermore, 44 % of 233 preclinical articles describe statistical tests insufficiently, while few don’t describe them at all (Gosselin, 2021). Another study reviewed 147 papers in the field of optometrics and found that 91 % did not discuss their rationale of correcting p-values for multiple comparisons (e.g. Bonferroni correction) (Armstrong, 2014). However, it is reasonable

¹Flier (2022): “There are no scientists with the interest, resources, or incentives to “repeat” or confirm this vast sea of published work, so whether the findings they report are reproducible will simply never be assessed.”

to assume that researchers lack the confidence to report their technique in detail, given that the exact use of multiple comparisons corrections has been under debate for decades (Perneger, 1998; Moran, 2003; Sullivan & Feinn, 2021). Indeed, 77 % of biomedical researchers state that they have not received formal training in data literacy (including visualization and public deposition of data), although they understand its high relevance (Federer et al., 2016). For clinicians, statistical illiteracy is a well-known problem (Lakhlifi et al., 2023). Correspondingly, it has been communicated that there is a lack of simple and intuitive tools to both capture and embed computational work into publications (Mesirov, 2010). Therefore, nontransparencies in biomedical analyses are not only caused by a habit² of insufficient reporting, but could be exacerbated by the confusions caused by currently available tools and the lack of proper training.

Semi-Big Data: Big Enough to Cause Problems

In big data, recent advances have been made to standardize both raw data availability and processing pipelines, such as in RNAseq analysis. They have shown how automation and sophisticated software can aid in making data analysis more reproducible by providing standardized and repeatable analysis protocols (SOURCE!). However, a gap exists for datasets that do not require or can not utilize these high-powered tools.

In this thesis, the author introduces the concept of *semi-big data* to describe datasets with a size that is at the edge of manageability: Semi-big data is large and/or complex enough to make manual analysis extremely time-consuming, yet don't require or are incompatible with traditional Big Data tools. These datasets are commonly produced by methods such as automated microscopy or multiplex qPCR. Researchers are often forced to revert to basic tools like *Microsoft Excel* for analyzing semi-big data (Incerti et al., 2019). This could be due to the data's complexity requiring a high manual intervention to ensure accuracy of every processing step. However, manual analysis not only is laborious and prone to errors, but also represents a significant barrier to reproducibility, as most reviewers lack the time to repeat the same in-depth processing to verify the results.

Nontransparencies And Shortcomings of Common Biomedical Analysis Tools

²Peng (2011): “[...] *old habits die hard, and many will be unwilling to discard the hours spent learning existing systems.*”

nontransparencies - explain the need for line-by-line tracking reproducibility

“Interactive software systems often used for exploratory data analysis typically do not keep track of users’ actions in any concrete form. Even if researchers use software that is run by written code, often multiple packages are used, and the code that combines the different results together is not saved.” (Peng, 2011; Mesirov, 2010; Localio et al., 2018).

GraphPad Prism is used ubiquitously in biomedicine for statistical analyses, yet it has been criticized for driving intransparency not just due to the inaccessibility of their proprietary code, but also because current journals accept the output of *Prism* without demanding a complete description of commands used, which is a practice that is common in biostatistics literature (Gosselin, 2021; Localio et al., 2018)

Excel can’t handle multidimensional data

Remedies to handling semi-big data are writing custom programming scripts, or tools that allow batch-processing, for example to execute the same processing steps on multiple samples. Fiji/ImageJ, a standard tool in microscopy, allows both macros and scripting for batch processing of multiple images and automating multiple processing steps into a pipeline. Another example is PyMOL, a standard tool in protein structural biology, which also has a Python command interface. Intriguingly, Microsoft has recently integrated a Python interpreter into *Excel*, allowing researchers to automate tasks and analyze data more efficiently (Excel, 2023). These tools show that the fields of Biosciences and Informatics are converging, and that coding skills are becoming increasingly important for researchers in the biosciences.

However, custom scripts and tools of batch-processing can still pose a challenge to reproducibility: They are often highly specialized on a single use-case their adoption rate is very low, which means that few people are familiar with the details of such tools.

Also, batch-processing scripts often time don’t outlay intermediate results comprehensively, decreasing the confidence in the final results. Besides, software scripts often do not follow standards that ensure functionality in different software environments, or lack necessary documentation to execute them properly, assuming the scripts are working as intended (Sandve et al., 2013; Peng, 2011). This shows that the tools to handle semi-big data lack common standards that ensure both robustness, correctness and ease-of-use.

Given the unique challenges posed by semi-big data, there is a critical need for new analytical tools specifically tailored to this data category. These tools must combine the ease of use found in basic software with the robust, analytical capabilities of more sophisticated systems. By automating the analysis process and standardizing outputs, such tools could improve reproducibility, but also allowing researchers to focus on scientific inquiry rather than data management intricacies.

This thesis aims to presents a software environment based on the programming language Python, showing that a minimum of coding skills have the potential to both greatly accelerate and standardize the analysis of semi-big data.

A main reason to write software is to define re-usable instructions for task automation (Narzt et al., 1998).

Modern Standards of Software Development

The complexity of software code makes it prone to errors, which can prevent its usage by persons other than the author himself. This is a problem for the general scientific community, as the software is often essential for reproduction (Sandve et al., 2013). Hence, modern journals aim to enforce standards to software development, including software written and used by biological researchers (Smith et al., 2018). Here, we provide a brief overview of the standards utilized by plotastic that to ensure its reliability and reproducibility by the scientific community (Peng, 2011).

Modern software development is a long-term commitment of maintaining and improving code after initial release (Boswell & Foucher, 2011). Hence, it is good practice to write the software such that it is scalable, maintainable and usable. Scalability or, to be precise, structural scalability means that the software can easily be expanded with new features without major modifications to its architecture (Bondi, 2000). This is achieved by writing the software in a modular fashion, where each module is responsible for a single function. Maintainability means that the software can easily be fixed from bugs and adapted to new requirements (Kazman et al., 2020). This is achieved by writing the code in a clear and readable manner, and by writing tests that ensure that the code works as expected (Boswell & Foucher, 2011). Usability is hard to define (Brooke, 1996), yet one can consider a software as usable if the commands have intuitive names and if the software’s manual, termed “documentation”, is up-to-date and easy to understand for new users with minimal coding experience. A software package that has not received an update for a long time (approx. one year) could be considered abandoned. Abandoned software is unlikely to be fully functional, since it relies on other software (dependencies) that has changed in functionality or introduce bugs that were not expected by the developers of all dependencies. Together, software that’s scalable, maintainable and usable requires continuous changes to its codebase. There are best practices that standardize the continuous change of the codebase, including version control, continuous integration (often referred to as CI), and software testing.

Version control is a system that records changes to the codebase line by line, allowing the documentation of the history of the codebase, including who made which changes and when.

This is required to isolate new and experimental features into newer versions and away from the stable version that's known to work. The most popular version control system is Git, which is considered the industry standard for software development (Chacon & Straub, 2024). Git can use GitHub.com as a platform to store and host codebases in the form of software repositories. GitHub's most famous feature is called "pull request". A pull request is a request from anyone registered on GitHub to include their changes to the codebase (as in "please pull this into your main code"). One could see pull requests as the identifying feature of the open source community, since it exposes the codebase to potentially thousands of independent developers, reaching a workforce that is impossible to achieve with closed source models used by paid software companies.

Continuous integration (CI) is a software development practice in which developers integrate code changes into a shared repository several times a day (Duvall et al., 2007). Each integration triggers the test suite, aiming to detect errors as soon as possible. The test suite includes building the software, setting up an environment for the software to run and then executing the programmed tests, ensuring that the software runs as a whole. Continuous integration is often used together with software branches. Branches are independent copies of the codebase that are meant to be merged back into the original code once the changes are finished. Since branches accumulate multiple changes over time, this can lead to minor incompatibilities between the branches of all developers (integration conflicts), which is something that CI helps to prevent.

Continuous integration especially relies on a thorough software testing suite. Software testing is the practice of writing code that checks if the codebase works as expected (Myers et al., 2011). The main type of software testing is unit testing, which tests the smallest units of the codebase (functions and classes) in isolation (Listing 1).

Listing 1: Example of an arbitrary Python function and its respective unit test function. The first function simply returns the number 5. The second function tests if the first function indeed returns the number 5. The test function is named with the prefix "test_" and is placed in a file that ends with the suffix "_test.py". The test function is executed by the testing framework pytest. Note that code after "#" is considered a comment and won't be executed.

```
1 # Define a function called "give_me_five" that returns the number 5
2 def give_me_five():
3     return 5
4 # Define a test function asserting that "give_me_five" returns 5
5 def test_give_me_five():
6     assert give_me_five() == 5
```

The quality of the software testing suite is measured by the code coverage, the precision of the tests, and the number of test-cases that are checked. The code coverage is the percentage of the codebase that is called by the testing functions, which should be as close to 100% as possible, although it does not measure how well the code is tested. The precision of the test is not a measurable quantity, but it represents if the tests truly checks if the code works as

expected. The number of test-cases is the number of different scenarios that are checked by the testing functions, for example testing every possible option or combinations of options for functions that have multiple options. The most popular software testing framework for Python is `pytest`, which is utilized by `plotastic` (Krekel et al., 2004).

