

**Corollary 2.26.** For  $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_2}(\text{Pr}^{\text{L}})$  and  $a$  an  $\mathbb{E}_2$ -algebra in it, a  $\text{LMod}_a(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched category  $\mathcal{C}$  is Cauchy-complete iff its underlying  $\mathcal{V}$ -category  $U_1\mathcal{C}$  is Cauchy-complete.

*Proof.* An enriched category is Cauchy-complete iff any atomic presheaf over it is representable, so combine Lemma 2.24 and Lemma 2.25.  $\square$

### 2.3. Characterization using the norm map.

**Notation 2.27.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in v\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$  be valent  $\mathcal{V}$ -categories. We refer to

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}))$$

as the category of  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched profunctors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . Given profunctors  $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $Q : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , we write  $P \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  for the composition  $Q \circ P : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Observation 2.28.** There is ample reason for this notation: By Eilenberg-Watts ?? a profunctor  $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is the same thing as a bimodule in  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{C} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}))$ , and by [Lur17, Rem. 4.8.4.9] in this picture the composition

$$\otimes_{\mathcal{D}} : {}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{C} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V})) \times {}_{\mathcal{D}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{D} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})) \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{C} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V}))$$

is given by the relative tensor product of bimodules. This can be written out as

$$P \otimes Q(c, e) \simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} P \odot (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\odot n} \odot Q(c, e) \simeq$$

$$\text{quad} \simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \text{colim}_{(d_0, \dots, d_n) \in (\text{ob}\mathcal{D})^{\times n}} P(c, d_0) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_0, d_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_{n-1}, d_n) \otimes Q(d_n, e)$$

using [Lur17, Thm. 4.4.2.8] as well as our expression [?, Cor. 2.29] for the matrix product.

**Example 2.29.** A profunctor  $B1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the same thing as a module functor  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ , i.e. an enriched presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Similarly a profunctor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B1_{\mathcal{V}}$  can be identified as an enriched copresheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$  using ?. We obtain a canonical pairing sending an enriched presheaf  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$  and an enriched copresheaf  $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{V})$  to the composition  $W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} V := V \circ W \in \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{V}$ , which may as in Observation 2.28 be expanded as

$$W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} V \simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \text{colim}_{(c_0, \dots, c_n) \in (\text{ob}\mathcal{C})^{\times n}} W(c_0) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_0, c_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_{n-1}, c_n) \otimes V(c_n).$$

This is also referred to as the  $W$ -weighted colimit of  $V$  (imagined as an enriched functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ).

**Construction 2.30.** For  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in v\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  an enriched profunctor, the composition maps

$$- \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P = P \circ - : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}))$$

$$P \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} - = - \circ P : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E}))$$

preserve colimits and hence admit right adjoints, which we denote by  $\text{Nat}_{\mathcal{D}}(P, -)$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Nat}(P, -)$  respectively.

elaborate?

**Example 2.31.** We have seen in [?] that under Eilenberg-Watts, the identity functor  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$  corresponds to the Yoneda bimodule  $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{V}} \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}))$ . In particular, for any  $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$  regarded as a profunctor  $B1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , the Yoneda-weighted colimit  $W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{V}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})} \circ W \simeq W$  agrees with  $W$ . This is precisely the *coYoneda Lemma*: Any enriched presheaf is a weighted colimit of representable presheaves.