



```
· If D=Sp, then Cout + (Sp) = q'i.c. stoble cats s
  · For Moda (V) with a @ Oxyg(V), can regard & D Moda (V) = V as
     V-tensored ric mo - := mo F(-): V→ 3
    Then How F* g (m,-) = U = How g(m,-), with U conservative so it
    preserves & reflects which & tensoring no thry moderal = thry o
 out Coty (Vecu) = i.c. k-lin. additive cats, Caty (D(R)) = i.c. R-lin. Stable cats
 · Define Cat(n,m):= Cat (Cat(n-1, n-1)) where N Em En E and
    (at_{(n,0)} := \mathcal{L}_{n}. Then (at_{(n-1,m-n)}) = \{i.c. (n,m)-categories)\}
 · Cat (Catio) = "2-idemp complete 2-categories
What about Cat (categor's with X-colimits)? Or Cat (Catex)?
    · If X = fgroupoids), {i.c. locally X-coc. 2-categories with X-colimits
    · As it turns out, Cat, (Catalin) = i.c. locally cocomplete
    2-cati with law colimits over small to cats!

Coneral X is difficult, e.g. Cat (Catex) = 8 i.c. becally stable 2-cats

Coneral X is difficult, e.g. Cat (Catex) = 8 i.c. becally stable 2-cats
Lax Semioodditivity
                                                         over Cat (Catorian)
Have beent: Lax colivits & observations openerate all absolute colivits.
Def ) Let 9 \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Cat}^{\text{colim}}). We call \text{Cat}_{+}(\text{Mod}_{9}(\text{Cat}^{\text{colim}})) the category
   of i.c. lax 0-additive categories
EX/ Explicitly: Locally cocomplete & V-tensored, i.e., admits lax colimits
      · Lax 3-additive = lax semiadditive
        Lax Sp-additire = lax additive
         Lax Vecutodditive = lax semiodditive, k-linear, locally additive
      (· Lax Prst-additive =: lax additive (00,3)-cat.)
The universal property of Profunctors
(Dof) Cat in So Cat sub 2 category on cocomplete categories &
        cocontinuous functors.
                                                                          small
    Prof = Catalin full sub-2-category on proheat categories PDn(C)
 Notice: Howard (PSh(C), PSh(D)) = Fern (PSh(C), PSh(D)) =
          = Fun (C, Fun (Dop, 8)) = Fun (C x Dop, 8)
Similarly for DEATE(Pr), let Troling = Madya (Catalin) on enriced
```

```
= tun(C, tun(D', S)) = Fun(CxD', S)
  Similarly for DEAGEPT), let Tropy = Mady (Catalin) on enrived
     prohect categories PShy(C).
 Though the Model (at 1971), IPOf is not idemp comple, so
     Profice = Modyo(Cateria) spanned by retracts of enriched produced cats
  EX/ For 9=Sp, Trofsp = Modsp (Pr) = Pret consist of the ply, generated
      Stable cats while Profise consider of the cothy assembled ones. (> Eximon K-theory)
(Thu [Ramei + extra steps] (Profig consists of precisely the dualizable obj. in Mady (Cat)
Thun Frofix is both the free i.c. lax semiodelitive ategory on BU, and the
    free ic. low N-additive category on the point:

Fun (Prof. p, C) \geq Fun (BD, C) = N-modules in C \forall C ic. lex so.
    Fun Modulatin (BO, D) = Fun (BO, D) = D
                                                   VD ic lax V-additive
Frent: Mist show Tholis = Bis exam = Bis Hookin (Catering)
                             Ltodge Cating and - o use above theorem
Ren: For V=S, Angus orguments draw Prof = Profe is closed under
    lax colimits & generated by them from the point \Rightarrow Prof is the free cot.
   For general O. this night be wrong ...
Corollary: Frofis - Profex = { exact profunctors
   is the free i.c. lax additive catgory
 Proof. A priori Profex = Profex, but ess and since any Sp-envicted category
     is Morita-equivalent to its Cauchy-completion which is stable
Ken! Probably Profex is the free law additive category.
  Free Coudy-complete categories & Morita categories
 Catalin, ex is a bit large. What if we use Catex instead?
Det) A 2-ic. finitely lax additive category is a Cat + (Catex, 1c), i.e.
    a 2-1.c locally stoble 2-cat with lax colonite over 0. Cat+(Sp)
    Similarly in the R-linear case, for RECALGEP).
The free Cat (Cat Prin, ex,ic) = Cat 2 (Mode (Sp)) is
      B BR HONE(Sp) = B MONE(Sp) (at+ (MONE(Sp)) ~ S Movite category of S BROOK Proper R-affebras
```