Together, the standards of software development, including version control, continuous integration, and software testing, ensure that the software is scalable, maintainable, and usable. This is especially important for software that is used by the scientific community, as it ensures that the software is working as expected at defined versions years after publishing scientific results.

What makes Python an “Easy” Programming Language?

Here, we provide a general overview of the Python programming language, explaining terms like “*type*”, “*method*”, etc., in order to prepare readers without prior programming experience for the following chapters. We also describe the design principles of Python to lay out the key concepts that differentiate Python compared to other programming languages. A more detailed tutorial on Python that’s specialized for bioscientists is found in Ekmekci et al. 2016

Languages such as Python are considered “*high-level*”, which means that it is designed to be easy to read and write, but also independent of hardware by hiding (“*abstracting*”) underlying details (*The Python Language Reference*, 2024). A key principle of Python is the emphasis on implementing a syntax that is concise and close to human language (Listing 2, Listing 3).

Listing 2: Example of readable Python code. This one-line code returns the words (string) ‘Hello, World!’ when executed. The command is straightforward and easy to understand.

```
1 print('Hello, World!')
2 # Output: Hello, World!
```

Listing 3: Example of less readable code written in the low-level programming language C. This code is doing exactly the same as the Python code in Listing 2, but is harder to understand because more steps are needed, including the import of a library `stdio.h` and the definition of a function called `main`.

```
1 #include <stdio.h>           // Import functions for standard input & output
2 int main() {                 // Define a function called 'main'
3     printf('Hello, World!');
4     return 0;
5 }
6 // Output: Hello, World!
```

Furthermore, Python is an *interpreted* language, which means that the code is executed line by line. This makes coding easier because the programmer can see the results of the code immediately after writing it, and error messages point to the exact line where the error occurred. This is in contrast to *compiled* languages, where the code has to be compiled into machine code before it can be executed. The advantage of compiled languages is that the code runs faster,

because the machine code is optimized for the hardware.

Python automates tasks that would otherwise require an advanced understanding of computer hardware, like the need for manual allocation of memory space. This is achieved by using a technique called “*garbage collection*”, which automatically frees memory space that is no longer needed by the program. This is a feature that is not present in low-level programming languages like C or C++, that were designed to maximize control over hardware.

Another hallmark of Python is its *dynamic typing system*. In Python the type is inferred automatically during code execution (Listing 4). This is in contrast to *statically* typed languages like C, where the type of a variable has to be declared explicitly and cannot be changed during code execution (Listing 5) (*The Python Language Reference*, 2024).

Listing 4: Example of dynamic typing in Python. The variable “a” is assigned the value 5, which is of type integer. The variable “a” is then assigned the value “Hello, World!”, which is of type string. Python allows dynamic re-assignment of variables with different types. Note that code after “#” is considered a comment and won’t be executed.

```
1 a = 5 # Type integer
2 a = 5.0 # Type float
3 a = 'Hello, World!' # Type string
4 a = True # Type boolean
5 a = False # Type boolean
6 a = [1, 2, 3] # Type list of integers
7 a = {'name': 'Regina'} # Type dictionary
```

Listing 5: Example of static typing in C. The variable “a” is declared as an integer (int), and can only store integers. The variable “a” is then assigned the value 5, which is an integer. The variable “a” is then assigned the value ‘Hello, World!’, which is a string. This results in a compilation error, because the variable “a” can only store integers. Note that code after “//” is considered a comment and won’t be executed.

```
1 int a; // Declare type as integer
2 a = 5;
3 a = 'Hello, World!'; // Compilation error!
```

Dynamic typing makes Python a very beginner-friendly language, since one does not have to keep track of the type of each variable. However, this also makes Python a slower language, because the interpreter has to check the type of each variable during code execution. Also, developing code with dynamic typing systems is prone to introducing bugs (“type errors”), because it allows inexperienced developers to convert variables from one type to another without noticing, leading to unexpected behavior. Hence, larger Python projects require disciplined adherence to programming conventions. One such convention is *type hinting*, which is a way to explicitly note the type of a variable. Type hinting does not have an effect on the code, but it makes the code more readable and understandable for other developers, and allows for development environments to detect type errors before execution (Listing 6) (van Rossum et al., 2014).

Listing 6: Example of type hints used in Python. Explicitly stating the type of the variable is optional and does not change the behavior of the code, but behaves exactly as shown in Listing 4.

```
1 a: int = 5
2 a: str = 'Hello, World!'
```

To make Python as easy as possible, python packages aim to reduce the amount of code that has to be written by the user. For example, the package `matplotlib` is a plotting library where every command is written such that the user immediately understands its purpose, like plotting a line or labeling an axis (Listing 7). Hence `matplotlib` code is a sequence of simple function calls, where the state of the plot is modified and saved in the background line by line.

Listing 7: Example of using pre-written functions of a Python package. The functions of the package `matplotlib.pyplot` become accessible by importing the package as `plt`, where `plt` serves as an alias (or rather shortcut) to access the functions of the package. Then, two arbitrary lists are defined, `x` and `y`. These datapoints are plotted (scatterplot) using the function `plot`. The plots x- and y-axes are then labeled and saved as an image. The code is written in a sequence of function calls, where the state of the plot is saved in the background. The plot is then displayed using the function `show`.

```
1 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt # Make functions accessible via plt
2 x = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]             # Define arbitrary x values
3 y = [1, 4, 9, 16, 25]          # Define arbitrary y values
4 plt.plot(x, y)                 # Plot x against y
5 plt.xlabel('Timepoint')        # Add a label to the x axis
6 plt.ylabel('Foldchange')       # Add a label to the y axis
7 plt.title('Gene Expression')   # Add a title above the plot
8 plt.savefig('plot.png')        # Save plot as image onto harddrive
9 plt.show()                     # Show the plot preview
```

However, when no pre-written functions or packages are available, Python offers the tools of a general purpose programming language to write and deploy custom code easily. Programming styles can be classified into two main paradigms: *functional* and *object-oriented* programming, which can be understood as different ways to structure code. Python supports both paradigms. In *functional* programming, the code is written in a way that the program is a sequence of function calls, where each function call returns a value that is used in the next function call (Listing 8). This approach is useful when multiple actions have to be performed on the same data and the structure of the data is relatively simple, for example a string of a gene sequence.

When the data itself gains in complexity, for example when storing not just the gene sequence, but also the promotor sequence, an *object-oriented* approach is more suitable (Listing 9). Object-oriented programming is a programming paradigm that uses objects and classes. An object is a collection of both data and functions, and a class is a blueprint for creating objects. The data of an object is stored as *attributes*. Functions that are associated with an object are called *methods*.

Listing 8: Example of functional programming in Python. The code defines a function called “find_restriction_site” that finds the position of a restriction site in a gene. The function “cut” uses the function “find_restriction_site” to cut the gene at the restriction site.

```

1 def find_restriction_site(gene: str):      # Define a function
2     return gene.find('GCGC')              # Find the position of 'GCGC'
3
4 def cut(gene: str):                       # Define another function
5     position = find_restriction_site(gene) # Use the function above
6     return gene[position:]                # Cut the gene at the position
7
8 gene1 = 'TGAGCTGAGCTGATGCGCTATATTTAGGCG' # Define an arbitrary gene
9 gene1_cut = cut(gene1)                    # Cut the gene
10 print(gene1_cut)                         # Show the result
11 # Output: GCGCTATATTTAGGCG

```

Listing 9: Example of object oriented programming in Python. The class is called “Gene” and has four methods, “__init__”, “find_promotor”, “find_restriction_site” and “cut”. The method “__init__” is called when creating (“initializing”) an object, which fills the object with user-defined data. The parameter “self” is used to reference the object itself internally. “find_promotor” is a method that finds the position of the promotor in the gene and is called during object initialization.

```

1 class Gene:                               # Define a Gene class
2     def __init__(self, sequence: str):     # Define how a Gene object is created
3         self.sequence: str = sequence     # Save sequence as attribute
4         self.promotor: str = self.find_promotor() # Automatically find promotor
5     def find_promotor(self):               # Define how to find the promotor
6         return self.sequence.find('TATA')
7     def find_restriction_site(self):       # Define how to find restriction site
8         return self.sequence.find('GCGC') # Find the position of 'GCGC'
9     def cut(self):                        # Define how to cut the gene
10        position = self.find_restriction_site() # Call the method above
11        return self.sequence[position:]    # Cut the gene at the position
12
13 gene1 = Gene(sequence='TGAGCTGAGCTGATGCGCTATATTTAGGCG') # Create Gene object
14 gene1_cut = gene1.cut()                   # Call the method cut
15 print(gene1_cut)                         # Show result
16 # Output: GCGCTATATTTAGGCG

```

A major benefit of using an object oriented versus a functional approach is that the data itself is programmable, enabling the programmer to define the behavior of the data itself through methods. This is achieved by using the keyword “self” to reference the object itself inside the class. For example, one could extend the class “Gene” with a method that finds the promotor of the gene and stores it as an attribute (Listing 9).

