

Corollary 2.26. For $\mathcal{V} \in \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_2}(\text{Pr}^{\text{L}})$ and a an \mathbb{E}_2 -algebra in it, a $\text{LMod}_a(\mathcal{V})$ -enriched category \mathcal{C} is Cauchy-complete iff its underlying \mathcal{V} -category $U_1\mathcal{C}$ is Cauchy-complete.

Proof. An enriched category is Cauchy-complete iff any atomic presheaf over it is representable, so combine Lemma 2.24 and Lemma 2.25. \square

2.3. Characterization using the norm map.

Notation 2.27. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in v\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$ be valent \mathcal{V} -categories. We refer to

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}))$$

as the category of \mathcal{V} -enriched profunctors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Given profunctors $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $Q : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, we write $P \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ for the composition $Q \circ P : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E})$.

Observation 2.28. There is ample reason for this notation: By Eilenberg-Watts ?? a profunctor $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the same thing as a bimodule in ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{C} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}))$, and by [Lur17, Rem. 4.8.4.9] in this picture the composition

$$\otimes_{\mathcal{D}} : {}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{C} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V})) \times {}_{\mathcal{D}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{D} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})) \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\text{Fun}(\text{ob}\mathcal{C} \times \text{ob}\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V}))$$

is given by the relative tensor product of bimodules. This can be written out as

$$\begin{aligned} P \otimes Q(c, e) &\simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} P \odot (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\odot n} \odot Q(c, e) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \text{colim}_{(d_0, \dots, d_n) \in (\text{ob}\mathcal{D})^{\times n}} P(c, d_0) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_0, d_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_{n-1}, d_n) \otimes Q(d_n, e) \end{aligned}$$

using [Lur17, Thm. 4.4.2.8] as well as our expression [?, Cor. 2.29] for the matrix product.

Example 2.29. A profunctor $B1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the same thing as a module functor $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e. an enriched presheaf on \mathcal{C} . Similarly a profunctor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B1_{\mathcal{V}}$ can be identified as an enriched copresheaf on \mathcal{C} using ?. We obtain a canonical pairing sending an enriched presheaf $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ and an enriched copresheaf $V \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\vee}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{V})$ to the composition $W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} V := V \circ W \in \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{V}$, which may as in Observation 2.28 be expanded as

$$W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} V \simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \text{colim}_{(c_0, \dots, c_n) \in (\text{ob}\mathcal{C})^{\times n}} W(c_0) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_0, c_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_{n-1}, c_n) \otimes V(c_n).$$

This is also referred to as the W -weighted colimit of V (imagined as an enriched functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$).

Construction 2.30. For $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in v\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$ and $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ an enriched profunctor, the composition maps

$$\begin{aligned} - \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} P &= P \circ - : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D})) \\ P \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} - &= - \circ P : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{E})) \end{aligned}$$

preserve colimits and hence admit rights adjoints, which we denote by $\text{Nat}_{\mathcal{D}}(P, -)$ and ${}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Nat}(P, -)$ respectively.

elaborate?

Example 2.31. We have seen in [?] that under Eilenberg-Watts, the identity functor $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to the Yoneda bimodule $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{V}} \in {}_{\mathcal{C}}\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}))$. In particular, for any $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ regarded as a profunctor $B1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, the Yoneda-weighted colimit $W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{V}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})} \circ W \simeq W$ agrees with W . This is precisely the *coYoneda Lemma*: Any enriched presheaf is a weighted colimit of representable presheaves.

Observation 2.32. For $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$, the functor $\underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, -) : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is right adjoint to $- \otimes_{B1_{\mathcal{V}}} W \simeq W \otimes - : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$, so it coincides with the internal Hom $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})}(W, -)$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$.

Notation 2.33. For $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, denote by $\text{id}_P : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{D}}(W, W)$ the map induced by the isomorphism $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} W \rightarrow W$, and dually by $\text{id}_P : \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{C}}\underline{\text{Nat}}(W, W)$ the map induced by the isomorphism $W \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow W$. Further, note that adding $Q : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, R : \mathcal{C}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ as well as $Q' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}', R' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}''$ into the mix, there are canonical composition maps

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{D}'}(Q, R) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}'} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{D}}(P, Q) &\rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{D}}(P, R), \\ \circ : {}_{\mathcal{C}}\underline{\text{Nat}}(P, Q') \otimes_{\mathcal{D}'} {}_{\mathcal{C}}\underline{\text{Nat}}(Q', R') &\rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{C}}\underline{\text{Nat}}(P, R'). \end{aligned}$$

Notation 2.34. Given any presheaf $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$, we define the *norm map*

$$\text{Nm} : W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})}(W, W)$$

induced by the counit ϵ of the adjunction $W \otimes - \dashv \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, -)$ as a mate to

$$W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \epsilon : W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}} \simeq W.$$

Alternatively applying $W \simeq \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}, W)$, it agrees with the composition map

$$- \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} - : \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}, W) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W).$$

Theorem 2.35. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$ and $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) W is atomic,
- (2) The norm map $\text{Nm} : W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W)$ is an isomorphism,
- (3) There exists a dashed lift in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) & \\ & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \text{Nm} \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} & \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W) \end{array}$$

Remark 2.36. Equivalently, the pullback $1_{\mathcal{V}} \times_{\underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W)} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}})) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{V}}$ must admit a section.

