

The Power Of Const

Markus Hauck (@markus1189)

@codecentric

Why This Talk

- why a whole talk about Const?
- one of the “funny” datatypes at first sight
- not immediately obvious what it is good for
- surprisingly, it is **many** useful usages
- this talk shows (some of) them

```
newtype Const a b = Const { getConst :: a }
```

The Const Datatype

```
1      newtype Const a b = Const { getConst :: a }
```

- two type parameters a and b
- b is a phantom type
- you can only ever get a value of type a out of it
- type level version of the const **function** that ignores one of the two arguments:

```
1      const :: a -> b -> b
2      const x _ = x
```

The Rest Of This Talk

- Functor instance
- Applicative instance
- Selective Applicative instance

Functor

The Functor Instance

```
1  instance Functor (Const a) where
2    fmap f c@(Const a) = _
```

- 1 • Found hole: `_ :: MyConst a b`
- 2 ...
- 3 • Relevant bindings include
 - 4 `c :: MyConst a a1`
 - 5 `a :: a`
 - 6 `f :: a1 -> b`

The Functor Instance

```
1  instance Functor (Const a) where
2    fmap _ (Const a) = Const a
```

```
1  ghci> c = Const @String @Int "can't touch me"
```

```
2
3  ghci> fmap (+1) c
4  Const "can't touch me"
```

```
5
6  ghci> fmap print c
7  Const "can't touch me"
```

- unpack and retag (change the phantom type)
- **discards** the function application
- ... but how is this useful?

Use of Const in Twan van Laarhoven Lenses

```
1  type Lens a b = forall f. Functor f => (b -> f b) -> a -> f a
2  _1 :: Lens (a, b) a
3  _1 f (x1, y) = fmap (\x2 -> (x2, y)) (f x1)
```

- think: '**a**' somehow contains '**b**'
- given:
 - functorial function modifying the **b**
 - and a “bigger” value of type **a**
- produce new **a** that has the modified **b** “inside”

Implementing Getter With Const

```
1  _1 :: Lens (a, b) a
2  _1 f (x1, y) = fmap (\x2 -> (x2, y)) (f x1)
3
4  get :: Lens a b -> a -> b
5  get l x = getConst (l Const x)
```

```
1  get _1 (42, 'a')
2  = getConst (_1 Const (42, 'a'))           -- Def. of 'get'
3  = getConst (fmap (\x2 -> (x2, 'a')) (Const 42)) -- Def. of '_1'
4  = getConst (Const 42)                     -- Def. of 'fmap' for 'Const'
5  = 42                                       -- The Answer!
```

Functor

- first example: Functor for Const
- useless at first glance
- nice for lenses

Applicative

The Applicative Instance

- probably one of my favorites
- opens up a lot of possibilities
- how? connects two very important concepts

The Applicative Instance

```
1  instance Applicative (Const m) where
2      pure :: a -> Const m a
3      pure = _pure
4
5      (<*>) :: Const m (a -> b) -> Const m a -> Const m b
6      Const f <*> Const v = _ap
```

The Applicative Instance

```
1  instance Monoid m => Applicative (Const m) where
2      pure :: a -> Const m a
3      pure _ = Const mempty
4
5      (<*>) :: Const m (a -> b) -> Const m a -> Const m b
6      Const f <*> Const v = Const (f <*> v)
```

Using The Applicative Instance

- but what does it **mean**?
- we can use any function working with Applicatives and give them Monoids!

Using The Applicative Instance

```
1 traverse :: ... => (a -> Const m b) -> t a -> Const m (t b)
2 foldMap  :: ... => (a ->          m)   -> t a ->          m
```

- ① • by using traverse with Const we get foldMap
- that's why Traversable is enough to define a Foldable instance

Using The Applicative Instance

```
1 traverse :: ... => (a -> Const m b) -> t a -> Const m (t b)
2 foldMap  :: ... => (a ->          m)   -> t a ->          m
```

- ①
 - by using traverse with Const we get foldMap
 - that's why Traversable is enough to define a Foldable instance
- ②
 - Use Const to statically analyze Free Applicative programs
 - to accumulate monoidal value without performing actual effects

Using The Applicative Instance

```
1 traverse :: ... => (a -> Const m b) -> t a -> Const m (t b)
2 foldMap  :: ... => (a ->          m)   -> t a ->          m
```

- ①
 - by using traverse with Const we get foldMap
 - that's why Traversable is enough to define a Foldable instance
- ②
 - Use Const to statically analyze Free Applicative programs
 - to accumulate monoidal value without performing actual effects
- ③
 - Const highlights the relation between Applicative and Monoid
 - use **everything** from Monoids and use with functions that require Applicative
 - Applicative laws state that instances have to be monoidal in their effects

What Is Next?