When designing software, both functional and object oriented programming can be used together, where object oriented programming is often used to design the program’s overall architecture, and functional programming is used to implement the algorithms of the program’s features. This allows for scalability of the software, as every single class is extended through the addition of new methods. Furthermore, classes can be expanded in their functionalities through *inheritance* (Listing 10). Inheritance is a feature of object-oriented programming that allows a class to access every attribute and method of a parent class. For example, one could extend the

class “Gene” with a class “mRNA”, by writing a class “mRNA” that inherits from the class “Gene”.

Listing 10: Example of inheritance in Python. The class “mRNA” inherits from the class “Gene”. The class “mRNA” has two methods, “__init__” and “find_stopcodon”. The method “find_stopcodon” finds the position of stop codons.

```
1 class mRNA(Gene):           # Define the mRNA class, inheriting from Gene class
2     def __init__(self, sequence: str): # Define how an mRNA object is created
3         super().__init__(sequence)    # Get attributes from parent class
4         self.sequence.replace('T', 'U') # Replace thymine with uracil
5     def find_stopcodons(self):         # Define how to find stop codons
6         return self.sequence.find('UGA') # Find the position of 'UGA'
7
8 mrna1 = mRNA(sequence='TGAGCTGAGCTGATGCGCTATATTTAGGCG') # Create mRNA object
9 print(mrna1.find_stopcodons())           # Show the position of stop codons
10 # Output: [0, 5, 10]
```

Together, Python is not just beginner-friendly, but also well respected for its ease in development, which is why it is widely used in professional settings for web development, data analysis, machine learning, biosciences and more (Ekmekci et al., 2016; Rayhan & Gross, 2023).

The Potential of Python Data Science Packages for Biomedicine

Python includes a vast number of built-in packages used for basic data-types, software development, simple math operations, etc., (*The Python Language Reference*, 2024). Still, Python relies on packages developed by its users to provide specialized tools for data analysis. A Python package consists of multiple Python *modules*, where each module is a text-file with a .py ending containing Python code. Famous examples of such packages are *pytorch* and *tensorflow*, that are used to build models of artificial intelligence, including *ChatGPT* (Paszke et al., 2019; Abadi et al., 2016; Radford et al., 2019). Here, we outlay the most important packages used for plotastic in Chapter 2 and present examples how these packages are utilized in modern biomedical research.

Interactive Python: The standard Python interface is insufficient for data science, because it lacks the tools to quickly and conveniently visualize and explore data. IPython can be understood as an enhanced version of the standard Python interpreter, designed to improve the interactivity of Python code execution (Perez & Granger, 2007). IPython introduces features like rich media support to display graphics, but also helps users to use correct python data types through dynamic type introspection, detecting errors in the code. This functionality is akin to what *MATLAB* and *RStudio* provide through their advanced graphical user interfaces and extensive debugging tools. IPython is most often utilized in the form of *Jupyter Notebooks*.

Jupyter: Jupyter is an evolution of IPython, introducing the *Jupyter notebook* format, which has the file-ending .ipynb (Kluyver et al., 2016). Jupyter Notebooks are documents that combine both code and text structured as *code cells* and *markdown cells*, respectively.

Markdown cells allow the author to provide additional information with text formatting, for example structuring the document with headings and subheadings, adding hyperlinks, images and mathematical formulas. Code cells can be executed individually, displaying the output directly below the cell. This allows for an interactive exploration of data, but also makes Jupyter Notebooks a very human-readable format that outlays data analysis in a clear manner with precise and reproducible documentation of all data processing steps. Another major benefit of Jupyter Notebooks are interchangeable *Kernels*, allowing the execution of code in different programming languages, such as R, Julia, and C++ (Giorgi et al., 2022). Today, Jupyter Notebooks have become a standard format compatible with collaborative platforms like *Google Colab* and *JupyterLab*, but also professional software development tools like *VS Code*, and *PyCharm*. For biomedical research, Jupyter Notebooks are a powerful solution for improving reproducibility: They elegantly combine both documentation and code execution into a concise presentation of the data analysis process, hence being an intuitive tool to both capture and embed computational work directly into papers, a requirement postulated by Mesirov (2010). Jupyter notebooks are increasingly found in the supplemental of modern publications of both bioinformatics and wet-lab research (Taskiran et al., 2024; Bosch-Queralt et al., 2022; Howe & Chain, 2015).

NumPy: Central processing units (CPU) usually execute one instruction on one data point at a time. For manipulating tabular data, this is inefficient as the same instruction must be repeatedly loaded for every data point. NumPy accelerates the mathematical capabilities of Python by enabling large-scale operations on multi-dimensional arrays and matrices with high efficiency (Harris et al., 2020). One key feature of NumPy is the implementation of “vectorization” or SIMD (Single Instruction, Multiple Data) instructions. SIMD allows multiple data points to be processed simultaneously, significantly speeding up operations that are inherently parallelizable, such as matrix addition or multiplication. NumPy’s syntax and functional approach to array manipulation have set a standard for matrix computation, influencing the design of advanced AI frameworks such as PyTorch and mlx, which mirrors several of NumPy’s functionalities to facilitate ease of use for those familiar with NumPy (Paszke et al., 2019; Hannun et al., 2023). This standardization has made NumPy an attractive tool not only in genomics (Ding et al., 2023), but also for modern clinical applications like imaging technologies and augmented-reality in surgery (Thompson et al., 2020).

Pandas: Tables are the most common way to store experimental results. Pandas extends Python with a tabular datatype, called DataFrame, which allows for easy data manipulation with integrated indexing (McKinney, 2011). The intuitive interface of Pandas can be likened to *Microsoft Excel*; however, it is vastly more powerful due to its speed, functionality, and ability to handle larger datasets, e.g. by running efficient numpy vectorization in the background. Unlike *Excel*, Pandas enables automation by summarizing processing commands into scripts,

documenting each step, and ensuring reproducibility. Pandas is used in biomedicine for data wrangling, data cleaning, and data analysis, as it allows for the integration of multiple data sources into a single table (Santos et al., 2020).

matplotlib: matplotlib is a plotting library that provides a wide range of static, animated, and interactive plots and graphs Listing 7 (Hunter, 2007). It serves as the foundation for many visualization tools and is particularly valued for its flexibility and customization options. For example, Pandas uses matplotlib to plot column datapoints directly from a DataFrame object, creating histograms or scatter plots, which is useful for preliminary data analysis and checking data distributions. However, matplotlib uses a low-level syntax, hence plots generated by matplotlib can be cumbersome to format and customize.

seaborn: While the low-level syntax of matplotlib is valued for its flexibility, formatting publication grade plots can be laborious, and its inconsistent syntax can make it difficult to remember the correct commands for different plot types. seaborn is a high-level interface on top of matplotlib that offers a more intuitive and highly standardized syntax across a wide array of plot types (Waskom, 2021). seaborn also integrates closely with Pandas data structures: It automatically groups datapoints, calculates measures of both central tendency (e.g. mean, median) and variance (e.g. standard deviation), and displays them into the plot (e.g. error bars). This completely replaces manual calculation of descriptive statistics. seaborn also offers intuitive grouping (*facetting*) of data points, which simplifies the creation of complex visualizations involving multidimensional data, making it easier to reveal patterns and relationships via color encoding, faceting, and automated statistical fits. This is particularly useful in biomedical research for visualizing and understanding complex datasets, such as large quantities of protein data (Krzywinski & Savig, 2013; Weiss, 2022). seaborn could indirectly contribute to improving reproducibility in biomedical research by making visualizations of complex data very accessible through an easy and standardized syntax.

Pingouin: Integrating both data visualization and statistical analysis is beneficial for researchers who wish to conduct advanced statistical analysis without switching between different software environments. Pingouin is designed to be a user-friendly statistical tool that offers a straightforward syntax for performing statistical tests, which are commonly implemented in R (Vallat, 2018). Unlike R, Pingouin integrates seamlessly within the Python ecosystem, which allows combining data manipulation, analysis, and visualization all in one platform. This improves reproducibility by reducing the number of software tools required to analyze data. Despite its potential to streamline the data analysis process, Pingouin has not been widely adopted by biomedical research, yet. One example of a study that utilized Pingouin is the work of Kelly et al. (2023) in the field of Patient Public Involvement (PPI), producing an ethical matrix that allows for the inclusion of stakeholder opinion in medical research design. This lack

of Pingouin’s adoption in biomedicine could be due to recent development and the dominance of R in the field. However, since Python offers multiple benefits over R in syntax, software development, runtime performance and integration with other tools (like including performant C++ code), Pingouin is an attractive standard for future statistical analyses in biomedicine (Gorelick & Ozsvald, 2020).

Together, these python packages form the backbone of modern data analysis in Python, often times combining software from different languages to accelerate certain features, while retaining the ease of use and readability that Python is known for. This is particularly advantageous in the field of biomedicine, where the requirements of modern data analysis are often complex and require a high degree of flexibility and customization.