Remark 2.37. If we write $U_{\mathcal{V}} := \text{Map}(1_{\mathcal{V}}, -) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, we may rephrase this as saying the full image of the induced map

$$U_{\mathcal{V}}(W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}})) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})}(W, W)$$

contains the identity id_W .

Proof. For (1) \Rightarrow (2) assume W is atomic, so the associated map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ is internally left adjoint meaning there is some copresheaf $W^{\vee} : \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that the composition functors

$$- \otimes W : \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{L}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})) : - \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} W^{\vee}$$

Further the (co)units of both adjunctions are adjoint. By uniqueness of adjoints $- \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} W^{\vee} \simeq \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, -)$ and the (co)units of both adjunctions are isomorphic; in particular applying both functors to the identity $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{V}}$ we learn $W^{\vee} \simeq \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}})$. By definition of the norm map we need to show that the counit $W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \epsilon : W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W \rightarrow W$ exhibits $W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} W^{\vee}$ as pointwise right adjoint to $- \otimes W$ at W , but this follows from the adjunction data of $- \otimes W \dashv - \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} W^{\vee}$ and associativity of the relative tensor product.

Since (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear, we finish by proving (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}})$ be the assumed lift, and $\epsilon : \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}$ the unit of the adjunction $- \otimes W \dashv \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, -)$. In order to show that they exhibit $\underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}})$ as the adjoint profunctor to W , we must verify the triangle identities. On the one hand, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}} & W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W & & \\ & \searrow \text{id}_W \otimes \text{id} & \downarrow \text{Nm} \otimes \text{id} & \searrow \text{id} \otimes \epsilon & \\ & & \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W) \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W \end{array}$$

commutes by construction of Nm and assumption on η . The second identity is about maps into $\underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W)$, so after currying it suffices to note that the composite map in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \text{id}} & \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes \text{id}} & \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \epsilon & & \downarrow \epsilon \\ & & \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W & \xrightarrow{\quad \epsilon \quad} & \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}} \end{array}$$

is ϵ . The left triangle commutes by the first triangle identity. \square

Remark 2.38. This may be regarded as a specialization of the diagrammatic absoluteness criterion for profunctors in [?].

Corollary 2.39. In particular, to verify some given W is atomic it is sufficient (but not necessary) to specify a lift of $1 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W)$ through

$$\text{colim}_{c \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}(W, \mathcal{J}_c^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W(c) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} W \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \underline{\text{Nat}}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, W)$$

where the first functor is part of the geometric realization Example 2.29 calculating the subsequent weighted colimit.

The converse is true if we assume that $1_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{V}$ is projective, i.e. the forgetful functor $U_{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}}(1_{\mathcal{V}}, -) : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ preserves geometric realizations. Then $W \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})$ is atomic *iff* such a lift exists: Since \mathcal{S} is a topos the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}} \left(1_{\mathcal{V}}, \text{colim}_{c \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}(W, \mathcal{J}_c^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes W(c) \right) &\rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}}(1_{\mathcal{V}}, \underline{\text{Nat}}(W, \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} W) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{[n] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}} \left(1_{\mathcal{V}}, \text{colim}_{c_0, \dots, c_n \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}} \underline{\text{Nat}}(W, \mathcal{J}_{c_0}^{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_0, c_1) \otimes \dots \otimes W(c_n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

is an effective epimorphism, i.e. surjective on connected components².

Corollary 2.40. If $1_{\mathcal{V}}$ is completely compact, i.e. $U_{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{V}}(1_{\mathcal{V}}, -)$ preserves colimits, then W is atomic iff there exists some $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that id_W lies in the full image of the composition map

$$U_{\mathcal{V}}(\underline{\text{Nat}}(W, \mathcal{J}_c) \otimes \underline{\text{Nat}}(\mathcal{J}_c, W)) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})}(W, W)$$

²If $X_{\bullet} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $x : * \rightarrow X := \text{colim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} X_{\bullet}$ is a point in its geometric realization, then since colimits are universal the pullback $* \times_X X_{\bullet}$ has colimit $*$. So it is impossible to have $* \times_X X_0 = \emptyset$ since then this colimit would also be empty.

keep footnote? Cite the related [Lur09, Lem. Lemma 6.2.3.13]?