- we saw the instance for Applicative
- important bridge between Monoid and Applicative
- so what about the Monad instance?

The Monad Instance?

```
1 import Data.Functor.Const
2
3 instance Monoid a => Monad (Const a) where
4   c@(Const x) >>= f = f _
```

```
1 const-monad.hs:4:23: error:
2   • Found hole: _ :: Const a b
3   ...
4   • Relevant bindings include
5       f :: a1 -> Const a b (bound at const-monad.hs:4:19)
6       x :: a (bound at const-monad.hs:4:12)
7       c :: Const a a1 (bound at const-monad.hs:4:3)
8   ...
```

- mempty would typecheck, but violate the left-identity law
- we simply can't "pretend" anymore
- Const does not have a Monad instance, but still manages to teach us
- somewhere between Applicative and Monad...

Selective Applicative Functors



Selective Applicative Functors

ANDREY MOKHOV, Newcastle University, United Kingdom
GEORGY LUKYANOV, Newcastle University, United Kingdom
SIMON MARLOW, Facebook, United Kingdom
JEREMIE DIMINO, Jane Street, United Kingdom

Applicative functors and monads have conquered the world of functional programming by providing general and powerful ways of describing effectful computations using pure functions. Applicative functors provide a way to compose *independent effects* that cannot depend on values produced by earlier computations, and all of which are declared statically. Monads extend the applicative interface by making it possible to compose *dependent effects*, where the value computed by one effect determines all subsequent effects, dynamically.

This paper introduces an intermediate abstraction called *selective applicative functors* that requires all effects to be declared statically, but provides a way to select which of the effects to execute dynamically. We demonstrate applications of the new abstraction on several examples, including two industrial case studies.

CCS Concepts: • **Software and its engineering**; • **Mathematics of computing**;

Additional Key Words and Phrases: applicative functors, selective functors, monads, effects

ACM Reference Format:

Andrey Mokhov, Georgy Lukyanov, Simon Marlow, and Jeremie Dimino. 2019. Selective Applicative Functors. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 3, ICFP, Article 90 (August 2019), 29 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3341694>

90

<https://www.staff.ncl.ac.uk/andrey.mokhov/selective-functors.pdf>

This paper introduces an intermediate abstraction called selective applicative functors that requires all effects to be **declared statically**, but provides a way to select which of the effects to **execute dynamically**.

- (emphasis mine)
- Applicative: effects declared & executed statically
- offer some of the benefits of Arrows, less powerful (not this talk :/)

Selective Functors

```
1 class Applicative f => Selective f where
2   select :: f (Either a b) -> f (a -> b) -> f b
```

- (comes with some additional laws not shown here)
- what does it buy me?
- you can branch on **Bools** that are inside an “effect”¹

```
1   ifS :: Selective f => f Bool -> f a -> f a -> f a
```

¹“effect” with the usual caveats

The Selective Instance

- now the interesting part: how does the instance for Const work?
- with Selective we have two valid instances

```
1 newtype Over m a = Over { getOver :: m }  
2  
3 newtype Under m a = Under { getUnder :: m }
```

- Over for static **over**-approximation
- Under for static **under**-approximation

```
1 instance Monoid a => Selective (Over a) where
2   select (Over (Const c)) (Over (Const t)) = Over (Const (c <> t))
3
4 instance Monoid a => Selective (Under a) where
5   select (Under (Const c)) _ = Under (Const c)
```

- **Over** also goes into conditional branches
- **Under** ignores conditionally executed parts

- why is this so cool?
- DSL using **FreeSelective** can express conditional branching and do static analysis/transformation on programs
- using `Over` and `Under` extremely useful to e.g. check properties of a program
- example: does a certain effect **always** occur? Check `Under`
- example: does a certain effect **never** occur: Check `Over`

Conclusion

- deceptively easy data type
- very useful instances that have surprising usages:
 - Functor
 - Applicative
 - Selective Applicative
- good tool to understand Monoidal aspects of Applicatives
- highlights the difference between monadic and applicative capabilities

Questions?