Aims

This project defines these aims:

- Characterize the interaction between myeloma cells and mesenchymal stromal cells
- Develop methods
- Face the challenge of time-dependent cell adhesion through
- Provide tools to analyze multidimensional cell adhesion data

References

- Abadi, M., Agarwal, A., Barham, P., Brevdo, E., Chen, Z., Citro, C., ... Zheng, X. (2016, March). *TensorFlow: Large-Scale Machine Learning on Heterogeneous Distributed Systems* (No. arXiv:1603.04467). arXiv. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04467> doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1603.04467
- Aggarwal, R., Ghobrial, I. M., & Roodman, G. D. (2006, October). Chemokines in multiple myeloma. *Experimental hematology*, 34(10), 1289–1295. Retrieved 2023-04-02, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3134145/> doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2006.06.017
- Akhmetzyanova, I., McCarron, M. J., Parekh, S., Chesi, M., Bergsagel, P. L., & Fooksman, D. R. (2020). Dynamic CD138 surface expression regulates switch between myeloma growth and dissemination. *Leukemia*, 34(1), 245–256. Retrieved 2023-04-04, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6923614/> doi: 10.1038/s41375-019-0519-4
- Alcorta-Sevillano, N., Macías, I., Infante, A., & Rodríguez, C. I. (2020, December). Deciphering the Relevance of Bone ECM Signaling. *Cells*, 9(12), 2630. Retrieved 2023-12-20, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7762413/> doi: 10.3390/cells9122630
- Alsayed, Y., Ngo, H., Runnels, J., Leleu, X., Singha, U. K., Pitsillides, C. M., ... Ghobrial, I. M. (2007, April). Mechanisms of regulation of CXCR4/SDF-1 (CXCL12)-dependent migration and homing in multiple myeloma. *Blood*, 109(7), 2708–2717. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-07-035857
- Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., & Huber, W. (2015, January). HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 31(2), 166–169. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638
- Andrews, S. (2010). *FASTQC. A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.*
- Armstrong, R. A. (2014, September). When to use the Bonferroni correction. *Ophthalmic & Physiological Optics: The Journal of the British College of Ophthalmic Opticians (Optometrists)*, 34(5), 502–508. doi: 10.1111/opo.12131
- Baker, M. (2016, May). 1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility. *Nature*, 533(7604), 452–454. Retrieved 2024-04-22, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/533452a> doi: 10.1038/533452a
- Bao, L., Lai, Y., Liu, Y., Qin, Y., Zhao, X., Lu, X., ... Huang, X. (2013, September). CXCR4 is a good survival prognostic indicator in multiple myeloma patients. *Leukemia Research*, 37(9), 1083–1088. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2013.06.002
- Barzilay, R., Ben-Zur, T., Bulvik, S., Melamed, E., & Offen, D. (2009, May). Lentiviral delivery of LMX1a enhances dopaminergic phenotype in differentiated human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem cells and development*, 18(4), 591–601. doi: 10.1089/scd.2008.0138
- Begley, C. G., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2015, January). Reproducibility in science: Improving the standard for basic and preclinical research. *Circulation Research*, 116(1), 116–126. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.303819
- Bianco, P. (2014). "Mesenchymal" stem cells. *Annual review of cell and developmental biology*, 30, 677–704. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013132
- Bladé, J., Beksac, M., Caers, J., Jurczyszyn, A., von Lilienfeld-Toal, M., Moreau, P., ... Richardson, P. (2022, March). Extramedullary disease in multiple myeloma: A systematic literature review. *Blood Cancer Journal*, 12(3), 1–10. Retrieved 2023-03-24, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41408-022-00643-3> doi: 10.1038/s41408-022-00643-3
- Blonska, M., Zhu, Y., Chuang, H. H., You, M. J., Kunkalla, K., Vega, F., & Lin, X. (2015, February). Jun-regulated genes promote interaction of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with the microenvironment. *Blood*, 125(6), 981–991. Retrieved 2023-03-01, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4319238/> doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-04-568188

- Bolado-Carrancio, A., Rukhlenko, O. S., Nikonova, E., Tsyganov, M. A., Wheeler, A., Garcia-Munoz, A., ... Kholodenko, B. N. (2020, July). Periodic propagating waves coordinate RhoGTPase network dynamics at the leading and trailing edges during cell migration. *eLife*, 9, e58165. Retrieved 2024-04-25, from <https://elifesciences.org/articles/58165> doi: 10.7554/eLife.58165
- Bondi, A. B. (2000, September). Characteristics of scalability and their impact on performance. In *Proceedings of the 2nd international workshop on Software and performance* (pp. 195–203). New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/350391.350432> doi: 10.1145/350391.350432
- Bosch-Queralt, M., Tiwari, V., Damkou, A., Vaculčíaková, L., Alexopoulos, I., & Simons, M. (2022, March). A fluorescence microscopy-based protocol for volumetric measurement of lysolecithin lesion-associated de- and re-myelination in mouse brain. *STAR protocols*, 3(1), 101141. doi: 10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101141
- Boswell, D., & Foucher, T. (2011). *The Art of Readable Code: Simple and Practical Techniques for Writing Better Code*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc."
- Bou Zerdan, M., Nasr, L., Kassab, J., Saba, L., Ghossein, M., Yaghi, M., ... Chaulagain, C. P. (n.d.). Adhesion molecules in multiple myeloma oncogenesis and targeted therapy. *International Journal of Hematologic Oncology*, 11(2), IJH39. Retrieved 2023-02-01, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9136637/> doi: 10.2217/ijh-2021-0017
- Brandl, A., Solimando, A. G., Mokhtari, Z., Tabares, P., Medler, J., Manz, H., ... Beilhack, A. (2022, March). Junctional adhesion molecule C expression specifies a CD138low/neg multiple myeloma cell population in mice and humans. *Blood Advances*, 6(7), 2195–2206. Retrieved 2023-04-04, from <https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2021004354> doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2021004354
- Brankatschk, R., Bodenhausen, N., Zeyer, J., & Bürgmann, H. (2012, June). Simple Absolute Quantification Method Correcting for Quantitative PCR Efficiency Variations for Microbial Community Samples. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78(12), 4481–4489. Retrieved 2023-05-27, from <https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/AEM.07878-11> doi: 10.1128/AEM.07878-11
- Brooke, J. (1996, January). SUS – a quick and dirty usability scale. In (pp. 189–194).
- Burger, R., Guenther, A., Bakker, F., Schmalzing, M., Bernand, S., Baum, W., ... Gramatzki, M. (2001). Gp130 and ras mediated signaling in human plasma cell line INA-6: A cytokine-regulated tumor model for plasmacytoma. *The Hematology Journal: The Official Journal of the European Haematology Association*, 2(1), 42–53. doi: 10.1038/sj.thj.6200075
- Burger, R., Günther, A., Bakker, F., Schmalzing, M., Bernand, S., Baum, W., ... Gramatzki, M. (2001, January). Gp130 and ras mediated signaling in human plasma cell line INA6: A cytokine-regulated tumor model for plasmacytoma. *Hematology Journal - HEMATOL J*, 2, 42–53. doi: 10.1038/sj.thj.6200075
- Bustin, S. A. (2014, December). The reproducibility of biomedical research: Sleepers awake! *Biomolecular Detection and Quantification*, 2, 35–42. Retrieved 2024-03-18, from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214753515000030> doi: 10.1016/j.bdq.2015.01.002
- Caplan, A. (1991). Mesenchymal stem cells. *Journal of orthopaedic research : official publication of the Orthopaedic Research Society*, 9(5), 641–50. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1870029> doi: 10.1002/jor.1100090504
- Caplan, A. I. (1994, July). The mesengenic process. *Clinics in plastic surgery*, 21(3), 429–435.
- Carlson, M. (2016). Org.Hs.eg.db. *Bioconductor*. Retrieved 2023-06-09, from <http://bioconductor.org/packages/org.Hs.eg.db/> doi: 10.18129/B9.bioc.org.Hs.eg.db
- Chacon, S., & Straub, B. (2024, March). *Git - Book*. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <https://git-scm.com/book/de/v2>
- Charlier, F., Weber, M., Izak, D., Harkin, E., Magnus, M., Lalli, J., ... Repplinger, S. (2022, October). *Trevis-*

- md/statannotatons: V0.5*. Zenodo. Retrieved 2023-11-16, from <https://zenodo.org/record/7213391> doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.7213391
- Chatterjee, M., Hönnemann, D., Lentzsch, S., Bommert, K., Sers, C., Herrmann, P., ... Bargou, R. C. (2002, November). In the presence of bone marrow stromal cells human multiple myeloma cells become independent of the IL-6/gp130/STAT3 pathway. *Blood*, 100(9), 3311–3318. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-01-0102
- Committee on Strategies for Responsible Sharing of Clinical Trial Data, Board on Health Sciences Policy, & Institute of Medicine. (2015). *Sharing Clinical Trial Data: Maximizing Benefits, Minimizing Risk*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US). Retrieved 2024-04-23, from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK269030/>
- Cooper, G. M. (2000). *The Cell: A Molecular Approach*. 2nd Edition. *Sinauer Associates*, Proliferation in Development and Differentiation. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK9906/>
- da Silva Meirelles, L., Chagastelles, P. C., & Nardi, N. B. (2006, June). Mesenchymal stem cells reside in virtually all post-natal organs and tissues. *Journal of cell science*, 119(Pt 11), 2204–2213. doi: 10.1242/jcs.02932
- Ding, W., Goldberg, D., & Zhou, W. (2023, August). PyComplexHeatmap: A Python package to visualize multimodal genomics data. *iMeta*, 2(3), e115. doi: 10.1002/imt2.115
- Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., ... Gingeras, T. R. (2013, January). STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, 29(1), 15–21. Retrieved 2023-05-27, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3530905/> doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635
- Dominici, M., Le Blanc, K., Mueller, I., Slaper-Cortenbach, I., Marini, F., Krause, D., ... Horwitz, E. (2006). Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy*, 8(4), 315–317. doi: 10.1080/14653240600855905
- Dotterweich, J., Schlegelmilch, K., Keller, A., Geyer, B., Schneider, D., Zeck, S., ... Schütze, N. (2016, December). Contact of myeloma cells induces a characteristic transcriptome signature in skeletal precursor cells -Implications for myeloma bone disease. *Bone*, 93, 155–166. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2016.08.006
- Dunn, W., Burgun, A., Krebs, M.-O., & Rance, B. (2017, November). Exploring and visualizing multidimensional data in translational research platforms. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 18(6), 1044–1056. Retrieved 2024-04-23, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5862238/> doi: 10.1093/bib/bbw080
- Duvall, P., Matyas, S., & Glover, A. (2007). *Continuous integration: Improving software quality and reducing risk*. Pearson Education. Retrieved from <https://books.google.de/books?id=PV9qfEdv9L0C>
- Dziadowicz, S. A., Wang, L., Akhter, H., Aesoph, D., Sharma, T., Adjero, D. A., ... Hu, G. (2022, January). Bone Marrow Stroma-Induced Transcriptome and Regulome Signatures of Multiple Myeloma. *Cancers*, 14(4), 927. Retrieved 2022-10-25, from <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/14/4/927> doi: 10.3390/cancers14040927
- Ekmekci, B., McAnany, C. E., & Mura, C. (2016, July). An Introduction to Programming for Bioscientists: A Python-Based Primer. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 12(6), e1004867. Retrieved 2024-03-10, from <https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004867> doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004867
- Evers, M., Schreder, M., Stühmer, T., Jundt, F., Ebert, R., Hartmann, T. N., ... Leich, E. (2023, March). Prognostic value of extracellular matrix gene mutations and expression in multiple myeloma. *Blood Cancer Journal*, 13(1), 43. doi: 10.1038/s41408-023-00817-7
- Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., & Käller, M. (2016, October). MultiQC: Summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics*, 32(19), 3047–3048. Retrieved 2023-06-09, from <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354> doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354
- Excel, M. (2023, August). *Announcing Python in Excel: Combining the power of Python and the flexibility of Excel*. Retrieved 2024-03-11, from <https://techcommunity.microsoft.com/t5/excel-blog/announcing-python-in-excel-combining-the-power-of-python-and-the/ba-p/3893439>

- Fazeli, P. K., Horowitz, M. C., MacDougald, O. A., Scheller, E. L., Rodeheffer, M. S., Rosen, C. J., & Klibanski, A. (2013, March). Marrow Fat and Bone—New Perspectives. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 98(3), 935–945. Retrieved 2023-12-20, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3590487/> doi: 10.1210/jc.2012-3634
- Federer, L. M., Lu, Y.-L., & Joubert, D. J. (2016, January). Data literacy training needs of biomedical researchers. *Journal of the Medical Library Association : JMLA*, 104(1), 52–57. Retrieved 2024-04-24, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4722643/> doi: 10.3163/1536-5050.104.1.008
- Fernandez-Rebollo, E., Mentrup, B., Ebert, R., Franzen, J., Abagnale, G., Sieben, T., ... Wagner, W. (2017, July). Human Platelet Lysate versus Fetal Calf Serum: These Supplements Do Not Select for Different Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 5132. Retrieved 2023-05-02, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5506010/> doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-05207-1
- Flier, J. S. (2022). The Problem of Irreproducible Bioscience Research. *Perspectives in Biology and Medicine*, 65(3), 373–395. doi: 10.1353/pbm.2022.0032
- Frassanito, M. A., Cusmai, A., Iodice, G., & Dammacco, F. (2001, January). Autocrine interleukin-6 production and highly malignant multiple myeloma: Relation with resistance to drug-induced apoptosis. *Blood*, 97(2), 483–489. doi: 10.1182/blood.v97.2.483
- Friedenstein, A., & Kuralesova, A. I. (1971, August). Osteogenic precursor cells of bone marrow in radiation chimeras. *Transplantation*, 12(2), 99–108.
- Friedenstein, A. J., Piatetzky-Shapiro, I. I., & Petrakova, K. V. (1966, December). Osteogenesis in transplants of bone marrow cells. *Journal of embryology and experimental morphology*, 16(3), 381–390.
- Gabr, M. M., Zakaria, M. M., Refaie, A. F., Ismail, A. M., Abou-El-Mahasen, M. A., Ashamallah, S. A., ... Ghoneim, M. A. (2013). Insulin-producing cells from adult human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells control streptozotocin-induced diabetes in nude mice. *Cell transplantation*, 22(1), 133–145. doi: 10.3727/096368912X647162
- Garcés, J.-J., Simicek, M., Vicari, M., Brozova, L., Burgos, L., Bezdekova, R., ... Paiva, B. (2020, February). Transcriptional profiling of circulating tumor cells in multiple myeloma: A new model to understand disease dissemination. *Leukemia*, 34(2), 589–603. doi: 10.1038/s41375-019-0588-4
- García-Ortiz, A., Rodríguez-García, Y., Encinas, J., Maroto-Martín, E., Castellano, E., Teixidó, J., & Martínez-López, J. (2021, January). The Role of Tumor Microenvironment in Multiple Myeloma Development and Progression. *Cancers*, 13(2). Retrieved 2021-02-02, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7827690/> doi: 10.3390/cancers13020217
- Gentleman. (n.d.). *Bioconductor - BiocViews*. Retrieved 2023-06-09, from <https://bioconductor.org/packages/3.17/BiocViews.html>
- Ghobrial, I. M. (2012, July). Myeloma as a model for the process of metastasis: Implications for therapy. *Blood*, 120(1), 20–30. Retrieved 2022-10-15, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3390959/> doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-01-379024
- Giorgi, F. M., Ceraolo, C., & Mercatelli, D. (2022, April). The R Language: An Engine for Bioinformatics and Data Science. *Life*, 12(5), 648. Retrieved 2024-04-21, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9148156/> doi: 10.3390/life12050648
- Glavey, S. V., Naba, A., Manier, S., Clauser, K., Tahri, S., Park, J., ... Ghobrial, I. M. (2017, November). Proteomic characterization of human multiple myeloma bone marrow extracellular matrix. *Leukemia*, 31(11), 2426–2434. Retrieved 2023-09-05, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/leu2017102> doi: 10.1038/leu.2017.102
- Gomez-Cabrero, D., Abugessaisa, I., Maier, D., Teschendorff, A., Merckenschlager, M., Gisel, A., ... Tegnér, J. (2014, March). Data integration in the era of omics: Current and future challenges. *BMC Systems*

- Biology*, 8(2), 11. Retrieved 2024-03-18, from <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-8-S2-I1> doi: 10.1186/1752-0509-8-S2-I1
- Gómez-López, G., Dopazo, J., Cigudosa, J. C., Valencia, A., & Al-Shahrour, F. (2019, May). Precision medicine needs pioneering clinical bioinformaticians. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 20(3), 752–766. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbx144
- Goodman, S. N., Fanelli, D., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2016, June). What does research reproducibility mean? *Science Translational Medicine*, 8(341), 341ps12–341ps12. Retrieved 2024-03-18, from <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf5027> doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf5027
- Gorelick, M., & Ozsvald, I. (2020). *High Performance Python: Practical Performant Programming for Humans*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc."
- Gosselin, R.-D. (2021, February). Insufficient transparency of statistical reporting in preclinical research: A scoping review. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 3335. Retrieved 2024-03-11, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-83006-5> doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-83006-5
- Gramatzki, M., Burger, R., Trautman, U., Marschalek, R., Lorenz, H., Hansen-Hagge, T., ... Kalden, J. (1994). Two new interleukin-6 dependent plasma cell lines carrying a chromosomal abnormality involving the IL-6 gene locus. , *84 Suppl. 1*, 173a–173a. Retrieved 2023-03-24, from <https://www.cellosaurus.org/cellopub/CLPUB00060>
- Greenstein, S., Krett, N. L., Kurosawa, Y., Ma, C., Chauhan, D., Hideshima, T., ... Rosen, S. T. (2003, April). Characterization of the MM.1 human multiple myeloma (MM) cell lines: A model system to elucidate the characteristics, behavior, and signaling of steroid-sensitive and -resistant MM cells. *Experimental Hematology*, 31(4), 271–282. doi: 10.1016/s0301-472x(03)00023-7
- Gronthos, S., Graves, S. E., Ohta, S., & Simmons, P. J. (1994, December). The STRO-1+ fraction of adult human bone marrow contains the osteogenic precursors. *Blood*, 84(12), 4164–4173.
- Hannun, A., Digani, J., Katharopoulos, A., & Collobert, R. (2023). *MLX: Efficient and flexible machine learning on Apple silicon*. Retrieved from <https://github.com/ml-explore>
- Harrington, D. P., & Fleming, T. R. (1982). A Class of Rank Test Procedures for Censored Survival Data. *Biometrika*, 69(3), 553–566. Retrieved 2023-08-07, from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2335991> doi: 10.2307/2335991
- Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., van der Walt, S. J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D., ... Oliphant, T. E. (2020, September). Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825), 357–362. Retrieved 2023-08-09, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2649-2> doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2
- Hideshima, T., Mitsiades, C., Tonon, G., Richardson, P. G., & Anderson, K. C. (2007, August). Understanding multiple myeloma pathogenesis in the bone marrow to identify new therapeutic targets. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 7(8), 585–598. Retrieved 2023-02-07, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/nrc2189> doi: 10.1038/nrc2189
- Hose, D., Rème, T., Hielscher, T., Moreaux, J., Messner, T., Seckinger, A., ... Goldschmidt, H. (2011, January). Proliferation is a central independent prognostic factor and target for personalized and risk-adapted treatment in multiple myeloma. *Haematologica*, 96(1), 87–95. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2010.030296
- Hothorn, T., & Lausen, B. (n.d.). *Maximally Selected Rank Statistics in R*. Retrieved from <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/maxstat/index.html>.
- Howe, A., & Chain, P. S. G. (2015). Challenges and opportunities in understanding microbial communities with metagenome assembly (accompanied by IPython Notebook tutorial). *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, 678. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00678
- Hu, X., Villodre, E. S., Larson, R., Rahal, O. M., Wang, X., Gong, Y., ... Debeb, B. G. (2021, January). Decorin-mediated suppression of tumorigenesis, invasion, and metastasis in inflammatory breast cancer. *Communica-*

- tions Biology*, 4(1), 72. doi: 10.1038/s42003-020-01590-0
- Huang, S.-Y., Lin, H.-H., Yao, M., Tang, J.-L., Wu, S.-J., Hou, H.-A., ... Tien, H.-F. (2015). Higher Decorin Levels in Bone Marrow Plasma Are Associated with Superior Treatment Response to Novel Agent-Based Induction in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Myeloma - A Retrospective Study. *PLoS One*, 10(9), e0137552. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137552
- Hunter, J. D. (2007, May). Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9(3), 90–95. Retrieved 2023-11-15, from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4160265> doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2007.55
- Incerti, D., Thom, H., Baio, G., & Jansen, J. P. (2019, May). R You Still Using Excel? The Advantages of Modern Software Tools for Health Technology Assessment. *Value in Health*, 22(5), 575–579. Retrieved 2024-03-11, from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1098301519300506> doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2019.01.003
- Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2005, August). Why Most Published Research Findings Are False. *PLOS Medicine*, 2(8), e124. Retrieved 2024-04-22, from <https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124> doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124
- Jansen, B. J. H., Gilissen, C., Roelofs, H., Schaap-Oziemlak, A., Veltman, J. A., Raymakers, R. A. P., ... Adema, G. J. (2010, April). Functional differences between mesenchymal stem cell populations are reflected by their transcriptome. *Stem cells and development*, 19(4), 481–490. doi: 10.1089/scd.2009.0288
- Kaplan, E. L., & Meier, P. (1958, June). Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 53(282), 457–481. Retrieved 2023-08-07, from <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01621459.1958.10501452> doi: 10.1080/01621459.1958.10501452
- Katz, B.-Z. (2010, June). Adhesion molecules—The lifelines of multiple myeloma cells. *Seminars in Cancer Biology*, 20(3), 186–195. Retrieved 2021-07-04, from <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1044579X10000246> doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2010.04.003
- Kawano, M. M., Huang, N., Tanaka, H., Ishikawa, H., Sakai, A., Tanabe, O., ... Kuramoto, A. (1991, December). Homotypic cell aggregations of human myeloma cells with ICAM-1 and LFA-1 molecules. *British Journal of Haematology*, 79(4), 583–588. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.1991.tb08085.x
- Kazman, R., Bianco, P., Ivers, J., & Klein, J. (2020, December). *Maintainability* (Report). Carnegie Mellon University. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <https://kilthub.cmu.edu/articles/report/Maintainability/12954908/1> doi: 10.1184/R1/12954908.v1
- Kelly, B. S., Kirwan, A., Quinn, M. S., Kelly, A. M., Mathur, P., Lawlor, A., & Killeen, R. P. (2023, May). The ethical matrix as a method for involving people living with disease and the wider public (PPI) in near-term artificial intelligence research. *Radiography (London, England: 1995)*, 29 Suppl 1, S103-S111. doi: 10.1016/j.radi.2023.03.009
- Kibler, C., Schermutzki, F., Waller, H. D., Timpl, R., Müller, C. A., & Klein, G. (1998, June). Adhesive interactions of human multiple myeloma cell lines with different extracellular matrix molecules. *Cell Adhesion and Communication*, 5(4), 307–323. doi: 10.3109/15419069809040300
- Kluyver, T., Ragan-Kelley, B., Pérez, F., Granger, B., Bussonnier, M., Frederic, J., ... Jupyter Development Team (2016). *Jupyter Notebooks—a publishing format for reproducible computational workflows*. Retrieved 2024-04-20, from <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ppap.book...87K> doi: 10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87
- Krekel, H., Oliveira, B., Pfannschmidt, R., Bruynooghe, F., Laughner, B., & Bruhin, F. (2004). *Pytest*. Retrieved from <https://github.com/pytest-dev/pytest>
- Krzywinski, M., & Savig, E. (2013, July). Multidimensional data. *Nature methods*, 10(7), 595. Retrieved 2024-04-22, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6092027/>
- Kuric, M., & Ebert, R. (2024, March). Plotastic: Bridging Plotting and Statistics in Python. *Journal of*

- Open Source Software*, 9(95), 6304. Retrieved 2024-03-11, from <https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.06304> doi: 10.21105/joss.06304
- Lai, T.-Y., Cao, J., Ou-Yang, P., Tsai, C.-Y., Lin, C.-W., Chen, C.-C., ... Lee, C.-Y. (2022, April). Different methods of detaching adherent cells and their effects on the cell surface expression of Fas receptor and Fas ligand. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 5713. Retrieved 2023-06-01, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-09605-y> doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-09605-y
- Lakhlifi, C., Lejeune, F.-X., Rouault, M., Khamassi, M., & Rohaut, B. (2023, April). Illusion of knowledge in statistics among clinicians: Evaluating the alignment between objective accuracy and subjective confidence, an online survey. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 8, 23. Retrieved 2024-04-24, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10118231/> doi: 10.1186/s41235-023-00474-1
- Leek, J. T., & Peng, R. D. (2015, April). Statistics: P values are just the tip of the iceberg. *Nature*, 520(7549), 612–612. Retrieved 2024-04-22, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/520612a> doi: 10.1038/520612a
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., ... 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009, August). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25(16), 2078–2079. Retrieved 2023-06-09, from <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352> doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Localio, A. R., Goodman, S. N., Meibohm, A., Cornell, J. E., Stack, C. B., Ross, E. A., & Mulrow, C. D. (2018, June). Statistical Code to Support the Scientific Story. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 168(11), 828–829. Retrieved 2024-04-23, from <https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M17-3431> doi: 10.7326/M17-3431
- Maichl, D. S., Kirner, J. A., Beck, S., Cheng, W.-H., Krug, M., Kuric, M., ... Jundt, F. (2023, September). Identification of NOTCH-driven matrisome-associated genes as prognostic indicators of multiple myeloma patient survival. *Blood Cancer Journal*, 13(1), 1–6. Retrieved 2023-09-05, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41408-023-00907-6> doi: 10.1038/s41408-023-00907-6
- McKay, B. S., Irving, P. E., Skumatz, C. M., & Burke, J. M. (1997, November). Cell-cell adhesion molecules and the development of an epithelial phenotype in cultured human retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Experimental Eye Research*, 65(5), 661–671. doi: 10.1006/exer.1997.0374
- McKinney, W. (2010, January). Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python. In (pp. 56–61). doi: 10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-00a
- McKinney, W. (2011, January). Pandas: A Foundational Python Library for Data Analysis and Statistics. *Python High Performance Science Computer*.
- Mesirov, J. P. (2010, January). Accessible Reproducible Research. *Science*, 327(5964), 415–416. Retrieved 2024-04-22, from <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1179653> doi: 10.1126/science.1179653
- Moran, M. (2003). Arguments for rejecting the sequential Bonferroni in ecological studies. *Oikos*, 100(2), 403–405. Retrieved 2024-04-24, from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12010.x> doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12010.x
- Moreno-Indias, I., Lahti, L., Nedyalkova, M., Elbere, I., Roshchupkin, G., Adilovic, M., ... Zomer, A. L. (2021, February). Statistical and Machine Learning Techniques in Human Microbiome Studies: Contemporary Challenges and Solutions. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12. Retrieved 2024-03-18, from <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.635781/full> doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.635781
- Muruganandan, S., Roman, A. A., & Sinal, C. J. (2009, January). Adipocyte differentiation of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells: Cross talk with the osteoblastogenic program. *Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS*, 66(2), 236–253. doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-8429-z
- Myers, G. J., Sandler, C., & Badgett, T. (2011). *The art of software testing* (3rd ed.). Wiley Publishing. Retrieved from <https://malenezi.github.io/malenezi/SE401/Books/114-the-art-of-software-testing-3>

-edition.pdf

- Narzt, W., Pichler, J., Pirklbauer, K., & Zwintz, M. (1998, January). A Reusability Concept for Process Automation Software..
- Newville, M., Stensitzki, T., Allen, D. B., & Ingargiola, A. (2014, September). *LMFIT: Non-Linear Least-Square Minimization and Curve-Fitting for Python*. Zenodo. Retrieved 2023-05-30, from <https://zenodo.org/record/11813> doi: 10.5281/zenodo.11813
- Nilsson, K., Bennich, H., Johansson, S. G., & Pontén, J. (1970, October). Established immunoglobulin producing myeloma (IgE) and lymphoblastoid (IgG) cell lines from an IgE myeloma patient. *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*, 7(4), 477–489.
- Nowotschin, S., & Hadjantonakis, A.-K. (2010, August). Cellular dynamics in the early mouse embryo: From axis formation to gastrulation. *Current opinion in genetics & development*, 20(4), 420–427. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2010.05.008
- Okuno, Y., Takahashi, T., Suzuki, A., Ichiba, S., Nakamura, K., Okada, T., ... Imura, H. (1991, February). In vitro growth pattern of myeloma cells in liquid suspension or semi-solid culture containing interleukin-6. *International Journal of Hematology*, 54(1), 41–47.
- Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., ... Chintala, S. (2019, December). *PyTorch: An Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library* (No. arXiv:1912.01703). arXiv. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.01703> doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1912.01703
- Peng, R. D. (2011, December). Reproducible Research in Computational Science. *Science*, 334(6060), 1226–1227. Retrieved 2024-03-18, from <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1213847> doi: 10.1126/science.1213847
- Perez, F., & Granger, B. E. (2007, May). IPython: A System for Interactive Scientific Computing. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9(3), 21–29. Retrieved 2024-04-20, from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4160251> doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2007.53
- Perneger, T. V. (1998, April). What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*, 316(7139), 1236–1238. Retrieved 2021-11-24, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1112991/>
- Pittenger, M. F., Mackay, A. M., Beck, S. C., Jaiswal, R. K., Douglas, R., Mosca, J. D., ... Marshak, D. R. (1999). Multilineage Potential of Adult Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells. , 284(April), 143–148. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5411.143
- Polager, S., & Ginsberg, D. (2009, October). P53 and E2f: Partners in life and death. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 9(10), 738–748. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/nrc2718> doi: 10.1038/nrc2718
- The Python Language Reference*. (2024). Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <https://docs.python.org/3/reference/index.html>
- R Core Team. (2018). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* [Manual]. Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., & Sutskever, I. (2019). Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners.. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Language-Models-are-Unsupervised-Multitask-Learners-Radford-Wu/9405cc0d6169988371b2755e573cc28650d14dfe>
- Rajkumar, S. V., Dimopoulos, M. A., Palumbo, A., Blade, J., Merlini, G., Mateos, M.-V., ... Miguel, J. F. S. (2014, November). International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. *The Lancet. Oncology*, 15(12), e538–548. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70442-5
- Rajkumar, S. V., & Kumar, S. (2020, September). Multiple myeloma current treatment algorithms. *Blood Cancer Journal*, 10(9), 94. Retrieved 2023-07-03, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7523011/>

- doi: 10.1038/s41408-020-00359-2
- Ramakers, C., Ruijter, J. M., Deprez, R. H., & Moorman, A. F. (2003, March). Assumption-free analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data. *Neuroscience Letters*, 339(1), 62–66. Retrieved 2022-11-27, from <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304394002014234> doi: 10.1016/S0304-3940(02)01423-4
- Rayhan, A., & Gross, D. (2023). *The Rise of Python: A Survey of Recent Research*. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.27388.92809
- Rebl, H., Finke, B., Schroeder, K., & Nebe, J. B. (2010, October). Time-dependent metabolic activity and adhesion of human osteoblast-like cells on sensor chips with a plasma polymer nanolayer. *The International Journal of Artificial Organs*, 33(10), 738–748.
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2010, January). edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 26(1), 139–140. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19910308> doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- Rueden, C. T., Schindelin, J., Hiner, M. C., DeZonia, B. E., Walter, A. E., Arena, E. T., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2017, November). ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of scientific image data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 18(1), 529. Retrieved 2024-04-25, from <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1934-z> doi: 10.1186/s12859-017-1934-z
- Sacchetti, B., Funari, A., Remoli, C., Giannicola, G., Kogler, G., Liedtke, S., ... Bianco, P. (2016). No identical “mesenchymal stem cells” at different times and sites: Human committed progenitors of distinct origin and differentiation potential are incorporated as adventitial cells in microvessels. *Stem Cell Reports*, 6(6), 897–913. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.05.011> doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.05.011
- Sandve, G. K., Nekrutenko, A., Taylor, J., & Hovig, E. (2013, October). Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible Computational Research. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(10), e1003285. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3812051/> doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003285
- Santos, B. S., Silva, I., Ribeiro-Dantas, M. d. C., Alves, G., Endo, P. T., & Lima, L. (2020, October). COVID-19: A scholarly production dataset report for research analysis. *Data in Brief*, 32, 106178. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2020.106178
- Sanz-Rodríguez, F., Ruiz-Velasco, N., Pascual-Salcedo, D., & Teixidó, J. (1999, December). Characterization of VLA-4-dependent myeloma cell adhesion to fibronectin and VCAM-1: VLA-4-dependent Myeloma Cell Adhesion. *British Journal of Haematology*, 107(4), 825–834. Retrieved 2023-04-02, from <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1365-2141.1999.01762.x> doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.1999.01762.x
- Sarin, V., Yu, K., Ferguson, I. D., Gugliemini, O., Nix, M. A., Hann, B., ... Wiita, A. P. (2020, October). Evaluating the efficacy of multiple myeloma cell lines as models for patient tumors via transcriptomic correlation analysis. *Leukemia*, 34(10), 2754–2765. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-0785-1
- Seabold, S., & Perktold, J. (2010). Statsmodels: Econometric and Statistical Modeling with Python. In *Python in Science Conference* (pp. 92–96). Austin, Texas. Retrieved 2023-05-29, from <https://conference.scipy.org/proceedings/scipy2010/seabold.html> doi: 10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-011
- Seckinger, A., Delgado, J. A., Moser, S., Moreno, L., Neuber, B., Grab, A., ... Vu, M. D. (2017, March). Target Expression, Generation, Preclinical Activity, and Pharmacokinetics of the BCMA-T Cell Bispecific Antibody EM801 for Multiple Myeloma Treatment. *Cancer Cell*, 31(3), 396–410. Retrieved 2023-07-21, from [https://www.cell.com/cancer-cell/abstract/S1535-6108\(17\)30016-8](https://www.cell.com/cancer-cell/abstract/S1535-6108(17)30016-8) doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.02.002
- Seckinger, A., Hillengass, J., Emde, M., Beck, S., Kimmich, C., Dittrich, T., ... Hose, D. (2018). CD38 as Immunotherapeutic Target in Light Chain Amyloidosis and Multiple Myeloma-Association With Molecular Entities, Risk, Survival, and Mechanisms of Upfront Resistance. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 9, 1676. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01676

- Shenghui, H., Nakada, D., & Morrison, S. J. (2009). Mechanisms of Stem Cell Self-Renewal. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*, 25(1), 377–406. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.113248> doi: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.113248
- Smith, A. M., Niemeyer, K. E., Katz, D. S., Barba, L. A., Githinji, G., Gymrek, M., ... Vanderplas, J. T. (2018). Journal of Open Source Software (JOSS): Design and first-year review. *PeerJ Preprints*, 4, e147. doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.147
- Solimando, A. G., Malerba, E., Leone, P., Prete, M., Terragna, C., Cavo, M., & Racanelli, V. (2022, September). Drug resistance in multiple myeloma: Soldiers and weapons in the bone marrow niche. *Frontiers in Oncology*, 12, 973836. Retrieved 2022-10-23, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9533079/> doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.973836
- Sprynski, A. C., Hose, D., Caillot, L., Rème, T., Shaughnessy, J. D., Barlogie, B., ... Klein, B. (2009, May). The role of IGF-1 as a major growth factor for myeloma cell lines and the prognostic relevance of the expression of its receptor. *Blood*, 113(19), 4614–4626. Retrieved 2023-06-29, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2691749/> doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-07-170464
- Standal, T., Seidel, C., Plesner, T., Sanderson, R., Waage, A., Børset, M., & Sundan, A. (2002, November). Osteoprotegerin is bound, internalized, and degraded by multiple myeloma cells. *Blood*, 100, 3002–7. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-04-1190
- Stock, P., Bruckner, S., Winkler, S., Dollinger, M. M., & Christ, B. (2014, April). Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived hepatocytes improve the mouse liver after acute acetaminophen intoxication by preventing progress of injury. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 15(4), 7004–7028. doi: 10.3390/ijms15047004
- Sullivan, G. M., & Feinn, R. S. (2021, August). Facts and Fictions About Handling Multiple Comparisons. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 13(4), 457–460. Retrieved 2024-03-10, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8370375/> doi: 10.4300/JGME-D-21-00599.1
- Tabolacci, C., De Martino, A., Mischiati, C., Feriotto, G., & Beninati, S. (2019, January). The Role of Tissue Transglutaminase in Cancer Cell Initiation, Survival and Progression. *Medical Sciences*, 7(2), 19. Retrieved 2023-03-17, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6409630/> doi: 10.3390/medsci7020019
- Tai, Y.-T., Li, X.-F., Breitkreutz, I., Song, W., Neri, P., Catley, L., ... Anderson, K. C. (2006, July). Role of B-cell-activating factor in adhesion and growth of human multiple myeloma cells in the bone marrow microenvironment. *Cancer Research*, 66(13), 6675–6682. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-0190
- Tam, P. P., & Beddington, R. S. (1987, January). The formation of mesodermal tissues in the mouse embryo during gastrulation and early organogenesis. *Development (Cambridge, England)*, 99(1), 109–126.
- Taskiran, I. I., Spanier, K. I., Dickmanken, H., Kempynck, N., Pančíková, A., Ekşi, E. C., ... Aerts, S. (2024, February). Cell-type-directed design of synthetic enhancers. *Nature*, 626(7997), 212–220. Retrieved 2024-04-21, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-023-06936-2> doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06936-2
- Team, T. P. D. (2020, February). *Pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas*. Zenodo. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3509134> doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3509134
- Terpos, E., Ntanasis-Stathopoulos, I., Gavriatopoulou, M., & Dimopoulos, M. A. (2018, January). Pathogenesis of bone disease in multiple myeloma: From bench to bedside. *Blood Cancer Journal*, 8(1), 7. doi: 10.1038/s41408-017-0037-4
- Thompson, S., Dowrick, T., Ahmad, M., Xiao, G., Koo, B., Bonmati, E., ... Clarkson, M. J. (2020, July). SciKit-Surgery: Compact libraries for surgical navigation. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 15(7), 1075–1084. doi: 10.1007/s11548-020-02180-5
- Ullah, I., Subbarao, R. B., & Rho, G. J. (2015). Human mesenchymal stem cells - current trends and future prospective Bioscience Reports.

- doi: 10.1042/BSR20150025
- Urashima, M., Chauhan, D., Uchiyama, H., Freeman, G., & Anderson, K. (1995, April). CD40 ligand triggered interleukin-6 secretion in multiple myeloma. *Blood*, 85(7), 1903–1912. Retrieved 2021-02-01, from <https://ashpublications.org/blood/article/85/7/1903/123565/CD40-ligand-triggered-interleukin6-secretion-in> doi: 10.1182/blood.V85.7.1903.bloodjournal8571903
- Vallat, R. (2018, November). Pingouin: Statistics in Python. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3(31), 1026. Retrieved 2023-05-29, from <https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.01026> doi: 10.21105/joss.01026
- van Rossum, G., Lehtosalo, J., & Langa, L. (2014). *PEP 484 – Type Hints* | *peps.python.org*. Retrieved 2024-03-08, from <https://peps.python.org/pep-0484/>
- Van Valckenborgh, E., Croucher, P. I., De Raeve, H., Carron, C., De Leenheer, E., Blacher, S., ... Vanderkerken, K. (2004, September). Multifunctional role of matrix metalloproteinases in multiple myeloma: A study in the 5T2MM mouse model. *The American Journal of Pathology*, 165(3), 869–878. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63349-4
- Viguet-Carrin, S., Garnero, P., & Delmas, P. D. (2006, March). The role of collagen in bone strength. *Osteoporosis International*, 17(3), 319–336. Retrieved 2023-12-20, from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-005-2035-9> doi: 10.1007/s00198-005-2035-9
- Wadgaonkar, R., Phelps, K. M., Haque, Z., Williams, A. J., Silverman, E. S., & Collins, T. (1999, January). CREB-binding protein is a nuclear integrator of nuclear factor-kappaB and p53 signaling. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(4), 1879–1882. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.4.1879
- Waskom, M. L. (2021, April). Seaborn: Statistical data visualization. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 6(60), 3021. Retrieved 2023-03-26, from <https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.03021> doi: 10.21105/joss.03021
- Webster, G. A., & Perkins, N. D. (1999, May). Transcriptional Cross Talk between NF- κ B and p53. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 19(5), 3485–3495. Retrieved 2023-07-04, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC84141/>
- Weetall, M., Hugo, R., Maida, S., West, S., Wattanasin, S., Bouhel, R., ... Friedman, C. (2001, June). A Homogeneous Fluorometric Assay for Measuring Cell Adhesion to Immobilized Ligand Using V-Well Microtiter Plates. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 293(2), 277–287. Retrieved 2022-09-25, from <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0003269701951401> doi: 10.1006/abio.2001.5140
- Weiss, C. J. (2022, September). Visualizing protein big data using Python and Jupyter notebooks. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education: A Bimonthly Publication of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 50(5), 431–436. doi: 10.1002/bmb.21621
- Wickham, H. (2014, September). Tidy Data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 59, 1–23. Retrieved 2023-11-15, from <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v059.i10> doi: 10.18637/jss.v059.i10
- Wilkins, A., Kemp, K., Ginty, M., Hares, K., Mallam, E., & Scolding, N. (2009, July). Human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells secrete brain-derived neurotrophic factor which promotes neuronal survival in vitro. *Stem cell research*, 3(1), 63–70. doi: 10.1016/j.scr.2009.02.006
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., ... Mons, B. (2016, March). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data*, 3(1), 160018. Retrieved 2024-03-18, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/sdata201618> doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.18
- Witwer, K. W. (2013, February). Data submission and quality in microarray-based microRNA profiling. *Clinical chemistry*, 59(2), 392–400. Retrieved 2024-04-22, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4037921/> doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2012.193813
- Wong, A. D., & Searson, P. C. (2017, November). Mitosis-mediated intravasation in a tissue-engineered tumor-

- microvessel platform. *Cancer research*, 77(22), 6453–6461. Retrieved 2023-07-14, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5690825/> doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-3279
- Xu, W., Zhang, X., Qian, H., Zhu, W., Sun, X., Hu, J., ... Chen, Y. (2004, July). Mesenchymal stem cells from adult human bone marrow differentiate into a cardiomyocyte phenotype in vitro. *Experimental biology and medicine (Maywood, N.J.)*, 229(7), 623–631.
- Yang, A., Troup, M., & Ho, J. W. (2017, July). Scalability and Validation of Big Data Bioinformatics Software. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 15, 379–386. Retrieved 2024-03-07, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5537105/> doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2017.07.002
- Zeissig, M. N., Zannettino, A. C. W., & Vandyke, K. (2020, December). Tumour Dissemination in Multiple Myeloma Disease Progression and Relapse: A Potential Therapeutic Target in High-Risk Myeloma. *Cancers*, 12(12). Retrieved 2021-02-03, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7761917/> doi: 10.3390/cancers12123643
- Zerbino, D. R., Achuthan, P., Akanni, W., Amode, M. R., Barrell, D., Bhai, J., ... Flicek, P. (2018, January). Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(D1), D754–D761. Retrieved 2023-05-27, from <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1098> doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1098
- Zhou, F., Meng, S., Song, H., & Claret, F. X. (2013, November). Dickkopf-1 is a key regulator of myeloma bone disease: Opportunities and challenges for therapeutic intervention. *Blood reviews*, 27(6), 261–267. Retrieved 2023-02-18, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4133945/> doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2013.08.002
- Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A. H., Tanaseichuk, O., ... Chanda, S. K. (2019, April). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1523. Retrieved 2023-02-09, from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-09234-6> doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6

Appendices

A Supplementary Data & Methods

A.1 Figures

A.2 Tables

A.3 Materials & Methods

B Documentation of `plotastic`